

THE STRUCTURE OF THE MINIMAL FREE RESOLUTION OF SEMIGROUP RINGS OBTAINED BY GLUING

PHILIPPE GIMENEZ AND HEMA SRINIVASAN

ABSTRACT. We construct a minimal free resolution of the semigroup ring $k[C]$ in terms of minimal resolutions of $k[A]$ and $k[B]$ when $\langle C \rangle$ is a numerical semigroup obtained by gluing two numerical semigroups $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$. Using our explicit construction, we compute the Betti numbers, graded Betti numbers, regularity and Hilbert series of $k[C]$, and prove that the minimal free resolution of $k[C]$ has a differential graded algebra structure provided the resolutions of $k[A]$ and $k[B]$ possess them. We discuss the consequences of our results in small embedding dimensions. Finally, we give an extension of our main result to semigroups in \mathbb{N}^n .

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a subset of positive integers $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$, we denote by $\langle C \rangle$ the semigroup generated by C . When $\gcd(c_1, \dots, c_n) = 1$, i.e., when $\mathbb{N} \setminus \langle C \rangle$ is a finite set, we call $\langle C \rangle$ a *numerical* semigroup. We say C minimally generates the semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ if no proper subset of C generates the same semigroup $\langle C \rangle$. The minimal generating set of a semigroup is unique.

Let k be an arbitrary field. Consider the algebra homomorphism $\phi_C : k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow k[t]$ induced by $\phi_C(x_i) = t^{c_i}$. The semigroup ring $k[C] = k[t^{c_i} | 1 \leq i \leq n]$ is the image of ϕ_C and it is isomorphic to $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_C$ where $I_C = \ker \phi_C$ is the prime ideal defining the affine monomial curve $\mathfrak{C}_C \subset \mathbb{A}_k^n$ whose coordinate ring is $k[C]$. The ideal I_C is binomial and it is homogeneous if one gives to each variable x_i weight c_i . Hence, one can consider graded free resolutions of $k[C]$ over the polynomial ring graded this way. If $\langle C \rangle$ is minimally generated by C , we say that the *embedding dimension* of $\langle C \rangle$ is n . The ring $k[C]$ has Krull dimension 1 and hence it is always Cohen-Macaulay. Observe that if one studies the semigroup ring $k[C]$, one can always assume without loss of generality that $\gcd(c_1, \dots, c_n) = 1$ since one gets the same ideal I_C when one divides all the integers in C by their gcd. When the ideal I_C is generated by a regular sequence, we say the numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$, the semigroup ring $k[C]$, and the monomial curve \mathfrak{C}_C , are complete intersections. Similarly, we say the semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ and the monomial curve \mathfrak{C}_C are Gorenstein when the semigroup ring $k[C]$ satisfies this property. Note that the fact

The first author was partially supported by *Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación* (Spain), MTM2016-78881-P.

Keywords: semigroup rings, numerical semigroups, gluing, free resolutions, Betti numbers.

2000 MSC: 13D02, 20M25, 13A02, 20M14.

that $k[C]$ is Gorenstein or a complete intersection does not depend on the field k and that's why we can talk about Gorenstein and complete intersection semigroups.

Numerical semigroups of embedding dimension 1 are isomorphic to the integers and hence their semigroup ring is simply the polynomial ring $k[x]$. When the embedding dimension is 2, the semigroup ring $k[C] \simeq k[x, y]/(x^a - y^b)$ is the coordinate ring of a hypersurface. When the embedding dimension is three, $k[C] \simeq k[x, y, z]/I_C$ is either a complete intersection or I_C is the ideal of the 2×2 minors of a 2×3 matrix of the form $\begin{pmatrix} x^a & y^b & z^c \\ y^d & z^e & x^f \end{pmatrix}$ by [14, Theorems 3.7 and 3.8]. When the embedding dimension is four or more, there is no such simple classification for the semigroup rings. In fact, when $C = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$, there is no upper bound for the minimal number of generators for the ideal I_C , [4].

In this paper, we construct a minimal graded free resolution for the semigroup ring $k[C]$ when $\langle C \rangle$ is a numerical semigroup obtained by gluing two smaller numerical semigroups. This is our main Theorem 3.1.

Our paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall the definition of semigroups obtained by gluing and give some of their properties, known and new. Section 3 has our main result and the consequences. For numerical semigroups obtained by gluing, we compute the invariants associated to the minimal graded free resolution like the Betti numbers (Corollary 3.2), the graded Betti numbers (Proposition 3.6), the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity (Corollary 3.7) and the Hilbert series (Corollary 3.8). In particular, we show that if $\langle C \rangle$ is obtained by gluing $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$, then the Cohen-Macaulay type of $k[C]$ is the product of the Cohen-Macaulay types of $k[A]$ and $k[B]$ (Corollary 3.3). We also show that the minimal resolution of $k[C]$ has a differential graded algebra structure provided the minimal resolutions of $k[A]$ and $k[B]$ do (Proposition 3.9). The multiplication on the resolution of $k[C]$ is explicitly written from those of $k[A]$ and $k[B]$. In section 4, we consider the case when the numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ decomposes as $C = k_1 A \sqcup k_2 B$ where one of the subset of C is a singleton. In section 5, we discuss the consequences of our results for small embedding dimensions and give some examples. In particular, we classify decomposable numerical semigroups up to embedding dimension 5 (Propositions 5.1 and 5.4) and also show that, in embedding dimension $n \geq 4$, there exist indecomposable numerical semigroups of any Cohen-Macaulay type between 1 and n (Corollary 5.3). Finally, in the last section we observe that our main result is valid for decomposable semigroups in higher dimension (Theorem 6.1) and give an example to illustrate this.

2. NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS OBTAINED BY GLUING

2.1. Definition. A numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ is obtained by *gluing* two semigroups $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$ if its minimal generating set C can be written as the disjoint union of two subsets, $C = k_1 A \sqcup k_2 B$, where A and B are minimal generators of numerical semigroups $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$, and k_1, k_2 are relatively prime positive integers such that k_1 is in the numerical

semigroup $\langle B \rangle$ but not in B and $k_2 \in \langle A \rangle \setminus A$. When this occurs, we also say that $\langle C \rangle$ is *decomposable*, or that $\langle C \rangle$ is a *gluing of $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$* .

This way to decompose the minimal generating set of a numerical semigroup already appears in the classical paper by Delorme [9] where it is used to characterize complete intersection numerical semigroups. In [16], Rosales introduces the concept of gluing for finitely generated subsemigroups of \mathbb{N}^n in terms of a presentation for the semigroup, and he shows that, for numerical semigroups, his definition coincides with the decomposition in [9]; see section 2.3 below.

Note that one can extend the above definition when k_1 and k_2 are not relatively prime. If the positive integers in C are not relatively prime and d is their gcd, then the semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ is *decomposable* if and only if the numerical semigroup $\langle \frac{C}{d} \rangle$ is decomposable. In other words, if $C = k_1 A \sqcup k_2 B$ and $k_i = dk_i^*$ for $i = 1, 2$ with $d = \gcd(k_1, k_2)$, we ask that $k_1^* \in \langle B \rangle \setminus B$ and $k_2^* \in \langle A \rangle \setminus A$ to say that $\langle C \rangle$ is a gluing of $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$.

2.2. Notations. When $C = k_1 A \cup k_2 B$ with $k_1 \in \langle B \rangle$ and $k_2 \in \langle A \rangle$, in particular if $\langle C \rangle$ is obtained by gluing $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$, we will use the following notations:

- $p = \#A$, $q = \#B$, and the elements in A and B are $\{a_1, \dots, a_p\}$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_q\}$.
- We consider the three polynomial rings $R_A = k[x_1, \dots, x_p]$, $R_B = k[y_1, \dots, y_q]$ and $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q]$. Then, the semigroup ring $k[A]$ is isomorphic to R_A/I_A where I_A is the kernel of the algebra homomorphism $\phi_A : R_A \rightarrow k[t]$ induced by $\phi_A(x_i) = t^{a_i}$. Similarly, $k[B] \simeq R_B/I_B$ and $k[C] \simeq R/I_C$.
- F_A and F_B are minimal graded free resolutions for $k[A]$ and $k[B]$ as modules over R_A and R_B respectively, where variables x_i and y_j in the polynomial rings R_A and R_B are given weight a_i and b_j to make the modules $k[A]$ and $k[B]$ graded. When needed, the differentials will be denoted by $\partial_i^A : (F_A)_i \rightarrow (F_A)_{i-1}$ and $\partial_j^B : (F_B)_j \rightarrow (F_B)_{j-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq q-1$. By definition, the resolutions F_A and F_B are *minimal* if and only if $\partial_i^A((F_A)_i) \subset (x_1, \dots, x_p)(F_A)_{i-1}$ and $\partial_j^B((F_B)_j) \subset (y_1, \dots, y_q)(F_B)_{j-1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq q-1$.
- Since $k_1 \in \langle B \rangle$ and $k_2 \in \langle A \rangle$, there exist non negative integers α_i, β_i such that $k_1 = \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j b_j$ and $k_2 = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i a_i$, and we consider the binomial $\rho = \prod_{i=1}^p x_i^{\alpha_i} - \prod_{j=1}^q y_j^{\beta_j} \in R$. It is homogeneous of degree $k_1 k_2$ if one gives to each variable in R the corresponding weight in $C = \{k_1 a_1, \dots, k_1 a_p, k_2 b_1, \dots, k_2 b_q\}$.

2.3. Properties. The following lemma helps to understand why, in the definition of gluing, we consider $k_1 \notin B$ and $k_2 \notin A$. It is slightly more precise than [9, Prop. 10 (ii)].

Lemma 2.1. *If A and B minimally generate $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$ respectively, and $k_1 \in \langle B \rangle$ and $k_2 \in \langle A \rangle$ are two relatively prime positive integers, then $C = k_1 A \cup k_2 B$ is a disjoint union and minimally generates the semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ if and only if $k_1 \notin B$ and $k_2 \notin A$.*

Proof. First observe that the assumption in the lemma is necessary: if $k_1 \in B$ or $k_2 \in A$ then $k_1 k_2 \in C$ and it is not a minimal generator of $\langle C \rangle$ unless $k_1 \in B$ and $k_2 \in A$, but in this case $k_1 k_2 \in k_1 A$ and $k_1 k_2 \in k_2 B$ and hence the union $k_1 A \cup k_2 B$ is not disjoint.

Now assume that $k_1 \notin B$ and $k_2 \notin A$. If C is not a minimal generating set of $\langle C \rangle$ or if the union $C = k_1A \cup k_2B$ is not disjoint, we may say without loss of generality that k_2b_q is in the numerical semigroup generated by the rest of the elements in C . That is, there are non negative integers c_i for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and d_j for $1 \leq j \leq q-1$ such that

$$k_2b_q = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i k_1 a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} d_j k_2 b_j.$$

Hence, k_2 divides $k_1 \sum_{i=1}^p c_i a_i$ and, since $(k_1, k_2) = 1$, one has that $\sum_{i=1}^p c_i a_i = k_2 t$ for some non negative integer t . Moreover, t must be positive or else B will not be a minimal generating set for $\langle B \rangle$.

Dividing the above equation by k_2 , we get

$$b_q = k_1 t + \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} d_j b_j.$$

Now, note that $k_1 = \sum_{j=1}^q r_j b_j$ for some non negative integers r_j . Thus, we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} (tr_j + d_j) b_j + (tr_q - 1) b_q = 0.$$

Since $tr_j + d_j \geq 0$ and $tr_q \geq 0$, this can only occur if either $d_j = r_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, q-1$ and $t = r_q = 1$, or $tr_q = 0$. In the first case, one has that $b_q = k_1 t = k_1$ which is impossible if $k_1 \notin B$. On the other hand, $tr_q = 0$ implies $r_q = 0$. But then, $b_q = \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} (tr_j + d_j) b_j$ which contradicts the fact that B is a minimal generating set for $\langle B \rangle$. Hence, $C = k_1 A \sqcup k_2 B$ minimally generates the semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ and we are done. \square

For a numerical semigroup, the definition that we gave in section 2.1 is equivalent to Rosales' original definition of gluing and this is proved in [16, Lemma 2.2]. We add our proof of the following result for completion and convenience:

Proposition 2.2 ([16, Lemma 2.2]). *If $\langle C \rangle$ is obtained by gluing $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$, then the ideal I_C is minimally generated by the minimal generators of I_A and I_B and ρ , the binomial defined in section 2.2.*

Proof. First note that $\sum_{i=1}^p c_i (k_1 a_i) + \sum_{j=1}^q d_j (k_2 b_j) = 0$ for some integers c_i, d_j is a minimal relation on the generators of the semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ if and only if $\prod_{c_i > 0} x_i^{c_i} \prod_{d_j > 0} y_j^{d_j} - \prod_{c_i < 0} x_i^{-c_i} \prod_{d_j < 0} y_j^{-d_j}$ is a minimal generator for the ideal I_C .

With notations in section 2.2, since

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i (k_1 a_i) - \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j (k_2 b_j) = 0$$

for non negative integers α_i and β_j , one has that $\rho \in I_C \setminus (I_A + I_B)$.

Let $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i(k_1 a_i) + \sum_{j=1}^q d_j(k_2 b_j) = 0$ be a relation on the elements in C for some integers c_i and d_j . Then, $k_1(\sum_{i=1}^p c_i a_i) = -k_2(\sum_{j=1}^q d_j b_j)$. Since k_1 and k_2 are relatively prime, we conclude that $\sum_{i=1}^p c_i a_i = k_2 r = r \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i a_i$ and $\sum_{j=1}^q d_j b_j = -k_1 r = -r \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j b_j$. So, $\sum_{i=1}^p (c_i - r\alpha_i) a_i = 0 = \sum_{j=1}^q (d_j + r\beta_j) b_j$. Hence, $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^p (c_i - r\alpha_i) k_1 a_i + \sum_{j=1}^q (d_j + r\beta_j) k_2 b_j$. Thus, any relation σ among the integers in C is a sum of relations among elements in A and relations among elements B , unless $c_i = r\alpha_i$ and $d_j = -r\beta_j$ for all i, j . In that case, σ is a multiple of the relation (1) and hence $I_C \subseteq I_A R + I_B R + \langle \rho \rangle$. The other inclusion is trivial, and it is clear that taking minimal generators of I_A and I_B and adding ρ , one gets a sistem of generators of I_C which is minimal. \square

3. MAIN RESULT AND CONSEQUENCES

With the notations in section 2.2, our main result is the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Consider a numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ minimally generated by C and obtained by gluing $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$ with $C = k_1 A \sqcup k_2 B$.*

- (1) $F_A \otimes F_B$ is a minimal graded free resolution of $R/I_A R + I_B R$.
- (2) A minimal graded free resolution of the semigroup ring $k[C]$ can be obtained as the mapping cone of $\rho : F_A \otimes F_B \rightarrow F_A \otimes F_B$, where ρ is induced by multiplication by ρ . In particular, $(I_A R + I_B R : \rho) = I_A R + I_B R$.

Proof. The minimal free resolutions F_A and F_B of $k[A] \simeq R_A/I_A$ and $k[B] \simeq R_B/I_B$ can be viewed as minimal free resolutions of the R -modules $R/I_A R$ and $R/I_B R$ (with the same maps). Since $I_A R$ and $I_B R$ are generated by elements involving disjoint sets of variables in R , $G = F_A \otimes F_B$ is a minimal graded free resolution of $R/(I_A R + I_B R)$.

Now consider the complex map on $G \rightarrow G$ induced by multiplication by ρ . All the maps in the complex are also multiplication by ρ and hence this is a complex map. We note that $I_A R + I_B R + \rho$ is the prime ideal I_C of height $n - 1$. In the mapping cone $M(\rho)$ of the multiplication map $\rho : G \rightarrow G$, all of the fitting ideals contain $I_A R + I_B R + \rho$ up to radical and hence are of depth $n - 1$. So, by the exactness criterion [5, Cor. 1], the mapping cone is acyclic and resolves $I_C = I_A R + I_B R + \rho$.

Minimality of our resolutions are straightforward. Since F_A and F_B are minimal, one has that $\partial_i^A((F_A)_i) \subset (x_1, \dots, x_p)(F_A)_{i-1}$ and $\partial_j^B((F_B)_j) \subset (y_1, \dots, y_q)(F_B)_{j-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq q-1$. Thus, denoting by ∂_\bullet^G the differentials in G , one has that $\partial_k^G(G_k) \subset (x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q)G_{k-1}$ for all k , $1 \leq k \leq p+q-2$, and hence G resolves $R/I_A R + I_B R$ minimally. Finally, since the maps in the complex map $G \rightarrow G$ are all multiplication by ρ and $\rho \in (x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q)$, we see that if ∂_\bullet are the differentials in the mapping cone $M(\rho)$, for all k , $1 \leq k \leq p+q-1$, one has $\partial_k(M(\rho)_k) \subset (x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q)M(\rho)_{k-1}$, and $M(\rho)$ resolves R/I_C minimally.

As a consequence, we see that the $H_1(M(\rho)) = 0$ and hence $(I_A R + I_B R : \rho) = I_A R + I_B R$. \square

Example 1. Consider the decomposable numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ generated by

$$C = \{187, 289, 425, 323, 140, 364, 336\} = k_1 A \sqcup k_2 B$$

with $A = \{11, 17, 25, 19\}$, $B = \{5, 13, 12\}$, $k_1 = 17 = 5 + 12 \in \langle B \rangle$ and $k_2 = 28 = 11 + 17 \in \langle A \rangle$. Using **Singular** [8], one gets that the ideal $I_A \subset R_A = k[x_1, \dots, x_4]$ is minimally generated by 5 binomials, $f_1 = x_1 x_3 - x_2 x_4$, $f_2 = x_4^4 - x_2^3 x_3$, $f_3 = x_2^4 - x_1 x_4^3$, $f_4 = x_3^2 - x_1^3 x_2$, $f_5 = x_1^4 - x_3 x_4$, the ring $k[A] \simeq R_A/I_A$ is Gorenstein, and its minimal free resolution is

$$0 \rightarrow R_A \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \\ f_4 \\ f_5 \end{pmatrix}} (R_A)^5 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_3 & x_1^3 & x_2^3 & x_4^3 \\ -x_3 & 0 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ -x_1^3 & 0 & 0 & x_4 & x_3 \\ -x_2^3 & -x_1 & -x_4 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_4^3 & -x_2 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} (R_A)^5 \xrightarrow{(f_1 \dots f_5)} R_A \rightarrow k[A] \rightarrow 0.$$

The ideal $I_B \subset R_B = k[y_1, y_2, y_3]$ is generated by 3 binomials, $g_1 = y_3^3 - y_1^2 y_2^2$, $g_2 = y_1^5 - y_2 y_3$, $g_3 = y_2^3 - y_1^3 y_3$, it is Hilbert-Burch, and its minimal free resolution is

$$0 \rightarrow (R_B)^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} y_1^3 & y_2 \\ y_2^2 & y_3^2 \\ y_3 & y_1^2 \end{pmatrix}} (R_B)^3 \xrightarrow{(g_1 \ g_2 \ g_3)} R_B \rightarrow k[B] \rightarrow 0.$$

The tensor product of these two resolutions provides a minimal free resolution of R/J where $J = I_A R + I_B R$:

$$0 \rightarrow R^2 \rightarrow R^{13} \rightarrow R^{26} \rightarrow R^{22} \rightarrow R^8 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/J \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that the differentials can be easily written down if needed. Finally, the extra minimal generator in I_C is $\rho = x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_3$ and the mapping cone induced by multiplication by ρ gives a minimal resolution of $k[C]$,

$$0 \rightarrow R^2 \rightarrow R^{15} \rightarrow R^{39} \rightarrow R^{48} \rightarrow R^{30} \rightarrow R^9 \rightarrow R \rightarrow k[C] \rightarrow 0.$$

Again, the differentials are known.

Of course, the differentials in the resolution of $k[C]$ depend on k_1 and k_2 when the numerical semigroup decomposes as $C = k_1 A \sqcup k_2 B$ but the Betti numbers do not as our next result shows. For any R -module M , we denote by $\beta_i(M)$ its Betti numbers with the convention that $\beta_i(M) = 0$ for $i < 0$ and $i > \text{pd}(M)$, the projective dimension of M . For the semigroup ring $k[C]$ associated to a numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$, we will use the notation $\beta_i(C) = \beta_i(k[C])$.

Corollary 3.2. *If the numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ is obtained by gluing $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$, then*

$$\forall i \geq 0, \beta_i(C) = \sum_{i'=0}^i \beta_{i'}(A) [\beta_{i-i'}(B) + \beta_{i-i'-1}(B)].$$

Proof. Set $J = I_A R + I_B R$. Since the resolution of R/J is the tensor product of the resolutions of $k[A]$ and $k[B]$, $\beta_i(R/J) = \sum_{i'=0}^i \beta_{i'}(A) \beta_{i-i'}(B)$ for $i = 0, \dots, p + q - 2$. One

can write $\beta_i(R/J) = \sum_{i' \geq 0} \beta_{i'}(A)\beta_{i-i'}(B)$ because $\beta_{i-i'}(B) = 0$ if $i' > i$, and this formula

holds for all $i \geq 0$ since for $i > p + q - 2$, either $i' > p - 1$ or $i - i' > q - 1$ and hence $\beta_{i'}(A)\beta_{i-i'}(B) = 0$. Note in particular that $\beta_{p+q-2}(R/J) = \beta_{p-1}(A)\beta_{q-1}(B)$. Now the resolution of $k[C]$ is the mapping cone of the resolution of R/J induced by multiplication by ρ and hence $\beta_0(C) = 1$, $\beta_i(C) = \beta_i(R/J) + \beta_{i-1}(R/J)$ for $i = 1, \dots, p + q - 2$, and $\beta_{p+q-1}(C) = \beta_{p+q-2}(R/J)$, and the result follows. \square

Remark 1. In Corollary 3.2, A and B play the same role and hence the symmetric formula for the Betti numbers also holds:

$$\forall i \geq 0, \beta_i(C) = \sum_{i'=0}^i \beta_{i'}(B)[\beta_{i-i'}(A) + \beta_{i-i'-1}(A)].$$

Example 2. Consider the decomposable numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ generated by

$$C = \{450, 522, 612, 576, 305, 793, 732\} = k_1 A \sqcup k_2 B$$

with $A = \{25, 29, 34, 32\}$, $B = \{5, 13, 12\}$, $k_1 = 18 = 5 + 13 \in \langle B \rangle$ and $k_2 = 61 = 29 + 32 \in \langle A \rangle$. Using **Singular**, one gets that the Betti numbers of $k[A]$ and $k[B]$ are

i	0	1	2	3
$\beta_i(A)$	1	7	10	4

i	0	1	2
$\beta_i(B)$	1	3	2

Applying the formula in Corollary 3.2 and the remark after the corollary, one gets that $\beta_0(C) = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1(C) &= 1(3 + 1) + 7 \cdot 1 = 1(7 + 1) + 3 \cdot 1 = 11, \\ \beta_2(C) &= 1(2 + 3) + 7(3 + 1) + 10 \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot (10 + 7) + 3(7 + 1) + 2 \cdot 1 = 43, \\ \beta_3(C) &= 1 \cdot 2 + 7(2 + 3) + 10(3 + 1) + 4 \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot (4 + 10) + 3(10 + 7) + 2(7 + 1) = 81, \\ \beta_4(C) &= 7 \cdot 2 + 10(2 + 3) + 4(3 + 1) = 1 \cdot 4 + 3(4 + 10) + 2(10 + 7) = 80, \\ \beta_5(C) &= 10 \cdot 2 + 4(2 + 3) = 3 \cdot 4 + 2(4 + 10) = 40, \\ \beta_6(C) &= 4 \cdot 2 = 8, \end{aligned}$$

and the minimal free resolution of $k[C]$ shows as

$$0 \rightarrow R^8 \rightarrow R^{40} \rightarrow R^{80} \rightarrow R^{81} \rightarrow R^{43} \rightarrow R^{11} \rightarrow R \rightarrow k[C] \rightarrow 0.$$

As a direct consequence of Corollary 3.2, one gets that if A and B have p and q elements respectively, then the last nonzero Betti number of $k[C]$ is $\beta_{p+q-1}(C) = \beta_{p-1}(A)\beta_{q-1}(B)$. In other words, one has the following result:

Corollary 3.3. *If the numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ is obtained by gluing two numerical semigroups $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$, then the Cohen-Macaulay type of $k[C]$ is the product of the Cohen-Macaulay types of $k[A]$ and $k[B]$.*

The *type* of a numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ is defined in [11] as the number of elements in the set $C' = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}/x \notin \langle C \rangle \text{ and } x + s \in \langle C \rangle, \forall s \in \langle C \rangle \setminus \{0\}\}$. In [15, Prop. 6.6] it is shown that the type of a numerical semigroup obtained by gluing two semigroups is the product of the types of the two semigroups. In order to see that this is the same result

as Corollary 3.3, we need to show the following result that we will prove since we could not find any reference for it.

Lemma 3.4. *The type of any numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ coincides with the Cohen-Macaulay type of its semigroup ring $k[C]$.*

Proof. The Cohen-Macaulay type of any simplicial Cohen-Macaulay semigroup is computed in [7, Thm. 4.2(ii)]. Applying this result to a numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ minimally generated by C , if e is the smallest element in C and $A = C \setminus \{e\}$, the Cohen-Macaulay type of $k[C]$ is the number of elements in the set $Q = \{q \in \langle C \rangle / q - e \notin \langle C \rangle\}$ that are maximal in the sense that $q + a \notin Q$ for all $a \in A$. Now one can easily check that $q \in Q$ is maximal if and only if $q - e \in S'$, and the result follows. \square

The following corollary gives more direct consequences of Corollaries 3.2 and 3.3:

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\langle C \rangle$ be a numerical semigroup obtained by gluing $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$.*

- (1) *$k[C]$ is Gorenstein, respectively a complete intersection, if and only if $k[A]$ and $k[B]$ are both Gorenstein, respectively complete intersections.*
- (2) *If neither $k[A]$ nor $k[B]$ is Gorenstein, then the Cohen-Macaulay type of $k[C]$ is not prime.*

Remark 2. The result for complete intersections is stronger than what is stated in Corollary 3.5 (1): every complete intersection numerical semigroup is indeed the gluing of two complete intersection subsemigroups. This was shown in [16] and previously in [9]; see [17, Thm. 9.10]. Note that this result does not hold for Gorenstein semigroups since there exist indecomposable Gorenstein semigroups when the embedding dimension is ≥ 4 as we will see later in example 6.

One can be more precise and compute the graded Betti numbers of a decomposable numerical semigroup. For any graded R -module M , we denote by $\beta_{ij}(M)$ the number of syzygies of degree j at the i -th step of a minimal graded free resolution. The set of integers $\{\beta_{ij}(M)\}$ are the *graded Betti numbers* of M . As for global Betti numbers, for the semigroup ring $k[C]$ associated to a numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$, we will use the notation $\beta_{ij}(C) = \beta_{ij}(k[C])$.

Proposition 3.6. *If the numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ decomposes as $C = k_1A \sqcup k_2B$, then*

$$\beta_{i,j}(C) = \sum_{i'=0}^i \left(\sum_{r,s/k_1r+k_2s=j} \beta_{i'r}(A)[\beta_{i-i',s}(B) + \beta_{i-i'-1,s-k_1}(B)] \right).$$

Proof. The graded Betti numbers of $\langle k_1A \rangle$ and $\langle k_2B \rangle$ are $\beta_{i,k_1j}(k_1A) = \beta_{ij}(A)$ and $\beta_{i,k_2j}(k_2B) = \beta_{ij}(B)$. Set $J = I_AR + I_BR$. The resolution of R/J is $F_A \otimes F_B$ and hence, at the i -th step of the resolution, for $1 \leq i \leq p+q-2$, there will be a generator of degree $k_1r + k_2s$ any time $\beta_{i',r}(A) \neq 0$ and $\beta_{i-i',s}(B) \neq 0$ for some i' , $0 \leq i' \leq i$. In other words, if we set $t_i(A) = \{j \in \mathbb{N} / \beta_{ij}(A) \neq 0\}$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ and

$t_i(B) = \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid \beta_{ij}(B) \neq 0\}$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$, the minimal free resolution of R/J is

$$0 \rightarrow F_{p+q-2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow F_{i-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/J \rightarrow 0$$

with $F_i = \bigoplus_{i'=0}^i \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{r \in t_{i'}(A) \\ s \in t_{i-i'}(B)}} R(-k_1 r - k_2 s)^{\beta_{i'r}(A)\beta_{i-i',s}(B)} \right)$. Thus, the graded Betti numbers of R/J are, for $0 \leq i \leq p+q-2$,

$$\beta_{i,j}(R/J) = \sum_{i'=0}^i \left(\sum_{\substack{r \in t_{i'}(A), s \in t_{i-i'}(B) \\ j = k_1 r + k_2 s}} \beta_{i'r}(A)\beta_{i-i',s}(B) \right).$$

Now the resolution of $k[C]$ is the mapping cone of $\rho : F_A \otimes F_B \rightarrow F_A \otimes F_B$ induced by multiplication by ρ which has degree $k_1 k_2$. Hence the graded Betti numbers of $k[C]$ are $\beta_{00}(C) = 1$, $\beta_{ij}(C) = \beta_{ij}(R/J) + \beta_{i-1,j-k_1 k_2}(R/J)$ for $1 \leq i \leq p+q-2$, and $\beta_{p+q-1,j}(C) = \beta_{p+q-2,j-k_1 k_2}(R/J)$, and we have, for all $i, j \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{ij}(C) &= \sum_{i'=0}^i \left(\sum_{r,s/j=k_1 r+k_2 s} \beta_{i'r}(A)\beta_{i-i',s}(B) \right) + \sum_{i'=0}^{i-1} \left(\sum_{r,s/j=k_1 r+k_2 s+k_1 k_2} \beta_{i'r}(A)\beta_{i-i'-1,s}(B) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i'=0}^i \left(\sum_{r,s/j=k_1 r+k_2 s} \beta_{i'r}(A)\beta_{i-i',s}(B) \right) + \sum_{i'=0}^i \left(\sum_{r,s/j=k_1 r+k_2 s} \beta_{i'r}(A)\beta_{i-i'-1,s-k_1}(B) \right) \end{aligned}$$

because in the second summand, for $i' = i$, one has $i-i'-1 < 0$, and the result follows. \square

Remark 3. As for Corollary 3.2, the formula obtained by exchanging A and B in the formula in Proposition 3.6 also holds.

For any graded R -module M , the *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity* (or *regularity* for short) of M , $\text{reg}(M)$, is

$$\text{reg}(M) = \max\{j - i, i \geq 0, j \geq 0 \mid \beta_{ij}(M) \neq 0\}.$$

Again, for the semigroup ring $k[C]$ associated to a numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$, we will use the notation $\text{reg}(C) = \text{reg}(k[C])$. In this context, the regularity is sometimes called the *weighted regularity* since we give weights to the variables to make our semigroup rings graded modules over the corresponding polynomial ring.

Corollary 3.7. *If the numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ is the gluing of two numerical semigroups $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$ with $C = k_1 A \sqcup k_2 B$, $\#A = p$ and $\#B = q$, then*

$$\text{reg}(C) = k_1 \text{reg}(A) + k_2 \text{reg}(B) + (p-1)(k_1-1) + (q-1)(k_2-1) + k_1 k_2 - 1.$$

Proof. Since $k[A]$, $k[B]$ and $k[C]$ are Cohen-Macaulay, their regularity is attained at the last step of their minimal graded free resolutions, i.e. $\text{reg}(A) = \delta_A - (p-1)$, $\text{reg}(B) = \delta_B - (q-1)$, and $\text{reg}(C) = \delta_C - (p+q-1)$ where δ_A , δ_B and δ_C is the maximal degree of a syzygy in the last step of a minimal graded free resolution of $k[A]$, $k[B]$ and $k[C]$

respectively. According to the argument in the proof of Proposition 3.6, the maximal degree of a syzygy in the last step of a minimal graded free resolution of $R/I_A R + I_B R$ is $k_1\delta_A + k_2\delta_B$, and hence $\delta_C = k_1\delta_A + k_2\delta_B + k_1k_2$, and the result follows. \square

Example 3. Computing the degrees of all the syzygies in the resolutions of $k[A]$ and $k[B]$ in example 1, one gets that $\text{reg}(A) = 134$ and $\text{reg}(B) = 49$. The formula in Corollary 3.7 then gives that $\text{reg}(C) = 4227$ which can be checked using **Singular**.

For a numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$, denote by $H_C(t)$ the Hilbert series of the ring $k[C]$. Using the well-known relation between graded Betti numbers and Hilbert series (see, e.g., [10, Thm. 1.11]), we recover the formula in [1, Corollary 16].

Corollary 3.8. *If the numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ is the gluing of two numerical semigroups $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$ with $C = k_1A \sqcup k_2B$, then $H_C(t) = (1 - t^{k_1k_2})H_A(t^{k_1})H_B(t^{k_2})$.*

Proof. Set $J = I_A R + I_B R$. The Hilbert series of R/J is

$$H_{R/J}(t) = \frac{\sum_j (\sum_{i=0}^{p+q-2} (-1)^i \beta_{ij}(R/J) t^j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p (1 - t^{k_1 a_i}) \prod_{i=1}^q (1 - t^{k_2 b_i})}.$$

The numerator of this series, denoted by $N_{R/J}(t)$, can be computed using the formula for the graded Betti numbers of R/J obtained in the proof of Proposition 3.6. Using the sets $t_i(A)$ and $t_i(B)$ defined there, one has

$$\begin{aligned} N_{R/J}(t) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p+q-2} (-1)^i \left(\sum_{i'=0}^i \sum_{\substack{r \in t_{i'}(A) \\ s \in t_{i-i'}(B)}} \beta_{i'r}(A) \beta_{i-i',s}(B) t^{k_1 r + k_2 s} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p+q-2} \sum_{i'=0}^i \left(\sum_{\substack{r \in t_{i'}(A) \\ s \in t_{i-i'}(B)}} (-1)^{i'} \beta_{i',r}(A) t^{k_1 r} \times (-1)^{i-i'} \beta_{i-i',s}(B) t^{k_2 s} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^i \sum_{r \in t_i(A)} \beta_{ir}(A) t^{k_1 r} \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (-1)^i \sum_{s \in t_i(B)} \beta_{is}(B) t^{k_2 s} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $H_{R/J}(t) = H_A(k_1 t) \times H_B(k_2 t)$. On the other hand, the Hilbert Series of $k[C]$ is

$$H_C(t) = \frac{\sum_j (\sum_{i=0}^{p+q-1} (-1)^i \beta_{ij}(C) t^j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p (1 - t^{k_1 a_i}) \prod_{i=1}^q (1 - t^{k_2 b_i})}$$

and, since for all $i, j \geq 0$, $\beta_{ij}(C) = \beta_{ij}(R/J) + \beta_{i-1, j-k_1 k_2}(R/J)$, the numerator of this series, $N_C(t)$, is

$$\begin{aligned} N_C(t) &= \sum_j \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p+q-2} (-1)^i \beta_{ij}(R/J) t^j \right) + \sum_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p+q-1} (-1)^i \beta_{i-1, j-k_1 k_2}(R/J) t^j \right) \\ &= \sum_j \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p+q-2} (-1)^i \beta_{ij}(R/J) t^j \right) + \sum_j \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p+q-2} (-1)^{i+1} \beta_{ij}(R/J) t^{j+k_1 k_2} \right) \\ &= (1 - t^{k_1 k_2}) N_{R/J}(t), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $H_C(t) = (1 - t^{k_1 k_2}) H_{R/J}(t)$, and the result follows. \square

One can also show that the minimal free resolution of $k[C]$ constructed in Theorem 3.1 inherits the DG algebra structure of $k[A]$ and $k[B]$.

A complex of free R -modules, $\mathbf{F} : \dots \rightarrow F_i \xrightarrow{d_i} F_{i-1} \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 = R$ is said to have a *differential graded algebra structure* (*DG algebra structure* for short) if there is a multiplication $*$ on $F = \oplus F_i$ which makes it an associative and graded commutative differential graded algebra; see [6]. This means that $*$ is associative and, for all $a \in F_i$ and $b \in F_j$, $a * b \in F_{i+j}$, $b * a = (-1)^{i+j} a * b$, and $d_{i+j}(a * b) = d_i(a) * b + (-1)^i a * d_j(b)$. This last equality is called the Leibnitz rule. When a resolution of an R -algebra has a DG algebra structure, we say that it is a *DG algebra resolution*. For a detailed study of these multiplicative structures on resolutions, see, e.g., [2].

In our case, using the construction in Theorem 3.1, we can write the multiplicative structure explicitly.

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose that the numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ is obtained by gluing $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$. If the semigroup rings $k[A]$ and $k[B]$ have minimal DG algebra resolutions, then so does the semigroup ring $k[C]$.*

Proof. Assume that F_A and F_B in section 2.2 have a DG algebra structure over R_A and R_B respectively. Then $F_A \otimes F_B$, which is a resolution of $R/I_A R + I_B R$, admits the following DG algebra structure: let $a_1 \otimes b_1 \in (F_A)_i \otimes (F_B)_{r-i}$ and $a_2 \otimes b_2 \in (F_A)_j \otimes (F_B)_{s-j}$ be two basis elements in $(F_A \otimes F_B)_r$ and $(F_A \otimes F_B)_s$ respectively, and consider the following product:

$$(a_1 \otimes b_1)(a_2 \otimes b_2) = (-1)^{(r-i)j} (a_1 a_2) \otimes (b_1 b_2).$$

One has that

$$\begin{aligned} (a_2 \otimes b_2)(a_1 \otimes b_1) &= (-1)^{(s-j)i+j+(r-i)(s-j)} (a_1 a_2) \otimes (b_1 b_2) \\ &= (-1)^{rs+(r-i)j} a_1 a_2 \otimes b_1 b_2 = (-1)^{rs} (a_1 \otimes b_1)(a_2 \otimes b_2). \end{aligned}$$

Further, for $w = (a_1 \otimes b_1)(a_2 \otimes b_2)$, if ∂ denotes the differential, one has that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial w &= (-1)^{(r-i)j} [(\partial a_1 a_2 + (-1)^i a_1 \partial a_2) \otimes b_1 b_2 + \\
&\quad (-1)^{i+j} a_1 a_2 \otimes (\partial b_1 b_2 + (-1)^{r-i} b_1 \partial b_2)] \\
&= (\partial a_1 \otimes b_1)(a_2 \otimes b_2) + (-1)^i (a_1 \otimes \partial b_1)(a_2 \otimes b_2) + \\
&\quad (-1)^r (a_1 \otimes b_1)(\partial a_2 \otimes b_2) + (-1)^{r+j} (a_1 \otimes b_1)(a_2 \otimes \partial b_2) \\
&= (\partial(a_1 \otimes b_1))(a_2 \otimes b_2) + (-1)^r (a_1 \otimes b_1)(\partial(a_2 \otimes b_2))
\end{aligned}$$

and hence it satisfies the Leibnitz rule. To check associativity, all we need to check is the sign. As the equality $\deg b_1 \deg a_2 + (\deg b_1 + \deg b_2) \deg a_3 = \deg b_1 (\deg a_2 + \deg a_3) + \deg b_2 \deg a_3$ always holds, we are done and hence $F_A \otimes F_B$ is a DG algebra resolution of $R/I_A R + I_B R$ with this product.

Denote the complex $F_A \otimes F_B$ by G for convenience. We have a complex map $\rho : G \rightarrow G$ given by multiplication by ρ . Then the mapping cone of ρ has a multiplicative structure $\star : G_i \oplus G_{i-1} \times G_j \oplus G_{j-1} \rightarrow G_{i+j} \oplus G_{i+j-1}$ given by

$$(a_1, b_1) \star (a_2, b_2) = (a_1 a_2, a_1 b_2 + (-1)^j b_1 a_2).$$

For the sake of completion, we verify that this provides a multiplicative structure.

$$\begin{aligned}
(a_2, b_2) \star (a_1, b_1) &= (a_2 a_1, a_2 b_1 + (-1)^i b_2 a_1) = (-1)^{ij} (a_1 a_2, a_1 b_2 + (-1)^j b_1 a_2) \\
&= (-1)^{ij} (a_1, b_1) \star (a_2, b_2)
\end{aligned}$$

so it is graded commutative. It is associative because $(a_1, b_1) \star (a_2, b_2) \star (a_3, b_3) = (a_1 a_2 a_3, a_1 a_2 b_3 + (-1)^k a_1 b_2 a_3 + (-1)^{(j+k)} b_1 a_2 a_3)$.

Finally, to check the Leibnitz rule, we see that for $w = (a_1, b_1) \star (a_2, b_2)$, denoting again the differential by ∂ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial w &= (\partial(a_1 a_2) + (-1)^{i+j-1} \rho(a_1 b_2 + (-1)^j b_1 a_2), \partial(a_1 b_2 + (-1)^j b_1 a_2)) \\
&= (\partial(a_1) a_2 + (-1)^i a_1 \partial(a_2) + (-1)^{i+j-1} \rho(a_1 b_2 + (-1)^j b_1 a_2), \\
&\quad \partial(a_1) b_2 + (-1)^i a_1 \partial(b_2) + (-1)^j (\partial(b_1) a_2 + (-1)^{i-1} b_1 \partial(a_2))) \\
&= (\partial(a_1) + (-1)^{i-1} \rho b_1, \partial(b_1)) \star (a_2, b_2) + \\
&\quad (-1)^i (a_1, b_1) \star (\partial(a_2) + (-1)^{j-1} \rho b_2, \partial(b_2)).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 4. In fact, the following general result is true: if C_1 and C_2 are two complexes with a DG algebra structure, if C_2 has a structure of C_1 -module, and if $\phi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ is a complex map which is also a C_2 -module homomorphism, then the mapping cone of ϕ has a structure of DG algebra. This can be applied at the end of the proof of Theorem 3.9 to $C_1 = C_2 = F_A \otimes F_B$ since the map ρ is clearly a module homomorphism because it is the multiplication by an element of the ring R . We gave a direct proof of the specific result above for completion.

4. SIMPLE SPLIT

Let's focus here on a special class of decomposable numerical semigroups: if the numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ decomposes as $C = k_1\{1\} \sqcup k_2A$ where $k_1 \in \langle A \rangle \setminus A$, $k_2 \neq 1$ and $\gcd(k_1, k_2) = 1$, we call the decomposition a *simple split* and denote $C = k_1 \sqcup k_2A$ for simplicity. The structure of the resolution of $k[C]$ and the value of its Betti numbers come as a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2, but it can be obtained, in this particular case, by a simple and direct argument.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $C = k_1 \sqcup k_2A$ is a simple split. Then, a minimal free resolution of the semigroup ring $k[C]$ is obtained as the mapping cone over a minimal resolution of $k[A]$ induced by multiplication by a single element. In particular, $\beta_i(C) = \beta_i(A) + \beta_{i-1}(A)$, and the Cohen-Macaulay type of $k[C]$ is the same as the type of $k[A]$.*

Proof. Assume that the embedding dimension of A is n , $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, and denote $R = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & I_C/I_AR & \rightarrow & k[x_0] & \rightarrow & \text{coker}(\delta) \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & I_C & \rightarrow & k[x_0, \dots, x_n] & \rightarrow & k[t^{k_1}, t^{k_2a_1}, \dots, t^{k_2a_n}] \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \delta \\
 0 & \rightarrow & I_AR & \rightarrow & k[x_1, \dots, x_n] & \rightarrow & k[t^{k_2a_1}, \dots, t^{k_2a_n}] \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

The first row of the diagram makes I_C/I_AR an ideal of $k[x_0]$ which is hence principal: it is generated by the class of the element $\rho = x_0^{k_1} - x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ coming from the fact that $k_1 \in \langle A \rangle$ and so $k_1 = \alpha_1 a_1 + \dots + \alpha_n a_n$. Let $F_A : 0 \rightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} F_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow R$ be a minimal resolution of R/I_AR and consider the complex map $\rho : F_A \rightarrow F_A$ given by multiplication by ρ . Now, R/I_AR is Cohen Macaulay so all the maps $\partial_i : F_i \rightarrow F_{i-1}$ in the resolution have Fitting ideals equal up to radical to I_A and hence have the same depth n . This follows from [18, Cor. 2.5]. The i th Fitting ideal of the mapping cone is $I(\partial_i)I(\partial_{i-1}) + (\rho)$ and has depth $n+1$ since $\rho \notin \sqrt{(I_A)}$. So, the mapping cone of the complex map ρ is exact and it resolves $R/I_AR + (\rho) = R/I_C$. \square

These simple splits will appear in the next section when we describe decomposable numerical semigroups with small embedding dimension. One can also use simple splits to construct easily complete intersections of higher embedding dimension by adding generators in the semigroup one by one as the following example shows.

Example 4. The semigroup $\langle A \rangle$ minimally generated by the simple split $A = \{11\} \sqcup 2\{3, 8\} = \{11, 6, 16\}$ is a complete intersection of embedding dimension 3. One gets a complete intersection semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ of embedding dimension 4 by considering the following simple split $C = 17 \sqcup 2A = \{17, 22, 12, 32\}$. One can iterate the procedure by

considering, for example, the simple split $29 \sqcup 2C$ to get a complete intersection of embedding dimension 5.

Example 5. Complete intersections with embedding dimension 4 can be simple splits or not. The decomposable semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ with $C = 11\{3, 5\} \sqcup 8\{4, 7\} = \{33, 55, 32, 56\}$ is a complete intersection since the ideal I_C is generated by the binomial $x_1^5 - x_2^3$ that generates the ideal $I_{\langle 3,5 \rangle}$, the binomial $x_3^7 - x_4^4$ that generates the ideal $I_{\langle 4,7 \rangle}$, and the binomial $\rho = x_1x_2 - x_3x_4$ but it is not a simple split. The resolution of $k[C] \simeq R/I_C$, as the one of $k[C]$ in example 4, is a Koszul complex and it has the following form: $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R^3 \rightarrow R^3 \rightarrow R \rightarrow k[C] \rightarrow 0$.

5. SEMIGROUPS WITH SMALL EMBEDDING DIMENSION

Let $\langle C \rangle$ be a numerical semigroup minimally generated by C . Then the embedding dimension of the semigroup ring $\langle C \rangle$ is n . When $n = 2$, $\langle C \rangle$ is a complete intersection, and when $n = 3$, then $\langle C \rangle$ is either indecomposable or a complete intersection by [14]. In fact, when it is indecomposable of embedding dimension 3, it is generated by the 2×2 minors of a 2×3 matrix of the form given in the introduction, just like the semigroup $\langle B \rangle$ in our examples 1 and 2. This matrix is also the transpose of the matrix of the first syzygies of the ideal I_C . Such ideals are called Hilbert-Burch since they fit in the class of ideals satisfying the theorem of the same name; see [10, Thm. 3.2].

For embedding dimension 4 we have the following:

Proposition 5.1. *Numerical semigroups of embedding dimension 4 that are decomposable are either complete intersections or almost complete intersections of type 2.*

Proof. When $\langle C \rangle$ is decomposable of embedding dimension 4, if $\langle C \rangle$ is not a simple split then it decomposes as $C = k_1A \sqcup k_2B$ where both A and B have 2 elements (as in example 5) and $\langle C \rangle$ is a complete intersection as the gluing of two complete intersections. If $\langle C \rangle$ is a simple split, $k_1 \sqcup k_2A$, and $\langle A \rangle$ is a complete intersection (as in example 4) then $\langle C \rangle$ is a complete intersection. If $\langle A \rangle$ is not a complete intersection, then I_A is generated by the 2×2 minors of a 2×3 matrix and, by Corollary 3.2, the minimal free resolution of $k[C]$ is as follows: $0 \rightarrow R^2 \rightarrow R^5 \rightarrow R^4 \rightarrow R \rightarrow k[C] \rightarrow 0$. \square

At this point one can ask if every numerical semigroup of embedding dimension 4 that is an almost complete intersection of type 2 is decomposable. The answer is negative as the following example shows: the minimal free resolution of the semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ minimally generated by $C = \{9, 11, 13, 15\}$ also has the following form, $0 \rightarrow R^2 \rightarrow R^5 \rightarrow R^4 \rightarrow R \rightarrow k[C] \rightarrow 0$. This semigroup is generated by an arithmetic sequence. In [12], the minimal graded free resolution of such semigroups has been completely described. In particular, one gets from [12, Thm. 4.1] that for every semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ of embedding dimension 4 generated by an arithmetic sequence, if the Cohen-Macaulay type of $k[C]$ is 2 then the minimal free resolution of $k[C]$ has the following form: $0 \rightarrow R^2 \rightarrow R^5 \rightarrow R^4 \rightarrow R \rightarrow k[C] \rightarrow 0$, and hence $\langle C \rangle$ is an almost complete intersection. These semigroups are all indecomposable by the following precise result:

Proposition 5.2. *A numerical semigroup minimally generated by a set of positive integers in arithmetic progression is decomposable if and only if it is of embedding dimension 3 and generated by a set of the form $\{2c_0, 2c_0 + d, 2c_0 + 2d\}$ for c_0 and d relatively prime and d odd. In particular, it is indecomposable in embedding dimension 4 and higher.*

Proof. If C is an arithmetic sequence and $\langle C \rangle$ has embedding dimension n , then $C = \{c_0 + id, 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ where c_0 and d are relatively prime. If C is decomposable as $k_1A \sqcup k_2B$, then c_0 and $c_0 + d$ must be in different sets. Otherwise, they would have a common factor which would also be a common factor of c_0 and d . Similarly, $c_0 + d$ and $c_0 + 2d$ cannot be in the same set. Hence $c_0, c_0 + 2d$ are in the same set, say k_1A . So k_1 divides $2d$ and c_0 , and hence $k_1 = 2$ and c_0 is even. Now, if $n \geq 4$, $c_0 + d, c_0 + 3d$ must be in k_2B and hence k_2 divides $2d$ and $c_0 + d$. Since c_0 and d are relatively prime, k_2 must also be 2. Then all the integers in C are even which is not possible. Thus, C is indecomposable if $n \geq 4$. If $n = 3$, C must be of the form $\{2c_0, 2c_0 + d, 2c_0 + 2d\}$ for c_0 and d relatively prime and d odd, which is the simple split $C = (2c_0 + d) \sqcup 2\{c_0, c_0 + d\}$: this is the only possibility for $\langle C \rangle$ to be decomposable if the elements in C are in arithmetic progression. \square

Semigroups generated by arithmetic sequences provide examples of indecomposable semigroups of any type between 1 and the embedding dimension.

Corollary 5.3. *For any $n \geq 4$ and any t , $1 \leq t \leq n$, there exist indecomposable numerical semigroups of embedding dimension n and type t .*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 5.2 and [12, Thm. 4.7]. \square

We get, in particular, examples of Gorenstein semigroups that are indecomposable.

Example 6. For all $n \geq 3$, if $C = \{n+1, 2n+1, 3n+1, \dots, n^2+1\}$ is a set of integers that minimally generates the numerical semigroup $\langle C \rangle$, the embedding dimension of $\langle C \rangle$ is n . For $n = 3$, it fits into the family of decomposable semigroups given in Proposition 5.2. For $n \geq 4$, it is a Gorenstein numerical semigroup by [12, Cor. 4.4] and it is indecomposable by Proposition 5.2. One can obtain all the Betti numbers of $k[C]$ using formula (4) in the proof of [12, Thm. 4.1]. In particular, the ideal I_C is minimally generated by $(n+1)(n-2)/2$ elements.

By Proposition 5.1, one gets that semigroups of embedding dimension 4 that are Gorenstein and not complete intersections are always indecomposable. For such a semigroup $\langle C \rangle$, Bresinsky showed in [4] that the ideal I_C is minimally generated by 5 binomials of the form $\{x_1^{c_1} - x_3^{d_{13}}x_4^{d_{14}}, x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{d_{31}}x_2^{d_{32}}, x_4^{c_4} - x_2^{d_{42}}x_3^{d_{43}}, x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{d_{21}}x_4^{d_{24}}, x_1^{d_{21}}x_3^{d_{43}} - x_2^{d_{32}}x_4^{d_{14}}\}$. In [13], we give the structure of the minimal resolution of $k[C]$ in this case and explicitly write down the maps in the resolution as follows:

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\delta_3} R^5 \xrightarrow{\phi} R^5 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} R \rightarrow k[C] \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & x_2^{d_{32}} & x_3^{d_{43}} & x_4^{d_{24}} \\ 0 & 0 & x_1^{d_{21}} & x_4^{d_{14}} & x_2^{d_{42}} \\ -x_2^{d_{32}} & -x_1^{d_{21}} & 0 & 0 & x_3^{d_{13}} \\ -x_3^{d_{43}} & -x_4^{d_{14}} & 0 & 0 & x_1^{d_{31}} \\ -x_4^{d_{24}} & -x_2^{d_{42}} & -x_3^{d_{13}} & -x_1^{d_{31}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_3 = (\delta_1)^T = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{c_1} - x_3^{d_{13}} x_4^{d_{14}} \\ x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{d_{31}} x_2^{d_{32}} \\ x_4^{c_4} - x_2^{d_{42}} x_3^{d_{43}} \\ x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{d_{21}} x_4^{d_{24}} \\ x_1^{d_{21}} x_3^{d_{43}} - x_2^{d_{32}} x_4^{d_{14}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This was also obtained independently in [3]. Moreover, one can find in [13] a way to build families of numerical semigroups of embedding dimension 4 that are Gorenstein and not complete intersections.

For numerical semigroups of embedding dimension 5, one has the following result.

Proposition 5.4. *In embedding dimension 5, the decomposable numerical semigroups are either complete intersections or type 2 almost complete intersections or obtained as a simple split $k_1 \sqcup k_2 A$ where A is an indecomposable semigroup of embedding dimension 4.*

Proof. If $\langle C \rangle$ is a simple split $C = k_1 \sqcup k_2 A$ then either A is indecomposable, or A is a complete intersection, or it is of type 2 and I_A is minimally generated by 4 elements by Proposition 5.1. In the last case, by Theorem 4.1, I_C is generated by 5 elements and it is of type 2 and hence it is an almost complete intersection of type 2.

If $\langle C \rangle$ decomposes as $C = k_1 A \sqcup k_2 B$ where A and B have embedded dimension 2 and 3 respectively, if B is indecomposable then by Theorem 3.1, I_C is minimally generated by 5 elements and the type of $\langle C \rangle$ is 2 since it coincides with the type of $\langle B \rangle$. Again, in this case $\langle C \rangle$ is an almost complete intersection of type 2. If B is decomposable, $\langle B \rangle$ is a complete intersection and so is $\langle C \rangle$. \square

In particular, one gets the following direct consequence.

Corollary 5.5. *In embedding dimension 5, any decomposable Gorenstein numerical semigroup that is not a complete intersection must be obtained as simple split.*

6. SEMIGROUPS OBTAINED BY GLUING IN HIGHER DIMENSION

One can now consider affine semigroups $\langle C \rangle$ finitely generated by a subset $C \subset \mathbb{N}^n$. As for numerical semigroups, given an arbitrary field k , if $C = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$, one can consider the ring homomorphism $\phi : k[x_1, \dots, x_s] \rightarrow k[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ given by $\phi(x_i) = t^{\alpha_i}$ where for $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $t^\alpha = \prod_j t_j^{a_j}$. The kernel of ϕ is a binomial prime ideal, I_C , and the semigroup ring $k[C]$ is isomorphic to $k[x_1, \dots, x_s]/I_C$. It is graded if one gives to each variable x_i weight $|\alpha_i|$.

In this context, Rosales defined in [16] the concept of gluing. For an affine semigroup $\langle C \rangle$, when the set of generators of the semigroup splits into two disjoint parts, $C = A \sqcup B$, such that I_C is minimally generated by $I_A \cup I_B \cup \{\rho\}$ where ρ is a binomial whose first, respectively second, monomial involves only variables corresponding to elements in A , respectively B , we say that $\langle C \rangle$ is obtained by *gluing* $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$. A characterization of

this property in terms of the semigroups $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$ and the groups associated to them is given in [16, Thm. 1.4]; see also [1].

In this situation, the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is valid and hence Theorem 3.1 and Corollaries 3.2 and 3.3 hold. We will use again notations in section 2.2 except the ones involving k_1 and k_2 that are not defined in this general context.

Theorem 6.1. *Consider an affine semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ obtained by gluing $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$.*

- (1) $F_A \otimes F_B$ is a minimal graded free resolution of $R/I_A R + I_B R$.
- (2) A minimal graded free resolution of the semigroup ring $k[C]$ can be obtained as the mapping cone of $\rho : F_A \otimes F_B \rightarrow F_A \otimes F_B$ where ρ is induced by multiplication by ρ .
- (3) The Betti numbers of $k[C]$ are

$$\forall i \geq 0, \beta_i(C) = \sum_{i'=0}^i \beta_{i'}(A)[\beta_{i-i'}(B) + \beta_{i-i'-1}(B)] = \sum_{i'=0}^i \beta_{i'}(B)[\beta_{i-i'}(A) + \beta_{i-i'-1}(A)].$$

- (4) In particular, the Cohen-Macaulay type of $k[C]$ is the product of the Cohen-Macaulay types of $k[A]$ and $k[B]$.

Proof. (1) and (2) are obtained exactly as Theorem 3.1 since our proof depends only on the form of the generating set of I_C . On the other hand, the proof of Corollary 3.2 also works in this general context by substituting $p-1$ and $q-1$ by $\text{pd}(k[A])$ and $\text{pd}(k[B])$, the projective dimensions of $k[A]$ and $k[B]$, and it gives (3). (4) is a direct consequence of (3). \square

A main difference between that case of numerical semigroups and the general case is that semigroup rings associated to affine semigroups are not always Cohen-Macaulay. The relation between the lengths of the minimal graded free resolutions of $k[A]$, $k[B]$ and $k[C]$ is given by the following direct consequence of Theorem 6.1(2):

Corollary 6.2. *If the affine semigroup $\langle C \rangle$ is obtained by gluing $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$, then $\text{pd}(k[C]) = \text{pd}(k[A]) + \text{pd}(k[B]) + 1$.*

Example 7. Consider the subsets of \mathbb{N}^3 , $A = \{(4, 0, 0), (3, 1, 0), (2, 2, 0), (1, 3, 0)\}$, $B = \{(3, 3, 0), (3, 2, 1), (3, 1, 2), (3, 0, 3)\}$ and $C = A \sqcup B$, and the affine semigroups $\langle A \rangle$, $\langle B \rangle$ and $\langle C \rangle$. Set $R_A = k[x_1, \dots, x_4]$, $R_B = k[y_1, \dots, y_4]$ and $R = k[x_1, \dots, y_4]$. Using Singular [8], one gets that the ideals $I_A \subset R_A$ and $I_B \subset R_B$ are minimally generated by $\{x_3^2 - x_2x_4, x_2^2 - x_1x_3, x_2x_3 - x_1x_4\}$ and $\{y_3^2 - y_2y_4, y_2^2 - y_1y_3, y_2y_3 - y_1y_4\}$, and the ideal $I_C \subset R$ is minimally generated by the union of those two sets and the element $\rho = y_1^2 - x_1x_4^4$. The minimal free resolutions of the semigroup rings $k[A]$ and $k[B]$, as R -modules, are of the following form, $0 \rightarrow R^2 \rightarrow R^3 \rightarrow R$ and, by Theorem 6.1, that of $k[C]$ is $0 \rightarrow R^4 \rightarrow R^{16} \rightarrow R^{25} \rightarrow R^{19} \rightarrow R^7 \rightarrow R$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The second author acknowledges with pleasure the support and hospitality of University of Valladolid while working on this project.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Assi, P. A. García-Sánchez and I. Ojeda, Frobenius vectors, Hilbert series and gluings of affine semigroups, *J. Commut. Algebra* **7** (2015), 317–335.
- [2] L. L. Avramov, Infinite free resolutions. In: *Six lectures on commutative algebra (Bellaterra, 1996)*, *Prog. Math.* **166**, Birkhäuser, 1998, 1–118.
- [3] V. Barucci, R. Fröberg and M. Şahin, On free resolutions of some semigroup rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **218** (2014), 1107–1116.
- [4] H. Bresinsky, Symmetric semigroups of integers generated by 4 elements, *Manuscr. Math.* **17** (1975), 205–219.
- [5] D.A. Buchsbaum and D. Eisenbud, What makes a complex exact, *J. Algebra* **25** (1973), 259–268.
- [6] D.A. Buchsbaum and D. Eisenbud, Algebra structures for finite free resolutions and some structure theorems for ideals of codimension 3, *American J. Math.* **99** (1977), 447–485.
- [7] A. Campillo and P. Gimenez, Syzygies of affine toric varieties, *J. Algebra* **225** (2000), 142–161.
- [8] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister and H. Schönemann, SINGULAR 4-1-1 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations, 2018. www.singular.uni-kl.de.
- [9] C. Delorme, Sous-monoïdes d’intersection complète de N , *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. (4)* **9** (1976), 145–154.
- [10] D. Eisenbud, *The geometry of syzygies*, Graded Texts in Mathematics **229**, Springer, 2005.
- [11] R. Fröberg, C. Gottlieb and R. Häggkvist On numerical semigroup, *Semigroup Forum* **35** (1987), 63–83.
- [12] P. Gimenez, I. Sengupta and H. Srinivasan, Minimal graded free resolutions for monomial curves defined by arithmetic sequences, *J. Algebra* **388** (2013), 294–310.
- [13] P. Gimenez and H. Srinivasan, A note on Gorenstein monomial curves, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. New Series* **45** (2014), 671–678.
- [14] J. Herzog, Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings, *Manuscr. Math.* **3** (1970), 175–193.
- [15] H. Nari, Symmetries on almost arithmetic numerical semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **86** (2013), 140–154.
- [16] J. C. Rosales, On presentations of subsemigroups of \mathbb{N}^n , *Semigroup Forum* **55** (1997), 152–159.
- [17] J. C. Rosales and P. A. García-Sánchez, *Numerical Semigroups*, *Developments in Mathematics* **20**, Springer, 2009.
- [18] H. Srinivasan, Ranks of syzygies of perfect modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **65** (1990), 91–96.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS DE LA UNIVERSIDAD DE VALLADOLID (IMUVA), FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, 47011 VALLADOLID, SPAIN.

E-mail address: `pgimenez@agt.uva.es`

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA.

E-mail address: `SrinivasanH@math.missouri.edu`