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#### Abstract

This article investigates a fast and stable method to solve Henderson's mixed model equation. The proposed algorithm is stable in that it avoids inverting a matrix of a large dimension and hence is free from the curse of dimensionality. This tactic is enabled through row operations performed on the design matrix.
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## 1 Introduction

A linear mixed model is a model which contains fixed effects and unobservable random effects. Consider the linear mixed model

$$
Y_{i j}=\boldsymbol{x}_{i j}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}+v_{i}+\varepsilon_{i j}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n ; \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}_{i j}=\left(x_{i j}^{1}, \ldots, x_{i j}^{p}\right)^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ are non-random design variables, and $\boldsymbol{\beta}=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ is a parameter vector of interest. $v_{i}$ 's are unobservable random effects, and $\varepsilon_{i j}$ 's are errors which are independent from the random effects. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{Y}_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
Y_{i 1} \\
Y_{i 2} \\
\vdots \\
Y_{i m}
\end{array}\right]_{m \times 1}, \quad \mathbf{X}_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{i 1}^{1} & x_{i 1}^{2} & \cdots & x_{i 1}^{p} \\
x_{i 2}^{1} & x_{i 2}^{2} & \cdots & x_{i 2}^{p} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_{i m}^{1} & x_{i m}^{2} & \cdots & x_{i m}^{p}
\end{array}\right]_{m \times p}, \quad \varepsilon_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{i 1} \\
\varepsilon_{i 2} \\
\vdots \\
\varepsilon_{i m}
\end{array}\right]_{m \times 1}, \quad \mathbf{1}_{m}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{m \times 1}, \\
& \mathbf{Y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{Y}_{1} \\
\mathbf{Y}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{Y}_{n}
\end{array}\right]_{n m \times 1}, \quad \mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{X}_{1} \\
\mathbf{X}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{X}_{n}
\end{array}\right]_{n m \times p}, \quad \boldsymbol{Z}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{1}_{m} & \mathbf{0}_{m} & \cdots & \mathbf{0}_{m} \\
\mathbf{0}_{m} & \mathbf{1}_{m} & \cdots & \mathbf{0}_{m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{0}_{m} & \mathbf{0}_{m} & \cdots & \mathbf{1}_{m}
\end{array}\right]_{m n \times n},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{v}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{1} \\
v_{2} \\
\vdots \\
v_{n}
\end{array}\right]_{n \times 1}, \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{1} \\
\varepsilon_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\varepsilon_{n}
\end{array}\right]_{n m \times 1}
$$

Then the model (1.1) can be expressed as

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{Z} \boldsymbol{v}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
$$

Various authors proposed the best linear unbiased estimates of fixed effects and the best linear unbiased predictions of random effects: see, e.g., [1], [2], and [3]. Assuming that

$$
\boldsymbol{v} \sim N\left(\mathbf{0}_{n \times 1}, \boldsymbol{I}_{n \times n}\right), \quad \varepsilon \sim N\left(\mathbf{0}_{n m \times 1}, \boldsymbol{I}_{n m \times n m}\right),
$$

i.e., $\phi=\lambda=1$ for the simplicity, and maximizing the joint density of $\mathbf{Y}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$ yield Henderson's mixed model equations

$$
\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\delta}=\boldsymbol{c}
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z} \\
\boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \mathbf{X} & \boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}+\boldsymbol{I}
\end{array}\right], \quad \boldsymbol{\delta}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\
\widehat{\boldsymbol{v}}
\end{array}\right], \quad \boldsymbol{c}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{Y} \\
\boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \mathbf{Y}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The solutions to the equations are the best linear unbiased estimates and predictors for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$, respectively. This article proposes the fast and stable method for the solutions; we, however, consider only normal random effect and error. The proposed algorithm can be applied to other cases in the similar manner.

## 2 Algorithm: transformation through row operations

Define a $(p+n) \times(p+n+1)$ new matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{D} & =[\boldsymbol{A} \mid \boldsymbol{c}] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc|c}
\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z} & \mathbf{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{Y} \\
\boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \mathbf{X} & \boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}+\boldsymbol{I} & \boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \boldsymbol{Y}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where dimensions of block matrices are $p \times p, p \times n, p \times 1, n \times p, n \times n$, and $n \times 1$, respectively. Note that $\boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}+\boldsymbol{I}$ is a $n \times n$ diagonal matrix whose diagonal entry is $(m+1)$. Also we have

$$
\boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{1}_{m}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{1} \\
\mathbf{1}_{m}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{1}_{m}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{n}
\end{array}\right]_{n \times p}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{x}_{1 j}^{T} \\
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{x}_{2 j}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{x}_{n j}^{T}
\end{array}\right]_{n \times p}
$$

These two facts will be rigorously exploited in the proposed algorithm: the proposed algorithm does not require $\boldsymbol{Z}$ which hinders a fast computation when $n$ is relatively large. Through the row operations, the proposed algorithm transforms $\boldsymbol{D}$ into

$$
\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc|c}
\widetilde{\mathbf{X}} & \mathbf{0} & \widetilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_{1} \\
\boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \mathbf{X} & \boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}+\boldsymbol{I} & \boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \boldsymbol{Y}
\end{array}\right]
$$

so that

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=(\widetilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_{1}
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ is a $p \times p$ nonsingular matrix. The row operations can further be performed so that the inverse of the matrix is not necessary when $p$ is large. The computation of the inverse of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ is reasonably fast till $p=5,000$. Beyond $p=5,000$, the further row operations are recommended. Then,

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{v}}=(m+1)^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \boldsymbol{Y}-\boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \mathbf{X} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{D}^{(k)}$ denote the matrix $\boldsymbol{D}$ at the $k$ th stage of the row operations. Next, we shall partition it into six blocks:

$$
\boldsymbol{D}^{(k)}=\left[\begin{array}{ll|l}
\boldsymbol{D}_{11}^{(k)} & \boldsymbol{D}_{12}^{(k)} & \boldsymbol{D}_{13}^{(k)} \\
\boldsymbol{D}_{21}^{(k)} & \boldsymbol{D}_{22}^{(k)} & \boldsymbol{D}_{23}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Observe that $\boldsymbol{D}_{21}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{D}_{22}^{(k)}$, and $\boldsymbol{D}_{23}^{(k)}$ will remain intact, which implies that they are equal to $\boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}+\boldsymbol{I}$, and $\boldsymbol{Z}^{T} \boldsymbol{Y}$ through whole stages, respectively. Let $d_{22}$ denote the diagonal entry of $\boldsymbol{D}_{22}^{(k)}$. Also let $\boldsymbol{D}_{i j}^{(k)}[l,:]$ and $\boldsymbol{D}_{i j}^{(k)}[l, m]$ denote the $l$ th row vector and the $(l, m)$ th entry of the matrix $\boldsymbol{D}_{i j}^{(k)}$ for $i=1,2$ and $j=1,2,3$, respectively. As will be shown later, actual row operations are performed on $\boldsymbol{D}_{11}^{(k)}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}_{13}^{(k)}$ only.

The following is the summary of the proposed algorithm for transforming $\boldsymbol{D}$ into $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}$, that is, transforming
$\boldsymbol{D}_{12}$ into $\boldsymbol{D}_{12}^{(n)}=\mathbf{0}_{p \times n}$.

```
The proposed algorithm:
for \(k=1\) to \(n\)
    for \(h=1\) to \(p\)
        \(c_{k h}=\boldsymbol{D}_{12}^{(k)}[p-h, n-k] / d 22\)
        \(\boldsymbol{D}_{11}^{(k)}[p-h,:]=\boldsymbol{D}_{11}^{(k)}[p-h,:]-c_{k h} \boldsymbol{D}_{21}^{(k)}[n-k,:]\)
        \(\boldsymbol{D}_{13}^{(k)}[p-h,:]=\boldsymbol{D}_{13}^{(k)}[p-h,:]-c_{k h} \boldsymbol{D}_{23}^{(k)}[n-k,:]\)
    end for
    Update \(\boldsymbol{D}^{(k)}\) to \(\boldsymbol{D}^{(k+1)}\)
    end for
```


## 3 Computational time

Table 1 reports computational times of the proposed algorithm when $n$ and $p$ vary with $m$ being fixed at 10 . The proposed algorithm is iterated 10 times, and the average cpu time of 10 iterations is reported. The cpu used in this simulation is Intel Core i5-3570 3.40 GHz . As reported in the table, we can see that computational

|  | $p=10$ | 20 | 100 | 200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n=1,000$ | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.078 | 0.297 |
| 2,000 | 0.005 | 0.010 | 0.161 | 0.645 |
| 5,000 | 0.008 | 0.026 | 0.392 | 1.496 |
| 10,000 | 0.023 | 0.057 | 0.794 | 3.221 |

Table 1: cpu times when $n$ and $p$ vary.
time is $O(n)$. When a dimension of the design matrix $\mathbf{X}$ is $10^{5} \times 200\left(n=10^{4}, m=10, p=200\right)$, it takes only 3.221 cpu seconds.
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