

# APPROXIMATING CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS BY RELU NETS OF MINIMAL WIDTH

BORIS HANIN AND MARK SELLKE

**ABSTRACT.** This article concerns the expressive power of depth in deep feed-forward neural nets with ReLU activations. Specifically, we answer the following question: for a fixed  $d_{in} \geq 1$ , what is the minimal width  $w$  so that neural nets with ReLU activations, input dimension  $d_{in}$ , hidden layer widths at most  $w$ , and arbitrary depth can approximate any continuous, real-valued function of  $d_{in}$  variables arbitrarily well? It turns out that this minimal width is exactly equal to  $d_{in} + 1$ . That is, if all the hidden layer widths are bounded by  $d_{in}$ , then even in the infinite depth limit, ReLU nets can only express a very limited class of functions, and, on the other hand, any continuous function on the  $d_{in}$ -dimensional unit cube can be approximated to arbitrary precision by ReLU nets in which all hidden layers have width exactly  $d_{in} + 1$ . Our construction in fact shows that any continuous function  $f : [0, 1]^{d_{in}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{out}}$  can be approximated by a net of width  $d_{in} + d_{out}$ . We obtain quantitative depth estimates for such an approximation in terms of the modulus of continuity of  $f$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past several years, artificial neural networks, especially deep networks, have become the state of the art in a wide variety of machine learning tasks. These tasks include important benchmark problems in machine vision ([KSH12]) and machine translation ([SVL14, WSC<sup>+</sup>16]) as well as superhuman performance at games such as Go [SHM<sup>+</sup>16]. Despite these varied and striking successes, a theory of why neural nets provide such good approximations to interesting functions and can be effectively trained is only beginning to take shape.

While non-linear activations help neural nets express a wide variety of functions, repeated non-linearities can also “garble” the signal, leading to a loss of mutual information between the input and the activations at various hidden layers. Such an information theoretic point of view on neural nets has recently been systematically taken up in the work of Tishby with Shwartz-Ziv, Moshkovitz, and Zaslavsky [ST17, MT17, TZ15]. In the present article, we answer a basic information theoretic question about neural nets. Namely, for each  $d \geq 1$ , what is the minimal width  $w_{\min}(d)$  so that neural nets whose hidden layers have width at least  $w_{\min}(d)$  and arbitrary depth can approximate arbitrarily well any scalar continuous function of  $d$  variables? We treat only neural nets with a popular and particularly simple activation function called rectified linear units, defined

$$\text{ReLU}(t) := \max(0, t).$$

It has been known since the 1980’s (e.g. the work of Cybenko [Cyb89] and Hornik-Stinchcombe-White [HSW89]) that feed-forward neural nets with a single hidden layer

can approximate essentially any function if the hidden layer is allowed to be arbitrarily wide. Such results hold for a wide variety of activations, including ReLU. However, part of the recent renaissance in neural nets, is the empirical observation that deep neural nets tend to achieve greater expressivity per parameter than their shallow cousins. There are now a number of rigorous results about this so-called expressive power of depth [ABMM16, MLP16, LTR17, MP16, PLR<sup>+</sup>16, RPK<sup>+</sup>17, RT17, Tel15, Tel16, Tel17, Yar16]. We refer the reader to §3 in [Han17] for a discussion of the relationships between some of these articles.

The main result of this article shows a sharp transition in the representational power of deep feed-forward neural nets with ReLU activations as a function of the widths of their hidden layers. To state it, we need some notation. We say that  $\mathcal{N}$  is a feed-forward neural net with ReLU activations, input dimension  $d_{in}$ , output dimension  $d_{out}$ , and widths  $d_{in} = d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k, d_{k+1} = d_{out}$  (a ReLU net for short) if it computes a function  $f_{\mathcal{N}}$  of the form

$$A_k \circ \text{ReLU} \circ A_{k-1} \circ \dots \circ \text{ReLU} \circ A_1, \quad (1)$$

where  $A_i : \mathbb{R}^{d_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{i+1}}$  are affine transformations and for any  $m \geq 1$

$$\text{ReLU}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = (\max(0, x_1), \dots, \max(0, x_m)).$$

The integers  $d_2, \dots, d_k$  are said to be the widths of the hidden layers of  $\mathcal{N}$ , and the integer  $k$  is the depth of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Notice that for fixed  $d_1, \dots, d_{k+1}$ , the family of neural nets (1) is a finite dimensional family of non-linear functions parameterized by the affine transformations  $A_i$ . Our main result concerns the numbers  $w_{\min}(d_{in}, d_{out})$ , defined to be the minimal value of  $w$  such that for every continuous function  $f : [0, 1]^{d_{in}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{out}}$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a ReLU net  $\mathcal{N}$  with input dimension  $d_{in}$ , hidden layer widths at most  $w$ , and output dimension  $d_{out}$  that  $\varepsilon$ -approximates  $f$ :

$$\sup_{x \in [0, 1]^{d_{in}}} \|f(x) - f_{\mathcal{N}}(x)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

The main result of this article is the following estimate for  $w_{\min}(d_{in}, d_{out})$ .

**Theorem 1.** *For every  $d_{in}, d_{out} \geq 1$ ,*

$$d_{in} + 1 \leq w_{\min}(d_{in}, d_{out}) \leq d_{in} + d_{out}.$$

Proving the upper bound  $w_{\min}(d_{in}, d_{out}) \leq d_{in} + d_{out}$  in Theorem 1 requires a novel construction by which any continuous function with  $d_{in}$  input variables and  $d_{out}$  output variables can be approximated to arbitrary precision by a ReLU net with width  $d_{in} + d_{out}$  and depth depending on its modulus of continuity  $\omega_f$ . Recall that  $\omega_f(\delta) \leq \varepsilon$  when  $|x - y| \leq \delta$  implies that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon$  uniformly over all inputs  $x, y$ . Since  $\omega_f$  need not be continuous or bijective, define

$$\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon) = \sup\{\delta : \omega_f(\delta) \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

We will show that if  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$  is any compact set and  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{out}}$  is continuous, then there exists a ReLU net  $\mathcal{N}$  with input dimension  $d_{in}$ , all hidden layers of width  $d_{in} + d_{out}$ , output dimension  $d_{out}$ , and depth  $O(\text{diam}(K)/\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon))^{d_{in}+1}$  that  $\varepsilon$ -approximates  $f$  on  $K$ :

$$\sup_{x \in K} \|f(x) - f_{\mathcal{N}}(x)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

We refer the reader to Proposition 3 for the precise statement. The construction is carried out in §2. In contrast, obtaining the lower bound

$$w_{\min}(d_{in}, d_{out}) \geq w_{\min}(d_{in}, 1) \geq d_{in} + 1,$$

requires constructing, for every  $d_{in} \geq 1$ , a continuous function  $f : [0, 1]^{d_{in}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a constant  $\eta > 0$  so that any width  $d$  ReLU net  $\mathcal{N}$  must satisfy

$$\sup_{x \in [0, 1]^{d_{in}}} |f(x) - f_{\mathcal{N}}(x)| > \eta. \quad (2)$$

Our construction in §3 only requires that the function have some compact level set (connected component of a fiber  $f^{-1}(a)$ ) and be non-constant inside that level set.

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 1, we make two remarks. First, the neural nets we consider here are not allowed to have skip (e.g. residual) connections, popularized in the ResNets introduced by He-Zhang-Ren-Sun in [HZRS16] and in the Highway Nets introduced by Srivastava-Greff-Schidhuber in [SGS15]. A skip connection allows the input to a given hidden layer to be an affine function of the all the outputs of all the previous hidden layers, instead of just the one preceeding it. If one allows skip connections, then a ReLU net whose hidden layers have width 1 can already approximate any continuous function if the net is allowed to be arbitrarily deep. The reason is that any feed-forward neural net with one hidden layer of width  $k$  can be converted into a neural net with  $k$  hidden layers, each of width 1, that computes the same function. The construction is simply to “turn the hidden layer on its’ side.” That is, each neuron in the single hidden layer in the original shallow net becomes its own hidden layer. The input to the net is connected to the single neural in every new hidden layer, which is in turn connected to the output. In this construction, each hidden layer is connected only to the input and output. In the language of Veit-Wilber-Belongie [VWB16], the resulting ResNet implements an ensemble of paths of length 1. Second, it is tempting to generalize Theorem 1 to arbitrary piecewise linear activations. However, it seems that such a generalization is not straightforward, even for activations of the form  $\sigma(t) = \max(\ell_1(t), \ell_2(t))$ , where  $\ell_1, \ell_2$  are two affine functions with different slopes.

**Acknowledgements.** The first author would like to thank Zhangyang Wang for several stimulating discussions about extending the results in this article to allowing residual connections and to more general activations. We are also grateful to Dmitry Yarotsky for pointing out several inaccuracies and a mistake (now corrected) in the proof of Lemma 6 in a previous version.

## 2. PROOF OF THE UPPER BOUND IN THEOREM 1

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $d_{in}, d_{out} \geq 1$ , a compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$ , and a continuous function  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{out}}$ . In this section, we prove that there exists a ReLU net  $\mathcal{N}$  with input dimension  $d_{in}$ , hidden layer widths  $d_{in} + d_{out}$ , and output dimension  $d_{out}$  such that

$$\|f - f_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{C^0(K)} = \sup_{x \in K} \|f(x) - f_{\mathcal{N}}(x)\| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3)$$

We will use the following definition.

**Definition 1.** A function  $g : \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{out}}$  is a max-min string of length  $L \geq 1$  on  $d_{in}$  input variables and  $d_{out}$  output variables if there exist affine functions  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_L : \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{out}}$  such that

$$g = \sigma_{L-1}(\ell_L, \sigma_{L-2}(\ell_{L-1}, \dots, \sigma_2(\ell_3, \sigma_1(\ell_1, \ell_2)) \dots)),$$

where each  $\sigma_i$  is either a coordinate-wise max or a min.

The statement (3) follows immediately from the following two propositions.

**Proposition 2.** *For every max-min string  $g$  on  $d_{in}$  input variables and  $d_{out}$  output variables with length  $L$  and every compact  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$ , there exists a ReLU net with input dimension  $d$ , hidden layer width  $d_{in} + d_{out}$ , output dimension  $d_{dout}$ , and depth  $L$  that computes  $x \mapsto g(x)$  for every  $x \in K$ .*

**Proposition 3.** *For every compact  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$ , any continuous  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{out}}$  and each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a max-min string  $g$  on  $d_{in}$  input variables and  $d_{out}$  output variables with length*

$$\left( \frac{O(\text{diam}(K))}{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)} \right)^{d_{in}+1}$$

for which

$$\|f - g\|_{C^0(K)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proposition 2 is essentially Lemma 4 in [Han17]. We include a short proof for the reader's convenience in §2.1. Proposition 3 appears to be new, however, and is the main technical result in the present article. It is proved in §2.2. It is related in spirit to results in the literature (e.g. [Sch12, Prop. 2.2.2.]) that express a continuous piecewise affine  $h : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on a convex domain as

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \min_{1 \leq j \leq M(i)} \{\ell_{1,i}, \dots, \ell_{M(i),i}\}, \quad \ell_{j,i} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ affine.}$$

Nonetheless, Proposition 3 is of a rather different nature since we are allowed to take only max and min of two affine functions at a time.

**2.1. Proof of Proposition 2.** We may assume without loss of generality that  $K$  is contained in the positive orthant:

$$K \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^{d_{in}} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{d_{in}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}} \mid x_i \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d_{in} \right\}$$

since we can always shift the input to a neural net by a fixed vector. Let us fix a max-min string

$$g = \sigma_{L-1}(\ell_L, \sigma_{L-2}(\ell_{L-1}, \dots, \sigma_2(\ell_3, \sigma_1(\ell_1, \ell_2)) \dots)).$$

We can assume  $g$  is non-negative since we can subtract a constant in the final linear transformation. Note that for any constant  $C$ , the function  $g + C$  is also a max-min string whose affine transformations are  $\ell_i + C$ . Since we may subtract an arbitrary constant in the output of the last layer in a ReLU net, we may additionally assume that each  $\ell_i$  is non-negative on  $K$ . With these reductions, we construct the neural

net that computes  $g(x)$  for every  $x \in K$ . For all  $j = 2, \dots, L$  define invertible affine transformations  $A_j : \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}+d_{out}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}+d_{out}}$

$$A_j(x, y) = \begin{cases} (x, y - \ell_j(x)), & \text{if } \sigma_{j-1} = \max \\ (x, -y + \ell_j(x)), & \text{if } \sigma_{j-1} = \min. \end{cases},$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{out}}$ . Their inverses are given by

$$A_j^{-1}(x, y) = \begin{cases} (x, y + \ell_j(x)), & \text{if } \sigma_{j-1} = \max \\ (x, -y + \ell_j(x)), & \text{if } \sigma_{j-1} = \min. \end{cases}.$$

Further, set

$$A_1(x) = (x, \ell_1(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}.$$

Write  $H_1 := A_1$  and

$$H_j := A_j \circ \text{ReLU} \circ A_j^{-1}, \quad j = 2, \dots, L.$$

The image of  $K$  under  $H_0$  is the graph of  $\ell_1$ , and the image of the graph of any function  $g : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{out}}$  under  $H_j$  is the graph of  $\sigma_{j-1}(\ell_j, g)$ . Hence, the image of  $K$  under the ReLU net

$$\text{ReLU} \circ H_L \circ \dots \circ H_1$$

is the graph of  $g$ . Note that the final ReLU is trivial since  $g$  is non-negative. Appending a final layer  $(x_1, \dots, x_{d_{in}}, y_1, \dots, y_{d_{out}}) \mapsto (y_1, \dots, y_{d_{out}})$  yields the desired net.  $\square$

**2.2. Proof of Proposition 3.** Note that if  $g$  is a max-min string on  $d_{in}$  input variables and  $d_{out}$  output variables, then so is  $g(x - x_0)$  for any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$ . Using also that every compact set is contained in a ball shows that we may assume without loss of generality that  $K$  is a ball  $B_r$  of radius  $r$  centered at the origin.

Fix a continuous function  $f : B_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{out}}$ . We first explain how to uniformly approximate  $f$  by max-min strings in the model case when we seek to approximate  $f$  on an arbitrary finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$  be a finite set. Then any function  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{out}}$  can be computed exactly by a max-min string.*

*Proof.* We prove the proposition by induction on  $|S|$ . If  $S = \{s\}$ , then the constant max-min string  $f(s)$  suffices. Suppose now that  $|S| \geq 2$ . The idea is to consider the convex hull  $\widehat{S}$  of the points in  $S$  and “repeatedly cut off a corner.” Let  $s_0 \in S$  be an extreme point of  $\widehat{S}$ , a vertex of  $\widehat{S}$  that is not contained in any proper face. By the inductive hypothesis, there is a max-min string  $g$  on  $d_{in}$  input variables and  $d_{out}$  output variables that agrees with  $f$  on  $S \setminus \{s_0\}$ . Moreover, for every  $t > 0$ , we can find an affine function  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{out}}$  with  $\ell(s_0) = 0$  and  $\ell(s) \geq t$  for  $s \in S \setminus \{s_0\}$  (the inequality holds for each of the  $k$  components of  $\ell$ ). Taking  $t$  large, define the max-min string

$$\widehat{g} = \max(\min(g, f(s_0) + \ell), f(s_0) - \ell),$$

where the max and min are componentwise. By construction,  $\widehat{g}(s_0) = f(s_0)$ . Further, because  $t$  is large,  $\widehat{g}(s) = f(s)$  for  $s \in S \setminus \{s_0\}$ . Hence  $\widehat{g}$  and  $f$  agree on  $S$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

We carry out the same proof idea for continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$ . We focus for simplicity on the construction for  $d_{in} = 2$  and  $d_{out} = 1$ . The extension to general  $d_{out}$  is immediate and requires only that various inequalities below hold for every component of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{d_{out}}$ . The extension to  $d_{in} \geq 3$  requires a minor modification, which we present after the  $d_{in} = 2$  proof. Before getting into the details, we emphasize the main difference between the discrete case treated in Proposition 4 above and the continuous case below. The issue is that now when we cut off a corner from the convex hull of the set where we have  $\varepsilon$ -approximated the function  $f$ , we have to approximate  $f$  correctly on the entire piece we cut off, not just at a single vertex. To get an  $\varepsilon$ -approximation, we need our corner piece to have diameter  $O\left(\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right)$  so that the variation of  $f$  on the piece is  $O(\varepsilon)$  (recall that  $\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)$  is the inverse modulus of continuity). That is, we can only cut off small-diameter pieces at a time. Thus, to build an approximation to  $f$  on ball of radius  $R$  from an approximation to  $f$  on a ball of radius  $r < R$ , we have to slowly add small pieces to  $B_r$  in all directions until the resulting set grows to contain  $B_R$ . Our precise construction repeatedly uses the following observation. We state the observation for  $d_{in} = 2$  and explain below its extension to  $d_{in} \geq 3$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\triangle ABC$  is an triangle with*

$$\text{diam}(\triangle ABC) \leq \omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)$$

*such that  $K$  is contained in the infinite planar sector  $\angle BAC$ . Then if there exists a max-min string  $g$  with*

$$\sup_{x \in K} |f(x) - g(x)| \leq \varepsilon,$$

*then there also exists a max-min string  $\widehat{g}$  with*

$$\sup_{x \in K \cup \triangle ABC} |f(x) - \widehat{g}(x)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Let  $g$  be a max-min string that  $\varepsilon$ -approximates  $f$  on  $K$ . Let  $\ell$  be the affine function with  $\ell(A) = 0$ ,  $\ell(B) = \ell(C) = \varepsilon$ . As in Proposition 4, define

$$\ell_-(x) := f(A) - \ell(x), \quad \ell_+(x) := f(A) + \ell(x)$$

and consider the max-min string

$$\widehat{g} = \max(\ell_-, \min(\ell_+, g)).$$

Next, by the definition of  $\omega_f^{-1}$ , we have

$$|f(x) - \widehat{g}(x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad x \in \triangle ABC.$$

We now show that this estimate continues to hold for  $x \in K$  as well. We claim that on  $K \cup \triangle ABC$  we have

$$\ell_- - \varepsilon \leq f \leq \ell_+ + \varepsilon \tag{4}$$

These inequalities follow essentially from the fact that the absolute values of the slopes of  $\ell_{\pm}$  on the rays  $Ap$  for any point  $p$  on segment  $BC$  are bounded below by  $\frac{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$ .

This is true since for any such  $p$  we have  $\ell(p) = \varepsilon$  and  $|A - p| \leq \omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ . Now to prove Inequality 4 we note that for any  $x \in K$  we have

$$|f(x) - f(A)| \leq \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)}|x - A|.$$

Indeed, suppose that ray  $Ax$  has length  $n\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon) + r$  for integer  $n$  and real remainder  $r < \omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ . Then by the triangle inequality we have

$$|f(x) - f(A)| \leq n\varepsilon + \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)}|x - A|$$

as desired.

These estimates imply that on  $K$

$$f - \varepsilon = \min(f, f - \varepsilon) \leq \min(\ell_+, g) \leq \max(\ell_-, \min(\ell_+, g)) = \widehat{g}$$

and

$$\widehat{g} = \max(\ell_-, \min(\ell_+, g)) \leq \max(\ell_-, g) \leq \max(f, f + \varepsilon) = f + \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,  $\widehat{g} - \varepsilon \leq f \leq \widehat{g} + \varepsilon$ , as desired.  $\square$

We now turn to the details of the proof of Proposition 3. We will explain how to approximate our fixed continuous function  $f$  by a max-min string on a ball of radius  $R > 0$  centered at the origin. We will use Lemma 5 to show that we can approximate  $f$  on successively larger and larger balls. Observe that if  $r \leq \omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)$  then

$$\|f - f(0)\|_{C^0(B_r)} \leq \varepsilon,$$

so that the constant max-min string  $f(0)$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -approximation to  $f$  on the small ball  $B_{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)}(0)$ . To prove that we can approximate  $f$  on larger balls, suppose  $g$  is a max-min string on  $d_{in}$  variables that approximates  $f$  to within  $\varepsilon$  on the ball  $B_r(0)$  with  $r \geq \omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ . We use Lemma 5 to construct a new max-min string  $\widehat{g}$  which uniformly  $\varepsilon$ -approximates  $f$  on a ball of slightly larger radius

$$R_{r,\varepsilon} := r + \frac{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)^2}{10r}.$$

Since for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the function  $R_{r,\varepsilon}$  is strictly increasing in  $r$  it cannot have a fixed point and the  $k$ -fold composition  $R_{r,\varepsilon}^{(k)}$  sends any  $r > 0$  to infinity with  $k$ . Using this procedure repeatedly therefore allows us to increase  $r$  without bound and will complete the proof. Our approach is illustrated in Figures 1 and 2.

We begin with the construction when  $d_{in} = 2$  and will explain the simple modification for  $d_{in} \geq 3$  below. For each  $r' > r$  and any two sufficiently close points  $X, Y$  on the boundary of  $B_r$ , let  $X', Y'$  be the intersections of line  $XY$  with the boundary circle of  $B_{r'}(P)$ . Also, denote by  $Z$  be the intersection of the tangents to  $B_{r'}$  through  $X', Y'$  (see Figure 1). Then  $B_r$  is contained in the planar sector  $\angle X'ZY'$ , and the diameter of  $\triangle X'ZY'$  can be made arbitrarily small by taking  $r'$  close to  $r$  and  $X$  close to  $Y$ . In particular, for every  $r \geq \omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ , we take

$$r' = R_{r,\varepsilon} = r + \frac{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)^2}{10r}, \quad |XY| = \omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon) \left(1 - \frac{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)^2}{100r^2}\right)^{1/2}.$$




FIGURE 1. To extend an  $\varepsilon$ -approximation of  $f$  on the inner disk of radius  $r$  to the outer disk of radius  $r' = r + \frac{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)^2}{r}$ , we proceed in steps. Each step, we draw triangle  $X'ZY'$  as shown and apply Lemma 5 to extend our approximation to a larger region. Because the outer circle  $B_{r'}(P)$  is contained in sector  $X'ZY'$ , we do not lose any area contained in  $B_{r'}(P)$  when applying Lemma 5.

This choice for  $|XY|$  is valid because  $|XY| \leq \omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon) \leq r$ , so we can indeed find points  $X, Y$  at this distance with no problem. Then

$$|X'Y'| = \sqrt{|XY|^2 + 4(r' - r)^2} = \omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon).$$

We also know that  $|X'Z| = |Y'Z| \leq |X'Y'| = \omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)$  because  $|X'Y'| = \omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon) \leq r \leq r'$ , implying obtuseness of  $\triangle X'ZY'$  at  $Z$ . Thus,

$$\text{diam}(\triangle X'ZY') = |X'Y'| = \omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon).$$

Lemma 5 therefore shows that there exists a max-min string  $g'$  that uniformly  $\varepsilon$  approximates  $f$  on  $K' = \triangle X'Y'Z \cup B_r$ . Notice that  $K'$  contains the circular sector of  $B_{R_{r,\varepsilon}}$  cut out by the rays  $OX$  and  $OY$ . Finally, consider a  $\delta$ -net  $\{p_i\}$  on the circumference of  $B_r$  with

$$\delta = \frac{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)^2}{100r^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$






FIGURE 2. In Figure 1, after applying Lemma 5, the region on which we approximated  $f$  has grown to include the shaded circular sector  $X_0PY_0$ . (This is just because it is contained in the union of the two shaded regions in Figure 1.) Since  $d(X, Y) \asymp \varepsilon$ , this means that applying Lemma 5 to  $O\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right)$  rotated configurations of this form extends the region of  $\varepsilon$ -approximation from  $B_r(P)$  to  $B_{r'}(P)$ .

The size of this net is  $O(r/\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon))$ . Applying Lemma 5  $O(r/\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon))$  times and repeating the above argument with  $(X, Y) = (p_i, p_{i+1})$  completes the proof of the upper bound in Theorem 1 when  $d_{in} = 2$ .

The argument when  $d_{in} \geq 3$  is essentially the same. The idea is to take the diagrams depicted and rotate them around the axis  $PZ$ . Lemma 5 extends to higher dimensions with the triangle  $\triangle ABC$  replaced by the tip of a cone with the same diameter requirement. Such a cone is obtained by rotating  $X'ZY'$  in Figures 1 and 2. The rest of the argument then carries over verbatim.

Now we analyze the efficiency of this procedure. First, to complete a single radius increment requires covering the boundary of  $B_r$  with balls of radius  $O\left(\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)\right)$ . It is standard that in  $\mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$ , this requires

$$\left( \frac{O(r)}{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)} \right)^{d_{in}-1}$$

balls. We get one extra max and min in the max-min string we build to approximate  $f$  for each such ball. Thus, at a cost of  $\left(\frac{O(r)}{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)}\right)^{d_{in}-1}$  many maxes and mins, the radius on which we approximate  $f$  increases

$$r \mapsto R_{r,\varepsilon} = r + \frac{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)^2}{10r}.$$

Hence, if we fix  $R > \omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ , then for every  $\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon) \leq r \leq R$ , we have

$$R_{r,\varepsilon} - r \geq \frac{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)^2}{10R}$$

and to obtain an approximation of  $f$  on  $B_R$ , by a max-min we need to extend the approximation of  $f$  from a small ball to a larger ball at most  $10R^2/\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)^2$  times. The number of maxes and mins required for each extension is  $(O(R)/\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon))^{d_{in}-1}$ . Hence, the length of the max-min string we construct to approximate  $f$  on  $B_R$  is

$$\left(\frac{O(R)}{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)}\right)^{d_{in}+1},$$

as claimed. □

**Remark 1.** We have tacitly neglected the case  $d_{in} = 1$ . This case is the same as  $d_{in} = 2$  but easier. In fact here we require only

$$\left(\frac{O(R)}{\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)}\right)$$

layers, which would naively correspond to  $d_{in} = 0$ . The reason is that a 1-dimensional ball can be increased in radius by  $\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)$  by adding only a single external line segment of length  $\omega_f^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ . In the higher dimensional cases, we need to add the external pieces mostly tangentially which requires more layers.

### 3. PROOF OF THE LOWER BOUND IN THEOREM 1

The purpose of this section is to prove that for every  $d_{in} \geq 1$ , there exists  $f \in C([0, 1]^{d_{in}}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\eta = \eta(d_{in}, f) > 0$  so  $f$  satisfies the following property. For any ReLU net  $\mathcal{N}$  with input dimension  $d_{in}$ , hidden layer width  $d_{in}$ , and output dimension 1, we have

$$\|f - f_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{C^0} \geq \eta.$$

In fact, we will show that if there is  $a$  such that a compact connected component of the pre-image  $f^{-1}(a)$  disconnects a bounded region from the infinite component of  $\mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$ , then  $f$  is not approximable by depth- $d_{in}$  ReLU nets.

Fix  $d_{in} \geq 1$ , and consider a width  $d_{in}$  ReLU net

$$f_{\mathcal{N}} := A_n \circ \text{ReLU} \circ A_{n-1} \cdots \circ \text{ReLU} \circ A_1,$$

where the  $A_i$ 's are affine and  $A_n$  maps  $\mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , while for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , the transformations  $A_i$  map  $\mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$ . We may assume without loss of generality that

$A_i$  have full rank for all  $i$  since  $f_N$  is continuous with respect to the  $A_i$ 's and affine maps with full rank are dense among all affine maps. Define a *level set* of a function  $f$  to be a connected component of a pre-image  $f^{-1}(a)$  for some  $a$ . The following Lemma shows the level sets of any function

$$f_j(x) = \text{ReLU} \circ A_j \circ \cdots \circ \text{ReLU} \circ A_1(x)$$

computed by the first  $j$  hidden layers of  $\mathcal{N}$  are of a rather special form.

**Lemma 6.** *For each  $j \geq 1$ , set  $S_j$  to be the set of points on which all ReLU evaluations throughout the evaluation of  $f_j$  are (strictly) positive. Then  $S_j$  is open and convex,  $f_j$  is affine on  $S_j$ , and every level set of  $f_j$  that is bounded is contained in  $S_j$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $j$ ,  $S_j$  is open and convex since it is cut out by a collection of inequalities of the form  $\{\ell_k(x) > 0\}$ , where  $\ell_k : \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are affine. Note that the level sets of  $f_n : \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are the union of level sets of  $f_{n-1} : \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$ . Hence, it is enough to show that for every  $j \leq n-1$  if a level set of  $f_j$  intersects the complement of  $S_j$ , then it is unbounded. We prove this by induction on  $j \geq 1$ . The base case  $j = 1$  is immediate if  $S_1 = \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$ . Otherwise, consider  $y \notin S_1$ . Then, at least one, say the  $k^{th}$ , component of  $f_1(y)$  is zero. The inverse image under ReLU of the point  $f_1(y)$  therefore contains a ray (e.g. the ray  $r$  starting at  $f_1(y)$  and going to  $-\infty$  parallel to the  $k^{th}$  coordinate axis). The inverse image of  $r$  under the affine map  $A_1$  also contains a ray and hence is unbounded, proving the base case.

For the inductive step, fix some  $j \geq 2$ . There is nothing to prove if  $S_j = \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$ . Otherwise, consider  $y \notin S_j$ . If  $y \notin S_{j-1}$ , then we are done by induction since  $f_{j-1}^{-1}(f_{j-1}(y)) \subseteq f_j^{-1}(f_j(y))$ . If  $y \in S_{j-1}$ , then we argue as before. Namely, the  $k^{th}$  component of  $f_j(y)$  vanishes for some  $k$  and the inverse image under  $\text{ReLU} \circ A_j$  of the point  $f_j(y)$  therefore contains a ray. If this ray is contained in  $f_{j-1}(S_{j-1})$ , then its pre-image under  $f_{j-1}$  also contains a ray since  $f_{j-1}$  is affine when restricted to  $S_{j-1}$ . Otherwise, this ray intersects the boundary of  $f_{j-1}(S_{j-1})$  at some point  $p$ . By induction, the pre-image  $f_{j-1}^{-1}(p)$  is unbounded and hence so is  $f_j^{-1}(f_j(y))$  since it contains  $f_{j-1}^{-1}(p)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We now complete the proof of the lower bound in Theorem 1. Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R}^{d_{in}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function such that for some  $a$ , the pre-image  $f^{-1}(a)$  contains a compact connected component  $A$  and that  $\mathbb{R}^{d_{in}} \setminus A$  contains a bounded connected component  $B$ . For example, we could take

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{d_{in}}) := \sum_{j=1}^{d_{in}} \left( x_j - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2, \quad a = \frac{1}{4}.$$

In this case  $A$  is a sphere and  $B$  is a ball. Suppose  $y \in B$  and  $f(y) = b \neq a$ . Then for  $\eta < \frac{|a-b|}{4}$ , we show that  $f$  is not  $\eta$ -approximable on  $A \cup B$ .

Set  $c = \frac{a+b}{2}$ , and let  $C$  be the intersection of  $f^{-1}(c)$  with  $B$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, the intermediate value theorem implies that  $C$  separates  $y$  and  $A$ . Let  $C' \subseteq C$  be the boundary of any connected component of  $C$  that contains  $y$ . Informally,  $A$  surrounds

$C'$  which surrounds  $y$ . Now, suppose some  $f_{\mathcal{N}}$  computed by a neural net satisfies

$$\|f - f_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{C^0(A \cup B)} \leq \eta.$$

Denote by  $S_{\mathcal{N}}$  the set of points in  $\mathbb{R}^{d_{in}}$  where all the ReLUs in  $\mathcal{N}$  are positive. Suppose first that  $S_{\mathcal{N}}$  contains  $C'$ . By Lemma 6,  $S_{\mathcal{N}}$  is convex. Since the intermediate value theorem implies any path from  $y$  to  $\infty$  intersects  $C'$ , we know that  $y$  is in the convex hull of  $C'$ . Hence we have  $y \in S_{\mathcal{N}}$  as well. Since  $f_{\mathcal{N}}$  is affine on  $S_{\mathcal{N}}$ , this means that  $f_{\mathcal{N}}(y)$  is between the minimum and maximum values of  $f_{\mathcal{N}}$  on  $C'$ . As  $f(y) = b$  and  $f(C') = c$  we get a contradiction since  $\eta < \frac{|b-c|}{2} = \frac{|a-b|}{4}$ .

Suppose in the second case that  $S_{\mathcal{N}}$  does not contain  $C'$ , so there is  $x \in C' \setminus S_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Then, by Lemma 6, the level set of  $f_{\mathcal{N}}$  containing  $x$  must be unbounded, and hence must intersect  $A$  (as  $A$  separates  $y$  from  $\infty$  and  $x \in C'$  is reachable from  $y$  without intersecting  $A$ ). This is again a contradiction for  $\eta < \frac{|a-c|}{2} = \frac{|a-b|}{4}$ .

In both cases, we showed that  $f$  and  $f_{\mathcal{N}}$  differed significantly; the first case used the affineness of  $f_{\mathcal{N}}$  on  $S_{\mathcal{N}}$  while the second used the unboundedness of level sets away from  $S_{\mathcal{N}}$ . We conclude that a width- $d$  net cannot uniformly approximate  $f$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [ABMM16] Raman Arora, Amitabh Basu, Poorya Mianjy, and Anirbit Mukherjee. Understanding deep neural networks with rectified linear units. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.01491*, 2016.
- [Cyb89] George Cybenko. Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function. *Mathematics of Control, Signals, and Systems (MCSS)*, 2(4):303–314, 1989.
- [Han17] Boris Hanin. Universal function approximation by deep neural nets with bounded width and relu activations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.02691*, 2017.
- [HSW89] Kurt Hornik, Maxwell Stinchcombe, and Halbert White. Multilayer feedforward networks are universal approximators. *Neural networks*, 2(5):359–366, 1989.
- [HZRS16] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 770–778, 2016.
- [KSH12] Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E Hinton. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 1097–1105, 2012.
- [LTR17] Henry W Lin, Max Tegmark, and David Rolnick. Why does deep and cheap learning work so well? *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 168(6):1223–1247, 2017.
- [MLP16] Hrushikesh Mhaskar, Qianli Liao, and Tomaso A. Poggio. Learning real and boolean functions: When is deep better than shallow. *CoRR*, abs/1603.00988, 2016.
- [MP16] Hrushikesh N Mhaskar and Tomaso Poggio. Deep vs. shallow networks: An approximation theory perspective. *Analysis and Applications*, 14(06):829–848, 2016.
- [MT17] Michal Moshkovitz and Naftali Tishby. Mixing complexity and its applications to neural networks. *CoRR*, 2017.
- [PLR<sup>+</sup>16] Ben Poole, Subhaneil Lahiri, Maithreyi Raghu, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, and Surya Ganguli. Exponential expressivity in deep neural networks through transient chaos. In *Advances In Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 3360–3368, 2016.
- [RPK<sup>+</sup>17] Maithra Raghu, Ben Poole, Jon Kleinberg, Surya Ganguli, and Jascha Sohl-Dickstein. On the expressive power of deep neural networks. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 2847–2854, 2017.
- [RT17] David Rolnick and Max Tegmark. The power of deeper networks for expressing natural functions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.05502*, 2017.
- [Sch12] Stefan Scholtes. *Introduction to piecewise differentiable equations*. Springer Science, 2012.

- [SGS15] Rupesh Kumar Srivastava, Klaus Greff, and Jürgen Schmidhuber. Highway networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.00387*, 2015.
- [SHM<sup>+</sup>16] David Silver, Aja Huang, Chris J Maddison, Arthur Guez, Laurent Sifre, George Van Den Driessche, Julian Schrittwieser, Ioannis Antonoglou, Veda Panneershelvam, Marc Lanctot, et al. Mastering the game of go with deep neural networks and tree search. *Nature*, 529(7587):484–489, 2016.
- [ST17] Ravid Shwartz-Ziv and Naftali Tishby. Opening the black box of deep neural networks via information. *CoRR*, 2017.
- [SVL14] Ilya Sutskever, Oriol Vinyals, and Quoc V Le. Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 3104–3112, 2014.
- [Tel15] Matus Telgarsky. Representation benefits of deep feedforward networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.08101*, 2015.
- [Tel16] Matus Telgarsky. benefits of depth in neural networks. In *29th Annual Conference on Learning Theory*, pages 1517–1539, 2016.
- [Tel17] Matus Telgarsky. Neural networks and rational functions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.03301*, 2017.
- [TZ15] Naftali Tishby and Noga Zaslavsky. Deep learning and the information bottleneck principle. In *Information Theory Workshop (ITW), 2015 IEEE*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2015.
- [VWB16] Andreas Veit, Michael J Wilber, and Serge Belongie. Residual networks behave like ensembles of relatively shallow networks. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 550–558, 2016.
- [WSC<sup>+</sup>16] Yonghui Wu, Mike Schuster, Zhifeng Chen, Quoc V Le, Mohammad Norouzi, Wolfgang Macherey, Maxim Krikun, Yuan Cao, Qin Gao, Klaus Macherey, et al. Google’s neural machine translation system: Bridging the gap between human and machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.08144*, 2016.
- [Yar16] Dmitry Yarotsky. Error bounds for approximations with deep relu networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.01145*, 2016.

(B. Hanin) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M, COLLEGE STATION, UNITED STATES  
*E-mail address:* `bhanin@math.tamu.edu`

(M. Sellke) TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE, CB2 1TQ, UK  
*E-mail address:* `mas279@cam.ac.uk`