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#### Abstract

In this paper we prove that the space of differential invariants for curves with arc-length parameter in the light cone of Lorentzian $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, invariants under the centro-affine action of the Lorentzian group, is Poisson equivalent to the space of conformal differential invariants for curves in the Möbius sphere. We use this relation to find realizations of solutions of a complexly coupled system of KdV equations as flows of curves in the cone.


## 1. Introduction

Many - if not most - completely integrable systems posses what is commonly known as geometric realizations; that is, they can be viewed as curve flows in a geometric manifold inducing the integrable system in the curvatures (or differential invariants) of the flow. The best known example is that of the nonlinear Shrödinger equation

$$
\phi_{t}=i \phi_{x x}+\frac{i}{2}\|\phi\|^{2} \phi
$$

whose geometric realization as a 3D Euclidean flow is given by the Vortex-filament flow

$$
u_{t}=k B
$$

via the Hasimoto transformation $\phi=k e^{i \int \tau d x}$, where $k$ and $\tau$ are the curvature and torsion of the flow $u$ and $B$ is its binormal (see [10]). Another well-known example is that of the KdV equation

$$
k_{t}=k_{x x x}+3 k k_{x}
$$

whose realization in the projective line $\mathbb{R P}^{1}$ is known to be the Schwarzian KdV equation

$$
u_{t}=u_{x x x}-\frac{3}{2} \frac{u_{x x}^{2}}{u_{x}}=u_{x} S(u)
$$

via the trasnformation $k=S(u)=\frac{u_{x x x}}{u_{x}}-\frac{3}{2} \frac{u_{x x}^{2}}{u_{x}^{2}}$; the invariant $k$ is the Schwarzian derivative of the flow - the only projective differential invariant on the line. One can find a large number of geometric realizations of many different integrable systems in the literature, see for example, [1], 6, [12, 13, [14, [20, 21] and references within.

[^0]The second author of this paper described a closer relationship between integrable systems and geometric realizations in [14]. Indeed, if the geometric manifold is a homogeneous manifold of the form $G / H$, where $G$ is semisimple, the space of differential invariants is naturally endowed with a Hamiltonian structure, one she called the geometric Poisson bracket for curve flows. This bracket is obtained via the reduction of a well-known bracket defined on the manifold of loops in the dual of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, to a quotient of this manifold. A second compatible bracket will not reduce in general, and its reduction indicates the existence of a geometric realization of an associated integrable system, as explained in [14. Both the nonlinear Schrödinger equation and the KdV equation are biHamiltonian systems and their structures are obtained when reducing these two general brackets to the space of Euclidean and projective invariants, respectively. Other such cases include modified KdV, Sine Gordon, Sawada-Koterra, Gelfan'd-Dikii flows, etc.

In [3], the authors showed that one can consider planar curves $\gamma$ as curves in $\mathbb{R P}^{1}$ (via a simple projectivization) insofar as the curve is star-shaped, that is $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ remain independent and the curve never points towards the radial direction. Using this relation they proceeded to show that completely integrable systems with projective realizations (for example KdV ) also have realizations as flows of star-shaped curves, invariant under the centro-affine action of $\mathrm{SL}(2)$. The realization of KdV as a flow of star-shaped curves was previously discovered by Pinkall in [18]. The authors of [3] proved that, if we assume that the planar curves are parametrized by centro-affine arc-length parameter, the space of differential invariants in both centro-affine plane and the projective line were Poisson equivalent when endowed by the geometric Poisson structures. This allowed them to establish planar geometric realizations by star-shaped flows not only for KdV , but for any projective Hamiltonian system, including, for example, the Sawada-Koterra equation. They also used centro-affine moving frames along the flow to find realizations of solitons solutions of KdV as star-shaped planar solitons.

In this paper we look at a related situation involving projectivization. It is wellknown (see for example [9]) that the conformal Möbius sphere $S^{2}$ is geometrically equivalent to the projectivization of the light cone in Lorentzian $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, both homogeneous spaces. Furthermore, a familiar completely integrable system - the complexly coupled system of KdV equations - is known to have a conformally invariant realization in the Möbius sphere (see [16). Thus, it is natural to ask whether or not the coupled system has a realization as flows in the cone, invariant under Lorentzian transformations.

In section 3 we classify differential invariants for curves in the cone under the centro-affine Lorentzian action, and we find a group-based moving frame along them. Using our moving frame, we write a formula for a general evolution of curves in the cone and identify those that preserve arc-length. We then find the Lorentzian Poisson structure explicitly. In section 4 we review the same study for conformal curves (the study was done in 15 for the general conformal case), and we match it to the Lorentzian case. In section 5 we prove that, if curves in the cone are parametrized by Lorentzian centro-affine arc-length, then projectivization takes differential invariants in the cone to differential invariants in the sphere in a 1-to-1 fashion. It also takes evolutions in the cone that are invariant under Lorentzian transformations and preserve arc-length to conformally invariant evolutions of curves in $S^{2}$. And vice versa, any projective curve in the sphere can be
uniquely lifted to a curve in the cone, parametrized by arc-length and with equal invariants; and any projective evolution can be lifted to an evolution in the cone that preserves arc-length.

In section 6 we finally show that if we assume that the curves in the cone are parametrized by arc-length, the space of invariants in both the cone and the sphere are Poisson equivalent. In fact, the Poisson bracket defined on Lorentzian invariants foliates into Poisson submanifolds according to the value of the arc-length invariant $k_{0}$. If we fix the value $k_{0}=1$, then the resulting Poisson structure is identical to that of the conformal invariants. This relation will allow us to find geometric realizations in both sphere and cone for any system that is Hamiltonian with respect to the geometric Poisson structure, in particular that of the complexly coupled KdV system. To conclude the paper we provide the Lorentzian realization of the coupled KdV system and describe how to lift soliton solutions to the cone.

## 2. Notation, definitions and background

2.1. Moving frames, differential invariants and invariant flows. The classical concept of moving frame was developed by Élie Cartan ([2]). A classical moving frame along a curve in a manifold $M$ is a curve in the frame bundle of the manifold over the curve, invariant under the action of the transformation group under consideration. This method is a very powerful tool, but its explicit application relied on intuitive choices that were not clear in a general setting. Some ideas in Cartan's work and later work of Griffiths ([7]), Green ([8]) and others laid the foundation for the concept of a group-based moving frame, that is, an equivariant map between the jet space of curves in the manifold and the group of transformations. Recent work by Fels and Olver ( 4,5 ) finally gave the precise definition of the group-based moving frame and extended its application beyond its original geometric picture to a large number of applications. In this section we will describe Fels and Olver's moving frame and its relation to the classical moving frame. We will also introduce some definitions that are useful for the study of Poisson brackets and biHamiltonian nonlinear PDEs. From now on we will assume $M=G / H$ with $G$ acting on $M$ via left multiplication on representatives of a class. We will also assume that curves in $M$ are parametrized and, therefore, the group $G$ does not act on the parameter.

Definition 2.1. Let $J^{k}(\mathbb{R}, M)$ the space of $k$-jets of curves, that is, the set of equivalence classes of curves in $M$ up to $k^{\text {th }}$ order of contact. If we denote by $u(x)$ a curve in $M$, the jet space has local coordinates that can be represented by $u^{(\mathbf{k})}=\left(x, u, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, u^{(k)}\right)$. The group $G$ acts naturally on parametrized curves, therefore it acts naturally on the jet space via the formula

$$
g \cdot u^{(\mathbf{k})}=\left(x, g \cdot u,(g \cdot u)^{\prime},(g \cdot u)^{\prime \prime}, \ldots\right)
$$

where by $(g \cdot u)^{(k)}$ we mean the formula obtained when one differentiates $k$ times $g \cdot u$ and then writes the result in terms of $g, u, u^{\prime}$, etc. This is usually called the prolonged action of $G$ on $J^{k}(\mathbb{R}, M)$.

Definition 2.2. A function

$$
I: J^{k}(\mathbb{R}, M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

is called a $k$ th order differential invariant if it is invariant with respect to the prolonged action of $G$.

Definition 2.3. A map

$$
\rho: J^{k}(\mathbb{R}, M) \rightarrow G
$$

is called a left (resp. right) moving frame if it is equivariant with respect to the prolonged action of $G$ on $J^{k}(\mathbb{R}, M)$ and the left action (resp. right inverse action) of $G$ on itself.

If a group acts (locally) effectively on subsets, then for $k$ large enough the prolonged action is locally free on regular jets. This guarantees the existence of a moving frame on a neighborhood of a regular jet (for example, on a neighborhood of a generic curve, see [4, 5]).

The group-based moving frame already appears in a familiar method for calculating the curvature of a curve $u(s)$ in the Euclidean plane. In this method one uses a translation to take $u(s)$ to the origin, and a rotation to make one of the axes tangent to the curve. The curvature can classically be found as the coefficient of the second order term in the expansion of the curve around $u(s)$. The crucial observation made by Fels and Olver is that the element of the group carrying out the translation and rotation depends on $u$ and its derivatives and so it defines a map from the jet space to the group. This map is a right moving frame, and it carries all the geometric information of the curve. In fact, Fels and Olver developed a similar normalization process to find right moving frames (see [4, 5] and our next Theorem).
Theorem 2.4. (4, 5]) Let • denote the prolonged action of the group on $u^{(k)}$ and assume we have normalization equations of the form

$$
g \cdot u^{(k)}=c_{k}
$$

where $c_{k}$ are constants (they are called normalization constants). Assume we have enough normalization equations so as to determine $g$ as a function of $u, u^{\prime}, \ldots$. Then $g=\rho$ is a right moving frame.

The direct relation between classical moving frames and group-based moving frames is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. ([15]) Let $\Phi_{g}: G / H \rightarrow G / H$ be defined by the action of $g \in G$. That is $\Phi_{g}([x])=[g x]$. Let $\rho$ be a group-based left moving frame with $\rho \cdot o=u$ where $o=[H] \in G / H$. Let $e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ be generators of the vector space $T_{o} G / H$. Then, $T_{i}=d \Phi_{\rho}(o) e_{i}$ form a classical moving frame.

We will next describe the equivalent to the classical Serret-Frenet equations. This concept is fundamental in our Poisson geometry study.

Definition 2.6. Consider $K d x$ to be the horizontal component of the pullback of the left (resp. right) Maurer-Cartan form of the group $G$ via a group-based left (resp. right) moving frame $\rho$. That is

$$
K=\rho^{-1} \rho_{x} \in \mathfrak{g} \quad\left(\text { resp. } \quad K=\rho_{x} \rho^{-1}\right)
$$

and $K$ describes the first order differential equation satisfied by $\rho$. We call $K$ the left (resp. right) Maurer-Cartan element of the algebra (or Maurer-Cartan matrix if $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ ), and $\rho_{x}=\rho K$ the left (resp. right) Serret-Frenet equations for the moving frame $\rho$.

Notice that, if $\rho$ is a left moving frame, then $\rho^{-1}$ is a right moving frame and their Serret-Frenet equations are the negative of each other. A complete set of generating differential invariants can always be found among the coefficients of group-based Serret-Frenet equations generated by normalization equations. The following Theorem can be found in 11 .

Theorem 2.7. Let $\rho$ be a (left or right) moving frame along a curve $u$. Then, the coefficients of the (left or right) Serret-Frenet equations for $\rho$ contain a basis for the space of differential invariants of the curve. That is, any other differential invariant for the curve is a function of the generators of $K$ (its entries if $G \subset G L(n, \mathbb{R})$ ) and their derivatives with respect to $x$.

If $\rho$ is obtained through normalizations, there are formulas relating $K$ directly to the invariantization of jet coordinates. They are called recurrence formulas in 4], and the theorem below is the adaptation of their result to our particular case, and can be found in 14 .
Theorem 2.8. Assume a right invariant moving frame is determined by the normalization equations

$$
\left(g \cdot u^{(r)}\right)^{\alpha}=c_{r}^{\alpha}
$$

for some choices of $\alpha$ and $r$, where $c_{r}=\left(c_{r}^{\alpha}\right)$ ( $\alpha$ indicates individual coordinates). Let $K=\rho_{x} \rho^{-1}$ be the associated Maurer-Cartan element. Let $I_{r}^{\alpha}=\rho \cdot\left(u^{\alpha}\right)^{(r)}$ for any $r=0,1,2, \ldots$ and any $\alpha=1, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} M$. Then $K$ is determined by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K \cdot I_{r}\right)^{\alpha}=-I_{r+1}^{\alpha}+\left(I_{r}^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K \cdot u^{(r)}$ denotes the prolonged infinitesimal action of the Lie algebra on $J^{r}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M})$.

Notice that $I_{r}^{\alpha}=c_{r}^{\alpha}$ for the normalized components, otherwise they are differential invariants.

If one has a group-based moving frame, then one also has a general formula for an evolution of curves in $G / H$, invariant under the action of $G$ (that is, so that $G$ takes solutions to solutions).

Theorem 2.9. (14]) Let $u(x, t)$ be a one parameter family of curves in $G / H$; let $\rho$ be a left group-based moving frame and let $d \Phi_{\rho}(o) e_{i}=T_{i}$ be an associated classical moving frame. Then, any evolution of curves in $G / H$ invariant under the action of $G$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=r_{1} T_{1}+\ldots r_{n} T_{n}=d \Phi_{\rho}(o) \mathbf{r} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{r}=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)^{T}$ and $r_{i}$ are differential invariants, that is, functions of the entries of $K$ and their derivatives.

Finally, if $\rho$ is a left moving frame along the flow $u(t, x)$, solution of (2.2), the entries $r_{i}$ determine the entries of $N=\rho^{-1} \rho_{t}$ in a very precise way, where $\rho_{t}$ is the evolution induced on $\rho$ by (2.2).

Theorem 2.10. (14]) Assume $M=G / H$ and let $\varsigma: G / H \rightarrow G$ be a section, that is $\pi(\varsigma(x))=x$. Assume $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{h}$ is the subalgebra of $H$ and $m=\varsigma_{*}\left(T_{o} G / H\right)$ is a complement to $\mathfrak{h}$ as vector subspaces. Let $N=N_{h}+N_{m}$ according to the splitting of the algebra.

Then

$$
N_{m}=d \varsigma(o) \mathbf{r}
$$

where $\mathbf{r}$ is given in (2.2).
2.2. Geometric Hamiltonian structures. Assume $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple. One can define two natural Poisson brackets on $\mathcal{L g}^{*}$ (see [19] for more information); namely, if $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}: \mathcal{L} \mathfrak{g}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are two functionals defined on $\mathcal{L \mathfrak { g } ^ { * }}$ and if $L \in \mathcal{L} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}\}_{1}(L)=\int_{S^{1}}\left\langle B\left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta L}\right)_{x}+a d^{*}\left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta L}\right)(L), \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta L}\right\rangle d x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is an invariant bilinear form that can be used to identify the algebra with its dual (usually the trace of the product), $\langle$,$\rangle is the natural coupling between \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ (usually the trace of the product if we identify $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ ), and where $\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta L}$ is the variational derivative of $\mathcal{H}$ at $L$ identified, as usual, with an element of $\mathcal{L} \mathfrak{g}$.

One also has a compatible family of second brackets, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}\}_{2}(L)=\int_{S^{1}}\left\langle\left(a d^{*}\left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta L}\right)\left(L_{0}\right), \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta L}\right\rangle d x\right. \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{0} \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is any constant element. From now on we will identify $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ with $\mathfrak{g}$ using the trace and we will also assume that our curves on homogeneous manifolds have a group monodromy, i.e., there exists $m \in G$ such that

$$
u(t+T)=m \cdot u(t)
$$

where $T$ is the period. Under these assumptions, the differential invariants will be periodic.

The following theorem is the foundation of the definition of Geometric Hamiltonian structures. It was proved in 14.

Theorem 2.11. Let $\rho$ be a left or right moving frame along a curve $u$, determined by normalization equations. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the manifold of Maurer-Cartan matrices $K$ for nearby curves, generated using the same normalization equations. Then, $\mathcal{K} \cong U / \mathcal{L} H$ where $U \subset \mathcal{L} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is an open set, and where $\mathcal{L} H$ acts on $U$ via a gauge transformation. Furthermore, the Poisson bracket defined on $\mathcal{L g}^{*}$ by (2.3) is reducible to the submanifold $\mathcal{K}$. We call this first reduced Poisson bracket a Geometric Poisson bracket on $G / H$.

The reduction of these Poisson brackets can often be found explicitly through algebraic manipulations. Indeed, if an extension $\mathcal{H}$ of Hamiltonian functional $h$ : $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is constant on the gauge leaves of $\mathcal{L} H$, then its variational derivative will satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta L}(K)\right)_{x}+\left[K, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta L}(K)\right] \in \mathfrak{h}^{0} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{h}^{0} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is the annihilator of $\mathfrak{h}$, and where $K$ is any Maurer-Cartan element. This relation is often sufficient to determine $\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta L}(K)$ completely and with it the reduced Poisson bracket; the reduced Poisson bracket will be defined through the application of (2.3) to two such extensions.

The Poisson bracket (2.4) does not reduce in general to this quotient. When it does, it indicates the existence of an associated completely integrable system. The
geometric Poisson bracket above is directly related to invariant evolutions through our next theorem. Assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=W\left(u, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, \ldots\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an evolution of curves invariant under the action of the group. Assume (2.6) induces an evolution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{k}_{t}=Q\left(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}^{\prime}, \mathbf{k}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a generating system $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{i}\right)$ of differential invariants of the flow $u(t, x)$. We say that (2.6) is a $G / H$-geometric realization of the flow (2.7).

Assume now, as before, that $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ and that $\varsigma: G / H \rightarrow G$ is a section that identifies $T_{o} G / H$ with $\mathfrak{m}$. Our following theorem finds geometric realizations for any Geometric Hamiltonian flow, Hamiltonian with respect to the reduced Poisson bracket.

Theorem 2.12. ([14]) Assume $\mathcal{K}$ is described by an affine subspace of $\mathcal{L} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$. Let $h: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Hamiltonian functional and $\mathcal{H}: \mathcal{L g}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ an extension of $h$, constant on the leaves of $\mathcal{L} H$ under the gauge action. Let $\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta L}(\mathbf{k})=\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta L}(\mathbf{k})_{\mathfrak{m}}+\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta L}(\mathbf{k})_{\mathfrak{h}}$ be the components of the variational derivative according to the splitting of the algebra. Then

$$
u_{t}=d \Phi_{\rho}(o) d \varsigma(o)^{-1} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta L}(\mathbf{k})_{\mathfrak{m}}
$$

is a geometric realization of the reduced Hamiltonian system with Hamiltonian functional $h$. Notice that this evolution is of the form (2.2) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta L}(\mathbf{k})_{\mathfrak{m}}=d \varsigma(o) \mathbf{r} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.8) is often referred to as the compatibility condition.

### 2.3. Definition of the light cone and the 2-conformal sphere as homogeneous spaces.

The light cone in Lorentzian geometry. Let $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$; we define the inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ as the one associated to the Minkowski (degenerate) metric, $\langle u, u\rangle_{J}=u \cdot u=\|u\|_{J}^{2}=u^{T} J u$, where

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

This inner product defines the Lie group $O(3,1)=\left\{\Theta \in \mathrm{GL}(4) \mid \Theta^{T} J \Theta=J\right\}$ as the elements of $\mathrm{GL}(4)$ that preserve it. With this representation, its Lie algebra is easily found to be defined by elements of $\mathfrak{g l}(4)$ of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & z^{T} & 0  \tag{2.9}\\
w & B & z \\
0 & w^{T} & -a
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)^{T}, w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)^{T}$, and where $B$ is a skew-symmetric matrix.

The light cone is defined as $C_{L}=\left\{u \in \mathbb{R} \mid\langle u, u\rangle_{J}=0\right\}$. In particular, if $u \in C_{L}$, $\hat{u}=\binom{u_{1}}{u_{2}}$, and we call $\|\hat{u}\|=\sqrt{u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}}$, then $u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}=\|\hat{u}\|^{2}=2 u_{0} u_{3}$. The Lorentzian group naturally acts on the light cone as

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\Theta(3,1) \times C_{L} & \rightarrow C_{L}  \tag{2.10}\\
(\Theta, u) & \rightarrow \Theta u
\end{array}
$$

and this action is transitive. Define $H$ to be the isotropy subgroup of $e_{4}$. Then, the cone is isomorphic to the homogeneous space $O(3,1) / H$ and the isomorphism is given by $u \rightarrow\left[g_{u}\right]$ where $g_{u} e_{4}=u$. Indeed, the natural action of $O(3,1)$ on $O(3,1) / H$ defined as $g \cdot[\hat{g}]=[g \hat{g}]$ is given by (2.10) under this isomorphism: according to $g g_{u} \cdot e_{4}=g \cdot u$ the isomorphism takes $g u=g \cdot u$ to $\left[g g_{u}\right]=g \cdot\left[g_{u}\right]$.

The conformal sphere. The 2-Möbius sphere, $M$, a flat model for the conformal plane, is obtained as the projectivization of the cone, and the Lorentzian action also projectivizes into conformal transformations (9]). The projectivization of the cone is topologically equivalent to a 2 -sphere and we will locally represent it in coordinates $m=\binom{m_{1}}{m_{2}}$ as follows. Define the lift from $M$ to $C_{L}$ as

$$
\Lambda: M \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{L} \quad \Lambda: m \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}  \tag{2.11}\\
m_{1} \\
m_{2} \\
1
\end{array}\right)=\hat{m}
$$

where $u_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\|m\|^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{2}^{2}\right)$. This is the standard lift from $M$ to $C_{L}$ associated to the local projectivization $\Pi: C_{L} \rightarrow M$

$$
\Pi:\left(\begin{array}{l}
u_{0} \\
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow\binom{\frac{u_{1}}{u_{3}}}{\frac{u_{2}}{u_{3}}} .
$$

The Lorentzian group acts on $M$ by conformal transformations using $\Lambda$, namely

$$
\begin{array}{clc}
\Theta(3,1) \times M & \rightarrow & M \\
(\Theta, m) & \rightarrow \Pi \Theta \Lambda(m)=\Theta \cdot m
\end{array}
$$

Locally, elements of $O(3,1)$ can be factored as

$$
g=g_{1} g_{0} g_{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{2.12}\\
\xi & I & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2}\|\xi\|^{2} & \xi^{T} & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & v^{T} & \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^{2} \\
0 & I & v \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)^{T}, v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)^{T}$ and $A \in O(2)$.
With this notation, the general formula for the conformal action of the Lorentzian group is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \cdot m=\frac{\alpha \xi\left(\frac{\|m\|^{2}}{2}+v^{T} m+\frac{\|v\|^{2}}{2}\right)+A(m+v)}{\frac{\alpha}{2}\|\xi\|^{2}\left(\frac{\|m\|^{2}}{2}+v^{T} m+\frac{\|v\|^{2}}{2}\right)+\xi^{T} A(m+v)+\alpha^{-1}} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. The local geometry of non-Radial curves in the light cone

In this section we will find a group-based moving frame along curves in the cone, invariant under the linear action of $O(3,1)$, and we will use it to describe invariants, Serret-Frenet equations, geometric Poisson brackets and their geometric realizations.
3.1. Group based moving frame. We will use the normalization process described in our previous section to construct the right group-based moving frame for the cone. Consider the element $g \in G$ locally defined as in (2.12)

Zeroth order normalization. The first two matrices, $g_{1}$ and $g_{0}$, in (2.12) are members of the isotropy subgroup of $e_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right)$. Therefore, by taking $u$ to $e_{4}$ we can determine the remaining factor $g_{-1}=g_{u}^{-1}$.

$$
g_{-1} \cdot u=g_{-1} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}  \tag{3.1}\\
\hat{u} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right)=c_{0}=e_{4}
$$

From here $v=-\frac{\hat{u}}{u_{3}}$ and $\alpha=u_{3}$. Since $C_{L}$ consists of an upper cone and a lower one, we will restrict to the upper cone $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}^{2}=\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{T} J u^{\prime}>0$. However, you can cover the other case and get the same results, just making sure that you keep track of the negative signs. Notice that the non-degenerate condition $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J} \neq 0$ implies that $u^{\prime}$ is never in the radial direction of the cone.

Definition 3.1. We will say that a curve $u$ is non-radial or star-shaped if $u^{\prime}$ is never in the radial direction of the cone; for example, if $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J} \neq 0$.

First order normalization. To find $A$ and $\xi_{1}$, we need to normalize the first prolongation of the action. Since the action is linear, its recurrent prolongations are given by the matrix multiplication of $g$ by the corresponding derivative. The first normalization equation is thus given by

$$
g u^{\prime}=c_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0  \tag{3.2}\\
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J} \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We can normalize only three equations since the length of $u^{\prime}$ is necessarily preserved by $g$. After substituting the previously found values for $v$ and $\alpha$, and assuming $u_{3} \neq 0$, we find

$$
A^{T}\binom{1}{0}=\frac{\hat{u}^{\prime}-\frac{\hat{u}}{u_{3}} u_{3}^{\prime}}{\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}}
$$

That is,

$$
A=\frac{1}{u_{3}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u_{3} & u_{1} \\
u_{3}^{\prime} & u_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) & \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u_{3} & u_{2} \\
u_{3}^{\prime} & u_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u_{2} & u_{3} \\
u_{2}^{\prime} & u_{3}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) & \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u_{3} & u_{1} \\
u_{3}^{\prime} & u_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\left(a_{i j}\right) .
$$

We also find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}=-\frac{u_{3}^{\prime}}{u_{3}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second order normalization. Finally, we normalize the second prolonged action

$$
g u^{\prime \prime}=c_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
a  \tag{3.5}\\
b \\
c \\
d
\end{array}\right)
$$

Only one of these entries can be normalized since three of the entries are already determined. For example, $\left\langle u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{J}=c_{1}^{T} J c_{2}=\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J} b$, so

$$
b=\frac{\left\langle u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{J}}{\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}}=\left(\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}\right)^{\prime}
$$

Also $\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{J}^{2}=c_{2}^{T} J c_{2}=-2 a d+b^{2}+c^{2}$. Using the fact that $\langle u, u\rangle_{J}$ and its derivatives vanish, and substituting previously found values, one can directly check that $a=$ $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}^{2}$. Normalizing $c=0$, we find

$$
\xi_{2}=\frac{1}{u_{3}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}^{2}} \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{1} & u_{2} & u_{3}  \tag{3.6}\\
u_{1}^{\prime} & u_{2}^{\prime} & u_{3}^{\prime} \\
u_{1}^{\prime \prime} & u_{2}^{\prime \prime} & u_{3}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
d=\frac{-\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{J}^{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}^{2}+\left\langle u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{J}^{2}}{2\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}^{3}}
$$

We can now combine all of the components into the right moving frame:

$$
\rho_{L}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{3} & \hat{u}^{T} & u_{0}\|\hat{u}\|^{2}  \tag{3.7}\\
u_{3} \xi & u_{3} \xi \cdot v^{T}+A & u_{0} \xi\|\hat{u}\|^{2}+A v \\
\frac{u_{3}}{2}\|\xi\|^{2} & \frac{u_{3}}{2}\|\xi\|^{2} v^{T}+\xi^{T} A & \frac{u_{0}}{2}\|\xi\|^{2}\|\hat{u}\|^{2}+\xi^{T} A v+u_{3}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A$ and $\xi$ are as above. The left moving frame will be its inverse.
3.2. Maurer Cartan matrix and differential invariants. We are now in position to find the right Maurer-Cartan matrix $K_{L}=\left(\rho_{L}\right)_{x} \rho_{L}^{-1}$, and with it a generating system of invariants.
Theorem 3.2.

$$
K_{L}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -k_{0} & 0 & 0  \tag{3.8}\\
-k_{1} & 0 & 0 & -k_{0} \\
-k_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -k_{1} & -k_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
k_{0}=\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}, \quad k_{1}=\frac{-\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{J}^{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}^{2}+\left\langle u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{J}^{2}}{2\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}^{4}}
$$

and

$$
k_{2}=\frac{1}{u_{3}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}^{2}} \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
u & u^{\prime} & u^{\prime \prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)+3 \frac{\left\langle u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{J}}{u_{3}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}^{3}} \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
u & u^{\prime} & u^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The invariants $k_{0}, k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ and their derivatives functionally generate any other invariant for curves in the light cone under the centro-affine action of the Lorentzian group.

Proof. Since $K_{L}$ is the right Maurer-Cartan matrix, we can make use of theorem 2.8 and try to find $K_{L}$ solving the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{L} \cdot I_{r}=-I_{r+1}+\left(I_{r}\right)_{x} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{k}=c_{k}$ when normalized, otherwise $I_{k}=\rho u_{k}$. The dot represents the infinitesimal prolonged action on derivatives, which, since the action is linear, is given by $K \cdot c_{r}=K c_{r}$.

When $r=0$ we have

$$
K_{L} e_{4}=-c_{1}
$$

which determines the last column of $K$ as shown in the statement of the theorem.
When $r=1$

$$
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J} K_{L} e_{2}=K_{L} c_{1}=-c_{2}+\left(c_{1}\right)_{x}=-\left(\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c \\
d
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\left(\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}\right)_{x} \\
0 \\
d
\end{array}\right)=-\left(\begin{array}{l}
a \\
0 \\
0 \\
d
\end{array}\right)
$$

which also determined the second column of $K$ to be as shown in the statement.
Since $K_{L} \in \mathfrak{g}$, we now have all entries except for the ones in place $(3,1)$, equal to the one in place $(4,3)$. We have called this entry $-k_{2}$ in the statement. To proceed, we need to use the value $r=2$ in the recurrence relation for $K$, and, in particular, we will need to find the third entry of $c_{3}$, (call it $c_{3}^{3}$ ). This entry is of particular interest since

$$
K \cdot c_{2}=-c_{3}+\left(c_{2}\right)_{x}
$$

implies

$$
k_{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}=-c_{3}^{3}
$$

an equation that will allow us to solve for $k_{2}$ once we know $c_{3}^{3}$.
Since we know $\rho_{L}$, calculating $\rho_{L} u^{\prime \prime \prime}=c_{3}$ directly we conclude

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{3}^{3}=3\left\langle u^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime}\right\rangle_{J} \xi_{2}+e_{2}^{T} A\left(\hat{u}^{\prime \prime \prime}-u_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime} \frac{\hat{u}}{u_{3}}\right) \\
=\frac{1}{u_{3}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}} \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
u & u^{\prime} & u^{\prime \prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)+3 \frac{\left\langle u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{J}}{u_{3}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}^{2}} \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
u & u^{\prime} & u^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This gives the formula for $K_{L}$ as stated in the Theorem.
3.3. Invariant flows of non-radial curves. Once we have a moving frame we can write down a formula for the most general flow of curves invariant under the action of the group, according to Theorem 2.9. Let $\Phi_{g}: C_{L} \rightarrow C_{L}$ be the map given by the action of $G$ on our manifold, that is $\Phi_{g}(x)=g \cdot x$, where this action is given as in (2.10). If $g$ is given by (notice that this is the inverse of (2.12), reflecting the fact that theorem 2.9 requires a left moving frame - the inverse of a right one)

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -v^{T} & \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^{2}  \tag{3.10}\\
0 & I & -v \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
-\xi & I & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2}\|\xi\|^{2} & -\xi^{T} & 1
\end{array}\right)=g_{-1} g_{0} g_{1}
$$

then $d \Phi_{g}(o)$ is given by $d \Phi_{g_{-1}}(o) d \Phi_{g_{0}}(o) d \Phi_{g_{1}}(o)$ (recall that $o=e_{4}$ ). One can easily calculate each of these factors as

$$
d \Phi_{g_{-1}}(o)\binom{\hat{w}}{w_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & -v \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{\hat{w}}{w_{3}}, \quad d \Phi_{g_{0}}(o)\binom{\hat{w}}{w_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & \alpha
\end{array}\right)\binom{\hat{w}}{w_{3}}
$$

$$
d \Phi_{g_{1}}(o)\binom{\hat{w}}{w_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
-\xi^{T} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{\hat{w}}{w_{3}}
$$

Therefore, if $\rho_{L}^{-1}$ is the left moving frame associated to the right moving frame previously found,

$$
d \Phi_{\rho_{L}^{-1}}(o)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{-1}-\hat{u} \xi^{T} & \hat{u} \\
-u_{3} \xi^{T} & u_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Using Theorem [2.9] the following result is immediate.
Theorem 3.3. Assume $\mathbf{u}=\binom{\hat{u}}{u_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{l}u_{1} \\ u_{2} \\ u_{3}\end{array}\right)$

$$
\mathbf{u}_{t}=F\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots\right)
$$

is an evolution of curves in the cone, invariant under the Lorentzian action; that is, $O(3,1)$ takes solutions to solutions. Then, there exist $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ functions of the invariants $k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}$ and their derivatives such that

$$
\mathbf{u}_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{-1}-\hat{u} \xi^{T} & \hat{u}  \tag{3.11}\\
-u_{3} \xi^{T} & u_{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
r_{1} \\
r_{2} \\
r_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A$ and $\xi$ are as in (3.3), (3.4) and (3.6).
Using this theorem we could, in principle, find directly the invariant evolutions that preserve the arc-length $k_{0}=\left\|\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{J}$. But direct calculations are quite involved, so we will choose a simpler path using theorem 2.10. Assume $u$ evolves as in (3.11) and assume that $N=-\left(\rho_{L}\right)_{t} \rho_{L}^{-1}$ is the evolution of the left moving frame $\rho_{L}^{-1}$. Now, consider the section

$$
\varsigma(u)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{3}^{-1} & \frac{u^{T}}{u_{3}} & \frac{1}{2 u_{3}} u \cdot u \\
0 & I & u \\
0 & 0 & u_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

so that $d \varsigma(o) v$ is given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-v_{3} & v^{T} & 0 \\
0 & I & v \\
0 & 0 & v_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Using Theorem 2.10 we can conclude that $N_{m}$, the component of $N$ in the direction of the section given by the $g_{-1}$ factor, is given by $d \varsigma(o)\binom{r}{r_{3}}$. In this case we have

$$
N_{m}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
r_{3} & r^{T} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & r \\
0 & 0 & r_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

On the other hand, if $K$ is the left Maurer-Cartan matrix, the commutation between $\frac{d}{d x}$ and $\frac{d}{d t}$ (or the structure equations) state that

$$
K_{t}=N_{x}+[K, N]
$$

Assume that

$$
N=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-r_{3} & r^{T} & 0 \\
n & N_{0} & r \\
0 & n^{T} & r_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Using the fact that $K=-K_{L}$ where $K_{L}$ is as in (3.8), we can find

$$
\left(k_{0}\right)_{t}=r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{3} k_{0}
$$

Therefore, the condition on our invariant evolution to preserve arc-length is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{3}=-\frac{1}{k_{0}} r_{1}^{\prime} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The structure equations also give you the evolution of the invariants $k_{1}, k_{2}$. Indeed, in $n=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)^{T}$ and $N_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}o & n_{0} \\ -n_{0} & 0\end{array}\right)$, the structure equations become

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & k_{0} & 0 & 0 \\
k_{1} & 0 & 0 & k_{0} \\
k_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & k_{1} & k_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)_{t} \\
=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-r_{3} & r^{T} & 0 \\
n & N_{0} & r \\
0 & n^{T} & r_{3}
\end{array}\right)_{x}+\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
k_{0} n_{1}-k_{1} r_{1}-k_{2} r_{2} & k_{0} r_{3} & k_{0} n_{0} & 0 \\
-k_{1} r_{3}-k_{2} n_{0} & 0 & k_{1} r_{2}-k_{2} r_{1}+k_{0} n_{2} & * \\
-k_{2} r_{3}+k_{1} n_{0} & * & 0 & * \\
0 & * & * & *
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $*$ indicate entries that are determined by the group from the entries shown. These equations imply

$$
n_{0}=-\frac{1}{k_{0}} r_{2}^{\prime}, \quad n_{1}=\frac{1}{k_{0}}\left(k_{1} r_{1}+k_{2} r_{2}+r_{3}^{\prime}\right), \quad n_{2}=\frac{1}{k_{0}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{k_{0}} r_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+k_{2} r_{1}-k_{1} r_{2}\right)
$$

and they give us also the evolutions of $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. These are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(k_{1}\right)_{t}=\left(\frac{1}{k_{0}}\left(k_{1} r_{1}+k_{2} r_{2}+r_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}-k_{1} r_{3}+\frac{k_{2}}{k_{0}} r_{2}^{\prime} \\
& \left(k_{2}\right)_{t}=\left(\frac{1}{k_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{0}} r_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+k_{2} r_{1}-k_{1} r_{2}\right)^{\prime}-k_{2} r_{3}-\frac{k_{1}}{k_{0}} r_{2}^{\prime} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, notice that, if we assume $k_{0}=1$ and the evolution preserves this arclength, then $r_{3}=-r_{1}^{\prime}$ and we get the equations

$$
\binom{k_{1}}{k_{2}}_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-D^{3}+k_{1} D+D k_{1} & D k_{2}+k_{2} D  \tag{3.14}\\
D k_{2}+k_{2} D & D^{3}-D k_{1}-k_{1} D
\end{array}\right)\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}}=P\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}} .
$$

The operator $P$ is known to be one of the Hamiltonian structures for a system of complexly coupled KdV equations.

## 4. The local geometry of curves on the 2-Möbius sphere

In our next section, we will do a study of flows in the conformal sphere, parallel to the one we just did for the cone. The results were previously found in ([15]) for the general case $O(n+1,1)$, but we present them again readjusting the calculations to match those of the cone.
4.1. Group based moving frame. In (2.13) we described the action of $O(3,1)$ on $M$. As before we will use it to find a right moving frame through recurrent prolongations. Assume $g$ is as in (2.12) with slightly different notation ( $B$ instead of $A, w$ instead of $v, \eta$ instead of $\xi$ and $\beta$ instead of $\alpha$ ).

## Zeroth order normalization

As in the light cone case, we first normalize $g_{3} \cdot m=\mathbf{0}=c_{0}$. We can clearly solve this equation by choosing $w=-m$. This suffices since $g_{1}$ and $g_{0}$ are stabilizers of $o=\mathbf{0}$ under the conformal action.

First order normalization
Continuing to the first order normalization, we need to find the first prolongation by differentiating the action (2.13) and then substitute $w=-m$. The result is

$$
g \cdot m^{\prime}=\beta B m^{\prime}
$$

If we choose $c_{1}=e_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{-1}=\left\|m^{\prime}\right\| \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
B=\frac{1}{\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m_{1}^{\prime} & m_{2}^{\prime}  \tag{4.2}\\
-m_{2}^{\prime} & m_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Second order normalization
We now differentiate the action again, substitute the already found values, and normalized to $c_{2}=\binom{0}{0}=\mathbf{0}$.

The second prolonged action then becomes

$$
g \cdot m^{\prime \prime}=\beta\left(\beta \eta\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+B m^{\prime \prime}\right)+2 \eta_{1} e_{1}
$$

which, when made equal to $\mathbf{0}$ allows us to solve for $\eta$

$$
\eta_{1}=\frac{m^{\prime} \cdot m^{\prime \prime}}{\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}, \quad \eta_{2}=-\frac{1}{\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{2}} \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m_{1}^{\prime} & m_{1}^{\prime \prime} \\
m_{2}^{\prime} & m_{2}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We now have the explicit form of the moving frame

$$
\rho_{M}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -m^{T} & \frac{1}{2}\|m\|^{2}  \tag{4.3}\\
\eta & B-\eta m^{T} & \frac{1}{2} \eta\|m\|^{2}-B m \\
\frac{1}{2} \eta_{2}^{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \eta_{2}^{2} m^{T}+\eta^{T} B & \frac{1}{4} \eta_{2}^{2}\|m\|^{2}-\eta^{T} B m+1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $B$ and $\eta$ are given above.
4.2. Maurer Cartan matrix and differential invariants. As in the cone case, we can find the Maurer Cartan matrix associated to this moving frame and with it a generating system of differential conformal invariants.
Theorem 4.1. If $K_{M}=\left(\rho_{M}\right)_{x} \rho_{M}^{-1}$, then

$$
K_{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -1 & 0 & 0  \tag{4.4}\\
-\kappa_{1} & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
-\kappa_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\kappa_{1} & -\kappa_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\kappa_{1}=\frac{m^{\prime} \cdot m^{\prime \prime \prime}}{\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}-\frac{3}{2} \frac{\left(m^{\prime} \cdot m^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}}{\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{4}}+\frac{3}{2} \frac{\operatorname{det}^{2}\left(m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime}\right)}{\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{4}}
$$

and

$$
\kappa_{2}=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)}{\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}-3 \frac{\left(m^{\prime} \cdot m^{\prime \prime}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime}\right)}{\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{4}} .
$$

The invariants $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ and their derivatives functionally generate any other invariant for non-degenerate curves in the light cone.

Proof. As before we can use the relation

$$
K_{M} \cdot I_{r}=-I_{r+1}+\left(I_{r}\right)_{x}
$$

to find $K_{M}$, where the action • indicates the infinitesimal prolonged action associated to (2.13). For $r=0$ this action is given by

$$
K_{M} \cdot c_{0}=\frac{1}{2} K_{\eta}\left\|c_{0}\right\|^{2}+K_{B} c_{0}+K_{w}-c_{0}\left(-b+K_{\eta}^{T} c_{0}\right)=-c_{1}+\left(c_{0}\right)_{x}
$$

where

$$
K_{M}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
b & K_{w}^{T} & 0 \\
K_{\eta} & K_{B} & K_{w} \\
0 & K_{\eta}^{T} & -b
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $K_{B}$ skew symmetric. Substituting $c_{0}=0$ and $c_{1}=e_{1}$ we get $K_{w}=-e_{1}$.
Calculating the infinitesimal version of the first prolonged action results in the formula for $r=1$, that is

$$
K_{M} \cdot c_{1}=K_{\eta} c_{0}^{T} c_{1}+K_{B} c_{1}-c_{1}\left(-b+K_{\eta}^{T} c_{0}\right)-c_{0} K_{\eta}^{T} c_{1}=-c_{2}+\left(c_{1}\right)_{x}
$$

which after substituting $c_{0}=c_{2}=0$ and $c_{1}=e_{1}$ becomes $K_{B} e_{1}=0$, and $b=0$ that is $K_{B}=0, b=0$ since $K_{B}$ is skew-symmetric.

Finally we use the case $r=2$. We need to calculate the infinitesimal version of the second prolonged action. It is given by
$K_{M} \cdot c_{2}=K_{\eta}\left(c_{1}^{T} c_{1}+c_{0}^{T} c_{2}\right)+K_{B} c_{2}-c_{2}\left(K_{\eta}^{T} c_{0}-b\right)-2 c_{1} K_{\eta}^{T} c_{1}-c_{0} K_{\eta}^{T} c_{2}=-c_{3}+\left(c_{2}\right)_{x}$ which becomes $K_{\eta}-2 K_{\eta}=-K_{\eta}=-c_{3}$ after normalization. Therefore $K_{\eta}=c_{3}=$ $\rho \cdot m^{\prime \prime \prime}$. We can calculate directly this product as before. If $\rho_{M} \cdot m^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{E}{F}$, a fraction given as in in (2.13), then using previous normalizations we obtain

$$
\rho_{M} \cdot m^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{E^{\prime \prime \prime}}{F}-3 \frac{F^{\prime \prime}}{F} e_{1} .
$$

After long but straightforward calculations we obtain that

$$
c_{3}=\rho_{M} \cdot m^{\prime \prime \prime}=\binom{\kappa_{1}}{\kappa_{2}}
$$

where $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$ are as given in the statement of the theorem.
4.3. Invariant evolutions of conformal curves. As in the case of the cone, we would like to find the formula for any invariant evolution of curves in the conformal sphere associated to the left moving frame $\rho^{-1}$ as in theorem 2.9. The action this time is given by (2.13), and, as before, if $g=g_{-1} g_{0} g_{1}$ is as in (3.10), then $d \Phi_{g}(o)=d \Phi_{g_{-1}}(o) d \Phi_{g_{0}}(o) d \Phi_{g_{1}}(o)$. In this case is is actually simpler. From the formula one can see that $g_{-1}$ acts by translation, and so $d \Phi_{g_{-1}}(o)=I$. Also,

$$
\Phi_{g_{1}}(m)=g_{1} \cdot m=\frac{m-\frac{1}{2} \eta\|m\|^{2}}{1-\eta^{T} m+\frac{1}{4}\|\eta\|^{2}\|m\|^{2}}
$$

and one can check directly that $d \Phi_{g_{1}}(o)=I$. Therefore, $d \Phi_{g}(o)=d \Phi_{g_{0}}(o)$. Finally, $\Phi_{g_{0}}(m)=\beta B m$, therefore $d \Phi_{\rho}(o)=\beta^{-1} B^{-1}$, where $\beta$ and $B$ are given as in (4.2) and (4.1). We just prove the following result.
Theorem 4.2. Assume $m$ is a solution of a conformally invariant evolution of the form

$$
m_{t}=F\left(m, m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}, \ldots\right)
$$

Then

$$
m_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m_{1}^{\prime} & -m_{2}^{\prime}  \tag{4.5}\\
m_{2}^{\prime} & m_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\binom{s_{1}}{s_{2}}
$$

where $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are functions of the differential invariants $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ and their derivatives.

As before, we can find the evolution that $m_{t}$ induces on the invariants $\kappa_{i}$, using theorem 2.10 and the structure equations.

Consider the section of the sphere

$$
\varsigma(m)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & m^{T} & \frac{1}{2}\|m\|^{2} \\
0 & I & m \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

so that

$$
d \varsigma(o) v=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & v^{T} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & v \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

According to Theorem 2.10, if $m(t, x)$ satisfies equation (4.5), and it $\rho_{M}(t, x)$ is the flow of right moving frames associated to $m$, then

$$
N=-\left(\rho_{M}\right)_{t} \rho_{M}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & \mathbf{s}^{T} & 0 \\
n & N_{0} & \mathbf{s} \\
0 & n^{T} & -\alpha
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)^{T}$. Furthermore, if $K=-K_{M}$ is the left Maurer-Cartan matrix, the structure equations

$$
K_{t}=N_{x}+[K, N]
$$

will be identical to those of the cone, with $k_{0}=1, \mathbf{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)^{T}$ taking the role of $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)^{T}$ and $-\alpha$ substituting $r_{3}$. That is, the evolution of $\kappa_{i}$ will coincide with that of $k_{i}$ if $k_{0}=1$ with $s_{i}$ instead of $r_{i}$. The equation also forces the value $\alpha=s_{1}^{\prime}$. These conclusions prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. If $m(t, x)$ satisfies the equation 4.5), then its invariants $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ satisfy the equation

$$
\binom{\kappa_{1}}{\kappa_{2}}_{t}=P\binom{s_{1}}{s_{2}}
$$

where $P$ is the operator given in (3.14).

## 5. The relationship between the two geometries

As we just saw, the evolution induced on $\kappa_{i}$ by the invariant evolution $m_{t}$ coincides with that of $k_{i}$ induced by $u_{t}$ whenever $r_{i}=s_{i}, i=1,2$, and whenever $u$ is parametrized by arc-length. In this section we will show that, in fact, $k_{1}$ are taken to $\kappa_{i}$ under projectivization and the curve evolutions themselves $u_{t}$ and $m_{t}$ are also related by projectivization.
5.1. Differential invariants. We will show that the two remaining invariants of the cone and the sphere are 1-to-1 related if we re-parameterize to have $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}=1$. Let $\tilde{m}$ be given by

$$
\tilde{m}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \| m^{2}  \tag{5.1}\\
m_{1} \\
m_{2} \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and let $u=\tilde{m} u_{3}, m=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)^{T}$ be an associated lift and its coordinates. Notice that $\left\|\tilde{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{J}=\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|,\left\langle\tilde{m}^{\prime}, \tilde{m}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{J}=\left\langle m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$, and likewise for higher order derivatives. Notice also that, if $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}=1$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
1=u^{\prime T} J u^{\prime}=\left(u_{3} \tilde{m}\right)^{\prime T} J\left(u_{3} \tilde{m}\right)=u_{3}^{2}\left\|\tilde{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{J}^{2}+2 u_{3} u_{3}^{\prime}\left\langle\tilde{m}, \tilde{m}^{\prime}\right\rangle_{J}+u_{3}^{\prime 2}\|\tilde{m}\|_{J}^{2} \\
=u_{3}^{2}\left\|\tilde{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{J}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore $u_{3}=\frac{1}{\left\|\tilde{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{J}}$ uniquely ensures that the lift of $m$ to the cone defined by $u$ is parametrized by arc-length. Let us call that lift $\Lambda: M \rightarrow C_{L}, \Lambda(m)=u=\frac{\tilde{m}}{\left\|\tilde{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{J}}$.

Next,

$$
\left\langle u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\rangle_{J}=3 u_{3}^{\prime \prime} u_{3}\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+3 u_{3}^{\prime} u_{3}^{\prime}\left\langle\tilde{m}, \tilde{m}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{J}+u_{3}^{2}\left\langle m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\rangle .
$$

So

$$
k_{1}=\frac{\left\langle u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\rangle_{J}}{2}=-\frac{3}{4} \frac{\left(\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right)_{x}^{2}}{\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{4}}+\frac{\left\langle m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\rangle_{J}}{\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}+\frac{3}{2} \frac{\left\|m^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}=\kappa_{1}
$$

where we have used the relation

$$
\left\langle m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{J}^{2}+\operatorname{det}\left(m^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=\left\|m^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{2}
$$

Equally

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{2}=u_{3}^{2} \operatorname{det}\left(m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime}\right)+3 u_{3} u_{3}^{\prime} \operatorname{det}\left(m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
= & \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)}{\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}-3 \frac{m^{\prime} \cdot m^{\prime \prime}}{\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{4}} \operatorname{det}\left(m^{\prime} m^{\prime \prime}\right)=\kappa_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

5.2. Invariant evolutions. We will now relate invariant evolutions in both the cone and sphere as reflected in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. Assume $\mathbf{u}$ evolves following the equation (3.11). Assume $r_{3}=r_{1}^{\prime}$ so that the evolution preserves arc-length, and assume further that our initial condition satisfies $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}=1$. Let $m=\Pi(u)$ be the projectivization of $u$. Then $m$ satisfies equation 4.5 with $s_{i}=r_{i}, i=1,2$. And vice-versa, a flow $m$ in the sphere can be lifted uniquely to a flow $u$ in the cone parametrized by arc-length and the lifting takes the $m$ evolution to the $\mathbf{u}$ evolution.
Proof. Assume $\mathbf{u}$ satisfies (3.11) and let $m=\frac{1}{u_{3}} \hat{u}$, where, as before, $\mathbf{u}=\binom{\hat{u}}{u_{3}}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{t}=\frac{1}{u_{3}} \hat{u}_{t}-\frac{\left(u_{3}\right)_{t}}{u_{3}^{2}} \hat{u}=\frac{1}{u_{3}}\left(\left(A^{-1}-\hat{u} \xi^{T}\right)\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}}+r_{3} \hat{u}\right)+\frac{1}{u_{3}^{2}}\left(u_{3} \xi^{T}\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}}-u_{3} r_{3}\right) \hat{u} \\
=\frac{1}{u_{3}} A^{-1}\binom{r_{1}}{r_{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

the matrix $A$ given as in (3.3). Now, from (3.3) we can see that

$$
A^{T}\binom{1}{0}=\frac{1}{\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}}\left(\hat{u}^{\prime}-\frac{u_{3}^{\prime}}{u_{3}} \hat{u}\right)=\frac{u_{3}}{\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}}\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{u_{3}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{u_{3}}{\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}} m^{\prime}
$$

Since $A^{T}=A^{-1}$, this determines $A^{-1}$ completely. Finally, if $u$ is parametrized by arc-length,

$$
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}^{2}=\hat{u}^{\prime} \cdot \hat{u}^{\prime}-2 u_{3}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{u_{3}} \hat{u} \cdot \hat{u}^{\prime}-\frac{u_{3}^{\prime}}{2 u_{3}^{2}}\|\hat{u}\|^{2}\right)=\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{2} u_{3}^{2} .
$$

Therefore $u_{3}=\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{-1}$ and the formula for the evolution of $m$ is as in (4.5). We can clearly walk our way back to obtain the second part of the theorem using the unique lifting $m \rightarrow u$ where $u_{3}=\left\|m^{\prime}\right\|^{-1}$.
5.3. Geometric Hamiltonian structures. As we explained in section 2.2, any space of invariants $\mathcal{K}$ associated to a homogeneous space $G / H$ inherits a Poisson structure linked to invariant evolutions of curves in $G / H$ as in theorem 2.12. In this section we will show that the projectivization map $\Pi$ induces a Poisson isomorphism between the space of invariants of curves parametrized by arc-length in the light cone (as given by the section $K_{L}$ in (3.8) with $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{J}=1$ ) and the space of invariants of unparametrized curves in the conformal sphere (as given by the section $K_{M}$ in (4.4)). Let's denote the former by $\mathcal{K}_{L}^{1}$ and the latter by $\mathcal{K}_{M}$.

Theorem 5.2. The projectivization map $\Pi$ induces a Poisson map between the spaces of differential invariants $\mathcal{K}_{L}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{M}$ endowed with their respective geometric Poisson brackets. Furthermore, $\mathcal{K}_{L}$ with its geometric Poisson bracket foliates into Poisson submanifolds corresponding to constant values of $k_{0}$ and the Poisson sunmanifold for $k_{0}=1$ is Poisson equivalent to $\mathcal{K}_{M}$.

Proof. Notice that both geometric Poisson brackets are reductions of the same bracket, namely (2.3), to the quotients $\hat{U} / \mathcal{L} H_{L}$ and $\tilde{U} / \mathcal{L} H_{M}$ where $\hat{U}$ and $\tilde{U}$ are open subsets of $\mathcal{L o}(3,1)^{*}$ (since these are local results, we will define the manifolds as given by the non-void intersection $U=\hat{U} \cap \tilde{U}$. See [14). Notice also that $H_{L} \subset H_{M}$, in fact $H_{L}$ is a subgroup of $H_{M}$. Therefore, there is a natural inclusion

$$
\mathcal{K}_{M} \equiv U / \mathcal{L} H_{M} \subset U / \mathcal{L} H_{L} \equiv \mathcal{K}_{L}
$$

This inclusion is easily described by $\mathcal{K}_{M}$ as an affine subspace of $\mathcal{K}_{L}$ (given by $k_{0}=1$ ).

Now, from theorem 2.11 we know that (2.3) reduces to both $\mathcal{K}_{L}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{M}$, and hence we can conclude that the geometric bracket in $\mathcal{K}_{M}$ is a further reduction of the bracket in $\mathcal{K}_{L}$ to the subspace $k_{0}=1$.

In fact this further reduction is simply a restriction as $\mathcal{K}_{M}$ is a Poisson submanifold of $\mathcal{K}_{L}$. This can be easily seen by finding it explicitly.

The cone is isomorphic to $O(3,1) / H_{L}$, where $H_{L}$ in this case is the isotropy group of $e_{4}$ under the linear action. That is, it corresponds to $\alpha=1, v=0$ in (2.12). We notice that the algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{L}$ is given by $z=0, a=0$ in (2.9). Therefore $\mathfrak{h}_{L}^{0}$ is given, under identification with its dual using the trace, by matrices of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
* & 0 & 0 \\
* & 0 & 0 \\
0 & * & *
\end{array}\right) .
$$

To calculate the reduction of the bracket (2.3) we will need to use constant extensions to the gauge leaves of functionals defined on $\mathcal{K}_{L}$. From the form of $K_{L}$
in (3.8), any such an extension will need to have a variational derivative of the form

$$
\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{L}}{\delta L}(K)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
c & \frac{1}{2} h_{1} & \frac{1}{2} h_{2} & 0  \tag{5.2}\\
\frac{1}{2} h_{0} & 0 & d & \frac{1}{2} h_{1} \\
e & -d & 0 & \frac{1}{2} h_{2} \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} h_{0} & e & -c
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $h_{i}=\frac{\delta h}{\delta k_{i}}(\mathbf{k})$. If the extension is constant on the gauge leaves, infinitesimally we will have

$$
\left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{L}}{\delta L}(K)\right)_{x}+\left[K, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{L}}{\delta L}(K)\right] \in \mathfrak{h}_{L}^{0}
$$

where $K=-K_{L}$ since we are considering left Maurer-Cartan matrices. This condition splits into the following equations for the entries of $\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{L}}{\delta L}(K)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{2} h_{1}^{\prime}-k_{0} c=0, \quad \frac{1}{2} h_{2}^{\prime}+k_{0} d=0 \\
k_{0} e+d^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}\left(k_{2} h_{1}-k_{1} h_{2}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

and they allow us to solve for the entries of $\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{L}}{\delta L}(K)$

$$
c=\frac{1}{2 k_{0}} h_{1}^{\prime}, \quad d=-\frac{1}{2 k_{0}} h_{2}^{\prime}, \quad e=\frac{1}{k_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{2 k_{0}} h_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2 k_{0}}\left(k_{2} h_{1}-k_{1} h_{2}\right)
$$

If two such an extensions are found, we merely need to use (2.3) to find our reduced bracket. That is, let $h$ and $f$ be two functionals on $\mathcal{K}_{L}$ and let $\mathcal{H}_{L}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{L}$ be two extensions found as above. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{h, f\}(\mathbf{k})=\int_{S^{1}} \operatorname{trace}\left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_{L}}{\delta L}(K)\left(\left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{L}}{\delta L}(K)\right)_{x}+\left[K, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{L}}{\delta L}(K)\right]\right)\right) d x \\
= & \int_{S^{1}}\left(f_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} h_{0}^{\prime}+k_{1} c-k_{2} d\right)+\frac{1}{k_{0}} f_{1}^{\prime}\left(c^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} k_{0} h_{0}-\frac{1}{2}\left(k_{1} h_{1}+k_{2} h_{2}\right)\right)+f_{2}\left(e^{\prime}+k_{2} c+k_{1} d\right)\right) d x \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{1}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
f_{0} & f_{1} & f_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -D \frac{1}{k_{0}} D \frac{1}{k_{0}} D+D \frac{k_{1}}{k_{0}}+\frac{k_{1}}{k_{0}} D & 0 \\
0 & \frac{k_{2}}{k_{0}} D+D \frac{k_{2}}{k_{0}} & D \frac{1}{k_{0}} D \frac{1}{k_{0}} D-D \frac{k_{2}}{k_{0}} D-D \frac{k_{1}}{k_{0}}-\frac{k_{1}}{k_{0}} D
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
h_{0} \\
h_{1} \\
h_{2}
\end{array}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

A direct consequence of this theorem is the following corollary.
Corollary 5.3. If an invariant evolution of curves in the cone induces a Hamiltonian evolution on its invariants, the evolution needs to preserve arc-length.

This corollary is somehow surprising. Although it is true that the invariant projective subspace is a Poisson submanifold of the cone invariants, there was no apriori reason why the cone should not have its own evolutions inducing Hamiltonian evolutions on its invariants and not necessarily preserving arc-length. After all, invariants in the cone constitute a Poisson manifold on their own independently from the sphere. What this calculation tells us is that it is, in fact, the invariant conformal Poisson manifold in disguise and geometric realizations of Hamiltonian systems that do not preserve arc-length do not exist.

## 6. A COMPLETELY INTEGRABLE FLOW BY NON-RADIAL CURVES ON THE LIGHT CONE

The conformal sphere hosts conformal geometric realizations of a system of complexly coupled KdV equations. This was known originally in [16], and it was proved later in [15] for any dimension. The relation between cone and conformal sphere allows us to translate this realization to the cone and to obtain an geometric realization of a complexly coupled KdV system by star-shaped flows in the cone. The following theorem follows directly from our calculations.

Theorem 6.1. Consider the Hamiltonian functional

$$
h(\mathbf{k})=\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{1}}\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right) d x
$$

Then, the star-shaped flow of curves in the cone given by the evolution

$$
\mathbf{u}_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{-1}-\hat{u} \xi^{T} & \hat{u}  \tag{6.1}\\
-u_{3} \xi^{T} & u_{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
k_{1} \\
k_{2} \\
k_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

preserves arc-length and, if the initial condition is parametrized by arc-length, then it induces a complexly coupled system of $K d V$ equations on the invariants $k_{1}, k_{2}$.

Proof. Notice that, according to (3.13), and since $k_{0}=1$, the evolution on $k_{1}, k_{2}$ induced by this curve evolution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(k_{1}\right)_{t} & =-k_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 k_{1} k_{1}^{\prime}+3 k_{2} k_{2}^{\prime} \\
\left(k_{2}\right)_{t} & =k_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}+k_{1}^{\prime} k_{2}-k_{1} k_{2}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, a complexly coupled KdV system.
This system of equations is known to be completely integrable and biHamiltonian; that is, Hamiltonian with respect to two different but compatible Poisson structures (compatible means that their sum is also Poisson). Both brackets (2.3) and (2.4) are Poisson and compatible for any choice of $L_{0}$. To finish the paper we will show that, indeed, (2.4) is also reducible to both $\mathcal{K}_{L}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{M}$ (the $\mathcal{K}_{M}$ case was already proved in [15]), its reduction also foliates according to different values of $k_{0}$, and when $k_{0}=1$ both cases are identical. Notice that there are examples ([17]) when (2.4) is not reducible to $\mathcal{K}$ : when $G=\mathrm{SL}(4, \mathbb{R})$ and $H$ is chosen so that $G / H$ is the Lagrangian Grassmannian, (2.4) does not reduce for any value of $L_{0}$. Only the reduction of (2.3) is guaranteed.

Theorem 6.2. The Poisson bracket 2.4) is reducible to both $\mathcal{K}_{L}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{M}$. The reduced bracket on $\mathcal{K}_{L}$ foliates into Poisson submanifolds corresponding to different constant values of $k_{0}$, and when $k_{0}=1$ the Poisson submanifold $\mathcal{K}_{L}^{1}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{K}_{M}$.

Proof. To calculate the possible reduction we need to proceed as in the case of the main bracket. If $h: \mathcal{K}_{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and if $\mathcal{H}_{L}$ is an extension of $h$, constant on the leaves of $\mathcal{L} H_{L}$, then $\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{L}}{\delta L}\left(K_{L}\right)$ is determined to be as in (5.2). If $\mathcal{F}_{L}$ is a similar extension of a Hamiltonian functional $f$, the possible reduction of (2.4) would then be given by

$$
\{h, f\}_{0}(\mathbf{k})=\int_{S^{1}} \operatorname{trace}\left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_{L}}{\delta L}\left(K_{L}\right)\left[L_{0}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{L}}{\delta L}\left(K_{L}\right)\right]\right) d x
$$

Let us choose

$$
L_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
e_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e_{1}^{T} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

With this choice, the possible reduction looks like (we are denoting by $d_{h}, d_{f}$ the entries in (5.2) corresponding to the extensions of $h$ and $f$, respectively. Likewise for the other entries.)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\{h, f\})(\mathbf{k})=\int_{S^{1}}\left(h_{1} c_{f}-f_{1} c_{h}+h_{2} d_{f}-f_{2} d_{h}\right) d x=\int_{S^{1}} \frac{1}{2 k_{0}}\left(h_{1} f_{1}^{\prime}-f_{1} h_{1}^{\prime}-h_{2} f_{2}^{\prime}+f_{2} h_{2}^{\prime}\right) d x \\
=\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{1}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
f_{0} & f_{1} & f_{2}
\end{array}\right) P_{0}\left(\begin{array}{c}
h_{0} \\
h_{1} \\
h_{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
P_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -D \frac{1}{k_{0}}-\frac{1}{k_{0}} D & 0 \\
0 & 0 & D \frac{1}{k_{0}}+\frac{1}{k_{0}} D
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is a very simple exercise to prove that $P_{0}$ is a Poisson tensor, it preserves constant values of $k_{0}$, and when $k_{0}=1$, the bracket restricts to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-D & 0 \\
0 & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

This is the second Hamiltonian structure for the complexly coupled KdV system.

Notice that if we have a solution of the complexly coupled system - including soliton solutions - we can directly find a solution for the curve evolution (6.1) using the moving frame $\rho_{L}$ along $u(t, x)$. Indeed, if $k_{i}(t, x)$ is such a solution we can reconstruct $\rho_{L}$. The frame $\rho_{L}$ will be the solution of the linear system $\left(\rho_{L}\right)_{x}=\rho_{L} K_{L}$ with appropriate initial conditions determined by the initial conditions of the KdV solution. Once we solve this linear system we can readily find a solution of (6.1) through the relation $\rho_{L} \cdot e_{4}=u$. This process allows us to describe soliton flows in the cone by simply solving a first order linear system, instead of a system of two third order ODEs.

## References

[1] S. Anco. Bi-Hamiltonian operators, integrable flows of curves using moving frames, and geometric map equations, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 39 (2006), 2043-2072.
[2] É. Cartan. La Méthode du Repère Mobile, la Théorie des Groupes Continus et les Espaces Généralisés, Exposés de Géométrie 5, (1935) Hermann, Paris.
[3] A. Calini, T. Ivey and G. Mari-Beffa. Remarks on KdV-type flows of star-shaped curves, Physica D: Volume 238, (2009) Issue 8, 788-797.
[4] M. Fels, P.J. Olver. Moving coframes. I. A practical algorithm, Acta Appl. Math., pp 99-136, 1997.
[5] M. Fels, P.J. Olver. Moving coframes. II. Regularization and theoretical foundations. Acta Appl. Math., pp 127-208, 1999.
[6] F. Gay-Balmaz, T.S. Ratiu. Group actions on chains of Banach manifolds and applications to fluid dynamics, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 31 (2007), no. 3, 287-328.
[7] P.A. Griffiths. On Cartan's method of Lie groups and moving frames as applied to uniqueness and existence questions in differential geometry, Duke Math. J. 41 (1974), 775-814.
[8] M.L. Green. The moving frame, differential invariants and rigidity theorems for curves in homogeneous spaces, Duke Mathematical Journal, 45, (1978) 735-779.
[9] V. Guillemin, S. Stenberg. Variations on a theme by Kepler, Colloquium publ. AMS, 42, (1990) Providence R.I.
[10] R. Hasimoto, A soliton on a vortex filament, J. Fluid Mechanics, 51 (1972) 477-485.
[11] E. Hubert. Generation properties of differential invariants in the moving frame methods, preprint, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00194528/en
[12] Kai-Seng Chou and C. Qu. Integrable equations arising from motions of plane curves, Phys. D, 162(1-2), (2002) 9-33.
[13] Kai-Seng Chou and C. Qu. Integrable equations arising from motions of plane curves II, J. Nonlinear Sci., 13(15), (2003) 487-517.
[14] G. Marí Beffa. On bi-Hamiltonian flows and their realizations as curves in real homogeneous manifold, to appear in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics.
[15] G. Marí Beffa. Projective-type differential invariants and geometric curve evolutions of KdV-type in flat homogeneous manifolds, Annales de l'Institut Fourier, Tome 58,4 (2008) 1295-1335.
[16] G. Marí Beffa. Conformal analogue of the ADG bracket in two dimensions, J. Phy. A: Math Gen, 33 (2000) 4689-4707, and corrigenda J.Phy.A: Math Gen 33(2000) 8601.
[17] G. Marí Beffa. On completely integrable geometric evolutions of curves of Lagrangian planes, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburg, 137A (2007)111-131.
[18] U. Pinkall, Hamiltonian flows on the space of star-shaped curves, Result. Math. 27 (1995), 328-332.
[19] A. Pressley, G. Segal. Loop groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 1997.
[20] C.L. Terng, G. Thorbergsson. Completely integrable flows on adjoint orbits. Result Math. 40 (2001), 286-309.
[21] C.L. Terng, K. Uhlenbeck. Schrödinger flows on Grassmannians, Integrable systems, geometry and topology, AMS/IP Stud. Adv.Math, AMS, Providence (2006), 235-256.

Mathematics Department, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912
E-mail address: tcanderson@math.brown.edu
Mathematics Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706
E-mail address: maribeff@math.wisc.edu


[^0]:    Date: July, 2010.
    1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 37K; Secondary: 53A55.
    Key words and phrases. Invariant evolutions of curves, Poisson brackets, differential invariants, light cone, conformal sphere, completely integrable PDEs, complexly coupled KdV, moving frames.
    G. M. B. supported by NSF grant DMS \#0804541, T. C. A. supported by UW Madison Hilldale fellowship and the L\&S Summer Honors Senior Thesis grant.

