

Sequential two-fold Pearson chi-squared test and tails of the Bessel process distributions

Savelov M.P.

Lomonosov Moscow State University
Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology
Email: savelov.mp@mipt.ru

December 31, 2021

Abstract

We find asymptotic formulas for error probabilities of two-fold Pearson goodness-of-fit test as functions of two critical levels. These results may be reformulated in terms of tails of two-dimensional distributions of the Bessel process. Necessary properties of the Infeld function are obtained.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study joint distributions of the values of Pearson statistics arising in the sequential χ^2 test (see [1], [6]-[8]). Consider a scheme of independent trials with N outcomes ($N \geq 2$). The hypothesis H states that the probability of the j -th outcome is $p_j, j = 1, \dots, N$. Let $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_r$ be the volumes of nested samples. We consider the variables $\mu_j^{(k)}$ which are equal to 1 if j -th outcome appeared in the k -th trial and $\mu_j^{(k)} = 0$ in another case. Denote by $\nu_{ij} := \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} \mu_j^{(k)}$ the number of j -th outcomes in the first n_i trials. We consider the Pearson statistics $X(n_i) := \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{(\nu_{ij} - n_i p_j)^2}{n_i p_j}$. Define $X := (X(n_1), X(n_2), \dots, X(n_r))$. A sequential r -fold Pearson chi-squared test is constructed with the help of statistics X as follows: the critical values $x_1^*, \dots, x_r^*$ are selected; the hypothesis H is rejected if and only if $X(n_k) > x_k^*$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$, i.e. the probability α of rejection of the basic hypothesis H in case when it is true is equal to $P(X(n_1) > x_1^*, X(n_2) > x_2^*, \dots, X(n_r) > x_r^* | H)$. In other words, α is the level of significance of the test.

In [1] the following formula for α was obtained (see (4.10)):

$$\alpha = \alpha(x_1^*, \dots, x_r^*) = \int_{\frac{x_1^*}{2}}^{\infty} \dots \int_{\frac{x_r^*}{2}}^{\infty} p_{r,0}(u_1, \dots, u_r) du_1 \dots du_r$$

where

$$p_{r,0}(u_1, \dots, u_r) = \frac{e^{-\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{u_i}{\lambda_i}}}{K_r^{1+\delta} (b_1 \cdot \dots \cdot b_{r-1})^{1+\delta} \Gamma(1+\delta) \prod_{i=1}^r \lambda_i} \cdot \left(\frac{u_1 u_r}{\lambda_1 \lambda_r} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} I_{\delta} \left(2 \sqrt{b_i \cdot \frac{u_i u_{i+1}}{\lambda_i \lambda_{i+1}}} \right). \quad (1)$$

The parameters K_r , θ , λ_i , b_i are expressed in terms of n_i , N and r as follows:

$$\rho_0 := 0, \rho_r := 0, \rho_i := \sqrt{\frac{n_i}{n_{i+1}}}, b_i := \frac{\rho_i^2(1 - \rho_{i-1}^2)(1 - \rho_{i+1}^2)}{(1 - \rho_{i-1}^2\rho_i^2)(1 - \rho_i^2\rho_{i+1}^2)},$$

$$\lambda_i = \frac{(1 - \rho_{i-1}^2)(1 - \rho_i^2)}{1 - \rho_{i-1}^2\rho_i^2}, K_r := \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{r-1}(1 - \rho_k^2\rho_{k-1}^2)}{\prod_{k=1}^{r-1}(1 - \rho_k^2)}, \delta = \frac{N-3}{2}.$$

Here $I_\delta(x)$ is the Infeld function (see, e.g., [3]) which is defined as follows: $I_\delta(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{x}{2})^{\delta+2k}}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+\delta+1)}$.

Moreover, in the formula (1) (see (4.10) in [1]) there is a typo, which is easy to establish by going from formula (4.7) to formula (4.8), in which the degree of the product $(b_1 \cdots b_{r-1})$ in the denominator is incorrect and it led to the error in (4.10).

Thus, the correct formula for $p_{r,0}$ has the following form:

$$p_{r,0}(u_1, \dots, u_r) = \frac{e^{-\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{u_i}{\lambda_i}}}{K_r^{1+\delta}(b_1 \cdots b_{r-1})^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\Gamma(1+\delta)\prod_{i=1}^r \lambda_i} \cdot \left(\frac{u_1 u_r}{\lambda_1 \lambda_r}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} I_\delta\left(2\sqrt{b_i \cdot \frac{u_i u_{i+1}}{\lambda_i \lambda_{i+1}}}\right). \quad (2)$$

In this paper we will consider only the case $r = 2$. Let us introduce the following notation. By definition, let $c := \sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n_2}}$, $K_2 := \frac{1}{1-c^2}$, $\beta := 1 - c^2$, $\delta := \frac{N-3}{2}$. It is easy to see that $0 < c < 1$. In addition, we assume (following [1]) that $n_1, n_2 \rightarrow \infty$ so that c converges to some limiting value, which we also denote by c (assume that $0 < c < 1$).

In this notation (and in case $r = 2$) the formula (2) transforms to the following equality:

$$\alpha = \alpha(x_1^*, x_2^*) = \int_{\frac{x_1^*}{2}}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{x_2^*}{2}}^{\infty} p_{2,0}(u_1, u_2) du_1 du_2$$

where

$$p_{2,0}(u_1, u_2) = \frac{e^{-(\frac{u_1}{\beta} + \frac{u_2}{\beta})}}{K_2^{1+\delta} c^\delta \Gamma(1+\delta) \beta^2} \cdot \left(\frac{u_1 u_2}{\beta^2}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \cdot I_\delta\left(\frac{2c\sqrt{u_1 u_2}}{\beta}\right).$$

Proceeding from this formula, we obtain asymptotic formulas for the significance level α (in the case $r = 2$) and also estimate the error of this asymptotic formula. Note that it allows us (with the help of Bonferroni inequality) to obtain two-sided estimates of α in the case of an arbitrary r .

In order to obtain explicit formulas for α we require the properties of the Infeld function $I_\nu(x)$, which are presented in the following theorem and are of independent interest. Let

$$\Psi(\nu, x) := e^{-2x} + \left(\frac{|4\nu^2 - 1|}{8x} + G(\nu, x) \cdot \frac{|(4\nu^2 - 1)(4\nu^2 - 9)|}{32x^2}\right) \cdot (1 + e^{-2x})$$

where $G(\nu, x) = \left(1 - \frac{\nu - \frac{1}{2}}{2x}\right)^{-2\nu-1}$ if $\nu \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $G(\nu, x) = \left(1 - \frac{\nu + \frac{3}{2}}{2x}\right)^{-\nu - \frac{3}{2}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{2\nu+2}{x}\right)$ if $0 \leq \nu < \frac{1}{2}$. Obviously, the function $\Psi(\nu, x)$ decreases monotonically as $x > 0$. The following theorem holds true.

Theorem 1. *If $\nu > \frac{1}{2}$ and $2x_0 > \nu - \frac{1}{2}$ or if $0 \leq \nu < \frac{1}{2}$ and $2x_0 > \nu + \frac{3}{2}$ then the inequality $\left|\frac{I_\nu(x)}{\left(\frac{e^x}{\sqrt{2\pi x}}\right)} - 1\right| \leq \Psi(\nu, x)$ holds true for all $x \geq x_0$. If $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ then $\left|\frac{I_\nu(x)}{\left(\frac{e^x}{\sqrt{2\pi x}}\right)} - 1\right| \leq \Psi(\nu, x) := e^{-2x}$ for all $x > 0$.*

Next, we need the following notation: $\rho := \sqrt{\frac{x_2^*}{x_1^*}}$ and $\lambda := \frac{x_1^*}{2\beta}$. The following proposition holds true.

Proposition 1. Let $N \geq 3$, $\lambda > 1$,

$$x_1^* x_2^* > \frac{(1-c^2)^2}{c^2} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{N}{4} - 1 \right)^2 \cdot I(N > 4) + \left(\frac{N}{4} \right)^2 \cdot I(N = 3) \right),$$

and $c < \sqrt{\frac{x_2^*}{x_1^*}} < \frac{1}{c}$. Then the set of numbers ε satisfying the following conditions :

$$0 < \varepsilon < \min \left(\frac{\rho}{c} - 1, \frac{1}{c} - \rho \right), \quad \varepsilon(2 \min(\rho - c, 1 - c\rho) + \varepsilon) < \frac{1 - c^2}{c^2} - (\rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1)$$

is nonempty and for each of such ε the following relation holds:

$$\alpha(x_1^*, x_2^*) = \frac{(x_1^* x_2^*)^{\frac{N}{4}}}{(2c)^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2}) \sqrt{\pi(1-c^2)}} \cdot I_2(1 + \theta_1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_1^\infty dt_1 \int_1^\infty dt_2 \cdot e^{-\lambda(t_1^2 - 2c\rho t_1 t_2 + \rho^2 t_2^2)} \cdot (t_1 t_2)^{\delta + \frac{1}{2}} = \\ &= e^{-\lambda(\rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1)} \cdot \left(\frac{(1 + \theta_2)(1 - \theta_3)(1 - \theta_4)(1 + \theta_5)(1 - \theta_6)(1 - \theta_7)}{4\lambda^2 \rho(\rho - c)(1 - c\rho)} + \tilde{I}_4 \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \tilde{I}_4 \leq e^{-\lambda\varepsilon(2 \min(\rho - c, 1 - c\rho) + \varepsilon)} \cdot e^{(\rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1 + \varepsilon(2 \min(\rho - c, 1 - c\rho) + \varepsilon))} I_6, \\ 0 &\leq I_6 \leq \frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{N}{2}}} \cdot \left((2c)^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \sqrt{\pi} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2})}{2(1-c^2)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}} + 2^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \cdot \frac{(\Gamma(\frac{N}{4}))^2}{2(1-c^2)^{\frac{N}{4}}} \right), \\ |\theta_1| &\leq \Psi \left(\frac{N-3}{2}, \frac{c\sqrt{x_1^* x_2^*}}{1-c^2} \right), \quad 0 \leq \theta_2 \leq ((1 + \varepsilon)(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}))^{\frac{N}{2}-1} - 1, \quad 0 \leq \theta_3 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho - c}, \\ 0 &\leq \theta_4 \leq e^{-\lambda\varepsilon(2(\rho - c - c\varepsilon) + \varepsilon)}, \quad 0 \leq \theta_5 \leq \frac{c\varepsilon}{\rho - c - c\varepsilon}, \\ 0 &\leq \theta_6 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - c\rho + \varepsilon}, \quad \theta_7 = e^{-\lambda\varepsilon(2(1 - c\rho) + \varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. Let $N \geq 3$, $x_1^* \rightarrow +\infty$, $x_2^* \rightarrow +\infty$ so that $\rho = \sqrt{\frac{x_2^*}{x_1^*}}$ is fixed and $c < \rho < \frac{1}{c}$. Then

$$\alpha = \frac{(x_1^*)^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \left(\frac{\rho}{2c} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cdot (1 - c^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{x_1^*}{2(1-c^2)}(\rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1)}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2}) (\rho - c)(1 - c\rho)} \cdot \left(1 + O \left(\frac{\ln x_1^*}{x_1^*} \right) \right).$$

Further, the following theorem holds true.

Theorem 3. Suppose that $N \geq 3$, $\alpha_1 := \lim_{n_1 \rightarrow \infty} P(X(n_1) > x_1^*)$, $\alpha_2 := \lim_{n_2 \rightarrow \infty} P(X(n_2) > x_2^*)$ and, as before, $\alpha = \lim_{n_i \rightarrow \infty, \frac{n_1}{n_2} \rightarrow c^2} P(X(n_1) > x_1^*, X(n_2) > x_2^*)$. Suppose that $x_1^* \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x_2^* \rightarrow +\infty$ so that $\sqrt{\frac{\ln \alpha_2}{\ln \alpha_1}} = \text{const} =: P$. If $c < P < \frac{1}{c}$ then

$$\alpha \sim \frac{(1 - c^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot P^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1)^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \cdot Q^{-\frac{1}{2(1-c^2)}}}{2c^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2}) (P - c)(1 - cP)}$$

where

$$Q = \frac{P^{2(N-3)(1-\frac{c}{P})}}{(\Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2}))^{4(1-Pc)}} \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1)^{(N-3)(2-c(P+P^{-1}))} \cdot \alpha_1^{-2(P^2-2Pc+1)}.$$

Corollary 1. *If the conditions of the previous theorem holds true and $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1$ (i.e. $P = 1$) then*

$$\alpha \sim \frac{(1-c^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \right)^{\frac{1-c}{1+c}}}{2c^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \sqrt{\pi} (1-c)^2} \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1)^{\frac{N}{2} - \frac{N-3}{1+c} - 2} (\alpha_1)^{\frac{2}{1+c}}$$

where $\frac{2}{1+c} \in (1, 2)$ since $c \in (0, 1)$.

Remark 1. *Note that (under the conditions of Corollary 1, when $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and hence $x_1^* = x_2^*$) if c is close to 1, which corresponds to the case when n_1 is close to n_2 and sample of size n_2 does not differ much from a sample of size n_1 , then it is natural to expect that the value $P(\chi_1^2 > x_1^*, \chi_2^2 > x_2^* | H)$ is close to α_1 in order. In the case when c is close to 0, which corresponds to the case when n_1 differs a lot from n_2 and the sample of size n_2 differs a lot from the sample of size n_1 , it is natural to expect (according to almost independence of χ_1^2 and χ_2^2) that the value $P(\chi_1^2 > x_1^*, \chi_2^2 > x_2^* | H)$ is close to α_1^2 in order. These observations fit well with the formula from Corollary 1.*

Finally, the following theorem holds true.

Theorem 4. *Suppose $d \geq 2$ and $Bes_d(t)$ is d -dimensional Bessel process, i.e. euclidean norm of the d -dimensional Brownian motion. Suppose $0 < s_1 < s_2$ and $x_1, x_2 \rightarrow +\infty$ so that $\sqrt{\frac{s_1}{s_2} \frac{x_2}{x_1}} = \rho = \text{const}$ and $1 < \frac{x_2}{x_1} < \frac{s_2}{s_1}$. Then for any function $g(x)$ such that $g(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} P(Bes_d(s_1) \geq x_1, Bes_d(s_2) \geq x_2) &= \\ &= \frac{(x_1^*)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \left(\frac{\rho}{2c}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \cdot (1-c^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{x_1^*}{2(1-c^2)}(\rho^2-2c\rho+1)}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) (\rho-c)(1-c\rho)} \cdot \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\ln x_1^*}{x_1^*}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $x_1^* := \frac{x_1^2}{s_1}$ and $c := \sqrt{\frac{s_1}{s_2}}$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

We need the following representation of the Bessel function $J_\nu(z)$ (see [3]) via Bessel functions of the third kind. Let $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z : (\text{Im}(z) = 0) \& (\text{Re}(z) \leq 0)\}$. Then $J_\nu(z) = \frac{H_\nu^{(1)}(z) + H_\nu^{(2)}(z)}{2}$ (see [3]). Further, define

$$(\nu, m) := \frac{(4\nu^2 - 1) \cdot (4\nu^2 - 3^2) \cdots (4\nu^2 - (2m-1)^2)}{2^{2m} \cdot m!} = \frac{\Gamma(\nu + m + \frac{1}{2})}{m! \Gamma(\nu - m + \frac{1}{2})}.$$

By definition (see [2]) we put

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu}^{(1)}(z; p) &:= \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^m \cdot (\nu, m)}{(2iz)^m}, \\ \sum_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; p) &:= \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\nu, m)}{(2iz)^m}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, let now $\nu > \frac{1}{2}$, $\text{Re}(z) \geq 0$, $r := |z|$ and let $2r \geq \nu - \frac{1}{2}$. Define $G := \left(1 - \frac{\nu - \frac{1}{2}}{2r}\right)^{-\nu - \frac{1}{2}}$. The Weber's formulas for the remainders in expansions of Bessel functions of the third kind

(for $p \geq 1$) have the following form (see [2]):

$$\begin{aligned} H_\nu^{(1)}(z) &= \left(\frac{2}{\pi z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{i(z - \frac{\pi\nu}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4})} \cdot \left(\sum_{\nu}^{(1)}(z; p) + R_p^{(1)}\right), \\ H_\nu^{(2)}(z) &= \left(\frac{2}{\pi z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-i(z - \frac{\pi\nu}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4})} \cdot \left(\sum_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; p) + R_p^{(2)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and the branch of the function $z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is chosen in accordance with the condition $Re(z) \geq 0$ (i.e. $\arg(z) \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$) and in this case

$$|R_p^{(1)}|, |R_p^{(2)}| \leq 2 \cdot G^2 \cdot |(\nu, p)| \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \cdot \Gamma(\frac{p}{2} + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2}) \cdot |(2z)^p|}.$$

Further, for $-\pi < \arg(z) < \frac{\pi}{2}$ we have $I_\nu(z) = e^{-\frac{i\pi\nu}{2}} \cdot J_\nu(z \cdot e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}})$ (see [3]). We need only the case $x > 0$, $\nu \geq 0$. Starting from the formulas presented above we obtain convenient expressions for the remainder of the series in the expansion of the function $I_\nu(x)$ in powers of x . Everywhere in what follows we assume that $x > 0$, $2x > \nu - \frac{1}{2}$, $\nu \geq 0$. Consistently expressing $I_\nu(z)$ through $J_\nu(z)$ and $J_\nu(z)$ through $H_\nu^{(1)}(z)$ and $H_\nu^{(2)}(z)$ we obtain the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} I_\nu(x) &= e^{-\frac{i\pi\nu}{2}} \cdot J_\nu(ix) = \\ &= e^{-\frac{i\pi\nu}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\pi ix}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(e^{i(ix - \frac{\pi\nu}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4})} \left(\sum_{\nu}^{(1)}(ix; p) + \tilde{R}_p^{(1)}\right) + e^{-i(ix - \frac{\pi\nu}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4})} \left(\sum_{\nu}^{(2)}(ix; p) + \tilde{R}_p^{(2)}\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $(\frac{1}{i})^{\frac{1}{2}} = e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}$. Since $r = |ix| = x$ we have: $G = \left(1 - \frac{\nu - \frac{1}{2}}{2x}\right)^{-\nu - \frac{1}{2}}$,

$$|\tilde{R}_p^{(1)}|, |\tilde{R}_p^{(2)}| \leq 2 \cdot G^2 \cdot |(\nu, p)| \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \cdot \Gamma(\frac{p}{2} + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2}) \cdot |(2x)^p|}.$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\nu(x) &= e^{-\frac{i\pi\nu}{2}} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \cdot D \\ \text{where } D &:= e^{-x} \cdot e^{-i(\frac{\pi\nu}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4})} \left(\sum_{\nu}^{(1)}(ix; p) + \tilde{R}_p^{(1)}\right) + e^x \cdot e^{i(\frac{\pi\nu}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4})} \left(\sum_{\nu}^{(2)}(ix; p) + \tilde{R}_p^{(2)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Denote $A_p := \sum_{\nu}^{(1)}(ix; p)$, $B_p := \sum_{\nu}^{(2)}(ix; p)$. Thus $A_p = \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^m \cdot (\nu, m)}{(-2x)^m} = \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\nu, m)}{(2x)^m}$, $B_p = \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\nu, m)}{(-2x)^m} = \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^m \cdot (\nu, m)}{(2x)^m}$. It follows that

$$I_\nu(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \cdot \left(e^{-x} \cdot e^{-i(\pi\nu + \frac{\pi}{2})} \cdot (A_p + \tilde{R}_p^{(1)}) + e^x \cdot (B_p + \tilde{R}_p^{(2)}) \right).$$

Thus, we have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *Let $2x > \nu - \frac{1}{2} > 0$. Let $A_p = \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\nu, m)}{(2x)^m}$, $B_p = \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^m \cdot (\nu, m)}{(2x)^m}$, $G = \left(1 - \frac{\nu - \frac{1}{2}}{2x}\right)^{-\nu - \frac{1}{2}}$, $(\nu, m) = \frac{(4\nu^2 - 1) \cdot (4\nu^2 - 3^2) \cdots (4\nu^2 - (2m-1)^2)}{2^{2m} \cdot m!}$. Then the following relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} I_\nu(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \cdot \left(e^{-x} \cdot e^{-i(\pi\nu + \frac{\pi}{2})} \cdot (A_p + \tilde{R}_p^{(1)}) + e^x \cdot (B_p + \tilde{R}_p^{(2)}) \right), \\ |\tilde{R}_p^{(1)}|, |\tilde{R}_p^{(2)}| &\leq 2 \cdot G^2 \cdot |(\nu, p)| \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \cdot \Gamma(\frac{p}{2} + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2}) \cdot |(2x)^p|}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. Note that in the case $\nu \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ the same formulas will be fulfilled if we denote by G an expression $\left(1 - \frac{\nu + \frac{3}{2}}{2r}\right)^{-\nu - \frac{3}{2}} \cdot (1 + \frac{2\nu + 2}{r})$ and require that $2r > \nu + \frac{3}{2}$ (see [2]). If $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ then (see [3]) $I_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \text{sh}x$, which makes it easy to get similar formulas in this case. Finally, if $\nu < 0$ then the recurrent formula $I_{\nu-1}(z) - I_{\nu+1}(z) = \frac{2\nu}{z} I_{\nu}(z)$ (see [2]) allows us to find the asymptotics of $I_{\nu}(z)$ for negative ν by reducing the finding of this asymptotics to the case $\nu \geq 0$, which is analyzed above.

In what follows we use only the first two terms ($p = 2$) of the expansion from Proposition 2. However, the arguments are completely analogous in the case of $p > 2$. Now we pass directly to the proof of Theorem 1. We carry out the proof for the case $\nu > \frac{1}{2}$ (by Remark 2 the case $0 \leq \nu < \frac{1}{2}$ is completely analogous and the case $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ is trivial). In fact, for $p = 2$ we obtain that $A_2 = 1 + \frac{4\nu^2 - 1}{2^2 \cdot 1! \cdot (2x)^1}$, $B_2 = 1 + \frac{(-1) \cdot (4\nu^2 - 1)}{2^2 \cdot 1! \cdot (2x)^1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\nu}(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \cdot \left(e^{-x} \cdot e^{-i(\pi\nu + \frac{\pi}{2})} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{4\nu^2 - 1}{2^2 \cdot 1! \cdot (2x)^1} + \tilde{R}_2^{(1)}\right) + e^x \cdot \left(1 + \frac{(-1) \cdot (4\nu^2 - 1)}{2^2 \cdot 1! \cdot (2x)^1} + \tilde{R}_2^{(2)}\right) \right), \\ |\tilde{R}_2^{(1)}|, |\tilde{R}_2^{(2)}| &\leq 2 \cdot G^2 \cdot \frac{|(4\nu^2 - 1)(4\nu^2 - 9)|}{2^4 \cdot 2!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \cdot \Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}) \cdot |(2x)^2|} = \\ &= \frac{G^2}{16} \cdot |(4\nu^2 - 1)(4\nu^2 - 9)| \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \cdot 1!}{\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \cdot 4x^2} = \frac{G^2}{32x^2} \cdot |(4\nu^2 - 1)(4\nu^2 - 9)| =: \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{I_{\nu}(x)}{\left(\frac{e^x}{\sqrt{2\pi x}}\right)} - 1 = \left(e^{-2x} \cdot e^{-i(\pi\nu + \frac{\pi}{2})} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{4\nu^2 - 1}{8x} + \tilde{R}_2^{(1)}\right) + \frac{(-1) \cdot (4\nu^2 - 1)}{8x} + \tilde{R}_2^{(2)} \right)$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{I_{\nu}(x)}{\left(\frac{e^x}{\sqrt{2\pi x}}\right)} - 1 \right| &\leq e^{-2x} \cdot \left| 1 + \frac{4\nu^2 - 1}{8x} \right| + \left| \frac{(4\nu^2 - 1)}{8x} \right| + \Delta + \Delta \cdot (e^{-2x}) = \\ &= e^{-2x} + \left| \frac{(4\nu^2 - 1)}{8x} \right| \cdot (1 + e^{-2x}) + \Delta \cdot (1 + e^{-2x}) = e^{-2x} + \left(\frac{|4\nu^2 - 1|}{8x} + \Delta \right) \cdot (1 + e^{-2x}). \end{aligned}$$

In this case $G = \left(1 - \frac{\nu - \frac{1}{2}}{2x}\right)^{-\nu - \frac{1}{2}}$. Thus $\Delta = \frac{G^2}{32x^2} \cdot |(4\nu^2 - 1)(4\nu^2 - 9)| = \left(1 - \frac{\nu - \frac{1}{2}}{2x}\right)^{-2\nu - 1} \cdot \frac{|(4\nu^2 - 1)(4\nu^2 - 9)|}{32x^2}$. Hence we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{I_{\nu}(x)}{\left(\frac{e^x}{\sqrt{2\pi x}}\right)} - 1 \right| &\leq e^{-2x} + \left(\frac{|4\nu^2 - 1|}{8x} + \Delta \right) \cdot (1 + e^{-2x}) \leq \\ &\leq e^{-2x} + \left(\frac{|4\nu^2 - 1|}{8x} + \left(1 - \frac{\nu - \frac{1}{2}}{2x}\right)^{-2\nu - 1} \cdot \frac{|(4\nu^2 - 1)(4\nu^2 - 9)|}{32x^2} \right) \cdot (1 + e^{-2x}) = \Psi(\nu, x). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 is proved.

3 Proof of Proposition 1 and Theorem 2

First we prove Proposition 1. We recall the formula for α established in [1]:

$$\alpha = \int_{\frac{x_1^*}{2}}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{x_2^*}{2}}^{\infty} p_{2,0}(u_1, u_2) du_1 du_2$$

where

$$p_{2,0}(u_1, u_2) = \frac{e^{-(\frac{u_1}{\beta} + \frac{u_2}{\beta})}}{K_2^{1+\delta} c^\delta \Gamma(1+\delta) \beta^2} \cdot \left(\frac{u_1 u_2}{\beta^2} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \cdot I_\delta \left(\frac{2c\sqrt{u_1 u_2}}{\beta} \right).$$

Let us rewrite it in the following form:

$$\alpha = C \int_{\frac{x_1^*}{2}}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{x_2^*}{2}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{u_1}{\beta} - \frac{u_2}{\beta}} \cdot \left(\frac{u_1 u_2}{\beta^2} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \cdot I_\delta \left(\frac{2c\sqrt{u_1 u_2}}{\beta} \right) \frac{du_1 du_2}{\beta^2}$$

where $C = \frac{1}{K_2^{1+\delta} c^\delta \Gamma(1+\delta)} = \frac{c}{(K_2 c)^{1+\delta} \Gamma(1+\delta)}$. We make the substitution $t_1 := \sqrt{\frac{u_1}{\beta}}$, $t_2 := \sqrt{\frac{u_2}{\beta}}$. The Jacobian of the substitution is $4t_1 t_2 \beta^2$, therefore

$$\alpha = 4C \int_{x_1}^{\infty} \int_{x_2}^{\infty} e^{-t_1^2 - t_2^2} \cdot (t_1 t_2)^{\delta+1} \cdot I_\delta(2ct_1 t_2) dt_1 dt_2$$

where $x_1 = \sqrt{\frac{x_1^*}{2\beta}}$ and $x_2 = \sqrt{\frac{x_2^*}{2\beta}}$. Further, if $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$ then in order to use Theorem 1 we require that the following condition holds: $2c\sqrt{\frac{x_1^* x_2^*}{4\beta^2}} > \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{1}{4}$. In the case $\delta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ we will require that $2c\sqrt{\frac{x_1^* x_2^*}{4\beta^2}} > \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3}{4}$. In the case $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ nothing is required. In other words, we require that the following conditions hold: $\delta \geq 0$,

$$x_1^* x_2^* > \frac{(1-c^2)^2}{c^2} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \right)^2 \cdot I(\delta > \frac{1}{2}) + \left(\frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \right)^2 \cdot I(0 \leq \delta < \frac{1}{2}) \right)$$

where $I(A) = 1$ or $I(A) = 0$ if the condition A is satisfied or not satisfied respectively. These conditions are equivalent to the fact that $N \geq 3$ and

$$x_1^* x_2^* > \frac{(1-c^2)^2}{c^2} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{N}{4} - 1 \right)^2 \cdot I(N > 4) + \left(\frac{N}{4} \right)^2 \cdot I(N = 3) \right).$$

By Theorem 1 and the monotonicity of the function $\Psi(\nu, x)$ we have:

$$\alpha = 4C(1 + \theta_1) \int_{x_1}^{\infty} \int_{x_2}^{\infty} e^{-t_1^2 - t_2^2} \cdot (t_1 t_2)^{\delta+1} \cdot \frac{e^{2ct_1 t_2}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{2ct_1 t_2}} dt_1 dt_2$$

where, as before, $|\theta_1| \leq \Psi(\delta, 2cx_1 x_2) = \Psi\left(\delta, \frac{c\sqrt{x_1^* x_2^*}}{1-c^2}\right)$. Hence,

$$\alpha = \frac{2C}{\sqrt{\pi c}} (1 + \theta_1) I_1 \tag{3}$$

where

$$I_1 := \int_{x_1}^{\infty} \int_{x_2}^{\infty} e^{-(t_1^2 - 2ct_1 t_2 + t_2^2)} \cdot (t_1 t_2)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} dt_1 dt_2.$$

By definition, put $\rho = \frac{x_2}{x_1}$ (whence $\rho = \sqrt{\frac{x_2^*}{x_1^*}} > 0$) and change the variables in the last integral: $u = x_1^{-1} t_1$, $v = x_2^{-1} t_2$ (where x_1 and x_2 are fixed and defined above), then

$$I_1 = \int_1^{\infty} x_1 du \int_1^{\infty} x_2 dv \cdot e^{-(x_1^2 u^2 - 2cx_1 u x_2 v + x_2^2 v^2)} \cdot (x_1 u x_2 v)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} = (x_1 x_2)^{(\delta+\frac{3}{2})} \cdot I_2 \tag{4}$$

where

$$I_2 = \int_1^\infty dt_1 \int_1^\infty dt_2 \cdot e^{-\lambda(t_1^2 - 2c\rho t_1 t_2 + \rho^2 t_2^2)} \cdot (t_1 t_2)^{\delta + \frac{1}{2}}$$

and where $\lambda := x_1^2$. Let us obtain the asymptotics of the Laplace integral from the previous formula with the help of standard methods of analysis.

Suppose that $c < \frac{x_2}{x_1} < \frac{1}{c}$ (i.e. $c < \rho < \frac{1}{c}$). For an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ we put by definition $D_\varepsilon := \{(t_1, t_2) : t_1 \geq 1, t_2 \geq 1, \max((t_1 - 1), \rho(t_2 - 1)) \geq \varepsilon\}$. Then

$$I_2 = I_3 + I_4 \tag{5}$$

where

$$I_3 := \int_1^{1+\varepsilon} dt_1 \int_1^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}} dt_2 \cdot e^{-\lambda(t_1^2 - 2c\rho t_1 t_2 + \rho^2 t_2^2)} \cdot (t_1 t_2)^{\delta + \frac{1}{2}},$$

$$I_4 := \int_{D_\varepsilon} dt_1 dt_2 \cdot e^{-\lambda(t_1^2 - 2c\rho t_1 t_2 + \rho^2 t_2^2)} \cdot (t_1 t_2)^{\delta + \frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let us obtain convenient formulas for I_3 and I_4 . Note that

$$I_3 = (1 + \theta_2) \int_1^{1+\varepsilon} dt_1 \int_1^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}} dt_2 \cdot e^{-\lambda(t_1^2 - 2c\rho t_1 t_2 + \rho^2 t_2^2)}$$

where $0 \leq \theta_2 \leq ((1 + \varepsilon)(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}))^{\delta + \frac{1}{2}} - 1$. We make the substitution: $u = t_1 - 1, v = \rho(t_2 - 1)$ (whence $t_1 = u + 1$ and $t_2 = \frac{v}{\rho} + 1$) and get:

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= (1 + \theta_2) \int_0^\varepsilon du \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{dv}{\rho} \cdot e^{-\lambda((u+1)^2 - 2c\rho(u+1)(\frac{v}{\rho}+1) + \rho^2(\frac{v}{\rho}+1)^2)} = \\ &= (1 + \theta_2) \int_0^\varepsilon du \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{dv}{\rho} \cdot e^{-\lambda(u^2 + 2u + 1 - 2cuv - 2cv - 2c\rho u - 2c\rho + v^2 + 2v\rho + \rho^2)} = \\ &= (1 + \theta_2) \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda(\rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1)}}{\rho} \cdot \int_0^\varepsilon du \cdot e^{-\lambda(u^2 + 2u(1 - c\rho))} \int_0^\varepsilon dv \cdot e^{-\lambda(v^2 + 2(\rho - c - cu)v)} = \\ &= (1 + \theta_2) \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda(\rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1)}}{\rho} \cdot \int_0^\varepsilon du \cdot e^{-\lambda(u^2 + 2u(1 - c\rho) - (\rho - c - cu)^2)} \int_0^\varepsilon dv \cdot e^{-\lambda(v^2 + 2(\rho - c - cu)v + (\rho - c - cu)^2)} = \\ &= (1 + \theta_2) \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda(\rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1)}}{\rho} \cdot \int_0^\varepsilon du \cdot e^{-\lambda(u^2 + 2u(1 - c\rho) - (\rho - c - cu)^2)} \cdot F(u) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where

$$F(u) := \int_0^\varepsilon dv \cdot e^{-\lambda(v + b_u)^2}, \quad b_u := \rho - c - cu.$$

If $0 \leq u \leq \varepsilon$ then $b_u \in [\rho - c - c\varepsilon, \rho - c]$. Let us assume that $\rho - c - c\varepsilon > 0$ (i.e. $\varepsilon < \frac{\rho}{c} - 1$). In the expression for $F(u)$ we put $w := (v + b_u)^2$ (whence $v = \sqrt{w} - b_u$) and get:

$$F(u) = \int_{b_u^2}^{(b_u + \varepsilon)^2} e^{-\lambda w} \cdot \frac{dw}{2\sqrt{w}} = \frac{1}{2b_u} \int_{b_u^2}^{(b_u + \varepsilon)^2} \frac{b_u}{\sqrt{w}} e^{-\lambda w} \cdot dw.$$

Since $w \in [b_u^2, (b_u + \varepsilon)^2]$ we have $\frac{1}{\sqrt{w}} \in \left[\frac{1}{b_u + \varepsilon}, \frac{1}{b_u}\right]$ and $\frac{b_u}{\sqrt{w}} \in \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{b_u + \varepsilon}, 1\right]$. Thus,

$$F(u) = \frac{(1 - \tilde{\theta}_3(u))}{2b_u} \int_{b_u^2}^{(b_u + \varepsilon)^2} e^{-\lambda w} \cdot dw$$

where $0 \leq \tilde{\theta}_3(u) \leq \max_{u \in [0, \varepsilon]} \frac{\varepsilon}{b_u + \varepsilon} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho - c - c\varepsilon + \varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho - c + \varepsilon(1 - c)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho - c}$. Hence,

$$F(u) = \frac{(1 - \tilde{\theta}_3(u))}{2b_u} \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda b_u^2} - e^{-\lambda(b_u + \varepsilon)^2}}{\lambda} = \frac{(1 - \tilde{\theta}_3(u))}{2b_u} \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda b_u^2}}{\lambda} \cdot (1 - \tilde{\theta}_4(u)) \quad (7)$$

where

$$0 \leq \tilde{\theta}_4(u) \leq \max_{u \in [0, \varepsilon]} \frac{e^{-\lambda(b_u + \varepsilon)^2}}{e^{-\lambda b_u^2}} = \max_{u \in [0, \varepsilon]} e^{-\lambda(2(\rho - c - cu)\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)} \leq e^{-\lambda(2\varepsilon(\rho - c - c\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^2)} = e^{-\lambda\varepsilon(2(\rho - c - c\varepsilon) + \varepsilon)}.$$

Combining (6) and (7) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= (1 + \theta_2) \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda(\rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1)}}{\rho} \cdot \int_0^\varepsilon du \cdot e^{-\lambda(u^2 + 2u(1 - c\rho) - (\rho - c - cu)^2)} \cdot \frac{(1 - \tilde{\theta}_3(u))}{2b_u} \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda b_u^2}}{\lambda} \cdot (1 - \tilde{\theta}_4(u)) = \\ &= e^{-\lambda(\rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1)} \cdot \frac{(1 + \theta_2)(1 - \theta_3)(1 - \theta_4)}{2\lambda\rho(\rho - c)} \cdot \int_0^\varepsilon du \cdot \frac{\rho - c}{b_u} \cdot e^{-\lambda(u^2 + 2u(1 - c\rho))} \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \theta_3 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho - c}$, $0 \leq \theta_4 \leq e^{-\lambda\varepsilon(2(\rho - c - c\varepsilon) + \varepsilon)}$. If $0 \leq u \leq \varepsilon$ then $b_u \in [\rho - c - c\varepsilon, \rho - c]$, whence $\frac{\rho - c}{b_u} \in [1, 1 + \frac{c\varepsilon}{\rho - c - c\varepsilon}]$. Hence,

$$I_3 = e^{-\lambda(\rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1)} \cdot \frac{(1 + \theta_2)(1 - \theta_3)(1 - \theta_4)(1 + \theta_5)}{2\lambda\rho(\rho - c)} \cdot I_5 \quad (8)$$

where

$$0 \leq \theta_5 \leq \frac{c\varepsilon}{\rho - c - c\varepsilon}, \quad I_5 := \int_0^\varepsilon du \cdot e^{-\lambda(u^2 + 2u(1 - c\rho))}.$$

By definition, $\gamma := 1 - c\rho$. Then $\gamma > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &= \int_0^\varepsilon du \cdot e^{-\lambda(u^2 + 2\gamma u)} = e^{\lambda\gamma^2} \cdot \int_0^\varepsilon e^{-\lambda(u + \gamma)^2} du = e^{\lambda\gamma^2} \cdot \int_\gamma^{\gamma + \varepsilon} e^{-\lambda u^2} du = e^{\lambda\gamma^2} \cdot \int_{\gamma^2}^{(\gamma + \varepsilon)^2} e^{-\lambda t} d\sqrt{t} = \\ &= \frac{e^{\lambda\gamma^2}}{2} \cdot \int_{\gamma^2}^{(\gamma + \varepsilon)^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\lambda t} dt = \frac{e^{\lambda\gamma^2}}{2\gamma} \cdot \int_{\gamma^2}^{(\gamma + \varepsilon)^2} \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\lambda t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

If $\gamma^2 \leq t \leq (\gamma + \varepsilon)^2$ then $1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma + \varepsilon} = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{(\gamma + \varepsilon)^2}} \leq \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{t}} \leq \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\gamma^2}} = 1$ so

$$I_5 = \frac{(1 - \theta_6)e^{\lambda\gamma^2}}{2\gamma} \cdot \int_{\gamma^2}^{(\gamma + \varepsilon)^2} e^{-\lambda t} dt$$

where $0 \leq \theta_6 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma + \varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - c\rho + \varepsilon}$. Thus,

$$I_5 = \frac{(1 - \theta_6)e^{\lambda\gamma^2}}{2\gamma} \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda\gamma^2} - e^{-\lambda(\gamma + \varepsilon)^2}}{\lambda} = \frac{(1 - \theta_6)}{2\gamma\lambda} \cdot (1 - \theta_7)$$

where $\theta_7 = e^{-\lambda(\gamma + \varepsilon)^2} \cdot e^{\lambda\gamma^2} = e^{-\lambda\varepsilon(2(1 - c\rho) + \varepsilon)}$. Hence,

$$I_5 = \frac{(1 - \theta_6)(1 - \theta_7)}{2\lambda(1 - c\rho)}, \quad \theta_7 = e^{-\lambda\varepsilon(2(1 - c\rho) + \varepsilon)}$$

whence, taking (8) into account, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= e^{-\lambda(\rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1)} \cdot \frac{(1 + \theta_2)(1 - \theta_3)(1 - \theta_4)(1 + \theta_5)}{2\lambda\rho(\rho - c)} \cdot \frac{(1 - \theta_6)(1 - \theta_7)}{2\lambda(1 - c\rho)} = \\ &= e^{-\lambda(\rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1)} \cdot \frac{(1 + \theta_2)(1 - \theta_3)(1 - \theta_4)(1 + \theta_5)(1 - \theta_6)(1 - \theta_7)}{4\lambda^2\rho(\rho - c)(1 - c\rho)}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now let us get a convenient expression for I_4 . We need the following notation: $S(t_1, t_2) := t_1^2 - 2c\rho t_1 t_2 + \rho^2 t_2^2$. Note that (for $\lambda > 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq I_4 &= \int_{D_\varepsilon} dt_1 dt_2 e^{-(\lambda-1)S(t_1, t_2)} \cdot (t_1 t_2)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-(t_1^2 - 2c\rho t_1 t_2 + \rho^2 t_2^2)} \leq \\ &\leq e^{-(\lambda-1)\min_{D_\varepsilon} S(t_1, t_2)} \cdot I_6 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $I_6 = \int_{D_\varepsilon} dt_1 dt_2 \cdot (t_1 t_2)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-(t_1^2 - 2c\rho t_1 t_2 + \rho^2 t_2^2)}$. Recall that $D_\varepsilon := \{(t_1, t_2) : t_1 \geq 1, t_2 \geq 1, \max(t_1 - 1, \rho(t_2 - 1)) \geq \varepsilon\}$. We assume that $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{c} - \rho$ and consequently $\frac{1}{c\rho} > 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}$. It is easy to see that $\min_{D_\varepsilon} S(t_1, t_1) = \min(A_1, A_2, A_3)$ where

$$A_1 := \min_{t_2 \geq \frac{1}{c\rho}} \min_{t_1 \geq 1} S(t_1, t_2), \quad A_2 := \min_{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \leq t_2 \leq \frac{1}{c\rho}} \min_{t_1 \geq 1} S(t_1, t_2), \quad A_3 := \min_{1 \leq t_2 \leq 1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}} \min_{t_1 \geq 1+\varepsilon} S(t_1, t_2).$$

Wherein

$$A_1 = \min_{t_2 \geq \frac{1}{c\rho}} \min_{t_1 \geq 1} [(t_1 - c\rho t_2)^2 + \rho^2(1 - c^2)t_2^2] = \min_{t_2 \geq \frac{1}{c\rho}} [0^2 + \rho^2(1 - c^2)t_2^2] = \rho^2(1 - c^2) \frac{1}{(c\rho)^2} = \frac{1 - c^2}{c^2}.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \min_{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \leq t_2 \leq \frac{1}{c\rho}} \min_{t_1 \geq 1} [(t_1 - c\rho t_2)^2 + \rho^2(1 - c^2)t_2^2] = \min_{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \leq t_2 \leq \frac{1}{c\rho}} [(1 - c\rho t_2)^2 + \rho^2(1 - c^2)t_2^2] = \\ &= \min_{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \leq t_2 \leq \frac{1}{c\rho}} (\rho^2 t_2^2 - 2c\rho t_2 + 1) = 1 - c^2 + \rho^2 \cdot \min_{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \leq t_2 \leq \frac{1}{c\rho}} \left(t_2 - \frac{c}{\rho}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\frac{c}{\rho} < 1$ since $c < \rho$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= 1 - c^2 + \rho^2 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} - \frac{c}{\rho}\right)^2 = 1 - c^2 + (\rho - c + \varepsilon)^2 = 1 - c^2 + \rho^2 + c^2 + \varepsilon^2 - 2c\rho + 2\rho\varepsilon - 2c\varepsilon = \\ &= (\rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1) + \varepsilon(2(\rho - c) + \varepsilon) = S(1, 1) + \varepsilon(2(\rho - c) + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Further, we assume that the inequality $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{c} - \rho$ holds. Therefore, $c\rho t_2 \leq c(\rho + \varepsilon) < 1$ for $t_2 \leq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 &= \min_{1 \leq t_2 \leq 1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}} \min_{t_1 \geq 1+\varepsilon} [(t_1 - c\rho t_2)^2 + \rho^2(1 - c^2)t_2^2] = \min_{1 \leq t_2 \leq 1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}} [(1 + \varepsilon - c\rho t_2)^2 + \rho^2(1 - c^2)t_2^2] = \\ &= \min_{1 \leq t_2 \leq 1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}} (c^2 \rho^2 t_2^2 - 2c(1 + \varepsilon)\rho t_2 + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 + \rho^2(1 - c^2)t_2^2) = \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon)^2 - c^2(1 + \varepsilon)^2 + \min_{1 \leq t_2 \leq 1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}} (\rho t_2 - c(1 + \varepsilon))^2 = (1 - c^2)(1 + \varepsilon)^2 + \rho^2 \cdot \min_{1 \leq t_2 \leq 1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}} \left(t_2 - \frac{c(1 + \varepsilon)}{\rho}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that $\rho - c - c\varepsilon > 0$ (i.e. $\varepsilon < \frac{\rho}{c} - 1$). Therefore, $\frac{c(1+\varepsilon)}{\rho} < 1$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 &= (1 - c^2)(1 + \varepsilon)^2 + \rho^2 \left(1 - \frac{c(1 + \varepsilon)}{\rho}\right)^2 = (1 - c^2)(1 + \varepsilon)^2 + \rho^2 - 2c\rho(1 + \varepsilon) + c^2(1 + \varepsilon)^2 = \\ &= \rho^2 - 2\rho c + 1 + \varepsilon(2(1 - c\rho) + \varepsilon) = S(1, 1) + \varepsilon(2(1 - c\rho) + \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

and $2(1 - c\rho) + \varepsilon > 0$ since $\rho < \frac{1}{c}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{D_\varepsilon} S(t_1, t_2) &= \min\left(\frac{1 - c^2}{c^2}, S(1, 1) + \varepsilon(2(\rho - c) + \varepsilon), S(1, 1) + \varepsilon(2(1 - c\rho) + \varepsilon)\right) = \\ &= \min\left(\frac{1 - c^2}{c^2}, S(1, 1) + \varepsilon(2\min(\rho - c, 1 - c\rho) + \varepsilon)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us show that $\frac{1-c^2}{c^2} > \rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1$. In fact, $c < \rho < \frac{1}{c}$ therefore $\frac{1}{c} - c > \rho - c > 0$, whence $(\frac{1-c^2}{c})^2 > (\rho-c)^2$. Hence, $(1-c^2)\frac{1-c^2}{c^2} + (1-c^2) > \rho^2 - 2c\rho + c^2 + (1-c^2)$, thus $\frac{1-c^2}{c^2} > \rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1 = S(1, 1)$. We require ε be small enough that inequality $\varepsilon(2\min(\rho - c, 1 - c\rho) + \varepsilon) < \frac{1-c^2}{c^2} - S(1, 1)$ holds true. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{D_\varepsilon} S(t_1, t_2) &= S(1, 1) + \varepsilon(2\min(\rho - c, 1 - c\rho) + \varepsilon) = \\ &= \rho^2 - 2c\rho + 1 + \varepsilon(2\min(\rho - c, 1 - c\rho) + \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

whence by virtue of (10) we obtain that

$$0 \leq I_4 \leq e^{-(\lambda-1)(\rho^2-2c\rho+1+\varepsilon(2\min(\rho-c,1-c\rho)+\varepsilon))} \cdot I_6 \quad (11)$$

where $I_6 = \int_{D_\varepsilon} dt_1 dt_2 \cdot (t_1 t_2)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-(t_1^2-2c\rho t_1 t_2+\rho^2 t_2^2)}$. Since the integral I_6 converges, I_4 (for fixed ε) gives an exponentially small (in λ) contribution to I_2 as compared to I_3 . We estimate this contribution, taking into account that due to the exponential smallness the upper estimate for the integral I_6 can be made quite coarse. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq I_6 &= \int_{D_\varepsilon} dt_1 dt_2 \cdot (t_1 t_2)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-(t_1^2-2c\rho t_1 t_2+\rho^2 t_2^2)} \leq \int_1^\infty dt_1 \int_1^\infty dt_2 \cdot (t_1 t_2)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-(t_1^2-2c\rho t_1 t_2+\rho^2 t_2^2)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho^{\delta+\frac{3}{2}}} \cdot \int_1^\infty dt_1 \cdot t_1^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-t_1^2} \int_1^\infty \rho dt_2 \cdot (\rho t_2)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(\rho^2 t_2^2-2c\rho t_1 t_2)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho^{\delta+\frac{3}{2}}} \cdot \int_1^\infty dt_1 \cdot t_1^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-t_1^2} \int_\rho^\infty du \cdot u^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(u^2-2ct_1 u)} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho^{\delta+\frac{3}{2}}} \cdot \int_0^\infty dt_1 \cdot t_1^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-t_1^2} \int_\rho^\infty du \cdot u^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(u^2-2ct_1 u)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho^{\delta+\frac{3}{2}}} \cdot \int_0^\infty dt_1 \cdot t_1^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-(1-c^2)t_1^2} \int_\rho^\infty du \cdot u^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(u-ct_1)^2} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho^{\delta+\frac{3}{2}}} \cdot \int_0^\infty dt_1 \cdot t_1^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-(1-c^2)t_1^2} \cdot \int_{\rho-ct_1}^\infty (v+ct_1)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-v^2} \cdot dv = \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho^{\delta+\frac{3}{2}}} \cdot \int_0^\infty dt_1 \cdot t_1^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-(1-c^2)t_1^2} \cdot I_7(t_1) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $I_7(t_1) := \int_{\rho-ct_1}^\infty (v+ct_1)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-v^2} \cdot dv$. Recall that $\delta = \frac{N-3}{2}$ where $N \geq 2$ (the case $N = 1$ is trivial and we don't consider it), so $\delta + \frac{1}{2} \geq 0$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq I_7(t_1) &= \int_{\rho-ct_1}^\infty (v+ct_1)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-v^2} \cdot dv \leq \int_{-ct_1}^\infty (v+ct_1)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-v^2} \cdot dv = \\ &= \int_{-ct_1}^{ct_1} (v+ct_1)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-v^2} \cdot dv + \int_{ct_1}^\infty (v+ct_1)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-v^2} \cdot dv \leq \\ &\leq (2ct_1)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-ct_1}^{ct_1} e^{-v^2} \cdot dv + \int_{ct_1}^\infty (v+ct_1)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-v^2} \cdot dv. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(v + ct_1)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \leq (2v)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}}$ for $v \geq ct_1 \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq I_7(t_1) &\leq (2ct_1)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-ct_1}^{ct_1} e^{-v^2} \cdot dv + \int_{ct_1}^{\infty} (v + ct_1)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-v^2} \cdot dv \leq \\
&\leq (2ct_1)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-ct_1}^{ct_1} e^{-v^2} \cdot dv + \int_{ct_1}^{\infty} (2v)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-v^2} \cdot dv \leq \\
&\leq (2ct_1)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-v^2} \cdot dv + \int_0^{\infty} (2v)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-v^2} \cdot dv = \\
&= (2ct_1)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\pi} + 2^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \int_0^{\infty} u^{\frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{1}{4}} e^{-u} \cdot \frac{du}{2\sqrt{u}} = (2ct_1)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\pi} + 2^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \int_0^{\infty} u^{\frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{3}{4}-1} e^{-u} \cdot du = \\
&= (2c)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\pi} t_1^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} + 2^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\right) = B_1 \cdot t_1^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} + B_2
\end{aligned}$$

where $B_1 = (2c)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\pi} > 0$ and $B_2 = 2^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\right) > 0$. Hence, by virtue of (12) we obtain the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \rho^{\delta+\frac{3}{2}} \cdot I_6 &\leq \int_0^{\infty} dt_1 \cdot t_1^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-(1-c^2)t_1^2} \cdot I_7(t_1) \leq \int_0^{\infty} dt_1 \cdot t_1^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-(1-c^2)t_1^2} \cdot (B_1 \cdot t_1^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} + B_2) = \\
&= B_1 \cdot \int_0^{\infty} dt_1 \cdot t_1^{\tilde{\delta}+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-(1-c^2)t_1^2} + B_2 \cdot \int_0^{\infty} dt_1 \cdot t_1^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-(1-c^2)t_1^2} \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\delta} := 2\delta + \frac{1}{2}$. Let

$$Z(\delta) := \int_0^{\infty} u^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-(1-c^2)u^2} du.$$

We make the substitution $t = (1 - c^2)u^2$ (whence $u = \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}$). We get:

$$Z(\delta) = \int_0^{\infty} \left(\sqrt{\frac{t}{1-c^2}} \right)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-t} \cdot \frac{dt}{2\sqrt{t}\sqrt{1-c^2}} = \frac{1}{2(1-c^2)^{\frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{3}{4}}} \int_0^{\infty} t^{\frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{3}{4}-1} e^{-t} dt = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3}{4})}{2(1-c^2)^{\frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{3}{4}}}.$$

From (13) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \rho^{\delta+\frac{3}{2}} \cdot I_6 &\leq B_1 \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\tilde{\delta}}{2} + \frac{3}{4})}{2(1-c^2)^{\frac{\tilde{\delta}}{2}+\frac{3}{4}}} + B_2 \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3}{4})}{2(1-c^2)^{\frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{3}{4}}} = \\
&= B_1 \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\delta+1)}{2(1-c^2)^{\delta+1}} + B_2 \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3}{4})}{2(1-c^2)^{\frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{3}{4}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$0 \leq I_6 \leq \frac{1}{\rho^{\delta+\frac{3}{2}}} \cdot \left((2c)^{\delta+\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\pi} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\delta+1)}{2(1-c^2)^{\delta+1}} + 2^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\right) \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3}{4})}{2(1-c^2)^{\frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{3}{4}}} \right).$$

Combining the relations (5), (9) and (11) we see that

$$I_2 = I_3 + I_4 = e^{-\lambda(\rho^2-2c\rho+1)} \cdot \frac{(1+\theta_2)(1-\theta_3)(1-\theta_4)(1+\theta_5)(1-\theta_6)(1-\theta_7)}{4\lambda^2\rho(\rho-c)(1-c\rho)} + I_4 \quad (14)$$

and

$$0 \leq I_4 \leq e^{-(\lambda-1)(\rho^2-2c\rho+1+\varepsilon(2\min(\rho-c, 1-c\rho)+\varepsilon))} \cdot I_6.$$

Now we obtain a formula for α , taking into account the relations (3), (4) and (14). Namely,

$$\alpha = \frac{2C}{\sqrt{\pi c}}(1 + \theta_1)I_1 = \frac{2c}{(K_2 c)^{1+\delta}\Gamma(1+\delta)\sqrt{\pi c}} \cdot (1 + \theta_1)(x_1 x_2)^{(\delta+\frac{3}{2})} \cdot I_2 \quad (15)$$

where

$$I_2 = e^{-\lambda(\rho^2-2c\rho+1)} \cdot \left(\frac{(1+\theta_2)(1-\theta_3)(1-\theta_4)(1+\theta_5)(1-\theta_6)(1-\theta_7)}{4\lambda^2\rho(\rho-c)(1-c\rho)} + \tilde{I}_4 \right) \quad (16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \tilde{I}_4 &:= I_4 \cdot e^{\lambda(\rho^2-2c\rho+1)} \leq e^{-(\lambda-1)(\rho^2-2c\rho+1+\varepsilon(2\min(\rho-c, 1-c\rho)+\varepsilon))} \cdot e^{\lambda(\rho^2-2c\rho+1)} \cdot I_6 = \\ &= e^{-\lambda\varepsilon(2\min(\rho-c, 1-c\rho)+\varepsilon)} \cdot e^{(\rho^2-2c\rho+1+\varepsilon(2\min(\rho-c, 1-c\rho)+\varepsilon))} I_6. \end{aligned}$$

We replace δ and K_2 by $\frac{N-3}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{1-c^2}$ respectively. Recall that $x_1 = \sqrt{\frac{x_1^*}{2(1-c^2)}}$ and $x_2 = \sqrt{\frac{x_2^*}{2(1-c^2)}}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{2c}{\left(\frac{c}{1-c^2}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2}) \sqrt{c\pi}} \cdot (x_1 x_2)^{\frac{N}{2}} \cdot I_2(1 + \theta_1) = \\ &= \frac{2c(1-c^2)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}{c^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2}) \sqrt{c\pi}} \cdot \left(\sqrt{\frac{x_1^*}{2(1-c^2)}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{x_2^*}{2(1-c^2)}} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \cdot I_2(1 + \theta_1) = \\ &= \frac{2(1-c^2)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} (x_1^* x_2^*)^{\frac{N}{4}}}{c^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2}) \sqrt{\pi} [2(1-c^2)]^{\frac{N}{2}}} \cdot I_2(1 + \theta_1) = \frac{(x_1^* x_2^*)^{\frac{N}{4}}}{2^{\frac{N}{2}-1} c^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2}) \sqrt{\pi(1-c^2)}} \cdot I_2(1 + \theta_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Proposition 1 is proved.

We proceed to the proof of Theorem 2. Since $\rho = \frac{x_2}{x_1}$ we have $\frac{x_2^*}{x_1^*} = \rho^2$. Hence, for $\lambda := x_1^2 \rightarrow +\infty$ the following equivalence holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\sim \frac{(x_1^* x_2^*)^{\frac{N}{4}}}{(2c)^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2}) \sqrt{\pi(1-c^2)}} \cdot e^{-\lambda(\rho^2-2c\rho+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{4\lambda^2\rho(\rho-c)(1-c\rho)} = \\ &= \frac{(x_1^* \rho^2 x_1^*)^{\frac{N}{4}} e^{-x_1^2(\rho^2-2c\rho+1)}}{(2c)^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2}) \sqrt{\pi(1-c^2)}} \cdot \frac{1}{4x_1^4\rho(\rho-c)(1-c\rho)} = \\ &= \frac{(x_1^* \rho)^{\frac{N}{2}} e^{-x_1^2(\rho^2-2c\rho+1)}}{(2c)^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2}) \sqrt{\pi(1-c^2)}} \cdot \frac{(2(1-c^2))^2}{4x_1^{*2}\rho(\rho-c)(1-c\rho)} = \\ &= \frac{(x_1^*)^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \left(\frac{\rho}{2c}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cdot (1-c^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{x_1^*}{2(1-c^2)}(\rho^2-2c\rho+1)}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2}) (\rho-c)(1-c\rho)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate the error of the approximation obtained (for $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$). For this we fix the number $a > 0$. We put

$$\varepsilon(\lambda) := \frac{a \ln \lambda}{\lambda}.$$

Then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and (in the notation of Proposition 1) $\theta_i = O(\varepsilon)$, $i = 2, 3, 5, 6$. Further, due to choosing a sufficiently large (fixed) number a we can assume that $\theta_i = O(\frac{1}{\lambda})$, $i = 4, 7$ and $\tilde{I}_4 = O(\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{3}}})$. Since $\Psi(\nu, x) = O(\frac{1}{x})$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ then $\theta_1 = O(\frac{1}{x_1^*})$. Finally, notice, that

$$O(\varepsilon) = O\left(\frac{a \ln \lambda}{\lambda}\right) = O\left(\frac{\ln x_1^*}{x_1^*}\right), \quad x_1^* \rightarrow +\infty$$

since $\lambda = \frac{x_1^*}{2\beta}$. Theorem 2 is proved.

Remark 3. Note that in the case $N = 2$ (and consequently $\delta < 0$) all results are preserved with natural changes due to Remark 2 and small changes will concern only that part of the reasoning in which Infeld's function is replaced by its asymptotics.

Remark 4. If $\frac{x_2}{x_1} < c$ then it is easy to show (using analogous arguments) that

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{t_1 \geq 1, t_2 \geq 1} (t_1^2 - 2cpt_1t_2 + \rho^2 t_2^2) = \left(1, \frac{c}{\rho}\right),$$

and then use arguments similar to the proof of Theorem 2 and obtain a formula for α in this case. Similarly, we may consider the case $\frac{x_2}{x_1} > \frac{1}{c}$. We also note that both of these cases are less interesting from a practical point of view.

4 Proof of Theorem 3

Lemma 1. Let $k \geq 2$ be fixed. Then the following relation holds:

$$\int_x^\infty \frac{t^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}} dt = \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \cdot e^{-\frac{x}{2}} \cdot (1 + o(1)), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof. By the L'Hospital's rule

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_x^\infty \frac{t^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}} dt}{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \cdot e^{-\frac{x}{2}}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\left(\frac{x^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \cdot e^{-\frac{x}{2}}}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}}\right)}{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \cdot e^{-\frac{x}{2}} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{k}{2} - 1\right) \cdot \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}-2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot e^{-\frac{x}{2}}} = 1.$$

This proves the lemma.

Now let us prove Theorem 3. Recall that $\alpha_1 := \lim_{n_1 \rightarrow \infty} P(X(n_1) > x_1^*)$, $\alpha_2 := \lim_{n_2 \rightarrow \infty} P(X(n_2) > x_2^*)$. Further, within the framework of our model the distribution $\chi^2(N-1)$ is the limit distribution for both $X(n_1)$ and $X(n_2)$. It is known that $x_1^* \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x_2^* \rightarrow +\infty$ (and therefore $\alpha_1 \rightarrow +0$ and $\alpha_2 \rightarrow +0$) so that $\sqrt{\frac{\ln \alpha_2}{\ln \alpha_1}} = P$. Hence, α_2 can be expressed via α_1 , and the numbers x_1^* and x_2^* (and therefore also the numbers $x_1 = \sqrt{\frac{x_1^*}{2\beta}}$, $x_2 = \sqrt{\frac{x_2^*}{2\beta}}$) are determined by the numbers α_1, α_2 uniquely. Further, it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\alpha_1 \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{x_1^*}{2}\right)^{\frac{N-3}{2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{x_1^*}{2}} \cdot (1 + o(1)), \quad \alpha_2 \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{x_2^*}{2}\right)^{\frac{N-3}{2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{x_2^*}{2}} \cdot (1 + o(1)),$$

therefore $x_i^* \sim -2 \ln \alpha_i$, $i = 1, 2$, where the notation $f(x) \sim g(x)$ means that $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \rightarrow 1$ (as $x \rightarrow +\infty$). It is easy to see that $\alpha_i \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \sim (-\ln \alpha_i)^{\frac{N-3}{2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{x_i^*}{2}}$, therefore $(-\ln \alpha_i)^{\frac{3-N}{2}} \cdot \alpha_i \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \sim e^{-\frac{x_i^*}{2}}$ whence

$$(-\ln \alpha_i)^{N-3} \cdot \left(\alpha_i \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)\right)^{-2} \sim e^{x_i^*}. \quad (17)$$

Further, the ratio ρ was fixed in Theorem 2 but now it is not so. Nevertheless, $\rho = \frac{x_2}{x_1} \rightarrow P$. Indeed, $x_i := \sqrt{\frac{x_i^*}{2\beta}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{-2 \ln \alpha_i}{2\beta}} = \sqrt{\frac{-\ln \alpha_i}{1-c^2}}$, it means that $\rho = \frac{x_2}{x_1} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\ln \alpha_2}{\ln \alpha_1}} = P$. We assume that $c < P < \frac{1}{c}$. Since $\rho \rightarrow P$ for sufficiently small α_1 the following inequality holds: $c < \frac{x_2}{x_1} < \frac{1}{c}$.

Hence, taking to account formulas (15) and (16), obtained in the proof of Proposition 1, we have:

$$\alpha \sim \frac{c(\rho x_1^2)^{(\delta+\frac{3}{2})} \cdot e^{-x_1^2(\rho^2-2c\rho+1)}}{2(K_2c)^{1+\delta}\Gamma(1+\delta)\sqrt{\pi c}x_1^4\rho(\rho-c)(1-c\rho)}.$$

Since $x_i \sim \sqrt{\frac{-\ln \alpha_i}{1-c^2}}$ and $\rho \sim P$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\sim \frac{c \cdot (P \cdot \frac{-\ln \alpha_1}{1-c^2})^{(\delta+\frac{3}{2})} \cdot e^{-(x_2^2-2cx_1 \cdot x_2+x_1^2)}}{2(K_2c)^{1+\delta}\Gamma(1+\delta)\sqrt{\pi c}(\frac{-\ln \alpha_1}{1-c^2})^2 P(P-c)(1-cP)} = \\ &= \frac{c \cdot (P \cdot \frac{-\ln \alpha_1}{1-c^2})^{(\delta+\frac{3}{2})} \cdot (e^{x_1^*} \cdot e^{x_2^*} \cdot e^{-2c\sqrt{x_1^*x_2^*}})^{-\frac{1}{2(1-c^2)}}}{2(K_2c)^{1+\delta}\Gamma(1+\delta)\sqrt{\pi c}(\frac{-\ln \alpha_1}{1-c^2})^2 P(P-c)(1-cP)}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

It remains to express $e^{\sqrt{x_1^*x_2^*}}$ via α_i . We need the following Lemma.

Lemma 2. Suppose $n \geq 0$ and for $t_i \rightarrow +\infty$ the following relations hold: $\alpha_i(t_i) = t_i^n e^{-t_i} (1 + o(1))$, $i = 1, 2$. Suppose the continuous mapping $\alpha_i(t_i)$ is a bijection between $(0, \alpha_i^0]$ and $[t_i^0, +\infty)$. If $\sqrt{\frac{\ln \alpha_2}{\ln \alpha_1}} = P = \text{const}$ then $e^{\sqrt{t_1 t_2}} \sim \alpha_1^{-P} \cdot \left((-\ln \alpha_1)^P \cdot (-\ln \alpha_2)^{\frac{1}{P}} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ as $\max(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \rightarrow +0$.

Proof. Note that $\max(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \rightarrow +0$ if and only if $\min(t_1, t_2) \rightarrow +\infty$. Further,

$$\ln \alpha_i = -t_i + n \ln t_i + \ln(1 + o(1)) = -t_i + n \ln t_i + o(1)$$

whence $t_i \sim -\ln \alpha_i$ and

$$t_i = -\ln \alpha_i + n \ln t_i + o(1) = (-\ln \alpha_i) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{n \ln t_i + o(1)}{-\ln \alpha_i} \right).$$

Hence,

$$t_i = (-\ln \alpha_i) \cdot (1 + \delta_i(t_i)) \quad (19)$$

where $\delta_i(t_i) := \frac{n \ln t_i + o(1)}{-\ln \alpha_i}$. Since $t_i \sim -\ln \alpha_i$ we have (taking (19) into account) $\delta_i(t_i) = o(1)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{t_1 t_2} &= \sqrt{(-\ln \alpha_1)(-\ln \alpha_2)(1 + \delta_1)(1 + \delta_2)} = \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{-\ln \alpha_2}{-\ln \alpha_1}} \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1) \cdot [1 + \frac{\delta_1}{2}(1 + O(\delta_1))] \cdot [1 + \frac{\delta_2}{2}(1 + O(\delta_2))] = \\ &= P \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1) \cdot [1 + \frac{\delta_1}{2}(1 + O(\delta_1)) + \frac{\delta_2}{2}(1 + O(\delta_2)) + \frac{1}{4}\delta_1\delta_2(1 + o(1))]. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Let us simplify the expression obtained. For this we note that

$$\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1} \sim \frac{n \ln t_2}{-\ln \alpha_2} \cdot \frac{-\ln \alpha_1}{n \ln t_1} = P^{-2} \frac{\ln t_2}{\ln t_1}.$$

In this case (by virtue of (19)) the following relation holds: $\ln t_i = \ln(-(1 + \delta_i) \ln \alpha_i)$, whence $\ln t_i = \ln(-\ln \alpha_i) + \ln(1 + \delta_i) \sim \ln(-\ln \alpha_i)$. In addition, $-\ln \alpha_2 = P^2(-\ln \alpha_1)$. Hence,

$$\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1} \sim P^{-2} \frac{\ln t_2}{\ln t_1} \sim P^{-2} \frac{\ln(-\ln \alpha_2)}{\ln(-\ln \alpha_1)} = P^{-2} \frac{\ln(P^2(-\ln \alpha_1))}{\ln(-\ln \alpha_1)} = P^{-2} \frac{2 \ln P + \ln(-\ln \alpha_1)}{\ln(-\ln \alpha_1)} \sim P^{-2}.$$

Therefore, $\delta_1 \delta_2 (1 + o(1)) = \frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1} \cdot \delta_1^2 (1 + o(1)) = \delta_1^2 O(1)$. By (20) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{t_1 t_2} &= P \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1) \cdot \left[1 + \frac{\delta_1}{2} (1 + O(\delta_1)) + \frac{\delta_2}{2} (1 + O(\delta_1)) + \frac{1}{4} \delta_1^2 O(1) \right] = \\
&= P(-\ln \alpha_1) \left(1 + \frac{\delta_1}{2} (1 + O(\delta_1)) + \frac{\delta_2}{2} (1 + O(\delta_2)) \right) = \\
&= P(-\ln \alpha_1) + \frac{P}{2} (-\ln \alpha_1) \delta_1 (1 + O(\delta_1)) + \frac{P}{2} (-\ln \alpha_1) \delta_2 (1 + O(\delta_2)) = \\
&= P(-\ln \alpha_1) + \frac{P}{2} (n \ln t_1 + o(1)) (1 + O(\delta_1)) + \frac{P}{2} \delta_2 (-\ln \alpha_2) \cdot \left(\frac{-\ln \alpha_1}{-\ln \alpha_2} \right) (1 + O(\delta_2)) = \\
&= P(-\ln \alpha_1) + \frac{P}{2} (n \ln t_1 + o(1)) (1 + O(\delta_1)) + \frac{P}{2} (n \ln t_2 + o(1)) P^{-2} (1 + O(\delta_2)) = \\
&= P(-\ln \alpha_1) + \frac{Pn}{2} \cdot (1 + O(\delta_1)) \ln t_1 + \frac{n}{2P} \cdot (1 + O(\delta_2)) \ln t_2 + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\delta_i \ln t_i \sim \frac{n \ln^2 t_i}{-\ln \alpha_i} \sim \frac{n \ln^2 t_i}{t_i}$. Hence $\delta_i \ln t_i = o(1)$. Thus,

$$\sqrt{t_1 t_2} = P(-\ln \alpha_1) + \frac{Pn}{2} \cdot \ln t_1 + \frac{n}{2P} \cdot \ln t_2 + o(1).$$

Consequently,

$$e^{\sqrt{t_1 t_2}} \sim \alpha_1^{-P} \cdot t_1^{\frac{Pn}{2}} \cdot t_2^{\frac{n}{2P}} \sim \alpha_1^{-P} \cdot \left((-\ln \alpha_1)^P \cdot (-\ln \alpha_2)^{\frac{1}{P}} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Thus, Lemma is proved.

Further, $\tilde{\alpha}_i := \alpha_i \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{x_i^*}{2}\right)^{\frac{N-3}{2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{x_i^*}{2}} \cdot (1 + o(1))$. By Lemma 2 and by the fact that $\ln(const \cdot \alpha) \sim \ln \alpha$ as $\alpha \rightarrow +0$, the following relations hold:

$$e^{\sqrt{\frac{x_1^*}{2} \cdot \frac{x_2^*}{2}}} \sim \tilde{\alpha}_1^{-P} \cdot \left((-\ln \tilde{\alpha}_1)^P \cdot (-\ln \tilde{\alpha}_2)^{\frac{1}{P}} \right)^{\frac{N-3}{4}} \sim \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \alpha_1 \right)^{-P} \cdot \left((-\ln \alpha_1)^P \cdot (-\ln \alpha_2)^{\frac{1}{P}} \right)^{\frac{N-3}{4}}.$$

Taking into account that $-\ln \alpha_2 = -P^2 \ln \alpha_1$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{\sqrt{x_1^* x_2^*}} &\sim \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \alpha_1 \right)^{-2P} \cdot \left((-\ln \alpha_1)^P \cdot (-\ln \alpha_2)^{\frac{1}{P}} \right)^{\frac{N-3}{2}} = \\
&= \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \alpha_1 \right)^{-2P} \cdot \left((-\ln \alpha_1)^P \cdot (-P^2 \ln \alpha_1)^{\frac{1}{P}} \right)^{\frac{N-3}{2}} = \\
&= \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \alpha_1 \right)^{-2P} \cdot P^{\frac{N-3}{P}} \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1)^{(P+\frac{1}{P})\frac{N-3}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Thus, taking into account (17), (18) and (21) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &\sim \frac{c \cdot \left(P \cdot \frac{-\ln \alpha_1}{1-c^2} \right)^{(\delta+\frac{3}{2})} \tilde{Q}^{-\frac{1}{2(1-c^2)}}}{2(K_2 c)^{1+\delta} \Gamma(1+\delta) \sqrt{\pi c} \left(\frac{-\ln \alpha_1}{1-c^2} \right)^2 P(P-c)(1-cP)} \\
&\quad \text{where } \tilde{Q} := e^{x_1^*} \cdot e^{x_2^*} \cdot e^{-2c\sqrt{x_1^* x_2^*}} \sim \\
&\sim (-\ln \alpha_1)^{N-3} \cdot \left(\alpha_1 \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \right)^{-2} \cdot (-\ln \alpha_2)^{N-3} \cdot \left(\alpha_2 \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \right)^{-2} \cdot e^{-2c\sqrt{x_1^* x_2^*}} = \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{\ln^{N-3} \alpha_i}{\alpha_i^2} \right) \cdot \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \right)^{-4} \cdot \left(\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \alpha_1 \right)^{-2P} \cdot P^{\frac{N-3}{P}} \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1)^{(P+\frac{1}{P})\frac{N-3}{2}} \right)^{-2c} = \\
&= \frac{(\ln^{N-3} \alpha_1)(\ln^{N-3} \alpha_2)}{\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2^2} \cdot \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \right)^{4Pc-4} \cdot \alpha_1^{4Pc} \cdot P^{2(\frac{c}{P})(3-N)} \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1)^{(P+\frac{1}{P})(3-N)c} =: Q.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Since $-\ln \alpha_2 = -P^2 \ln \alpha_1$ we have $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1^{P^2}$ whence

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \frac{(-\ln \alpha_1)^{N-3} (-P^2 \ln \alpha_1)^{N-3}}{\alpha_1^2 \alpha_1^{2P^2}} \cdot \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right) \right)^{4Pc-4} \cdot \alpha_1^{4Pc} \cdot P^{2(\frac{c}{P})(3-N)} \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1)^{(P+\frac{1}{P})(3-N)c} = \\ &= \frac{P^{2(N-3)(1-\frac{c}{P})}}{\left(\Gamma \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right) \right)^{4(1-Pc)}} \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1)^{(N-3)(2-c(P+P^{-1}))} \cdot \alpha_1^{-2(P^2-2Pc+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the formula (22) replace δ and K_2 with $\frac{N-3}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{1-c^2}$ respectively. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\sim \frac{c \cdot \left(P \cdot \frac{-\ln \alpha_1}{1-c^2} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} Q^{-\frac{1}{2(1-c^2)}}}{2 \left(\frac{c}{1-c^2} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \Gamma \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right) \sqrt{c\pi} \left(\frac{-\ln \alpha_1}{1-c^2} \right)^2 P(P-c)(1-cP)} = \\ &= \frac{(1-c^2)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \cdot P^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cdot \left(\frac{-\ln \alpha_1}{1-c^2} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \cdot Q^{-\frac{1}{2(1-c^2)}}}{2c^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right) (P-c)(1-cP)} = \frac{(1-c^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot P^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1)^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \cdot Q^{-\frac{1}{2(1-c^2)}}}{2c^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right) (P-c)(1-cP)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Theorem 3 is proved.

Let us prove Corollary 1. In fact, suppose the conditions of Theorem 3 hold true and suppose $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. Then $P = 1$. Hence,

$$Q = \left(\frac{(-\ln \alpha_1)^{\frac{N-3}{2}}}{\alpha_1 \cdot \Gamma \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right)} \right)^{4(1-c)}.$$

In this case

$$\alpha \sim \frac{(1-c^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1)^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \cdot Q^{-\frac{1}{2(1-c^2)}}}{2c^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right) (1-c)^2} = D \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1)^{\frac{N}{2}-2} Q^{-\frac{1}{2(1-c^2)}}$$

where $D := \frac{(1-c^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2c^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right) (1-c)^2}$. So,

$$\alpha \sim D \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1)^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \left(\frac{(-\ln \alpha_1)^{\frac{N-3}{2}}}{\alpha_1 \cdot \Gamma \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right)} \right)^{\frac{-2}{1+c}} = D \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1)^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \left(\frac{\alpha_1 \cdot \Gamma \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right)}{(-\ln \alpha_1)^{\frac{N-3}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{1+c}}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\sim \frac{(1-c^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2c^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right) (1-c)^2} \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1)^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \left(\frac{\alpha_1 \cdot \Gamma \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right)}{(-\ln \alpha_1)^{\frac{N-3}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{1+c}} = \\ &= \frac{(1-c^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1-c}{1+c}}}{2c^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \sqrt{\pi} (1-c)^2} \cdot (-\ln \alpha_1)^{\frac{N}{2}-\frac{N-3}{1+c}-2} (\alpha_1)^{\frac{2}{1+c}} \end{aligned}$$

and $\frac{2}{1+c} \in (1, 2)$ since $c \in (0, 1)$. Thus, Corollary 1 is proved.

5 Proof of Theorem 4

Suppose $d \geq 2$ and $Bes_d(t)$ is the d -dimensional Bessel process. By definition, put $N := d + 1$. It was shown in [9] (see Theorem 2 and formula (11)) that if $0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$ and $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$ then

$$P(Bes_{N-1}(t_1) \geq x_1, \dots, Bes_{N-1}(t_k) \geq x_k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left(X(\lfloor nt_1 \rfloor) \geq \frac{x_1^2}{t_1}, \dots, X(\lfloor nt_k \rfloor) \geq \frac{x_k^2}{t_k} \right). \quad (23)$$

However,

$$P(X(n_1) > x_1^*, \dots, X(n_k) > x_k^*) = \alpha(x_1^*, \dots, x_k^*). \quad (24)$$

Further, it is obvious that if $c \geq 0$ then

$$Bes_d(ct) \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{c} Bes_d(t). \quad (25)$$

We fix the numbers $0 < s_1 < s_2$ and $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$. Let $\tilde{s} := \frac{s_1}{s_2}$, $\tilde{x}_1 := \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{s_2}}$, $\tilde{x}_2 := \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{s_2}}$, $x_1^* := \frac{\tilde{x}_1^2}{\tilde{s}}$, $x_2^* := \tilde{x}_2^2$. In view of (23)–(25) we have:

$$P(Bes_d(s_1) \geq x_1, Bes_d(s_2) \geq x_2) = P(Bes_d(s_2 \cdot \tilde{s}) \geq x_1, Bes_d(s_2 \cdot 1) \geq x_2) = \quad (26)$$

$$= P(\sqrt{s_2} Bes_d(\tilde{s}) \geq x_1, \sqrt{s_2} Bes_d(1) \geq x_2) = P(Bes_d(\tilde{s}) \geq \tilde{x}_1, Bes_d(1) \geq \tilde{x}_2) = \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X(\lfloor n\tilde{s} \rfloor) \geq x_1^*, X(\lfloor n \cdot 1 \rfloor) \geq x_2^*) = \alpha(x_1^*, x_2^*) \quad (27)$$

for $n_1 := \lfloor n\tilde{s} \rfloor$, $n_2 := n$. Further, $c := \lim_{n_1, n_2 \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n_2}} = \sqrt{\tilde{s}}$. Let $x_1, x_2 \rightarrow +\infty$ in a such a way that $\rho = \sqrt{\frac{x_2^*}{x_1^*}} = \text{const}$ and $c < \rho < \frac{1}{c}$ (which is equivalent to the fact that $\sqrt{\frac{s_1}{s_2}} < \frac{x_2}{x_1} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{s_1}{s_2}} < \sqrt{\frac{s_2}{s_1}}$). Then Theorem 2 is applicable (since $N = d + 1 \geq 3$) and this fact together with (27) (and equality $N = d + 1$) completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Remark 5. *The asymptotic properties of tails of the form $P(Bes_d(t_1) \geq x_1, \dots, Bes_d(t_k) \geq x_k)$ for the case $k > 2$ may be obtained with the help of Theorem 4 and the Bonferroni inequalities.*

References

- [1] V.K. Zakharov, O.V. Sarmanov and V.A. Sevast'janov, Sequential χ^2 criteria, Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik, **8**:3 (1969), 419–435.
- [2] G.N. Watson, A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions, 1966.
- [3] N.N. Lebedev, Special functions and their applications, 1965.
- [4] E.T. Whittaker, G.N. Watson, A Course of Modern Analysis, V.1, 1948.
- [5] E.T. Whittaker, G.N. Watson, A Course of Modern Analysis, V.2, 1948.
- [6] B.I. Selivanov, V.P. Chistyakov, “Multivariate chi-square distribution for a nonhomogeneous multinomial scheme”, Discrete Math. Appl., **8**:3 (1998), 263–273.
- [7] A. P. Germogenov and A. F. Ronzhin, A Sequential Chi-Square Test, Theory Probab. Appl., **29**:2, 397–403.
- [8] S. Kh. Tumanyan, Asymptotic Distribution of The χ^2 Criterion when the Number of Observations and Number of Groups Increase Simultaneously, Theory Probab. Appl., **1**:1 (1956), 117–131.
- [9] A.M. Zubkov, M.P. Savelov, Convergence of the sequence of values of Pearson's statistics to the square of the normalized Bessel process (in russian), Discrete Math. Appl., **28**:3 (2016), 49–58.