ANALOGUES OF IWASAWA’S $\mu = 0$ CONJECTURE AND THE WEAK LEOPOLDT CONJECTURE FOR A NON-CYCLOTOMIC $\mathbb{Z}_2$-EXTENSION
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Abstract. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$, where $q$ is any prime number congruent to 7 modulo 8, and let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of $K$. The prime 2 splits in $K$, say $2\mathcal{O} = pp^\ast$, and there is a unique $\mathbb{Z}_2$-extension $K_\infty$ of $K$ which is unramified outside $p$. Let $H$ be the Hilbert class field of $K$, and write $H_\infty = HK_\infty$. Let $M(H_\infty)$ be the maximal abelian 2-extension of $H_\infty$ which is unramified outside the primes above $p$, and put $X(H_\infty) = \text{Gal}(M(H_\infty)/H_\infty)$. We prove that $X(H_\infty)$ is always a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module, by an elliptic analogue of Sinnott’s cyclotomic argument. We then use this result to prove for the first time the weak $p$-adic Leopoldt conjecture for the compositum $J_\infty$ of $K_\infty$ with arbitrary quadratic extensions $J$ of $H$. We also prove some new cases of the finite generation of the Mordell-Weil group $E(J_\infty)$ modulo torsion of certain elliptic curves $E$ with complex multiplication by $\mathcal{O}$. 
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1. Introduction

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$, where $q$ is any prime number congruent to 7 modulo 8, let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of $K$, and let $H$ be the Hilbert class field of $K$. We fix once and for all an embedding of $K$ into $\mathbb{C}$. By the theory of complex multiplication, we have $H = K(j(\mathcal{O}))$ where $j$ is the classical modular function; in particular, this fixes an embedding of $H$ into $\mathbb{C}$. We write $G$ for the Galois group of $H$ over $K$, and $h$ for the class number of $K$. Then $h$ is odd because $K$ has prime discriminant. The prime $p = 2$ splits in $K$, and we write $2\mathcal{O} = pp^\ast$.

Throughout the remainder of the paper, we fix once and for all an embedding $\iota_p$ of $K$ into $\mathbb{C}_2$, which induces the prime $p$. By global class field theory, $K$ has a unique $\mathbb{Z}_2$-extension which is unramified outside $p$, which we denote by $K_\infty/K$. Note that the prime $p$ is totally ramified in $K_\infty$ because $h$ is odd. Define

$$H_\infty = HK_\infty, \quad \Gamma = \text{Gal}(H_\infty/H).$$
We write $M(H_\infty)$ for the maximal abelian 2-extension of $H_\infty$ which is unramified outside the primes of $H_\infty$ above $p$, and put

$$X(H_\infty) = \text{Gal}(M(H_\infty)/H_\infty).$$

Note that $M(H_\infty)$ is clearly Galois over $H$, and thus $\Gamma$ acts continuously on $X(H_\infty)$ in the usual fashion via inner automorphisms. This action endows $X(H_\infty)$ with the structure of a module over the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ of $\Gamma$. In fact, it has long been known that $X(H_\infty)$ is a finitely generated torsion module over $\Lambda(\Gamma)$. In the present paper, we prove the following stronger theorem, which is equivalent to saying that the Iwasawa $\mu$-invariant for the $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-module $X(H_\infty)$ vanishes.

**Theorem 1.1.** The Galois group $X(H_\infty)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module.

In §5, we give some interesting numerical computations of the group $X(H_\infty)$, which show, somewhat surprisingly, that in fact it is zero for all primes $q < 500$ with $q \equiv 7 \mod 8$ except $q = 431$. Moreover, by a simple application of Nakayama’s lemma, we obtain the following corollary of Theorem 1.1. Let $J$ denote any quadratic extension of the Hilbert class field $H$, and write $J_\infty = HK_\infty$. Let $M(J_\infty)$ be the maximal abelian 2-extension of $J_\infty$ which is unramified outside the primes above $p$, and put $X(J_\infty) = \text{Gal}(M(J_\infty)/J_\infty)$.

**Corollary 1.2.** For every quadratic extension $J$ of $H$, the Galois group $X(J_\infty)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module.

We point out that this corollary implies in particular (see [4]) that the weak $p$-adic Leopoldt conjecture is valid for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-extension $J_\infty/J$. This is the first example where such a weak $p$-adic Leopoldt conjecture has been proven for extensions of $K$ which are not in general abelian over $K$.

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we shall make use of what is, in some sense, the simplest elliptic curve with complex multiplication by $O$, which was introduced by Gross [14]. He has proven that there exists a unique elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}(j(O))$, which we shall denote by $A$, whose $j$-invariant is equal to $j(O)$, whose ring of $H$-endomorphisms is equal to $O$, whose minimal discriminant ideal in $H$ is equal to $(-q^3)$, and which is isogenous to all of its conjugates under the Galois action of $G$. The Grössencharacter of $A$ is the Hecke character $\psi$ of $H$ with conductor $q = (\sqrt{-q})$, which, on ideals $a$ of $H$ prime to $q$ is defined by the formula

$$\psi(a) = \alpha, \text{ where } (\alpha) = N_{H/K}a \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is a square modulo } q.$$ 

We have the identity

$$\psi = \phi \circ N_{H/K} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\phi$ is the following Grössencharacter of $K$ with conductor $q$. Let $B$ denote the abelian variety over $K$, which is the restriction of scalars from $H$ to $K$ of $A$. Let $T = \text{End}_K(B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then $T$ is an extension of degree $h$ of $K$, and for ideals $b$ of $K$ prime to $q$ we have $\phi(b) = \beta$, where $\beta$ is the unique element of $T$ such that $b^h = (\beta^h)$ and $\beta^h$ is a square modulo $q$. In particular, we remark that $B$ is isomorphic over $H$ to the product of the elliptic curves $A^\sigma$, where $\sigma$ runs over the element of $G$. It follows that for any integral ideal $b$ of $K$ prime to $q$, the endomorphism $\phi(b)$ of $B/K$ defines a unique isogeny defined over $H$

$$\eta_{A^\sigma}(b) : A^\sigma \rightarrow A^{\sigma\sigma} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\sigma$ denotes the Artin symbol of $b$ in $G$, whose kernel is $A^\sigma q$. For a more detailed discussion on this isogeny, see [14]. Moreover, Gross [15] has proven that $A$ has a global minimal Weierstrass equation over $H$. Hence we fix once and for all such a global minimal equation

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6, \tag{1.3}$$
with coefficients $a_i$ which are all integers in $H$. Moreover, there is an interesting new application of Corollary 1.2 to certain quadratic twists of $A$. Let $E$ denote a twist of $A$ by an arbitrary quadratic extension of $H$, whose conductor is relatively prime to $2q$. Define

$$F = H(E_p), \quad F_\infty = H(E_{p^{\infty}}), \quad G = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/H), \quad \Delta = \text{Gal}(F/H).$$

The fields $F$ and $F_\infty$ are, of course, abelian extensions of $H$, but we stress that they are not in general abelian over $K$. Here $\Delta$ is cyclic of order 2, and it can easily be seen that $F_\infty = FK_\infty$. We recall that the $p^{\infty}$-Selmer group of $E$ over $F_\infty$ is defined by

$$S_{p^{\infty}}(E/F_\infty) = \text{Ker} \left( H^1(F_\infty, E_p) \to \prod_v H^1(F_\infty, E)(p) \right)$$

where $v$ runs over all finite places of $F_\infty$.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $E$ be a twist of $A$ by any quadratic extension of $H$ of conductor prime to $2q$. Then the Pontryagin dual of $S_{p^{\infty}}(E/F_\infty)$ is always a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module. In particular, both $E(F_\infty)$ and $E(H_\infty)$ modulo torsion are finitely generated abelian groups.

We stress that this result was unknown previously, except in the very special case when $E$ is the quadratic twist of $A$ by the compositum with $H$ of a quadratic extension of $K$. Moreover, none of the analytic results is known for such a curve $E$, for example, the construction of the $p$-adic $L$-function attached to $E$.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 uses an elliptic analogue of Sinnott’s beautiful proof of the vanishing of the cyclotomic $\mu$-invariant. Considerable past work in this direction has already been done by Gillard [12], [11] and Schneps [17] for split odd primes $p$. For the prime $p = 2$ there has recently been independent work by Oukhaba and Viguié [18], which would seemingly include a proof of Theorem 1.1. However, we give the full details of a rather different construction of the $p$-adic $L$-functions and the analogue of Sinnott’s proof in our case, rather than the arguments sketched in [18].

## 2. Construction of the $p$-adic $L$-function

The aim of this section is to construct the $p$-adic $L$-function attached to the curve $A/H$, by using the method of [9] and [6]. In this section, $F$ and $F_\infty$ will denote the fields defined by (1.4) in the special case in which $E = A$. Thus, we will have

$$F = H(A_p), \quad F_\infty = H(A_{p^{\infty}}).$$

Write $\chi_p : G \to O_p^* = \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ for the character giving the action of $G = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/H)$ on $A_{p^{\infty}}$. It is well-known that $A$ has good reduction everywhere over $F$ (the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [3] generalizes immediately to all of the curves $A$ discussed here).

Thus we must have $[F : H] = 2$, whence we see that $\chi_p$ is an isomorphism. Hence $G = \Gamma \times \Delta$, where $\Delta = \text{Gal}(F/H)$ is of order 2 and $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Note that all the primes of $H$ lying above the $q$ must be ramified in the extension $F/H$, because $A/H$ has bad reduction at the primes of $H$ above $q$. As $H_\infty/H$ is unramified outside of the primes of $H$ dividing $p$, we see that $H_\infty \cap F = H$, whence we can also identify $\Gamma$ with the Galois group of $H_\infty/H$ under restriction.

Recall that $G$ denotes the Galois group of $H$ over $K$. Let $a$ be any non-zero integral ideal of $K$, which we will always assume is prime to $pq$. We write $\sigma_a$ for the Artin symbol of $a$ in $G$, and $A^a$ for the image of $A$ under $\sigma_a$. A global minimal Weierstrass equation for $A^a/H$, and its associated Néron differential $\omega^a$, are given respectively by just applying $\sigma_a$ to the coefficients of the equation (1.3), and to the
coefficients of its Néron differential \( \omega = dx/(2y + a_1 x + a_3) \). We then define an element \( \xi(a) \) of \( H \) by the equation
\[
\eta_A(a)^*(\omega^a) = \xi(a) \omega.
\]
where \( \eta_A(a) : A \to A^a \) is the isogeny as defined in (1.2). We also write \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( \mathcal{L}_a \) for the period lattices of \( \omega \) and \( \omega_a \). If we write \( \mathcal{L} = \Omega_\infty \mathcal{O} \) where \( \Omega_\infty \in \mathbb{C} \), then we have \( \mathcal{L}_a = \xi(a) \Omega_\infty a^{-1} \). Note that the Weierstrass isomorphism \( \mathfrak{M}(z, \mathcal{L}_a) \) from \( \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{L}_a \) to \( A^a(\mathbb{C}) \) is given by
\[
(\varphi(z, \mathcal{L}_a) - \frac{a_1^2 + 4a_2 a}{12}, \frac{1}{2} (\varphi(z, \mathcal{L}_a) - \varphi(z, \mathcal{L}_a) - \frac{a_1^2 + 4a_2 a}{12} - a_3) )
\]
where we simply write \( a_i \) for \( \sigma_i(a_i) \), and where \( \varphi(z, \mathcal{L}_a) \) denotes the Weierstrass \( \omega \)-function of the lattice \( \mathcal{L}_a \).

Let \( P = (x, y) \) denote a generic point of our global minimal Weierstrass equation for \( A^a/H \). Given any non-zero element \( \lambda \) of \( \mathcal{O} = \text{End}_H(A) \) with \( \lambda \neq \pm 1 \) and \( (\lambda, 6q) = 1 \), we define the rational function \( R_{\lambda, a}(P) \) on \( A^a \), with coefficients in \( H \), by
\[
R_{\lambda, a}(P) = c_\lambda(\lambda) \prod_{M \in V_\lambda} (x(P) - x(M))^{-1}
\]
where \( V_\lambda \) denotes any set of representatives of the non-zero \( \lambda \)-division points on \( A^a \) modulo \( \{ \pm 1 \} \), and \( c_\lambda(\lambda) \) is a unique 12-th root in \( H \) of \( \Delta(\mathcal{L}_a)^{N\lambda} / \Delta(\lambda^{-1} \mathcal{L}_a) \) (see also Proposition 1 of the Appendix of [5]). Here \( \Delta \) denotes Ramanujan’s \( \Delta \)-function. For each non-zero integral ideal \( \mathfrak{b} \) of \( K \) with \( (\mathfrak{b}, \lambda q) = 1 \), it is easily seen that we have (see Theorem 4 of the Appendix of [5])
\[
R_{\lambda, ab}(\eta_{\mathfrak{A}^+}(\mathfrak{b})(P)) = \prod_{U \in \mathfrak{A}_\mathfrak{b}^+} R_{\lambda, a}(P \oplus U).
\]

We introduce the index set \( I \) consisting of all finite sets \( \rho = \{ (\lambda_i, n_i) \mid i = 1, \cdots, r \} \) where \( r \geq 2, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \neq \pm 1 \) non-zero elements of \( \mathcal{O} \) with \( (\lambda_i, 6q) = 1 \), and satisfying \( \sum_{i=1}^r n_i (N\lambda_i - 1) = 0 \). Here \( N\lambda_i \) denotes the norm from \( K \) to \( \mathbb{Q} \) of \( \lambda_i \). Given \( \rho \in I \), we consider the product
\[
\mathfrak{M}_{\rho, a}(P) = \prod_{i=1}^r R_{\lambda_i, a}(P)^{n_i},
\]
which is also a rational function on \( A^a/H \). Under the Weierstrass isomorphism, this rational function can be considered as a function on \( \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{L}_a \) with variable \( z \). Taking the derivative logarithm of this function, we have the following result.

**Proposition 2.1.** We have
\[
\frac{d}{dz} \log \mathfrak{M}_{\rho, a}(P) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k=2, \text{even}}^\infty -n_i \frac{\phi^k(a)}{\xi(a)^k \Omega_k^\infty} (N\lambda_i - \lambda_i^k) L(\bar{\phi}^k, \sigma_a, k) z^{k-1}.
\]

In particular, for each even integer \( k > 0 \), we have
\[
\left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k \log \mathfrak{M}_{\rho, a}(P) \bigg|_{z=0} = B_\rho(k) (k-1)! \frac{\phi^k(a)}{\xi(a)^k \Omega_k^\infty} L(\bar{\phi}^k, \sigma_a, k)
\]
where \( B_\rho(k) = \sum_{i=1}^r -n_i (N\lambda_i - \lambda_i^k) \).

**Proof.** We recall the basic properties of Kronecker–Eisenstein series and elliptic functions, which are fully discussed in [13]. Let \( z \) and \( s \) be complex variables. For any lattice \( L \) in \( \mathbb{C} \), we define the Kronecker–Eisenstein series by
\[
H_k(z, s, L) = \sum_{w \in L} \frac{(\bar{z} + w)^k}{|z + w|^s}
\]
where the sum is taken over all \( w \in L \), except \(-z\) if \( z \in L \). It defines a holomorphic function of \( s \) in the half plane \( \text{Re}(s) > 1 + k/2 \), and has an analytic continuation to the whole \( s \)-plane. In particular, for each \( k \geq 3 \), \( G_k(L) = H_k(0, k, L) \) is a classic holomorphic Eisenstein series of weight \( k \). For the convention, we will denote by

\[
G_1(L) = 0, \quad G_2(L) = \lim_{s \to 0^+} \sum_{w \in L \setminus \{0\}} w^{-2} |w|^{-2s}.
\]

Let \( \sigma(z, L) \) denote the Weierstrass \( \sigma \)-function. We define a non-holomorphic function \( \theta(z, L) \) by

\[
\theta(z, L) = \exp \left( -G_2(L) \frac{z^2}{2} \right) \sigma(z, L).
\]

Then \( \theta \) possesses a Taylor expansion of the logarithmic derivative of \( \theta(z, L) \) as

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \log \theta(z, L) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} G_k(L) z^{k-1} = \sum_{k=2, \text{ even}} G_k(L) z^{k-1},
\]

where the second equality follows from \( G_k(L) = 0 \) for \( k \) odd.

Moreover, we have the identity

\[
(2.5) \quad \theta^2(z, L) \mathcal{N}_\lambda / \theta^2(z, \lambda^{-1} L) = \prod_{0 \neq w \in \lambda^{-1} L} (\varphi(z, L) - \varphi(w, L))^{-1}
\]

for any non-zero element \( \lambda \) of \( \mathcal{O} \). Hence, one gives another expression of the rational function \( \mathcal{R}_{p, a}(P) = \mathcal{R}_{p, a}(\mathcal{M}(z, \Lambda_a)) \) as

\[
\mathcal{R}_{p, a}(\mathcal{M}(z, \Lambda_a))^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left( c_\ell(\lambda_i) \theta^2(z, \Lambda_a) |N\lambda_i| \right)^{n_i}.
\]

It follows that

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \log \mathcal{R}_{p, a}(\mathcal{M}(z, \Lambda_a)) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i \left( N\lambda_i \frac{d}{dz} \log \theta(z, \Lambda_a) - \frac{d}{dz} \log \theta(z, \lambda^{-1}_i \Lambda_a) \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{k=2, \text{ even}} \sum_{k=2, \text{ even}} -n_i \left( N\lambda_i G_k(L_a) - \lambda_i^k G_k(L_a) \right) z^{k-1}.
\]

Finally, Proposition 5.5 in [13] shows that the partial Hecke \( L \)-function \( L(\tilde{\phi}^k, \sigma_a, s) \) decomposes into Kronecker–Eisenstein series \( H_k(z, s, \Lambda_a) \). In particular, we have

\[
G_k(L_a) = \frac{\phi^k(a)}{\xi(a)^k \Omega_L^k} L(\tilde{\phi}^k, \sigma_a, k).
\]

Applying this equality to (2.6), this completes the proof of the proposition. \( \square \)

Now we define the rational function \( J_{p, a}(P) \) on \( A/H \) by

\[
J_{p, a}(P) = \mathcal{R}_{p, a}(P)^2 / \mathcal{R}_{p, ap}(\eta_{A^p}(p)(P)).
\]

Clearly, it follows from (2.2) that

\[
\prod_{V \in \mathcal{A}_p^\infty} J_{p, a}(P \oplus V) = 1.
\]

Let \( v \) be the prime of \( H \) lying above \( p \). Let \( \mathfrak{m}_v \) be the maximal ideal of the ring \( \mathcal{O}_v \) of integers of the completion \( H_v \). For the elliptic curve \( A^p/H \), we denote by \( A_{v, p} \) the formal group of \( A^p \) at \( v \). We denote by \( t = -x/y \) the parameter of this formal group.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( \mathcal{D}_{p, a}(t) \) denote the t-expansion of the rational function \( J_{p, a}(P) \). Then \( \mathcal{D}_{p, a}(t) \) lies in \( 1 + \mathfrak{m}_v[[t]] \). In particular, we can define \( m_{p, a}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \log(\mathcal{D}_{p, a}(t)) \), which lies in \( \mathcal{O}_v[[t]] \). 

Proof. Let $D_{\lambda, a}(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} d_n t^n$ denote the $t$-expansion of the rational function $R_{\lambda, a}(P)$. We use a classical result (see Lemma 23 of [8]) that $D_{\lambda, a}(t)$ is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_v[[t]]$. Writing

$$\tilde{\eta}_{A^v}(p) : \tilde{A}^{a,v} \to \tilde{A}^{a,p,v}$$

for the formal power series induced by the isogeny $\eta_{A^v}(p)$, we have $\tilde{\eta}_{A^v}(p)(t) \equiv t^2 \mod m_v$. Since $(\lambda, p) = 1$, it follows that

$$D_{\lambda, a}(p)(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} d_n p^{a,n} (\tilde{\eta}_{A^v}(p)(t))^n \equiv \sum_{n \geq 0} d_n^2 t^{2n} \mod m_v.$$

Hence the lemma follows immediately, since

$$D_{\lambda, a}(t)^2 = (\sum_{n \geq 0} d_n t^n)^2 \equiv \sum_{n \geq 0} d_n^2 t^{2n} \mod m_v.$$

$\square$

Let $\mathcal{I}_p$ denote the ring of integers of the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $K_p$. As $\tilde{A}^v$ has height 1 as a formal group, there exists an isomorphism over $\mathcal{I}_p$

$$\beta_v : \mathcal{G}_m \sim \tilde{A}^v,$$

where $\mathcal{G}_m$ denotes the formal multiplicative group with parameter $w$. For each non-zero integral ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $K$ with $(\mathfrak{a}, p) = 1$, the isogeny $\eta_{A^v}(\mathfrak{a}) : A \to A^v$ induces an isomorphism from $\tilde{A}^v$ onto $\tilde{A}^{\mathfrak{a},v}$, and hence we have an isomorphism over $\mathcal{I}_p$

$$\beta_v^{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathcal{G}_m \sim \tilde{A}^{\mathfrak{a},v}, \quad \beta_v^{\mathfrak{a}} = \eta_{A^v}(\mathfrak{a}) \circ \beta_v.$$

The isomorphism $\beta_v^{\mathfrak{a}}$ is given by a power series $t = \beta_v^{\mathfrak{a}}(w)$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{I}_p$. We write $\Omega_{\mathfrak{a},v}$ for the coefficient of $w$ in this power series.

Lemma 2.3. We have $\Omega_{\mathfrak{a},v} = \xi(\mathfrak{a}) \Omega_v$.

Proof. Viewing $z$ as a parameter of the formal additive group $\mathcal{G}_a$, we have the exponential map $E(z, L)$ of $\tilde{A}^v$ is given by the formal power series

$$t = E(z, L) = -\frac{2\varphi(z, L) - a_2^2 + 4a_2}{\varphi(z, L) - a_1 (a_1 + 4a_2) / 12 - a_2}$$

Similarly, let $E(z, L_a)$ be defined analogously for the formal group $\tilde{A}^{a,v}$ by using the Weierstrass isomorphism $\mathcal{M}(z, L_a)$. By the uniqueness of the exponential map for a formal group, we have

$$\beta_v(e^{z/\Omega_v} - 1) = E(z, L), \quad \beta_v^{\mathfrak{a}}(e^{z/\Omega_v} - 1) = E(z, L_a).$$

On the other hand, as $\eta_{A^v}(\mathfrak{a})(\mathcal{M}(z, L)) = \mathcal{M}(\xi(\mathfrak{a}) z, L_a)$, we have $\tilde{\eta}_{A^v}(\mathfrak{a})(L_a) = E(\xi(\mathfrak{a}), L_a))$. The lemma then follows by comparing the first coefficients of the last equality on both sides. $\square$

We now define the formal power series $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}, a}(w)$ in $\mathcal{I}_p[[w]]$ by

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}, a}(w) = m_{\mathfrak{p}, a}(\beta_v^{\mathfrak{a}}(w)),$$

and let $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}, a}$ be the $\mathcal{I}_p$-valued measure on $\mathbb{Z}_2$ associated to $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{p}, a}(w)$. Indeed, let $\Lambda_{\mathcal{I}_p}(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the ring of $\mathcal{I}_p$-valued measures on a profinite group $\mathcal{E}$. Then $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}, a}$ is determined by Mahler’s theorem that there exists the ring isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M} : \Lambda_{\mathcal{I}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \sim \mathcal{I}_p[[w]], \quad \mathcal{M}(\nu) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \int_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \left( \frac{x}{n} \right) dv \right) w^n = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (1 + w)^n dv.$$
Now we have the inclusion \( i : \Lambda_{\Sigma_0}(\mathbb{Z}_2^\times) \rightarrow \Lambda_{\Sigma_0}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \) given by extending a measure on \( \mathbb{Z}_2^\times \) to \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) by zero. By (2.2) we have
\[
\sum_{\zeta \in \{\pm 1\}} \mathfrak{N}_{\zeta,0}(\zeta(1 + w) - 1) = 0,
\]
whence the measure \( \nu_{\rho,0} \) belongs to \( \Lambda_{\Sigma_0}(\mathbb{Z}_2^\times) \). Thus the measure \( \nu_{\rho,0} \) can be viewed as an element of \( \Lambda_{\Sigma_0}(\mathcal{G}) \) via the isomorphism \( \chi_0 : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^\times \). For all \( k \geq 0 \), we have
\[
\int_{\mathcal{G}} \chi_0^k d\nu_{\rho,0} = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_2} x^k d\nu_{\rho,0} = D^k \mathfrak{M}_{\rho,0}(w) \bigg|_{w=0} = \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k \mathfrak{M}_{\rho,0}(e^z - 1) \bigg|_{z=0},
\]
where \( D = (1 + w) \frac{d}{d\nu_\rho} \). It is equal to
\[
\Omega_{\rho,0}^k \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k \mathfrak{M}_{\rho,0}(e^{z/\Omega_{\rho,0}} - 1) \bigg|_{z=0} = \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\rho,0}^k \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k \log \mathfrak{M}_{\rho,0}(M(z, L_\rho)) \bigg|_{z=0}.
\]

**Lemma 2.4.** For each even integer \( k > 0 \), we have
\[
\Omega_{\rho,0}^k \int_{\mathcal{G}} \chi_0^k d\nu_{\rho,0} = B_{\rho}(k)(k - 1)! \phi_0^k(a) \Omega_{\rho,0}^{-k} \left( L(\phi_0^k, \sigma_a, k) - \frac{\phi_0^k(p)}{2} L(\phi_0^k, \sigma_0, k) \right).
\]

**Proof.** We have
\[
\Omega_{\rho,0}^{-k} \int_{\mathcal{G}} \chi_0^k d\nu_{\rho,0} = \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k \log (\mathfrak{M}(z, L_\rho)) \bigg|_{z=0}
- \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k \log (\mathfrak{M}(ap, \mathfrak{M}(z, L_\rho))) \bigg|_{z=0}.
\]
Note that \( \mathfrak{M}(ap, \mathfrak{M}(z, L_\rho)) = \mathfrak{M}(\xi(p)^{\sigma_a} z, \mathfrak{M}(L_\rho)) \) and \( \xi(ap) = \xi(a) \xi(p)^{\sigma_a} \). Then the lemma follows from Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.3.

We now denote by \( \mathfrak{C} \) a set of integral ideals \( a \) of \( K \) prime to \( p \), whose Artin symbols give precisely the Galois group \( G = \text{Gal}(H/K) \). There is the relation
\[
L(\phi_0^k \chi, s) = \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{C}} \chi(\sigma_a) L(\phi_0^k, \sigma_a, s), \quad \forall \chi \in G^*,
\]
where \( G^* \) denotes the group of Dirichlet characters of \( G \). Hence by Lemma 2.4, for each \( \chi \in G^* \) we have
\[
\Omega_{\rho,0}^{-k} \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{C}} \chi(\sigma_a) \phi_0^{-k}(a) \int_{\mathcal{G}} \chi_0^k d\nu_{\rho,0} = B_{\rho}(k)(k - 1)! \left( 1 - \frac{\phi_0^k \chi^{-1}(p)}{2} \right) \Omega_{\rho,0}^{-k} L(\phi_0^k \chi, k).
\]
We can interpret the expression on the left hand side of this formula as follows. Write \( \mathcal{G} \) for the Galois group \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/K) \). Let \( B_H \) denote the base extension of \( B \) to \( H \), and let \( \rho_p \) be the character of \( \mathcal{G} \) which coincides with the character \( \chi_0 \) on \( \mathcal{G} \) and describes the action of \( \mathcal{G} \) on \( (B_H)_{p \infty} = \prod_{a \in \mathfrak{C}} A_{p_a} \) in the following way. First, we identify \( \mathcal{G} \) with \( G \times \mathcal{G} \). Then for \( \sigma_e \in G \) with \( e \in \mathfrak{C} \) and \( Q \in \mathcal{A}_{p_a} \), we have
\[
\rho_p(\sigma_e)(Q) = \eta_{A^+}(e)(Q) \in \mathcal{A}_{p_a}.
\]
Hence, for \( g = h \sigma_e \in \mathcal{G} \) with \( h \in \mathcal{G} \), we have
\[
\rho_p(g) = \chi_p(h) \phi_0(e).
\]
As is shown in §3 of [2], we can fix a prime \( \mathfrak{P} \) of \( T \) lying above \( p \) such that \( T_{\mathfrak{P}} = K_p \). Since \( \phi_0(a) \) is a unit at \( \mathfrak{P} \), we note that the value of \( \rho_p \) is in \( K_p^\times \).
Now, let \( \delta_\mathfrak{P} \) denote the Artin symbol of \( a \) in \( \mathcal{G} \) so that \( \{\delta_\mathfrak{P}\}_{a \in \mathfrak{C}} = \mathfrak{C} \). We define
\[
\nu_\chi^\mathfrak{P} = \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{C}} \chi(\sigma_a) \delta_\mathfrak{P}^{-1} \nu_{\rho,0} \in T_p[[\mathcal{G}]] = T_p[[\mathfrak{P}]] [[\mathcal{G}]].
\]
Note that, by Lemma 1.3.4 of [10], it is independent of the choice of representatives of \( G \) in \( \mathcal{G} \). It follows that

\[
\Omega_v^{-k} \sum_{a \in S} \chi(a) \phi^{-k}(a) \int_{\mathcal{G}} \lambda_p^k d\nu_{\gamma,a} = \Omega_v^{-k} \int_{\mathcal{G}} \rho_p^k d\nu_{\gamma}.
\]

**Theorem 2.5.** For each \( \chi \in G^* \), there exists a unique \( \mathcal{I}_p \)-valued pseudo-measure \( \nu_\chi \) on \( \mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/K) \) such that for each even integer \( k > 0 \), we have

\[
\Omega_v^{-k} \int_{\mathcal{G}} \rho_p^k d\nu_\chi = (k - 1)! \left( 1 - \frac{\phi^k \chi^{-1}(p)}{2} \right) \Omega_v^{-k} L(\phi^k \chi, k).
\]

This theorem is immediately followed by the next lemma.

**Lemma 2.6.** There exists an \( \mathcal{I}_p \)-valued measure \( \theta_\rho \) on \( \mathcal{G} \) such that

\[
\int_{\mathcal{G}} \rho_p^k d\theta_\rho = B_\rho(k)
\]

for all \( k \geq 1 \), and the restriction \( \theta_\rho \) to \( \Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F) \) generates the augmentation ideal of \( \Lambda_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma) \).

**Proof.** This lemma is essentially the same with Lemma II. 7 of [1]. We can choose an element \( \lambda \) in \( \mathcal{O} \) satisfying \( (\lambda, 6q) = 1 \) and

\[
\lambda \equiv 1 \mod p^3, \quad \bar{\lambda} \equiv 1 + 2^2 \mod p^3.
\]

We set \( \rho = \{(\lambda, 1), (\bar{\lambda}, -1)\} \in \mathcal{I} \) and write \( \tau_\lambda \) and \( \tau_{\bar{\lambda}} \) for the Artin symbols of the integral ideals \( (\lambda) \) and \( (\bar{\lambda}) \) of \( K \) in \( \mathcal{G} \), respectively. Since \( B_\rho(k) = \lambda^k - \bar{\lambda}^k \), the measure

\[
\theta_\rho = \tau_\lambda - \tau_{\bar{\lambda}}
\]

satisfies the first condition of the lemma. For the second condition, we fix a topological generator \( \gamma \) of \( \Gamma \), and write \( \tau_\lambda|_\Gamma = \gamma^a \) and \( \tau_{\bar{\lambda}}|_\Gamma = \gamma^b \) with \( a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \). The congruences (2.8) imply that \( a \in 2\mathbb{Z}_2 \) and \( b \not\in 2\mathbb{Z}_2 \). Hence we have

\[
\tau_\lambda|_\Gamma - \tau_{\bar{\lambda}}|_\Gamma = \gamma^a(1 - \gamma^{b-a})
\]

where \( \gamma^a \) is a unit in \( \Lambda_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma) \), and \((1 - \gamma^{b-a}) = (1 - \gamma)u \) with \( u \) a unit in \( \Lambda_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma) \). \( \square \)

### 3. Vanishing of the \( \mu \)-invariant for the \( p \)-adic \( L \)-function

We have constructed the \( p \)-adic \( L \)-function \( \nu_\chi \) in Theorem 2.5 for each \( \chi \in G^* \). Since we deal with the Iwasawa module \( X(H_\infty) \), not \( X(F_\infty) \), we define a related pseudo-measure on \( \text{Gal}(H_\infty/K) \) by using the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( \delta \) be the generator of \( \Delta = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/H_\infty) \). We have \((1 + \delta)\Lambda_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G}) = (1 + \delta)\Lambda_{\mathcal{G}}(\text{Gal}(H_\infty/K)) \).

**Proof.** Since \( \Lambda_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{I}_p[\Delta][[\text{Gal}(H_\infty/K)]] \), it suffices to prove that \((1 + \delta)\mathcal{I}_p[\Delta] = (1 + \delta)\mathcal{I}_p \). Indeed, if \( a + b\delta \in \mathcal{I}_p[\Delta] \), then \((1 + \delta)(a + b\delta) = (1 + \delta)(a + b) \in (1 + \delta)\mathcal{I}_p \). \( \square \)

Hence there exists an \( \mathcal{I}_p \)-valued pseudo-measure \( m_\chi \) on \( \text{Gal}(H_\infty/K) \) such that

\[
(1 + \delta)\nu_\chi = (1 + \delta)m_\chi.
\]

We define the \( p \)-adic \( L \)-function of \( \chi \) by

\[
L_p(s, \chi) = \int_{\text{Gal}(H_\infty/K)} \kappa^s dm_\chi, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_2,
\]

where \( \kappa \) is the natural isomorphism of \( \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K) \) onto \( 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_2 \) with \( \gamma \mapsto u \), and we view such a function on \( \text{Gal}(H_\infty/K) \) via the natural surjection from \( \text{Gal}(H_\infty/K) \)
to $\text{Gal}(K_{\infty}/K)$. It is well-known that this function is an Iwasawa function, i.e. there exists a formal power series $G_p(\chi; w) \in \mathcal{I}_p[[w]]$ such that
\[(3.2) \quad G_p(\chi; u^s - 1)/(u^s - 1)^e = L_p(s, \chi)\]
where $e = 0$ or $1$, according as $\chi \neq 1$ or $\chi = 1$. The aim of this section is to prove the vanishing of the $\mu$-invariant of $m_{\chi}$, or equivalently,

**Theorem 3.2.** For each $\chi \in G^*$, the formal power series $G_p(\chi; w)$ is prime to $2$, i.e. the $\mu$-invariant of $G_p(\chi; w)$ vanishes.

We remark that this vanishing theorem has recently been proven in [18], but in the present paper we will clarify it for our situation. We will use the idea of Sinnott [19] and Schneps [17]. Let $\omega$ be the Teichmüller character on $\mathbb{Z}_2^*$, and for each $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^*$, let $(x) = x/\omega(x)$. Given a formal power series $F(w) \in \mathcal{I}_p[[w]]$, we associate it to a measure $m_F$ via Mahler’s theorem (2.7). Then there exists a formal power series $\mathcal{L}(F)(w) \in \mathcal{I}_p[[w]]$ such that
\[(3.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{Z}_2^2} \langle x \rangle^s dm_F(x) = \mathcal{L}(F)(u^s - 1), \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_2.\]

The $\mu$-invariant of a formal power series $F(w)$ and that of $m_F$ are both denoted by $\mu(F)$. Recall that $\beta_{\nu} : \hat{\mathbb{G}}_{\text{m}} \to \hat{A}^\nu$ is the isomorphism of formal groups. Recall also that $\mathcal{O}_v$ is the ring of integers of $H_v$.

**Lemma 3.3** (Elliptic analogue of Theorem 1 of [19]). Let $F(w) \in \mathcal{I}_p[[w]]$ be a formal power series of the form $F(w) = f(\beta_{\nu}(w))$, where $f$ is a rational function on $A$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_v$. Then we have
\[\mu(\mathcal{L}(F)) = \mu(\tilde{F} + \tilde{F} \circ (-1)),\]
where
\[\tilde{F}(w) = F(w) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\zeta \in \{\pm 1\}} F(\zeta(1 + w) - 1), \quad (F \circ (-1))(w) = F((1 + w)^{-1} - 1).\]

**Proof.** Firstly, we may assume that $\tilde{F} = F$ and $F \circ (-1) = F$. Indeed, we put $F' = \tilde{F} + \tilde{F} \circ (-1)$. If the lemma holds for $F'$ then it holds for $F$, since
\[\mathcal{L}(F') = 2 \mathcal{L}(F), \quad \tilde{F}' + \tilde{F}' \circ (-1) = 2F' = 2(\tilde{F} + \tilde{F} \circ (-1)).\]
Moreover, we may also assume that $\mu(F) = 0$. Indeed, replacing $f$ by $\pi^{-t} f$, where $\pi$ is a uniformizer of $H_v$, both $\mu$-invariants are decreased by $t$. Hence we have to show that $\mu(\mathcal{L}(F)) = 1$.

By (3.3), we have
\[\mathcal{L}(F)(u^s - 1) = 2 \int_{1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_2} x^s dm_F(x) = 2G(u^s - 1)\]
where $G(w)$ is the formal power series associated to $m_F|_{1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_2}$. Since the characteristic function of $1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_2$ is given by $1_{1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_2}(u) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \zeta_4^{-i} (1-u)^i$ with $\zeta_4$ a primitive $4$-th root of unity, we have $G(w) = g(\beta_{\nu}(w))$ where $g$ is a rational function on $A$ given by
\[g(t) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \zeta_4^{-i} f(t + t_i), \quad t_i = \beta_{\nu}(\zeta_4^i - 1),\]
with coefficients in the ring of integers of $H_v(A_4)$. We denote by $\pi'$ a uniformizer of $H_v(A_4)$.
Assume that \( g \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi'} \), i.e. \( \mu(G) > 0 \). Clearly, we have \( \mu(G \circ (-1)) > 0 \). By the first assertion, it is easily seen that \( m_F = m_F|_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \) and that \( G \circ (-1) \) is associated to \( m_F|_{-1+2\mathbb{Z}_2} \). But then

\[
m_F = m_F|_{\mathbb{Z}_2} = m_F|_{-1+2\mathbb{Z}_2} + m_F|_{-1+2\mathbb{Z}_2}
\]

has positive \( \mu \)-invariant, which contradicts the second assumption that \( \mu(F) = 0 \). Hence we have \( \mu(G) = 0 \) and then \( \mu(\mathcal{L}(F)) = 1 \). \( \square 

**Lemma 3.4.** For each \( \chi \in G^* \), we have

\[
2G_p(\chi; w) = \mathcal{L} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \chi(\sigma) \omega^{-1} * D_{\mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a}} \right) (u^{-1}(1 + w) - 1) \cdot u_\chi(w)
\]

where \( u_\chi(w) \) is a unit in \( \mathcal{I}_\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{Z}) \). Here, \( \omega \) is the Teichmüller character on \( \mathbb{Z}^* \) and \( \omega^{-1} * F \) denotes the formal power series associated to the measure \( \omega^{-1} \cdot m_F \).

**Proof.** By (3.1) it is easy to check that

\[
\int \kappa(\sigma)^s \nu_\chi(\sigma) = 2L_p(s, \chi).
\]

On the other hand, we recall that \( \mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a}(w) \) is the formal power series associated to \( \nu_{\rho,a} \), i.e. \( m_{\mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a}} = \nu_{\rho,a} \). Hence we have

\[
\int \kappa(\sigma)^s \nu_\chi(\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \chi(\sigma) \int \kappa(\sigma)^s d\mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a}(\sigma)
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_2^*} \langle x \rangle^s dm(\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \chi(\sigma) \mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a})(x)
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_2^*} \langle x \rangle^{s-1} dm(\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \chi(\sigma) (\omega^{-1} * D_{\mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a}}))(x).
\]

The proof of the lemma is now complete, since the integral on the measure \( \theta_p \) can be written as \( u_\chi(w)^{-1} \) or \( u_\chi(w)^{-1}v \) according as \( \chi \neq 1 \) or \( \chi = 1 \). \( \square 

Recall that the formal power series \( D_{\mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a}}(w) \) is a rational function whose integral power expansion in \( z \) is given by

\[
\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\rho,a} \frac{d}{dz} \log \mathcal{I}_{\rho,a}(\eta_A(\mathfrak{a})(\mathfrak{B}(z, L))).
\]

By our construction, it is clear that \( \mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a} = D_{\mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a}} \). Moreover, \( D_{\mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a}} \) and \( D_{\mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a}} \circ (-1) \) have the same poles, which implies that \( D_{\mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a}} = D_{\mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a}} \circ (-1) \). We also note that \( \mu(F) = \mu(\omega * F) \). Hence by Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, the proof of Theorem 3.2 is now complete by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** For each \( \chi \in G^* \), we have \( \mu(\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \chi(\sigma) D_{\mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a}}) = 0 \).

**Proof.** Recall that \( v \) is our fixed prime of \( H \) above \( \mathfrak{p} \). Let \( \widetilde{A} \) denote the reduced curve modulo \( v \). It suffices to show that the reduction modulo \( v \) of the function \( \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \chi(\sigma) D_{\mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a}} \) has some poles on \( A \) with non-zero residue modulo \( v \).

By (3.4), the function \( D_{\mathfrak{B}_{\rho,a}} \) can be written as a rational function on \( A \)

\[
\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\rho,a} \frac{d}{dz} \log \left( \prod_{i=1}^{r} R_{\lambda_i, a}(\eta_A(\mathfrak{a})(P))^{2n_i} \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{r} R_{\lambda_i, a}(\eta_A(\mathfrak{a})(\mathfrak{ap})(P))^{n_i} \right).
\]

As (2.2), we have the relations

\[
R_{\lambda_i, a}(\eta_A(\mathfrak{a})(P)) = \prod_{W \in A_a} R_{\lambda_i, a}(P \oplus W), \quad R_{\lambda_i, a}(\eta_A(\mathfrak{a})(\mathfrak{ap})(P)) = \prod_{U \in A_{\mathfrak{ap}}} R_{\lambda_i, a}(P \oplus U).
\]
Therefore, (3.5) is equal to

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r} -2n_i \left( \sum_{W \in A_\lambda} \sum_{M \in V_{\lambda i}} \frac{-2y(P \oplus W) + a_1 x(P \oplus W) + a_3}{x(P \oplus W) - x(M)} \right).
\]

We now analyze its possible poles of the reduction of this function on \(\tilde{A}\). For the second term, we see that they could come from the points \(M - U\) for all \(M \in V_{\lambda i}\) and \(U \in A_{ap}\). By the \(t\)-expansions of \(x\) and \(y\), we can easily compute that the residue at each \(M - U\) is equal to \(-n_i \Omega_n\). This is a \(\mathfrak{p}\)-adic unit because we chose \(n_i = \pm 1\) in the proof of Lemma 2.6. However, as \(A_{ap}\) reduces to zero modulo \(v\), the residue at such a pole on \(\tilde{A}\) is a multiple of 2, and hence reduces to zero modulo \(v\).

For the first term, we note that \(x\) is an even function, in particular \(x(M) = x(-M)\). Thus this term is equal to

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i \left( \sum_{W \in A_\lambda} \sum_{M \in V_{\lambda i} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{-2y(P \oplus W) + a_1 x(P \oplus W) + a_3}{x(P \oplus W) - x(M)} \right).
\]

Clearly the poles must come from the points \(M - W\) for \(M \in A_{\lambda i} \setminus \{0\}\) and \(W \in A_{a}\). The residue at each \(M - W\) is equal to \(n_i \Omega_n\), which is a \(\mathfrak{p}\)-adic unit. Since reduction modulo \(v\) is injective on the set of these \(M - W\), each of these \(M - W\) gives a pole of the reduced function on \(\tilde{A}\). Note that as \(i = 1, \ldots, r\), all of these poles on \(\tilde{A}\) are distinct because \(M\) is a non-zero element of \(A_{\lambda i}\).

Hence the set of poles of the reduction of the function \(D\mathfrak{B}_{\rho, a}\) on \(\tilde{A}\) is given by the reduction modulo \(v\) of

\[
\{ M - W \mid M \in A_{\lambda i} \setminus \{0\}, W \in A_{a}\}
\]

and their residues are non-zero modulo \(v\). Clearly the same is true for the sum \(\sum_{\sigma \in \lambda} \chi(\sigma_a) D\mathfrak{B}_{\rho, a}\) because each \(\chi(\sigma_a)\) is an \(h\)-th root of unity and thus a \(\mathfrak{p}\)-adic unit. \(\square\)

4. Vanishing of the \(\mu\)-invariant for \(X(H_\infty)\)

We will show that the Iwasawa invariants of \(X(H_\infty)\) and the \(\mathfrak{p}\)-adic \(L\)-function \(m\) are equal. As a corollary, Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from Theorem 3.2. This equality is a well-known result (for example, see [10]) for the primes \(p \neq 2\), but it can easily be extended to \(p = 2\) in our case, thanks to our assumptions that 2 splits in \(K\) and \((2, h) = 1\).

For the remainder of this section, we denote by \(\mu\) and \(\lambda\) the \(\mu\)-invariant and the \(\lambda\)-invariant of \(X(H_\infty)\), respectively. Recall that \(\Gamma = \text{Gal}(H_\infty/H)\). For each \(n \geq 0\), we define \(\Gamma_n = \Gamma^{p^n}\) and \(H_n = H_\infty^{p^n}\). We write \(M(H_n)\) for the maximal abelian 2-extension of \(H_n\) which is unramified outside of the primes of \(H_n\) above \(p\). Then it is easily seen that the \(\Gamma_n\)-co-variants of \(X(H_\infty)\) is given by

\[
X(H_\infty) \Gamma_n = \text{Gal}(M(H_n)/H_\infty).
\]

We have the following asymptotic formula of Iwasawa

\[
\text{ord}_2([M(H_n): H_\infty]) = 2^n \mu + \lambda n + c, \ n \gg 0,
\]

where \(c \in \mathbb{Z}\) is a constant independent of \(n\). One can compute this 2-adic valuation using the methods of Coates and Wiles [7]. Let \(\mathfrak{P}\) be any prime of \(H_n\) lying above \(p\), and let \(U_{n, \mathfrak{P}}\) denote the group of principal units of the completion \(H_n, \mathfrak{P}\).
Write $U_n = \prod_{p \mid \mathfrak{p}} U_{n, \mathfrak{p}}$ and $\Phi_n = \prod_{p \mid \mathfrak{p}} H_{n, \mathfrak{p}}$. Let $E_n$ be the group of units of $H_n$. As $E_n$ is canonically embedded into $U_n$, let $\overline{E}_n$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-submodule of $U_n$ generated by $E_n$, and let $D_n$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-submodule of $U_n$ generated by $E_n$ and $(1 + 2^j)$. Let $R_p(H_n)$ denote the $p$-adic regulator of $H_n/K$. Let $\Delta(H_n/K)$ denote the discriminant of $H_n/K$, and choose any generator $\Delta_p(H_n/K)$ of the ideal $\Delta(H_n/K)\mathcal{O}_p$.

**Theorem 4.1.** We have

$$\text{ord}_2(\text{ord}(M(H_n) : H_\infty)) = \text{ord}_2 \left( \frac{b(H_n)R_p(H_n)}{\omega(H_n)\sqrt{\Delta_p(H_n/K)}} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p}} (1 - (N\mathfrak{p})^{-1}) \right) + n + 2$$

where $b(H_n)$ is the class number of $H_n$, $\omega(H_n)$ is the number of roots of unity in $H_n$ and $N\mathfrak{p}$ is the absolute norm of $\mathfrak{p}$.

**Proof.** Let $C_n$ denote the idèle class group of $H_n$. Let $Y_n = \bigcap_{m \geq n} N_{H_m/H_n} C_m$. Let $L(H_n)$ be the maximal unramified extension of $H_n$ in $M(H_n)$. Class field theory gives an isomorphism

$$(Y_n \cap U_n)/\overline{E}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(M(H_n)/L(H_n)H_\infty).$$

Noting that $L(H_n) \cap H_\infty = H_n$ because $H_\infty/H_n$ is totally ramified at $\mathfrak{p}$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (Y_n \cap U_n)/\overline{E}_n \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(M(H_n)/H_\infty) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(L(H_n)/H_n) \longrightarrow 0.$$

It is easy to check (see Lemma 5 and 6 of [7]) that $Y_n \cap U_n = \text{Ker}(N_{\mathcal{O}_n/K_p}|U_n)$ and $\overline{E}_n = \text{Ker}(N_{\mathcal{O}_n/K_p}|D_n)$, which follows that $[Y_n \cap U_n : \overline{E}_n] = [U_n : D_n]$. Using methods analogous to Lemma 7 and Lemma 8 of [7], one can obtain

$$[U_n : D_n] = \text{ord}_2 \left( \frac{R_p(H_n)}{\omega(H_n)\sqrt{\Delta_p(H_n/K)}} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p}} (N\mathfrak{p})^{-1} \right) + n + 2.
$$

The theorem now follows on noting $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p}} (N\mathfrak{p})^{-1}$ and $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p}} (1 - (N\mathfrak{p})^{-1})$ have the same order, and that $\text{Gal}(L(H_n)/H_n)$ is the 2-primary part of the ideal class group of $H_n$. \hfill \Box

We now begin the computation of the Iwasawa invariants of our $p$-adic $L$-function $m$. Given $n \geq 0$, let $\epsilon$ be a non-trivial character of $\text{Gal}(H_n/K)$, say $\epsilon = \chi\theta$, where $\chi$ is a character of $G$ and $\theta$ is a character of $\text{Gal}(H_n/H)$. Let $f_\epsilon$ denote the conductor of $\epsilon$ with $(f_\epsilon) = \bar{f}_\epsilon \cap \mathbb{Z}$. As before, we define

$$L_{p, f_\epsilon}(s, \epsilon) = \int_{\text{Gal}(H_n/K)} \epsilon^{-1}s^* dm$$

where $m$ is the $p$-adic $L$-function defined in the previous section.

For each $n \geq 0$, we denote by $E_n$ a set of integral ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ of $K$ prime to $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q}$, whose Artin symbols $\tau_\mathfrak{a}$ give precisely the Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(H_n/K)$. For the convention, we take $E_0 = \mathfrak{c}$. For $\mathfrak{a} \in E_n$, we denote by $\delta(\mathfrak{a})$ the Siegel unit as defined in II.2.2 of [10]. We also denote by $\varphi_\mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{a})$ the Robert’s invariant as defined in II.2.6 of [10]. Then we put

$$G(\epsilon) = \frac{\theta(p^{m})}{2^m} \sum_{\tau} \chi(\tau)(\tau(\zeta_m))^{-1}$$

where the sum runs over $\tau \in \text{Gal}(H_nK(p^{\infty})/K)$ with $\tau|_{K(p^{\infty})} = (p^m, K(p^{\infty})/K)$, $m$ is an integer such that $p^m \parallel f_\epsilon$, and $\zeta_m$ is a primitive $p^m$-th root of unity. Define
also
\[ S(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{a \in \epsilon_n} \epsilon(a) \log(\varphi_L(a)) & \text{if } f_\epsilon \neq 1 \\
\frac{1}{h} \sum_{a \in \epsilon} \epsilon(a) \log(\delta(a)) & \text{if } f_\epsilon = 1.
\end{cases} \]

Then, following the methods of [10, Theorem II.5.2], we obtain
\[ L(p, f_\epsilon)(0, \epsilon) = \frac{-1}{12f_\epsilon \omega_{L_\epsilon}} G(\epsilon^{-1}) S(\epsilon) \left( 1 - \frac{\epsilon^{-1}(p)}{2} \right) \]
where \( \omega_{L_\epsilon} \) denotes the number of roots of unity in \( K \) congruent to 1 modulo \( f_\epsilon \).

On the other hand, the analytic class number formula, together with Kronecker’s theorem (see §6.2.7, §L2.2 and §IV.3.9 (6) of [20]), gives
\[ \frac{h(H_n) R_p(H_n)}{\omega(H_n)} = \frac{h R_p(K)}{\omega(K)} \prod_{\epsilon \neq 1} \frac{S(\epsilon)}{12f_\epsilon \omega_{L_\epsilon}} \]
Clearly, \( R_p(K) = 1 \) and \( \omega(K) = 2 \). Thus, by Theorem 4.1, we have
\[ \text{ord}_2([M(H_n) : H_\infty]) = \text{ord}_2 \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_p(H_n/K)}} \prod_{\epsilon \neq 1} \frac{S(\epsilon)}{12f_\epsilon \omega_{L_\epsilon}} \prod_{\mathfrak{P} \mid p} (1 - (N\mathfrak{P})^{-1}) \right) + n + 1. \]
Furthermore, we have \( \prod_{\mathfrak{P} \mid p} (1 - (N\mathfrak{P})^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\epsilon \neq 1} \left( 1 - \frac{\epsilon^{-1}(p)}{2} \right) \), and the conductor-discriminant formula gives that \( \prod_{\epsilon \neq 1} G(\epsilon) \) is \( \Delta_p(H_n/K)^{-1/2} \) up to a \( p \)-adic unit. It follows that
\[ \text{ord}_2([M(H_n) : H_\infty]) = \text{ord}_2 \left( \prod_{\epsilon \neq 1} L(p, f_\epsilon)(0, \epsilon) \right) + n. \]

Define as before \( G_p(\epsilon; w) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[w]] \) to be the formal power series associated to \( L(p, f_\epsilon(\epsilon; w)) \). In particular, we have
\[ L(p, f_\epsilon)(0, \epsilon) = G_p(\epsilon; 0) = (\theta^{-1}(u) - 1)^{-\epsilon} G_p(\chi; \theta^{-1}(u) - 1) \]
where \( \epsilon = 0 \) or 1 according as \( \chi \neq 1 \) or \( \chi = 1 \) and \( u \) is a fixed topological generator of \( 1 + 4\mathbb{Z}_2 \). Noting that \( \text{ord}_2 \left( \prod_{\epsilon \neq 1} (\theta^{-1}(u) - 1) \right) = n \), we obtain
\[ \text{ord}_2([M(H_n) : H_\infty]) = \text{ord}_2 \left( \prod_{\epsilon \neq 1} G_p(\chi; \theta^{-1}(u) - 1) \right). \]

For each \( \chi \in G^* \), we denote by \( \mu_\chi \) and \( \lambda_\chi \) the \( \mu \)-invariant and \( \lambda \)-invariant of \( G_p(\chi; w) \), respectively. We define \( \mu^{an} = \sum_{\chi \in G^*} \mu_\chi \) and \( \lambda^{an} = \sum_{\chi \in G^*} \lambda_\chi \). For sufficiently large \( n \), Theorem 3.2 tells us that \( \text{ord}_2 \left( G_p(\chi; \theta^{-1}(u) - 1) \right) = \text{ord}_2 ((\theta^{-1}(u) - 1)^{\lambda_\chi}) \), and hence
\[ \text{ord}_2 \left( \prod_{\epsilon \neq 1} G_p(\chi; \theta^{-1}(u) - 1) \right) = \lambda^{an} n + c' \]
where \( c' \in \mathbb{Z} \) is a constant independent of \( n \). By (4.1) and (4.3), we conclude that
\[ \mu = \mu^{an} = 0, \lambda = \lambda^{an}, c = c'. \]
This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
5. Numerical examples for the prime $q < 500$

Before giving numerical examples for $X(H_\infty)$, we point out the following well-known general lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $K$ be an imaginary quadratic field, and $p$ any rational prime which splits in $K$ and does not divide the class number of $K$. Let $K_\infty$ be the unique $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $K$ unramified outside one of the primes $p$ of $K$ above $p$. Then $K_\infty$ has no non-trivial abelian $p$-extension unramified outside the primes above $p$.

**Proof.** In a similar notation to that used for the case $p = 2$, let $X(K_\infty)$ be the Galois group over $K_\infty$ of the maximal abelian $p$-extension of $K_\infty$ unramified outside the primes above $p$. Then, as usual in Iwasawa theory, we have $X(K_\infty) = \text{Gal}(R/K_\infty)$ where $R$ denotes the maximal abelian $p$-extension which is unramified outside $p$. Thus $R$ must be the maximal pro-$p$ extension of $K$ contained in the union of the ray class fields of $K$ modulo $p^n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, as $p$ does not divide $h$, class field theory tells us that $\text{Gal}(R/K)$ must be the maximal pro-$p$ quotient of $\lim_{\leftarrow n} \left( \mathcal{O}/p^n \right)^{\times} / \{\pm 1\}$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Thus $R = K_\infty$, and the proof of the lemma is complete by Nakayama’s lemma. □

Clearly, the assumption of the above lemma is valid for our situation when $p = 2$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$ with $q$ any prime congruent to 7 modulo 8. The simplest example is given by $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$, which has class number 1, in which case $X(H_\infty) = X(K_\infty) = 0$. Somewhat surprisingly, the numerical calculations below show that we seem to quite often have $X(H_\infty) = 0$ for arbitrary primes $q \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. However, we point out that when 2 divides the class number of $H$, it is easily seen that we must necessarily have $X(H_\infty) \neq 0$, and therefore of infinite order. Andrzej Dabrowski has kindly informed us that 2 does divide the class number of $H$ for the primes $q = 751, q = 1367$ and $q = 1399$.

We give a list of numerical examples for the primes $q < 500$. By using SAGE calculation, we obtain the class numbers of $K$ and $H$ and the $p$-adic regulator $R_p = R_p(H/K)$ for $H/K$. By Theorem 4.1, we then obtain the index $[M(H) : H_\infty]$. Recall that $M(H)$ denotes the maximal abelian 2-extension of $H$ which is unramified outside the primes of $H$ lying above $p$. If we have $[M(H) : H_\infty] = 0$, Nakayama’s lemma implies immediately that $X(H_\infty) = 0$. We note that the prime $q = 431$ is the first example in which $X(H_\infty) \neq 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$q$</th>
<th>$h(K)$</th>
<th>$h(H)$</th>
<th>$\text{ord}_2(R_p)$</th>
<th>$\text{ord}<em>2([M(H) : H</em>\infty])$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For example, when \( q = 23 \), by SAGE calculation we obtain
\[
H = Q(\alpha) \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha^6 - 3\alpha^5 + 5\alpha^4 - 5\alpha^3 + 5\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1 = 0.
\]

The two fundamental units are then given by
\[
\alpha^5 - 2\alpha^4 + 2\alpha^3 - \alpha^2 + 2\alpha, \quad \alpha^4 - 2\alpha^3 + 3\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 2,
\]
and the \( p \)-adic regulator \( R_p \) is given by
\[
2^2 + 2^6 + 2^7 + 2^8 + 2^9 + 2^{10} + 2^{13} + 2^{17} + 2^{20} + O(2^{23}).
\]

6. Proof of Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 1.3

Finally, we give simple proofs that Theorem 1.1 implies Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. For the corollary, let \( J/H \) be any quadratic extension and let \( J_\infty = JK_\infty \).

Define \( \Delta = \text{Gal}(J_\infty/H_\infty) \). If \( \Delta \) is trivial, then \( J \subseteq H_\infty \), and so there is nothing more to prove. Hence we may assume that \( \Delta \) is cyclic of order 2. The group ring \( \mathbb{Z}_2[\Delta] \) is then a commutative local ring with maximal ideal \( m \) generated by 2 and \( \delta - 1 \), where \( \delta \) denotes the non-trivial element of \( \Delta \). We will use Nakayama’s lemma which asserts that, for any \( \mathbb{Z}_2[\Delta] \)-module \( M \), if there are elements \( x_1, \ldots, x_m \in M \) whose images in \( M/mM \) generate \( M/mM \) over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \), then they generate \( M \) itself over \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \). We note that, by maximality, \( M(J_\infty) \) is clearly Galois over \( K_\infty \). We have an exact sequence
\[
0 \rightarrow X(J_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(M(J_\infty)/H_\infty) \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow 0.
\]

Thus, as usual, \( \Delta \) acts on \( X(J_\infty) \) by inner automorphisms. In particular, it follows from this action that
\[
X(J_\infty)/((\delta - 1)X(J_\infty)) = \text{Gal}(R/J_\infty)
\]
where \( R \) denotes the maximal abelian extension of \( H_\infty \) contained in \( M(J_\infty) \). Our claim is that, under the hypothesis that \( X(H_\infty) \) is a finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-module, \( \text{Gal}(R/J_\infty) \) is a finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-module. It follows that \( X(J_\infty)/mX(J_\infty) \) is a finite dimensional vector space over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \), and hence, by Nakayama’s lemma, \( X(J_\infty) \) is a finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-module.

Let \( S \) be the set of all primes of \( H_\infty \), which do not lie above \( p \), and which are ramified in \( J_\infty \). If \( S = \emptyset \), \( J_\infty \) is contained in \( M(H_\infty) \), in particular \( M(J_\infty) = M(H_\infty) \), and hence there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, the set \( S \) is finite. This is because, by a basic elementary property of the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-extension \( K_\infty/K \), there are only finitely many primes of \( K_\infty \) lying above each prime of \( K \), and thus the same is true for the primes of \( H_\infty \) lying above a prime of \( H \). Hence, as there are only finitely many primes of \( H \) which ramifies in \( J \), it follows that \( S \) is finite. Moreover, the inertia subgroup in \( \text{Gal}(R/H_\infty) \) of each prime in \( S \) must be of order 2. Now
let $R'$ be the fixed field of the subgroup of $\text{Gal}(R/H_\infty)$ generated by the inertia subgroups of all primes in $S$. Obviously, we have

$$[R : R'] \leq 2^{|S|} \tag{6.2}$$

and $\text{Gal}(R/R')$ is annihilated by 2. But $R'/H_\infty$ is an abelian 2-extension which is unramified outside $p$, and therefore we have $R' \subset M(H_\infty)$. Hence, by our hypothesis and (6.2), $\text{Gal}(R/H_\infty)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module, and so is $\text{Gal}(R/J_\infty)$. This completes the proof of Corollary 1.2.

For Theorem 1.3, let $F$ and $F_\infty$ be the fields defined as in (1.4). Then again the same classical argument (cf. the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [3]) shows that $E$ has good reduction everywhere over $F$. By Corollary 1.2, the Galois group $X(F_\infty)$ is a finitely generated torsion module over the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda(\Gamma)$. Hence, followed by classical arguments (for example, see [4]), one can easily obtain

$$S_{p^\infty}(E/F_\infty) = \text{Hom}(X(F_\infty), E_{p^\infty}).$$

Then Theorem 1.3 clearly follows immediately from Corollary 1.2.
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