LAMN in a class of parametric models for null recurrent diffusions

November 6, 2017

Reinhard Höpfner

Carina Zeller

Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz

arXiv:1711.01776v1 [math.ST] 6 Nov 2017

Abstract: We study statistical models for one-dimensional diffusions which are recurrent null. A first parameter in the drift is the principal one, and determines regular varying rates of convergence for the score and the information process. A finite number of other parameters, of secondary importance, introduces additional flexibility for the modelization of the drift, and does not perturb the null recurrent behaviour. Under time-continuous observation we obtain local asymptotic mixed normality (LAMN), state a local asymptotic minimax bound, and specify asymptotically optimal estimators.

Key Words: diffusions, null recurrence, parametric inference, information process, LAMN, local asymptotic minimax bound, asymptoticall optimal estimators

AMS Mathematics Subjects Classifications: 62 F 12, 62 M 05, 60 J 60

In a joint paper with Kutoyants [HK 03] we considered a one-parametric statistical model

$$d\xi_t \;=\; \vartheta\, f_1(\xi_t)\, dt \;+\; \sigma\, dW_t \quad , \quad t \in [0, n] \tag{1}$$

where the path of the diffusion ξ is observed continuously in time, and where the shape of the function f_1 in the drift combined with the range of the parameter ϑ

$$f_1(x) \;=\; \frac{x}{1+x^2} \quad , \quad \Theta_1 := (-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2, +\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2) \quad , \quad \vartheta \in \Theta_1 \tag{2}$$

makes the diffusion $\xi = (\xi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in (1) recurrent null. Relying on limit theorems for additive functionals and for martingale additive functionals from Höpfner and Löcherbach [HL 03], we proved LAMN and

specified optimal estimators in [HK 03], with speed of convergence

$$\sqrt{n^{\alpha(\vartheta)}} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha(\vartheta) := \tfrac{1}{2}(1 - \tfrac{2\vartheta}{\sigma^2}) \tag{3}$$

such that $\vartheta \to \alpha(\vartheta)$ maps Θ_1 onto $(0,1)$. The diffusion model (1) with f_1 and Θ_1 specified by (2) is a prototype example for classes of statistical models where at every point of the model, both the speed of convergence of optimal estimators and the structure of their limit distributions are different (similiar examples for Markov step processes in [Ho 90], [Ho 93]). The speed of convergence in (3) corresponds to the index of regular variation of the tails of suitably defined 'life cycles' of the process, i.e. suitably defined independent excursions away from state 0.

[HK 03] also considered the case of drift contamination by unknown smooth perturbations g ranging over some class $\mathcal{G}_C := \{g : I\!R \to I\!R \text{ smooth such that } \operatorname{supp}(g) \subset C\}$. It was assumed that the compact set C supporting the perturbations is fixed and known, so the model is

$$d\xi_t \;=\; (\vartheta f_1 + g)\,(\xi_t)\,dt \;+\; \sigma\,dW_t \quad , \quad t \in [0,n] \quad , \quad \vartheta \in \Theta_1 \quad , \quad g \in \mathcal{G}_C\,. \tag{4}$$

Thanks to $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subset C$ we still can estimate ϑ from observation of ξ restricted to the complement C^c. Such estimators conserve the optimal speed of convergence (3) from model (1) even if limit distributions are more spread out. If we focus now on one particular $g \in \mathcal{G}_C$ which we assume fixed and known, we can take such estimators as preliminary estimators to be modified by LeCam's one step correction in LAMN setting, and thus arrive at explicit optimal estimators for the unknown parameter.

Beyond (1) and (4), the present paper aims at $(1+m)$-dimensional parametric models for null recurrent diffusions of the following type. Keeping f_1 and Θ_1 as above in (2), we consider

$$d\xi_t = \left(\vartheta_1 f_1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \vartheta_{2,\nu} f_{2,\nu}\right)(\xi_t)\,dt + \sigma dW_t \quad , \quad t \in [0,n] \quad , \quad \vartheta_1 \in \Theta_1 \quad , \quad \vartheta_{2,\nu} \in I\!R \tag{5}$$

with a finite number of functions $f_{2,\nu} : I\!R \to I\!R$, fixed and known and with suitable properties, whose presence in the drift does not perturb the null recurrent behaviour of $\xi = (\xi_t)_{t\geq 0}$. We are not interested in functions $f_{2,\nu}$ having compact support. The task is to estimate the unknown parameter

$$\vartheta := (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_{2,1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{2,m}) \;\in\; \Theta := \Theta_1 \times I\!R^m$$

from continuous-time observation of ξ over a long time interval.

Example 1: For $m = 1$, we might consider $f_2(x) := \frac{\sin x}{x}$ in (5), $x \in I\!R$.
For $m = 2\ell$ with arbitrary $\ell \in I\!N$, we might define functions $f_{2,\nu}$ by

$$f_{2,2k}(x) \;:=\; f_1(x)\cos(kx) \quad , \quad f_{2,2k+1}(x) := f_1(x)\sin(kx) \quad , \quad 1 \leq k \leq \ell\,, \tag{6}$$

and thus consider in the drift of (5) finite Fourier series of order ℓ tempered by f_1.

This example indicates that the type of models (5) should be flexible enough to take into account fine differences in nullrecurrent behaviour over a broad range (3) of possible speeds of convergence.

For such models, we shall prove LAMN in the $(1+m)$-dimensional parameter $\vartheta \in \Theta$, and specify optimal estimators in the sense of the local asymptotic minimax theorem. The main results are lemma 1 (null recurrence and invariant density) in section 1.1, theorem 1 (weak convergence of the score function martingale together with the information process) in section 2.1, and theorem 2 (local asymptotic minimax bound) in section 3.2.

The notions of local asymptotic normality (LAN) or local asymptotic mixed normality (LAMN) go back to LeCam, see LeCam [LC 69], Hájek [Ha 70], Jeganathan [Je 82], Davies [Da 85], Jeganathan [Je 88], and LeCam and Yang [LY 90]. Ibragimov and Khasminskii [IH 81] (see p. 162 there) and Kutoyants [Ku 04] (see p. 114 there) take a different route towards a local asymptotic minimax theorem under LAN: their bound uses neighbourhoods of the true parameter which are small but not shrinking at a rate which corresponds to the norming sequence for the score under the true parameter. For results and proofs in the LAMN context we refer to sections 5.1, 6.1 and 7 of [Ho 14].

1 The setting

We discuss the probabilistic background for the diffusion (5) and specify the assumptions which will in force throughout the paper. Assumptions and results are stated in a first subsection, proofs and additional remarks in a second one.

1.1 Assumptions, null recurrence, likelihoods, some estimators

Throughout this paper, we consider the model (5), with f_1 and the associated parameter given by

$$f_1(x) \;=\; \frac{x}{1+x^2} \quad , \quad \vartheta_1 \in \Theta_1 \quad , \quad \Theta_1 := (-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2, +\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2) \; . \tag{7}$$

The functions $f_{2,1}, \ldots, f_{2,m}$ in equation (5) satisfy the following.

Assumption 1: The functions $f_{2,\nu} : I\!R \to I\!R$ in (5) are Lipschitz continuous and such that
i) finite limits do exist for $F_{2,\nu}(x) := \int_0^x f_{2,\nu}(y)dy$ as $x \to \pm\infty$, and are denoted by $F_{2,\nu}(\pm\infty) \in I\!R$;
ii) for $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small, $\int_{\{|x|>1\}} [f_{2,\nu}]^2(x)\,|x|^{1-\varepsilon}\,dx \;<\; \infty$;

iii) the functions occurring in (5) are linearly independent in the following sense:
for U open in $\mathbb{R}$ and real constants $\zeta_1, \zeta_{2,1}, \ldots, \zeta_{2,m}$, any representation $0 \equiv \zeta_1 f_1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \zeta_{2,\nu} f_{2,\nu}$ on U implies $0 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_{2,1} = \ldots = \zeta_{2,m}$.

Note that the functions f_2 or $f_{2,1}, \ldots, f_{2,2\ell}$ considered in example 1 above do satisfy all requirements of assumption 1: iii) holds in both cases $m = 1$ or $m = 2\ell$, ii) is obvious, and elementary arguments (such as $|\int_s^t \frac{\sin y}{y}\, dy| < \frac{2}{s}$ for all $0 < s < t < \infty$ from [He 93] Ch. 87) allow to check i). We put

$$(8) \qquad \Theta := \Theta_1 \times \mathbb{R}^m \ , \ \vartheta = (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_{2,1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{2,m}) \quad , \quad \lambda_1(\vartheta) := \frac{2}{\sigma^2}\vartheta_1 \ , \ \lambda_{2,\nu}(\vartheta) := \frac{2}{\sigma^2}\vartheta_{2,\nu} \ .$$

Lemma 1: Under assumption 1, the diffusion (5) is recurrent in the sense of Harris for every $\vartheta \in \Theta$. With notation (8), the invariant measure of $\xi = (\xi_t)_{t \geq 0}$, unique up to constant multiples, is given by

$$\mu^\vartheta(dx) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\sqrt{1+x^2}\,)^{\lambda_1(\vartheta)} \exp\left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \lambda_{2,\nu}(\vartheta)\, F_{2,\nu}(x) \right\} dx \quad , \quad \vartheta \in \Theta$$

on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$. Here $\mu^\vartheta(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$, thus null recurrence holds for every $\vartheta \in \Theta$.

We strengthen that the Lebesgue density of the invariant measure μ^ϑ varies regularly as $x \to +\infty$ and as $x \to -\infty$. The index of regular variation $\lambda_1(\vartheta)$, the same on the left and the right branch, depends on ϑ_1 only and ranges over the interval $(-1, 1)$. We have no regular variation for the functions $f_{2,\nu}$ in the drift, cf. example (6), whereas they contribute to asymptotic constants in virtue of assumption 1 i). We have choosen Θ_1 as the maximal open interval on which null recurrence holds.

Throughout this paper, the starting point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ for the diffusion (5) does not depend on $\vartheta \in \Theta$ and will be fixed. From now on, these assumptions and notations will remain in force (and we omit to recall this in the results below).

For the theoretical background of what follows we refer to the classical books Liptser and Shiryaev [LS 78] and Jacod and Shiryaev [JS 87]; see also Ibragimov and Khasminskii [IH 81], Kutoyants [Ku 04], or section 6.2 in Höpfner [Ho 14]. Let Q^ϑ denote the law of $\xi = (\xi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ under ϑ on the canonical path space $(D, \mathcal{D}, \mathbb{F})$ for cadlag processes ([JS 87] Chapter VI), with canonical filtration

$$\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0} \quad , \quad \mathcal{F}_t = \bigcap_{r > t} \sigma(\eta_s : 0 \leq s \leq r) \ .$$

Write $\eta = (\eta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ for the canonical process, i.e. the process of coordinate projections $\eta_t(f) = f(t)$, $f \in D$, and $m^{(\vartheta)}$ for the Q^ϑ-martingale part of η. We introduce a $(1+m)$-dimensional Q^ϑ-martingale

$S(\vartheta) = (S(\vartheta)_t)_{t\geq 0}$:

$$S(\vartheta)_t = \begin{pmatrix} S^{(1)}(\vartheta)_t \\ S^{(2,1)}(\vartheta)_t \\ \vdots \\ S^{(2,m)}(\vartheta)_t \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sigma^2} f_1(\eta_s)\, dm_s^{(\vartheta)} \\ \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sigma^2} f_{2,1}(\eta_s)\, dm_s^{(\vartheta)} \\ \vdots \\ \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sigma^2} f_{2,m}(\eta_s)\, dm_s^{(\vartheta)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{9}$$

where $m^{(\vartheta)}$ denotes the Q^ϑ-martingale part of η. $S(\vartheta)$ will be called score martingale. The angle bracket $\langle\, S(\vartheta)\,\rangle$ of $S(\vartheta)$ under Q^ϑ is the process $J = (J_t)_{t\geq 0}$

$$J_t = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sigma^2} [f_1]^2(\eta_s)\, ds & \dots & \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sigma^2} [f_1 f_{2,\nu'}](\eta_s)\, ds & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \\ \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sigma^2} [f_{2,\nu} f_1](\eta_s)\, ds & & \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sigma^2} [f_{2,\nu} f_{2,\nu'}](\eta_s)\, ds & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{10}$$

(with ν, ν' ranging over $1, \dots, m$) which is observable; J will be called information process.

Lemma 2: For all pairs ϑ', ϑ in Θ, the laws $Q^{\vartheta'}, Q^\vartheta$ are locally equivalent relative to $I\!F$. The log-likelihood ratio process of $Q^{\vartheta'}$ with respect to Q^ϑ relative to $I\!F$ is given by

$$\Lambda_t^{\vartheta'/\vartheta} := (\vartheta' - \vartheta)^\top S(\vartheta)_t - \frac{1}{2}(\vartheta' - \vartheta)^\top J_t\, (\vartheta' - \vartheta)\,, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{11}$$

where $\cdot^\top$ is the scalar product in $I\!R^{1+m}$. The information J_t, $0 < t < \infty$, takes values in the set $\mathtt{D}^+$ of all strictly positive definite symmetric $(1+m)\times(1+m)$ matrices, Q^ϑ-almost surely for every $\vartheta \in \Theta$.

There are many possibilities to define estimators for the unknown parameter $\vartheta \in \Theta$ based on time-continuous observation of the diffusion path (5) on $[0, t]$.

Proposition 1: We have well defined maximum likelihood (ML) estimators, of form

$$\widehat{\vartheta}_t = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\vartheta}_t^{(1)} \\ \widehat{\vartheta}_t^{(2,1)} \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{\vartheta}_t^{(2,m)} \end{pmatrix} := 1_{\{\, J_t \in \mathtt{D}^+\}}\, [\, J_t\,]^{-1}\, Y_t \quad , \quad Y_t = \begin{pmatrix} Y_t^{(1)} \\ Y_t^{(2,1)} \\ \vdots \\ Y_t^{(2,m)} \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad 0 < t < \infty$$

where $Y^{(1)}$, $Y^{(2,\nu)}$ denotes common determinations

$$(t,\omega) \to Y^{(1)}(t,\omega) \qquad \text{for the family of stochastic integrals } \int \tfrac{1}{\sigma^2} f_1(\eta_s)\, d\eta_s \text{ under } Q^\vartheta$$
$$(t,\omega) \to Y^{(2,\nu)}(t,\omega) \qquad \text{for the family of stochastic integrals } \int_0^t \tfrac{1}{\sigma^2} f_{2,\nu}(\eta_s)\, d\eta_s \text{ under } Q^\vartheta$$

valid jointly under all $\vartheta \in \Theta$. For every $\vartheta \in \Theta$, the ML estimation error is such that

$$\widehat{\vartheta}_t - \vartheta \;=\; 1_{\{\, J_t \in \mathtt{D}^+\}}\, [\, J_t\,]^{-1}\, S(\vartheta)_t \qquad Q^\vartheta\text{-almost surely .} \tag{12}$$

One might prefer to restrict observation of a null recurrent process to some fixed (and sufficiently large) compact set A in $I\!R$, and thus consider estimators of the following type.

Proposition 2: Fix A compact in $I\!R$ such that the starting point x_0 of the diffusion is an interior point of A. Define $S(\vartheta, A)$, $J(A)$, $Y(A)$ in analogy to (9), (10) and Y above, but with f_1, $f_{2,1}, \ldots, f_{2,m}$ replaced by $f_1 \cdot 1_A$, $f_{2,1} \cdot 1_A, \ldots, f_{2,m} \cdot 1_A$. Then $J(A)_t$ for $0 < t < \infty$ takes values in $\mathtt{D}^+$, Q^ϑ-almost surely for every $\vartheta \in \Theta$, and the estimator

$$\widetilde{\vartheta}(A)_t \;:=\; 1_{\{J(A)_t \in \mathtt{D}^+\}}\; [\, J(A)_t\,]^{-1}\; Y(A)_t$$

admits a representation

$$\widetilde{\vartheta}(A)_t - \vartheta \;=\; 1_{\{J(A)_t \in \mathtt{D}^+\}}\, [J(A)_t]^{-1}\, S(\vartheta, A)_t \qquad Q^\vartheta\text{-almost surely} \tag{13}$$

for every $\vartheta \in \Theta$. Under $\vartheta \in \Theta$, this estimator replaces the log-likelihood surface $\vartheta' \to \Lambda_t^{\vartheta'/\vartheta}$ considered in (11) by a modified (again 'inverse bowl-shaped') surface

$$\Theta \;\ni\; \vartheta' \quad\longrightarrow\quad (\vartheta' - \vartheta)^\top S(\vartheta, A)_t \;-\; \frac{1}{2}(\vartheta' - \vartheta)^\top J(A)_t\, (\vartheta' - \vartheta)\;.$$

For the principal parameter $\vartheta_1 \in \Theta_1$ we might think of an estimator which was optimal in the one-dimensional model (1) considered in section 2 of [HK 03].

Remark 1: Write $\check{J}_t = \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sigma^2}[f_1]^2(\eta_s)ds$ for the $(1,1)$-entry of J_t in (10), $\check{S}(\vartheta)_t$ for the first component of $S(\vartheta)_t$ in (9), and $\check{Y}_t$ for the first component of Y_t defined above. Consider

$$\check{\vartheta}(t) \;:=\; [\, \check{J}_t\,]^{-1}\, \check{Y}_t \;=\; \frac{\int_0^t f_1(\eta_s)d\eta_s}{\int_0^t [f_1]^2(\eta_s)ds} \quad , \quad 0 < t < \infty$$

as an estimator for $\vartheta_1 \in \Theta_1$. In our model (5), this estimator is inconsistent: it admits a represention

$$\check{\vartheta}(t) - \vartheta_1 \;=\; \check{b}_t(\vartheta) \;+\; [\, \check{J}_t\,]^{-1} \check{S}(\vartheta)_t$$

under Q^ϑ, with additional terms $\check{b}_t(\vartheta)$ which by the ratio limit theorem

$$\check{b}_t(\vartheta) \;=\; \sum_{\nu=1}^m \vartheta_{2,\nu} \frac{\int_0^t [f_1 f_{2,\nu}](\eta_s)\, ds}{\int_0^t [f_1]^2(\eta_s)ds} \quad\longrightarrow\quad \sum_{\nu=1}^m \vartheta_{2,\nu} \frac{\mu^\vartheta\,(f_1 f_{2,\nu})}{\mu^\vartheta\,(f_1^2)} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty$$

converge Q^ϑ-almost surely as $t \to \infty$.

1.2 The proofs

Proof of lemma 1: The proof uses classical arguments, see e.g. Gihman and Skorohod [GS 72] or Khasminskii [Kh 80]; a resumé is in [Ho 14] sections 9.2–9.4. Fix ϑ, write for short b for the drift in equation (5) under ϑ

$$b(x) \;=\; \left(\vartheta_1 f_1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \vartheta_{2,\nu} f_{2,\nu}\right)(x) \quad , \quad x \in I\!R \;,$$

and define functions s, S on $I\!R$ by

$$S(x) := \int_0^x s(y)\,dy \quad , \quad s(y) := \exp\left(-\int_0^y \frac{2\,b}{\sigma^2}(v)\,dv\right) \;. \tag{14}$$

(7) and (8) together yield

$$s(y) \;=\; \left(\sqrt{1+y^2}\right)^{-\lambda_1(\vartheta)} \exp\left(-\sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \lambda_{2,\nu}(\vartheta) F_{2,\nu}(y)\right) \quad , \quad y \in I\!R \tag{15}$$

where $-1 < \lambda_1(\vartheta) < 1$, and we deduce from assumption 1 that

$$S(x) \;\sim\; \mathrm{sgn}(x)\;\frac{1}{1-\lambda_1(\vartheta)}\,|x|^{1-\lambda_1(\vartheta)}\;\exp\left(-\sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \lambda_{2,\nu}(\vartheta) F_{2,\nu}(\pm\infty)\right) \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \pm\infty \tag{16}$$

is a bijection onto $I\!R$. As a consequence, the diffusion (5) is recurrent under ϑ with invariant measure

$$\mu^{\vartheta}(dy) \;=\; \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\,\frac{1}{s(y)}\,dy \;=\; \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\,\left(\sqrt{1+y^2}\right)^{+\lambda_1(\vartheta)} \exp\left(+\sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \lambda_{2,\nu}(\vartheta) F_{2,\nu}(y)\right) \tag{17}$$

by proposition 9.12 a) in [Ho 14]. □

Remark 2: Θ_1 in (7) is a maximal open parameter interval such that diffusion (5) is null recurrent: The explicit representation (15) of s, valid with $\vartheta_1 \in I\!R$, shows that s is integrable whenever $\vartheta_1 > \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$ (i.e. $\lambda_1(\vartheta) > 1$): then S in (14) converges to finite limits $S(\pm\infty)$ as $x \to \pm\infty$, thus ξ is transient by [GS 72], lemma 3 on p. 117.

In the limiting case $\vartheta_1 = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$ ($\lambda_1(\vartheta) = 1$) to transience, S in (14), of logarithmic growth as $x \to \pm\infty$, is a bijection onto $I\!R$. Thus all cases $\vartheta_1 \le \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$ ($\lambda_1(\vartheta) \le 1$) lead to recurrence of ξ with invariant measure $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\frac{1}{s(x)}dx$, cf. proposition 9.12 a) in [Ho 14]. Ergodicity (positive recurrence) holds if the invariant measure is a finite measure, i.e. for $\vartheta_1 < -\frac{\sigma^2}{2}$ ($\lambda_1(\vartheta) < -1$).

Proof of lemma 2: See [LS 78] and [JS 87] for local absolute continuity and structure of likelihood ratio processes, a short resumé is 6.9–6.12 in [Ho 14], whence the representation (11) for log-likelihood ratio processes. Assumption 1 implies that all $f_{2,\nu}$ are bounded functions, thus local $(Q^{\vartheta}, I\!F)$-martingales defined by (9) are square-integrable martingales with angle bracket (10) under Q^{ϑ}. It remains

to prove that Q^ϑ-almost surely, the process $J(\vartheta)$ takes values in $\mathtt{D}^+$, the set of strictly positive definite symmetric matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{(1+m)\times(1+m)}$. For $u \in \mathbb{R}^{1+m}$ with $|u| = 1$,

$$u^\top J(\vartheta)_t \, u \;=\; \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \int_0^t [u^\top \psi \; \psi^\top u](\eta_s) \, ds \;=\; \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \int_0^t [u^\top \psi]^2(\eta_s) \, ds \;, \quad , \quad 0 < t < \infty \tag{18}$$

where we write for short $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{1+m}$ for the row vector with entries $f_1, f_{2,1}, \ldots, f_{2,m}$. Now Q^ϑ-almost surely, the range $\{\eta_s : 0 \le s \le t\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ of the diffusion path (5) has non-empty interior; on this (random, since defined by the path) open set, the functions $f_1, f_{2,1}, \ldots, f_{2,m}$ are linearly independent by assumption 1. Hence Q^ϑ-almost surely, the right hand side in (18) is strictly positive, first pointwise in u and t, second uniformly on $\{|u| = 1\} \times [\frac{1}{m}, m]$ by continuity, and we let $m \to \infty$. □

Proof of proposition 1: Common determinations Y of the stochastic integrals jointly for all Q_ϑ do exist since probability measures Q^ϑ, $\vartheta \in \Theta$, are locally equivalent relative to $\mathbb{F}$, see lemma 8.2' in [Ho 14]. Now we exploit almost sure invertibility of the information $J(\vartheta)_t$ at time $0 < t < \infty$ combined with 'inverse bowl shape' of the log-likelihoods. □

Proof of proposition 2: By assumption, the starting point x_0 is an interior point of A. Thus for $0 < t < \infty$, $A \cap \{\eta_s : 0 \le s \le t\}$ almost surely contains open balls, and (18) remains true with $f \cdot 1_A$ in place of f. □

Proof for remark 1: $\check{\vartheta}_t$ corresponds to the maximum of the one-dimensional surface

$$\vartheta_1' \quad \longrightarrow \quad (\vartheta_1' - \vartheta_1) \, \check{S}(\vartheta)_t \; - \; \frac{1}{2} \, (\vartheta_1' - \vartheta_1)^2 \check{J}_t \quad , \quad \vartheta_1' \in \mathbb{R} \, .$$

The representation of estimation errors under $\vartheta \in \Theta$ follows from (5), (9) and the definition of Y_t in proposition 1. By Harris recurrence, the ratio limit theorem holds: for $g, h \in L^1(\mu^\vartheta)$ with $\mu^\vartheta(h) > 0$,

$$\frac{\int_0^t g(\eta_s) \, ds}{\int_0^t h(\eta_s) \, ds} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{\mu^\vartheta(g)}{\mu^\vartheta(h)} \qquad Q^\vartheta\text{-almost surely as } t \to \infty \, . \tag{19}$$

This is valid for all $\vartheta \in \Theta$ and for arbitrary choice of a starting point x_0 for the process (5). □

2 Convergence

We formulate a theorem on convergence of additive functionals and martingale additive functionals in the null recurrent diffusion (5). It combines theorem 3.1 from Höpfner and Löcherbach [HL 03] with results due to Khasminskii ([Kh 80], or theorem 2.2 in [KY 00] and theorem 1.1 in [Kh 01]). The approach is analoguous to [HK 03] or to examples 3.5 and 3.10 in [HL 03].

2.1 Convergence of martingales together with their angle bracket

Introducing further notation for $\vartheta \in \Theta$, we define

$$\Psi^+(\vartheta) \;:=\; \exp\left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \lambda_{2,\nu}\, F_{2,\nu}(+\infty) \right\} \quad , \quad \Psi^-(\vartheta) \;:=\; \exp\left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \lambda_{2,\nu}\, F_{2,\nu}(-\infty) \right\} \tag{20}$$

(cf. (8), assumption 1 and lemma 1) and introduce a $(0,1)$-valued index

$$\alpha(\vartheta) \;:=\; \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{2\vartheta_1}{\sigma^2}\right) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\,(1 - \lambda_1(\vartheta)) \tag{21}$$

together with weights

$$D(\vartheta) \;:=\; \frac{(2\sigma^2)^{1+\alpha(\vartheta)}\;\Gamma(\alpha(\vartheta))}{2\,\Gamma(1-\alpha(\vartheta))}\;. \tag{22}$$

Note that (21) and (22) depend on $\vartheta_1 \in \Theta_1$ whereas (20) depends on $(\vartheta_{2,1}, \ldots, \vartheta_{2,m}) \in I\!R^m$. We shall write $\phi : I\!R \to I\!R^k$ for measurable functions whose components ϕ_j, $1 \le j \le k$, are such that

$$|\phi_j| \text{ is locally bounded on } I\!R, \text{ and } \int_{\{|x|>1\}} \phi_j^2(x)\,|x|^{1-\varepsilon}\,dx \;<\; \infty \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0\;. \tag{23}$$

Functions which satisfy (23) do belong to $L^2(\mu^\vartheta)$ for every $\vartheta \in \Theta$, by lemma 1, and we can define

$$\Sigma(\vartheta,\phi) \;:=\; \begin{pmatrix} \mu^\vartheta(\,\phi_1^2\,) & \ldots & \mu^\vartheta(\phi_1\phi_k) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu^\vartheta(\phi_k\phi_1) & \ldots & \mu^\vartheta(\,\phi_k^2\,) \end{pmatrix}\;. \tag{24}$$

Theorem 1: Let ϕ with components ϕ_j, $1 \le j \le k$, satisfy (23). With notations (20)–(22) introduce sequences of norming constants by

$$\alpha_n(\vartheta) \;:=\; n^{\alpha(\vartheta)}\; D(\vartheta)\,/\,[\Psi^+(\vartheta) + \Psi^-(\vartheta)] \quad , \quad n \in I\!N\;. \tag{25}$$

Then for every $\vartheta \in \Theta$, we have weak convergence in the Skorohod space $D(I\!R^k, I\!R^{k\times k})$ of

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_n(\vartheta)}} \int_0^{\bullet n} \phi(\eta_s)\, dm_s^{(\vartheta)} \;,\; \frac{1}{\alpha_n(\vartheta)} \left\langle \int_0^{\bullet n} \phi(\eta_s)\, dm_s^{(\vartheta)} \right\rangle \right) \qquad \text{under } Q^\vartheta \tag{26}$$

as $n \to \infty$ to

$$\left(\Sigma^{1/2}\; B \circ V^{\alpha(\vartheta)} \;,\; \Sigma\; V^{\alpha(\vartheta)} \right) \quad , \quad \Sigma = \Sigma(\vartheta,\phi) \tag{27}$$

with notation (24). Here V^α is a Mittag-Leffler process of index $0 < \alpha < 1$, the process inverse (i.e. the process of level crossing times) of a stable increasing process S^α with index $0 < \alpha < 1$, and

B a k-dimensional Brownian motion which is independent of V^α; thus $B \circ V^\alpha$ is Brownian motion subject to independent time change $t \to V_t^\alpha$.

The one-dimensional case $k = 1$ of theorem 1 corresponds to theorem A in [HK 03] (note that the invariant measure there has a factor 2 with respect to our μ^ϑ in lemma 1).

Remark 3: The one-sided stable process S^α with index $0 < \alpha < 1$ has stationary independent increments with Laplace transform

$$E\left(e^{-\zeta S_t^\alpha}\right) \;=\; e^{-t\,\zeta^\alpha} \quad , \quad 0 < \zeta < \infty\,.$$

Its process inverse V^α has continuous nondecreasing paths with $V_0^\alpha = 0$ and $\lim_{t\uparrow\infty} V_t^\alpha = \infty$, hence $B \circ V^\alpha$, V^α are continuous processes. As a consequence ([JS 87], VI.2.3) of the continuous mapping theorem, theorem 1 implies weak convergence in $I\!R^k \times I\!R^{k\times k}$ of (26) evaluated at time $t = 1$ to (27) evaluated at time $t = 1$.

Remark 4: $\mathcal{L}(V_1^\alpha)$ is concentrated on $(0,\infty)$. Whereas $\mathcal{L}(V_1^\alpha)$ admits finite moments of arbitrary order $n \in I\!N$, there is no finite moment of order -1: we have

$$\int_{(0,\infty)} \mathcal{L}(V_1^\alpha)(dv)\,\frac{1}{v} \;=\; \infty \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < \alpha < 1 \tag{28}$$

which will be of importance below.

2.2 Proof of theorem 1

We formulate a lemma which corresponds to Khasminskii [Kh 80] (see theorem 2.2 in [KY 00] and theorem 1.1 in [Kh 01], or proposition 9.14 in [Ho 14]).

Lemma 3: The path of ξ can be decomposed into iid life cycles $\xi_{]]R_n,R_{n+1}]]}$, $n \geq 1$, defined by

$$R_n \;:=\; \inf\{t > S_n : \xi_t < 0\}\;,\; S_n \;:=\; \inf\{t > R_{n-1} : \xi > S^{-1}(1)\}\;,\; n \geq 1\;,\; R_0 = 0$$

(up to an initial segment $\xi_{[[0,R_1]]}$), with S^{-1} the function inverse of S in (16) which depends on ϑ. For this decomposition the following holds:

i) for ϕ nonneative and measurable,

$$E\left(\int_{R_n}^{R_{n+1}} \phi(\xi_s)\,ds\right) \;=\; 2\,\mu^\vartheta(\phi) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1\,;$$

ii) as $t \to \infty$, with $\alpha = \alpha(\vartheta)$ from (21) and $\Psi^{\pm} = \Psi^{\pm}(\vartheta)$ from (20):

$$P\left(R_{n+1} - R_n > t\right) \quad \sim \quad t^{-\alpha} \; \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \; \left(\frac{1}{2\,\sigma^2}\right)^{\alpha} \; 2 \; \left\{\Psi^{+} + \Psi^{-}\right\} \; .$$

Proof: 1) The function S in (14) is harmonic for the Markov generator of the diffusion ξ. In a first step we consider ξ transformed by S: $\widetilde{\xi} := S \circ \xi$ is a diffusion without drift

$$d\widetilde{\xi}_t \; = \; \widetilde{\sigma}(\widetilde{\xi}_t)\, dW_t \quad , \quad \widetilde{\sigma}(\widetilde{x}) = \sigma\left(s \circ S^{-1}\right)(\widetilde{x}) \quad , \quad \widetilde{x} \in I\!R$$

(with constant σ in (5), and S^{-1} is the function inverse of S) whose invariant measure is given by

$$\widetilde{\mu}^{\vartheta}(d\widetilde{x}) \; = \; \frac{1}{[\widetilde{\sigma}(\widetilde{x})]^2}\, d\widetilde{x} \quad \text{on} \quad (I\!R, \mathcal{B}(I\!R)) \tag{29}$$

([GS 72], [Kh 80], or proof of proposition 9.12 in [Ho 14]). Calculating from (15) and (16) the function $s \circ S^{-1}$ and supressing the dependence on ϑ we get

$$\left(s \circ S^{-1}\right)(\widetilde{x}) \quad \sim \quad |\widetilde{x}|^{\frac{-\lambda_1}{1-\lambda_1}} \; (1-\lambda_1)^{\frac{-\lambda_1}{1-\lambda_1}} \; (\Psi^{\pm})^{\frac{-1}{1-\lambda_1}} \quad \text{as} \quad \widetilde{x} \to \pm\infty$$

with notation from (8) and (20), thus with notation (21)

$$\frac{1}{[\widetilde{\sigma}(\widetilde{x})]^2} \quad \sim \quad \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \; |\widetilde{x}|^{\lambda_1/\alpha} \; (1-\lambda_1)^{\lambda_1/\alpha} \; (\Psi^{\pm})^{1/\alpha} \quad \text{as} \quad \widetilde{x} \to \pm\infty \; .$$

This is the Lebesgue density of the invariant measure $\widetilde{\mu}^{\vartheta}$ in (29) for the process $\widetilde{\xi} = S \circ \xi$.

2) We comment on the invariant density for $\widetilde{\xi}$. Multiplying the asymptotic constant by 2 we define

$$A^{\pm} \; := \; \frac{2}{\sigma^2}(1-\lambda_1)^{\lambda_1/\alpha} \; (\Psi^{\pm})^{1/\alpha} \tag{30}$$

and have

$$\frac{2}{[\widetilde{\sigma}(\widetilde{x})]^2} \quad \sim \quad |\widetilde{x}|^{\lambda_1/\alpha} \; A^{\pm} \quad \text{as} \quad \widetilde{x} \to \pm\infty \; . \tag{31}$$

This is the norming used by Khasminskii for the invariant measure. By (8) and (21), the quotient

$$\beta \; := \; \lambda_1/\alpha = 2\frac{\lambda_1}{1-\lambda_1}$$

takes values in $(-1, \infty)$ and is such that

$$\frac{1}{\beta+2} \; = \; \frac{\frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda_1)}{\lambda_1 + (1-\lambda_1)} \; = \; \frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda_1) \; = \; \alpha \; .$$

3) Now we apply the results of [Kh 80] quoted in the beginning of section 2.2. The stopping times

$$R_n \; := \; \inf\{t > S_n : \widetilde{\xi}_t < 0\} \; , \; S_n \; := \; \inf\{t > R_{n-1} : \widetilde{\xi} > 1\} \; , \; n \geq 1 \; , \; R_0 = 0$$

define iid life cycles $\widetilde{\xi}_{]]R_n,R_{n+1}]]}$ in the path of $\widetilde{\xi}$ for $n \geq 1$, with the following two properties: first,

$$E\left(\int_{R_n}^{R_{n+1}} \widetilde{\phi}(\widetilde{\xi}_s)\, ds\right) \;=\; 2\,\widetilde{\mu}^{\vartheta}(\widetilde{\phi}) \quad , \quad n \geq 1 \tag{32}$$

for measurable functions $\widetilde{\phi} : I\!R \to [0,\infty)$ and $\widetilde{\mu}^{\vartheta}$ as in (29); second,

$$P\left(R_{n+1} - R_n > t\right) \;\sim\; t^{-\alpha}\, \frac{[\alpha]^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \left\{[A^+]^{\alpha} + [A^-]^{\alpha}\right\} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty\,. \tag{33}$$

4) The iid life cycles for $\widetilde{\xi} = (S(\xi_t))_{t\geq 0}$ in step 3) are iid life cycles for $\xi = (\xi_t)_{t\geq 0}$

$$R_n \;:=\; \inf\{t > S_n : \xi_t < 0\}\,,\; S_n \;:=\; \inf\{t > R_{n-1} : \xi > S^{-1}(1)\}\,,\; n \geq 1\,,\; R_0 = 0$$

for which asymptotics (33) remain unchanged, and change of variables transforms (32) into

$$E\left(\int_{R_n}^{R_{n+1}} \phi(\xi_s)\, ds\right) \;=\; 2\,\mu^{\vartheta}(\phi) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1\,.$$

Using step 2), the asymptotic constant on the right hand side of (33) equals

$$\frac{[\alpha]^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \left\{[A^+]^{\alpha} + [A^-]^{\alpha}\right\} \;=\; \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\right)^{\alpha} 2\, \left\{\Psi^+ + \Psi^-\right\}$$

and lemma 3 is proved by step 3); recall that both $\alpha = \alpha(\vartheta)$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(\vartheta)$ depend on $\vartheta \in \Theta$. $\square$

Proof of theorem 1: 1) In a first step, consider convergence of martingales in case $k = 1$.
Fix $\vartheta \in \Theta$ and apply theorem 3.1 c) of [HL 03] to one-dimensional measurable functions ϕ which belong to $L^2(\mu^{\vartheta})$: to the sequence $(R_n)_n$ of renewal times considered in lemma 3 correspond norming sequences

$$\widetilde{a}_n \;=\; \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\; P(R_2 - R_1 > n)} \;=\; n^{\alpha}\, \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\, \left(2\sigma^2\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{2\left\{\Psi^+ + \Psi^-\right\}}$$

such that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\widetilde{\alpha}_n}} \int_0^{\bullet n} \phi(\eta_s)\, dm_s^{(\vartheta)}$$

converges weakly as $n \to \infty$ in the Skorohod space D of one-dimensional cadlag functions to

$$\sqrt{\widetilde{c}}\; B \circ V^{\alpha(\vartheta)}$$

where $\widetilde{c} = \widetilde{c}(\vartheta)$ is given by

$$\widetilde{c} \;:=\; E_{\vartheta}\left(\int_{R_1}^{R_2} \phi^2(\eta_s)\; d\langle m^{(\vartheta)}\rangle_s\right) \;=\; 2\sigma^2\; \mu^{\vartheta}(\phi^2)\,; \tag{34}$$

here we use (32) together with $d\langle m^{(\vartheta)}\rangle_s = \sigma^2\, ds$. Taking into account this factor $2\sigma^2$ arising on the right hand side of (34) we arrive at the norming sequence $(\alpha_n)_n$ of theorem 1, defined by (25) and (22), and have weak convergence under Q^{ϑ}

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_n}} \int_0^{\bullet n} \phi(\eta_s)\, dm_s^{(\vartheta)} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \sqrt{c}\; B \circ V^{\alpha(\vartheta)} \quad \text{(weakly in } D \text{ as } n \to \infty) \tag{35}$$

with $c := \mu^\vartheta(\phi^2)$ as asserted in theorem 1. This proves convergence of martingales in case $k = 1$.

2) The result proved in step 1) can be extended to case $k > 1$ and to weak convergence of martingales together with their angle bracket: apply corollaries 3.2 and 3.3 in [HL 03]. □

Proof for remark 3: We refer to 2.5-2.8 in [HL 03] and the references quoted there. □

Proof for remark 4: It is well known that $E([S_1^\alpha]^p)$ is finite for $0 < p < \alpha$ and infinite for $p = \alpha$ (e.g. [Ho 14], 6.18'). Since V^α is the process inverse to S^α, the relation

$$\mathcal{L}(\, V_1^\alpha \,) \;=\; \mathcal{L}(\, \left[\frac{1}{S_1^\alpha}\right]^\alpha \,) \quad , \quad 0 < \alpha < 1$$

holds and proves that $E(\frac{1}{[V_1^\alpha]})$ equals ∞. □

3 LAMN and optimal estimator sequences

For local asymptotic mixed normality see Jeganathan [Je 82], Davies [Da 85], and LeCam and Yang [LY 90]. See also sections 5.1, 6.1 and 7 of [Ho 14].

3.1 Limit distribution for the estimators of section 1.1

Under $\vartheta \in \Theta$ consider the norming sequence $(\alpha_n(\vartheta))_n$ defined by (25). In notations from (9) and (10), proposition 1 combined with theorem 1 yields convergence in law as $n \to \infty$ of rescaled ML errors

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_n(\vartheta)}\,\left(\widehat{\vartheta}_n - \vartheta\right) \mid Q^\vartheta\right) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{L}\left(\,\Lambda(\vartheta)^{-1/2}\, B(V_1^{\alpha(\vartheta)})/V_1^{\alpha(\vartheta)}\,\right) \tag{36}$$

for all $\vartheta \in \Theta$, where B is (1+m)-dimensional Brownian motion independent of the Mittag-Leffler variable $V_1^{\alpha(\vartheta)}$, and where $\Lambda(\vartheta)$ is given by

$$\Lambda(\vartheta) \;=\; \frac{1}{\sigma^4}\begin{pmatrix} \mu^\vartheta(\, f_1^2\,) & \dots & \mu^\vartheta(f_1 f_{2,\nu'}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu^\vartheta(f_{2,\nu} f_1) & \dots & \mu^\vartheta(\, f_{2,\nu} f_{2,\nu'}\,) \end{pmatrix} \tag{37}$$

with ν, ν' ranging from 1 to m. If we fix as in proposition 2 a compact interval A such that $x_0 \in \mathrm{int}(A)$ and replace $f_1,\, f_{2,1}, \dots, f_{2,m}$ by $f_1{\cdot}1_A,\, f_{2,1}{\cdot}1_A, \dots, f_{2,m}{\cdot}1_A$, we get convergence in law as $n \to \infty$

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_n(\vartheta)}\,\left(\widetilde{\vartheta}(A)_n - \vartheta\right) \mid Q^\vartheta\right) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{L}\left(\,\Lambda(\vartheta, A)^{-1/2}\, B(V_1^{\alpha(\vartheta)})/V_1^{\alpha(\vartheta)}\,\right) \tag{38}$$

where $\Lambda(\vartheta, A)$ is given by

$$\Lambda(\vartheta, A) \;=\; \frac{1}{\sigma^4} \begin{pmatrix} \mu^\vartheta(\, f_1^2 \cdot 1_A\,) & \dots & \mu^\vartheta(f_1 f_{2,\nu'} \cdot 1_A) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu^\vartheta(f_{2,\nu} f_1 \cdot 1_A) & \dots & \mu^\vartheta(f_{2,\nu} f_{2,\nu'} \cdot 1_A) \end{pmatrix} \tag{39}$$

for $1 \le \nu, \nu' \le m$. Note that the deterministic matrices in (37) and (39) are invertible for all $\vartheta \in \Theta$. The argument is similiar to (18): with $\psi : I\!R \to I\!R^{1+m}$ defined by its components $f_1,\ f_{2,1}, \dots, f_{2,m}$ (or by $f_1 \cdot 1_A,\ f_{2,1} \cdot 1_A, \dots, f_{2,m} \cdot 1_A$ where A contains open balls), the strictly positive Lebesgue density of μ^ϑ in lemma 1 together with assumption 1 iii) shows that

$$u^\top \Lambda(\vartheta)\, u \;=\; \int [u^\top \psi\ \psi^\top u]\, d\mu^\vartheta \;=\; \int [u^\top \psi]^2\, d\mu^\vartheta \;>\; 0$$

for $u \in I\!R^{1+m}$ with $|u| = 1$. This is half-ordering of symmetric nonnegative nonnegative definite matrices, and the argument above also shows $\Lambda(\vartheta) > \Lambda(\vartheta, A)$ in this sense. Thus the limit law in (38) is necessarily more spread out than the limit law in (36). On the other hand, independence of B and V^α allows to write

$$\mathcal{L}\left(B(V_1^{\alpha(\vartheta)})/V_1^{\alpha(\vartheta)}\right) \;=\; \int_{(0,\infty)} \mathcal{L}\left(V_1^{\alpha(\vartheta)}\right)(dv)\, \mathcal{N}\left(0\,,\, \frac{1}{v}\, I\right) \tag{40}$$

with I the identity matrix in $I\!R^{(1+m)\times(1+m)}$ and $0 \in I\!R^{1+m}$. (40) implies that the limit laws in (36) or (38) never admit finite variances: by (28) in remark 4, we have

$$\int_{(0,\infty)} \mathcal{L}\left(V_1^{\alpha(\vartheta)}\right)(dv)\, \frac{1}{v} \;=\; \infty$$

for all $\vartheta \in \Theta$. Given the structure of the limit laws in (38) or (36), classical theory of estimation based on comparison of estimators through variances (or finite moments of higher order) of limit distributions for rescaled estimation errors is of no use for the statistical model (5) under consideration.

3.2 LAMN and comparison of estimators locally at $\vartheta \in \Theta$

For $\vartheta \in \Theta$ we reconsider the norming sequence $(\alpha_n(\vartheta))_n$ of (25) and call

$$\delta_n(\vartheta) \;:=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^{\alpha(\vartheta)}}} \quad , \quad \alpha(\vartheta) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{2\vartheta_1}{\sigma^2}) \quad \text{ranging over } \ (0,1) \tag{41}$$

local scale at ϑ. The following combines lemma 2 with theorem 1: if we write

$$\mathbf{S}_n(\vartheta) \;:=\; \delta_n(\vartheta)\, S(\vartheta)_n \quad , \quad \mathbf{J}_n(\vartheta) \;:=\; \delta_n^2(\vartheta)\, J_n$$

for score and information rescaled with (41) and call

$$\mathcal{E}(\vartheta, n) \;:=\; \left\{ Q^{\vartheta + \delta_n(\vartheta) h} \;:\; h \in \Theta_{\vartheta,n} \right\}$$

local experiment at ϑ, parametrized by h as local parameter for which

$$\Theta_{\vartheta,n} \;:=\; \big\{ h \in I\!R^{1+m} : \vartheta + \delta_n(\vartheta) h \in \Theta \big\}$$

increases to $I\!R^{1+m}$ as $n \to \infty$, then log-likelihoods in $\mathcal{E}(\vartheta, n)$

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}^{h/0}_{n,\vartheta} \;:=\; \Lambda_n^{(\vartheta+\delta_n(\vartheta)h)/\vartheta} \;=\; h^\top \mathbf{S}_n(\vartheta) \;+\; h^\top \mathbf{J}_n(\vartheta)\, h \quad , \quad h \in \Theta_{\vartheta,n} \tag{42}$$

are quadratic in the local parameter h. Theorem 1 with norming sequence (25) establishes weak convergence of pairs as $n \to \infty$

$$\mathcal{L}\Big((\mathbf{S}_n(\vartheta)\,,\, \mathbf{J}_n(\vartheta)) \mid Q^\vartheta \Big) \quad \longrightarrow \quad (\mathbf{S}(\vartheta)\,,\, \mathbf{J}(\vartheta)) \;:=\; \Big(\mathbf{\Sigma}(\vartheta)^{1/2}\, B \circ V^{\alpha(\vartheta)} \,,\, \mathbf{\Sigma}(\vartheta)\;\; V^{\alpha(\vartheta)} \Big) \tag{43}$$

where

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}(\vartheta) \;:=\; \frac{D(\vartheta)}{\Psi^+(\vartheta) + \Psi^-(\vartheta)} \;\cdot\; \Lambda(\vartheta) \tag{44}$$

combines scaling factors from (20)–(22) with the deterministic and invertible $(1+m)\times(1+m)$-matrix

$$\Lambda(\vartheta) \;=\; \frac{1}{\sigma^4} \begin{pmatrix} \mu^\vartheta(\, f_1^2\,) & \dots & \mu^\vartheta(f_1 f_{2,\nu'}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu^\vartheta(f_{2,\nu} f_1) & \dots & \mu^\vartheta(\, f_{2,\nu} f_{2,\nu'}\,) \end{pmatrix}$$

(with ν, ν' ranging from 1 to m) which was defined in (37) above.

By proposition 1, J_n is taking values in $\mathtt{D}^+$, Q^ϑ-almost surely for all $\vartheta \in \Theta$: thus the same holds for $\mathbf{J}_n(\vartheta)$ defined above. As a consequence of log-likelihoods (42) and convergence (43) in the local model $\mathcal{E}(\vartheta, n)$ at ϑ, local asymptotic mixed normality (LAMN) holds at every point $\vartheta \in \Theta$, with central sequence given by

$$\mathbf{Z}_n(\vartheta) \;:=\; 1_{\{\mathbf{J}_n(\vartheta) \in \mathtt{D}^+\}}\, \mathbf{J}_n^{-1}(\vartheta)\, \mathbf{S}_n(\vartheta) \;=\; 1_{\{J_n \in \mathtt{D}^+\}}\, \delta_n^{-1}(\vartheta) J_n^{-1}\, S(\vartheta)_n \quad , \quad n \in I\!N\,. \tag{45}$$

The limit experiment generated by the pair $(\mathbf{S}(\vartheta), \mathbf{J}(\vartheta))$ admits

$$\mathbf{Z}(\vartheta) \;:=\; \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\vartheta)\, \mathbf{S}(\vartheta) \;=\; \mathbf{\Sigma}(\vartheta)^{-1/2}\, B(V_1^{\alpha(\vartheta)})/V_1^{\alpha(\vartheta)} \tag{46}$$

as central statistic. At every point $\vartheta \in \Theta$, we thus dispose of the following local asymptotic minimax bound (see [LY 90] theorem 1 in section 5.6, or [Ho 14] theorem 7.12):

Theorem 2: (LeCam) Consider any loss function $\ell : I\!R^{1+m} \to [0, \infty)$ which is continuous, bounded and subconvex (i.e. level sets $\{x : \ell(x) \le c\}$ are convex and symmetric in the sense $\ell(x) = \ell(-x)$, $x \in I\!R^{1+m}$). Fix any point $\vartheta \in \Theta$.

a) Consider any sequence of $\mathcal{F}_n$-measurable estimators T_n for the unknown parameter such that

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\delta_n^{-1}(\vartheta)\,(T_n-\vartheta)\mid Q^\vartheta\right)\ ,\ n\geq 1\ ,\quad \text{is tight in } I\!R^{1+m} \text{ as } n\to\infty \tag{47}$$

where $(\delta_n(\vartheta))_n$ is local scale (41). Then

$$\lim_{c\to\infty}\ \liminf_{n\to\infty}\ \sup_{|h|\leq c} E_{Q^{\vartheta+\delta_n(\vartheta)h}}\left(\ \ell\left(\ \delta_n^{-1}(\vartheta)(T_n-(\vartheta+\delta_n(\vartheta)h))\ \right)\ \right)\quad\geq\quad E\left(\ \ell(\mathbf{Z}(\vartheta))\ \right) \tag{48}$$

where $\mathbf{Z}(\vartheta)$ is the central statistic (46) in the limit experiment.

b) If rescaled estimation errors at ϑ can be coupled to the central sequence (45) at ϑ

$$\delta_n^{-1}(\vartheta)\,(T_n-\vartheta)\quad=\quad \mathbf{Z}_n(\vartheta)\ +\ o_{Q^\vartheta}(1)\quad\text{as } n\to\infty\ , \tag{49}$$

then the estimator sequence $(T_n)_n$ achieves

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\ \sup_{|h|\leq c} E_{Q^{\vartheta+\delta_n(\vartheta)h}}\left(\ \ell\left(\ \delta_n^{-1}(\vartheta)(T_n-(\vartheta+\delta_n(\vartheta)h))\ \right)\ \right)\quad=\quad E\left(\ \ell(\mathbf{Z}(\vartheta))\ \right)$$

for arbitrary constants $c<\infty$, and in particular attains the local asymptotic minimax bound (48).

Combining the representation (12) of rescaled ML errors in proposition 1 to (49), we see that the ML sequence $(\widehat{\vartheta}_n)_n$ satisfies the coupling condition. Hence theorem 2 states that at every point ϑ in the parameter space Θ, the sequence $(\widehat{\vartheta}_n)_n$ minimizes errors uniformly over shrinking neighbourhoods of ϑ whose radius is proportional to local scale $\delta_n(\vartheta)$ as $n\to\infty$. Thus we dispose of an explicit and tractable estimator sequence which is asymptotically optimal in the sense of the local asymptotic minimax theorem.

The estimators $\widetilde{\theta}(A)_n$ considered in proposition 2 do not satisfy the coupling condition (49), but converge at rate $\sqrt{n^{\alpha(\vartheta)}}$ as $n\to\infty$. Under some conditions in addition to LAMN (see Davies [Da 85], or [Ho 14] section 7.4) one step modification due to LeCam allows to transform preliminary estimators with the right speed of convergence into modified ones which do satisfy the coupling condition (49), and thus are optimal in the sense of the convolution theorem. In our case however, the situation is much simpler: the log-likelihood ratios in (11) are exactly quadratic in the parameter, the information (10) is free from the parameter, and mimicking the relation which according to (5) relates the score at ϑ to Y_n of proposition 1

$$S_n(\vartheta)\ =\ Y_n\ -\ J_n\,\vartheta$$

an elementary definition of a 'score with estimated parameter'

$$S_n(T_n)\ :=\ Y_n\ -\ J_n\,T_n$$

is possible whenever T_n is $\mathcal{F}_n$-measurable and $\mathbb{R}^{1+m}$-valued. Then Q^ϑ-almost surely

$$T_n \;+\; 1_{\{J_n \in \mathtt{D}^+\}}\, J_n^{-1}\, S_n(T_n) \;\;=\;\; T_n \;+\; 1_{\{J_n \in \mathtt{D}^+\}} \left\{ J_n^{-1}\, Y_n \;-\; T_n \right\} \;\;=\;\; \widehat{\vartheta}_n \;+\; 1_{\{J_n \notin \mathtt{D}^+\}}\, T_n$$

is the ML estimator, for every $\vartheta \in \Theta$, thus 'one-step correction' works in our model (5) in its most elementary form.

References

[BGT 89] Bingham, N., Goldie, C., Teugels, J.: Regular variation. Cambridge 1989.

[Da 85] Davies, R.B.: Asymptotic inference when the amount of information is random. In: LeCam, L., Olshen, R. (Eds.), Proc. of the Berkeley Conf. in honour of J. Neyman and J. Kiefer, Vol. 2, pp. 841-864. Wadsworth 1985.

[GS 72] Gihman, I., Skorohod, A.: Stochastic differential equations. Springer 1972.

[Ha 70] Hájek, J.: A characterization of limiting distributions for regular estimates. Z. Wahrscheinl. Verw. Geb. **14**, 323-330 (1970).

[He 93] Heuser, H.: Lehrbuch der Analysis. Bd. I 10. Aufl. Teubner 1993.

[Ho 90] Höpfner, R.: Null recurrent birth and death processes, limits of certain martingales, and local asymptotic mixed normality. Scand. J. Statist. **17**, 201-215 (1990).

[Ho 93] Höpfner, R.: On statistics of Markov step processes: representation of log-likelihood ratio processes in filtered local models. Probab. Theory Rel. Fields **94**, 375–398 (1993).

[Ho 14] Höpfner, R.: Asymptotic statistics. deGruyter 2014.

[HK 03] Höpfner, R., Kutoyants, Yu.: On a problem of statistical inference in null recurrent diffusions. Statist. Inference Stoch. Proc. **6**(1), 25–42 (2003).

[HL 03] Höpfner, R., Löcherbach, E.: Limit theorems for null recurrent Markov processes. Memoirs AMS **161** Nr. 768, Providence 2003.

[IH 81] Ibragimov, I., Khasminskii, R.: Statistical estimation. Springer 1981.

[IW 89] Ikeda, N., Watanabe, S.: Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes. 2nd ed., North-Holland, 1989.

[Je 82] Jeganathan, P.: On the asymptotic theory of estimation when the limit of the log-likelihood ratios is mixed normal. Sankya **44**(2), 173–212 (1982).

[Je 88] Jeganathan, P.: Some aspects of asymptotic theory with application to time series models. Preprint 1988, see also *Econometric Theory* **11**, 818-887 (1995).

[JS 87] Jacod, J., Shiryaev, A.: Limit theorems for stochastic processes. Springer 1987.

[Kh 80] Khasminskii, R.: Stochastic stability of differential equations. 1st ed. Sijthoff and Noordhoff 1980, 2nd ed. Springer 2012.

[Kh 01] Khasminskii, R.: Limit distributions for some integral functionals for null-recurrent diffusions. Stoch. Proc. Appl. **92**, 1-9 (2001).

[KY 00] Khasminskii, R., Yin, G.: Asymptotic behaviour of parabolic equations arising from one-dimensional null-recurrent diffusions. J. Diff. Equations **161**, 154-173 (2000).

[Ku 04] Kutoyants, Yu.: Statistical inference for diffusion processes. Springer 2004.

[LC 69] LeCam, L.: Théorie asymptotique de la décision statistique. Montreal 1969.

[LY 90] LeCam, L., Yang, G.: Asymptotics in Statistics. Springer 1990.

[LS 78] Liptser, R., Shiryaev, A.: Statistics of Random Processes, Vol. 1+2. Springer 1978.

[Ze 17] Zeller, C.: LAMN für ein zweiparametriges nullrekurrentes Modell. Masterarbeit Mainz 2017.

Reinhard Höpfner, Institut für Mathematik, Universität Mainz
Staudingerweg 9, 55099 Mainz
hoepfner@mathematik.uni-mainz.de

Carina Zeller, Institut für Mathematik, Universität Mainz
Staudingerweg 9, 55099 Mainz
czeller@students.uni-mainz.de