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This work provides a smooth and everywhere well-defined extension of Bondi-Metzner-Sachs (BMS) supertranslations into the bulk of Minkowski space. The supertranslations lead to physically distinct spacetimes, all isometric to Minkowski space. This construction is in contrast to the often used, non-smooth BMS transformations that appear in a gauge-fixed description of the theory.

I. INTRODUCTION

A field theory is not only defined by its equations of motions but also its boundary conditions. Boundary conditions may, for example, be required to make an initial value formulation of the theory well-posed, to make an action integral meaningful, or to formulate asymptotic conservation laws. For whatever reason they are needed, when they are introduced something noteworthy can happen: a gauge transformation loses its defining property of being a transformation between two physically equivalent states, and it becomes a physical symmetry, transforming one state into a physically distinct one. This work does not go into the details of that process but exemplifies one of its incarnations: Bondi-Metzner-Sachs (BMS) transformations in asymptotically flat spacetimes [1, 2].

Previous calculations performed in a particular coordinate system suggested that a defect arises when acting with supertranslations on Minkowski space [3]. In order to preserve the coordinate system the supertranslation generators had to be extended in a particular way into the bulk. The extension of the generators was not smooth and led to apparent defects in the resulting spacetime. At least since the coordinate-free formulation of BMS transformations [4], it is evident (see for example [5, 6]) that if one puts emphasis on the covariant description of the theory and does not fix a particular coordinate system, there is much more freedom in extending the generator. It will be shown that defects can be avoided by choosing a smooth extension of the supertranslation generator into the bulk.

Asymptotically flat spacetimes can be defined as spacetimes admitting a particular structure at null infinity, a structure which is reviewed in section II. The existence of this structure will be our choice of boundary condition. Before introducing this structure, diffeomorphisms are gauge symmetries. After the introduction, diffeomorphisms that do not tend to the identity at infinity cease to be gauge transformations and become physical symmetries. These symmetries form the group of asymptotic symmetries given by the BMS transformations, reviewed also in section II. Since diffeomorphisms that do tend to the identity at infinity are still gauge transformations, a BMS symmetry can be extended arbitrarily into the interior. In section III it is explicitly shown that BMS transformations can be extended into the interior of Minkowski space such that they are well defined and smooth everywhere.

II. ASYMPTOTICALLY FLAT SPACETIMES

Specifying boundary conditions for a field theory is not a straightforward procedure. We wish to have boundary conditions that are weak enough to encompass all physically relevant solutions while being strong enough to allow us to draw interesting conclusions. In general relativity there exists a particularly elegant and successful definition of boundary conditions by conformal completion [7]. Here one attaches a boundary to the spacetime at infinity and demands that there exists a metric which can be extended to the boundary and is related to the physical metric by a conformal transformation. The boundary inherits some structure, depending on the cosmological constant. For asymptotically flat spacetimes the diffeomorphisms that leave this structure invariant form the BMS group.

A. Asymptotic Structure

Consider a physical spacetime \( M \) with dimension bigger than two. We define an unphysical spacetime \( \tilde{M} \) with boundary \( \mathcal{I} \) such that the interior of \( \tilde{M} \) is diffeomorphic to \( M \). The unphysical spacetime is required to have an unphysical metric \( \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \) related to the physical metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) by a conformal transformation

\[
\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu} ,
\]

where \( \Omega \) is some smooth function that vanishes at \( \mathcal{I} \). The normal vector to \( \mathcal{I} \),

\[
\tilde{n}^\nu = \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \Omega ,
\]

is required to be nowhere vanishing. The requirement that the unphysical metric is well defined even at the boundary \( \mathcal{I} \) leads to restrictions on the physical metric. These are our boundary conditions. For spacetimes with vanishing cosmological constant and strong enough matter falloff conditions, \( \mathcal{I} \) is a null hypersurface. These spacetimes are called asymptotically flat at null infinity. Since \( \mathcal{I} \) is a null hypersurface, \( \tilde{n}^\nu \) is null and lies inside \( \mathcal{I} \). The asymptotic structure is an equivalence class of pairs
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\((\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{n}^\mu)\) evaluated at \(\mathcal{I}\), where the underline denotes the pullback to \(\mathcal{I}\). Two such pairs are equivalent if they are related by a conformal transformation \((\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{n}^\mu) \sim (\kappa^2 \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \kappa^{-1} \tilde{n}^\mu)\), with \(\kappa\) being some smooth, nonvanishing function. This equivalence relation is necessary since \(\Omega\) can be rescaled by an arbitrary function.

In the following, indices of tensors with a tilde are raised and lowered using the unphysical metric \(\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}\).

### B. BMS Transformations

We define BMS transformations as asymptotic symmetries following Geroch [4]. A **BMS transformation** is defined as a diffeomorphism around \(\mathcal{I}\) that keeps the asymptotic structure invariant. A **trivial BMS transformation** is a BMS transformation that is generated by a smooth vector field \(\xi^\mu\). Any BMS transformation can be combined with a trivial one, such that the pair \((\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{n}^\mu)\) is invariant, not only its conformal equivalence class. We will do so in what follows.

An **infinitesimal BMS transformation** is represented by a smooth vector field around \(\mathcal{I}\) that generates BMS transformations. Since a vector field \(\xi^\mu\) acts with the Lie derivative on the physical metric, the infinitesimal change of the metric is

\[
\delta \xi g_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{\mu\nu},
\]

It follows that the infinitesimal change of the asymptotic structure is given by

\[
\delta \xi \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} - 2\Omega^{-1} \xi^\sigma \tilde{n}_\sigma \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu},
\]

\[
\delta \xi \tilde{n}^\mu = \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \tilde{n}^\mu + \Omega^{-1} \xi^\sigma \tilde{n}_\sigma \tilde{n}^\mu,
\]

where \(\hat{=}\) denotes equality at \(\mathcal{I}\). Note that we assumed \(\delta \xi \Omega = 0\) since \(\Omega\) is to be regarded as a fixed background field, independent of the metric. An infinitesimal BMS transformation can now be defined as a vector field \(\xi^\mu\) such that the right-hand sides of (4) vanish at \(\mathcal{I}\).

The supertranslations are a normal subgroup of BMS transformations defined as follows. A **supertranslation** is a BMS transformation that is generated by a smooth vector field \(\xi^\mu\) of the form

\[
\xi^\mu \hat{=} h \tilde{n}^\mu,
\]

with some smooth function \(h\). Because \(\xi^\mu\) is smooth it follows that

\[
\xi^\mu = h \tilde{n}^\mu + \Omega w^\mu,
\]

for some smooth vector field \(w^\mu\). The conditions for \(\xi^\mu\) in (6) to be an infinitesimal BMS transformation are

\[
\tilde{n}^\mu \nabla_\mu h \hat{=} -hf/2, \quad \text{(7a)}
\]

\[
w^\mu \tilde{n}_\mu \hat{=} -hf/2, \quad \text{(7b)}
\]

where \(f\) is defined as the limit of \(f = \Omega^{-1} \tilde{n}^\mu \tilde{n}_\mu\) when approaching \(\mathcal{I}\). In four spacetime dimensions, if \(\mathcal{I}\) has the usual topology \(S^2 \times \mathbb{R}\), the quotient of the BMS transformations by the supertranslations gives the Lorentz group. If we require the BMS transformations to be defined only locally, the quotient is much bigger and consists of so-called superrotations [8].

### III. BMS Transformations of Minkowski Space

We now turn to the question of how a vector field generating BMS transformations can be extended into the bulk of Minkowski space. We first study the case of supertranslations.

#### A. Supertranslations

Consider \(n\)-dimensional Minkowski space in spherical coordinates with “retarded time” coordinate \(u = t - r\) and inverse radial distance \(y = 1/r\) such that the physical metric reads

\[
g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -du^2 + 2y^{-2} du dy + y^{-2} d\Omega^2_{S_{n-2}}.
\]

We set the conformal factor \(\Omega = y\). The conditions (7) that \(\xi^\mu\) has to obey to be a supertranslation generator are now

\[
\partial_u h \hat{=} 0, \quad \text{(9)}
\]

\[
w^\mu \hat{=} 0. \quad \text{(10)}
\]

We can write equivalently

\[
\xi = (T(x^A) + O(y)) \partial_u + O(y^2) \partial_y + O(y) \partial_A,
\]

with some smooth function \(T\) depending on coordinates \(x^A\) of the \((n-2)\)-sphere.

Now we extend \(\xi^\mu\) into the bulk. Since the difference between any two such extensions vanishes at \(\mathcal{I}\), all extensions of the same \(\xi^\mu\) are in the same BMS equivalence class. We are free to extend \(\xi^\mu\) however we see fit. A convenient choice is

\[
\xi = T(x^A)s(r) \partial_t,
\]

given in the coordinate system \((t,r,x^A)\). Here \(s\) is some smooth cutoff function on \(\mathbb{R}\), satisfying

\[
s(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & r < 1 \\ 1 & r > 2, \end{cases}
\]

and interpolating in an arbitrary but smooth way between 0 and 1 for \(1 \leq r \leq 2\). By construction, the vector field \(\xi^\mu\) vanishes in some neighborhood around the line \(r = 0\) (see fig. 1). Therefore, the non-smoothness of \(T(x^A)\) at \(r = 0\), stemming from the non-smoothness of the coordinates \(x^A\), there, is irrelevant. Since \(\partial_t\) is smooth, we conclude that \(\xi^\mu\) is smooth everywhere in the bulk. Since \(\xi^\mu\) is
time independent, it is simple to integrate, leading to the
supertranslation given in coordinates by
\[ t' = t + T(x^A)s(r). \] (14)
Supertranslations can therefore be extended to globally
well-defined diffeomorphisms.

B. Lorentz Transformations

The group of Poincaré transformations is a semidirect
product between the Lorentz group and the translations.
Similarly, the group of BMS transformations is a semidi-
rect product between the Lorentz group and the super-
translations. In the Poincaré case there is not a single
Lorentz subgroup, but there are many, one for each choice
of base point around which to rotate or boost. The differ-
et Lorentz subgroups are all related by translations. The
BMS case is similar: there is no unique Lorentz subgroup,
but there are many, each one related to another by a
supertranslation [2].

If we pick a Lorentz subgroup of the group of BMS
transformations, we can write any BMS transformation
as the product of an element of this Lorentz subgroup
and a supertranslation. On Minkowski spacetime there is
a preferred choice to pick a Lorentz subgroup by requiring
the transformations to be global isometries. When we pick
such a Lorentz subgroup, the combination of a Lorentz
transformation and a supertranslation is also globally
well defined. Since this gives us the whole group of BMS
transformations, we conclude that BMS transformations
are globally well-defined diffeomorphisms when acting on
Minkowski space.

IV. CONCLUSION

It was shown that BMS transformations act as smooth
diffeomorphisms on Minkowski space. Each element of
the orbit of BMS transformations acting on Minkowski
space is therefore isometric to Minkowski space. The dif-
derent elements of this orbit can be regarded as different
gravitational vacua [9, 10]. Since they are all isometric
to each other, they are locally indistinguishable from one
another. Asymptotically, however, they have different
sions. From this we see that one should not expect to
find sources for superrotation charges localized anywhere
in spacetime. This is consistent with the fact that, in a
covariant formulation, charges can be defined only asymp-
totically, since there are no nontrivial, conserved \( n - 2 \)
forms [12].
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