THE UNIT OF THE TOTAL DÉCALAGE ADJUNCTION

VIKTORIYA OZORNOVA AND MARTINA ROVELLI

Abstract. We consider the décalage construction Dec, the functor induced by the ordinal sum on ∆, and its right adjoint T. We identify T Dec X with the path space X^∆[1] for any simplicial set X. We then use this explicit formula to give a new proof that the unit X → T Dec X of the adjunction (Dec, T) is a weak equivalence.

1. Introduction

Let σ: ∆ × ∆ → ∆ denote the ordinal sum functor on the simplex category ∆, described on objects via σ([k], [l]) = [k + 1 + l]. The induced functor σ*: sSet → sSet is sometimes called total décalage and denoted Dec, going back to Illusie [11]. Informally speaking, it spreads out a simplicial set X “anti-diagonally” into a bisimplicial set Dec X, which is levelwise described on objects by (Dec X)_{k,l} = X_{k+1+l}. The functor σ* has a right adjoint σ*.

This right adjoint often appears in the literature; it is known as T, e.g. in [3, 4], or as total simplicial set functor T, e.g. in [1], or as Artin–Mazur codiagonal, e.g. in [19]. When composed with the levelwise nerve functor, the functor σ* yields a model for the classifying space of simplicial groups, which is also referred to as T-construction; see [19, §5] for further discussion.

The adjunction (Dec, T) plays a crucial role in [3, 4, 5] and later in [19], in particular due to its relation with Thomason’s homotopy colimit formula and Kan’s simplicial loop group functor, respectively. In [4, Prop. 7.1] and [19, Lemma 20] the two groups of authors prove that the unit X → T Dec X is a weak equivalence for any simplicial set X. Both of their proofs rely on the homotopy theoretical fact that the Artin–Mazur codiagonal of a bisimplicial set is weakly equivalent to its diagonal. The aim of the this article is to understand explicitly the simplicial set T Dec X up to isomorphism, and give an easy description that in particular implies that the unit is a weak equivalence.
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We work in larger generality, and describe the unit of the adjunction
\[ \text{Dec} = \sigma^* : \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C}^{\Delta \times \Delta^{\text{op}}} : \sigma_* = T, \]
where $\mathcal{C}$ is any bicomplete category, rather than just $\text{Set}$. It is worth mentioning that, in this more general framework, the décalage construction is the underlying bisimplicial space of the path construction from [2], and the adjoint $\mathcal{W}$ is closely related to the generalized $S_\bullet$-construction. The category $\mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$ is always cotensored over $s\text{Set}$, and we find the following description of the unit, which will appear as Corollary 2.2.

**Theorem.** For any simplicial object $X$ in $\mathcal{C}$, there is a natural isomorphism
\[ T \text{Dec} X \cong X^{\Delta[1]} \]
and, under this isomorphism, the unit $X \to T \text{Dec} X$ of the adjunction $(\text{Dec}, T)$ is identified with the map $X^{\Delta[0]} \to X^{\Delta[1]}$ induced by $\Delta[1] \to \Delta[0]$.

The main ingredient, which appears as Theorem 2.1, is a careful analysis of the counit of the adjunction of $\sigma^*$ and its left adjoint $\sigma_*$. An equivalent description of such counit in the case $\mathcal{C} = \text{Set}$ was already mentioned by Cordier–Porter in [6]. Similar combinatorics were also considered in [7, Remark 0.16], [8, §2.9], [9, §4], [12, §9.3], [14, §3], [16, §11.4], [18, §II.5] and [21, §5.1].

When specializing to $\mathcal{C} = \text{Set}$, the theorem yields an alternative and straightforward proof for the following fact, which was originally due to Cegarra–Remedios [4, Proposition 7.1] and will appear as Corollary 2.3.

**Corollary.** For any simplicial set $X$, the unit $X \to T \text{Dec} X$ of the adjunction $(\text{Dec}, T)$ is a weak equivalence.
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### 2. The main result

Let $\mathcal{C}$ any bicomplete category. By [17, Proposition II.2], the category $\mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$ of simplicial objects in $\mathcal{C}$ is simplicially enriched, and the mapping spaces assemble into a bifunctor
\[ \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}}(-, -) : (\mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}})^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \to s\text{Set}. \]

With respect to this simplicial enrichment, the category $\mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$ is moreover tensored and cotensored over $s\text{Set}$, in that there are functors
\[ (-) \times (-) : \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \times s\text{Set} \to \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \]
and $(-)^(-) : \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \times s\text{Set}^{\text{op}} \to \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$, with the property that $X \times -$ is left adjoint to $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}}(X, -)$ and $(-) \times K$ is left adjoint to $(-)^K$. 


For future reference, we recall that the tensor $X \times K$ of a simplicial object $X$ with a simplicial set $K$ is given levelwise by the formula

$$(X \times K)_k = \coprod_{K_k} X_k,$$

and there is a canonical map $X \times K \to X$.

By a standard argument (cf. e.g. [15, §X.3]), the restriction $\sigma^*: \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^0} \to \mathcal{C}^{(\Delta \times \Delta)^{op}}$ along the ordinal sum functor $\sigma$ has both a left adjoint $\sigma_!$ and a right adjoint $\sigma_*$. As mentioned in the introduction, when $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}et$ the functor $\sigma^* = \text{Dec}$ is the classical décalage construction and $\sigma_* = T$ is the classical $T$-construction.

We are interested in describing the functor $\sigma^* \sigma_*$ and the unit of the adjunction $\sigma^*: \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^0} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C}^{(\Delta \times \Delta)^{op}}: \sigma_*$. For this, we start by describing in general the functor $\sigma_! \sigma^*$ and the counit of the adjunction $\sigma^*: \mathcal{C}^{(\Delta \times \Delta)^{op}} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^0}: \sigma_!$.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a bicomplete category. For any simplicial object $X$ in $\mathcal{C}$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\sigma_! \sigma^* X \cong X \times \Delta[1]$$

and, under this isomorphism, the counit $\sigma_! \sigma^* X \to X$ is identified with the canonical map $X \times \Delta[1] \to X$.

Before proving Theorem 2.1 we point out how it can be used to produce an explicit description for the unit of the adjunction $(\sigma^*, \sigma_*)$.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a bicomplete category. For any simplicial object $X$ in $\mathcal{C}$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\sigma_* \sigma^* X \cong X^{\Delta[1]}$$

and, under this isomorphism, the unit $X \to \sigma_* \sigma^* X$ is identified with the map $X^{\Delta[0]} \to X^{\Delta[1]}$ induced by $\Delta[1] \to \Delta[0]$.

**Proof.** The natural isomorphism follows from the fact $\sigma_* \sigma^*$ and $(-)^{\Delta[1]}$ are the right adjoints of the functors $\sigma_! \sigma^*$ and $(-) \times \Delta[1]$, which are isomorphic by Theorem 2.1 and by the uniqueness of right adjoints (dual to [15, Corollary IV.1.1]).

In particular, when specializing to the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}et$, we can exploit the homotopy theory of simplicial sets and prove the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.3.** For any simplicial set $X$, the unit $X \to \sigma_* \sigma^* X$ is a weak equivalence.
Proof. We note that the map \( X \simeq X^{\Delta[0]} \to X^{\Delta[1]} \) is a weak equivalence. To see this, consider the maps 
\[ d^1 : \Delta[0] \to \Delta[1] \text{ and } s^0 : \Delta[1] \to \Delta[0] \]
which satisfy \( s^0 \circ d^1 = \text{id}_{\Delta[0]} \) and \( d^1 \circ s^0 \simeq_{l} \text{id}_{\Delta[1]} \); where the symbol \( \simeq_{l} \) denotes the (non-symmetric) relation of left homotopy of simplicial maps, as in [10, §I.6]. They induce maps 
\[ d^1 : X^{\Delta[1]} \to X^{\Delta[0]} \text{ and } s^0 : X^{\Delta[0]} \to X^{\Delta[1]} \]
which satisfy \( d^1 \circ s^0 = \text{id}_{X^{\Delta[0]}} \) and \( s^0 \circ d^1 \simeq_{l} \text{id}_{X^{\Delta[1]}} \). After realization, we obtain 
\[ |d^1| : |X^{\Delta[0]}| \to |X^{\Delta[1]}| \text{ and } |s^0| : |X^{\Delta[1]}| \to |X^{\Delta[0]}| \]
which satisfy \( |d^1| \circ |s^0| = \text{id}_{|X^{\Delta[0]}|} \) and \( |s^0| \circ |d^1| \simeq_{l} \text{id}_{|X^{\Delta[1]}|} \), and exhibit therefore \( |d^1| \) and \( |s^0| \) as mutually inverse homotopy equivalences. \( \square \)

To prove the theorem, we will use the relation of \( \Delta \) with the category \( \Delta_{a} \), which is the category \( \Delta \) with an additional initial object \([-1] = \emptyset \). The ordinal sum \( \sigma_{a} \) makes sense as a functor \( \sigma_{a} : \Delta_{a} \times \Delta_{a} \to \Delta_{a} \) and endows \( \Delta_{a} \) with a monoidal structure, whose unit object is the new object \([-1] \). We will denote the inclusion of \( \Delta \) into \( \Delta_{a} \) by \( \iota : \Delta \to \Delta_{a} \).

Remark 2.4. Given a map \( \beta : [l] \to [k] \) in \( \Delta_{a} \), either \( l \neq -1 \) and \( \beta \) actually lives in \( \Delta \), or \( l = -1 \) and \( \beta \) can be written as a composite of the unique map \([-1] \to [0] \) with a map \([0] \to [k] \) in \( \Delta \). In particular, to specify the structure maps of a presheaf \( X \in \mathcal{C}^{\Delta_{a}^{op}} \) it is enough to specify its structure as a simplicial object \( X \in \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{op}} \), together with the additional structure map \( X_{0} \to X_{-1} \), which coequalizes all the other structure maps. Similarly, to specify the structure map of a presheaf \( Y \in \mathcal{C}^{(\Delta_{a} \times \Delta_{a})^{op}} \) it is enough to specify its structure as a bisimplicial object \( Y \in \mathcal{C}^{(\Delta \times \Delta)^{op}} \), together with the additional structure maps for the objects that involve \([-1] \).

Since \( \mathcal{C} \) is cocomplete, the functors 
\[ (\iota \times \iota)^{*} : \mathcal{C}^{\Delta_{a}^{op} \times \Delta_{a}^{op}} \to \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}}, \]
and 
\[ \sigma_{a}^{*} : \mathcal{C}^{\Delta_{a}^{op}} \to \mathcal{C}^{\Delta_{a}^{op} \times \Delta_{a}^{op}} \]
both admit left adjoints \( (\iota \times \iota)_{!} \) and \( (\sigma_{a})_{!} \), which can be used to describe \( \sigma_{1} \). Indeed, it is pointed out in [20, §2] that for every simplicial object \( X \) there is a natural isomorphism 
\[ \sigma_{1}(X) \cong \iota^{*}(\sigma_{a}!)(\iota \times \iota)!(X). \]

We give an explicit formula for \( (\iota \times \iota)_{!} \) that makes use of the following notation.
Notation 2.5. Let \( C \) be a category with coequalizers. For a simplicial object \( X \) in \( C \), we denote by
\[
\pi_0(X) := \colim (X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0)
\]
the coequalizer of the face maps \( d_1, d_0 : X_1 \to X_0 \). This defines a functor
\[
\pi_0 : \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{op}} \to \mathcal{C}.
\]

We can now give a formula for \((\iota \times \iota)^!\), which is suggested in [20, §2.2]. Note that, for any bisimplicial object \( Y \) in \( C \), the assignment \([k] \mapsto \pi_0(Y_{k,-})\) defines a simplicial object in \( C \), to which \( \pi_0 \) can be applied again. The result is denoted by \( \pi_0 \pi_0(Y) \).

Proposition 2.6. Let \( C \) be a category with coequalizers. The left adjoint \((\iota \times \iota)^! : C^{\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}} \to C^{\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}}\) of the precomposition functor \((\iota \times \iota)^*\) is given levelwise on objects by
\[
((\iota \times \iota)^! Y)_{i,i'} = \begin{cases} 
\pi_0(\pi_0(Y)), & \text{if } i = i' = -1, \\
\pi_0(Y_{i,-}), & \text{if } i > -1, i' = -1, \\
\pi_0(Y_{-i,i'}), & \text{if } i = -1, i' > -1, \\
Y_{i,i'}, & \text{else.}
\end{cases}
\]

The bisimplicial structure of \((\iota \times \iota)^! Y\) is inherited by \( Y \), and the additional structure maps (in the sense of Remark 2.4) are given by the quotient maps
\[
Y_{i,0} \to \pi_0(Y_{i,-}) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_{0,i} \to \pi_0(Y_{-i,i})
\]
and the maps induced on \( \pi_0 \).

The proof of the proposition needs two preliminary lemmas. We start by recording the following description of \( \iota_1 \), the left adjoint of the restriction functor \( \iota^* : C^{\Delta^0} \to C^{\Delta^0} \).

Lemma 2.7 ([20, §2.2]). Let \( C \) be a category with coequalizers. The left adjoint
\[
\iota_1 : C^{\Delta^{op}} \to C^{\Delta^{op}}
\]
of the restriction functor \( \iota^* \) is given levelwise on objects by
\[
(\iota_1 X)_k = \begin{cases} 
\pi_0(X), & \text{if } k = -1, \\
X_k, & \text{else.}
\end{cases}
\]

The simplicial structure of \( \iota_1 X \) is inherited by \( X \), and the additional structure map (in the sense of Remark 2.4) of \( \iota_1 X \) is given by the quotient map \( X_0 \to \pi_0(X) \).

The following lemma is straightforward.
Lemma 2.8. Let $I_1, I_2, J$ be small categories, $f : I_1 \to I_2$ a functor, and $C$ a cocomplete category. Then the functors
\[(f \times \text{id})_! : C^{I_1 \times J} \to C^{I_2 \times J}\] and \[f_! : (C^J)^I_1 \to (C^J)^I_2\] exist and can be identified modulo the natural equivalence of categories \[C^{I_1 \times J} \simeq (C^J)^I_1.\]

We can now prove the formula for $(\iota \times \iota)_!$.

Proof of Proposition 2.6. Given a bisimplicial object $Y$, the desired formula for $(\iota \times \iota)_!(Y)$ is a straightforward computation, using the identification
\[(\iota \times \iota)_!(Y) = ((\iota \times \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \times \iota))_!(Y) = (\iota \times \text{id})_! \circ (\text{id} \times \iota)_!(Y),\] together with Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.7.

We now proceed to studying $(\sigma_a)_!$. The following construction (and the observation that it is always possible) will be crucial for the rest of the argument. Note that the object $[-1]$ plays an essential role, and the same construction would not make sense when replacing $\Delta_a$ with $\Delta$.

Construction 2.9. Let $\beta : [l] \to [k]$ be a morphism in $\Delta_a$, and let $-1 \leq i \leq k$. Then there is a unique number $-1 \leq j_\beta(i) \leq l$ and a unique pair of morphisms $(\beta_{1,i}, \beta_{2,i})$ in $\Delta_a \times \Delta_a$ with
\[\beta_{1,i} : [j_\beta(i)] \to [i] \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{2,i} : [l - j_\beta(i) - 1] \to [k - i - 1]\] so that $\sigma_a(\beta_{1,i}, \beta_{2,i}) = \beta$. Moreover, the value of $j_\beta(i)$ is given by
\[j_\beta(i) = \begin{cases} \max \{j \in [l] \mid \beta(j) \leq i\} & \text{if } \{j \in [l] \mid \beta(j) \leq i\} \neq \emptyset, \\ -1 & \text{if } \{j \in [l] \mid \beta(j) \leq i\} = \emptyset. \end{cases}\]

Indeed, if there exists $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ in $\Delta_a \times \Delta_a$ with
\[\alpha_1 : [l_1] \to [i] \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 : [l_2] \to [k - i - 1]\] such that $\sigma_a(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \beta$, we necessarily have
\[\beta(j) \leq i \text{ for } j \leq l_1 \text{ and } \beta(j) > i \text{ for } j > l_1.\]

This forces the value of $l_1$ to be
\[l_1 = \begin{cases} \max \{j \in [l] \mid \beta(j) \leq i\} & \text{if } \{j \in [l] \mid \beta(j) \leq i\} \neq \emptyset, \\ -1 & \text{if } \{j \in [l] \mid \beta(j) \leq i\} = \emptyset. \end{cases}\]

If we define the maps
\[\beta_{1,i} : [j_\beta(i)] \to [i] \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{2,i} : [l - j_\beta(i) - 1] \to [k - i - 1]\] by means of the assignments
\[r \mapsto \beta(r) \quad \text{and} \quad r \mapsto \beta(j_\beta(i) + 1 + r) - i - 1,\] respectively,
then one can check that $\sigma_a(\beta_{1,i}, \beta_{2,i}) = \beta$ and the pair $(\beta_{1,i}, \beta_{2,i})$ is unique with this property.
Example 2.10. The coface map \( d^k : [n] \to [n + 1] \) can be written as \( \sigma_a(id_{[i]}, d^{k-i-1}) \) for \( i < k \) and \( \sigma_a(d^k, id_{[n-i-1]}) \) for \( i \geq k \).

We can now give the formula for \((\sigma_a)^!\), cf. [13, Chapter 3] and [18, Lemma 5.1].

**Proposition 2.11.** Let \( C \) be a cocomplete category. The left adjoint
\[
(\sigma_a)! : C^{\Delta^\text{op}} \times \Delta^\text{op} \to C^{\Delta^\text{op}}
\]
of \((\sigma_a)^*\) is given levelwise on objects by
\[
((\sigma_a)!A)_k = \bigoplus_{i=-1}^{k} A_{i,k-i-1}.
\]
The structure map of the simplicial set \((\sigma_a)!A\) induced by some \( \beta : [l] \to [k] \) is given on the \( i \)-th summand via the structure map of \( A \) induced by \( (\beta_1,i,\beta_2,i)\),
\[
A_{i,k-i-1} \to A_{j\beta(i),l-j\beta(i)-1} \to \bigoplus_{j=-1}^{l} A_{j,l-j-1} = ((\sigma_a)!A)_l,
\]
where \( \beta_1,i : [j\beta(i)] \to [i] \) and \( \beta_2,i : [l-j\beta(i) - 1] \to [k-i-1] \) are the morphisms described in Construction 2.9 and uniquely determined by the condition \( \sigma_a(\beta_1,i,\beta_2,i) = \beta \).

The proof will make use of the following description of the slice category \([k] \downarrow \sigma_a\).

**Remark 2.12.** Observe that the set of objects of \([k] \downarrow \sigma_a\) can be canonically identified with
\[
\{(l_1,l_2,\gamma) \mid l_1,l_2 \geq -1, \gamma : [k] \to \sigma_a([l_1],[l_2]) = [l_1 + 1 + l_2] \text{ in } \Delta_a\}.
\]
Modulo this identification, a morphism in \([k] \downarrow \sigma_a\) from \((l_1',l_2',\gamma')\) to \((l_1,l_2,\gamma)\) consists of a pair of morphisms \((\alpha_1,\alpha_2)\) in \( \Delta_a \times \Delta_a \) with
\[
\alpha_1 : [l'_1] \to [l_1] \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 : [l'_2] \to [l_2]
\]
such that \( \sigma_a([\alpha_1],[\alpha_2]) \circ \gamma' = \gamma \).

For any \( k \geq -1 \), we regard the set \( \{-1,0,1,\ldots,k\} \) as a discrete category.

**Lemma 2.13.** The functor
\[
J : \{-1,0,1,\ldots,k\} \to [k] \downarrow \sigma_a \quad \text{given by} \quad j \mapsto (j,k-j-1,\text{id}_{[k]})
\]
is initial. Equivalently, its opposite functor
\[
J : \{-1,0,1,\ldots,k\} \to ([k] \downarrow \sigma_a)^\text{op} \cong \sigma_a^{\text{op}} \downarrow [k]
\]
is final.
Proof of Proposition 2.11. We deduce the formula for \( \Box \) is initial. J cofinality of J from [15, Theorem IX.3.1], the from [15, Theorem IX.3.1]:

Thus the left-hand side with the copy of \( A \) on the sums

\( \Sigma \) of \((i_1, i_2, \gamma)\) on the left-hand side with the copy of \( A_{i_1, i_2} \) corresponding to the component \((i_1, i_2, \gamma)\) of the left-hand side with the copy of \( A_{i_1, i_2} \) corresponding to the component \((i_1, i_2, \gamma \circ \beta)\) of the right-hand side. By reindexing the colimits according to [15, Theorem IX.3.1] and Lemma 2.13, one can check that the map induced by \( \beta \) on the sums

\[ \prod_{i=-1}^{k} A_{i, k-i-1} \cong \lim_{i \in \{-1, \ldots, k\}} A_{i, k-i-1} \rightarrow \lim_{j \in \{-1, \ldots, l\}} A_{j, l-j-1} \cong \prod_{j=-1}^{l} A_{j, l-j-1} \]
is induced by \( (\beta_{i,1}, \beta_{i,2}) \) on the \( i \)-th summand \( A_{i, k-i-1} \). 

We collect the insights so far to obtain a formula for \( \sigma_1 \).
Proposition 2.14. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cocomplete category. The left adjoint
\[ \sigma_! : \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\text{op} \times \Delta^\text{op}} \to \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\text{op}} \]
of $\sigma^*$ is given levelwise on objects by
\[ (\sigma_! Y)_k \cong \pi_0(Y_{-k}) \cup \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} Y_{i,k-i-1} \amalg \pi_0(Y_{k-1}). \]

The structure map of the simplicial object $\sigma_! Y$ induced by some $\beta : [l] \to [k]$ in $\Delta$ is given on the first summand of $(\sigma_! Y)_k$ by the maps induced on $\pi_0$, i.e.,
\[ \pi_0(Y_{i,-}) \to \pi_0(Y_{l,-}) \to \pi_0(Y_{-l}) \cup \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} Y_{j,l-j-1} \cup \pi_0(Y_{l,-}), \]
and is given on the last summand of $(\sigma_! Y)_k$ by the dual map induced on $\pi_0$.

To describe the structure map induced by the same $\beta : [l] \to [k]$ on the $i$-th summand $Y_{i,k-i-1}$ occurring in $(\sigma_! Y)_k$, decompose $\beta$ as a map of $\Delta_a$ using Construction 2.9 as $\beta = \sigma_a(\beta_{1,1}, \beta_{1,2})$ with
\[ \beta_{1,1} : [j_\beta(i)] \to [i] \text{ and } \beta_{2,2} : [l - j_\beta(i) - 1] \to [k - i - 1]. \]
If $-1 < j_\beta(i) < 1$, the map $\beta$ acts on $Y_{i,k-i-1}$ as the structure map of $Y$ corresponding to $(\beta_{1,1}, \beta_{2,2})$,
\[ Y_{i,k-i-1} \to Y_{j_\beta(i),l-j_\beta(i)-1} \to \pi_0(Y_{-l}) \cup \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} Y_{j,l-j-1} \cup \pi_0(Y_{l,-}). \]
If $j_\beta(i) = -1$, the map $\beta$ acts on $Y_{i,k-i-1}$ as the structure map of $Y$ corresponding to $(\text{id}_{[i]}, \beta_{2,2})$ composed with the map onto $\pi_0$,
\[ Y_{i,k-i-1} \to Y_{i,l} \to Y_{0,l} \to \pi_0(Y_{-l}) \to \pi_0(Y_{-l}) \cup \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} Y_{j,l-i-1} \cup \pi_0(Y_{l,-}). \]
Dually, if $j_\beta(i) = k$, the map $\beta$ acts on $Y_{i,k-i-1}$ as the structure map of $Y$ corresponding to $(\beta_{1,1}, \text{id}_{[k-i-1]})$ composed with the map onto $\pi_0$.

Under the identification above, $\sigma_!$ acts on a bisimplicial map as a sum of the corresponding components together with the induced maps on $\pi_0$.

Proof. Given a bisimplicial object $Y$, we use the identification
\[ \sigma_!(Y) \cong \iota^*(\sigma_a)!(\iota \times \iota)!(Y) \]
from [20, §2], together with Proposition 2.11 and Proposition 2.16, to obtain the isomorphisms for any $k \geq 0$
\[ \sigma_!(Y)_k \cong \iota^*(\sigma_a)!(\iota \times \iota)!(Y)_k \cong (\sigma_a)!(\iota \times \iota)!(Y)_k \cong \prod_{i=-1}^{k} (\iota \times \iota)!(Y)_{i,k-i-1}, \]
where

\[(t \times t)_i(Y)_{i,k-i-1} = \begin{cases} 
\pi_0(Y_{i,-}) = \pi_0(Y_{k,-}), & \text{if } i = k, \\
\pi_0(Y_{-k-i-1}) = \pi_0(Y_{-,k}), & \text{if } i = -1, \\
Y_{i,k-i-1} & \text{if } -1 < i < k. 
\end{cases}\]

We now describe the structure map

\[\sigma_i(Y)_k \to \sigma_i(Y)_l\]

of \(\sigma_i(Y)_k\) induced by a map \(\beta: [l] \to [k]\) in \(\Delta\) under the chain of isomorphisms above, by saying how it acts on every summand of \(\sigma_i(Y)_k\). By Proposition 2.14 and Proposition 2.16, the map \(\beta^*\) acts on the \(i\)-th summand of \(\sigma_i(Y)_k\) as the structure map of \((t \times t)_i(Y)\) induced by \((\beta_{1,i}, \beta_{2,i})\),

\[(\beta_{1,i}, \beta_{2,i})^*: ((t \times t)_i Y)_{i,k-i-1} \to ((t \times t)_i Y)_{j_\beta(i),l-j_\beta(i)-1},\]

where \(\beta_{1,i}: [j_\beta(i)] \to [i]\) and \(\beta_{2,i}: [l - j_\beta(i) - 1] \to [k - i - 1]\) are so that \(\sigma_a(\beta_{1,i}, \beta_{2,i}) = \beta\), as in Construction 2.9. To further rewrite these, we need to distinguish several cases. Factoring \((\beta_{1,i}, \beta_{2,i}) = (\beta_{1,i}, \text{id}) \circ (\text{id}, \beta_{2,i})\), we may assume that \(k - i = l - j_\beta(i)\) and \(\beta_{2,i} = \text{id}_{[k-i-1]}\), since the two parts can be treated with similar arguments. By means of Proposition 2.6, we describe the map

\[(\beta_{1,i}, \text{id})^*: ((t \times t)_i Y)_{i,k-i-1} \to ((t \times t)_i Y)_{j_\beta(i),k-i-1}.
\]

by distinguishing several cases.

- If \(-1 < i < k\) and \(-1 < j_\beta(i) < l\), we obtain precisely the structure map of \(Y\) induced by \((\beta_{1,i}, \text{id})\),

\[(\beta_{1,i}, \text{id})^*: Y_{i,k-i-1} \to Y_{j_\beta(i),k-i-1}.\]

- If \(i = -1\), then \(j_\beta(i) = -1\) and \(\beta = \text{id}\). We therefore obtain the identity map,

\[\text{id}: \pi_0(Y_{-,k}) \to \pi_0(Y_{-,k}).\]

- If \(i = k\), we obtain the map induced on \(\pi_0\),

\[\beta^*: \pi_0(Y_{k,-}) \to \pi_0(Y_{l,-}).\]

- If \(-1 < i < k\) and \(j_\beta(i) = -1\), we obtain the quotient map,

\[Y_{i,k} \to \pi_0(Y_{-,k}).\]

- If \(-1 < i < k\) and \(j_\beta(i) = l\), we obtain the quotient map composed with \(\beta^*\),

\[Y_{i,k} \to \pi_0(Y_{l,-}).\]

We will need the following property of simplicial objects, which is a variant of [21, Lemma 89].
Lemma 2.15. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be any category. For any a simplicial object $X$ in $\mathcal{C}$ the diagram

$$X_k \xrightarrow{d_i} X_{k-1} \xrightarrow{d_i} X_{k-2}$$

is a coequalizer diagram in $\mathcal{C}$ for all $k \geq 2$ and all $0 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

Proof. As a consequence of the simplicial identities for $X$, the diagram

$$X_k \xrightarrow{d_i} X_{k-1} \xrightarrow{d_i} X_{k-2}$$

becomes a *split fork* (in the sense of [15, §VI.6]) when considered together with the maps

$$X_k \leftarrow s_{i+1} X_{k-1} \leftarrow s_i X_{k-2}$$

when $i < k - 1$ and together with the maps

$$X_k \leftarrow s_{k-2} X_{k-1} \leftarrow s_{k-2} X_{k-2}$$

when $i = k - 1$. By [15, Lemma VI.6], the original diagram is therefore a split coequalizer, and in particular a coequalizer. □

We now use this lemma to identify $\pi_0((\sigma^*X)_{k,-})$ and $\pi_0((\sigma^*X)_{-,k})$ with $X_k$.

Proposition 2.16. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cocomplete category. For any simplicial object $X$ in $\mathcal{C}$ there are isomorphisms

$$\pi_0((\sigma^*X)_{-,k}) \cong X_k \cong \pi_0((\sigma^*X)_{k,-}),$$

which are natural in $X$ and $k$.

Proof. By definition of $\sigma^*$, Lemma 2.15 and Notation 2.5 we have the following isomorphisms

$$X_k \cong \text{colim} \left( X_{k+2} \xrightarrow{d_0} X_{k+1} \xrightarrow{d_1} X_{k+2} \right) =$$

$$= \text{colim} \left( (\sigma^*X)_{1,k} \xrightarrow{(d_0^*,\text{id})^*} (\sigma^*X)_{0,k} \xrightarrow{(d_1^*,\text{id})^*} (\sigma^*X)_{1,k} \right) \cong \pi_0((\sigma^*X)_{-,k}),$$

which can be checked to be natural in $X$ and $k$ by direct inspection.

A similar argument applies to the second isomorphism. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.1 and describe the counit of the adjunction $(\sigma!, \sigma^*)$. 
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Proof of Theorem 2.1. For any simplicial object $X$ in the bicomplete category $C$, we start by identifying $\sigma!\sigma^*X$.

By means of Proposition 2.6, Proposition 2.14, Proposition 2.16 and Lemma 2.15, we obtain the identification

\[(2.17) \quad \sigma! (\sigma^*X)_k \cong \pi_0 (\sigma^* X_{-,k}) \amalg \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \sigma^* X_{i,k-i-1} \amalg \prod_{i=0}^{k} \sigma^* X_{k,-} \cong \prod_{i=0}^{k} X_k.\]

We now describe the structure map

\[(\sigma! (\sigma^*X))_k \to (\sigma! (\sigma^*X))_l\]

of $\sigma! (\sigma^*X)$ induced by a map $\beta: [l] \to [k]$ in $\Delta$ under the chain of isomorphisms above. By Proposition 2.14 and Proposition 2.16, the map induced by $\beta$ on the $i$-th summand $X_k$ occurring in $(\sigma! (\sigma^*X))_k$ as the structure map of $X$ corresponding to $\beta$ with values in the $j_\beta(i)$-th copy of $X_l$ occurring in $(\sigma! (\sigma^*X))_l$.

We now describe the $k$-th component

\[\sigma_!\sigma^*X_k \to X_k\]

de of the counit of the adjunction $(\sigma_!, \sigma^*)$ at $X$ under the following chain of isomorphisms

\[\sigma! (\sigma^*X)_k \cong (\sigma_a)_!(\iota \times \iota)! (\sigma^*X)_k \cong \prod_{i=-1}^{k} (\iota \times \iota)! (\sigma^*X)_{i,k-i-1} \cong \prod_{i=-1}^{k} X_k,\]

which gives an alternative but equivalent description of the identification (2.17) of $\sigma! (\sigma^*X)_k$ with the coproduct $\bigamalg_{i=0}^{k} X_k$. We can expand $(\sigma_a)_!$ further using the pointwise Kan extension formula [15, Theorem X.3.1], obtaining

\[\sigma! (\sigma^*X)_k \cong \colim_{(i_1,i_2,\gamma)\in \sigma^*_a \downarrow [k]} X_{i_1+1+i_2} \cong \colim_{i \in \{-1,0,\ldots,k\}} X_{i+1+k-i-1} \cong \prod_{i=-1}^{k} X_k.\]

By [15, Theorem X.3.1], the $k$-th component of the counit as a map

\[\colim_{(i_1,i_2,\gamma)\in \sigma^*_a \downarrow [k]} X_{i_1+1+i_2} \to X_k\]

acts on the $(i_1,i_2,\gamma)$-th component as the map $X_{i_1+1+i_2} \to X_k$ induced on $X$ by $\gamma$. By reindexing the colimits according to [15, Theorem IX.3.1], one can check that the $k$-th component of the counit under this identification,

\[\prod_{i=-1}^{k} X_k \cong \colim_{i \in \{-1,0,\ldots,k\}} X_{i+1+k-i-1} \to X_k,\]
is given by the folding map of $X_k$, as it acts on every copy of $X_k$ as the identity of $X_k$.

We need a further identification, which will allow us to see $\coprod_{i=-1}^k X_k$ as the $k$-th object of the tensor $X \times \Delta[1]$ and discuss the naturality in $k$ of this identification. Note that there is bijection

$$\{ -1, 0, \ldots, k \} \cong \Delta[1]_k$$

given by $i \mapsto f_i$,

where

$$f_i : [k] \to [1] \text{ for } i = -1, \ldots, k \text{ is given by } r \mapsto \begin{cases} 0, & r \leq i, \\ 1, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

This identification leads to the isomorphism

$$(\sigma_!(\sigma^*X))_k \cong \coprod_{i=-1}^k X_k \cong \coprod_{\Delta[1]_k} X_k \cong (X \times \Delta[1])_k,$$

which is seen to be natural in $k$ by direct inspection. Moreover, with respect to this isomorphism, the folding map

$$\coprod_{i=-1}^k X_k \to X_k$$

corresponds to the canonical map

$$(X \times \Delta[1])_k \to X_k \cong (X \times \Delta[0])_k.$$ 

This proves the desired isomorphism of simplicial objects in $\mathcal{C}$

$$(\sigma_!(\sigma^*X)) \cong X \times \Delta[1],$$

which also allows us to identify the counit with the canonical map

$$X \times \Delta[1] \to X \cong X \times \Delta[0]$$

which is induced by the map $\Delta[1] \to \Delta[0]$. □
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