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Abstract

We consider the optimal portfolio problem where the interest rate is stochastic and the agent has insider information on its value at a finite terminal time. The agent’s objective is to optimize the terminal value of her portfolio under a logarithmic utility function. Using techniques of initial enlargement of filtration, we identify the optimal strategy and compute the value of the information. The interest rate is first assumed to be an affine diffusion, then more explicit formulas are computed for the Vasicek interest rate model where the interest rate moves according to an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. Incidentally we show that an affine process conditioned to its future value is still an affine process. When the interest rate process is correlated with the price process of the risky asset, the value of the information is proved to be infinite, as is usually the case for initial-enlargement-type problems. However, weakening the information own by the agent and assuming that she only knows a lower-bound or both, a lower and an upper bound, for the terminal value of the interest rate process, we show that the value of the information is finite. This solves by an analytical proof a conjecture stated in Pikovsky and Karatzas (1996).
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1. Introduction

The mathematical models used to construct optimal portfolio strategies usually assume that investors, or traders, rationally use at each time all the information at their disposal in order to optimize their future utility. In the standard setting, the accessible information is given by the historical prices at which the assets have been traded in the past.

However, the information own by an investor could actually be larger than the standard one. For example, the agent may get additional information on the business underlying the asset, as well as she may include public information generally accessed by financial publications.

In some specific situations, the trader may access and take advantage of private or privileged information, even if this last option is usually considered not legal according to the rules governing the public stock exchanges. This type of information is of different nature with respect to the one mentioned above as it may anticipate the future trend of the risky asset and for this reason it may generate infinite expected gain to the insider trader.
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It is therefore of interest to understand how to introduce anticipative information in the stochastic models used to construct the optimization strategies and to value the performances of these strategies with respect to the ones that do not make use of the privileged information.

Continuous-time portfolio selection problems have been developed according to the mathematical theory of the financial markets, we just mention few works that have been seminal in this area and that are more strictly related to our research. Merton (1969) analyzes for the first time the optimal strategy for an agent that wants to maximize the logarithmic utility of her expected gain. This paper has served as the basis for the rest of the works. Kyle (1985) introduces the analysis of an insider trading problem with sequential auctions and it develops a methodology to value the additional information. Karatzas et al. (1987) analyzes a consumption/inversion problem, where the expected discounted utility of an agent is maximized under the assumption that her actions cannot affect the market prices. In Pliska (1986), the optimal portfolio problem is solved using stochastic calculus and convex analysis. It uses an approach very similar to the one of this work, where the security prices are modeled as semimartingales and the trading strategy is modeled as a predictable process. The main reference for this work is Pikovsky and Karatzas (1996). They try to quantify the value of the information owned by an agent that knows in advance some information about the future price of the risky asset. For special cases they are able to infer if the additional information carries an infinite or finite expected gain. They introduce, for this class of problems, the technique of initial enlargement of filtrations, borrowed by Chaleyat-Maurel and Jeulin (1985), that is used to compute the martingale representation of the price process with respect to the enlarged filtration of the insider trader containing the future price information. Applying Itô calculus, they solve the logarithmic utility maximization problem and compute the insider trader optimal strategy.

The technique of enlargement of filtrations has been successfully applied in related research. While the mentioned papers, and this one, focus on the special case of enlargements of initial type, nowadays there is a grown interest for enlargements of progressive type, that find applications to cases where the information is about random times, such as default times. We mention Aksamit and Jeanblanc (2017) for a more recent reference.

The Pikovsky and Karatzas (1996) paper enumerates some interesting cases that the authors believe are worth to be analyzed, but that they do not directly address. In particular, one case is about the analysis of the value of the information when this is not directly related to the risky asset but it concerns the future value of the interest rate.

A first original contribution of our work is to analyze this situation, that according to our knowledge has not yet been investigated elsewhere. Besides the explicit motivation expressed in Pikovsky and Karatzas (1996), we have also been motivated by the Libor Scandal, see Hou and Skeie (2014); Philip Ashton (2015), that may be considered closely related to our model, as it is an example of manipulated interest short rate.

Our aim is to compute the expected gain that an agent could get in the situation she knows some information about the future value of the interest rate, such as its exact value at a given future time, or an interval of values that contains it. In this respect we show that when the driving processes of the risky asset price and the interest rate are correlated, the agent, that knows the future value, may take advantage of this information and get infinite expected gain. When the privileged information is not exact, that is the agent knows only a lower bound or a finite interval for the future value of the interest process, we show that the value of the information is finite. The latter case is our second original contribution as it closes affirmatively the Conjecture 4.9 stated in Pikovsky and Karatzas (1996).

We assume as model for the interest rate a general affine diffusion process, as this structure is quite flexible and it leads to explicit and almost handful expressions. This class of process is nice to work with in case of enlargement of filtrations as it is closed under the operation of conditioning on the future value of the process, as we show in Corollary 4 below. In addition this class includes, as a special case, the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process that allows to analyze in very detail the well known Vasicek model, introduced in Vasicek (1977).

As explained more in details in the following sections, we look for an optimal strategy that maximizes the expected logarithmic utility of the terminal wealth of a portfolio made of two assets, one risky and one...
riskless:
\[
\sup_{\pi \in A^D} \mathbb{E}[U(X_T^\pi)] =: \mathbb{E}[U(X_T^\pi^\ast)],
\]
(1a)
\[
dX_t^\pi = (1 - \pi_t)X_t^\pi R_t \, dt + \pi_t X_t^\pi (\eta_t \, dt + \xi_t \, dB_t).
\]
(1b)

In (1b), \(\pi_t\) is the agent’s strategy, \(X_t\) represents the wealth at time \(t\) of her portfolio, \(R_t\) is the stochastic interest rate and \(B_t\) is a standard Brownian motion.

The case of a portfolio made of more than one risky asset may be likewise treated. We omit it to keep easier the exposition.

To model the fact that the agent owns insider information, we employ the enlarged filtration \(\mathbb{F}\) that constrains the class of adapted policies, \(A^D\), among which the optimal strategy must be chosen. In particular we focus on different kinds of information that the agent may own about the terminal value of the interest rate process, and for these cases we obtain the optimal portfolio together with a quantitative estimation for the value of her insider information.

We start by assuming that the process \(R = (R_t, t \geq 0)\) belongs to the class of affine diffusion. Then to make the results more explicit we specialize the computation for the case \(R\) is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, that we denote by \(Y\).

In Section 2 we introduce in more details the general model with the interest rate process modeled as an affine diffusion. The end of the section contains a brief summary of the used mathematical notation. We analyze the general model under the insider information assumption in Section 3 where we compute explicitly the optimal strategy. In Section 4 we specialize the model by assuming that the interest rate follows an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. This corresponds to the popular Vasicek model. For this model we know that it is known a lower bound for the final value of the interest rate, the \(n\) we assume that also an upper bound carries an infinite value. In Section 6 we introduce a weaker type of information. In one case we assume that the process \(1/\xi\) is also bounded. For both cases we compute the optimal portfolio and show that the value of the information is finite. We conclude in Section 7 with some concluding remarks.

2. Model and Notation

As a general setup we assume to work in a probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P})\) where \(\mathcal{F}\) is the event sigma-algebra, and \(\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}\) is an augmented filtration that is generated by (or at least contains) the natural filtration of a bi-dimensional Brownian motion \((B^R, B^S) = ((B^R_t, B^S_t), t \geq 0)\), whose components have constant correlation \(\rho\). We consider also a finite horizon time \(T > 0\) in which the insider trader could invest.

We assume that the portfolio is made of only two assets, one risky, that we call \(S = (S_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)\) and the other risk-less \(D = (D_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)\), and both processes are adapted semi-martingales in the defined probability space. In particular their dynamics are defined by the following SDEs,

\[
dD_t = D_t R_t \, dt,
\]
(2a)
\[
dS_t = S_t \left( \eta_t \, dt + \xi_t \, dB^S_t \right)
\]
(2b)

where \(R = (R_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)\) is the instantaneous risk-free interest rate, sometimes also called the short term rate \cite{Gibson2010}. The drift and the volatility of the risky asset are given by the processes \(\eta = (\eta_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)\) and \(\xi = (\xi_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)\), respectively.

**Assumption 1.** The process of the market, \(\eta, \xi, R\) are assumed to be bounded and adapted to the natural filtration of the Brownian motion \(B^S\). In addition, the process \(1/\xi\) is also bounded.

**Assumption 2.** Moreover, these processes satisfy the following restriction,

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \frac{(\eta_t - R_t)^2}{\xi_t^2} \, dt \right] < +\infty.
\]
As we shall see below, this assumption guarantees us that an uninformed agent will not be able to achieve, in expectation, infinite gains in the market.

The interest rate process $R$ is assumed to be an affine diffusion, satisfying the following SDE

$$dR_t = [a_1(t)R_t + a_2(t)]dt + b_2(t)dB^R_t,$$

where the deterministic functions $a_1, a_2, b_2$ are sufficiently smooth functions. This class of processes includes as a particular case the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, in this paper denoted by $Y = (Y_t, t \geq 0)$, satisfying the well known SDE

$$dY_t = k(\mu - Y_t)dt + \sigma dB^Y_t$$

where $k, \mu, \sigma$ are given parameters satisfying some conditions. This process was proposed for modeling the interest rate in Vasicek (1977).

Using the above set-up, we are going to assume that an investor can control her portfolio by a given self-financial strategy $\pi = (\pi_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$, with the aim to optimize her utility function at a finite terminal time $T > 0$.

**Definition 1.** In our market, a portfolio (or a strategy) is a one dimensional $\mathbb{F}$-adapted process $\pi = (\pi_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$ which satisfies

$$\int_0^T \xi_t^2 \pi_t^2 dt < +\infty$$

almost surely,

where $\pi_t$ represents the number of shares of asset $S$ that an agent owns at time $t$. Its value is given by

$$V_t(\pi) = (1 - \pi_t)D_t + \pi_t S_t$$

If we denote by $X^\pi = (X^\pi_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$ the wealth of the portfolio of the investor under her strategy $\pi$, its dynamics are given by the following stochastic differential equation, for $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\frac{dX^\pi_t}{X^\pi_t} = (1 - \pi_t)\frac{dD_t}{D_t} + \pi_t \frac{dS_t}{S_t}, \quad X_0 = x_0,$$

that can be reduced, using the expressions in (2), to the following form

$$dX^\pi_t = (1 - \pi_t)X^\pi_t R_t dt + \pi_t X^\pi_t (\eta_t dt + \xi_t dB^S_t), \quad X_0 = x_0.$$

Usually it is assumed that the strategy $\pi$ makes optimal use of all information at disposal of the agent at each instant, and in general we are going to assume that the agent’s flow of information, modeled by the filtration $\mathbb{H} = (\mathbb{H}_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$, is possibly larger than filtration $\mathbb{F}$, that is $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{H}$.

Defining by $\mathcal{A}_\mathbb{H}$ all the admissible $\mathbb{H}$ adapted processes, we define the optimal portfolio $\pi^* = (\pi^*_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$, as the solution of the following optimization problem,

$$\psi^*_T := \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}_\mathbb{H}} E[U(X^\pi_T)] = E[U(X^{\pi^*}_T)],$$

where $\psi^*_T$ is defined as the optimal value of the portfolio at time $T$ given the information $\mathbb{H}$, and the function $U : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denotes the utility function of the investor. This function is assumed to be continuous, increasing and concave. For sake of simplicity, following the main trend in the literature — as it allows to determine the solution in an explicit form —, we assume a logarithmic function for the utility, that is $U(x) = \ln(x)$.

In the following sections we consider two kinds of initial enlargements; a first one, stronger, under which the investor is assumed to know exactly the future value of the interest process, $R_T$, and a second one,
weaker, where the investor knows only the value of a lower/upper bound, \( \mathbb{1}\{R_T \geq c\} \). The filtration \( \mathcal{H} \) in the former case will be denoted by \( \mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{G}_t, 0 \leq t \leq T) \), with

\[
\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \bigvee \sigma(R_T),
\]

and we denote the corresponding strategies in \( \mathcal{A}_\mathcal{G} \), with \( \pi \). In particular we will use the \( \hat{\cdot} \) decoration to denote all the functions that will make use of the additional information in \( \mathcal{G} \). In the latter case the filtration is denoted by \( \tilde{\mathcal{G}} = (\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_t, 0 \leq t \leq T) \) where

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \bigvee \sigma(\mathbb{1}\{R_T \geq c\}),
\]

and we will use the corresponding decoration \( \tilde{\cdot} \), such as in \( \tilde{\pi} \in \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}} \). It is immediate to see that the following inclusions hold

\[
\mathcal{F} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \subset \mathcal{G}.
\]

2.1. Additional Notation

Given two random variables \( X \) and \( Y \), we write \( X \approx Y \) to indicate that they have the same distribution. The notation \( \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \) denotes a normal random variable with mean \( \mu \) and variance \( \sigma^2 \). \( \Phi(z) \) denotes the cumulative distribution of a standard Normal random variable. With \( f_X(x) \), we denote the density function of \( X \) evaluated at \( x \) and by \( f_X|_Y(x|y) \) the value of the conditioned density function at \( x \) given \( \{Y = y\} \). \( P, E \) and \( V \) denote the probability, the expectation and the variance operators. \( (fg)(x) \) is sometimes used to denote the product \( f(x)g(x) \) and \( f \overset{\delta}{\sim} g \) means that \( \lim_{\delta \to 0} f(\delta)/g(\delta) = 1 \). We may omit to explicitly indicate \( \delta \) when it is clear form the context.

3. General Interest Rate

Combining equations (3) and (6) we get the following system of stochastic differential equations,

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}X_t^\pi &= (1 - \pi_t)X_t^\pi R_t dt + \pi_t X_t^\pi (\eta_t dt + \xi_t dB_t^S) \\
\mathrm{d}R_t &= [a_1(t)R_t + a_2(t)] dt + b_2(t) dB_t^R 
\end{align*}
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

that solved with respect to the filtration \( \mathcal{F} \) gives the evolution of the interest rate process and the portfolio wealth, as seen by a non-informed investor.

In order to analyze the same processes adapted to the enlarged filtration \( \mathcal{G} \) defined in (8), following standard techniques of enlargement of filtrations, we look for the semi-martingale decomposition of the pair \( (B^R, B^S) \) with respect to a new bi-dimensional \( \mathcal{G} \)-Brownian motion \( (W^R, W^S) \), whose coordinates will be shown to share the same correlation \( \rho \).

We achieve this new representation by expressing the process \( R \), now seen as adapted to the filtration \( \mathcal{G} \), in the following way

\[
\mathrm{d}R_t = \hat{u}(R_t, R_T, t, T) dt + \hat{v}(R_t, R_T, t, T) dB_t^R,
\]

where we compute the functions \( \hat{u} \) and \( \hat{v} \) as the infinitesimal \( \mathcal{G}_t \)-conditional mean and variance of the increment process of \( R_t \). Similar results could have been achieved by applying Jacod’s theorem (Amendinger et al., 1998; Jacod, 1985) as shown in Jeanblanc et al. (2009, Theorem 5.9.3.1), however we prefer to go for a more direct approach that gives, as by-product, the complete distribution of \( R_{t+\delta}|\mathcal{G}_t \), with \( 0 < \delta < T - t \).
3.1. Analysis of the $R$ process

Assuming integrability for the functions $a_1$, $a_2$, and $b_2$ in (3) it is easy to see (Jeanblanc et al., 2009, Example 1.5.4.8) by applying Itô’s lemma, that the process $R$ admits the following explicit solution

$$R_t = \Psi_t \left[ R_0 + \int_0^t (a_2 \Psi^{-1})(x) \, dx + \int_0^t (b_2 \Psi^{-1})(x) \, dB_x^R \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where the function $\Psi_{s,t} = \exp \left( \int_s^t a_1(x) \, dx \right)$, and we used the simplified notation $\Psi(t) = \Psi_t = \Psi_{0,t}$. The process $R$ is Markov and it is Gaussian when $R_0$ is normal distributed. Given that $R$ is a Markov process, we start studying the distribution of $(R_s | R_u)$ for $0 \leq u \leq s$. We can calculate it by conveniently handling the explicit expression in (13) as we show in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let $R_t$ be the process defined by (3). For $0 \leq u \leq s$, the conditioned random variable $(R_s | R_u)$ has the following distribution

$$(R_s | R_u) \approx N \left( \Psi_{u,s} R_u + \Psi_s \int_u^s (a_2 \Psi^{-1})(x) \, dx, \, \Psi_s^2 \int_u^s (b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(x) \, dx \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (14)

**Proof.** Using equation (13), we can express the value of $R_s$ in terms of its value at time $u$ in the following way,

$$R_s = \Psi_{u,s} R_u + \Psi_s \left[ \int_0^u (a_2 \Psi^{-1})(x) \, dx + \int_u^s (a_2 \Psi^{-1})(x) \, dx + \int_0^s (b_2 \Psi^{-1})(x) \, dB_x^R + \int_u^s (b_2 \Psi^{-1})(x) \, dB_x^R \right].$$

The result then follows by identifying the deterministic and stochastic part of formula (15), the latter gives the variance by applying the Itô isometry.

Using the above expression we finally can compute the complete distribution of $R_{t+\delta} | \mathcal{G}_t$ as shown in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** The conditioned random variable $(R_{t+\delta} | R_t, R_T) \approx (R_{t+\delta} | \mathcal{G}_t)$ is Gaussian, whose parameters are given by

$$E[R_{t+\delta} | R_t, R_T] = \int_t^{t+\delta} (b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(x) \, dx \left[ \Psi_{t,t+\delta} R_t + \Psi_{t+\delta} \int_t^{t+\delta} (a_2 \Psi^{-1})(x) \, dx \right]$$

$$+ \int_t^{t+\delta} (b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(x) \, dx \left[ R_T - \Psi_T \int_t^{t+\delta} (a_2 \Psi^{-1})(x) \, dx \right] \Psi_{t+\delta,T}, \hspace{1cm} (16a)$$

$$V[R_{t+\delta} | R_t, R_T] = \int_t^{t+\delta} (b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(x) \, dx \left[ \Psi_{t+\delta}^2 \int_t^{t+\delta} (b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(x) \, dx \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (16b)

**Proof.** The proof follows by applying Lemma 1 and by rearranging terms in such a way to eventually identify the density function of a Normal distribution and its parameters. Since the steps are quite technical we defer the details to the appendix.

Known the density of the variable $(R_{t+\delta} | R_t, R_T)$, we can compute the first term of the Taylor expansion in $\delta$ of its parameters, that allows to compute the functions $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ in (12). Since the quadratic variation does not depend on the filtration, it will follow that $\bar{v}(R_t, R_T, t, T) = b_2(t)$.
Lemma 3. The variable \( (R_{t+\delta} - R_t|\mathcal{G}_t) \) has the following differential mean and variance

\[
E[R_{t+\delta} - R_t|\mathcal{G}_t, R_T] \delta \sim a_1(t) R_t + a_2(t) + \delta \hat{g}_{t,T}(R_t, R_T),
\]
(17a)

\[
E[(R_{t+\delta} - R_t)^2|\mathcal{G}_t, R_T] \sim b_2(t).
\]
(17b)

where

\[
\hat{g}_{t,T}(R_t, R_T) := \frac{(b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(t) \left[ R_T - \Psi_{t,T} R_t - \Psi_T \int_t^T (a_2 \Psi^{-1})(x) dx \right]}{\Psi_{t,T} \int_t^T (b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(x) dx}.
\]
(18)

Proof. The term in (17a) follows by computing in the expression \( \lim_{\delta \to 0} E[R_{t+\delta} - R_t|R_t, R_T]/\delta \). The result follows by applying the following estimates

\[
\frac{\Psi_{t+\delta}}{\delta} \int_t^{t+\delta} (a_2 \Psi^{-1})(x) dx \overset{\delta}{\sim} a_2(t);
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\delta} \int_t^{t+\delta} (b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2 dx \overset{\delta}{\sim} (b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(t);
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\delta} \left( \Psi_{t,t+\delta} \int_t^{t+\delta} (b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2 dx \int_t^T (b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2 dx - 1 \right) \overset{\delta}{\sim} a_1(t) - \frac{(b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(t)}{\int_t^T (b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2 dx}.
\]

In the same way, using that \( E[(R_{t+\delta} - R_t)^2|R_t, R_T] \overset{\delta}{\sim} V[R_{t+\delta} - R_t|R_t, R_T] \) we get (17b) from (16a) and the estimate \( \Psi^2_{t}(b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(t) \overset{\delta}{\sim} [b_2(t)]^2 \).

Corollary 4. The process \( (R_t|R_T) \) is still affine diffusion with coefficients,

\[
\hat{a}_1(t) = a_1(t) - \frac{(b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(t)}{\int_t^T (b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(x) dx},
\]

\[
\hat{a}_2(t) = a_2(t) + \frac{(b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(t) \left[ R_T - \Psi_T \int_t^T (a_2 \Psi^{-1})(x) dx \right]}{\Psi_{t,T} \int_t^T (b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(x) dx},
\]

\[
\hat{b}_2(t) = b_2(t).
\]

3.2. Optimal Portfolio

The analysis above allows to rewrite the SDE (11) expressed in the filtration \( \mathcal{F} \) under the filtration \( \mathcal{G} \) as shown by the following proposition.

Proposition 5. Under the filtration \( \mathcal{G} \) the processes \( X^\pi = (X^\pi_t, 0 \leq t \leq T) \) and \( R = (R_t, 0 \leq t \leq T) \) satisfy the following SDE:

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{dX^\pi}{dt} = (1 - \hat{\pi}_t) X^\pi_t R_t dt + \hat{\pi}_t X^\pi_t (\eta_t dt + \xi_t dB^S_t) \\
\frac{dR}{dt} = \hat{g}_{t,T}(R_t, R_T) dt + [a_1(t) R_t + a_2(t)] dt + b_2(t) dW^R_t \\
\frac{dB^S}{dt} = \rho \frac{b_2(t)}{b_2(t)} \hat{g}_{t,T}(R_t, R_T) dt + dW^S_t
\end{cases}
\]
(19)

where \( (W^R, W^S) = ((W^R_t, W^S_t), 0 \leq t \leq T) \) is a bi-dimensional \( \mathcal{G} \)-Brownian motion with constant correlation \( \rho \).

Proof. Since \( B^R \) and \( B^S \) have constant correlation \( \rho \), we can write

\[
B^S_t = \rho B^R_t + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} W_t
\]
(20)
where $W = (W_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$ is an $\mathcal{F}$-Brownian motion independent of $B^R$. By Lemma 3 the semi-martingale representation of $R$ under $\mathbb{H}$ is given by
\begin{equation}
    dR_t = \gamma_t (R_t, R_T) dt + [a_1(t)R_t + a_2(t)] dt + b_2(t)dW^R_t
\end{equation}
with $W^R = (W^R_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$ being a $\mathcal{G}$-Brownian motion. Expressing $B^R$ in terms of $R$ and $W^R$ we get
\begin{equation}
    dB^R_t = \frac{dR_t - [a_1(t)R_t + a_2(t)] dt}{b_2(t)} = \frac{\gamma_t (R_t, R_T)}{b_2(t)} dt + dW^R_t,
\end{equation}
and using (20) we get the semi-martingale representation of $B^S$ as
\begin{equation}
    dB^S_t = \rho dB^R_t + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dW_t = \frac{\rho}{b_2(t)} \gamma_t (R_t, R_T) dt + \rho dW^R_t + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dW_t.
\end{equation}
To complete the proof, we define the process $W^S = (W^S_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$ by setting $W^S_t = \rho W^R_t + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} W_t$, that satisfies $E[W^S_t W^R_t] = \rho$.

The semi-martingale representation of the wealth process in $\mathcal{G}$ allows to solve for the optimal strategy $\hat{\pi}$ that maximizes $E[\ln(X_T^\pi)]$ along the lines of Karatzas et al. (1987) and Merton (1969). This is summarized by the following main result.

**Theorem 6.** The solution of the optimal portfolio problem
\begin{equation}
    \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}_0} E[\ln(X_T^\pi)] ; \quad \text{with } \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F} \sigma(R_T)
\end{equation}
where $(X^\pi_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$ satisfies (19), is given by
\begin{equation}
    \hat{\pi}^* = \frac{\eta_t - R_t}{\xi^*_t} + \frac{\rho (b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(t) (R_T - \Psi_{t,T} R_t + \Psi_{t,T} \int^T_t a_2 \Psi^{-1}(x) dx)}{\Psi_{t,T} \int^T_t (b_2 \Psi^{-1})^2(x) dx}.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** Using the expression (19) and applying Ito’s lemma we compute the expected value of $\ln X_t^\pi$ as
\begin{equation}
    E \left[ \ln \frac{X_T^\pi}{X_0} \right] = \int^T_0 E \left[ \xi^*_t I_{t,T}(\hat{\pi}_t) \right] dt
\end{equation}
where
\begin{equation}
    I_{t,T}(x) = \frac{R_t}{\xi^*_t} + \left( \frac{\eta_t - R_t}{\xi^*_t} + \frac{\rho}{b_2(t) \xi^*_t} \gamma_t (R_t, R_T) \right) x - \frac{\xi^*_t}{2} x^2.
\end{equation}
We immediately get that
\begin{equation}
    \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}_0} E \left[ \ln \frac{X_T^\pi}{X_0} \right] \leq \int^T_0 E \left[ \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}_0} I_{t,T}(\hat{\pi}_t) \right] dt,
\end{equation}
and the equality follows from the fact that the optimal strategy that optimizes the right-hand-side of (28) belongs to $\mathcal{A}_0$. To compute it we equate to 0 the first derivative of $I_{t,T}$, obtaining (29). Since $I''_{t,T}(\hat{\pi}_t) = -\xi^*_t < 0$, the solution indeed identifies a maximum.
4. Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Model

In this section, we consider the case in which the functions $a_1, a_2, b_2$ are constant. Doing so, the model of the interest rate is assumed to be an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process and satisfies the following SDE

$$dY_t = k(\mu - Y_t)dt + \sigma dB^Y_t, \; t \geq 0,$$

(29)

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma, k \in \mathbb{R}^+$. This model was introduced in the financial setting in Vasicek (1977), and in the previous context, it consists in setting

$$a_1(t) = -k, \; a_2(t) = k\mu, \; b_2(t) = \sigma.$$

(30)

By Ito’s lemma, it is easy to verify that (29) admits the following explicit solution,

$$Y_t = \mu + (Y_0 - \mu)e^{-kt} + \mu(1 - e^{-kt}) + \sigma \int_0^t e^{-k(t-s)}dB^Y_s,$$

(31)

and it is a Markov process, Gaussian if $Y_0$ is Normal distributed. Given the starting value, its marginal distribution at time $t$ is given by,

$$(Y_t | Y_0) \approx N((\mu(t, Y_0), \sigma^2(t)),$$

(32)

where $\mu(t, y) = \mu + (y - \mu)e^{-kt}$ and $\sigma^2(t) := \sigma^2(1 - e^{-2kt})/2k = \sigma^2 \sinh(kt)e^{-kt}/k$.

Proceeding along the lines of Section 3, we rewrite the semi-martingale representation of $Y$ under the filtration $\mathcal{G}_t$, that in this case allows for explicit expressions.

4.1. Analysis of the $Y$ process

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process has the characteristic property to be the unique Gaussian Markov process being stationary, as it was firstly shown in Doolin (1942). Stationarity means that $(Y_{t+\delta}|\mathcal{F}_t) \approx (Y_{\delta}|\mathcal{F}_0)$, for $t, \delta > 0$, and it leads to a strong simplification of formulas as shown by the following lemma that details the results of Theorem 2 in this specific case.

**Lemma 7.** The conditioned random variable $(Y_{t+\delta}|Y_t, Y_T) \approx (Y_t|\mathcal{G}_t)$ is Gaussian, whose parameters are given by

$$E[Y_{t+\delta}|Y_t, Y_T] = \frac{\sigma^2(\delta)e^{-2k(T-t-\delta)}}{\sigma^2(T-t)}\mu(t + \delta - T, Y_T) + \frac{\sigma^2(T-t-\delta)}{\sigma^2(T-t)}\mu(\delta, Y_t),$$

(33a)

$$V[Y_{t+\delta}|Y_t, Y_T] = \frac{\sigma^2(T-t-\delta)}{\sigma^2(T-t)}\sigma^2(\delta).$$

(33b)

Looking at the first order Taylor expansions of the above expressions we get

**Proposition 8.** The variable $(Y_{t+\delta} - Y_t|\mathcal{G}_t)$ has the following differential mean and variance

$$E[|Y_{t+\delta} - Y_t|Y_t, Y_T] = k(\mu - Y_t) + \dot{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, Y_T)$$

(34)

$$V[(Y_{t+\delta} - Y_t)^2|Y_t, Y_T] = \sigma^2$$

(35)

where

$$\dot{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, Y_T) := \frac{k}{\sinh(k(T-t))} \left( (\mu - Y_t) e^{-k(T-t)} - (\mu - Y_T) \right)$$

(36)

$$= \frac{\sigma^2 e^{-k(T-t)}Y_T - \mu(T-t, Y_t)}{\sigma^2(T-t)}.$$

(37)

**Remark 1.** The process $(Y_t|Y_T)$ is not an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process but is still an affine diffusion process.
4.2. Optimal Portfolio

We are now ready to formulate and solve the optimal portfolio problem for the insider trader under the assumption that the interest rate follows the model given in (39). We repeat here the dynamics of the portfolio of the investor given in (11),

\[
\begin{aligned}
&dX_t^\pi = (1 - \hat{\pi}_t)X_t^\pi Y_t \, dt + \hat{\pi}_t X_t^\pi (\eta_t \, dt + \xi_t \, dB_t^Y) \\
&dY_t = k(\mu - Y_t) \, dt + \sigma dB_t^Y
\end{aligned}
\]  

(38)

and we remind that the strategy \( \hat{\pi} \) is looked for in the set \( A_\pi \) of \( \mathbb{G} \)-adapted functions with the aim to optimize the terminal expected value of the wealth, \( E[\ln(X_T^\pi)] \). Since \( \mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F} \sqrt{\sigma(Y_T)} \), the investor is informed, since the beginning, about the final value of the interest process, \( Y_T \).

To solve the optimization problem it is useful to rewrite (38) in an equivalent form, because, in the filtration \( \mathbb{G} \), the bi-dimensional process \( (B_t^R, B_t^S) = ((B_t^R, B_t^S), 0 \leq t \leq T) \) is not anymore a Brownian motion, but just a semi-martingale. We use the expressions given in Proposition 8 to get its martingale decomposition in the filtration \( \mathbb{G} \), as shown in the following proposition.

Proposition 9. The dynamics of the wealth of the \( \mathbb{G} \)-adapted portfolio solve the following system of SDEs

\[
\begin{aligned}
&dX_t^\pi = (1 - \hat{\pi}_t)X_t^\pi Y_t \, dt + \hat{\pi}_t X_t^\pi (\eta_t \, dt + \xi_t \, dB_t^Y) \\
&dY_t = \hat{f}_t(T, Y_t) \, dt + k(\mu - Y_t) \, dt + \sigma dW_t^Y \\
&dB_t^S = \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \hat{f}_t(T, Y_t) \, dt + dW_t^S
\end{aligned}
\]  

(39)

where \( (W^Y, W^S) = ((W_t^Y, W_t^S), 0 \leq t \leq T) \) is a bi-dimensional \( \mathbb{G} \)-Brownian motion with constant correlation \( \rho \).

Proof. The proof follows along the lines of the proof of Proposition 8.

Using the above representation and by applying standard optimization techniques we are finally able to find the optimal strategy.

Theorem 10. The solution of the optimal portfolio problem

\[
\sup_{\hat{\pi} \in A_\pi} E[\ln(X_T^\pi)] ; \quad \text{with } \mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F} \sqrt{\sigma(Y_T)}
\]  

(40)

where \( (X_t^\pi, 0 \leq t \leq T) \) satisfies (39), is given by

\[
\hat{\pi}_t = \frac{\eta_t - Y_t}{\xi_t^2} + \frac{\rho}{\sigma \xi_t} \frac{k}{\sinh(k(T - t))} \left( (\mu - Y_t) e^{-k(T - t)} - (\mu - Y_T) \right).
\]  

(41)

The optimal value of the portfolio is given by

\[
\mathcal{V}_T^\pi = \int_0^T E \left[ Y_t + \frac{(\xi_t \hat{\pi}_t)^2}{2} \right] \, dt.
\]  

(42)

Proof. The proof follows along the lines of the proof of Theorem 9 by expressing the expected value of the utility of the terminal wealth in the following form

\[
E \left[ \ln \frac{X_T^\pi}{X_0} \right] = \int_0^T E \left[ \xi_t^2 I_t(T) \hat{\pi}_t \right] \, dt
\]  

(43)

where

\[
I_t(T) = \frac{Y_t}{\xi_t} + \left( \frac{\eta_t - Y_t}{\xi_t} + \frac{\rho}{\sigma \xi_t} \hat{f}_t(T, Y_t) \right) x - \frac{x^2}{2}.
\]  

(44)

Equation (42) follows by substituting the optimal value \( \hat{\pi}_t \) in (43).
5. The Price of Information

In this section, we calculate the benefit that an insider trader would obtain from the additional information on the future value of the interest. We recall formula (7) that given a filtration $\mathcal{H}$ containing the basic or natural information flow $\mathcal{F}$, i.e. $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H}$, defines the optimal value of the portfolio as

$$ V_H^T := \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}_H} E[\ln(X_T^\pi)] = E[\ln(X_T^{\pi^*})]. \quad (45) $$

This allows to define the advantage of the additional information carried by $\mathcal{H}$ as the increment in the expected value of the optimal portfolio with respect to the one constructed by using only the accessible information in $\mathcal{F}$.

**Definition 2.** The price of the information of a filtration $\mathcal{H} \supset \mathcal{F}$, is given by

$$ \Delta V_H^T = V_H^T - V_F^T. \quad (46) $$

Where the quantities on the right-hand-side are defined in (45).

In the following we continue to work with the Vasicek model in (29). By Merton (1969), it is known that the optimal portfolio in the absence of insider information is given by the strategy

$$ \pi_t^* = \eta_t - \frac{Y_t}{\xi_t}, \quad (47) $$

while, according to the results of Theorem 10, using the insider information, modeled by the enlarged filtration $\mathcal{G}$, we have that the optimal strategy is given by

$$ \hat{\pi}_t^* = \pi_t^* + \frac{\rho}{\sigma \xi_t \sinh(k(T - t))} \left( (Y_T - \mu) - (Y_t - \mu)e^{-k(T-t)} \right). \quad (48) $$

The results of this section surprisingly show that the information carried by $\mathcal{G}$, even if it refers to the only interest-rate process, is so strong that implies an infinite value.

To simplify calculations, we are going to make the following standing assumption

**Assumption 3.** The processes $\eta$ and $\xi$ are deterministic.

However this assumption can be easily relaxed at the price of having more complicated formulas.

**Lemma 11.** Characterization of the moments of the process $\hat{\pi}^*$:

$$ \lim_{t \to T} E[\hat{\pi}_t^*] < +\infty, \quad (49) $$

$$ \int_0^T V[\hat{\pi}_t^*]dt = +\infty. \quad (50) $$

**Proof.** To get (49), by (48) and using the expansion $1/\sinh(x) = 1/x + o(1)$, it is enough to prove that

$$ Y_T - \mu - (E[Y_t|Y_0, Y_T] - \mu)e^{-k(T-t)} = O(T - t). $$

Moreover, expanding $\exp(-k(T-t))$, this is equivalent to show that

$$ Y_T - E[Y_t|Y_0, Y_T] = O(T - t). $$

Using (16a), after some algebraic manipulations, we get

$$ E[Y_t|Y_0, Y_T] = \frac{\sigma^2(t)}{\sigma^2(T)} e^{-2k(T-t)} \mu(t - T, Y_T) + \frac{\rho^2(T - t)}{\sigma^2(T)} \mu(t, Y_0) $$

$$ \sim Y_T + (T - t) \left( \frac{\sigma^2(T - t)}{\sigma^2(T)} \mu(t, Y_0) \right), $$

$$ T - t \rightarrow 0, $$

where $\mu(t, Y_0)$ is the mean of the interest at time $t$ given the initial condition $Y_0$.

Using (16a), after some algebraic manipulations, we get

$$ E[Y_t|Y_0, Y_T] = \frac{\sigma^2(t)}{\sigma^2(T)} e^{-2k(T-t)} \mu(t - T, Y_T) + \frac{\rho^2(T - t)}{\sigma^2(T)} \mu(t, Y_0) $$

$$ \sim Y_T + (T - t) \left( \frac{\sigma^2(T - t)}{\sigma^2(T)} \mu(t, Y_0) \right), $$

$$ T - t \rightarrow 0, $$

where $\mu(t, Y_0)$ is the mean of the interest at time $t$ given the initial condition $Y_0$.
that implies the result. To prove \[40\] we assume \(\rho > 0\), the case \(\rho < 0\) follows along similar arguments by using \[41\].

\[
\int_0^T V[\hat{\pi}_t^*] dt \geq \int_0^T V[Y_t|Y_0,Y_T] \left( \frac{k}{\sigma \xi_t \sinh(k(T-t))} e^{-k(T-t)} \right)^2 dt \\
= \int_0^T \frac{\sigma^2}{2k} \left( \frac{1-e^{-2k(T-t)}}{1-e^{-2kT}} \right) \left( \frac{2k}{\sigma \xi_t 1-e^{-2k(T-t)}} \right)^2 dt \\
= \rho^2 \frac{4k}{1-e^{-2kT}} \int_0^T \frac{1-e^{-2kT}}{\xi_t^2} \left( \frac{e^{-4k(T-t)}}{1-e^{-2k(T-t)}} \right) dt = +\infty ,
\]

where in the first step we used the fact that the strategy \(\hat{\pi}^*\) can be written as

\[
\hat{\pi}_t^* = \hat{\pi}_t^*(Y_t,Y_T) = -Y_t \left( \frac{1}{\xi_t^2} + \frac{k \rho}{\sigma \xi_t \sinh(k(T-t))} \right) + h_{t,T}(Y_T)
\]

for some deterministic function \(h\).

**Proposition 12.** The value of the information, \(\Delta \mathbb{V}_f\), of the insider trader is infinite.

**Proof.** To see this, use \(E[(\hat{\pi}_t^*)^2] = E[\hat{\pi}_t^*]^2 + V[\hat{\pi}_t^*]\) in \[42\] and apply Lemma \[43\].

6. Interval-type information

6.1. Infinite Interval

In this section, we assume the insider trader doesn’t know the final value of the interest rate, \(Y_T\), but she knows if it will be greater than a given value \(c \in \mathbb{R}^+\). To this aim we introduce the random variable \(A = 1\{Y_T \geq c\}\), together with the following filtration

\[
\tilde{G} = \mathbb{F} \bigvee \sigma(A).
\]

It is obvious that \(\mathbb{F} \subset \tilde{G} \subset G\). To calculate the optimal portfolio \(\tilde{\pi}\), we will compute the new drift of the conditioned process adapted to \(\tilde{G}\). By mimicking the calculations done in Sections \[44\] and \[45\] we introduce the following correction function for the drift of the interest rate

\[
\tilde{f}_{t,T}(Y_t,A) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{E} \left[ Y_{t+\delta} - Y_t | \tilde{G}_t \right] - k(\mu - Y_t) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E}[Y_{t+\delta} - Y_t|\tilde{G}_t]|\tilde{G}_t \right] - k(\mu - Y_t) \\
= \mathbb{E} \left[ \tilde{f}_{t,T}(Y_t,Y_T)|\tilde{G}_t \right].
\]

The next proposition gives the probabilistic interpretation of the above function and a simple expression to compute it.

**Proposition 13.** The variable \((Y_{t+\delta} - Y_t|\tilde{G}_t)\) is Gaussian and it has the following differential mean and variance

\[
\mathbb{E}[Y_{t+\delta} - Y_t|Y_t,A] \overset{\Delta}{=} k(\mu - Y_t) + \tilde{f}_{t,T}(Y_t,A)
\]

\[
\mathbb{V}[Y_{t+\delta} - Y_t] \overset{\Delta}{=} \sigma^2
\]

where

\[
\tilde{f}_{t,T}(y,a) = \sigma^2 e^{-k(T-t)} \frac{f_{Y_T}(c|Y_t = y)}{(1-a) + (2a-1)P(Y_T \geq c|Y_t = y)}.
\]

with \(a \in \{0,1\}\) and \(y \in \mathbb{R}\).
Proof. Applying the definition of the conditioned expectation to (53), we can compute the drift of the interest rate process, for example when the condition \( \{ Y_T \geq c \} \) is satisfied, as follows

\[
E[\mathbb{1}_{\{ Y_T \geq c \}} \tilde{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, 1)] = E \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{ Y_T \geq c \}} \tilde{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, Y_T) \right].
\]

This formula allows to compute the value of \( \tilde{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, 1) \) as in equation (57a) below. Equation (57b) follows along similar arguments.

\begin{align}
\tilde{f}_{t,T}(y, 1) &= \frac{\int_{\{Y_T \geq c\}} \int_{\{Y_t \geq c\}} \tilde{f}_{t,T}(y, u) \, dP_{t,T}(y|u)}{P(Y_T \geq c|Y_t = y)} \tag{57a} \\
\tilde{f}_{t,T}(y, 0) &= \frac{\int_{\{Y_T \geq c\}} \tilde{f}_{t,T}(y, u) \, dP_{t,T}(u|y)}{P(Y_T \leq c|Y_t = y)}, \tag{57b}
\end{align}

where \( P_{t,T}(\cdot|y) \) is the distribution of \( (Y_T - t, Y_0 = y) \). Substituting in \( \tilde{f}_{t,T}(0, 1) \) the explicit expression of \( \tilde{f} \), given in (49), the numerator can be written in the following form

\[
\int_{c}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{t,T} \, dP_{t,T} = \int_{c}^{\infty} \frac{k}{\sinh(k(T-t))} (u - \mu(T-t, y)) \, dP_{t,T}(u|y) \]

\[
= \frac{k}{\sinh(k(T-t))} E[\mathbb{1}_{\{ Y_T \geq c \}}(Y_T - \mu(T-t, y)) | Y_t = y] \]

\[
= \frac{k}{\sinh(k(T-t))} \sigma^2(T-t) f_{\gamma_T}(c | Y_t = y),
\]

with \( \mu(t, y) \) and \( \sigma^2(t) \) defined below equation (42), and where, in the last step, we used the obvious fact that if \( Z \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2) \), then \( E[\mathbb{1}_{\{ Z \geq x \}}(Z - \mu)] = \sigma^2 f_Z(x) \).

Substituting back in (57a) we finally get,

\[
\tilde{f}_{t,T}(y, 1) = \sigma^2 e^{-k(T-t)} \tilde{f}_{Y_T}(c | Y_t = y) \frac{f_{\gamma_T}(c | Y_t = y)}{P(Y_T \geq c|Y_t = y)}, \tag{58}
\]

and repeating the same procedure for (57b) we get the result.

Since \( G \subset G \), the \( G \)-Brownian motion \( (W^Y, W^S) \) is also a \( G \)-Brownian motion, and we can write the process \( Y_t \) as the solution of the following SDE

\[
dY_t = \tilde{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, A) dt + k(\mu - Y_t) dt + \sigma dW^Y_t.
\]

The above expression together with the arguments of Subsection 3.2 allows to write the dynamics of the portfolio under the strategy of the insider trader under the information flow \( G \).

**Proposition 14.** The dynamics of the wealth of the \( G \)-adapted portfolio solve the following system of SDEs

\[
\begin{cases}
    dX^Y_t = (1 - \tilde{\pi}_t) X^Y_t Y_t \, dt + \tilde{\pi}_t X^Y_t (\eta_t \, dt + \xi_t \, dB^S_t) \\
    dY_t = \tilde{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, A) dt + k(\mu - Y_t) dt + \sigma dW^Y_t \\
    dB^S_t = \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \tilde{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, A) dt + dW^S_t
\end{cases}
\]

where \( (W^Y, W^S) = ((W^Y_t, W^S_t), 0 \leq t \leq T) \) is a bi-dimensional \( G \)-Brownian motion with constant correlation \( \rho \). In particular, the optimal portfolio in the market is given by:

\[
\tilde{\pi}_t = \tilde{\pi}_t^*(Y_t, A) = \frac{\eta_t - Y_t}{\xi_t} + \frac{\rho}{\sigma \xi_t} \tilde{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, A). \tag{60}
\]
We are now ready to state the main result of this section.

**Theorem 15.** The value of the information, \( \Delta \gamma_{T}^{\hat{G}} \), of the insider trader is finite.

**Proof.** Proceeding as in formula (42) and using the expression in (60) we can compute the value of the information as

\[
\gamma_{T}^{\hat{G}} = \int_{0}^{T} E \left[ Y_t + \frac{(\xi_t \hat{\pi}_t)^2}{2} \right] dt
\]

\[
= \int_{0}^{T} E \left[ Y_t + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{m - Y_t}{\xi_t} + \frac{\rho f_t}{\sigma} \right) (Y_t, A) \right] dt .
\]

The mean and variance of \( Y_t \) are integrable,

\[
\int_{0}^{T} E[Y_t]dt = \int_{0}^{T} \mu + (Y_0 - \mu)e^{-kt}dt = \mu T + \frac{(Y_0 - \mu)}{k}(1 - e^{-kT}) < +\infty ,
\]

\[
\int_{0}^{T} V[Y_t]dt = \int_{0}^{T} \frac{\sigma^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2kt})dt = \frac{\sigma^2}{2k}T - \frac{\sigma^2}{4k^2}(1 - e^{-2kT}) < +\infty .
\]

A repeated application of the inequality \((a + b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2)\) implies that to have a finite value of the information we are left with proving that \( E[(\hat{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, A))^2] \) is integrable in \([0, T]\). By rewriting

\[
E \left[ (\hat{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, A))^2 \right] = E \left[ (\hat{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, 0))^2 \right] P(A = 0 | Y_t) + (\hat{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, 1))^2 P(A = 1 | Y_t) ,
\]

from (68), using the following definitions

\[
u(z, t) = \frac{\sigma^2 e^{-2k(T-t)}}{\sigma^2(T-t)} \left( \frac{1}{\Phi(-z)} + \frac{1}{\Phi(z)} \right) (\Phi'(-z))^2
\]

\[
z(y) = (\mu(T-t, y) - c)/\sigma(T-t)
\]

we have

\[
E \left[ (\hat{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, A))^2 \right] = E[u(z(Y_t), t)] = \frac{1}{\sigma(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(z(y), t) \Phi' \left( \frac{y - \mu(t, Y_0)}{\sigma(t)} \right) dy .
\]

Note that \( \Phi(z) = 1 - \Phi(z) \). Applying the change of variable in (63) with

\[
y(z) = \mu + (c - \mu)e^{k(T-t)} + \sigma(T-t)e^{k(T-t)}
\]

\[
a(z) = \frac{y(z) - \mu(t, Y_0)}{\sigma(t)} = \frac{e^{k(T-t)}}{\sigma(t)} (z \sigma(T-t) + (c - \mu) - (Y_0 - \mu)e^{-kT})
\]

we have

\[
E[u(z(Y_t), t)] = \frac{\sigma^2 e^{-k(T-t)}}{\sigma(t)\sigma(T-t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\Phi(z)} + \frac{1}{\Phi(-z)} \right) (\Phi'(-z))^2 \Phi'(a(z)) dz
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\sigma^2 e^{-k(T-t)}}{\sigma(T-t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\Phi(z)} + \frac{1}{\Phi(-z)} \right) (\Phi'(-z))^2 dz
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \psi(t) I
\]
where we used that $\Phi'(z) \leq 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$ and we made the following definition:

$$
\psi(t) = \frac{\sigma^4 e^{-k(T-t)}}{\sigma(t)\sigma(T-t)}
$$

$$
I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\Phi(z)} + \frac{1}{\Phi(-z)} \right) (\Phi'(-z))^2 \, dz .
$$

(64) \hspace{1cm} (65)

By Lemma \[19\] and Lemma \[20\] in the Appendix, $I$ is bounded by a constant, and the function $\psi(t)$ is integrable in $[0, T]$. Therefore

$$
\int_0^T E \left[ \left( \hat{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, A) \right)^2 \right] \, dt = \int_0^T E \left[ u(z(Y_t), t) \right] \, dt < \infty
$$

and the value of the information is finite.

6.2. Finite Interval

Now, we assume that insider trader knows if $Y_T$ is within a certain interval or not. We work with the filtration,

$$
\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(A)
$$

with $A = \mathbb{1}\{Y_T \in (c_1, c_2)\}$. We proceed by computing the differential coefficients of the process $(Y_t, t \geq 0)$ in $\mathcal{G}_t$.

$$
\dot{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, A) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{1}{\delta} E \left[ Y_{t+\delta} - Y_t | \mathcal{G}_t \right] = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{1}{\delta} E \left[ E[Y_{t+\delta} - Y_t | \mathcal{G}_t] | \mathcal{G}_t \right] = E \left[ \dot{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, Y_T) | \mathcal{G}_t \right].
$$

(66)

**Proposition 16.** The variable $(Y_{t+\delta} - Y_t | \mathcal{G}_t)$ is Gaussian and it has the following differential mean and variance

$$
E[Y_{t+\delta} - Y_t | Y_t, A] \overset{\delta}{\sim} k(\mu - Y_t) + \hat{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, A)
$$

(68)

$$
V(Y_{t+\delta} - Y_t)^2 | Y_t, A] \overset{\delta}{\sim} \sigma^2
$$

(69)

where

$$
\dot{f}_{t,T}(y, 1) = \sigma^2 e^{-k(T-t)} \frac{f_Y(c_1 \mid Y_t = y) - f_Y(c_2 \mid Y_t = y)}{P(Y_T \in (c_1, c_2) | Y_t)}
$$

(70)

$$
\dot{f}_{t,T}(y, 0) = \sigma^2 e^{-k(T-t)} \frac{f_Y(c_2 \mid Y_t = y) - f_Y(c_1 \mid Y_t = y)}{P(Y_T \notin (c_1, c_2) | Y_t)}.
$$

(71)

**Proof.** We do the same reasoning as subsection \[6.1\] and conclude,

$$
\dot{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, 1) = \left. \frac{\int_{(c_1, c_2)} \hat{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, u) \, dP_{T-t}(u|Y_t)}{P(Y_T \in (c_1, c_2) | Y_t)} \right|_{c_1}^{c_2}
$$

(72a)

$$
\dot{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, 0) = \left. \frac{\int_{(c_1, c_2)} \hat{f}_{t,T}(Y_t, u) \, dP_{T-t}(u|Y_t)}{P(Y_T \notin (c_1, c_2) | Y_t)} \right|_{c_1}^{c_2},
$$

(72b)

where $P_{T-t}(\cdot | Y_t)$ is the distribution of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $(Y_T | Y_t) \sim N(\mu(T-t, Y_t), \sigma^2(T-t))$. Substituting in \[72b\] the explicit expression of $\hat{f}$, given in \[59\], the numerator can be written in the following form

$$
\int_{c_1}^{c_2} \hat{f}_{t,T} \, dP_{T-t} = \int_{c_1}^{c_2} \sigma^2 e^{-k(T-t)} \left( u - \mu(T-t, y) \right) \frac{dP_{T-t}(u|y)}{\sigma^2(T-t)}
$$

$$
\quad = \sigma^2 e^{-k(T-t)} E \left[ \left( \mathbb{1}\{Y_T \geq c_2\} + \mathbb{1}\{Y_T \leq c_1\} \right) \frac{Y_T - \mu(T-t, y)}{\sigma^2(T-t)} \mid Y_t = y \right]
$$

$$
\quad = \sigma^2 e^{-k(T-t)} \left( f_{Y_T}(c_2 \mid Y_t = y) - f_{Y_T}(c_1 \mid Y_t = y) \right).
$$
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where we have used again that $E[1 \{ Z \geq c \} (Z - \mu)] = \sigma^2 f_Z(c)$ if $Z \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Substituting back in (72a) we finally get,

$$\tilde{f}_{l,T}(y, 1) = \sigma^2 e^{-k(T-t)} \frac{f_{Y_T}(c_2| Y_t = y) - f_{Y_T}(c_1| Y_t = y)}{P(Y_T \in (c_1, c_2) | Y_t)} ,$$

and repeating the same procedure for (72b) we get the result.

Finally, we conclude the following Proposition and Theorem.

**Proposition 17.** The dynamics of the wealth of the $\tilde{G}$-adapted portfolio solve the following system of SDEs

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{ 
\begin{array}{l}
\frac{dX_t}{(1 - \pi_t)} X_t^\sigma Y_t^\mu dt + \pi_t X_t^\sigma (\eta_t dt + \xi_t dB_t^S) \\
\frac{dY_t}{\sigma} \tilde{f}_{l,T}(Y_t, A) dt + k(\mu - Y_t) dt + \sigma dW_t^Y \\
\frac{dB_t^S}{\sigma} \tilde{f}_{l,T}(Y_t, A) dt + dW_t^S
\end{array}
\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $(W^Y, W^S) = ((W_t^Y, W_t^S), 0 \leq t \leq T)$ is a bi-dimensional $\tilde{G}$-Brownian motion with constant correlation $\rho$. In particular, the optimal portfolio in the market is given by:

$$\pi_t^* = \tilde{\pi}_t^* (Y_t, A) = \frac{\eta_t - Y_t}{\xi_t} + \frac{\rho}{\sigma \xi_t} \tilde{f}_{l,T}(Y_t, A) .$$

**Theorem 18.** The value of the information, $\Delta \mathcal{V}_T^G$, of the insider trader is finite.

**Proof.** We are interested in computing the value of $E \left[ (\tilde{f}_{l,T}(Y_t, A))^2 \right]$. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
P(Y_t \in dx, Y_T \in (c_1, c_2)) &= f_{Y_t}(x) P(Y_T \in (c_1, c_2) | Y_t = x) dx \\
P(Y_t \in dx, Y_T \notin (c_1, c_2)) &= f_{Y_t}(x) P(Y_T \notin (c_1, c_2) | Y_t = x) dx
\end{align*}
$$

and notice that the following holds

$$
\frac{1}{P(Y_T \in (c_1, c_2) | Y_t)} + \frac{1}{P(Y_T \notin (c_1, c_2) | Y_t)} = \frac{1}{P(Y_T \in (c_1, c_2) | Y_t) P(Y_T \notin (c_1, c_2) | Y_t)}
$$

We can than get an upper bound for the required expectation.

$$
\begin{align*}
E \left[ (\tilde{f}_{l,T}(Y_t, A))^2 \right] &= \sigma^4 \int_R f_{Y_t}(x) e^{-2k(T-t)} \frac{f_{Y_T}(c_1 | Y_t) - f_{Y_T}(c_2 | Y_t)}{P(Y_T \in (c_1, c_2) | Y_t = x)} dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\sigma e^{-k(T-t)}}{\sigma(T-t)} \int_R e^{-k(T-t)} [\Phi'(z_1) - \Phi'(z_2)]^2 dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \psi(t) \hat{I}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have defined the following terms,

$$
z_2 = \frac{c_2 - \mu(T-t, x)}{\sigma(T-t)}, \quad z_1 = \frac{c_1 - \mu(T-t, x)}{\sigma(T-t)}, \quad \hat{I} = \int_R e^{-k(T-t)} [\Phi'(z_1) - \Phi'(z_2)]^2 dx
$$

and $\psi(t)$ as in (65). By Lemma 23 and Lemma 20 in the Appendix, $\hat{I}$ is bounded by a constant, and the function $\psi(t)$ is integrable in $[0, T]$. Therefore

$$
\int_0^T E \left[ (\tilde{f}_{l,T}(Y_t, A))^2 \right] dt < \infty
$$

and the value of the information is finite.
7. Conclusions

In this paper, we showed how it is possible to include privileged information about the interest rate process in a portfolio, and how to determine the modified optimal strategy. If the information about the future is very precise, giving the value of the interest rate process at a terminal time $T$, we showed that an insider agent has infinite expected gain.

Finally we showed that if the privileged information is not very accurate, for example it gives only a lower bound or a finite interval for the terminal value of the interest rate process, then the value of such information is finite.
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Appendix A.

**Proof of Theorem 1** Forcing the equality of the conditional density with the density of a normal distribution, we get

$$f_{R_t+\delta|R_t}(b\mid a,c) = \frac{f_{R_t+\delta|R_t}(b\mid a) f_{R_t+\delta}(c\mid b)}{f_{R_t}(c\mid a)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma_{t,\delta}^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(b - \mu_{t,\delta}(a,c))^2}{2\sigma_{t,\delta}^2(a,c)}\right). \quad (A.1)$$

It easily follows that the only possible candidate for $\sigma_{t,\delta}(a,c)$ is given by the constant value

$$\sigma_{t,\delta}^2 = \Psi_{t,\delta}^2 \int_t^{t+\delta} (b_2 \Psi^{-1}(x))^2 dx \int_t^{T} (b_2 \Psi^{-1}(x))^2 dx = \Psi_{t,\delta}^2 \frac{\Delta_t^{t+\delta} \Delta_T}{\Delta_T^{t+\delta}}, \quad (A.2)$$

where in the second equality we used the notation $\Delta_t := \int_a b_2 \Psi^{-1}(x) dx$ and with $\Psi_s := \exp\left(\int_s^t a_1(x) dx\right)$.

For the following computations it will be useful to introduce also the notation $\nabla_u := \int_u^a a_2 \Psi^{-1}(x) dx$.

Writing $\mu$ for $\mu_{t,\delta}(a,c)$ and $\sigma$ for $\sigma_{t,\delta}$, we have that the following equality should hold

$$\frac{(b - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{(b - a \Psi_T^{t+\delta} - \nabla_{t+\delta}^{t+\delta} \Psi_{t+\delta})^2}{\Delta_{t+\delta}^{t+\delta} \Psi_{t+\delta}^2} + \frac{(c - b \Psi_T^{t+\delta} - \nabla_{t+\delta}^{t+\delta} \Psi_T^2)^2}{\Delta_{t+\delta}^{t+\delta} \Psi_T^2} - \frac{(c - a \Psi_T^{t+\delta} - \nabla_{t+\delta}^{t+\delta} \Psi_T^2)^2}{\Delta_{t+\delta}^{t+\delta} \Psi_T^2}$$
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Multiplying the expression for $\sigma^2$ given in (A.2) to both members of the equality above we get

$$b^2 - 2b\mu + \mu^2 = \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_t^T} (b - a \Psi_t, T - \nabla T^\delta \Psi_T)^2 = \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_t^T} \Psi_T^2 \left( c - b \Psi_{t+\delta}, T - \nabla T^T \Psi_T \right)^2$$

\[ (A.3) \]

It is left to equate the terms in $b^2$, $-2b\mu$ and $\mu^2$ of both sides of (A.3).

**Quadratic Term:**

$$1 = \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_t^T} + \frac{\Delta_t^T}{\Delta_t^T} [a \Psi_t, T + \nabla \Psi_t, T] + \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_T} \frac{\Delta_t^T}{\Delta_t^T} \Psi_T^2 = \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_t^T} + \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_t^T} \Psi_T^2 \left( c - \nabla T^\delta \Psi_T \right)^2$$

\[ (A.4) \]

**Linear Term:** (divided by $-2$).

$$\mu_t, \delta(a, c) = \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_t^T} [a \Psi_t, T + \nabla \Psi_t, T] + \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_T} \frac{\Delta_t^T}{\Delta_T} \Psi_T [c - \nabla T^\delta \Psi_T]$$

\[ (A.5) \]

that gives the required expression for $\mu_t, \delta(a, c)$. In the LHS of (A.3) we used that $\Psi_{t+\delta} \Psi_{t+\delta, T} = \Psi_T$.

**Constant Term:**

We are finally left with checking that the constant term in the LHS of (A.3), and shown below, is equal to $\mu^2$ with $\mu$ given in (A.3).

$$\frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_t^T} [a \Psi_t, T + \nabla \Psi_t, T]^2 + \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_t^T} \Psi_T^2 \left( c - \nabla T^\delta \Psi_T \right)^2 - \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_T} \frac{\Delta_t^T}{\Delta_T} \Psi_T^2 \left( c - \nabla T^\delta \Psi_T \right)^2$$

\[ (A.6) \]

By writing $\nabla T = \nabla T^\delta + \nabla T^2$, and $\Psi_T = \Psi_t + \Psi_{t+\delta}, T$, we can expand last term in (A.6) in the following way

$$[c - a \Psi_t, T - \nabla T^\delta \Psi_T]^2 = (c - \nabla T^\delta \Psi_T - \Psi_t + \Psi_{t+\delta})^2$$

\[ (A.7) \]

Finally we substitute (A.7) in (A.6) and compare the factors of $(a \Psi_t, T + \nabla T^\delta \Psi_{t+\delta})^2$ in (A.6) and the square of (A.3),

$$\frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_t^T} - \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_T} \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_t^T} \Psi_T^2 \Psi_{t+\delta, T} = \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_T} \left( \frac{\Delta_t^T}{\Delta^T} - \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_t^T} \right) = \left( \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_T} \right)^2$$

We do the same with the factors of $(c - \nabla T^\delta \Psi_T)^2$,

$$\frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_T} \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_T} \Psi_T^2 \Psi_{t+\delta, T} = \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_T} \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_T} \Psi_T^2 \Psi_{t+\delta} = \left( \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_T} \right)^2 \Psi_T^2$$

and finally with the factors of the double product $2(a \Psi_t, T + \nabla T^\delta \Psi_{t+\delta})(c - \nabla T^\delta \Psi_T)$

$$\frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_T} \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_T} \Psi_T^2 \Psi_{t+\delta, T} = \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_T} \frac{\Delta^T}{\Delta_T} \Psi_T^2 \Psi_{t+\delta}$$

Since they all coincide, the expressions (A.3) and (A.2) are the right parameters given in (16m) and (16b).
Lemma 19.

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\Phi(z)} + \frac{1}{\Phi(-z)} \right) (\Phi'(-z))^2 \Phi'(az(z)) dz < \infty
\]  
\text{(A.8)}

**Proof.** Using the definition of \( I \) in (65) we have

\[
I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\Phi(z)} + \frac{1}{\Phi(-z)} \right) (\Phi'(-z))^2 dz = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(\Phi'(-z))^2}{\Phi(z)} dz + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(\Phi'(-z))^2}{\Phi(-z)} dz
\]

\[
= 2 \left( \int_{-\infty}^{0} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \right) \frac{\Phi'(z)}{\Phi(z)} d\Phi(z)
\]

We have

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{\Phi'(z)}{\Phi(z)} d\Phi(z) \leq 2 \int_{-\infty}^{0} \Phi'(z)d\Phi(z) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} d\Phi(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \Phi(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.
\]

As for the other term, since \( 1/\Phi(z) = e^{-z^2/2}O(z) \) and \( \Phi'(z) = e^{-z^2/2}O(1) \) we have

\[
\frac{\Phi'(z)}{\Phi(z)} = O(z)
\]

and the result follows because \( |z| \) is integrable with respect to \( d\Phi(z) \).

Lemma 20.

\[
\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\sigma^4 e^{-k(T-t)}}{\sigma(t)\sigma(T-t)} dt < \infty
\]  
\text{(A.10)}

**Proof.** Having

\[
\sigma^2(t) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2k} (1 - e^{-2kt}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2k} \sinh(kt)e^{-kt}
\]

\[
\sigma^2(T-t) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2k} (1 - e^{-2k(T-t)}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2k} \sinh(k(T-t))e^{-k(T-t)}
\]

it follows that

\[
1/\sigma(t) = O(1/\sqrt{t})
\]

\[
1/\sigma(T-t) = O(1/\sqrt{T-t})
\]

and the result follows.

Lemma 21.

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-k(T-t)} |\Phi'(z_1) - \Phi'(z_2)|^2}{\sigma(T-t)|\Phi(z_2) - \Phi(z_1)| |\Phi(-z_2) + \Phi(z_1)|} dz < \infty,
\]

where we have defined \( z_1, z_2 \) as,

\[
z_2 = \frac{c_2 - \mu(T-t,x)}{\sigma(T-t)}, \quad z_1 = \frac{c_1 - \mu(T-t,x)}{\sigma(T-t)}
\]

**Proof.** We define function \( h_t(x) = \mu + (x - \mu)e^{k(T-t)} \) monotonically increasing that holds \( \mu(T-t, h_t(x)) = x \) and let’s study the integral in separately intervals \( (-\infty, h_t(c_1)), (h_t(c_1), h_t(c_2)), (h_t(c_2), +\infty) \).
In first case, we applied variable change in variable $z_1$ and obtain $z_2 = z_1 + \frac{c_2 - c_1}{\sigma(F - t)}$. We define $s_t := \frac{c_2 - c_1}{\sigma(F - t)}$ and its minimum in $t$ as $s_0 = \frac{c_2 - c_1}{\sigma(F - t)} > 0$. And we get,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{h_t(c_2)} I(x, t)dx = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{[\Phi'(z_1) - \Phi'(z_1 + s_t)]^2}{\Phi(z_1 + s_t) - \Phi(z_1)} dz_1
$$

$$
= \int_{0}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{[\Phi'(z_1) - \Phi'(z_1 + s_t)]^2}{\Phi(z_1 + s_t) - \Phi(z_1)} + \frac{[\Phi'(z_1) - \Phi'(z_1 + s_t)]^2}{\Phi(-z_1 - s_t) + \Phi(z_1)} \right) dz_1
$$

We are going to show that both terms are finite.

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{[\Phi'(z_1) - \Phi'(z_1 + s_t)]^2}{\Phi(z_1 + s_t) - \Phi(z_1)} dz_1 \leq \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{[\Phi'(z_1) - \Phi'(z_1 + s_t)]^2}{\Phi(z_1 + s_t) - \Phi(z_1)} dz_1 \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{[\Phi'(z_1)]^2}{\Phi(z_1 + s_t) - \Phi(z_1)} dz_1
$$

$$
= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{[\Phi'(z_1)]^2}{\Phi(z_1 + s_t) - \Phi(z_1)} dz_1 + \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{[\Phi'(z_1)]^2}{\Phi(z_1 + s_t) - \Phi(z_1)} dz_1
$$

The first integral is clearly bounded and for the second one, we apply comparison criteria with $f(z) = 1/z^2$.

$$
\lim_{z_1 \to +\infty} z_1^2 \frac{[\Phi'(z_1)]^2}{\Phi(z_1 + s_t) - \Phi(z_1)} = \lim_{z_1 \to +\infty} \frac{z_1^2 [\exp(-z_1^2/2)]^2}{\sqrt{2\pi} \int_{z_1}^{+\infty} \exp(-u^2/2) du}
$$

$$
= \lim_{z_1 \to +\infty} \frac{2z_1 [\exp(-z_1^2/2)]^2 - 2z_1^3 [\exp(-z_1^2/2)]^2}{\exp(-z_1^2/2) - 1} \to 0,
$$

where, in the second equality, we have used L’Hopital Rule and we conclude the integral is finite in $(1, +\infty)$. With the other term we have the following bound,

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{[\Phi'(z_1) + \Phi'(z_1 + s_t)]^2}{\Phi(z_1) - \Phi(z_1 + s_t)} dz_1 \leq 2 \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{[\Phi'(z_1) + \Phi'(z_1 + s_t)]^2}{\Phi(z_1)} dz_1
$$

$$
= \int_{0}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{[\Phi'(z_2 - s_t)]^2}{\Phi(z_2 - s_t) - \Phi(z_2)} + \frac{[\Phi'(z_2 - s_t)]^2}{\Phi(z_2 - s_t) - \Phi(z_2 - s_t)} \right) dz_2
$$

We are going to show that both terms are finite.

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{[\Phi'(z_2 - s_t)]^2}{\Phi(z_2 - s_t) - \Phi(z_2 - s_t)]} dz_2 \leq \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{[\Phi'(z_2 - s_t)]^2}{\Phi(2 - s_t) - \Phi(z_2 - s_t)]} dz_2 \leq \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{[\Phi'(z_2)]^2}{\Phi(z_2) - \Phi(z_2 - s_t)]} dz_2
$$

And applying the same reasoning as before, we conclude that integral is finite. Finally,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{[\Phi'(z_2 - s_t)]^2}{\Phi(z_2 - s_t) + \Phi(z_2 - s_t)]} dz_2 \leq \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{[\Phi'(z_2 - s_t)]^2}{\Phi(z_2 - s_t) - \Phi(z_2)]} dz_2 \leq 2 \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{[\Phi'(z_2)]^2}{\Phi(z_2) - \Phi(z_2 - s_t)]} dz_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
We analyze now the last interval $I = (h_t(c_1), h_t(c_2))$. We could proceed doing variable change, for example, in $z_2$. The point $c_1$ is transformed to

$$z_2(c_1) = \frac{c_2 - \mu(T-t, c_1)}{\sigma(T-t)} = \frac{c_2 - c_1}{\sigma(T-t)} + (c_1 - \mu) \frac{2k \frac{1}{\sigma^2} 1 - e^{-k(T-t)}}{1 - e^{-2k(T-t)}} 
= s_t + r_t$$

where we have defined parameter $r$ which is trivially bounded,

$$(c_1 - \mu) \frac{4k}{\sigma^2} \leq r_t = (c_1 - \mu) \frac{2k}{\sigma^2} 1 - e^{-k(T-t)} \leq (c_1 - \mu) \frac{2k}{\sigma^2}$$

Then we get,

$$\int_{h_t(c_1)}^{h_t(c_2)} I(x,t)dx = \int_0^{s_t + r_t} \frac{(\Phi'(z_2) - \Phi'(z_2 - s_t))^2}{\Phi(z_2) - \Phi(z_2 - s_t)} + \frac{(\Phi'(z_2) - \Phi'(z_2 - s_t))^2}{\Phi(z_2 - s_t)} dz_2$$

Now, we are going to show that both integrals are bounded and we separate $[0, s_t]$ and $[s_t, s_t + r_t]$,

$$\int_0^{s_t} \frac{(\Phi'(z_2) - \Phi'(z_2 - s_t))^2}{\Phi(z_2) - \Phi(z_2 - s_t)} dz_2 = \int_0^{s_t} \frac{(\Phi'(z_1 + s_t) - \Phi'(z_1))^2}{\Phi(z_1 + s_t) - \Phi(z_1)} dz_1 \leq \int_0^{r_t} \frac{\Phi'(z_1)^2}{\Phi(z_1 + s_t) - \Phi(z_1)} dz_1 < +\infty.$$