THE MONODROMY GROUPS OF LISSE SHEAVES AND OVERCONVERGENT $F$-ISOCRYSTALS

MARCO D’ADDEZIO

Abstract. It has been proven by Serre, Larsen–Pink and Chin, that over a smooth curve over a finite field, the monodromy groups of compatible semi-simple pure lisse sheaves have “the same” $\pi_0$ and neutral component. We generalize their results to compatible systems of semi-simple lisse sheaves and overconvergent $F$-isocrystals over arbitrary smooth varieties. For this purpose, we extend the theorem of Serre and Chin on Frobenius tori to overconvergent $F$-isocrystals. To put our results into perspective, we briefly survey recent developments of the theory of lisse sheaves and overconvergent $F$-isocrystals. We use the Tannakian formalism to make explicit the similarities between the two types of coefficient objects.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Background. Deligne in [Del80] generalized the Riemann Hypothesis over finite fields, previously proven by himself, to a result on the behaviour of the weights of lisse sheaves under higher direct image. He also formulated a conjecture on other expected properties of lisse sheaves [ibid., Conjecture 1.2.10]. This conjecture was inspired by the Langlands reciprocity conjecture for GL_r.

Conjecture 1.1.1. \(^1\) Let \(X_0\) be a normal scheme of finite type over a finite field \(\mathbb{F}_q\) of characteristic \(p\) and let \(\mathcal{V}_0\) be an irreducible Weil lisse \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell\)-sheaf whose determinant has finite order.

(i) \(\mathcal{V}_0\) is pure of weight 0.

(ii) There exists a number field \(E \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell\) such that for every closed point \(x_0\) in \(X_0\), the characteristic polynomial \(\det(1 - F_{x_0} t, \mathcal{V}_x)\) has coefficients in \(E\), where \(F_{x_0}\) is the geometric Frobenius at \(x_0\).

(iii) For every prime \(\ell \neq p\), the eigenvalues of the Frobenii at closed points of \(\mathcal{V}_0\) are \(\ell\)-adic units.

(iv) For a suitable field \(E\) (maybe larger than in (ii)) and for every finite place \(\lambda\) not dividing \(p\), there exists a lisse \(E_\lambda\)-sheaf compatible with \(\mathcal{V}_0\), namely a lisse \(E_\lambda\)-sheaf with the same characteristic polynomials of the Frobenii at closed points as \(\mathcal{V}_0\).

(v) When \(\lambda\) divides \(p\), there exists some compatible crystalline object (“des petits camarades cristallins”).

Objects with the same characteristic polynomials at closed points are also called companions and the conjunction of (iv) and (v) is also known as the companions conjecture. The companions conjecture for Weil lisse sheaves, namely part (iv), admits the following weaker form.

(iv') If \(E\) is a number field as in (ii), for every finite place \(\lambda\) not dividing \(p\), there exists a lisse \(E_\lambda\)-sheaf compatible with \(\mathcal{V}_0\).

In [Laf02] L. Lafforgue proved the Langlands reciprocity conjecture for GL_r over function fields. As a consequence, he obtained (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv'), when \(X_0\) is a smooth curve. Chin then showed that in arbitrary dimension, if (ii) and (iv') are true for every finite étale cover of \(X_0\), then (iv) is also true [Chi03]. As a consequence, one gets part (iv) of the conjecture when \(X_0\) is a curve.

The lack of a Langlands correspondence for higher dimensional varieties (even at the level of the formulation) forced one to generalize Deligne’s conjectures, reducing geometrically to the case of curves. One of the difficulties is that one cannot rely on a Lefschetz theorem for the étale fundamental group in positive characteristic (see for example [Esn17, Lemma 5.4]). This means that one cannot, in general, find a curve \(C_0\) in \(X_0\) such that for every irreducible lisse \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell\)-sheaf \(\mathcal{V}_0\) of \(X_0\), the inverse image of \(\mathcal{V}_0\) on \(C_0\) remains irreducible.

\(^1\) We will omit the part of the conjecture on the \(p\)-adic valuations of the Frobenius eigenvalues at closed points.
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Luckily, one can replace the Lefschetz theorem with a weaker result. Rather than considering all the lisse sheaves at the same time, one can fix the lisse sheaf and find a suitable curve where the lisse sheaf remains irreducible (Theorem 3.6.5). In this way one can prove (i) and (iii) for arbitrary varieties, using Lafforgue’s result. Parts (ii) and (iv’) require some more effort. The former was obtained by Deligne in [Del12], the latter by Drinfeld for smooth varieties in [Dri12] and it is still open in general. Following the ideas of Wiesend in [Wie06] Drinfeld used a gluing theorem for lisse sheaves [Drinfeld, op. cit., Theorem 2.5].

Passing to (v), Crew conjectured in [Cre92, Conjecture 4.13] that the correct $p$-adic analogue of lisse sheaves might be overconvergent $F$-isocrystals, introduced by Berthelot [Ber96a]. To endorse his conjecture, he proved the global monodromy theorem for these isocrystals, over a smooth curve [Crew, op. cit., Theorem 4.9]. Many people have then worked in the direction suggested by Crew (see for example [Ked04a] and [Ked06] for references).

Finally, Abe proves the Langlands reciprocity conjecture for overconvergent $F$-isocrystals, over a smooth curve [Abe18]. In his work he used the theory of arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules introduced by Berthelot in [Ber96b] and mainly developed by Abe, Berthelot, Caro, and Tsuzuki. Abe’s result, combined with Lafforgue’s theorem, shows that on smooth curves there is a correspondence between (certain) lisse sheaves and (certain) overconvergent $F$-isocrystals. Abe–Esnault, and later Kedlaya, generalized one direction of the correspondence by constructing on smooth varieties of arbitrary dimension, lisse sheaves that are compatible with overconvergent $F$-isocrystals (see [AE16] and [Ked18]). Even in this case, they construct lisse sheaves via a reduction to the case of curves. They both prove and use some Lefschetz type theorem, in combination with Drinfeld’s gluing theorem for lisse sheaves.

1.2. Main results. Following Kedlaya [Ked18], we refer to lisse sheaves and overconvergent $F$-isocrystals as coefficient objects. Let $X_0$ be a smooth connected variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Suppose that $E_0$ is a coefficient object on $X_0$ with all the eigenvalues of the Frobenii at closed points algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$. Thanks to the known cases of the companions conjecture, $E_0$ sits in an $E$-compatible system $\{E_\lambda, 0\}_{\lambda \in \Sigma}$, where $E$ is a number field, $\Sigma$ is a set of finite places of $E$, containing all the places which do not divide $p$, and $\{E_\lambda, 0\}_{\lambda \in \Sigma}$ is a family of pairwise $E$-compatible $E_\lambda$-coefficient objects (as in (iv)), one for each $\lambda \in \Sigma$ (Theorem 3.7.2).

We use the new tools, presented above, to extend the results of $\lambda$-independence of the monodromy groups. Let $F$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $x$ an $F$-point of $X_0$. For every $\lambda \in \Sigma$, let $G(E_\lambda, 0, x)$ be the arithmetic monodromy group of $E_\lambda, 0$ and $G(E_\lambda, x)$ its geometric monodromy group (see Definition 3.2.3). We generalize the result of Serre and Larsen–Pink on the $\lambda$-independence of the $\pi_0$ of the monodromy groups (see [Ser00] and [LP95, Proposition 2.2]).

Theorem 1.2.1 (Theorem 4.1.1). The groups of connected components of $G(E_\lambda, 0, x)$ and $G(E_\lambda, x)$ are independent of $\lambda$.

To prove such a theorem for overconvergent $F$-isocrystals we have to relate their monodromy groups with the étale fundamental group of $X_0$. This is done in §3.3 and relies on some previous work done by Crew in [Cre92]. Then the proof follows [LP95, Proposition 2.2].

We assume now, in addition, that for every $\lambda \in \Sigma$, the coefficient object $E_\lambda, 0$ is semisimple. Denote by $\rho_{\lambda, 0}$ the tautological representation of $G(E_\lambda, 0, x)$. We obtain the following generalization of [Chi04, Theorem 1.4].
Theorem 1.2.2 (Theorem 4.3.2). After possibly replacing $E$ with a finite extension, there exists a connected split reductive group $G_0$ over $E$ such that, for every $\lambda \in \Sigma$, the extension of scalars $G_0 \otimes_E E_\lambda$ is isomorphic to the neutral component of $G(E_{\lambda,0})$. Moreover, there exists a faithful $E$-linear representation $\rho_0$ of $G_0$ and isomorphisms $\varphi_{\lambda,0} : G_0 \otimes_E E_\lambda \cong G(E_{\lambda,0})$ for every $\lambda \in \Sigma$ such that $\rho_0 \otimes_E E_\lambda$ is isomorphic to $\rho_{\lambda,0} \circ \varphi_{\lambda,0}$.

Notice that in Theorem 1.2.2 we have removed from [ibid., Theorem 1.4] the purity and $p$-plain assumptions (cf. §3.1.15). Chin proves his result exploiting a reconstruction theorem for connected split reductive groups (Theorem 4.3.4). To apply his theorem, he extends the result of Serre in [Ser00] on Frobenius tori of étale lisse sheaves in [Chi04, Lemma 6.4]. We further generalize Chin’s result on Frobenius tori.

Theorem 1.2.3 (Theorem 4.2.10). Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be an algebraic coefficient object over $X_0$. There exists a Zariski-dense subset $\Delta \subseteq X(\mathbb{F})$ such that for every $\mathbb{F}$-point $x \in \Delta$ and every object $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle$, the torus $T(\mathcal{F}_0, x)$ is a maximal torus of $G(\mathcal{F}_0, x)$. Moreover, if $G_0$ is a coefficient object compatible with $\mathcal{E}_0$, the subset $\Delta$ satisfies the same property for the objects in $\langle G_0 \rangle$.

We first prove Theorem 1.2.3 for algebraic étale lisse sheaves, by improving Serre’s finiteness result in Corollary 4.2.7. This is done using Deligne’s conjectures. Then we deduce the general case using a dimension data argument due to Larsen and Pink (see Proposition 4.2.8). Thanks to Theorem 1.2.3, we are able to prove Theorem 1.2.2 following Chin’s method. Theorem 1.2.3 is also used in [AD18] as a starting point to prove some rigidity results for the convergent $F$-isocrystals which admit an overconvergent extension. Thanks to Theorem 1.2.2 we are able to prove a semi-simplicity statement for the Frobenius at closed points.

Corollary 1.2.4 (Corollary 4.3.9). Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be a semi-simple $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-coefficient object. The set of closed points where the Frobenius is semi-simple is Zariski-dense in $X_0$.

This result is proven in [LP92, Proposition 7.2] for étale lisse sheaves. For overconvergent $F$-isocrystals, the statement is new and it is obtained using Theorem 1.2.2. We will need the full strength of Theorem 1.2.2, as we will also use the independence of the tautological representation of compatible coefficient objects. Another outcome of the previous techniques, is an independence result for the Lefschetz theorem for coefficient objects.

Theorem 1.2.5 (Theorem 4.4.2). Let $f_0 : (Y_0, y) \to (X_0, x)$ be a morphism of geometrically connected smooth pointed varieties. Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ be compatible geometrically semi-simple coefficient objects over $X_0$. Denote by $\varphi_0 : G(f_0^* \mathcal{E}_0, y) \to G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$ and $\psi_0 : G(f_0^* \mathcal{F}_0, y) \to G(\mathcal{F}_0, x)$ the morphisms induced by $f_0^*$ and by $\varphi$ and $\psi$ their restriction to the geometric monodromy groups.

(i) If $\varphi$ is an isomorphism, the same is true for $\psi$.

(ii) If $\varphi_0$ is an isomorphism, the same is true for $\psi_0$.

We give a “cheap” proof of Theorem 1.2.5 which for $\iota$-mixed coefficient objects avoids Deligne’s conjecture. The proof relies on the Tannakian lemma [AE16, Lemma 1.6].

1.3. Relations with previous works. In [Pal15, Theorem 8.23], Pál gives a proof of a special case of Theorem 1.2.2 for curves. It relies on a strong Čebotarev density theorem for overconvergent $F$-isocrystals [ibid., Theorem 4.13], which is now proven in [HP18]. Using the result
on Frobenius tori, we do not use Hartl–Pál’s theorem. It is also worth mentioning that in [Dri18], Drinfeld proves the independence of the entire arithmetic monodromy groups (not only the neutral component), over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). He uses a stronger representation-theoretic reconstruction theorem (see Remark 4.3.11). With Frobenius tori, we prove in addition the existence of a number field \( E \) such that, for every \( \lambda \in \Sigma \), the algebraic group \( G(\mathcal{E}_\lambda, 0, x) \) is split reductive over \( E_{\lambda} \).

1.4. The structure of the article. We define in §3.1 the categories of coefficient objects and geometric coefficient objects, and we prove some basic results. We also recall some definitions related to the characteristic polynomials of the Frobenius at closed points, and we show that \( p \)-plain (cf. §3.1.15) lisse sheaves are étale (Proposition 3.1.17).

In §3.2, we define the arithmetic and the geometric monodromy groups of coefficient objects, using the Tannakian formalism. We also introduce the Tannakian fundamental groups classifying coefficient objects and geometric coefficient objects. We present a fundamental exact sequence relating these groups (Proposition 3.2.6). The result is essentially all proven in the appendix for general neutral Tannakian categories with Frobenius. Then in §3.3 we show that the groups of connected components of these fundamental groups are isomorphic to the arithmetic and the geometric étale fundamental group (Proposition 3.3.3). We also prove a complementary result, namely Proposition 3.3.4.

In §3.4 we recall the main result on rank 1 coefficient objects (Theorem 3.4.1). We introduce in §3.4.3 the notion of type and we prove some structural properties for them. In §3.5 we recollect some theorems from Weil II that are now known for coefficient objects of both kinds. For example, the main theorem on weights (Theorem 3.5.1). We present in §3.6 the state of Deligne’s conjectures. In §3.7 we give the definition of compatible systems of lisse sheaves and overconvergent \( F \)-isocrystals and we state a stronger formulation of the companions conjecture in Theorem 3.7.2, due to the work of Chin.

In §4, we investigate the properties of \( \lambda \)-independence of the monodromy groups varying in a compatible system of coefficient objects. We start by proving in §4.1 the \( \lambda \)-independence of the groups of connected components, generalizing the theorem of Serre and Larsen–Pink (Theorem 1.2.1). In §4.2 we extend the theory of Frobenius tori to algebraic coefficient objects and we prove Theorem 1.2.3. In §4.3 we prove Theorem 1.2.2 and Corollary 1.2.4 and in §4.4 we prove Theorem 1.2.5.

1.5. Acknowledgements. I am grateful to my advisors Hélène Esnault and Vasudevan Srinivas for the helpful discussions. In particular, I thank Hélène Esnault for having spotted a gap in the original proof of Theorem 4.4.2. I have also greatly benefited from many conversations with Emiliano Ambrosi on the contents of the article. I thank Abe Tomoyuki, who has kindly answered my questions on isocrystals and for all the valuable comments on a draft of this text. I thank Anna Cadoret, Chun Yin Hui, and Kiran Kedlaya for feedback.

2. Notation and conventions

2.0.1. We fix a prime number \( p \) and a positive power \( q \). Let \( \mathbb{F}_q \) be a field with \( q \) elements and \( \mathbb{F} \) an algebraic closure of \( \mathbb{F}_q \). For every positive integer \( s \) we denote by \( \mathbb{F}_q^s \) the subfield of \( \mathbb{F} \) with \( q^s \) elements. If \( k \) is a field we will say that a separated scheme of finite type over \( k \) is a variety over \( k \). A curve will be a one dimensional variety. We denote by \( X_0 \) a smooth variety...
over some finite field \( k \). If \( k \) is not specified, then it is assumed to be \( \mathbb{F}_q \). In this case, we denote by \( X \) the extension of scalars \( X_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F} \) over \( \mathbb{F} \). In general, we denote with a subscript \( q \) objects and morphisms defined over \( \mathbb{F}_q \), and the suppression of the subscript will mean the extension to \( \mathbb{F} \). We write \( k_{X_0} \) for the algebraic closure of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) in \( \Gamma(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}) \). Sometimes it will be useful to consider \( X_0 \) as a variety over \( k_{X_0} \), just changing the structural morphism.

We denote by \( |X_0| \) the set of closed points of \( X_0 \). If \( x_0 \) is a closed point of \( X_0 \), the degree of \( x_0 \) will be \( \deg(x_0) := |\kappa(x_0) : \mathbb{F}_q| \). A variety is said (\( \mathbb{F} \)-)pointed if it is endowed with the choice of an \( \mathbb{F} \)-point. A morphism of pointed varieties \( (Y_0, y) \rightarrow (X_0, x) \) is a morphism of varieties \( Y_0 \rightarrow X_0 \) which sends \( y \) to \( x \). An \( \mathbb{F} \)-point \( x \) of \( X_0 \) determines a unique closed point of the variety that we denote by \( x_0 \). Moreover, \( x \) determines an identification \( k_{X_0} = \mathbb{F}_q^s \), for some \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \).

### 2.0.2. The letter \( \ell \) will denote a prime number. In general we allow \( \ell \) to be equal to \( p \). We fix an algebraic closure \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \) of \( \mathbb{Q} \). For every number field \( E \), we denote by \( |E|_\ell \) the set of finite places of \( E \) dividing \( \ell \). We define \( |E|_{\ell \neq p} := \bigcup_{\ell \neq p} |E|_\ell \) and \( |E| := \bigcup_{\ell} |E|_\ell \). We choose in a compatible way, for every number field \( E \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \) and every \( \lambda \in |E| \), a completion of \( E \) by \( \lambda \), denoted by \( E_\lambda \).

For every prime \( \ell \), we denote by \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \) the union of all the \( E_\lambda \), when \( E \) varies among the number fields \( E \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \) and \( \lambda \) is any place in \( |E|_\ell \). If \( K \) is a field of characteristic 0, an element \( a \in K \) is said to be an algebraic number if it is algebraic over \( \mathbb{Q} \). If \( a \) is an algebraic number we will say that it is \( p \)-plain\(^2\) if it is an \( \ell \)-adic unit for every \( \ell \neq p \).

### 2.1. Tannakian categories and affine group schemes.

#### 2.1.1. Let \( K \) be a field. We denote by \( \textbf{Vec}_K \) the category of finite dimensional \( K \)-vector spaces. A Tannakian category over \( K \) will be a rigid abelian symmetric \( \otimes \)-category \( C \) together with an isomorphism \( \text{End}(1) \simeq K \), that admits a faithful exact \( K \)-linear \( \otimes \)-functor \( \omega : C \rightarrow \textbf{Vec}_L \), for some field extension \( K \subseteq L \). We will call such a functor a fibre functor of \( C \) over \( L \). If in addition \( C \) admits a fibre functor over \( K \) itself, we say that \( C \) is a neutral Tannakian category.

For every Tannakian category \( C \) over \( K \), we say that an object in \( C \) is a trivial object if it is isomorphic to \( 1^\otimes n \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). We say that an object \( V \in C \) is irreducible if the only subobjects of \( V \) are 0 and \( V \) itself. We say that \( V \in C \) is absolutely irreducible if for every finite extension \( L/K \), the extension of scalars \( V \otimes_K L \) is irreducible. A Tannakian subcategory of \( C \) is a strictly full abelian subcategory, closed under \( \otimes \), duals, subobjects (and thus quotients). If \( V \) is an object of \( C \), we denote by \( \langle V \rangle \) the smallest Tannakian subcategory of \( C \) containing \( V \).

#### 2.1.2. If \( \omega \) is a fibre functor of \( C \), over an extension \( L \), the affine group scheme \( \text{Aut}^\otimes(\omega) \) over \( L \) will be the Tannakian group of \( C \) with respect to \( \omega \). For every object \( V \in C \), a fibre functor \( \omega \) of \( C \) induces, by restriction, a fibre functor for the Tannakian category \( \langle V \rangle \), that we will denote again by \( \omega \). We also say that the Tannakian group of \( \langle V \rangle \) with respect to \( \omega \) is the monodromy group of \( V \) (with respect to \( \omega \)). If the monodromy group of \( V \) is finite, we say that \( V \) is a finite object.

\(^2\)This is an abbreviation for the expression plain of characteristic \( p \) in [Chi04].
2.1.3. For every affine group scheme $G$, we denote by $\pi_0(G)$ the group of connected components of $G$ and $G^0$ will be the connected component of $G$ containing the neutral element, called the neutral component of $G$. When $G$ is an algebraic group, the reductive rank of $G$ will be the dimension of any maximal torus of $G$.

2.1.4. Let $\varphi : G \to H$ be a morphism of affine group schemes over $\mathbb{K}$ and let $f : \text{Rep}_\mathbb{K}(H) \to \text{Rep}_\mathbb{K}(G)$ be the induced restriction functor. By [DM82, Proposition 2.21], the morphism $\varphi$ is faithfully flat if and only if the functor $f$ is fully faithful and it is closed under the operation of taking subobjects. Moreover, $\varphi$ is a closed immersion if and only if every object of $\text{Rep}_\mathbb{K}(G)$ is a subquotient of an object in the essential image of $f$. In particular, if for a tensor generator $V$ of $\text{Rep}_\mathbb{K}(H)$ (cf. ibid.), the object $f(V)$ is a tensor generator of $\text{Rep}_\mathbb{K}(G)$, then $\varphi$ is a closed immersion. We will repeatedly use these facts in the article without further comments. For simplicity, when $\mathbb{K}$ is a characteristic 0 field, we will say that a morphism between affine group schemes $\varphi : G \to H$ is surjective if it is faithfully flat and we will say that $\varphi$ is injective if it is a closed immersion.

2.2. Weil lisse sheaves. We mainly use the notations and conventions for lisse sheaves as in [Del80].

2.2.1. If $x$ is a geometric point of $X_0$, we denote by $\pi_1^{\acute{e}t}(X_0, x)$ and $\pi_1^{\acute{e}t}(X, x)$ the étale fundamental groups of $X_0$ and $X$ respectively. If $k$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\overline{k}$ is an algebraic closure of $k$, the inverse of the $q^{[k: \mathbb{F}_q]}$-power Frobenius will be the geometric Frobenius of $k$ (with respect to $\overline{k}$). We denote by $F$ the geometric Frobenius of $\mathbb{F}_q$ with respect to $\mathbb{F}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we denote by $W(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ the Weil group of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ (it is generated by $F^n$). We also denote by $W(X_0, x)$ the Weil group of $X_0$.

Let $x'_0$ be a closed point of $X_0$ in the same connected component of $x$. For any choice of a geometric point $x'$ over $x'_0$, the geometric Frobenius of $x'_0$ with respect to $x'$ determines by functoriality an element $\gamma \in W(X_0, x')$. If we choose an étale path from $x'$ to $x$, it induces an isomorphism $W(X_0, x') \simto W(X_0, x)$. The conjugacy class of the image of $\gamma$ in $W(X_0, x)$, that we will denote by $F_{x'_0} \subseteq W(X_0, x)$, depends only on $x'_0$. The elements in $F_{x'_0}$ will be the Frobenii at $x'_0$.

2.2.2. For every $\ell \neq p$ we have a category $\text{LS}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ of lisse $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$-sheaves over $X$, that is the 2-colimit of the categories $\text{LS}(X, E_\lambda)$ of lisse $E_\lambda$-sheaves, where $E_\lambda$ varies among the finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$. If $X_0$ is not geometrically connected over $\mathbb{F}_q$ then these categories are not Tannakian (the unit objects have too many endomorphisms). If $x$ is a geometric point of $X_0$, we denote by $X^{(x)}$ the connected component of $X$ containing $x$. The categories $\text{LS}(X^{(x)}, E_\lambda)$ and $\text{LS}(X^{(x)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ are then always neutral Tannakian categories.

If $\mathcal{V}$ is a lisse $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$-sheaf on $X$, an $n$-th Frobenius structure on $\mathcal{V}$ is an action of $W(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ on the pair $(X, \mathcal{V})$ such that $W(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ acts on $X = X_0 \otimes \mathbb{F}$ via the natural action on $\mathbb{F}$. An $n$-th Frobenius structure is equivalent to the datum of an isomorphism $(F^n)^* \mathcal{V} \simto \mathcal{V}$. The category of lisse $E_\lambda$-sheaves equipped with a 1-st Frobenius structure will be the category of Weil lisse $E_\lambda$-sheaves of $X_0$, denoted by $\text{Weil}(X_0, E_\lambda)$. The categories $\text{Weil}(X_0, E_\lambda)$ are Tannakian. We will often refer to Weil lisse sheaves simply as lisse sheaves of $X_0$.

For every geometric point $x$ of $X_0$ and every $E_\lambda$ we define a functor 

$$\Psi_{x, E_\lambda} : \text{Weil}(X_0, E_\lambda) \to \text{LS}(X, E_\lambda) \to \text{LS}(X^{(x)}, E_\lambda)$$
where the first functor forgets the Frobenius structure and the second one is the inverse image functor with respect to the open immersion $X^{(x)} \hookrightarrow X$. If $\mathcal{V}_0$ is a Weil lisse sheaf, we remove the subscript $0$ to indicate the lisse sheaf $\Psi_{x, E}(\mathcal{V}_0)$.

2.2.3. If we fix a geometric point $x$ of $X_0$, there exists an equivalence between the category of Weil lisse $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaves over $X_0$ and the finite-dimensional continuous $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-representations of the Weil group $W(X_0, x)$. The equivalence sends a Weil lisse sheaf $\mathcal{V}_0$ to the representation of $W(X_0, x)$ on the stalk $\mathcal{V}_x$. A Weil lisse sheaf such that the associated representation of the Weil group factors through the étale fundamental group will be an étale lisse sheaf.

2.2.4. Notation as in §2.2.3. If $\mathcal{V}_0$ is a Weil lisse $E_\lambda$-sheaf, for every closed point $x'_0 \in |X_0|$ the elements in $F_{x_0}$ act on $\mathcal{V}_{x'}$. Even if these automorphisms are a priori different, their characteristic polynomials do not change. We define (with a small abuse of notation) $P_{x_0}(\mathcal{V}_0, t) := \det(1 - tF_{x_0}|\mathcal{V}_x) \in E_\lambda[t]$. This will be the (Frobenius) characteristic polynomial of $\mathcal{V}_0$ at $x'_0$.

For every natural number $n$, a lisse $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf is said to be pure of weight $n$, if for every closed point $x'_0$ of $X_0$, the eigenvalues of any element in $F_{x'_0}$ are algebraic numbers and all the conjugates have complex absolute value $(\#k(x_0))^n/2$. If $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_k \simeq \mathbb{C}$ and $w$ is a real number, we say that a lisse sheaf is $\iota$-pure of $\iota$-weight $w$ if for every closed point $x'_0$ of $X_0$ the eigenvalues of $F_{x'_0}$, after applying $\iota$, have complex absolute value $(\#k(x'_0))^w/2$. Moreover, we say that a lisse $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf is mixed (resp. $\iota$-mixed) if it admits a filtration of lisse $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf with pure (resp. $\iota$-pure) successive quotients.

2.3. Overconvergent $F$-isocrystals.

2.3.1. Let $k$ be a perfect field. We denote by $W(k)$ the ring of $p$-typical Witt vectors over $k$ and by $K(k)$ its fraction field. For every $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{qs}$ the ring of Witt vectors over $\mathbb{F}_{qs}$ and by $\mathbb{Q}_{qs}$ its fraction field. We suppose chosen compatible morphisms $\mathbb{Q}_{qs} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

Let $X_0$ be a smooth variety over $k$, we denote by $\text{Isoc}^1(X_0/K(k))$ the category of Berthelot’s overconvergent isocrystals of $X_0$ over $K(k)$. See [Ber96a] for a precise definition and [Cre87] or [Ked16] for a shorter presentation. The category $\text{Isoc}^1(X_0/K(k))$ is a $K(k)$-linear rigid abelian $\otimes$-category, with unit object $\mathcal{O}^\vee_{X_0}$, that we will denote by $K(k)_{X_0}$. The endomorphism ring of $K(k)_{X_0}$ is isomorphic to $K(k)^s$, where $s$ is the number of connected components of $X$.

We will recall now the notation for the extension of scalars and the Frobenius structure of overconvergent isocrystals. We mainly refer to [Abe18, §1.4].

2.3.2. For every finite extension $K(k) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ we denote by $\text{Isoc}^1(X_0/K(k))_{\mathbb{K}}$ the category of $\mathbb{K}$-linear overconvergent isocrystals of $X_0$ over $K(k)$, namely the category of pairs $(\mathcal{M}, \gamma)$, where $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Isoc}^1(X_0/K(k))$ and $\gamma : \mathbb{K} \to \text{End}(\mathcal{M})$ is a morphism of (noncommutative) $K(k)$-algebras, called the $\mathbb{K}$-structure. The morphisms in $\text{Isoc}^1(X_0/K(k))_{\mathbb{K}}$ are morphisms of overconvergent isocrystals over $K(k)$ which commute with the $\mathbb{K}$-structure. We will often omit $\gamma$ in the notation.

For $(\mathcal{M}, \gamma), (\mathcal{M}', \gamma') \in \text{Isoc}^1(X_0/K(k))_{\mathbb{K}}$, their tensor product in $\text{Isoc}^1(X_0/K(k))_{\mathbb{K}}$ is defined in the following way. We start by considering the tensor product of the two isocrystals $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$ in $\text{Isoc}^1(X_0/K(k))$. On this object $\mathbb{K}$ acts via $\gamma \otimes \text{id}$ and $\text{id} \otimes \gamma'$ at the same time. We define $\mathcal{N}$ as the greatest quotient of $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$ such that the two $\mathbb{K}$-structures agree. Then we define $\delta$ as the unique $\mathbb{K}$-structure induced on $\mathcal{N}$. Finally, we define $(\mathcal{M}, \gamma) \otimes (\mathcal{M}', \gamma') := (\mathcal{N}, \delta)$. 
2.3.3. If \( \mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{L} \) are finite extensions of \( K(k) \) and \( \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d\} \) is a basis of \( \mathbb{L} \) over \( \mathbb{K} \) we define a functor of extension of scalars

\[
(-) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{L} : \text{Isoc}^{\dagger}(X_0/K(k))_{\mathbb{K}} \to \text{Isoc}^{\dagger}(X_0/K(k))_{\mathbb{L}}.
\]

An object \((\mathcal{M}, \gamma) \in \text{Isoc}^{\dagger}(X_0/K(k))_{\mathbb{K}}\) is sent to \((\bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} \mathcal{M}_i, \delta)\), where \(\{\mathcal{M}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq d}\) are copies of \(\mathcal{M}\) and \(\delta\) is defined as follows. We denote by \(\iota_i\) the inclusion of \(\mathcal{M}_i\) in the direct sum. For every \(\alpha \in \mathbb{L}\) and \(1 \leq i \leq d\), we write \(\alpha \cdot \iota_i = \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{ij} \alpha_j\), where \(a_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}\). The restriction of \(\delta(\alpha)\) to \(\mathcal{M}_i\) is defined as \(\sum_{j=1}^{d} \iota_j \circ \gamma(a_{ij})\). Different choices of a basis of \(\mathbb{L}\) over \(\mathbb{K}\) induce functors that are canonically isomorphic.

2.3.4. For every finite field \(k\), and for every finite extension \(K(k) \subseteq \mathbb{K}\) we choose as a unit object of \(\text{Isoc}^{\dagger}(X_0/K(k))_{\mathbb{K}}\), the object \(\mathcal{K}X_0 := K(k)X_0 \otimes \mathbb{K}\). We have endowed \(\text{Isoc}^{\dagger}(X_0/K(kX_0))_{\mathbb{K}}\) with a structure of a \(\mathbb{K}\)-linear rigid abelian \(\otimes\)-category. When \(X_0\) is geometrically connected over \(k\), the endomorphism ring of \(\mathcal{K}X_0\) is isomorphic to \(\mathbb{K}\).

2.3.5. We want to define now the inverse image functor for overconvergent isocrystals with \(\mathbb{K}\)-structure. Suppose given a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & X_0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})
\end{array}
\]

that we will denote by \(f_0 : Y_0/\mathbb{F}_{q^s} \to X_0/\mathbb{F}_{q^t}\) with \(1 \leq s \leq t\).

We have a naive inverse image functor \(f_0^+ : \text{Isoc}^{\dagger}(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_{q^s})_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^s}} \to \text{Isoc}^{\dagger}(Y_0/\mathbb{Q}_{q^t})_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^t}}\) sending \((\mathcal{M}, \gamma)\) to \((f_0^+ \mathcal{M}, f_0^+ \gamma)\), where \(f_0^+ \mathcal{M}\) is the inverse image of \(\mathcal{M}\) to \(Y_0\) as an overconvergent isocrystal over \(\mathbb{Q}_{q^s}\) and \(f_0^+ \gamma\) is the \(\mathbb{Q}_{q^t}\)-structure on \(f_0^+ \mathcal{M}\), given by the composition of \(\gamma\) with the morphism \(\text{End}(\mathcal{M}) \to \text{End}(f_0^+ \mathcal{M})\), induced by \(f_0^+\). The functor \(f_0^+\) does not commute in general with the tensor structure, thus one needs to “normalize” it.

The isocrystal \(f_0^+ \mathcal{M}\) is endowed with two \(\mathbb{Q}_{q^t}\)-structures. One is \(f_0^+ \gamma\), the other is the structural \(\mathbb{Q}_{q^t}\)-structure as an object in \(\text{Isoc}^{\dagger}(Y_0/\mathbb{Q}_{q^t})\). We define \(f_0^+(\mathcal{M}, \gamma)\) as the greatest quotient of \(f_0^+(\mathcal{M}, \gamma)\) such that the two \(\mathbb{Q}_{q^t}\)-structures agree. We equip it with the unique induced \(\mathbb{Q}_{q^t}\)-structure. For every finite extension \(\mathbb{Q}_{q^s} \subseteq \mathbb{K}\), this construction extends to a functor \(f_0^+ : \text{Isoc}^{\dagger}(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_{q^s})_{\mathbb{K}} \to \text{Isoc}^{\dagger}(Y_0/\mathbb{Q}_{q^t})_{\mathbb{K}}\). This will be the inverse image functor we will mainly use.

2.3.6. We denote by \(F : X_0 \to X_0\) the \(q\)-power Frobenius\(^3\). Let \(\mathbb{K}\) be a finite extension for \(\mathbb{Q}_q\). For every \(\mathcal{M} \in \text{Isoc}^{\dagger}(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_{\mathbb{K}}\) and every \(n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\), an isomorphism between \((F^n)^* \mathcal{M}\) and \(\mathcal{M}\) will be an \(n\)-th Frobenius structure of \(\mathcal{M}\). We denote by \(\text{F-Isoc}^{\dagger}(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_{\mathbb{K}}\) the category of overconvergent \(F\)-isocrystals with \(\mathbb{K}\)-structure, namely the category of pairs \((\mathcal{M}, \Phi)\) where \(\mathcal{M} \in \text{Isoc}^{\dagger}(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_{\mathbb{K}}\) and \(\Phi\) is a 1-st Frobenius structure of \(\mathcal{M}\), called the Frobenius structure of the \(F\)-isocrystal. The morphisms in \(\text{F-Isoc}^{\dagger}(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_{\mathbb{K}}\) are the morphisms in \(\text{Isoc}^{\dagger}(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_{\mathbb{K}}\) that commute with the Frobenius structure. For every positive integer \(n\), the isomorphism

\[
\Phi_n := \Phi \circ F^* \Phi \circ \cdots \circ (F^{n-1})^* \Phi
\]

\(^3\)The letter \(F\) will denote two different types of Frobenius endomorphisms, depending if we are working with lisse sheaves or isocrystals.
will be the \(n\)-th Frobenius structure of \((\mathcal{M}, \Phi)\). The category \(\textbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_K\) is a \(K\)-linear rigid abelian \(\otimes\)-category. In this case, if \(X_0\) is connected, but not necessarily geometrically connected, the ring of endomorphisms of the unit object is isomorphic to \(K\).

When \(X_0\) is a smooth variety over \(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}\), for every finite extension \(\mathbb{Q}_{q^s} \subseteq K\), the category of \(K\)-linear isocrystals over \(\mathbb{Q}_{q^s}\) with \(s\)-th Frobenius structure is equivalent to the category \(\textbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_K\) (see [Abe18, Corollary 1.4.11]). We will use this equivalence without further comments.

2.3.7. We extend the functor of the extension of scalars to \(F\)-isocrystals, imposing \((\mathcal{M}, \Phi) \otimes_K L := (\mathcal{M} \otimes_K L, \Phi \otimes_K \text{id}_L)_L\), where \(\Phi \otimes_K \text{id}_L\) is a map from \(F^s\mathcal{M} \otimes_K L = F^s(\mathcal{M} \otimes_K L)\) to \(\mathcal{M} \otimes_K L\).

Let \(f_0 : Y_0 \to X_0\) be a morphism, for every extension \(\mathbb{Q}_q \subseteq K\), the functor \(f_0^*\) defined in §2.3.5 for \(K\)-linear overconvergent isocrystals extends to a functor

\[ f_0^* : \textbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_K \to \textbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(Y_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_K \]

which sends \((\mathcal{M}, \Phi)\) to \((f_0^\dagger \mathcal{M}, f_0^\dagger(\Phi))\). If \((X_0, x)\) is a smooth pointed variety, geometrically connected over \(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}\) and \(K\) is a finite extension of \(\mathbb{Q}_{q^s}\), the natural morphism \(f_0 : X_0/\mathbb{F}_{q^s} \to X_0/\mathbb{F}_{q^s}\) induces a functor

\[ \Psi_{x, K} : \textbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_K \to \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_K \to \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_{q^s})_K \]

which sends \((\mathcal{M}, \Psi)\) to \(f_0^\dagger \mathcal{M}\). We denote the objects in \(\textbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_K\) with a subscript 0 and we will remove it when we consider the image by \(\Psi_{x, K}\) in \(\text{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_{q^s})_K\).

2.3.8. For every finite extension \(K(k) \subseteq K\), the category \(\text{Isoc}^\dagger(\text{Spec}(k)/K(k))_K\) is equivalent to \(\textbf{Vec}_K\) as a rigid abelian \(\otimes\)-category. Moreover, if \(k \subseteq k'\) is an extension of finite fields, and \(K(k') \subseteq K\), the Tannakian category \(\textbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(\text{Spec}(k')/K(k))_K\) is equivalent to the category of (finite-dimensional) \(K\)-vector spaces endowed with an automorphism.

2.3.9. Let \((X_0, x)\) be a smooth pointed variety, geometrically connected over \(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}\). Let \(E_\lambda\) be a finite extension of \(\mathbb{Q}_{q^s}\) in \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p\). The category \(\text{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_{q^s})_{E_\lambda}\) admits a fibre functor over some finite extension of \(E_\lambda\). Assume that \(\deg(x_0) = n\). Let \(i_0 : x_0/\mathbb{F}_{q^s} \hookrightarrow X_0/\mathbb{F}_{q^s}\) the immersion of the closed point \(x_0\) in \(X_0\) (notation as in §2.3.5). Let \(E_\lambda^{(x_0)}\) be the compositum of \(E_\lambda\) and \(\mathbb{Q}_{q^n}\) in \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p\). Then the functor

\[ \omega_{x, E_\lambda} : \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_{q^s})_{E_\lambda} \otimes_{E_\lambda} E_\lambda^{(x_0)} \to \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_{q^s})_{E_\lambda^{(x_0)}} \overset{i_0^*}{\to} \text{Isoc}^\dagger(x_0/\mathbb{Q}_{q^n})_{E_\lambda^{(x_0)}} \simeq \textbf{Vec}_{E_\lambda^{(x_0)}} \]

is a fibre functor, as proven in [Cre92, Lemma 1.8]. This means that for every finite extension \(E_\lambda\) of \(\mathbb{Q}_{q^s}\), the category \(\text{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_{q^s})_{E_\lambda}\) is Tannakian. Moreover, the composition of \(\Psi_{x, E_\lambda}\) with \(\omega_{x, E_\lambda}\) is a fibre functor for \(\textbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_{E_\lambda}\) over \(E_\lambda^{(x_0)}\), that we will denote by the same symbol. Thus, for every finite extension \(\mathbb{Q}_{q^s} \subseteq E_\lambda\), the category \(\textbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_{E_\lambda}\) is Tannakian.\(^4\)

\(^4\)The category \(\textbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_{E_\lambda}\) is actually Tannakian even when \(E_\lambda\) is just a finite extension of \(\mathbb{Q}_q\). For simplicity, in what follows, we will mainly work with finite extensions of \(\mathbb{Q}_{q^s}\), in order to make \(\text{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_{q^s})_{E_\lambda}\) a Tannakian category.
2.3.10. Let \( i_0 : x'_0 \hookrightarrow X_0 \) be the immersion of a closed point of degree \( n \). Let \( K \) be a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_q \) and \( \mathbb{L} \) a finite extension of \( K \) which contains \( \mathbb{Q}_q^n \). For every \( \mathcal{M}_0 \in \textbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(\mathbb{Q}_q/K) \), we denote by \( F_{x'_0} \) the \( n \)-th Frobenius structure of \( i_0^*(\mathcal{M}_0) \otimes_K \mathbb{L} \). This will be the (linearized geometric) Frobenius of \( \mathcal{M}_0 \) at \( x'_0 \). By \( \S 2.3.8 \), it corresponds to a linear automorphism of an \( \mathbb{L} \)-vector space. The characteristic polynomial

\[
P_{x'_0}(\mathcal{M}_0, t) := \det(1 - tF_{x'_0}|i_0^*(\mathcal{M}_0) \otimes_K \mathbb{L}) \in K[t]
\]

will be the (Frobenius) characteristic polynomial of \( \mathcal{M}_0 \) at \( x'_0 \). It is independent of the choice of \( i_0 \) and \( \mathbb{L} \).

In analogy with lisse sheaves, we say that overconvergent \( F \)-isocrystals are pure, \( v \)-pure, mixed or \( e \)-mixed, if they satisfy the similar conditions on the eigenvalues of the Frobenii at closed points.

3. Generalities

3.1. Coefficient objects. Let \( X_0 \) be a smooth variety over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Following [Ked18], we use a notation to work with lisse sheaves and overconvergent \( F \)-isocrystals at the same time.

**Definition 3.1.1** (Coefficient objects). For every prime \( \ell \neq p \) and every finite field extension \( K/Q_\ell \), a \( K \)-coefficient object will be a Weil lisse \( K \)-sheaf. If \( K \) is a finite field extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_q \), a \( K \)-coefficient object will be an object in \( \textbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(\mathbb{Q}_q/K) \). For a field \( K \) of one of the two kinds, we denote by \( \text{Coef}(X_0, K) \) the category of \( K \)-coefficient objects. For every object in \( \text{Coef}(X_0, K) \), the field \( K \) will be its field of scalars. A coefficient object will be a \( K \)-coefficient object for some unspecified field of scalars \( K \). For every prime \( \ell \), the 2-colimit of the categories \( \text{Coef}(X_0, E_\lambda) \) with \( E_\lambda \subseteq \overline{Q_\ell} \) will be the category of \( \overline{Q_\ell} \)-coefficient objects and it will be denoted by \( \text{Coef}(X_0, \overline{Q_\ell}) \).

We will also work with a category of geometric coefficient objects. This is built from the category of coefficient objects by forgetting the Frobenius structure. To get Tannakian categories, in this case, we will put an additional assumption on the fields of scalars.

**Notation 3.1.2.** From now on in the article, except when explicitly stated otherwise, \( (X_0, x) \) will be a smooth pointed variety over \( \mathbb{F}_q \), geometrically connected over \( \mathbb{F}_q^s \) for some \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \).

**Definition 3.1.3** (Admissible fields). We say that a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_q^s \) is a \( p \)-adic admissible field (for \( X_0 \)). To uniformize the notation, when \( e \) is a prime different from \( p \), we also say that every finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_e \) is an \( \ell \)-adic admissible field. We will refer to this second kind of fields as étale admissible fields. When \( E_\lambda \) is an admissible field, we will say that the place \( \lambda \) is admissible.

**Definition 3.1.4** (Geometric coefficient objects). For every \( p \)-adic admissible field \( K \), we have a functor of Tannakian categories \( \Psi_{x,K} : \textbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(\mathbb{Q}_q/K) \rightarrow \textbf{Isoc}^\dagger(\mathbb{Q}_q/K) \) which forgets the Frobenius structure (see \( \S 2.3.7 \)). We denote by \( \text{Coef}(X^x, K) \) the smallest Tannakian subcategory of \( \textbf{Isoc}^\dagger(\mathbb{Q}_q/K) \) containing the essential image of \( \Psi_{x,K} \). We will say that the category \( \text{Coef}(X^x, K) \) is the category of geometric \( K \)-coefficient objects (with respect to \( x \)).

When \( K \) is an étale admissible field, we have again a functor \( \Psi_{x,K} : \text{Weil}(X_0, K) \rightarrow \textbf{LS}(X^x, K) \) which forgets the Frobenius structure (see \( \S 2.2.2 \)). The category of geometric \( K \)-coefficient objects (with respect to \( x \)) will be the smallest Tannakian subcategory of \( \textbf{LS}(X^x, K) \) containing the essential image of \( \Psi_{x,K} \) and it will be denoted by \( \text{Coef}(X^x, K) \).
For every \( \ell \), the category of geometric \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \)-coefficient objects will be the 2-colimit of the categories of geometric \( E_\lambda \)-coefficient objects when \( E_\lambda \) varies among the admissible fields for \( X_0 \) in \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \). It will be denoted by \( \text{Coef}(X^{(x)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \) and \( \Psi_{x, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} \) will be the functor induced by the functors \( \Psi_{x, \mathbb{Q}} \). If \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) is a \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \)-coefficient object, we drop the subscript \( 0 \) to indicate \( \Psi_{x, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(\mathcal{E}_0) \), thus we write \( \mathcal{E} \) for \( \Psi_{x, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(\mathcal{E}_0) \). When \( X_0 \) is geometrically connected over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) we drop the superscript \( (x) \) in the notation for the categories of coefficient objects, as they do not depend on \( x \).

**Remark 3.1.10.** of § the same category as the one considered by Crew to define the fundamental group at the end define, for example, the fundamental group in [Abe18, §Coef](X^{(x)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \rangle \) is a lisse sheaf, we denote by \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) a \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \)-coefficient object \( \mathcal{E}_0 \). We say that \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) is **geometrically semi-simple, geometrically trivial** or geometrically finite if the associated geometric coefficient object \( \mathcal{E} \) is semi-simple, trivial or finite in \( \text{Coef}(X^{(x)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \). Notice that although the object \( \mathcal{E} \) depends on \( x \), these properties for \( \mathcal{E} \) depends only on \( \mathcal{E}_0 \).

**Definition 3.1.5** (Geometric properties). Let \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) a \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \)-coefficient object \( \mathcal{E}_0 \). We say that \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) is geometrically semi-simple, geometrically trivial or geometrically finite if the associated geometric coefficient object \( \mathcal{E} \) is semi-simple, trivial or finite in \( \text{Coef}(X^{(x)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \). Notice that although the object \( \mathcal{E} \) depends on \( x \), these properties for \( \mathcal{E} \) depends only on \( \mathcal{E}_0 \).

**Definition 3.1.6** (Cohomology of coefficient objects). Let \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) be an \( E_\lambda \)-coefficient over \( X_0 \). If \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) is a lisse sheaf, we denote by \( H^i(X^{(x)}, \mathcal{E}) \) (resp. \( H^i_c(X^{(x)}, \mathcal{E}) \)) the \( \lambda \)-adic étale cohomology (resp. the \( \lambda \)-adic étale cohomology with compact support) of \( X^{(x)} \) with coefficients in \( \mathcal{E} \) and by \( H^i(X_0, \mathcal{E}_0) \) (resp. \( H^i_c(X_0, \mathcal{E}_0) \)) the fixed points by the action of \( F^s \) on \( H^i(X^{(x)}, \mathcal{E}) \) (resp. \( H^i_c(X^{(x)}, \mathcal{E}) \)). When \( E_\lambda \) is \( p \)-adic, we denote by \( H^i(X^{(x)}, \mathcal{E}) \) (resp. \( H^i_c(X^{(x)}, \mathcal{E}) \)) the rigid cohomology (resp. the rigid cohomology with compact support) of \( X_0 \) with coefficients in \( \mathcal{E} \). We also denote by \( H^i(X_0, \mathcal{E}_0) \) and \( H^i_c(X_0, \mathcal{E}_0) \) the respective \( E_\lambda \)-vector spaces of fixed points by the action of the \( q^s \)-power absolute Frobenius \( F^s \) of \( X_0 \).

**Remark 3.1.7.** For both kinds of coefficient objects, if \( E_{\lambda,X} \) is the unit object of \( \text{Coef}(X^{(x)}, E_\lambda) \), the \( E_\lambda \)-vector space \( \text{Hom}(E_{\lambda,X}, \mathcal{E}) \) is canonically isomorphic to \( H^0(X^{(x)}, \mathcal{E}) \). We also have a canonical isomorphism between \( \text{Hom}(E_{\lambda,X_0}, \mathcal{E}_0) \) and \( H^0(X_0, \mathcal{E}_0) \), where \( E_{\lambda,X_0} \) is the unit object in \( \text{Coef}(X_0, E_\lambda) \).

**Proposition 3.1.8.** Let \( (X_0, x) \) be a smooth connected pointed variety, geometrically connected over \( \mathbb{F}_q^s \). The functor \( (F^s)^* \) is a \( \otimes \)-autoequivalence of \( \text{Coef}(X^{(x)}, E_\lambda) \). In particular, when \( E_{\lambda,(x_0)} = E_\lambda \), the pair \( (\text{Coef}(X^{(x)}, E_\lambda), (F^s)^*) \) is a neutral Tannakian category with Frobenius, as defined in A.1.1.

**Proof.** For lisse sheaves the result is well-known. In the \( p \)-adic case see [Abe18, Remark in §1.1.3] or [Laz17, Corollary 6.2] for a proof which does not use arithmetic \( \mathcal{D} \)-modules. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.1.9.** Any irreducible object in \( \text{Coef}(X^{(x)}, E_\lambda) \) admits an \( n \)-th Frobenius structure for some \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \).

**Proof.** By definition, an irreducible object \( \mathcal{F} \) in \( \text{Coef}(X^{(x)}, E_\lambda) \) is a subquotient of some geometric coefficient object \( \mathcal{E} \) that admits a Frobenius structure. By Proposition 3.1.8, the functor \( (F^s)^* \) is an autoequivalence, thus it permutes the isomorphism classes of the irreducible subquotients of \( \mathcal{E} \). This implies that there exists \( n > 0 \) such that \( (F^{ns})^* \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F} \), as we wanted. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.1.10.** When \( X_0 \) is geometrically connected over \( \mathbb{F}_q \), the category \( \text{Coef}(X, \mathbb{Q}_q) \) is the same category as the one considered by Crew to define the fundamental group at the end of §2.5 in [Crew92]. A priori, this category is not equivalent to the one considered by Abe to define, for example, the fundamental group in [Abe18, §2.4.17]. By Corollary 3.1.9, the category \( \text{Coef}(X, \mathbb{Q}_q) \) is a Tannakian subcategory of the one defined by Abe.
As an example, we prove the following general statement on Weil lisse sheaves.

Definition 3.1.11. A \( \mathbb{K} \)-coefficient object is said constant if it is geometrically trivial, i.e. if after applying \( \Psi_{x,\mathbb{K}} \) it becomes isomorphic to a direct sum of unit objects. We denote by \( \text{Coeff}_{\text{ct}}(X_0, E_\lambda) \) the (strictly) full subcategory of \( \text{Coeff}(X_0, E_\lambda) \) of constant \( E_\lambda \)-coefficient objects. It is a Tannakian subcategory of \( \text{Coeff}(X_0, E_\lambda) \) which does not depend on \( x \). We define the category of constant \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \)-coefficient objects, as the 2-colimit of the categories of constant \( E_\lambda \)-coefficient objects.

3.1.12. For every prime \( \ell \), the category \( \text{Coeff}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q), \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \) is canonically equivalent to the category of \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \)-vector spaces endowed with an automorphism. For every \( a \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times \) we define \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{(a)} \) as the rank 1 coefficient object over \( \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q) \) associated to the vector space \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \) endowed with the multiplication by \( a \).

Definition. Let \( p_{X_0} : X_0 \to \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q) \) be the structural morphism. For every \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \)-coefficient object \( E_0 \) and every \( a \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times \), we define
\[
E^{(a)}_0 := E_0 \otimes p_{X_0}^* \left( \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{(a)} \right)
\]
as the twist of \( E_0 \) by \( a \). A twist is algebraic if \( a \) is algebraic.

Remark 3.1.13. The operation of twisting coefficient objects by an element \( a \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times \) gives an exact autoequivalence of the category \( \text{Coeff}(X_0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \). In particular, for every coefficient object, the property of being absolutely irreducible is preserved by any twist.

3.1.14. For every \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \)-coefficient object \( E_0 \) of rank \( r \), we can associate at every closed point \( x_0 \) of \( X_0 \) the (Frobenius) characteristic polynomial of \( E_0 \) at \( x_0 \), denoted \( P_{x_0}(E_0, t) = 1 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_r t^r \), where \( (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{-1} \times \mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times \).

Definition. For every coefficient object \( E_0 \), the Frobenius characteristic polynomial function associated to \( E_0 \) is the function of sets \( P_{E_0} : |X_0| \to \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{-1} \times \mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times \) that sends \( x_0 \) to the coefficients of \( P_{x_0}(E_0, t) \).

Definition 3.1.15. Let \( \ell \) be a prime number, \( \mathbb{K} \) a field endowed with an inclusion \( \tau : \mathbb{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell \). We will say that a \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \)-coefficient object \( E_0 \) is \( \mathbb{K} \)-rational with respect to \( \tau \) if the characteristic polynomials at closed points have coefficients in the image of \( \tau \). A \( \mathbb{K} \)-rational coefficient object will be the datum of \( \tau : \mathbb{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell \) and a \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \)-coefficient object that is \( \mathbb{K} \)-rational with respect to \( \tau \). We will also say that an \( E_\lambda \)-coefficient object is \( E \)-rational if it is \( E \)-rational with respect to the natural embedding \( E \hookrightarrow E_\lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\ell \). We say that a coefficient object is algebraic if it is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational for one (or equivalently any) map \( \tau : \mathbb{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell \). A coefficient object is said \( p \)-plain if it is algebraic and all the eigenvalues at closed points are \( p \)-plain (see 2.0.2 for the notation).

We can compare two \( \mathbb{K} \)-rational coefficient objects with different fields of scalars looking at their characteristic polynomial functions.

Definition 3.1.16. Let \( E_0 \) and \( F_0 \) be two coefficient objects that are \( \mathbb{K} \)-rational with respect to \( \tau \) and \( \tau' \) respectively. We say that \( E_0 \) and \( F_0 \) are \( \mathbb{K} \)-compatible if their characteristic polynomials at closed points are the same as polynomials in \( \mathbb{K}[t] \), after the identifications given by \( \tau \) and \( \tau' \).

Our general aim in our article will be to convert the numerical data provided by the Frobenius characteristic polynomials at closed points to structural properties of the coefficient objects. As an example, we prove the following general statement on Weil lisse sheaves.
Proposition 3.1.17. Let \( \ell \) be a prime different from \( p \) and let \( \mathcal{V}_0 \) be a Weil lisse \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \)-sheaf on \( X_0 \). If all the eigenvalues of the Frobenius at \( x_0 \) are \( \ell \)-adic units, then \( \mathcal{V}_0 \) is an étale lisse sheaf. In particular, \( p \)-plain lisse sheaves are étale.

Proof. The property on the eigenvalues is preserved after an extension of the base field. Thus, we can assume that \( x_0 \) is a rational point, because étale lisse sheaves satisfy étale descent. Let \( \rho_0 \) be the \( \ell \)-adic representation of \( W(X_0, x) \) associated to \( \mathcal{V}_0 \) and denote by \( \Pi_0 \subset GL(\mathcal{V}_x) \) the image of \( \rho_0 \). Write \( \Pi \) for the image of \( \pi_1^{ét}(X, x) \) via \( \rho_0 \). The group \( \Pi_0 \) is generated by \( \Pi \) and \( \rho_0(\gamma) \), where \( \gamma \) is some element in \( F_{x_0} \).

Let \( \Gamma \) be the closure in \( GL(\mathcal{V}_x) \) of the group generated by \( \rho_0(\gamma) \). By the assumption on the eigenvalues of \( \rho_0(\gamma) \), the topological group \( \Gamma \) is compact, hence profinite. Moreover, the group \( \Gamma \) normalizes \( \Pi \), so that \( \Pi \cdot \Gamma \subset GL(\mathcal{V}_x) \) is a profinite group. By construction, \( \Pi_0 \) is contained in the profinite group \( \Pi \cdot \Gamma \). Therefore, the \( \ell \)-adic representation \( \rho_0 \) factors through the profinite completion of \( W(X_0, x) \), which is \( \pi_1^{ét}(X, x) \). This concludes the proof. \( \square \)

3.2. Monodromy groups. We introduce now the main characters of the article: the fundamental groups and the monodromy groups of coefficient objects. They will sit in a fundamental exact sequence, which is the analogue of the sequence relating the geometric étale fundamental group and the arithmetic étale fundamental group. We have presented this exact sequence for general neutral Tannakian categories with Frobenius in §A.2.

3.2.1. For every étale admissible field \( E_\lambda \) we take the fibre functor

\[
\omega_{x,E_\lambda} : \text{Weil}(X_0, E_\lambda) \to \text{Vec}_{E_\lambda}
\]

attached to \( x \), which sends a lisse sheaf \( \mathcal{V}_0 \) to the stalk \( \mathcal{V}_x \). When \( E_\lambda \) is a \( p \)-adic admissible field, we have defined in §2.3.9 a fibre functor for \( \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_p)_{E_\lambda} \) over \( E_\lambda^{(x_0)} \), denoted by \( \omega_{x,E_\lambda} \). For symmetry reasons, when \( E_\lambda \) is an étale field, we set \( E_\lambda^{(x_0)} := E_\lambda \). Thus for every admissible field \( E_\lambda \), we have a fibre functor \( \omega_{x,E_\lambda} \) of \( \text{Coef}(X(x), E_\lambda) \) over \( E_\lambda^{(x_0)} \). We will denote the same symbol the fibre functor induced on \( \text{Coef}(X_0,E_\lambda) \). As the fibre functors commute with the extension of scalars, for every \( \ell \) we also have a fibre functor over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \) for \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \)-coefficient objects.

We will denote it by \( \omega_{x,E_\lambda} \).

Definition (Fundamental groups). For every admissible field \( E_\lambda \), we denote by \( \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0, x) \) the Tannakian group over \( E_\lambda^{(x_0)} \) of \( \text{Coef}(X_0,E_\lambda) \) with respect to \( \omega_{x,E_\lambda} \). We write \( \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x) \) for the Tannakian group of \( \text{Coef}(X(x), E_\lambda) \) with respect to the restriction of \( \omega_{x,E_\lambda} \). The functor

\[
\Psi_{x,E_\lambda} : \text{Coef}(X_0,E_\lambda) \to \text{Coef}(X(x), E_\lambda)
\]

induces a closed immersion \( \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x) \hookrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0, x) \). We also denote by \( \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0, x)^\text{cst} \) the quotient of \( \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0, x) \), corresponding to the inclusion of \( \text{Coef}^{\text{cst}}(X_0,E_\lambda) \) in \( \text{Coef}(X_0,E_\lambda) \).

Remark 3.2.2. Suppose that \( E_\lambda^{(x_0)} = E_\lambda \), then there exists an isomorphism of functors \( \eta : \omega_{x,E_\lambda} \Rightarrow \omega_{x,E_\lambda} \circ (\mathbb{F}^s)^* \). For lisse sheaves, this is induced by the choice of an étale path between \( x \) and the \( \mathbb{F} \)-point over \( x \) with respect to \( \mathbb{F}^s : X \to X \). In the case of overconvergent \( F \)-isocrystals, this is constructed in [Abe18, §2.4.18]. Let us briefly recall it.

Let \( i_0 : x_0 \hookrightarrow X_0 \) be the inclusion of \( x_0 \), the closed point underlying \( x \). Let \( \sigma \) be the lift to \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) of the \( q^s \)-power Frobenius of \( \mathbb{F}_q^n \), where \( n \) is the degree of \( x_0 \). For every overconvergent
F-isocrystal $\mathcal{M}$ on $X_0$ we define

$$\eta_{\mathcal{M}} : i_0^!(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{E}_\lambda} E_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{Q}_p^{\omega} \otimes_{\sigma^\flat} \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{E}_\lambda} E_\lambda,$$

as the isomorphism which maps $m \otimes e$ to $1 \otimes m \otimes e$. The functor $i_0^!$ is the naïve pullback defined in §2.3.5. The isomorphisms $\eta_{\mathcal{M}}$ induce an isomorphism of fibre functors $\eta : \omega_{x, E_\lambda} \Rightarrow \omega_{x, E_\lambda} \circ (F^s)^*$. Thanks to this and Proposition 3.1.8, one can define a Weil group for coefficient objects over the field $E_\lambda$ (see §A.1.4).

3.2.3. Every $E_\lambda$-coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$ generates three $E_\lambda$-linear Tannakian categories, the arithmetic one $\langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle \subseteq \text{Coef}(X_0, E_\lambda)$, the geometric one $\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle \subseteq \text{Coef}(X^{(x)}, E_\lambda)$ and the Tannakian category of constant objects $\langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle_{\text{cst}} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle$. We will consider these categories endowed with the fibre functors obtained by restricting $\omega_{x, E_\lambda}$.

**Definition.** (Monodromy groups) We denote by $G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$ the (arithmetic) monodromy group of $\mathcal{E}_0$, namely the Tannakian group of $\langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle$. The geometric monodromy group of $\mathcal{E}_0$ will be instead the Tannakian group of $\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle$ and it will denoted by $G(\mathcal{E}, x)$. We will also consider the quotient $G(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \rightarrow G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)_{\text{cst}}$, which corresponds to the inclusion $\langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle_{\text{cst}} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle$. These three groups are quotients of the fundamental groups defined in §3.2.1.

**Remark 3.2.4.** When $\mathcal{V}_0$ is a lisse sheaf and $\rho_0 : W(X_0, x) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{V}_x)$ is the associated $\ell$-adic representation, then $G(\mathcal{V}_0, x)$ is the Zariski-closure of the image of $\rho_0$ and $G(\mathcal{V}, x)$ is the Zariski-closure of $\rho_0(\pi_0^!(X, x))$. When $\mathcal{M}_0$ is an overconvergent $F$-isocrystal, $G(\mathcal{M}, x)$ is the same group defined by Crew in [Cre92] and denoted by $\text{DGal}(\mathcal{M}, x)$. This group is even isomorphic to the group $\text{DGal}(\mathcal{M}, x)$ which appears in [AE16]. This agrees with our previous Remark 3.1.10.

**Remark 3.2.5.** As $X_0$ is connected, the étale fundamental groups associated to two different $\mathbb{F}$-points of $X_0$ are (non-canonically) isomorphic. Hence, in the case of lisse sheaves, the isomorphism class of the monodromy groups does not depend on the choice of $x$. For overconvergent $F$-isocrystals, by the result of Deligne in [Del90], the monodromy groups associated to two different $\mathbb{F}$-points become isomorphic after passing to a finite extension of $E_\lambda$. We do not know any better result in this case.

Let us present now the fundamental exact sequence of $X_0$ attached to some admissible place $\lambda$. The sequence is a generalization of the one proven in [Pal15, Proposition 4.7].

**Proposition 3.2.6.** Let $(X_0, x)$ be a smooth pointed variety, geometrically connected over $\mathbb{F}_q^s$, and let $\lambda$ be an admissible place for $X_0$ such that $E_\lambda^{(x_0)} = E_\lambda$.

(i) The natural morphisms previously presented give an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^!(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_1^!(X_0, x) \rightarrow \pi_1^!(X_0, x)^{\text{cst}} \rightarrow 1.$$

(ii) For every $E_\lambda$-coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$ and every $\mathcal{F} \in \langle \mathcal{E} \rangle$, there exists $\mathcal{G}_0 \in \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$.

(iii) For every $E_\lambda$-coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$, the exact sequence of (i) sits into the following commutative diagram with exact rows and surjective vertical arrows
(iv) The affine group scheme \( \pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0, \omega_0)^{\text{cst}} \) is isomorphic to the pro-algebraic completion of \( \mathbb{Z} \) over \( \mathbb{K} \) and \( G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)^{\text{cst}} \) is a commutative algebraic group.

(v) The affine group scheme \( \pi_1^\lambda(X_0, x)^{\text{cst}} \) is canonically isomorphic to \( \pi_1^\lambda(\Spec(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}), x) \). In particular, the profinite group \( \pi_0(\pi_1^\lambda(X_0, x)^{\text{cst}}) \) is canonically isomorphic to \( \Gal(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_{q^s}) \).

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.1.8, the datum of \( (\text{Coef}(X(x), E_\lambda), (F^s)^*) \) is a neutral Tannakian category with Frobenius, in the sense of Definition A.1.1. Thus by Proposition A.2.3 we get all the parts from (i) to (iv).

For (v), let \( q_{X_0}: X_0 \to \Spec(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}) \) be the morphism induced by the \( \mathbb{F} \)-point \( x \). We have a inverse image functor

\[
q_{X_0}^*: \text{Coef}(\Spec(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}), E_\lambda) \to \text{Coef}_{\text{cst}}(X_0, E_\lambda).
\]

We want to construct a quasi-inverse \( q_{X_0*} \). For every \( \mathcal{E}_0 \in \text{Coef}_{\text{cst}}(X_0, E_\lambda) \), we have a canonical identification \( H^0(X^x, F^s\mathcal{E}) = H^0(X^x, \mathcal{E}) \), thus the \( s \)-th Frobenius structure \( \Phi_s \) of \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) induces an automorphism of \( H^0(X^x, \mathcal{E}) \) that we denote by \( q_{X_0*}(\Phi_s) \). We define \( q_{X_0*}(\mathcal{E}_0) \) as the pair

\[
(H^0(X^x, \mathcal{E}), q_{X_0*}(\Phi_s)) \in \text{Coef}(\Spec(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}), E_\lambda).
\]

The functor \( q_{X_0*} \) is a quasi-inverse of \( q_{X_0}^* \), thus \( q_{X_0}^* \) induces an isomorphism

\[
\pi_1^\lambda(X_0, x)^{\text{cst}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1^\lambda(\Spec(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}), x).
\]

Since \( \text{Coef}(\Spec(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}), E_\lambda) \) is canonically equivalent to \( \text{Rep}_{E_\lambda}(W(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_{q^s})) \), the profinite group \( \pi_0(\pi_1^\lambda(X_0, x)^{\text{cst}}) \) is canonically isomorphic to \( \Gal(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_{q^s}) \). \( \square \)

### 3.3. Comparison with the étale fundamental group.

#### 3.3.1. We continue our analysis of the fundamental groups of coefficient objects focusing on their groups of connected components. The statements of this section are fairly easy for lisse sheaves and difficult for overconvergent \( F \)-isocrystals. In the latter case, Crew had already studied the problem when \( X_0 \) is a smooth curve [Cre92]. Later in [Ete02], Étesse proved that overconvergent isocrystals with and without Frobenius structure over smooth varieties of arbitrary dimension satisfy étale descent\(^5\). This allows a generalization of Crew’s work.

Drinfeld and Kedlaya have presented in [DK17, Appendix B] how to perform such a generalization for the arithmetic fundamental group of overconvergent \( F \)-isocrystals. We will be mainly interested in the extension of their result to the geometric fundamental group.

---

\(^5\)In the article he states the result for overconvergent \( F \)-isocrystals, but the same proof works without Frobenius structure.
3.3.2. Let $E_\lambda$ be an admissible field for $X_0$ such that $E^{(x_0)}_\lambda = E_\lambda$. Following [DK17, Remark B.2.5], we define

$$\text{Rep}^\text{smooth}_{E_\lambda}(\pi^\text{ét}_1(X_0, x)) := 2\lim_{\to H} \text{Rep}_{E_\lambda}(\pi^\text{ét}_1(X_0, x)/H)$$

where $H$ varies among the normal open subgroups of $\pi^\text{ét}_1(X_0, x)$. This category is endowed with a fully faithful embedding

$$\text{Rep}^\text{smooth}_{E_\lambda}(\pi^\text{ét}_1(X_0, x)) \to \text{Coef}(X_0, E_\lambda).$$

The essential image is closed under subobjects. This functor induces a surjective morphism $\pi^\lambda_1(X_0, x) \to \pi^\text{ét}_1(X_0, x)$, where $\pi^\text{ét}_1(X_0, x)$ denotes here the (pro-constant) profinite group scheme over $E_\lambda$ associated to the profinite group $\pi^\text{ét}_1(X_0, x)$. The subcategory

$$\text{Rep}^\text{smooth}_{E_\lambda}(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/k_{X_0})) \subseteq \text{Rep}^\text{smooth}_{E_\lambda}(\pi^\text{ét}_1(X_0, x)),$$

of representations which factor through $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/k_{X_0})$ is sent by the functor to $\text{Coef}(X_0, E_\lambda)^\text{cst}$. Therefore, the composition of the morphisms

$$\pi^\lambda_1(X_0, x) \to \pi^\text{ét}_1(X_0, x) \to \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/k_{X_0})$$

factors through $\pi^\lambda_1(X_0, x)^\text{cst}$. By Proposition 3.2.6.(v), the induced morphism $\pi^\lambda_1(X_0, x)^\text{cst} \to \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/k_{X_0})$ is surjective with connected Kernel. Finally, the homotopy exact sequence for the étale fundamental group and the fundamental exact sequence of Proposition 3.2.6.(i) fit in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi^\lambda_1(X, x) & \longrightarrow & \pi^\lambda_1(X_0, x) & \longrightarrow & \pi^\lambda_1(X_0, x)^\text{cst} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi^\text{ét}_1(X, x) & \longrightarrow & \pi^\text{ét}_1(X_0, x) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/k_{X_0}) & \longrightarrow & 1.
\end{array}$$

(3.3.2.1)

The central and the right vertical arrows are the morphisms previously constructed. The left one is the unique morphism making the diagram commutative.

**Proposition 3.3.3.** Let $(X_0, x)$ be a smooth connected pointed variety. For every admissible place $\lambda$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(\pi^\lambda_1(X, x)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(\pi^\lambda_1(X_0, x)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(\pi^\lambda_1(X_0, x)^\text{cst}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & \phi & & \downarrow & \phi_0 & & \downarrow & \phi_0^\text{cst} & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi^\text{ét}_1(X, x) & \longrightarrow & \pi^\text{ét}_1(X_0, x) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/k_{X_0}) & \longrightarrow & 1,
\end{array}$$

(3.3.3.1)

where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms and the rows are exact. The diagram is functorial in $(X_0, x)$, when it varies among the smooth connected pointed varieties.

**Proof.** The diagram is constructed applying the functor $\pi_0$ to (3.3.2.1), hence it is functorial. We start by showing that the upper row is exact. As the functor $\pi_0$ is right exact, it is enough to prove the injectivity of the morphism $\pi_0(\pi^\lambda_1(X, x)) \to \pi_0(\pi^\lambda_1(X_0, x))$. We first extend the field of scalars to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. The $\pi_0$ of the Tannakian group of a Tannakian category is the Tannakian group of the subcategory of finite objects. Thus, we have to prove that for every absolutely irreducible finite geometric $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-coefficient object $\mathcal{E}$, there exists a finite object $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \text{Coef}(X_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, such that $\mathcal{E}$ is a subquotient of $\mathcal{F}$. 

By Lemma A.2.2, there exists $F'_0 \in \text{Coef}(X_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is a subobject of $F'$. As $\mathcal{E}$ is absolutely irreducible, we can even assume $F'_0$ to be absolutely irreducible. In particular, there exist $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G(F'_0, x)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ such that $\omega_{x, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}(F'_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\omega_{x, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}(\mathcal{E}))$. The algebraic group $G(F', x)$ is normal in $G(F'_0, x)$, thus the vector spaces $g_i(\omega_{x, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}(\mathcal{E}))$ are $G(F', x)$-stable for every $i$. In addition, their monodromy groups as representations of $G(F', x)$ are all finite, as they are conjugated to the monodromy group of $\mathcal{E}$. Therefore $F'$, being a sum of finite objects, is a finite object.

Let $W(F'_0, x)$ be the Weil group of $(\mathcal{F}')$, as defined in §A.1.4. Since $G(F', x)$ is finite, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $(F^n)^* \rho'$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{E}$. If $\rho'$ is the representation of $G(F', x)$ associated to $\mathcal{F}'$, then $(F^n)^* \rho' = \rho'$. Thus $\rho := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} (F^i)^* \rho'$ can be endowed with a Frobenius structure

$$\Phi : F^* \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} (F^i)^* \rho' \right) \to \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} (F^i)^* \rho'$$

such that, for every $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, the restriction of $\Phi$ to $F^* ((F^i)^* \rho')$ is the canonical isomorphism $F^* ((F^i)^* \rho') = (F^{i+1})^* \rho'$. The pair $(\rho, \Phi)$ induces a representation of $W(F'_0, x)$ with finite image and thus a finite coefficient object $F_0$. The original geometric coefficient object $\mathcal{E}$ is a subobject of $F$, therefore $F_0$ satisfies the properties we wanted.

Finally, we prove that the vertical arrows of (3.3.3.1) are isomorphisms. The morphism $\varphi_0^{\text{st}}$ is an isomorphism by Proposition 3.2.6.(v). By diagram chasing, it remains to prove that $\varphi_0$ is an isomorphism. For lisse sheaves, this is quite immediate. If a lisse sheaf has finite arithmetic monodromy, its associated $\ell$-adic representation factors through a finite quotient of the Weil group of $X_0$. In the $p$-adic case one can prove that $\varphi_0$ is an isomorphism using [Ked11, Theorem 2.3.7], as it is explained in [DK17, Proposition B.7.6.(i)].

**Proposition 3.3.4.** Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be an $E_\lambda$-coefficient object on $(X_0, x)$.

(i) For every finite étale morphism $f_0 : (Y_0, y) \to (X_0, x)$ of pointed varieties, after extending $E_\lambda$ to an admissible field for $Y_0$, the natural maps $G(f_0^* \mathcal{E}_0, y) \to G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$ and $G(f^* \mathcal{E}, y) \to G(\mathcal{E}, x)$ are open immersions.

(ii) There exists a choice of $f_0 : (Y_0, y) \to (X_0, x)$ such that, after extending $E_\lambda$ to an admissible field for $Y_0$, the natural maps of the previous point induce isomorphisms $G(f_0^* \mathcal{E}_0, y) \to G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)^0$ and $G(f^* \mathcal{E}, y) \to G(\mathcal{E}, x)^0$.

**Proof.** We notice that by Proposition 3.3.3 the group of connected components of the arithmetic monodromy group (resp. geometric monodromy group) are quotients of the arithmetic étale fundamental group (resp. geometric étale fundamental group), thus (i) implies (ii).

When $\mathcal{E}_0$ is a lisse sheaf, (i) is well-known. If $\mathcal{E}_0$ is an overconvergent $F$-isocrystal, the result on the arithmetic monodromy groups is a consequence of [DK17, Proposition B.7.6.(ii)]. It remains to prove (i) for the geometric monodromy groups of overconvergent $F$-isocrystals. It is enough to treat the case when $Y_0 \to X_0$ is a Galois cover with Galois group $H$ and $Y_0$ is geometrically connected over $\mathbb{F}_q$. As $Y_0$ is geometrically connected over $\mathbb{F}_q$, the group $H$ acts on $(f^* \mathcal{E})$ via $E_\lambda$-linear autoequivalences. Let $(f^* \mathcal{E})^H$ be the category of $H$-equivariant objects in $(f^* \mathcal{E})$. After possibly extending $E_\lambda$, we can find isomorphisms of fiber functors between $\omega_{y, f^* \mathcal{E}}$ and $\omega_{h(y), E_\lambda}$ for every $h \in H$. A choice of these isomorphisms induces an action of $H$ on $G(f^* \mathcal{E}, y)$. 


By [Ete02], overconvergent isocrystals with and without $F$-structure satisfy étale descent. Therefore, there exist fully faithful embeddings $\langle E \rangle \hookrightarrow (f^* E)^H$ and $(f^* E)^H \hookrightarrow \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_{E_\lambda}$. The former embedding induces a morphism on the Tannakian groups $\varphi : G(f^* E, y) \times H \to G(\mathcal{E}, x)$. By definition, the subcategory $\langle E \rangle \subseteq \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0/\mathbb{Q}_q)_{E_\lambda}$ is closed under the operation of taking subquotients. Thus, the same is true for $\langle E \rangle \subseteq (f^* E)^H$. This proves that $\varphi$ is surjective, which in turn implies that $G(f^* E, y)$ has finite index in $G(\mathcal{E}, x)$. 

**Corollary 3.3.5.** Let $E_0$ be a coefficient object on $(X_0, x)$. For every finite étale morphism $f_0 : (Y_0, y) \to (X_0, x)$ of pointed varieties, $E_0$ is semi-simple (resp. geometrically semi-simple) if and only if $f_0^* E_0$ is semi-simple (resp. geometrically semi-simple).

**Remark 3.3.6.** We will see later a variant of Corollary 3.3.5 in Corollary 3.6.10. In that case the result is obtained as a consequence of the theory of weights and the Langlands correspondence.

### 3.4. Rank 1 coefficient objects.

This section is an interlude on rank 1 coefficient objects. One of the starting points of Weil II is a finiteness result for rank 1 lisse sheaves, which is a consequence of class field theory. Thanks to a reduction to unramified $p$-adic representations of the étale fundamental group, the same statement is now known for overconvergent $F$-isocrystals of rank 1.

**Theorem 3.4.1** ([Del80, Proposition 1.3.4], [Abe15, Lemma 6.1]). Let $X_0$ be a smooth variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Every $E_\lambda$-coefficient object of rank 1 is a twist of a finite $E_\lambda$-coefficient object.

**Corollary 3.4.2.** For every $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-coefficient object $E_0$ over $X_0$, there exist a positive integer $n$ and elements $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times$ such that

$$E_0^{ss} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n F_{i,0}^{(a_i)} ,$$

where for each $i$ the coefficient object $F_{i,0}$ is irreducible with finite order determinant. If $E_0$ is $E$-rational, the elements $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ can be chosen so that $a_i^{r_i} \in E$ for every $i$, where $r_i$ is the rank of $F_{i,0}$.

Corollary 3.4.2 is important as it allows to reduce many statements on coefficient objects to the case of absolutely irreducible coefficient objects with finite order determinant. It is convenient to introduce the following definitions.

**Definition 3.4.3** (Types). We denote by $\Theta_\ell$ the torsion-free abelian group $\mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times / \mu_{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. The elements of $\Theta_\ell$ will be called the ($\ell$-adic) types. We will refer to the class of 1 in $\Theta_\ell$ as the trivial type. Let $E_0$ be a $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-coefficient object and let $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times$ be as in Corollary 3.4.2. We denote by $\Theta(E_0)$ the set of classes of $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ in $\Theta_\ell$. They will be the types of $E_0$. Notice that $\Theta(E_0)$ is a set which depends only on $E_0$. We also denote by $\mathbb{X}(E_0)$ the group generated by $\Theta(E_0)$ in $\Theta_\ell$ and by $\mathbb{X}(E_0)\mathbb{Q}$ the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace $\mathbb{X}(E_0)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \Theta_\ell \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 3.4.1 is used to prove a global version of Grothendieck’s local monodromy theorem, usually known as the global monodromy theorem. Here the extension to $F$-isocrystals is due to Crew.
Theorem 3.4.4 (Grothendieck, Crew). For every coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$, the radical subgroup of $G(\mathcal{E}, x)$ is unipotent.

Proof. In the case of lisse sheaves, this is a theorem of Grothendieck, and it is proven in [Del80, Théorème 1.3.8]. In the $p$-adic case, Crew has proven the result when $X_0$ is a smooth curve [Cre92, Theorem 4.9]. One obtains the result in higher dimensions replacing [ibid., Proposition 4.6] by Proposition 3.3.4 and [ibid., Corollary 1.5] by Theorem 3.4.1.

Corollary 3.4.5. Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be a geometrically semi-simple coefficient object. The neutral component $G(\mathcal{E}, x)^\circ$ is a semi-simple algebraic group which coincides with the derived subgroup of $G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)^\circ$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.5.4, the geometric coefficient object $\mathcal{E}$ is semi-simple, thus the algebraic group $G(\mathcal{E}, x)^\circ$ is reductive. Thanks to Theorem 3.4.4, this implies that $G(\mathcal{E}, x)^\circ$ is semi-simple, therefore $G(\mathcal{E}, x)^\circ = [G(\mathcal{E}, x)^\circ, G(\mathcal{E}, x)^\circ] \subseteq [G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)^\circ, G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)^\circ]$.

By Proposition 3.2.6.(iv), the quotient $G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)^\circ/G(\mathcal{E}, x)^\circ$ is commutative, hence $[G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)^\circ, G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)^\circ] \subseteq G(\mathcal{E}, x)^\circ$.

This concludes the proof.

Thanks to Theorem 3.4.1, one can even prove that, under certain assumptions, the neutral component of the arithmetic monodromy group is semi-simple and it is equal to the neutral component of the geometric monodromy group. These results can be found for lisse sheaves in [Dri18, §3.6].

Proposition 3.4.6. For every coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$ and every connected finite étale cover $f_0 : (Y_0, y) \to (X_0, x)$, we have $\Theta(\mathcal{E}_0) = \Theta(f_0^* \mathcal{E}_0)$.

Proof. After taking semi-simplification and twists, we may assume that $\mathcal{E}_0$ is absolutely irreducible with finite order determinant. In this case, the result is proven in [Dri18, Proposition 3.6.1] for lisse sheaves. The proof is the same for overconvergent $F$-isocrystals, as they satisfy étale descent by [Ete02].

Proposition 3.4.7. Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-coefficient object. The following properties are equivalent.

(i) The algebraic group $G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)^\circ$ is semi-simple and it is equal to $G(\mathcal{E}, x)^\circ$.

(ii) The coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$ is semi-simple and has trivial types.

In particular, semi-simple coefficient objects with trivial types form a Tannakian subcategory of $\text{Coef}(X_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{E}_0$ is a coefficient object which satisfies (i), all the rank 1 coefficient objects in $\langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle$ have finite order under tensor. Thus, if $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^{(a)} \in \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle$ with $a \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, then $a$ is a root of unity. This implies that every type of $\mathcal{E}_0$ is trivial. Conversely, let us assume now that $\mathcal{E}_0$ satisfies (ii). Thanks to Proposition 3.3.4, there exists a connected finite étale cover $f_0 : (Y_0, y) \to (X_0, x)$ such that $G(f_0^* \mathcal{E}_0, y) \xrightarrow{\sim} G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)^\circ$ and $G(f^* \mathcal{E}, y) \xrightarrow{\sim} G(\mathcal{E}, x)^\circ$. By Proposition 3.4.6, the inverse

For us, the radical subgroup of an algebraic group will always be connected by definition.
image $f^*_x \mathcal{E}_0$ satisfies the same assumptions as $\mathcal{E}_0$. We have reduced the problem to the case when $G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$ is connected. Thus it is enough to show that the center $Z$ of $G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$ is finite.

We notice that we may also assume $\mathcal{E}_0$ irreducible. Indeed, if $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_0$ and $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ are the centers of $G(\mathcal{F}_0, x)$ and $G(\mathcal{G}_0, x)$ respectively, then $Z \subseteq Z_1 \times Z_2$. Therefore, if $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ are finite the same holds for $Z$. Since $Z$ is a group of multiplicative type, its representation on $\omega_x, Z(\mathcal{E}_0)$ decomposes as a direct sum of characters $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \chi_i$, where $r$ is the rank of $\mathcal{E}_0$.

By construction, the representation is faithful, thus $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_r$ generate the group of all the characters of $Z$. On the other hand, as $\mathcal{E}_0$ is irreducible, the characters $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_r$ are all isomorphic. Hence, by the assumption on the determinant, they are also finite. This implies that the group of characters of $Z$ is finite, hence $Z$ is finite. This proves that $G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$ is semi-simple. By virtue of Proposition 3.2.6, the quotient $G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)/G(\mathcal{E}, x)$ is a commutative group. Since $G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$ is semi-simple, this implies that $G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)/G(\mathcal{E}, x)$ is finite, as we wanted. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 3.4.8.** Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-coefficient object.

(i) For every $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle$, we have $\Theta(\mathcal{F}_0) \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{E}_0)$.

(ii) There exists a canonical map $X^*(G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)) \to \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ which becomes an isomorphism when we tensor by $\mathbb{Q}$.

**Proof.** It is enough to prove (i) for the objects of the form $\mathcal{E}_0 \otimes (\mathcal{E}_0')^\otimes m$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. In addition, we may assume that $\mathcal{E}_0$ has only one type. Write $\mathcal{E}_0$ as $\mathcal{F}_0^{(a)}$ with $\mathcal{F}_0$ with trivial types. By 3.4.7, the coefficient object $\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes (\mathcal{F}_0')^\otimes n$ has trivial types. Therefore, $\mathcal{E}_0 \otimes (\mathcal{E}_0')^\otimes n$ has type $(m-n)[a] \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{E}_0)$. This proves part (i). Let $X^*(G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)) \to \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ be the map which associates to a rank 1 coefficient object its type. After tensoring by $\mathbb{Q}$, the map becomes injective, as a rank 1 coefficient object of trivial type has finite order under tensor. To prove the surjectivity we have to prove that for every type $[a]$ of $\mathcal{E}_0$, there exists $n \geq 1$ and a rank 1 coefficient object $\mathcal{L}_0 \in \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle$ of type $[a^n]$. Since, by definition, there exists an irreducible object $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle$ of type $[a]$, we can pick $\mathcal{L}_0 := \det(\mathcal{F}_0)$. \hfill \Box

3.5. **Weights.** In Weil II Deligne introduced the theory of weights for lisse sheaves. The same theory is now available for overconvergent $F$-isocrystals, thanks to the work of Kedlaya in [Ked06]. Here the main theorem.

**Theorem 3.5.1** (Deligne, Kedlaya). Let $X_0$ be a smooth geometrically connected variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathcal{E}_0$ a $\ell$-mixed coefficient object over $X_0$ of $\ell$-weights $\leq w$. If $\alpha$ is an eigenvalue of $F$ acting on $H^r_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{E})$, then $|\alpha| \leq q^{(w+n)/2}$.

**Proof.** For lisse sheaves this is the main result in [Del80]. For overconvergent $F$-isocrystals it is proven by Kedlaya in [Ked06]. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 3.5.2.** Let $0 \to \mathcal{F}_0 \to \mathcal{E}_0 \to \mathcal{G}_0 \to 0$ be an exact sequence of coefficient objects such that $\mathcal{F}_0$ and $\mathcal{G}_0$ are $\ell$-pure of weights $w_1$ and $w_2$ respectively.

(i) If $w_1 > w_2 - 1$ the sequence splits geometrically.

(ii) If $w_1 \neq w_2$ and the sequence splits geometrically, then it splits.
Proof. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})_F \to \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{F}_0) \to \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})^F.$$ 

The group $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})$ is equal to $H^1(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}^\vee)$ and the coefficient object $\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \mathcal{G}_0^\vee$ is $\iota$-pure of weight $w_1 - w_2$. Thus, by Theorem 3.5.1, the weights of $H^1(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}^\vee)$ are at least equal to $w_1 - w_2 + 1$. When $w_1 > w_2 - 1$, then $H^1(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}^\vee)$ has positive weights, which implies that $F$ does not admit fixed points. Therefore in this case $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})^F$ vanishes, which implies (i).

For (ii), suppose that the class associated to our extension is zero in $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})$, then it comes from $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})_F$. As $\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \mathcal{G}_0^\vee$ does not admit $\iota$-weight 0 by assumption, $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})_F = 0$, hence the result.

Corollary 3.5.3. For every $\iota$-mixed coefficient object there exists an increasing filtration

$$0 = W_{-1}(\mathcal{E}_0) \subsetneq W_0(\mathcal{E}_0) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq W_n(\mathcal{E}_0) = \mathcal{E}_0$$

where for every $0 \leq i \leq n$, the quotient $W_i(\mathcal{E}_0)/W_{i-1}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ is $\iota$-pure of weight $w_i$ and $w_0 < w_1 < \cdots < w_n$.

Corollary 3.5.4. Every $\iota$-pure coefficient object is geometrically semi-simple. Conversely, every $\iota$-mixed geometrically semi-simple coefficient object is a direct sum of $\iota$-pure coefficient objects.

3.5.5. For every $\mathcal{O}_\ell$-coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$ on $X_0$, we can put together all the characteristic polynomials at closed points and form a formal series

$$L_{X_0}(\mathcal{E}_0, t) := \prod_{x_0 \in |X_0|} P_{x_0}(\mathcal{E}_0, t^{\deg(x_0)})^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_\ell[[t]].$$

This is called the $L$-function of $\mathcal{E}_0$.

Theorem (Trace formula). If $X_0$ is geometrically connected over $\mathbb{F}_q$, for every coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$ we have

$$L_{X_0}(\mathcal{E}_0, t) = \prod_{i=1}^{2d} \det(1 - Ft, H^i_c(X, \mathcal{E}))(1^{-1})^{i+1}.$$ 

Proof. For lisse sheaves, this is the classical Grothendieck’s formula, in the $p$-adic case see [ES93, Théorème 6.3].

Thanks to the theory of weight, this formula can be used to compare the global sections of compatible coefficient objects. The theory of weights is needed to control the possible cancellations between the factors of the numerator and the denominator.

Proposition 3.5.6 ([Laf02, Cor. VI.3], [Abe18, Prop. 4.3.3]). Let $X_0$ be a smooth geometrically connected variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of dimension $d$. For every $\iota$-pure coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$ of $\iota$-weight $w$, the dimension of $H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$ is equal to the number of poles of $\iota(L(X_0, \mathcal{E}_0^\vee(d)))$, counted with multiplicity, with absolute value $q^{w/2}$. If we also assume $\mathcal{E}_0$ to be semi-simple, the dimension of $H^0(X_0, \mathcal{E}_0)$ is equal to the order of the pole of $L(X_0, \mathcal{E}_0^\vee(d))$ at 1.

Proof. By Poincaré duality, the dimension of $H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$ is equal to the dimension of $H^2_c(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee(d))$ and the eigenvalues of $F$ acting on $H^2_c(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee(d))$ have $\iota$-weight $-\alpha$. At the same time, by Theorem 3.5.1, for every $0 \leq i \leq 2d$, the groups $H^i_c(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee(d))$ have $\iota$-weights
less or equal than \(-\alpha - 2d + i\). The first part of the statement is then a consequence of the trace formula (Theorem 3.5.5) applied to \(L(X_0, \mathcal{E}_0'(d))\). Indeed, by the observations on the weights, the polynomial \(\det(1 - \mathcal{F}_t, H_c^{2d}(X, \mathcal{E}'(d)))\) is relatively prime to the numerator of the \(L\)-function. Hence, the number of poles of \(i(L(X_0, \mathcal{E}_0'(d)))\) with absolute value \(q^{w/2}\) is equal to 
\\
\deg(\det(1 - \mathcal{F}_t, H_c^{2d}(X, \mathcal{E}'(d)))) = \dim(H^0(X, \mathcal{E})). \\
\\
For the second part, we also use that by assumption the endomorphism \(F\) acts semi-simply on \(H^0(X, \mathcal{E})\). In particular, the geometric and the algebraic multiplicities of the eigenvalue 1 are the same. Therefore, thanks to Poincaré duality, the dimension of \(L\) is equal to the multiplicity of 1 of \(\det(1 - \mathcal{F}_t, H_c^{2d}(X, \mathcal{E}'(d)))\). By the previous reasoning, this is the same as the order of the pole of \(L(X_0, \mathcal{E}_0'(d))\) at 1.
\\
Corollary 3.5.7. Let \((X_0, x)\) be a smooth connected pointed variety over \(\mathbb{F}_q\), let \(\mathcal{E}_0\) and \(\mathcal{F}_0\) be \(E\)-compatible coefficients objects and suppose that \(\mathcal{E}_0\) is \(\iota\)-mixed. The following statements are true.
\\
(i) If \(\mathcal{E}_0\) and \(\mathcal{F}_0\) are geometrically semi-simple, then \(\dim(H^0(X(x), \mathcal{E})) = \dim(H^0(X(x), \mathcal{F}))\).
(ii) If \(\mathcal{E}_0\) and \(\mathcal{F}_0\) are semi-simple, then \(\dim(H^0(X_0, \mathcal{E}_0)) = \dim(H^0(X_0, \mathcal{F}_0))\).
\\
Proof. We may assume \(X_0\) to be geometrically connected over \(\mathbb{F}_q\) by extending the base field. Let \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'}\) be the algebraic closure of the field of scalars of \(\mathcal{F}_0\). Let \(\iota' : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}\) be an isomorphism which agrees with \(\iota\) on \(E\). Then \(\mathcal{F}_0\) is \(\iota'\)-mixed and its weights are equal to the ones of \(\mathcal{E}_0\). In addition, if \(W_i(\mathcal{E}_0)\) and \(W_i(\mathcal{F}_0)\) are the weight filtrations of Corollary 3.5.3, for each \(i\) the quotients \(W_i(\mathcal{E}_0)/W_{i-1}(\mathcal{E}_0)\) and \(W_i(\mathcal{F}_0)/W_{i-1}(\mathcal{F}_0)\) are \(E\)-compatible. By the geometric semi-simplicity assumption, it is enough to check (i) on these subquotients. But in this case, this follows by Proposition 3.5.6. For (ii) we argue similarly.
\\
Proposition 3.5.8. Two \(\iota\)-mixed \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\)-coefficient objects with the same characteristic polynomial functions have isomorphic semi-simplifications.
\\
Proof. For étale lisse sheaf, this is the classical Čebotarev’s density theorem, explained in [Ser66, Theorem 7]. For general lisse sheaf, one can take the semi-simplification and then take suitable twists of the absolutely irreducible components, to reduce to the étale case, by [Del80, Proposition 1.3.14]. In this case, the assumption that the coefficient object is \(\iota\)-mixed is not needed. For the \(p\)-adic case the statement was proven by Tsuzuki using Proposition 3.5.6, see [Abe18, A.3]. His proof works for \(\iota\)-mixed lisse sheaves as well.
\\
Remark 3.5.9. We will see later that thanks to the Langlands correspondence, it is possible to show that every coefficient object is \(\iota\)-mixed (Theorem 3.6.6). Therefore, Proposition 3.5.8 applies to every coefficient object.
\\
3.6. Deligne’s conjecture. We are ready to present Conjecture 1.1.1 for arbitrary coefficient objects. The extension of the statement to overconvergent \(F\)-isocrystals was firstly proposed by Crew in [Cre92, Conjecture 4.13]. This corresponds to the choice of the category of overconvergent \(F\)-isocrystals as a possible candidate for Deligne’s “petits camarades cristallins”.
\\
Conjecture 3.6.1. Let \(X_0\) be a smooth variety over \(\mathbb{F}_q\), let \(\ell\) be a prime number and let \(\mathcal{E}_0\) be an absolutely irreducible \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\)-coefficient object whose determinant has finite order. The following statements hold.
\\
(i) \(\mathcal{E}_0\) is pure of weight 0.
(ii) There exists a number field $E \subseteq \overline{Q}_\ell$ such that $\mathcal{E}_0$ is $E$-rational.

(iii) If $E$ is a number field as in (ii), then for every prime $\ell'$ (even $\ell' = \ell$ or $\ell' = p$) and for every inclusion $\tau : E \rightarrow \overline{Q}_\ell'$, there exists an absolutely irreducible $\overline{Q}_\ell'$-coefficient object, $E$-rational with respect to $\tau$, which is $E$-compatible with $\mathcal{E}_0$.

We shall see that the conjecture, except part (iv'), is now known to be true. The missing case of (iv') is when $\ell' = p$ and $X_0$ has dimension at least 2. We will postpone the discussions on the analogue of Conjecture 1.1.1.(iv) in §3.7. We first recall an equivalent form of (i), which for lisse sheaves is [Del80, Conjecture 1.2.9].

Conjecture 3.6.2. Every $\overline{Q}_\ell$-coefficient object on $X_0$ is $\iota$-mixed.

Proposition 3.6.3. Conjecture 3.6.1.(i) is equivalent to Conjecture 3.6.2.

Proof. We first prove that Conjecture 3.6.1.(i) implies Conjecture 3.6.2. By taking Jordan–Hölder filtrations, Conjecture 3.6.2 reduces to the case of irreducible $\overline{Q}_\ell$-coefficient objects. Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be an irreducible $\overline{Q}_\ell$-coefficient objects. Thanks to Corollary 3.4.2, it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_0^{(a)}$, where $\mathcal{F}_0$ is an irreducible $\overline{Q}_\ell$-coefficient object with finite order determinant and $a \in \overline{Q}_\ell^\times$. By Conjecture 3.6.1.(i), the coefficient object $\mathcal{F}_0$ is $\iota$-pure of weight 0, thus $\mathcal{E}_0$ is $\iota$-pure of $\iota$-weight $2\log_q(|\iota(a)|)$. This gives the desired result.

Conversely, assume Conjecture 3.6.2 and let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be a $\overline{Q}_\ell$-coefficient object with finite order determinant. Then, $\mathcal{E}_0$ is $\iota$-pure for every isomorphism $\iota : \overline{Q}_\ell \sim \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Since its determinant has finite order, all the $\iota$-weights of $\mathcal{E}_0$ are 0. This shows that $\mathcal{E}_0$ is pure of weight 0 (in particular, $\mathcal{E}_0$ is algebraic). \qed

When $X_0$ is a smooth curve, Conjecture 3.6.1 is a consequence of the Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_r$, over function fields, and the Ramanujan–Petersson conjecture. The Langlands correspondence for lisse sheaves and the Ramanujan–Petersson conjecture was proven by L. Lafforgue. Abe proved later the Langlands correspondence for overconvergent $F$-isocrystals.

Theorem 3.6.4 ([Laf02, Théorème VII.6], [Abe18, §4.4]). If $X_0$ is a smooth curve, Conjecture 3.6.1 and Conjecture 3.6.2 are true.

The extension of the results to higher dimensional varieties is performed via a reduction to curves. One of the key ingredients is a Lefschetz theorem for coefficient objects.

Theorem 3.6.5 (Katz, Abe–Esnault). Let $X_0$ be a smooth geometrically connected variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$. For every lisse sheaf $\mathcal{E}_0$ over $X_0$ and every reduced finite closed subscheme $S_0 \subseteq X_0$, there exists a geometrically connected smooth curve $C_0$ and a morphism $f_0 : C_0 \rightarrow X_0$ with a section $S_0 \rightarrow C_0$, such that the inverse image functor $\langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle \rightarrow \langle f_0^*\mathcal{E}_0 \rangle$ is an equivalence of categories. The same is true when $\mathcal{E}_0$ is a $\iota$-pure overconvergent $F$-isocrystal.

Proof. For lisse sheaves see [Kat99, Lemma 6 and Theorem 8] as well as [Kat01]. In the $p$-adic case see the (proof of) [AE16, Theorem 3.10]. \qed

Thanks to Theorem 3.6.5 and the work of Deligne in [Del12], the first three parts of the conjecture follow from the curves’ case.

Theorem 3.6.6 (L. Lafforgue, Abe, Deligne, Abe–Esnault, Kedlaya). Parts (i), (ii) and (iii) of Conjecture 3.6.1 and Conjecture 3.6.2 are true for every smooth variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$. 


**Proof.** For lisse sheaves, parts (i) and (iii) follow directly from Theorem 3.6.4, thanks to Theorem 3.6.5. Switching to overconvergent $F$-isocrystals, Conjecture 3.6.2 is proven in [AE16, Theorem 2.7] and independently in [Ked18, Theorem 3.1.9]. This implies Conjecture 3.6.1.(i) for overconvergent $F$-isocrystals. Part (iii) then follows from Theorem 3.6.4 thanks to (i) and Theorem 3.6.5. Part (ii) is proven in [Del12, Theorem 3.1] for lisse sheaves and in [AE16, Lemma 4.1] and [Ked18, Theorem 3.4.2] for overconvergent $F$-isocrystals. □

The generalization of part (iv') to higher dimensional varieties is yet incomplete. For the moment we know how to construct from a coefficient object of both kinds, compatible lisse sheaves. In dimension greater than one, we do not know how to construct, in general, compatible overconvergent $F$-isocrystals.

**Theorem 3.6.7** (L. Lafforgue, Abe, Drinfeld, Abe–Esnault, Kedlaya). Let $X_0$ be a smooth variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $E$ a number field. Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be an absolutely irreducible $E$-rational coefficient object with finite order determinant on $X_0$. For every prime $\ell$ different from $p$ and every embedding $\tau : E \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, there exists a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-coefficient object which is $E$-rational with respect to $\tau$ and $E$-compatible with $\mathcal{E}_0$.

**Proof.** Drinfeld has proven the theorem when $\mathcal{E}_0$ is a lisse sheaf [Dri12]. The proof uses L. Lafforgue’s result and a certain gluing theorem for lisse sheaves [ibid., Theorem 2.5]. The gluing theorem is inspired by the seminal work of Wiesend in [Wie06]. When $\mathcal{E}_0$ is an overconvergent $F$-isocrystal the result was proven in [AE16] and later in [Ked18]. They both use Drinfeld’s gluing theorem for lisse sheaves. In [AE16] they prove and use Theorem 3.6.5 for overconvergent $F$-isocrystals. In [Ked18] it is proven a weaker form, namely [ibid., Lemma 3.2.1], which is enough to conclude. □

The known parts of Deligne’s conjecture have many important consequences. First, as every coefficient object is $\iota$-mixed, one may apply Proposition 3.5.8 to every coefficient object. We list here other corollaries, which we will use later.

**Corollary 3.6.8.** Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ be two compatible coefficient objects. If $\mathcal{E}_0$ is absolutely irreducible, the same is true for $\mathcal{F}_0$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{E}$ is absolutely irreducible, even $\mathcal{F}$ is absolutely irreducible.

**Proof.** Suppose that the coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$ is absolutely irreducible. Then by Theorem 3.6.6, $\mathcal{E}_0$ is $\iota$-pure. Thus the coefficient object $\text{End}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ is semi-simple and pure of weight 0. Since $\mathcal{E}_0$ is absolutely irreducible, the vector space $\text{End}(\mathcal{E}_0) = H^0(X_0, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}_0))$ is one dimensional. After replacing $\mathcal{F}_0$ by its semi-simplification, we may assume that it is a semi-simple coefficient object. Thus, the coefficient object $\text{End}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ is a semi-simple coefficient object which is compatible with $\text{End}(\mathcal{E}_0)$. By Corollary 3.5.7, the vector space $\text{End}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ is one dimensional as well. This implies that $\mathcal{F}_0$ is absolutely irreducible, as we wanted. For the second part of the statement we proceed in the same way, applying Corollary 3.5.7 to $\text{End}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\text{End}(\mathcal{F})$. □

**Corollary 3.6.9.** For every algebraic $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$, there exists a number field $E \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ such that $\mathcal{E}_0$ is $E$-rational.

**Proof.** It is enough to prove the result when $\mathcal{E}_0$ is absolutely irreducible. Thanks to Corollary 3.4.2, the coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_0^{(a)}$, where $\mathcal{F}_0$ is a coefficient object with finite order determinant and $a \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$. As the determinant characters of $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ are algebraic,
even the number $a$ is algebraic. Theorem 3.6.6 implies that $\mathcal{F}_0$ is $E$-rational for some number field $E \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, thus $\mathcal{E}_0$ is $E(a)$-rational.

Finally, thanks to Theorem 3.6.6, we also have following analogue of [Moc04, Theorem 7.1] for smooth varieties over finite fields.

**Corollary 3.6.10.** Over a smooth variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$, a $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-coefficient object is geometrically semi-simple if and only if it is a direct sum of $\imath$-pure $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-coefficient objects. In particular, for every morphism $f_0 : Y_0 \to X_0$ of smooth varieties, if $\mathcal{E}_0$ is a geometrically semi-simple coefficient object over $X_0$, then $f_0^*\mathcal{E}_0$ is a geometrically semi-simple coefficient object over $Y_0$.

**Proof.** Thanks to Theorem 3.6.6, every coefficient object is $\imath$-mixed. Therefore, by Corollary 3.5.4 we get the first part of the result. The property of a coefficient object to be a direct sum of $\imath$-pure coefficient objects is manifestly preserved by the inverse image functor $f_0^*$. This concludes the proof. □

### 3.7. Compatible systems.

Thanks to Theorem 3.6.7, from a coefficient object which satisfies suitable properties, we can construct many compatible coefficient objects with different fields of scalars. Looking at Conjecture 1.1.1.(iv), one notices that Deligne also predicted that these fields should be the completions at different finite places of a given number field. It is possible to upgrade Theorem 3.6.7 to a stronger form thanks to the work of Chin in [Chi03]. To state the result, we use Serre’s notion of compatible systems, which we extend to arbitrary coefficient objects. As we cannot construct, at the moment, $p$-adic companions in general, we do not ask that the compatible system contains a $p$-adic coefficient object for every $p$-adic place. On the other hand, we do ask that it includes lisse sheaves for every finite place which do not divide $p$.

**Definition 3.7.1 (Compatible systems).** If $E$ is a number field, an $E$-compatible system over $X_0$, denoted $\underline{\mathcal{E}}_0$, is the datum of a set $\Sigma$ of finite places of $E$ containing $|E| \neq p$ and a family $\{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,0}\}_{\lambda \in \Sigma}$, where each $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,0}$ is an $E$-rational $E_\lambda$-coefficient object and every pair of coefficient objects is $E$-compatible. For every $\lambda$, the coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,0}$ will be the $\lambda$-component of the compatible system.

If $E \subseteq E'$ is a finite extension of number fields and $\underline{\mathcal{E}}_0$ is an $E$-compatible system, the compatible system obtained from $\underline{\mathcal{E}}_0$ by extending the scalars to $E'$ will be the $E'$-compatible system $\{\mathcal{E}'_{\lambda',0}\}_{\lambda' \in \Sigma'}$, where $\Sigma'$ is the set of places of $E'$ over the places in $\Sigma$ and for every $\lambda' \in \Sigma'$ over $\lambda \in \Sigma$, the $\lambda'$ component is $\mathcal{E}'_{\lambda',0} := \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,0} \otimes_{E_\lambda} E'_{\lambda'}$. We say that a compatible system is trivial, geometrically trivial, pure, irreducible, absolutely irreducible or semi-simple if each $\lambda$-component has the respective property.

**Theorem 3.7.2 (after Chin).** Let $X_0$ be a smooth variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathcal{E}_0$ an algebraic $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-coefficient object of $X_0$. There exists a number field $E$, a finite place $\nu \in |E|$ and an $E$-compatible system $\underline{\mathcal{E}}_0$, such that $\mathcal{E}_0$ is a $\nu$-component of $\underline{\mathcal{E}}_0$. When $X_0$ is a curve, we can further find such an $E$-compatible system $\underline{\mathcal{E}}_0$ with $\Sigma = |E|$.

**Proof.** By extending the field of scalars of $\mathcal{E}_0$ and taking semi-simplification, we reduce to the case when $\mathcal{E}_0$ is absolutely irreducible. By Corollary 3.6.9, the coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$ is $E$-rational. Thus, thanks to Corollary 3.4.2, after possibly enlarging $E$ there exists $a \in E^\times$ such that $\mathcal{E}_0$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_0^{(a)}$, where $\mathcal{F}_0$ is an $E$-rational coefficient object with finite order determinant. When $\ell \neq p$, thanks to Theorem 3.6.7 and [Chi03, Main Theorem, page 3], after
possibly enlarging $E$ again, the lisse sheaf $\mathcal{F}_0$ sits in an $E$-compatible system. By twisting all the components by $a$, the same holds true for $\mathcal{E}_0$. When $\ell = p$, thanks to Theorem 3.6.7, $\mathcal{E}_0$ is $E$-compatible with some lisse sheaf $\mathcal{V}_0$. The result then follows from the previous case.

When $X_0$ is a curve, we obtain the stronger result thanks to the existence of $p$-adic companions provided by Theorem 3.6.4. After possibly replacing $E$ with a finite extension, we may add to the compatible system previously constructed $\lambda$-components, for every place $\lambda$ which divides $p$. Here we do not need a new finiteness result for overconvergent $F$-isocrystals, namely a $p$-adic analogue of Chin’s theorem, because the set of places we are adding is finite.

\[\square\]

**Remark 3.7.3.** Even if a coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$ is $E$-rational for some number field $E$, it could be still necessary to enlarge $E$ to obtain the $E$-compatible system $\mathcal{E}_0$. For example, let $Q_8$ be the quaternion group and let $X_0$ be a connected smooth variety that admits a Galois cover with Galois group $Q_8$. Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the natural four-dimensional $Q$-linear representation of $Q_8$ on the algebra of Hamilton’s quaternions.

The representation $\mathbb{H} \otimes_Q Q_\ell$ is irreducible over $Q_\ell$ if and only if $\ell = 2$. If we take $\ell \neq 2$, then $\mathbb{H} \otimes_Q Q_\ell$ decomposes as a direct sum of two copies of an absolutely irreducible two dimensional $Q_\ell$-representation $V_\ell$ with traces in $Q$. The representation $V_\ell$ corresponds to an absolutely irreducible $Q$-rational $Q_\ell$-coefficient object which does not admit any $Q$-compatible $Q_2$-coefficient object. Indeed, suppose that there exists a semi-simple $Q_2$-coefficient object $V_2$, that is $Q$-compatible with $V_\ell$. Then $V_2^{\oplus 2}$ would be $Q$-compatible with $\mathbb{H} \otimes_Q Q_2$. By Proposition 3.5.8, the coefficient object $V_2^{\oplus 2}$ would be isomorphic to $\mathbb{H} \otimes_Q Q_2$. However, this is impossible, as $\mathbb{H} \otimes_Q Q_2$ is irreducible.

4. INDEPENDENCE OF MONODROMY GROUPS

4.1. The group of connected components. In [Ser00] and [LP95, Proposition 2.2] Serre and Larsen–Pink have proven some results of $\ell$-independence for the groups of connected components of the monodromy groups of lisse sheaves. In this section, we shall generalize their results to general coefficient objects. We will adapt Larsen–Pink’s proof. The main issue for $p$-adic coefficient objects is to relate the monodromy groups with the étale fundamental group of $X_0$. We have already treated this problem in §3.3. By Proposition 3.3.3, for every coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$ we have functorial surjective morphisms $\psi_{\mathcal{E}_0} : \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0, x) \to \pi_0(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{E}} : \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, x) \to \pi_0(\mathcal{E}(x))$ of profinite groups.

**Theorem 4.1.1.** Let $(X_0, x)$ be a smooth geometrically connected pointed variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ be two compatible $E_\lambda$-coefficient objects over $X_0$.

(i) There exists an isomorphism $\varphi_0 : \pi_0(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \cong \pi_0(\mathcal{F}_0, x)$ as abstract finite groups, such that $\psi_{\mathcal{F}_0} = \varphi_0 \circ \psi_{\mathcal{E}_0}$.

(ii) The isomorphism $\varphi_0$ restricts to an isomorphism $\varphi : \pi_0(\mathcal{E}(x)) \cong \pi_0(\mathcal{F}(x))$.

Following [LP95, Proposition 2.2], we need two lemma to prove Theorem 4.1.1.

**Construction 4.1.2.** Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be a $E_\lambda$-coefficient object of rank $r$. We fix a basis of $\omega_{x, E_\lambda}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ and we take the representation $\rho_{\mathcal{E}_0} : G(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \to \text{GL}_{r, E_\lambda}$ associated to $\mathcal{E}_0$. For every $Q$-linear representation $\theta : \text{GL}_{r, Q} \to \text{GL}(V)$ we denote by $\mathcal{E}_0(\theta)$ the coefficient object associated to $(\theta \otimes_Q E_\lambda) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{E}_0}$. Even if $\mathcal{E}_0(\theta)$ depends on the choice of a basis, its isomorphism class is uniquely determined.
Lemma 4.1.3. Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ be compatible semi-simple objects. For every representation $\theta$ of $\text{GL}_{r,\mathbb{Q}}$, we have that $\dim(H^0(X,\mathcal{E}(\theta))) = \dim(H^0(X,\mathcal{F}(\theta)))$ and $\dim(H^0(X,\mathcal{E}_0(\theta))) = \dim(H^0(X,\mathcal{F}_0(\theta)))$.

Proof. Each pair $(\mathcal{E}_0(\theta),\mathcal{F}_0(\theta))$ is again compatible and semi-simple. Moreover, by Theorem 3.6.6, for every representation $\theta$, the coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0(\theta)$ is $\chi$-mixed. Therefore, for every $\theta$, we may apply Corollary 3.5.7 to $(\mathcal{E}_0(\theta),\mathcal{F}_0(\theta))$. \hfill $\square$

Remark 4.1.4. Using the terminology of [LP90], Lemma 4.1.3 proves that $G(\mathcal{E},x)$ and $G(\mathcal{F},x)$ (resp. $G(\mathcal{E}_0,x)$ and $G(\mathcal{F}_0,x)$) have the same dimension data.

Lemma 4.1.5 ([LP95, Lemma 2.3]). Let $K$ be a field and $G$ a reductive algebraic subgroup of $\text{GL}_{r,K}$. If for every finite-dimensional representation $V$ of $\text{GL}_{r,K}$ the dimension of $V^{G^\natural}$ is equal to the dimension of $V^G$, then the group $G$ is connected.

Proof of Theorem 4.1.1. We explain the proof for the arithmetic monodromy groups. For the geometric monodromy groups the proof is the same mutatis mutandis.

We notice that taking semi-simplification we do not change the group of connected components of the arithmetic monodromy group. Thus we reduce to the case when $\mathcal{F}_0$ and $\mathcal{G}_0$ are semi-simple. We firstly prove a weaker statement.

(i') $G(\mathcal{E}_0,x)$ is connected if and only if $G(\mathcal{F}_0,x)$ is connected.

For every finite étale connected cover $f_0 : Y_0 \to X_0$, we denote by $a_{Y_0}$ and $b_{Y_0}$ the functions from the set of isomorphism classes of representations of $\text{GL}_{r,\mathbb{Q}}$ to the natural numbers, defined by $a_{Y_0}(\theta) := \dim(H^0(Y_0, (f_0^*\mathcal{E}_0)(\theta)))$ and $b_{Y_0}(\theta) := \dim(H^0(Y_0, (f_0^*\mathcal{F}_0)(\theta)))$.

By Lemma 4.1.3, for every finite étale connected cover $Y_0 \to X_0$, we have $a_{Y_0} = b_{Y_0}$. Suppose that $G(\mathcal{E}_0,x)$ connected. By Proposition 3.3.4, for every étale connected cover $f_0 : (Y_0,y) \to (X_0,x)$, the groups $G(f_0^*\mathcal{E}_0,y)$ and $G(\mathcal{E}_0,x)$ are isomorphic via the natural morphisms, thus the functions $a_{Y_0}(\theta)$ and $a_{X_0}(\theta)$ are equal. Thanks to Proposition 3.3.3, we also know that there exists an étale Galois cover $f_0 : (Y_0,y) \to (X_0,x)$ such that $G(f_0^*\mathcal{F}_0,y)$ is isomorphic to $G(\mathcal{F}_0,x)^0$. The functions $b_{Y_0}(\theta)$ and $b_{X_0}(\theta)$ are equal because of the comparison with $a_{Y_0}(\theta)$ and $a_{X_0}(\theta)$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.1.5, the group $G(\mathcal{F}_0,x)$ is connected. This concludes the proof of (i').

To prove (i) we show that $\text{Ker}(\psi_{\mathcal{E}_0})$ and $\text{Ker}(\psi_{\mathcal{F}_0})$ are the same subgroups of $\pi^\text{et}_1(X_0,x)$. By symmetry it is enough to show that $\text{Ker}(\psi_{\mathcal{E}_0}) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\psi_{\mathcal{F}_0})$. This is equivalent to proving that if $f_0 : (Y_0,y) \to (X_0,x)$ is the Galois cover associated to $\text{Ker}(\psi_{\mathcal{E}_0})$, then the natural map $\text{Ker}(\psi_{\mathcal{E}_0}) \to \pi^\text{et}_1(X_0,x)/\text{Ker}(\psi_{\mathcal{F}_0})$ is the trivial map. In other words, it is enough to show that $G(f_0^*\mathcal{E}_0,y)$ is connected. As $G(f_0^*\mathcal{E}_0,y)$ is connected by construction, this is a consequence of (i'). \hfill $\square$

4.2. Frobenius tori. We extend here the theory of Frobenius tori developed by Serre and Chin in [Ser00] and [Chi04, §5.1] to algebraic coefficient objects over varieties of arbitrary dimension. The result for overconvergent $F$-isocrystals is completely new. In [AD18], it is used to get information on the monodromy groups of convergent $F$-isocrystals.
Construction 4.2.1 (Frobenius tori). For every $E_{\lambda}$-coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$ and every closed point $i_0 : x_0 \hookrightarrow X_0$ we have a functor $(\mathcal{E}_0) \rightarrow (i_0^*\mathcal{E}_0)$ of inverse image. For every $\mathbb{F}$-point $x$ over $x_0$, this functor induces a closed immersion $G(i_0^*\mathcal{E}_0, x) \hookrightarrow G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$. Let $F_{x_0}$ be the $E_{\lambda}^{(x_0)}$-point of $G(i_0^*\mathcal{E}_0, x)$ corresponding to the Frobenius automorphism and let $F_{x_0}^{ss}$ be its semi-simple part. The Zariski closure of the group generated by $F_{x_0}^{ss}$ is the maximal subgroup of multiplicative type of $G(i_0^*\mathcal{E}_0, x)$. This will be called the Frobenius group attached to $x_0$ and it will be denoted by $M(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$. Its connected component will be the Frobenius torus attached to $x_0$, denoted by $T(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$. If $\mathcal{E}_0$ is $E$-rational, the torus $T(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$ descends to a torus $\tilde{T}(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$ over $E$, such that $T(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \simeq \tilde{T}(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \otimes_E E_{\lambda}^{(x_0)}$.

To prove our main theorem on Frobenius tori we first need another outcome of Deligne’s conjecture. This is a finiteness result for the set of all the possible valuations of the eigenvalues of Frobenius tori at closed points.

Notation 4.2.2. Let us fix a prime $\ell$. For every prime $\ell'$ (even $\ell' = \ell$ or $\ell' = p$), we denote by $I_{\ell'}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ the set of field isomorphisms $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \sim \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'}$ and by $I_{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ the set of field isomorphisms $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \sim \mathbb{C}$. For every $\ell' \neq p$ we endow $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'}$ with the $\ell'$-adic valuation $v : (\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'}, x) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, +)$, normalized such that $v(q) = 1$. On $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ we consider the $p$-adic valuation, normalized so that $v(q) = 1$. Finally, we endow $\mathbb{C}$ with the morphism $v : (\mathbb{C}, x) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, +)$ defined by $a \mapsto \log_a(|a|)$.

Definition 4.2.3. Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'}$-coefficient object. For every closed point $x_0 \in |X_0|$, let $A_{x_0}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ be the set of Frobenius eigenvalues at $x_0$. For $* = \ell', \infty$ we define

$$V_*^{\lambda}((\mathcal{E}_0)) : = \left\{ v(\iota(a))/\deg(x_0) \mid x_0 \in |X_0|, \ a \in A_{x_0}(\mathcal{E}_0), \ \iota \in I_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'}) \right\}.$$ We denote by $V_{\neq p}^{\lambda}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ the union of all the subsets $V_{\ell'}(\mathcal{E}_0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $\ell' \neq p$ and $V_{\infty}(\mathcal{E}_0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and by $V(\mathcal{E}_0)$ the subset $V_p(\mathcal{E}_0) \cup V_{\neq p}(\mathcal{E}_0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

We also define for $* = \ell', \infty$, the set

$$V_*^{\lambda}((\mathcal{E}_0)) : = \left\{ v(\iota(a)) \mid [a] \in \Theta(\mathcal{E}_0), \ \iota \in I_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'}) \right\}$$ and $V_{\neq p}^{\lambda}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ and $V^{\lambda}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ as before (cf. §3.4.3).

Proposition 4.2.4. Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'}$-coefficient object.

(i) $V_{\neq p}(\mathcal{E}_0) = V_{\neq p}^{\lambda}(\mathcal{E}_0)$.

(ii) If $\mathcal{E}_0$ is algebraic, the set $V(\mathcal{E}_0)$ is finite.

Proof. By the definition of $\Theta(\mathcal{E}_0)$, it is enough to prove part (i) when $\mathcal{E}_0$ is irreducible with finite order determinant. In this case, by Theorem 3.6.6, the coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$ is pure of weight $0$ and $p$-plain. Therefore, we have $V_{\neq p}(\mathcal{E}_0) = V_{\neq p}^{\lambda}(\mathcal{E}_0) = \{0\}$. When $\mathcal{E}_0$ is algebraic, by [Ked18, Lemma-Definition 4.3.2], the set $V_p(\mathcal{E}_0)$ is finite. Moreover, as the types of $\mathcal{E}_0$ are algebraic, the set $V^{\lambda}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ is finite as well. Thanks to part (i), this implies that $V(\mathcal{E}_0)$ is finite. □

4.2.5. Let $(X_0, \bar{x})$ be a smooth connected pointed variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathcal{E}_0$ an algebraic $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'}$-coefficient object of rank $r$. Let $GL_r$ be the algebraic group $GL_{r, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'}}$. For every $x \in X_0(\mathbb{F})$, we choose an isomorphism between $\omega_{\bar{x}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'}}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ and $\omega_{\bar{x}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'}}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ (which exists by [Del90]) and a basis of $\omega_{\bar{x}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'}}(\mathcal{E}_0)$. This determines in turn an embedding $G(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \hookrightarrow GL_r$ for every $x$. Let $G_{1m} \subseteq GL_r$ be the standard maximal torus. We denote by $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_r$ the standard basis of $X^*(G_{1m})$. The
Frobenius torus \( T(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \subseteq G(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_r \) is conjugated, by a \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \)-points of \( \text{GL}_r \), to some subtorus \( T_x \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m^r \). The torus \( T_x \) is uniquely determined up to the action of the permutation group \( S_r \) on \( \mathbb{G}_m^r \).

**Definition.** Let \( C(\mathcal{E}_0) \) be the set of \( \text{GL}_r \)-conjugacy classes of Frobenius tori \( T(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \) where \( x \) varies among the \( \mathbb{F} \)-points of \( X_0 \). Let \( D(\mathcal{E}_0) \) be the set of \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear subspaces of \( X^*(\mathbb{G}_m^r)_{\mathbb{R}} \) which admit a set of generators in \( V(\mathcal{E}_0)^* \subseteq X_*(\mathbb{G}_m^r)_{\mathbb{R}} \). We have a natural action of \( S_r \) on \( D(\mathcal{E}_0) \).

**Proposition 4.2.6.** Let \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) be an algebraic \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \)-coefficient object. There exists an injective map of sets \( \delta : C(\mathcal{E}_0) \hookrightarrow D(\mathcal{E}_0)/S_r \).

**Proof.** Let \( x \) be an \( \mathbb{F} \)-point of \( X_0 \) and \( \alpha_{x,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{x,r} \) the eigenvalues of the Frobenius at \( x \). We define \( Y_x \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r = X_*(\mathbb{G}_m^r)_{\mathbb{R}} \) as the \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear subspace generated by the elements \( y'_x := (y'_{x,1}, \ldots, y'_{x,r}) \), where \( \iota \) is an element in \( I(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \) and \( y'_{x,i} := v(\alpha_{x,i}) \). By definition, \( Y_x \in D(\mathcal{E}_0) \) and its class \([Y_x]\) in \( D(\mathcal{E}_0)/S_r \) does not depend on the order of the Frobenius eigenvalues \( \alpha_{x,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{x,r} \). We set \( \delta([T_x]) := [Y_x] \). We want to show that \( \delta \) is injective.

The natural pairing \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X^*(\mathbb{G}_m^r) \times X_*(\mathbb{G}_m^r) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) induces a map \( f_x : X^*(\mathbb{G}_m^r)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Y_x, \mathbb{R}) \). The group \( X^*(\mathbb{G}_m^r)_{\mathbb{Q}} \) is the quotient \( X^*(\mathbb{G}_m^r)_{\mathbb{Q}} / K_x \), where \( K_x \) is the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear subspace of elements \( \chi \otimes a/b \) in \( X^*(\mathbb{G}_m^r)_{\mathbb{Q}} \) with \( \chi|_{T_x} \) of finite order under tensor. We want to prove that the Kernel of \( f_x \) is \( K_x \). In particular, \( Y_x \) uniquely determines \( K_x \), hence the subtorus \( T_x \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m^r \). We first prove that \( f_x(K_x) = 0 \). Let \( \chi = \chi^{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi^{a_r} \in X^*(\mathbb{G}_m^r) \) be a character which is finite on \( T_x \). Since \( (\alpha_{x,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{x,r}) \in T_x(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \), we have that \( \beta_x := \alpha_{x,1}^{a_1} \cdots \alpha_{x,r}^{a_r} \) is a root of unity. Therefore, for every \( \iota \in I(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \),

\[
f_x(\chi)(y'_x) = a_1 y'_{x,1} + \cdots + a_r y'_{x,r} = v(\iota(\beta_x)) = 0.
\]

This implies that \( f_x(\chi) = 0 \).

On the other hand, let \( \chi = \chi_1^{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_r^{a_r} \in X^*(\mathbb{G}_m^r) \) be a character which is sent to 0 by \( f_x \). We want to show that the restriction of \( \chi \) to \( T_x \) is finite. Since the subgroup generated by the point \( (\alpha_{x,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{x,r}) \in T_x(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \) is Zariski dense in \( T_x \), it is easy to show that \( \beta_x := \alpha_{x,1}^{a_1} \cdots \alpha_{x,r}^{a_r} \) is a root of unity. By the assumption \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) algebraic, we know that \( \beta_x \) is an algebraic number. Moreover, for every \( \iota \in I(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \), we have that \( v(\iota(\beta_x)) = a_1 y'_{x,1} + \cdots + a_r y'_{x,r} = f_x(\chi)(y'_x) = 0 \).

Thus, by Kronecker’s theorem, \( \beta_x \) is a root of unity.

**Corollary 4.2.7.** Let \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) be an algebraic \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \)-coefficient object. The set \( C(\mathcal{E}_0) \) is finite.

**Proof.** By Proposition 4.2.4.(ii), the set \( V(\mathcal{E}_0) \) is finite. Therefore, by definition, \( D(\mathcal{E}_0) \) is finite as well. Thanks to Proposition 4.2.6, this proves that \( C(\mathcal{E}_0) \) is finite. 

From here we could prove directly Theorem 4.2.10 for étale lisse sheaves exploiting Čebotarev’s density theorem as in the proof of [Ser00, Théorème at page 12]. We need instead two other results to deal with non-étale lisse sheaves and \( p \)-adic coefficient objects.

**Proposition 4.2.8** (after Larsen–Pink). Let \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) and \( \mathcal{F}_0 \) be two compatible coefficient objects on \( X_0 \). The reductive ranks of \( G(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \) and \( G(\mathcal{F}_0, x) \) are equal.

**Proof.** We may assume that \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) and \( \mathcal{F}_0 \) are semi-simple. Then the result follows from [LP90, Proposition 1] applied to \( G(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \) and \( G(\mathcal{F}_0, x) \), thanks to Lemma 4.1.3. 

**Lemma 4.2.9.** Let \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) be an \( E \)-compatible system. For all but finitely many \( \lambda \in |E|_{\neq p} \), the \( \lambda \)-component of \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) is an étale lisse sheaves.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be a component of $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $x_0$ a closed point of $X_0$. As $\mathcal{E}_0$ is algebraic, for all but finitely many primes $\ell \neq p$, the Frobenius eigenvalues at $x_0$ are $\ell$-adic units. Therefore, by Proposition 3.1.17, for every $\lambda \in |E|$ which divides such an $\ell$, the $\lambda$-component of $\mathcal{E}_0$ is an étale lisse sheaf.

**Theorem 4.2.10.** Let $X_0$ be a smooth connected variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathcal{E}_0$ an algebraic coefficient object. There exists a Zariski-dense subset $\Delta \subseteq X(\mathbb{F})$ such that for every $\mathbb{F}$-point $x \in \Delta$ and every object $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle$, the torus $T(\mathcal{F}_0, x)$ is a maximal torus of $G(\mathcal{F}_0, x)$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{G}_0$ is a coefficient object compatible with $\mathcal{E}_0$, the subset $\Delta$ satisfies the same property for the objects in $\langle \mathcal{G}_0 \rangle$.

Proof. Let $x$ be a geometric point and $i_0 : x_0 \hookrightarrow X_0$ the embedding of the underlying closed point. For every object $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle$, we have a commutative square of functors

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\langle \mathcal{F}_0 \rangle & \longleftrightarrow & \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle \\
\downarrow i_0 & & \downarrow i_0^* \\
\langle i_0^* \mathcal{F}_0 \rangle & \longleftrightarrow & \langle i_0^* \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle.
\end{array}
$$

It induces a square on monodromy groups

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
G(\mathcal{F}_0, x) & \leftarrow & G(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \\
\downarrow i_0 & & \downarrow i_0 \circ \\
M(\mathcal{F}_0, x) & \leftarrow & M(\mathcal{E}_0, x).
\end{array}
$$

If $T(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$ is a maximal torus in $G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$, then the same is true for $T(\mathcal{F}_0, x)$ in $G(\mathcal{F}_0, x)$ (see for example [Hum75, Corollary C, page 136]). This shows that it is enough to prove the result when $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{E}_0$. Moreover, we may assume that $\mathcal{E}_0$ is semi-simple, because semi-simplification does not change the reductive rank of the monodromy group.

We notice that by Proposition 4.2.8, if $\mathcal{G}_0$ is a coefficient object compatible with $\mathcal{E}_0$, the torus $T(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$ is maximal in $G(\mathcal{E}_0, x)$ if and only if $T(\mathcal{G}_0, x)$ is maximal in $G(\mathcal{G}_0, x)$. Therefore, it is enough to prove the result for some coefficient object compatible with $\mathcal{E}_0$. By Theorem 3.7.2, $\mathcal{E}_0$ sits in a semi-simple compatible system $\mathcal{E}_0$. By Lemma 4.2.9, there exists a component of $\mathcal{E}_0$ which is an étale lisse sheaf. Let us denote it by $V_0$. After replacing $X_0$ by a connected finite étale cover we may assume by Proposition 3.3.4 that $G(V_0, x)$ is connected for any choice of $x$. We choose an $\mathbb{F}$-point $\tilde{x}$ of $X$. By Corollary 4.2.7, the set of conjugacy classes of Frobenius tori $T(V_0, x)$ in $GL(\omega_{\mathbb{Q}_x}(V_0))$, where $x$ varies among the $\mathbb{F}$-points of $X_0$, is finite. Arguing as in [Ser00, theorem at page 12], as a consequence of Čebotarev’s density theorem for the étale fundamental group of $X_0$, there exists a Zariski-dense subset $\Delta \subseteq X(\mathbb{F})$ such that for every $\mathbb{F}$-point $x \in \Delta$, the torus $T(V_0, x)$ is maximal inside $G(V_0, x)$ (see also [Chi04, Theorem 5.7] for more details). This concludes the proof.

**Remark 4.2.11.** In the proof of Theorem 4.2.10, we need the results of §3.6 to prove the following properties of the coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_0$.

(i) $\mathcal{E}_0$ is $\iota$-mixed.
(ii) The set $V(\mathcal{E}_0)$ is finite.
(iii) $\mathcal{E}_0$ admits a compatible étale lisse sheaf.
For many coefficient objects “coming from geometry”, it is possible to prove these properties directly, without using Theorem 3.6.4.

4.3. The neutral component. We start with a first result on the independence of the neutral components of the monodromy groups of coefficient objects. As in Theorem 4.1.1, the independence result we need is Corollary 3.5.7.

**Proposition 4.3.1.** Let \( X_0 \) be a smooth geometrically connected variety over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Let \( E_0 \) and \( F_0 \) be two compatible coefficient objects over \( X_0 \).

(i) If \( E_0 \) and \( F_0 \) are semi-simple, \( E_0 \) is finite if and only if \( F_0 \) is finite.

(ii) If \( E_0 \) and \( F_0 \) are geometrically semi-simple, \( E \) is finite if and only if \( F \) is finite.

**Proof.** Thanks to Proposition 3.3.4, we may assume that the arithmetic and the geometric monodromy groups of \( E_0 \) and \( F_0 \) are connected. By Theorem 3.6.6, we know that \( E_0 \) and \( F_0 \) are \( \iota \)-mixed. Thus, thanks to Corollary 3.5.7, the coefficient object \( E_0 \) is trivial (resp. geometrically trivial) if and only if the same is true for \( F_0 \). □

4.3.2. The next result we want to prove is a generalization of [Chi04, Theorem 1.4]. Let \( (X_0, x) \) be a smooth connected pointed variety over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Let \( E \) be a number field and \( E_0 \) a semi-simple \( E \)-compatible system over \( X_0 \). For every \( \lambda \in \Sigma \), we denote by \( \rho_{\lambda,0} \) the associated representation on \( \omega_{x,E}(E_{\lambda,0}) \).

**Theorem.** After possibly replacing \( E \) by a finite extension, there exists a connected split reductive group \( G_0 \) over \( E \) such that, for every \( \lambda \in \Sigma \), the extension of scalars \( G_0 \otimes_E E_\lambda \) is isomorphic to \( G(E_{\lambda,0}, x)^0 \). Moreover, there exists a faithful \( E \)-linear representation \( \rho_0 \) of \( G_0 \) and isomorphisms \( \varphi_{\lambda,0} : G_0 \otimes_E E_\lambda \rightarrow G(E_{\lambda,0}, x)^0 \) for every \( \lambda \in \Sigma \) such that \( \rho_0 \otimes_E E_\lambda \) is isomorphic to \( \rho_{\lambda,0} \circ \varphi_{\lambda,0} \).

Following Chin, we use a reconstruction theorem of a reductive group from the Grothendieck semiring of its category of finite-dimensional representations.

**Notation 4.3.3.** If \( C \) is a Tannakian category, we denote by \( K^+(C) \) its Grothendieck semiring. If \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) is a coefficient object and \( C = \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle \), we denote it by \( K^+(\mathcal{E}_0) \). Finally, when \( C = \text{Rep}(G) \) with \( G \) an algebraic group, we will write \( K^+(G) \).

**Theorem 4.3.4** ([Chi08, Theorem 1.4]). Let \( G \) and \( G' \) be two connected split reductive groups, defined over a field \( K \) of characteristic 0. Let \( T \) and \( T' \) be maximal tori of \( G \) and \( G' \) respectively. For every pair of isomorphisms \( \varphi_{T'} : T' \rightarrow T \) and \( f : K^+(G) \rightarrow K^+(G') \) making the following diagram commuting

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K^+(G) & \xrightarrow{f} & K^+(G') \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K^+(T) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*_{T'}} & K^+(T'),
\end{array}
\]

there exists an isomorphism \( \varphi : G' \rightarrow G \) of algebraic groups such that the induced homomorphism \( \varphi^* \) on the Grothendieck semirings is equal to \( f \) and the restriction of \( \varphi \) to \( T' \) is equal to \( \varphi^*_{T'} \).
Remark 4.3.5. The maximal tori that we will use to apply Theorem 4.3.4 will be the Frobenius tori provided by Theorem 4.2.10. Suppose that \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) is a coefficient object and for some \( F \)-point \( x \), the group \( M(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \) is connected and \( \tilde{T}(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \) is a split torus over \( E \). Then, the group of characters of \( \tilde{T}(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \) is canonically isomorphic to the subgroup of \( E^\times \) generated by the eigenvalues of \( F_{x_0} \). The isomorphism is given by the evaluation of a character at the point \( F_{x_0}^\psi \).

In particular, if \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) sits in an \( E \)-compatible system \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) and \( \tilde{T}(\mathcal{E}_\lambda,0, x) \) is split over \( E \) for one \( \lambda \in \Sigma \), or equivalently every \( \lambda \in \Sigma \), the semirings \( K^+(\tilde{T}(\mathcal{E}_\lambda,0, x)) \) are all canonically isomorphic when \( \lambda \) varies in \( \Sigma \). Moreover, notice that for every \( \lambda \in \Sigma \), the semiring \( K^+(\tilde{T}(\mathcal{E}_\lambda,0, x)) \) is canonically isomorphic to \( K^+(T(\mathcal{E}_\lambda,0, x)) \).

The known cases of the companions conjecture provide isomorphisms of the Grothendieck semiring of compatible objects. A bit surprisingly, we have these isomorphisms even if we do not dispose, at the moment, of a general way to construct compatible overconvergent \( F \)-isocrystals in dimension greater than 1.

Proposition 4.3.6. Let \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) and \( F_0 \) be two compatible coefficient objects such that all the irreducible objects in \( \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle \) and \( \langle F_0 \rangle \) are absolutely irreducible. There exists a unique isomorphism of semirings \( K^+(\mathcal{E}_0) \cong K^+(F_0) \) preserving the characteristic polynomial functions.

Proof. The uniqueness and the injectivity of the map are consequences of Theorem 3.5.8 that we can apply thanks to Theorem 3.6.6. By Theorem 3.7.2, when \( F_0 \) is a lisse sheaf, there exists a morphism of semirings \( f : K^+(\mathcal{E}_0) \rightarrow K^+(F_0) \) preserving the characteristic polynomial functions. We notice that to prove the final statement, it is enough to show that \( f \) is an isomorphism in this case. Indeed if \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) and \( F_0 \) are two compatible overconvergent \( F \)-isocrystals, we can always find, by Theorem 3.7.2, a compatible lisse sheaf \( G_0 \). Then, the isomorphism \( K^+(\mathcal{E}_0) \cong K^+(F_0) \), is obtained via the composition

\[
K^+(\mathcal{E}_0) \cong K^+(G_0) \cong K^+(F_0).
\]

By Corollary 3.6.8 and the hypothesis, \( f \) sends irreducible objects to irreducible objects. Hence, if \( [H_0] \in K^+(\mathcal{E}_0) \) and \( \sum_{i=0}^n m_i [H_0] \) is the isotypic decomposition of \( [H_0] \), then \( \sum_{i=0}^n m_i f([H_0]) \) is the isotypic decomposition of \( f([H_0]) \). In particular, a summand of an element in the image of \( f \) is again in the image of \( f \). By construction, we know that for every \( n, m \in \mathbb{N} \), the classes \( [\mathcal{F}_0^\otimes n \otimes (\mathcal{F}_0^\vee)^\otimes m] \) are in the image of \( f \). This shows that \( f \) is surjective. \( \square \)

Remark 4.3.7. The assumption that the irreducible objects in \( \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle \) and \( \langle F_0 \rangle \) are absolutely irreducible is verified, for example, when \( G(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \) and \( G(F_0, x) \) are split reductive groups. In particular, it is always possible to obtain this condition after a finite extension of the fields of scalars of the coefficient objects.

4.3.8. Proof of Theorem 4.3.2. Thanks to Theorem 4.1.1, there exists a Galois cover of \( X_0 \) such that all the arithmetic monodromy groups of the compatible system are connected. By Proposition 3.3.4, the neutral components of the monodromy groups remain unchanged when we pass to the cover. By Remark 3.2.5, after extending \( E \), we may change the \( F \)-point \( x \) without changing the isomorphism class of the monodromy groups. Thanks to Theorem 4.2.10, we may choose \( x \), so that \( T(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda},0, x) \) is a maximal torus in \( G(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda},0, x) \) for every \( \lambda \in \Sigma \). Moreover, after enlarging the base field, we may assume that \( x_0 \) is an \( F_q \)-point. We fix a place \( \mu \in \Sigma \). As \( G(\mathcal{E}_{\mu},0, x) \) is connected and reductive, up to replacing \( E \) with a finite extension, there exists
a connected split reductive group \( G_0 \), defined over \( E \), which contains a maximal torus \( T_0 \), such that \( G_0 \otimes_E E_\mu \simeq G(\mathcal{E}_\lambda, x) \) and \( T_0 \simeq T(\mathcal{E}_\lambda, x) \). We choose \( \rho_0 : G_0 \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_r E \) and \( \varphi_{\mu,0} : G_0 \otimes_E E_\mu \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} G(\mathcal{E}_\mu, x) \) such that \( \varphi_{\mu,0}(T_0 \otimes E_\mu) = T(\mathcal{E}_\mu, x) \) and \( \rho_0 \otimes E_\mu \simeq \rho_{\mu,0} \circ \varphi_{\mu,0} \).

The isomorphism \( \varphi_{\mu,0} \) induces an isomorphism

\[
\varphi_{\mu,0}^*: K^+(\mathcal{E}_\mu) \cong K^+(G_0 \otimes E_\mu)
\]

which sends \([\mathcal{E}_\mu,0]\) to \([\rho_0 \otimes_E E_\mu]\). As \( T_0 \) is split over \( E \), for every \( \lambda \in \Sigma \) the reductive group \( G(\mathcal{E}_\lambda, x) \) is split. By Proposition 4.3.6, for every \( \lambda \in \Sigma \), there exists a unique isomorphism \( g_{\lambda,\mu} : K^+(\mathcal{E}_\lambda, x) \simeq K^+(\mathcal{E}_\mu, x) \) preserving the characteristic polynomial functions, hence it sends \([\mathcal{E}_\lambda,0]\) to \([\mathcal{E}_\mu,0]\). As \( G_0 \) is split and connected, there exists a canonical isomorphism \( h_{\mu,\lambda} : K^+(G_0 \otimes E_\mu) \cong K^+(G_0 \otimes E_\lambda) \).

Thus we take

\[
f_{\lambda,0} := h_{\mu,\lambda} \circ \varphi_{\mu,0}^* \circ g_{\lambda,\mu} : K^+(\mathcal{E}_\lambda, x) \rightarrow K^+(G_0 \otimes E_\lambda).
\]

By construction it commutes with the natural isomorphism \( K^+(T(\mathcal{E}_\lambda, x)) \simeq K^+(G_0 \otimes E_\lambda) \).

Thanks to Theorem 4.3.4, the isomorphism \( f_{\lambda,0} \) induces an isomorphism \( \varphi_{\lambda,0} : G_0 \otimes E_\lambda \cong G(\mathcal{E}_\lambda, x) \) such that \( f_{\lambda,0} = \varphi_{\lambda,0}^* \). As \( f_{\lambda,0}(\mathcal{E}_\lambda, x) = [\rho_0 \otimes E_\lambda] \), the representations \( \rho_0 \otimes E_\lambda \) and \( \rho_{\lambda,0} \circ \varphi_{\lambda,0} \) are isomorphic.

**Corollary 4.3.9.** Let \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) be a semi-simple \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \)-coefficient object. The set of closed points where the Frobenius is semi-simple in \( \mathcal{X}_0 \).

**Proof.** Twisting the irreducible summands of \( \mathcal{E}_0 \), we may assume that \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) has trivial types. Hence by Theorem 3.6.6, the coefficient object \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) is algebraic. Let \( \bar{x} \) be an \( \mathbb{F} \)-point of \( X_0 \) and \( \rho_{\mathcal{E}_0} : G(\mathcal{E}_0, \bar{x}) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(\omega_{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\mathcal{E}_0)) \) be the tautological representation. If \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) is an étale lisse sheaf, by [LP92, Proposition 7.2], there exists a Zariski-dense set of closed points \( x_0 \) such that the Frobenius at \( x_0 \) is \( \Gamma \)-regular with respect to \( \rho_{\mathcal{E}_0} \). In particular, at these points the Frobenius is (regular) semi-simple by [LP92, Proposition 4.6]. If \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) is not an étale lisse sheaf, by Theorem 3.7.2 and Lemma 4.2.9, there exists a semi-simple compatible étale lisse sheaf \( \mathcal{V}_0 \). By the previous discussion, there exists a Zariski-dense set of closed points of \( X_0 \) which are \( \Gamma \)-regular with respect to the tautological representation \( \rho_{\mathcal{V}_0} : G(\mathcal{V}_0, x) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(\omega_{\mathcal{V}_0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\mathcal{V}_0)) \). By Theorem 4.3.2, for all these closed points, the Frobenius is \( \Gamma \)-regular even with respect to the tautological representation \( \rho_{\mathcal{E}_0} : G(\mathcal{E}_0, x) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(\omega_{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\mathcal{E}_0)) \). Thus we conclude again thanks to [LP92, Proposition 4.6].

**Remark 4.3.10.** As a consequence of Theorem 4.3.2, we obtain the same result of independence for the geometric monodromy groups. Indeed, by Corollary 3.4.5 if \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) is a geometrically semi-simple coefficient object, \( G(\mathcal{E}, x)^\circ \) is the derived subgroup of \( G(\mathcal{E}, x)^\circ \).

**Remark 4.3.11.** If we weaken the statement of Theorem 4.3.2, asking that all the isomorphisms between \( G_0 \) and the monodromy groups are defined over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \), rather than \( E_\lambda \), one can prove it differently. One can use [KLV14, Theorem 1.2], a stronger version of Theorem 4.3.4, in combination with Proposition 4.3.6. This proof does not use Frobenius tori.

The author became aware of the theorem of Kazhdan–Larsen–Varshavsky reading [Dri18]. In his paper, Drinfeld uses this result as a starting point to prove the independence of the entire monodromy groups over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \) (not only the neutral components).
4.4. Lefschetz theorem. In this section, we prove an independence result for Theorem 3.6.5. This could also be obtained as a consequence of Theorem 4.3.2. Here we have preferred to give a proof which exploits the full strength of the Tannakian lemma [AE16, Lemma 1.6]. A similar argument is used in [bid., Corollary 3.7]. In our proof, when the coefficient objects are $\iota$-mixed, we do not need the results in §3.6. We first prove a lemma which relates the arithmetic and the geometric situation.

**Lemma 4.4.1.** Let $(Y, y) \to (X, x)$ be a morphism of geometrically connected pointed varieties over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $E_0$ be a coefficient object on $X_0$.

(i) If the natural morphism $f_* : G(f^*E, y) \to G(E, x)$ is an isomorphism, the same is true for $f_{0*} : G(f_{0*}^*E_0, y) \to G(E_0, x)$.

(ii) If $E_0$ is geometrically semi-simple and $f_{0*} : G(f_{0*}^*E_0, y) \to G(E_0, x)$ is an isomorphism, even $f_* : G(f^*E, y) \to G(E, x)$ is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** We want to use the functorial diagram of Proposition 3.2.6.(iii) to show that the morphism $f_{0*}$ in (i) is surjective. As $Y_0$ and $X_0$ are geometrically connected, $f_{0*} : \pi^\lambda_{0*}(Y, y)^{\text{cst}} \to \pi^\lambda_{0*}(X, x)^{\text{cst}}$ is an isomorphism, thus $f_{0*} : G(f^*E_0, y)^{\text{cst}} \to G(E_0, x)^{\text{cst}}$ is surjective. On the other hand, at the level of geometric monodromy groups, $f_* : G(f^*E, y) \to G(E, x)$ is surjective by assumption. The surjectivity of $f_{0*} : G(f_{0*}^*E_0, y) \to G(E_0, x)$ is then a consequence of the other two. For (ii) we notice that by Corollary 3.4.5, the algebraic groups $G(f^*E, y)^{\circ}$ and $G(E, x)^{\circ}$ are the derived subgroups of $G(f_{0*}^*E_0, y)^{\circ}$ and $G(E_0, x)^{\circ}$ respectively. Thus we get the result. □

**Theorem 4.4.2.** Let $f_0 : (Y_0, y) \to (X_0, x)$ be a morphism of geometrically connected smooth pointed varieties. Let $E_0$ and $F_0$ be compatible geometrically semi-simple coefficient objects over $X_0$. Let $\varphi_0 : G(f_{0*}^*E_0, y) \to G(E_0, x)$ and $\psi_0 : G(f_{0*}^*F_0, y) \to G(F_0, x)$ be the morphisms induced by $f_{0*}$ and let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be their restriction to the geometric monodromy groups.

(i) If $\varphi$ is an isomorphism, the same is true for $\psi$.

(ii) If $\varphi_0$ is an isomorphism, the same is true for $\psi_0$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.4.1, part (i) implies part (ii). Notice that $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are always injective, thus to prove part (i) it is enough to prove that if $\varphi$ is surjective, even $\psi$ is so. Suppose that $\varphi$ is surjective, we want to apply [AE16, Lemma 1.6] to prove that $\psi$ is surjective. Indeed, the morphism $\psi$ satisfies the hypothesis $(\dagger)$ of the lemma by Theorem 3.4.1. Thus we are reduced to show that $f^* : \langle F \rangle \to \langle f^*F \rangle$ is fully faithful.

A functor of Tannakian categories commuting with fibre functors is always faithful. Hence it is enough to prove that $f^*$ preserves the dimensions of the Hom-sets, or equivalently that for every $G, H \in \langle F \rangle$ we have

\[(4.4.2.1) \quad h^0(G) = h^0(f^*G),\]

where we denote by $h^0$ the dimension of the space of global sections of geometric coefficient objects.

We proceed by steps. First we prove that for every pair of coefficient objects $G, H \in \langle F \rangle$, they satisfy the equality (4.4.2.1) if and only if the same is true for $G' \oplus G''$. By the additivity of $h^0$, it is clear that if the geometric coefficient objects satisfy the equality individually, then the same is true for their direct sum. Conversely, if $h^0(G' \oplus G'') = h^0(f^*(G' \oplus G''))$, then

\[h^0(G') - h^0(f^*G') + h^0(G'') - h^0(f^*G'') = 0.\]
Since $f^*$ is faithful, then $h^0(\mathcal{G}') - h^0(f^*\mathcal{G}') \leq 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{G}'') - h^0(f^*\mathcal{G}'') \leq 0$. Thus $h^0(\mathcal{G}') = h^0(f^*\mathcal{G}')$ and $h^0(\mathcal{G}'') = h^0(f^*\mathcal{G}'')$, as we wanted. In particular, as $(\mathcal{F})$ is a semi-simple category, we have proven that it is enough to show (4.4.2.1) for the objects of the form $\mathcal{F}^\otimes m \otimes (\mathcal{F}^\vee)^\otimes n$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We fix $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the hypothesis, the $\otimes$-functor $f^* : \langle \mathcal{E} \rangle \rightarrow \langle f^*\mathcal{E} \rangle$ is fully faithful, therefore the equality (4.4.2.1) holds for $\mathcal{E}^\otimes m \otimes (\mathcal{F}^\vee)^\otimes n$. By Corollary 3.5.7(i), as we know by Theorem 3.6.6 that every coefficient object is $\iota$-mixed, we have that $h^0(\mathcal{E}^\otimes m \otimes (\mathcal{F}^\vee)^\otimes n) = h^0(\mathcal{F}^\otimes m \otimes (\mathcal{F}^\vee)^\otimes n)$ and $h^0(f^*(\mathcal{E}^\otimes m \otimes (\mathcal{F}^\vee)^\otimes n)) = h^0(f^*(\mathcal{F}^\otimes m \otimes (\mathcal{F}^\vee)^\otimes n))$. Hence, we get $h^0(\mathcal{F}^\otimes m \otimes (\mathcal{F}^\vee)^\otimes n) = h^0(f^*(\mathcal{F}^\otimes m \otimes (\mathcal{F}^\vee)^\otimes n))$. This concludes the proof.

Appendix A. Neutral Tannakian categories with Frobenius

We introduce in this appendix the notion of neutral Tannakian categories with Frobenius, and we present a fundamental exact sequence for these categories. This formalism applies to the categories of coefficient objects, as explained in Proposition 3.1.8. We have preferred to work here in a more general setting in order to include some other categories, such as the category of convergent isocrystals.

A.1. Definition and Weil group.

**Definition A.1.1.** A neutral Tannakian category with Frobenius is a neutral Tannakian category over some field $\mathbb{K}$, endowed with a $\mathbb{K}$-linear $\otimes$-autoequivalence $F^* : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

**Construction A.1.2.** We fix a neutral Tannakian category with Frobenius $(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, F^*)$ over some field $\mathbb{K}$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_0$ the category of pairs $(\mathcal{E}, \Phi)$, where $\mathcal{E} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\Phi$ is an isomorphism between $F^*\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}$. A morphism between two objects $(\mathcal{E}, \Phi)$ and $(\mathcal{E'}, \Phi')$ is a morphism $f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E'}$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
F^*\mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{E} \\
\downarrow F^*f & & \downarrow f \\
F^*\mathcal{E'} & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & \mathcal{E}'.
\end{array}
$$

Let $\Psi : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be the forgetful functor sending $(\mathcal{E}, \Phi)$ to $\mathcal{E}$. Write $\mathcal{C}$ for the smallest Tannakian subcategory of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ which contains the essential image of $\Psi$.

Choose a fiber functor $\omega$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ over $\mathbb{K}$. It restricts to a fibre functor of $\mathcal{C}$ which we will denote by the same symbol. We write $\omega_0$ for the fibre functor of $\mathcal{C}_0$ given by the composition $\omega \circ \Psi$. We define $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}, \omega)$ and $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0, \omega_0)$ as the Tannakian groups of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}_0$ with respect to $\omega$ and $\omega_0$ respectively. The functor $\Psi$ induces a closed immersion $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}, \omega) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0, \omega_0)$ and for every $\mathcal{E}_0 = (\mathcal{E}, \Phi) \in \mathcal{C}_0$ a closed immersion $G(\mathcal{E}, \omega) \hookrightarrow G(\mathcal{E}_0, \omega_0)$.

**Definition A.1.3.** We say that an object in $\mathcal{C}_0$ is constant if its image in $\mathcal{C}$ is trivial, i.e. isomorphic to $1^\otimes n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The constant objects of $\mathcal{C}_0$ form a Tannakian subcategory $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cst}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0$. Let $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0, \omega_0)_{\text{cst}}$ be the Tannakian group of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cst}}$ with respect to $\omega_0$. The inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cst}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0$ induces a faithfully flat morphism $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0, \omega_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{C}_0, \omega_0)_{\text{cst}}$. For every object $\mathcal{E}_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0$, we denote by $G(\mathcal{E}_0, \omega_0)_{\text{cst}}$ the Tannakian group of the full subcategory $\langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle_{\text{cst}} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle$ of constant objects. This induces a faithfully flat morphism $G(\mathcal{E}_0, \omega_0) \rightarrow G(\mathcal{E}_0, \omega_0)_{\text{cst}}$. 
A.1.4. Suppose that $(\overline{C}, F^*)$ admits an isomorphism of fibre functors $\eta : \omega \Rightarrow \omega \circ F^*$. The group $\pi_1(C, \omega)$ is then endowed with an automorphism $\varphi$ which is constructed in the following way. For every $K$-algebra $R$, the automorphism $\varphi$ sends $\alpha \in \pi_1(C, \omega)(R)$ to $\eta_R^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ \eta_R$, where $\eta_R$ is the extension of scalars of $\eta$ from $K$ to $R$.

**Definition** (Weil group). Let $W(C_0, \omega_0)$ be the group scheme over $K$ which is the semi-direct product $\pi_1(C, \omega) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, where $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\pi_1(C, \omega)$ as $\varphi$ acts on $\pi_1(C, \omega)$. We will call $W(C_0, \omega_0)$ the Weil group of $C_0$.

**Remark A.1.5.** Thanks to [Del90], we know that if $K$ is algebraically closed, an isomorphism $\eta$ as in §A.1.4 always exists. This is not the case in general when $K$ is not algebraically closed. This is due to the existence of non-isomorphic algebraic groups with equivalent categories of linear representations. For coefficient objects, an isomorphism $\eta$ can be constructed each time that a fibre functor exists, as it is explained in Remark 3.2.2.

**Lemma A.1.6.** Let $(\overline{C}, F^*)$ be a neutral Tannakian category with Frobenius which admits a fibre functor $\omega$ isomorphic to $\omega \circ F^*$. There exists a natural equivalence of categories $C_0 \tilde{\rightarrow} \text{Rep}_K(W(C_0, \omega_0))$ and a natural morphism $\iota : W(C_0, \omega_0) \to \pi_1(C_0, \omega_0)$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
C_0 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Rep}_K(\pi_1(C_0, \omega_0)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \iota^* \\
\text{Rep}_K(W(C_0, \omega_0)),
\end{array}
$$

where the equivalence $C_0 \tilde{\rightarrow} \text{Rep}_K(\pi_1(C_0, \omega_0))$ is the one induced by the fibre functor $\omega_0$. In addition, the image of $\iota$ is Zariski-dense in $\pi_1(C_0, \omega_0)$.

**Proof.** For every $(\mathcal{E}, \Phi) \in C_0$, we extend the natural representation of $\pi_1(C, \omega)$ on the vector space $\omega(\mathcal{E})$ to a representation of $W(C_0, \omega_0)$. Write $e$ for the identity point in $\pi_1(C, \omega)(K)$. We impose that $(e, 1) \in W(C_0, \omega_0)(K)$ acts on $\omega(\mathcal{E})$ via $\omega(\Phi) \circ \eta_\mathcal{E}$, where $\eta_\mathcal{E}$ is the isomorphism induced by $\eta$ between $\omega(\mathcal{E})$ and $\omega(F^*\mathcal{E})$. This defines an equivalence $C_0 \tilde{\rightarrow} \text{Rep}_K(W(C_0, \omega_0))$ and a morphism $\iota : W(C_0, \omega_0) \to \pi_1(C_0, \omega_0)$ satisfying the required properties. By the Tannaka reconstruction theorem, the affine group $\pi_1(C_0, \omega)$ is the pro-algebraic completion of $W(C_0, \omega_0)$, thus the image of $\iota$ is Zariski-dense in $\pi_1(C_0, \omega)$. \hfill \square

A.2. The fundamental exact sequence.

**A.2.1.** We briefly recall the general criterion for the exactness of sequences of Tannakian groups. Let $L \xrightarrow{q} G \xrightarrow{p} A$ be a sequence of affine group schemes over a field $K$. Write

$$
\text{Rep}_K(A) \xrightarrow{p^*} \text{Rep}_K(G) \xrightarrow{q^*} \text{Rep}_K(L)
$$

for the induced sequence of functors.

**Theorem ([EHS07, Theorem A.1]).** Suppose that $p$ is faithfully flat and $q$ is a closed immersion. Then the sequence $L \xrightarrow{q} G \xrightarrow{p} A$ is exact if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled.

(a) For every $V \in \text{Rep}_K(G)$, the image $q^*(V)$ in $\text{Rep}_K(L)$ is trivial if and only if $V \simeq p^*U$ for some $U \in \text{Rep}_K(A)$. 
Proposition A.2.3. Let $\omega$ be a morphism defined in §A.1.4. By Lemma A.1.6, the group scheme $W(C_0,\omega_0)$ is endowed with a natural morphism $\iota : W(C_0,\omega_0) \to \pi_1(C_0,\omega_0)$ with Zariski-dense image. Let $H$ be the normalizer of $\pi_1(C,\omega)$ in $\pi_1(C_0,\omega_0)$. The group $\pi_1(C,\omega)$ is normal in $W(C_0,\omega_0)$, hence the $K$-point $(e,1) \in W(C_0,\omega_0)(K)$ normalizes $\pi_1(C,\omega)$. As a consequence, $\iota(e,1) \in \pi_1(C_0,\omega_0)(K)$ is contained in $H(K)$. The group $W(C_0,\omega_0)$ is generated by $\pi_1(C,\omega)$ and $(e,1)$, thus the image of $\iota$ is contained in $H$. This implies that $H = \pi_1(C_0,\omega_0)$, which shows that $\pi_1(C,\omega)$ is normal in $\pi_1(C_0,\omega_0)$, as we wanted.

Lemma A.2.2. Let $(\tilde{C}, F^*)$ be a neutral Tannakian category with Frobenius and let $\omega$ be a fibre functor of $\tilde{C}$. The subgroup $\pi_1(C,\omega) \subseteq \pi_1(C_0,\omega_0)$ is a normal subgroup. In particular, for every $F \in C$ there exists $G_0 \in C_0$ such that $F \subseteq \Psi(G_0)$.

Proof. Thanks to Theorem A.2.1, the second part of the statement follows from the first one. We may verify that the subgroup is normal after extending the field $K$ to its algebraic closure. Under the additional assumption that $K$ is algebraically closed, by Remark A.1.5 there exists an isomorphism between $\omega$ and $\omega \circ F^*$, so that we can construct the Weil group $W(C_0,\omega_0)$ as defined in §A.1.4. By Lemma A.1.6, the group scheme $W(C_0,\omega_0)$ is endowed with a natural morphism $\iota : W(C_0,\omega_0) \to \pi_1(C_0,\omega_0)$ with Zariski-dense image. Let $H$ be the normalizer of $\pi_1(C,\omega)$ in $\pi_1(C_0,\omega_0)$. The group $\pi_1(C,\omega)$ is normal in $W(C_0,\omega_0)$, hence the $K$-point $(e,1) \in W(C_0,\omega_0)(K)$ normalizes $\pi_1(C,\omega)$. As a consequence, $\iota(e,1) \in \pi_1(C_0,\omega_0)(K)$ is contained in $H(K)$. The group $W(C_0,\omega_0)$ is generated by $\pi_1(C,\omega)$ and $(e,1)$, thus the image of $\iota$ is contained in $H$. This implies that $H = \pi_1(C_0,\omega_0)$, which shows that $\pi_1(C,\omega)$ is normal in $\pi_1(C_0,\omega_0)$, as we wanted.

Proposition A.2.3. Let $(\tilde{C}, F^*)$ be a neutral Tannakian category over $K$ with Frobenius and let $\omega$ be a fibre functor of $\tilde{C}$. The following statements hold.

(i) The morphisms constructed in §A.1.2 and §A.1.3 form an exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1(C,\omega) \to \pi_1(C_0,\omega_0) \to \pi_1(C_0,\omega_0)^{\text{cst}} \to 1.$$ 

(ii) For every $\mathcal{E}_0 = (\mathcal{E}, \Phi) \in C_0$ and every $F \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists $G_0 \in (\mathcal{E}_0)$ such that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Psi(G_0)$.

(iii) For every object $\mathcal{E}_0 = (\mathcal{E}, \Phi) \in C_0$, the exact sequence of (i) sits in a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & \longrightarrow \pi_1(C,\omega) \longrightarrow \pi_1(C_0,\omega_0) \longrightarrow \pi_1(C_0,\omega_0)^{\text{cst}} \longrightarrow 1 \\
& \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow G(\mathcal{E},x) \longrightarrow G(\mathcal{E}_0,x) \longrightarrow G(\mathcal{E}_0,x)^{\text{cst}} \longrightarrow 1,
\end{align*}
$$

where the vertical arrows are the natural quotients.

(iv) The affine group scheme $\pi_1(C_0,\omega_0)^{\text{cst}}$ is isomorphic to the pro-algebraic completion of $Z$ over $K$ and $G(\mathcal{E}_0,x)^{\text{cst}}$ is a commutative algebraic group.

Proof. We already know that the sequence of part (i) is exact on the left and on the right. It remains to show the exactness in the middle using Theorem A.2.1. Condition (a) is satisfied by construction. For condition (b) we notice that a $\otimes$-functor sends trivial objects to trivial objects. Therefore, for every $(\mathcal{E}, \Phi) \in C_0$, the maximal trivial subobject $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is sent by $F^*$ to the maximal trivial subobject of $F^*(\mathcal{E})$. This means that the restriction of $\Phi$ to $F^*(\mathcal{F})$
defines an isomorphism between $F^*(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{F}$ that we denote by $\Phi|_{\mathcal{F}}$. The pair $(\mathcal{F}, \Phi|_{\mathcal{F}})$ is the subobject of $(\mathcal{E}, \Phi)$ with the desired property. Condition (c) is proven in Lemma A.2.2.

For part (ii) we notice that the subgroup $G(\mathcal{E}, \omega) \subseteq G(\mathcal{E}_0, \omega_0)$ is a quotient of $\pi_1(C, \omega) \subseteq \pi_1(C_0, \omega_0)$, thus it is normal. By Theorem A.2.1, this implies the desired result. The diagram of part (iii) is obtained by taking the natural morphisms of the Tannakian groups. To prove that the lower sequence is exact we proceed as in part (i), replacing Lemma A.2.2 with part (ii). Finally, the category $C_{\text{cst}}$ is equivalent to $\text{Rep}_R(\mathbb{Z})$, thus $\pi_1(C_0, \omega_0)^{\text{cst}}$ is isomorphic to the pro-algebraic completion of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{K}$. In particular, for every $\mathcal{E}_0 \in C_0$, the algebraic group $G(\mathcal{E}_0, \omega_0)^{\text{cst}}$, being a quotient of $\pi_1(C_0, \omega_0)^{\text{cst}}$, is commutative. This concludes the proof. □
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