

Phase Synchronization on Spatially Embedded Duplex Networks with Total Cost Constraint

Ruiwu Niu ^{*}; Xiaoqun Wu ^{*,‡}; Jun-an Lu ^{*}; Jianwen Feng [†]

Abstract

Synchronization on multiplex networks have attracted increasing attention in the past few years. We investigate collective behaviors of Kuramoto oscillators on single layer and duplex spatial networks with total cost restriction, which was introduced by Li et. al [Li G., Reis S. D., Moreira A. A., Havlin S., Stanley H. E. and Jr A. J., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 104, 018701 (2010)] and termed as the Li network afterwards. In the Li network model, with the increase of its spacial exponent, the network's structure will vary from the random type to the small-world one, and finally to the regular lattice. We first explore how the spacial exponent influences the synchronizability of Kuramoto oscillators on single layer Li networks and find that the closer the Li network is to a regular lattice, the more difficult for it to evolve into synchronization. Then we investigate synchronizability of duplex Li networks and find that the existence of inter-layer interaction can greatly enhance inter-layer and global synchronizability. When the inter-layer coupling strength is larger than a certain critical value, whatever the intra-layer coupling strength is, the inter-layer synchronization will always occur. Furthermore, on single layer Li networks, nodes with larger degrees more easily reach global synchronization, while on duplex Li networks, this phenomenon becomes much less obvious. Finally, we study the impact of inter-link density on global synchronization and obtain that sparse inter-links can lead to the emergence of global synchronization for duplex Li networks just as dense inter-links do. In a word, inter-layer interaction plays a vital role in determining synchronizability for duplex spatial networks with total cost constraint.

Keywords: Synchronization; multilayer network; spacial network.

I Introduction

Synchronization as a natural phenomenon, which can be easily observed in a wide variety of biological, chemical and physical systems, has drawn extensive attention of scien-

^{*}School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China.

[†]College of Mathematics and Statistics, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, PR China

[‡]To whom correspondence should be addressed: xqwu@whu.edu.cn

[§]This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 61573262.

tists in the last few decades. A large amount of research achievements have been made about synchronization properties of small-world, scale-free and other types of complex networks [1–17]. Aside from these researches, the spacial network has become more and more popular ever since it was proposed in 2000 [18]. As we know, many natural or man-made infrastructures are embedded in space, such as power grids, oil pipelines, communication networks and so on. So, it is important to investigate the synchronization properties of spacially embedded networks.

Among various studies on network synchronization, the Kuramoto model has been extensively employed [19]. It can describe collective behaviors caused by interaction between coupled oscillators. Since then, many works have been done to analyze synchronization among coupled oscillators. Watts and Strogatz found that a few shortcuts between Kuramoto oscillators in a network can greatly improve the synchronizability of the network [20, 21]. Moreno and Pacheco applied Kuramoto oscillators to scale-free networks and indicated that the hubs play a vital role in determining network dynamics [22]. After that, some significant analytical and numerical results demonstrated the relation between synchronization and network topologies [8, 9]. In 2011, Gómez et. al discovered a discontinuous synchronization transition by introducing a correlation between network structure and local dynamics [23]. This special phenomenon called explosive synchronization offers a new perspective for investigating synchronization of the Kuramoto model.

It is noteworthy that many synchronization phenomena, as in social networks, do not involve a single network in isolation but rely on the behaviors of a collection of smaller networks [24, 25]. And more generally, beyond single networks, we are now understanding that interactions between networks are playing an increasingly important role in determining the dynamical processes [26–30].

In the last few years, a new type of networks called multilayer networks has been paid increasing attention to. Numerous results indicate that interaction between networks can greatly affect dynamics on interacting networks, such as spreading, diffusion and synchronization [24, 31–42]. For example, in 2014, Aguirre et al. [32] investigated the influence of the connector node degree on the synchronizability of two star networks with one inter-layer link and showed that connecting the high-degree (low-degree) nodes of each network is the most (least) effective way to achieve synchronization. In 2016, Wei et al. [43] worked on cooperative epidemic spreading on two interacting networks and found that the global epidemic threshold is smaller than the epidemic thresholds of the corresponding isolated networks and the cooperative interaction between networks can

enhance the final infection fraction. Very recently, Tang et. al [44] studied inter-layer, intra-layer and global synchronization in two-layer networks, and showed that for any give nodal dynamics and network structure, the occurrence of intra-layer and inter-layer synchronization depend mainly on the coupling functions of nodes within a layer and across layers, respectively.

In the real world, many complex networks can be geographically represented or spatially embedded. In 2000 [18], Kleinberg proposed a spatial network model by adding shortcuts with probability $P(r_{ij}) \sim r_{ij}^{-\alpha}$ on a regular lattice, where r_{ij} is the Manhattan distance between nodes i and j on the lattice, and α is the spacial exponent. He found that proper addition of shortcuts ($\alpha = d$, where d is the spatial dimension) can lead to formation of a complex network possessing the small-world property. After that, considering the cost of connecting different nodes, Li et. al improved Kleinberg's model by restraining the total length of shortcuts, which is named as Li network afterwards [45, 46]. They found that when $\alpha = d + 1$, the network has the small-world property. These results have inspired a lot of studies on this interesting phenomenon of the emergence of the small-world property on lattices by shortcuts addition [47–50]. Nevertheless, few of them focused on synchronous behaviors in spatial networks. In this paper, we consider the specific Li network of Kuramoto oscillators and find that proper addition of shortcuts can greatly improve the synchronizability of spacial networks.

In order to investigate synchronous behaviors on multilayer spatial networks, we propose a spatially embedded duplex network model with total cost constraint. Here, the same set of nodes interact on different layers, and links in different layers represent different link types. By employing the Kuramoto model, we study inter-layer, intra-layer and global synchronizability of the networks. We show how coupling strength between layers influences synchronization, and point out that large inter-layer coupling strength can greatly enhance the inter-layer, intra-layer as well as global synchronization. We further investigate the synchronization processes. Interestingly, we find that, even for a small value of inter-layer coupling strength, inter-layer synchronization can always happen in the first place. In addition, for single layer networks, the nodes with large degrees always firstly arrive at synchronization. While for duplex networks, this phenomenon becomes less obvious due to inter-layer connections. At last, we reduce the inter-links between layers and find that, a small portion of inter-links can make the networks achieve global synchronization just as one-to-one inter-layer connections can do.

II Results and Discussion

A Synchronization on single layer Li network

First of all, we introduce a spatial network proposed by Li et. al [45, 46]. Figure 1 shows a typical Li network, which is a regular two-dimensional square lattice with $N = L \times L$ nodes, where L is the linear size of the lattice, and long-range connections are randomly added between nodes. In this model, pairs of nodes i and j are randomly chosen to generate a long-range connection with probability $P(r_{ij}) \sim r_{ij}^{-\alpha}$, where r_{ij} is the Manhattan distance between nodes i and j , and α is the spacial exponent. In addition, the total length of the long-range connections is restricted by $\Lambda = L \times L$. It is noteworthy that if exponent $\alpha = d + 1$, the network will have the small-world property [45, 46]. Besides, when α is getting larger, the network will be closer to a regular lattice. Otherwise, the network will become a lattice network with few long shortcuts. In this way, we can change network topology by altering the exponent α .




Figure 1: In a two-dimensional space, each node i has four short-range connections to its nearest neighbors (a, b, c and d). A long-range connection is added to a randomly chosen node j with probability proportional to $r_{ij}^{-\alpha}$.

Next, consider the Kuramoto oscillators as node dynamics. Thus the evolution of the N oscillators is governed by [19]

$$\dot{\theta}_i = \omega_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{ij} A_{ij} \sin(\theta_j - \theta_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (1)$$

where θ_i denotes the phase of the i th oscillator, ω_i s are the natural frequencies which are distributed by an even and symmetric probability density function $g(\omega)$. A_{ij} is the adjacency matrix of the network and λ_{ij} is the coupling strength between nodes i and j , which are normally considered as $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda/k_i$, where λ is the global coupling strength and k_i is the degree of node i .

To quantify the synchronous behaviors of the oscillators, Kuramoto introduced the following order parameter [19]

$$R(t)e^{i\psi(t)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{i\theta_j(t)}. \quad (2)$$

The order parameter $R(t)$ can be considered as the proportion of synchronized oscillators, and $R(t) \in [0, 1]$. When the coupled Kuramoto oscillators are synchronized, the order parameter will reach the value $R = 1$, while for completely incoherent situations, $R = 0$.

Here we focus on the influence of the spacial exponent α and coupling strength λ on the emergence of global synchronization. Figure 2 shows how the dynamical parameter λ and the topological parameter α affect the order parameter R . In the contour graph, the colour represents the value of order parameter R . The red region means the network reaches synchronization, and the blue one indicates that the phases of network oscillators are out of synchronization. Other colours denote that only partial nodes reach synchronization. As we can see, when the coupling strength λ is larger than some critical value λ_c , phase synchronization appears at $\alpha < \alpha_{op}$. In the contour graph, when $\alpha > 3$, the network can hardly synchronize for any value of λ . As mentioned before, for Li networks, when $\alpha = \alpha_{op} = d + 1$ (for the considered lattice, $d = 2$), the small-world property emerges in the networks. So we can say that proper addition of long-range connections on a square lattice network, where the network topology is varying between the small-world type ($\alpha = d + 1$) and the random one ($\alpha = 0$), can greatly improve synchronizability of the network.

B Synchronization on duplex Li network

In order to investigate synchronous behaviors on multilayer spacial networks, we introduce a duplex Li network model. As shown in Fig. 3, the duplex network model has two layers and the nodes of each layer have the same geographic positions. The way intra-links connect intra-nodes follows the same rule as that for a single layer Li network. That is, the probability that two nodes locating in the same layer establish a long-range connection




Figure 2: The colour variation shows the value of order parameter R as a function of spatial exponent α and coupling strength λ , for a single layer Li networks, and the size of the network is $N = 50 \times 50$. For $\alpha < 3$ and $\lambda > 4$, phase synchronization can emerge. For $\alpha > 3$ or $\lambda < 4$, the network can hardly synchronize.

follows $P(r_{ij}) \sim r_{ij}^{-\alpha}$, where r_{ij} is the Manhattan distance between nodes i and j , and α is the spatial exponent. In particular, we set $\alpha = d + 1 = 3$ (the network exhibits small-world properties) in both layers. Moreover, the inter-links are one-to-one, that is, each node in one layer is connected to a counterpart in the other layer.




Figure 3: A duplex network consisting of layer K and layer L . Each layer is a two-dimensional Li network, and each node in a layer has a connection with its counterpart in the other layer.

Analogously, for the duplex Li network, the oscillators evolve by the Kuramoto model,

$$\dot{\theta}_i^K = \omega_i^K + \frac{\lambda^K}{k_i} \sum_{j \in \Lambda_i} \sin(\theta_j^K - \theta_i^K) + \lambda^{KL} \sin(\theta_i^L - \theta_i^K), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (3)$$

$$\dot{\theta}_i^L = \omega_i^L + \frac{\lambda^L}{k_i} \sum_{j \in \Lambda_i} \sin(\theta_j^L - \theta_i^L) + \lambda^{KL} \sin(\theta_i^K - \theta_i^L), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (4)$$

where $\theta_i^K(\theta_i^L)$ denotes the phase of the i th oscillator in layer $K(L)$, $\omega_i^K(\omega_i^L)$ s are the natural frequencies of layer $K(L)$'s oscillators being distributed by an even and symmetric probability density. $\lambda^K(\lambda^L)$ is the coupling strength of layer $K(L)$ and λ^{KL} is the inter-layer coupling strength. Λ_i represents the set of neighbors of node i within the same layer. Using the global order parameter R_{global} , which is considered as the proportion of synchronized oscillators in both layers, we can find out whether two layers evolve into the same synchronous state. For $R_{global} = 1$, oscillators in both layers are fully synchronized, while for $R_{global} = 0$, most of the oscillators are not synchronized.




Figure 4: The color variation shows the value of global order parameter R_{global} as a function of inter-layer coupling strength λ_{inter} and intra-layer coupling strength λ_{intra} , for a duplex Li network, where the size of each layer is $N = 10 \times 10$, and the spacial exponents of layer K and L are $\alpha_K = \alpha_L = 3$. In the region of $\lambda_{inter} > 0.25$ and $\lambda_{intra} > 2$, the network can evolve into global synchronization.

For clarity, we set $\lambda^K = \lambda^L = \lambda_{intra}$, and $\lambda^{KL} = \lambda_{inter}$. Figure 4 displays the influence of intra-layer coupling strength λ_{intra} and inter-layer coupling strength λ_{inter} on global synchronization, where $\alpha = 3$. We can see that the two factors have much impact on the order parameter R_{global} . For $\lambda_{inter} > 0.25$ and $\lambda_{intra} > 2$, the duplex network's synchronizability is greatly improved. For other values of $\alpha < 3$, there exist similar

results. In other words, large values of inter- and intra-coupling strength can lead duplex spacial networks into global synchronization.

C Synchronization process on duplex Li network

As is well known, for Kuramoto oscillators, full synchronization means the phases of all nodes modulo 2π are the same. We can say that they all come to an identical state [19]. While for multiplex networks, there are other kinds of synchronous states, as shown in Fig. 5. Intra-layer synchronization means all nodes within each layer have the same value of phase modulo 2π , and inter-layer synchronization means each node in a layer reaches the same state as its counterparts in the other layer. In this section, we put forward a new order parameter to describe the inter-layer synchronization as follows,

$$R_{inter} = \frac{\sum_{i=1} R(i)}{N}, R(i) > 0.99. \quad (5)$$

Here, $R(i)$ is the local order parameter between node i in one layer and its counterpart in the other layer, N is the total number of pairs of nodes between layers. We term R_{inter} as the inter-layer order parameter. For $R_{inter} = 1$, the system reaches inter-layer synchronization, while for $R_{inter} = 0$, it means no inter-layer synchronization appears.




Figure 5: Schematic representation of (a) intra-layer synchronization and (b) inter-layer synchronization, in a duplex spatial network.

Figure 6 shows the impact of inter-layer and intra-layer coupling strength on the inter-layer order parameter. It is obvious that the inter-layer coupling strength λ_{inter} is a very important factor influencing inter-layer synchronization. When λ_{inter} is getting larger, the inter-layer order parameter comes closer to value 1. Also, larger intra-layer coupling strength λ_{intra} also lead to better inter-layer synchronizability.




Figure 6: Inter-layer order parameter R_{inter} varying as a function of inter-layer coupling strength λ_{inter} and intra-layer coupling strength λ_{intra} . For a duplex Li network, where the size of each layer is $N = 10 \times 10$, and the spacial exponents for both layers K and L are $\alpha_K = \alpha_L = 3$.

Figure 7 shows the inter-layer, intra-layer and global synchronization order parameter for different values of inter- and intra-layer coupling strength, for a duplex Li network with $N = 10 \times 10$ and $\alpha_K = \alpha_L = 3$. The intra-layer synchronization order parameters are defined the same as that in Eq. (2) for nodes within each layer. For a large inter-layer coupling strength $\lambda_{inter} = 5$, no matter what value of intra-layer coupling strength λ_{intra} is, inter-layer synchronization can be achieved and always be the earlier one compared with intra-layer or global synchronization. Since the spacial exponents α are identical in both layers, the intra-layer and global synchronization can be reached simultaneously. While for a quite small value of inter-layer coupling strength, such as that shown in Panel (d) for $\lambda_{inter} = 0.01$, inter-layer synchronization cannot occur, neither can global synchronization. For a large intra-layer coupling strength, layer K and layer L can reach intra-layer synchronization. In general, for duplex spacial networks consisting of the Kuramoto oscillators, inter-layer coupling strength is a crucial factor determining inter-layer as well as global synchronization. Analogously, intra-layer coupling strength is a determining factor for intra-layer synchronization.

In order to further investigate how inter-layer coupling enhances the inter-layer synchronization, we illustrate the evolution progress of phase oscillators in Figs. 8 - 11. In all the figures, the horizontal axis denotes the evolution time steps and vertical axis represents the serial number of nodes in each layer. While the colours mean the value of phase modulo 2π , and the same colour means the same synchronous state. Here, for clearer




Figure 7: The inter-layer, intra-layer and global order parameters varying with time t . In each panel, the black squares represent the inter-layer order parameter, the blue upper triangles and green lower triangles represent the intra-layer parameter of layer K and L , respectively, and the red circles represent the global order parameter. Panel (a): $\lambda_{inter} = 5$ and $\lambda_{intra} = 10$, the inter-layer order parameter reaches 1 when $t \approx 100$, while intra-layer and global order parameter reach 1 when $t \approx 1000$. Panel (b): $\lambda_{inter} = 5$ and $\lambda_{intra} = 1$, the inter-layer order parameter reaches 1 after $t \approx 100$, while intra-layer and the global order parameter is oscillating between 0.6 and 0.8, meaning that the duplex network reaches the lock phase state. Panel (c): $\lambda_{inter} = 5$ and $\lambda_{intra} = 0$, the inter-layer order parameter reaches 1 after $t \approx 100$, while intra-layer or global synchronization cannot be reached. Panel (d): $\lambda_{inter} = 0.01$ and $\lambda_{intra} = 10$, layer K and layer L can reach intra-layer synchronization, while neither inter-layer nor global synchronization happens.

and more visible observations, we use the round of phases modulo 2π instead of phases modulo 2π .

For $\lambda_{inter} = 5$ and $\lambda_{intra} = 10$ shown in Fig. 8, all nodes in both layers reach synchronization after a certain time steps. Moreover, for clarity, we enlarge Panel (a) in the time slot $[0, 2000]$, and plot the node dynamics in the way symmetric about $t = 0$, as shown in Panel (b). Therefore, the left half of this panel is for dynamical evolution of nodes in Layer K , and the right half is for that in Layer L . It is obvious that, due to the strong inter-layer interaction, each node in one layer is influenced by its counterpart in the other

layer and reaches a synchronous state before its intra-layer neighbors do.




Figure 8: Node dynamics in each layer evolving with time, for $\lambda_{inter} = 5$ and $\lambda_{intra} = 10$. (a): Each pair of nodes in different layers will arrive at the same state after $t \approx 1000$; (b): Enlarged plot in $t \in [0, 2000]$ of panel (a), each node and its counterpart in the other layer will get into the same state after a very short time period.

For $\lambda_{inter} = 5$, $\lambda_{intra} = 1$, Fig. 9 shows that, because of the weak intra-layer coupling strength, only partial synchronization occurs. We can obtain from the left panel that part of nodes in the two layers arrive at cluster synchronization, as indicated by the same colors emerging simultaneously. Similarly, in the zoom in Panel (b) of Fig. 9, each node in one layer and its counterpart in the other always stay in an identical state after some time steps.




Figure 9: Node dynamics in each layer evolving with time, for $\lambda_{inter} = 5$ and $\lambda_{intra} = 1$. (a): Only part of nodes will arrive at the same state at the same time; (b): Enlarged plot in $t \in [0, 2000]$ of (a), each node and its counterpart in the other layer will get into the same state after a very short time period.

When we set $\lambda_{inter} = 5$, and $\lambda_{intra} = 0$, we can observe that there is no occurrence of intra-layer or global synchronization, as shown in Fig. 10. From Panel (b), it is obvious

that only inter-layer synchronization is achieved.




Figure 10: Node dynamics in each layer evolving with time, for $\lambda_{inter} = 5$ and $\lambda_{intra} = 0$. (a): The nodes in the same layer or the other layer can not get into large synchronous cluster at the same time; (b): Enlarged plot in $t \in [0, 2000]$ of (a), each node and its counterpart in other layer will get into same state after a very short time period.

Figure 11 shows the case for strong intra-layer coupling but weak inter-layer coupling, with $\lambda_{intra} = 10$ and $\lambda_{inter} = 0.01$. It is obvious that nodes in each layer reach its specific synchronous state, but no inter-layer synchronization occurs. From Panel (b) of Fig. 11, we can obtain that because of weak interaction between layers, a node in one layer can not reach the same state as its counterpart in the other layer. Figures 10 and 11 imply that both inter- and intra-layer interactions are crucial factors determining global synchronization.




Figure 11: Node dynamics in each layer evolving with time, for $\lambda_{inter} = 0.01$ and $\lambda_{intra} = 10$. (a): The nodes from the same layer are in the same synchronous cluster, and the nodes from another layer are in different cluster; (b): Enlarged plot in $t \in [0, 2000]$ of (a), each node and its counterpart in the other layer can not get into same state at the same time.

Many results have indicated that, for scale-free networks, nodes with larger degrees are more likely to get into the synchronized state [51]. Our study on single layer spacial small-world networks have the same results (Li networks with the spacial exponent being 3) yields similar observation. Panel (a) of Fig. 12 shows the likelihood for nodes of degree k belonging to the largest synchronized connected component (GC) with respect to the coupling strength λ for single layer Li networks. The probability P_{GC} is defined by $P_{GC} = n/m$, where m is the total round of simulation and n is the number of times when a node gets into the largest synchronized connected component. The panel indicates that highly connected nodes are more likely to reach synchronization state. While for duplex spacial networks, as shown in Panel (b), this phenomenon becomes much less obvious, which is possibly due to interaction between layers. In other words, interaction between layers can greatly affect the synchronization process.




Figure 12: The probability P_{GC} for a node of degree k belonging to the largest synchronized connected component as a function of coupling strength λ (λ_{intra}) and $\lambda_{inter} = 10$. (a): In single layer Li networks, nodes with larger degrees will arrive at synchronization earlier; (b): For duplex Li networks, the influence of degrees will be weakened.

D The impact of inter-links on synchronization

As studied previously, the coupling strength between layers plays a dominant role in the emergence of global synchronization on multiplex networks. In other words, the interaction across layers can greatly change the synchronous behaviors of multiplex networks. In this section, we study how the density of links between layers (inter-links) influences the emergence of global synchronization. First of all, we use the previous duplex Li network model with one-to-one inter-links and randomly remove a fraction of inter-links by $1 - P_{link}$, thus the density of inter-links can be denoted as $P_{link} = M/N$, where M is the number of links between layers after the removal and N is the size of each layer. Then

we investigate the global order parameter with different inter-link density P_{link} in the parameter space of inter- and intra-layer coupling strength, with results being shown in Fig. 13. As we can see, for a relatively small value of inter-link density such as $P_{link} = 0.1$, the synchronizability is just like the network before the removal ($P_{link} = 1$).




Figure 13: Global order parameter R_{global} as a function of inter-layer coupling strength λ_{inter} and intra-layer coupling strength λ_{intra} for different inter-link densities P_{link} . (a): $P_{link} = 0.5$, the synchronization region regarding λ_{inter} and λ_{intra} is similar to Fig. 4; (b): $P_{link} = 0.1$, the synchronization region begin to shrink; Panel (c). $P_{link} = 0.01$, there is no synchronization in the parameter space for $\lambda_{inter} \in [0, 5]$ and $\lambda_{intra} \in [0, 10]$.

In Fig. 14, we further illustrate different order parameters varying with inter-link density P_{link} , where $\lambda_{inter} = \lambda_{intra} = 5$. It is obvious that, when we remove the inter-links between layers, there exists a threshold $P_{link}^c \approx 0.1$. For $P_{link} > P_{link}^c$, the network can always have inter-layer, intra-layer and global synchronization, while for $P_{link} < P_{link}^c$, only intra-layer synchronization appears. Therefore in duplex Li networks, a small value of inter-link density (as small as about 0.1 for this case) can make the whole network reach global synchronization.




Figure 14: The inter-layer, intra-layer and global order parameter as a function of inter-link density, for $\lambda_{inter} = \lambda_{intra} = 5$. The intra-layer order parameters almost do not vary with P_{link} . While for inter-layer and global order parameters, there exists a critical value thresholding synchronization and non-synchronization.

III Conclusions

In this letter, we study synchronization on single-layer and duplex spacial networks with total cost constraint. First of all, we investigate the influence of spacial exponent α on synchronizability of single-layer Li networks. When $\alpha > d + 1$ ($d = 2$), no matter what values of coupling strength are assigned, the networks can barely synchronize. For $\alpha < d + 1$, the networks will change from non-synchronization to synchronization with increasing coupling strength. Then we study the effect of inter-layer and intra-layer coupling strength on synchronous behaviors for duplex spacial networks for $\alpha = 3$ with total cost constraint. We find that synchronization will emerge with strong enough inter-layer and intra-layer coupling. Furthermore we introduce order parameters for inter-layer, intra-layer and global synchronization to investigate synchronization processes. We note that when inter-layer coupling strength is larger than a certain value, no matter what value of intra-layer coupling strength is assigned, the inter-layer synchronization will always occur first. And inter-layer interactions can improve the inter-layer and global synchronization. Interestingly, the synchronizability of a node is positively related with its degree, and for duplex networks this effect will be weakened by the inter-layer interactions. That is, inter-layer interactions play a crucial role in global synchronization for duplex Li networks. Finally, we study the impact of the inter-link density on global synchronization, and find that the existence of sparse inter-links can already lead to global synchronization

of duplex Li networks. Those results for duplex Li networks can help us to manipulate the synchronization for a specific purpose, such as making two different groups reach an agreement in an optimal way.

References

- [1] Watts, D. J. & Strogatz, S. H. Collective dynamics of ‘small-world’ networks. *Nature* **393**, 440–442 (1998).
- [2] Barahona, M. & Pecora, L. M. Synchronization in small-world systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 054101 (2002).
- [3] Hong, H., Choi, M. Y. & Kim, B. J. Synchronization on small-world networks. *Phys. Rev. E* **65**, 026139 (2002).
- [4] Newman, M. E. J. The Structure and Function of Complex Networks. *SIAM Rev.* **45**, 167–256 (2003).
- [5] Lü, J., Yu, X., Chen, G. & Cheng, D. Characterizing the synchronizability of small-world dynamical networks. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I: Regular Papers* **51**, 787–796 (2004).
- [6] Lü, J. & Chen, G. A time-varying complex dynamical network model and its controlled synchronization criteria. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.* **50**, 841–846 (2005).
- [7] Zhou, J., Lu, J. & Lü, J. Adaptive synchronization of an uncertain complex dynamical network. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.* **51**, 652–656 (2006).
- [8] Boccaletti, S., Latora, V., Moreno, Y., Chavez, M. & Hwang, D. U. Complex networks: Structure and dynamics. *Phys. Rep.* **424**, 175–308 (2006).
- [9] Arenas, A., Díaz-Guilera, A., Kurths, J., Moreno, Y. & Zhou, C. Synchronization in complex networks. *Phys. Rep.* **469**, 93–153 (2008).
- [10] Chen, Y., Lü, J., Han, F. & Yu, X. On the cluster consensus of discrete-time multi-agent systems. *Syst. Contr. Lett.* **60**, 517–523 (2011).
- [11] Huang, L., Lai, Y. C. & Gatenby, R. A. Optimization of synchronization in complex clustered networks. *Chaos* **18**, 013101 (2008).
- [12] Donetti, L., Hurtado, P. I. & Muñoz, M. A. Entangled networks, synchronization, and optimal network topology. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 188701 (2005).

- [13] Pecora, L. M., Sorrentino, F., Hagerstrom, A. M., Murphy, T. E. & Roy, R. Cluster synchronization and isolated desynchronization in complex networks with symmetries. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 4079 (2014).
- [14] Tang, L., Lu, J.A. & Chen, G. Synchronizability of small-world networks generated from ring networks with equal-distance edge additions. *Chaos* **22**, 023121 (2012).
- [15] Ma, J., Li, F., Huang, L., & Jin, W. Y. Complete synchronization, phase synchronization and parameters estimation in a realistic chaotic system. *Communications in Nonlinear Science & Numerical Simulation* **16**, 3770–3785 (2011).
- [16] Ma, J., Tang, J. A review for dynamics in neuron and neuronal network. *Nonlinear Dynamics* **89**, 1569–1578 (2017).
- [17] Feng, J., Li, N., Zhao, Y., Xu, C., & Wang, J. Finite-time synchronization analysis for general complex dynamical networks with hybrid couplings and time-varying delays. *Nonlinear Dynamics* **88**, 2723–2733 (2017).
- [18] Kleinberg, J. M. Navigation in a small world. *Nature*. **406**, 845 (2000).
- [19] Kuramoto Y. *Chemical Oscillations, Waves, and Turbulence* (Springer, Berlin, 1984).
- [20] Watts D. J. *Small Worlds: The Dynamics of Networks Between Order and Randomness* (Princeton university press, 1999).
- [21] Strogatz S. H. Exploring complex networks. *Nature* **410**, 268 (2001).
- [22] Moreno Y., Pacheco A. F. Synchronization of Kuramoto oscillators in scale-free networks. *Europhys. Lett.* **68**, 511–534 (2004).
- [23] Gómez-Gardeñes, J., Gómez, S., Arenas, A., & Moreno, Y. Explosive synchronization transitions in scale-free networks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 128701 (2011).
- [24] Boccaletti, S., Bianconi, G., Criado, R., Del Genio, C. I., Gómez-Gardeñes, J., Romance, M., Sendiña-Nadal, I., Wang, Z. & Zanin, M. The structure and dynamics of multilayer networks. *Phys. Rep.* **544**, 1–122 (2014).
- [25] D’Agostino, G. & Scala, A. *Networks of Networks: the last Frontier of Complexity* (Springer, Berlin, 2014).
- [26] Brummitt, C. D., D’Souza, R. M. & Leicht, E. A. Suppressing cascades of load in interdependent networks, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **109**, E680–E689 (2012).

- [27] Gómez, S., Díaz-Guilera, A., Gómez-Gardeñes, J., Pérez-Vicente, C. J., Moreno, Y. & Arenas, A. Diffusion dynamics on multiplex networks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 028701 (2013).
- [28] Radicchi, F., & Arenas, A. Abrupt Transition in the Structural Formation of Interconnected Networks. *Nat. Phys.* **9**, 717 (2013).
- [29] De Domenico, M., Solé-Ribalta, A., Gómez, S. , & Arenas, A. Navigability of Interconnected Networks under Random Failures. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **111**, 8351 (2014).
- [30] Valles-Catala, T., Massucci, F. A., Guimera, R., & Sales-Pardo, M. Multilayer stochastic block models reveal the multilayer structure of complex networks. *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 011036 (2016).
- [31] Solé-Ribalta, A., Domenico, M. D., Kouvaris, N. E., Díaz-Guilera, A., Gómez, S. & Arenas, A. Spectral properties of the Laplacian of multiplex network. *Phys. Rev. E* **88**, 032807 (2013).
- [32] Aguirre, J., Sevilla-Escoboza, R., Gutiérrez, R., Papo, D. & Buldú, J. M. Synchronization of interconnected networks: the role of connector nodes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 248701 (2014).
- [33] De Domenico, M., Nicosia, V., Arenas, A. & Latora, V. Structural reducibility of multilayer networks. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6864 (2015).
- [34] Lu, R., Yu, W., Lü, J. & Xue A. Synchronization on complex networks of networks. *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.* **25**, 2110–2118 (2014).
- [35] Luo, C., Wang, X. & Liu, H. Controllability of time-delayed Boolean multiplex control networks under asynchronous stochastic update. *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 7522 (2014).
- [36] Xu, M., Zhou, J., Lu, J. A. & Wu, X., Synchronizability of two-layer networks. *Eur. Phys. J. B* **88**, 240 (2015).
- [37] Li, Y., Wu, X., Lu, J. A. & Lü, J. Synchronizability of duplex networks. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Sys. II: Express Briefs* **63**, 206–210 (2015).
- [38] Gambuzza, L.V., Frasca , M. & Gómez-Gardeñes, J. Intra-layer synchronization in multiplex networks, *EPL* **110**, 20010 (2015).
- [39] Sevilla-Escoboza, R., Sendiña-Nadal, I., Leyva, I., Gutiérrez, R., Buldú, J. M. & Boccaletti, S. Inter-layer synchronization in multiplex networks of identical layers. *Chaos* **26**, 065304 (2016).

- [40] Wang, J., Feng, J., Xu, C., Chen, M. Z. Q., Zhao, Y., & Feng, J. The synchronization of instantaneously coupled harmonic oscillators using sampled data with measurement noise. *Automatica* **66**, 155–162 (2016).
- [41] Liu, H., Wan, H., Chi, K. T., & Lü, J. An Encryption Scheme Based on Synchronization of Two-Layered Complex Dynamical Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits & Systems I Regular Papers* **63**, 2010–2021 (2016).
- [42] Mei, G., Wu, X., Wang, Y., Hu, M., Lu, J. A., & Chen, G. Compressive-sensing-based structure identification for multilayer networks. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics* **99**, 1–11 (2017).
- [43] Xiang, W., Chen, S., Wu, X., Di, N., & Lu, J. Cooperative spreading processes in multiplex networks *CHAOS* **26**, 065311 (2016).
- [44] Tang, L., Wu, X., Lü, J., Lu, J., & D’Souza, R. M. Master stability functions for multiplex networks. arXiv:1611.09110v1 (2016).
- [45] Li, G., Reis, S. D., Moreira, A. A., Havlin, S., Stanley, H. E., & Jr, A. J. Towards design principles for optimal transport networks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 018701 (2010).
- [46] Li, G., Reis, S. D., Moreira, A. A., Havlin, S., Stanley, H. E., & Jr, A. J. Optimal transport exponent in spatially embedded networks. *Phys. Rev. E* **87**, 042810 (2013).
- [47] Hu, Y., Wang, Y., Li, D., Havlin, S., & Di, Z. Possible origin of efficient navigation in small worlds. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 108701 (2011).
- [48] Oliveira, C. L., Morais, P. A., Moreira, A. A., & Jr, A. J. Enhanced flow in small-world networks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 148701 (2014).
- [49] Pan, G. J., & Niu, R. W. Biased random walks on kleinbergs spatial networks. *Physica A Statistical Mechanics & Its Applications* **463**, 509–515 (2016).
- [50] Niu, R. W., & Pan, G. J. Biased random walk in spatially embedded networks with total cost constraint. *Physica A Statistical Mechanics & Its Applications* **461**, 9–18 (2016).
- [51] Gōmez-Gardeñes, J., Moreno, Y., Arenas, A. Paths to Synchronization on Complex Networks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 034101 (2007).