Certification of high-dimensional entanglement and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen steering with cold atomic quantum memory
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The advent of structured, high-dimensional entangled states brings new possibilities for quantum imaging, information processing and quantum key distribution. We experimentally generate and characterize a spatially entangled state stored in quantum memory system, using entropic EPR-steering inequality, yielding genuine violation of \(1.06 \pm 0.05\) bits and certifying entanglement of formation of at least \(0.70 \pm 0.04\) ebits. The supremacy of the entropic witness is demonstrated for a wide class of experimentally available states, giving prospects for EPR-steering applications in noisy systems or with lossy quantum channels.

Entanglement is not only a central concept of the quantum theory \[1\], but also an essential resource in a variety of quantum-enhanced practical protocols, such as secure key distribution \[2\]. Of particular interest is the broad concept of high-dimensional (HD) entangled states, for which the dimension of Hilbert space required to describe the observed correlations reaches thousands \[3\]. These states offer an unprecedented informational capacity \[4\] that can be readily utilized in quantum key distribution (QKD) \[5–7\]. On the other hand, their generation is challenging and full characterization, i.e. reconstruction of the entire density matrix, requires a tremendous effort as the number of settings of a hypothetical projective measurement grows exponentially with state dimension \[8, 9\]. This highlights the need for other characterization techniques based on compressive sensing \[10\], witnesses \[11–13\] or partial state reconstruction through semi-definite programming \[14\].

For bipartite HD entangled states the uncertainty relations have proven to be a viable way of detecting entanglement \[11–15\]. The entropic steering inequalities, that stem from the uncertainty relation of Białynicki-Birula and Mycielski \[16\], are able to detect EPR-steering – a special case of entanglement related to the famous Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) paradox \[17\]. The concept of EPR-steering greatly benefits from operational definition proposed by Wiseman et al. \[18\] which formulates it as a secure QKD task \[19\] of one-sided device-independent QKD (1SDI-QKD). Then the maximum achievable secure quantum key rate (per photon pair) \(\Delta I\) can be lower-bounded by \[20\]

\[
\Delta I \geq \log(\pi e) - \left[ h(x^B | x^A) + h(k_x^B | k_x^A) \right]_{\sum_h},
\]

where \(h(x^B | x^A)\) and \(h(k_x^B | k_x^A)\) are conditional differential Shannon entropies for measurement in two conjugate, e.g. position and momentum bases. Henceforth we use the binary logarithm and thus the entropy is expressed in bits. Another commonly used witness for EPR-steering, apart from the conditional entropies sum \(\Sigma_h\) [see Eq. (1)], is the product of variances \[15, 21\].

\[
\Pi_{\Delta^2} = \langle \Delta^2(x^B - x^A) \rangle / \langle \Delta^2(k_x^B + k_x^A) \rangle,
\]

which certifies EPR-steering if \(\Pi_{\Delta^2} < 1/4\). This witness is well-suited to Gaussian states as any EPR-steerable Gaussian state is properly characterized by the variance-based witness, i.e. it will always indicate EPR-steering when steering is present. In consequence, it has been widely used in demonstrations of entanglement and EPR-steering in continuous-variable systems such as quadratures of light or collective spin \[22–23\]. This translates well to QKD where it has been shown that only Gaussian attacks need to be considered to prove security \[25\]. Although, Eq. (1) is more inclusive than Eq. (2) in a sense that for a broad range of EPR-steerable states Eq. (1) confirms the EPR-steering while Eq. (2) criterion might not \[11, 20, 26\].

In this Letter we demonstrate generation and characterization of an HD entangled and EPR-steerable state of a single photon (A) and a collective atomic excitation stored in a cold rubidium ensemble, retrievable as another single photon (B). The HD character relies on a wide space of angular emission modes and the conjugate position-space of the atomic ensemble \[27–29\]. The HD EPR-steering is demonstrated for the first time using a single-photon resolving camera, which offers parallel access to all coincidences and is thus necessary to truly utilize the high informational capacity of the generated state \[30–32\]. Furthermore, we show that for the emerging domain of HD entanglement in position-momentum \[33, 35\] or time-frequency \[34, 36\] variables of single photons the entropic witness vastly outperforms the variance-based witness and consequently constitutes a promising way of demonstrating HD entanglement in real systems. Finally, we use a recent result of Schneeloch and Howland \[37\] to estimate the entanglement of formation (EOF) of the generated quantum state. The integration of the quantum memory presented here with a practical QKD scheme provides an instantaneous advantage as the receiver (Bob) could perform measurements after the sender (Alice) announces her basis selection thus ef-
fectively doubling the key rate generation. A quantum memory also opens new avenues to fundamental studies of one-particle uncertainty principles \[38\].

The character of the HD entangled state of a photon pair differs significantly from the one of quadrature squeezed states of light \[24\], traditionally understood as continuous-variable states. Even if the photon pair is squeezed states of light \[24\], traditionally understood as quadrature of one-particle uncertainty principles \[38\].

\[
\psi(x^A, x^B) = \frac{\kappa}{\pi \sigma} \exp \left( -\frac{(x^B - x^A)^2}{4 \sigma^2} - \frac{\kappa^2 (x^B + x^A)^2}{4} \right),
\]

where \(\sigma\) and \(\kappa^{-1}\) are the s.d. of the two Gaussians, the processes of transferring the state through a quantum channel, detection or the multi-photon pairs will yield an accidental coincidence background. The coincidence distribution is well-described by

\[
P(x^A, x^B) = q|\psi(x^A, x^B)|^2 + (1 - q)p(x^A)p(x^B),
\]

where \(p(x)\) is the marginal distribution of photon counts and \(0 \leq q \leq 1\) is the fraction of correlated coincidences. This coincidence distribution is non-Gaussian unless the width \(\sigma = \kappa^{-1}\), as the \(p(x^A)p(x^B)\) term is very wide in the \(x^B - x^A\) variable. An analogous scenario will be observed also for the conjugate variable – the wavenumber \(k^A_x\) and \(k^B_x\) in our case. As a result, the variance-based witness immediately fails as even for large \(q\) the huge variance of wide distribution will make the net variance large. However, the entropic witness does not share this impairment as it goes beyond the second moments of the measured distribution.

Figure 1 depicts these witnesses for one-dimensional situation as a function of the coincidence fraction \(q\) and the Schmidt mode number \(K = (\kappa \sigma + (\sigma \kappa)^{-1})/2\) \[39\]. Note the entropic witness is easier to achieve with the larger mode number \(K\), whereas the variance-based witness exhibits an opposite, inadequate behavior. While it has been previously demonstrated that the entropic witness is more effective for a random class of states \[11\] or Gauss-Hermite modes \[20\], here we show that for a wide variety of states present in real experimental scenarios the entropic witness is more effective. This leads us to a recent theoretical proposal showing that the value \(E\) of EOF, i.e. the number of two-qubit maximally-entangled states needed to reproduce the observed correlation \[14\] \[67\], can be lower-bounded by the entropic witness \(E \geq \log(2\pi) - \Sigma_h\). The method provides an effective way of quantifying entanglement, however here we show that the presence of accidental counts must be taken into account.

In order to experimentally demonstrate genuine violation, we use a single-photon resolving I-sCMOS camera \[10\] \[42\] to capture all photons emitted from a cold atomic quantum memory. Note that previous demonstrations of HD entanglement heavily relied on subtraction of accidental background \[27\] \[32\] \[33\] and in consequence only estimated the number \(K\) of Schmidt modes potentially available while not confirming the presence of certified entanglement or EPR-steering. Other experiments utilize various types of spatial modes but allowed measurement of only a single mode-pair at-a-time \[31\] \[13\] \[47\].

For certification of EPR-steering we use a coarse-grained analogue of the entropic witness \[20\] \[44\]:

\[
\Delta I \geq \log \left( \frac{\pi e}{\Delta_x \Delta_k} \right) - \left[ H(x^B | x^A) + H(k^B_x | k^A_x) \right],
\]

where now \(H(x^B | x^A)\) and \(H(k^B_x | k^A_x)\) are conditional discrete Shannon entropies. Note that in our case the coarse-graining resolutions \(\Delta_x, \Delta_k\) are high enough \((\Delta_x < \sigma, \Delta_k < \kappa)\) to well resolve the observed correlations thus the differential criterion [Eq. (1)] is simply a shifted version of Eq. (5) in which the bounds remain at specified levels so any kind of offset value such as \(\Delta I\) remains unchanged.

The EPR-steering generation between Stokes (S) photons stored in the quantum memory and collective atomic excitations retrieved in the form of scattered anti-Stokes (AS) photons relies on the off-resonant Raman scattering in a Λ-type system (see Fig. 2). The experimental setup based on the cold rubidium-87 ensemble inside a magnetooptical trap (MOT) is presented in detail in \[29\]. The scattered photons are imaged on the sCMOS camera equipped with an image intensifier \[10\]. Both near (position basis) and far field (momentum basis) imaging setups consist of four lenses: near field with magnification \(M = 8\) and far field with effective focal length \(f_{eff} = 50\) mm. High resolution of the near field imaging with two separate systems for S and AS photons is
achieved by overlapping the focal points of the two systems in the longitudinal direction within the Rayleigh range of 38 μm. Write pulse contains 10⁶ photons, resulting in registering on average \( \bar{n} = 0.1 \) Stokes photon per camera frame. We estimate memory readout efficiency of 35%.

In our experimental setup the coarse-grained elementary cells have the size of \( \Delta x = \delta_b/M = 3.88 \mu m \) (\( \Delta k = 2\pi\delta_b/\lambda f_{\text{eff}} \approx 4.86 \text{ mm}^{-1} \)) for near (far) field, where \( \delta_b = 31 \mu m \) is the physical bin size on the detector plane and \( \lambda \approx 800 \text{ nm} \) is scattered photon wavelength. The experimental uncertainties of the magnification \( M \) and focal length \( f_{\text{eff}} \) determine the uncertainty of the RHS of Eq. (5), increasing our errorbar on the attainable key rate \( \Delta I \) or entanglement of formation \( E \). On the camera we observe S and AS photons in separate circular regions of 100μδ diameter corresponding to an image of the circular region of 0.4 mm diameter for the near field (62 mrad scattering angle for the far field). These regions are selected using iris diaphragms situated in intermediate and Fourier planes in the part of the imaging setup common to both systems (see Fig. 2(c)).

In Fig. 3(a) and (b) we present joint coincidence distributions of scattered Stokes–anti-Stokes pairs for positions \( (x^S, x^{AS}) \) and momenta \( (k_x^S, k_x^{AS}) \) respectively, registered for zero memory storage time. In Fig. 3(a) we post-select the detection events with \( y^{AS} = y^S \pm 2\sigma \) (and \( k_y^{AS} = k_y^S \pm 2\kappa \) for Fig. 3(b)) where \( \sigma (\kappa) \) is the size of the spatial mode in the near (far) field, thus the \( y \)-dimension can be treated as a herald of coincidence event in \( x \)-direction. Assuming the Gaussian description of the measured state we post-select \( K = 10.2 \) independent spatial modes for one-dimensional situation which corresponds to \( K_{\text{2D}} \approx K^2 \approx 104 \) modes for two-dimensions. Note that considering only the near or far field (comparing long and short axes of the observed distribution) we obtain slightly higher number of modes \( K \approx 14 \), which is due to limited resolution of the imaging system.

Figures 3(c) and (d) are inferred from the same data but for different choices of basis vectors, namely \( (x^S, y^S, y^{AS}) \) and \( (k_x^S + k_x^{AS}, k_y^S + k_y^{AS}) \). The cross-sections through the peak maximum are used to infer the value of variance-based witness \( \Pi_{\Delta x} \) [Eq. (3)]. By fitting a Gaussian function to only the central peak we recover the mode widths \( \sigma = 6.25 \mu m \) and \( \kappa = 7.75 \text{ mm}^{-1} \) (see Fig. 2(a)). We immediately find that while the expected value for the noiseless scenario of the variance-based witness is \( \Pi_{\Delta x} = \sigma^2\kappa^2 = 2.4(2) \times 10^{-3} \), the actually observed value is \( \Pi_{\Delta x} = 7(1) \) far from certifying EPR-steering. The uncertainties of inferred variances are combinations of standard deviations calculated using Monte Carlo method and experimental uncertain-

Figure 2. (a) Representation of spin-wave modes in the atomic ensemble for which the projective measurement is performed. While the far-field mode is close to a transverse plane wave, the near field mode is shaped like a tightly-focused Gaussian beam, having a wide transverse profile at the edges of the ensemble. This highlights the importance of the perfect overlap of detection modes determined by two separate systems in the longitudinal direction. (b) Experimental setup: strong write (W) laser beam produces Stokes (S) photon as a result of Raman scattering in cold \(^{87}\)Rb ensemble (MOT). After adjustable storage time anti-Stokes (AS) photon is scattered during readout (R) process. (c) Far and near field imaging setups with iris diaphragms to select observation regions, determining the system instrumental response and the number \( K \) of observed modes. The near and far field setups differ solely by the last lens, the aperture of which is large enough to project all remaining modes onto the camera.

Figure 3. Joint coincidence distributions for zero memory storage time for position (a) and momentum (b) basis in the \( x \)-dimension and the difference of position (c) and sum of momenta (d) for the entire two-dimensional distribution. Gaussian functions are fitted to the cross-sections through the distributions maxima to obtain modes size \( \sigma (\kappa) \) in the near (far) field. Each measurement comprises \( 10^7 \) camera frames containing approx. 3000 coincidences.
ties. The above result shows that EPR-steering criterion based on variances is ill-suited for the state we generate in the experiment thus positive secure key rate could not be established just by monitoring the variances \[25\].

Estimating entropy of sampled probability distribution from experimental data has been shown to be a hard task, as no unbiased non-parametric estimator exists \[48\]. Here we calculate the conditional entropy values as a difference of joint and marginal entropy distributions \(H(x^B| x^A) = H(x^B, x^A) - H(x^A)\). Figure 4 presents the values of the entropic witness \(\Sigma_H\) \[Eq. (5)\], calculated using four different Shannon entropy estimators \[42, S2\]. A naïve “plugin” estimator, based on relative frequencies directly plugged into the formula for Shannon entropy as probabilities, is strongly biased towards lower entropy values, unless the total number of counts exceeds the total number of available bins by orders of magnitude. In the case of EPR-steering verification this leads to the Eq. \[5\] being falsely satisfied. In particular, \(\Sigma_H\) estimated using the naïve method drops with the storage time \(\tau\) as an effect of falling number of counts due to the quantum memory retrieval efficiency decay, which in turn results in higher negative bias.

This crucial problem has been addressed by Bayesian estimation through applying prior mixtures highly non-informative about the entropy: a Dirichlet mixture (NSB estimator) \[S1\] and the Pitman-Yor mixture (PYM estimator) \[S2\]. These estimators are specifically designed to deal with undersampled number of counts. Additionally, we construct a parametric estimator that assumes a Gaussian shape of the distribution on top of a wide accidental background. The counts probability distribution is fitted to experimental data by maximizing the likelihood function and the entropy is calculated directly from the fitted distribution (ML estimator).

The consistency of ML and PYM estimators is a strong indication that the more general PYM estimator can be considered trustworthy in our particular scenario. On the other hand, NSB estimator shows a constant bias for all measurements while still performing better than the “plugin” estimator. The parametric ML and PYM estimators agreeably certify EPR-steering for all measurements while still performing better than the “plugin” estimator. The parametric ML and PYM estimators agreeably certify EPR-steering for all measurements while still performing better than the “plugin” estimator. The parametric ML and PYM estimators agreeably certify EPR-steering for all measurements while still performing better than the “plugin” estimator. The parametric ML and PYM estimators agreeably certify EPR-steering for all measurements while still performing better than the “plugin” estimator.

Estimation (EOF) \(\Sigma\), which the secure information capacity \(\Delta I\) drops with zero as accidental coincidences dominate over the Stokes–anti-Stokes coincidences. The two main limiting factors are the decay of memory retrieval efficiency due to motional dephasing and the increasing noise due to influx of untrapped atoms from the residual gas into the interaction region within the readout beam. Nevertheless, for \(\tau = 0\) we obtain \(\Delta I = 1.06 \pm 0.05\) bits per photon. We also certify entanglement of formation \(E \geq 0.70 \pm 0.04\) ebits. We stress that even for Gaussian states not all EOF is certified with this method \[37\], and for the state generated here more ebits are likely needed to describe correlations. The number of modes \(K\) places an upper limit on EOF equal \(E \leq \log(K) \approx 3.3\) ebits.

In conclusion, we presented the generation and storage of an atom-photon HD EPR-steerable state without noise subtraction. We showed, using entropic steering inequality, that the atomic part of the generated state can be stored up to 5 \(\mu s\), long enough to perform electronic feedback-loop based on the results of Stokes photon measurements \[29\]. With such system an active multiplexing technique could be employed \[51\] to obtain a one-dimensional stripe of entangled modes with a high correlated coincidence fraction \(q\). We also demonstrate the superiority of the entropic entanglement witness – even if the variance EPR-steering inequality \[Eq. (2), \[15\]\] is not satisfied, the corresponding entropic version \(\Sigma_H\) \[Eq. (1), \[26, 44\]\] confirms EPR-steering. We highlighted and solved the difficulties in entropy estimation, achieving characterization of the HD entangled state with highly undersampled data.

We envisage that with higher numbers of modes \(K\) the entropic witness \[Eq. (1)\] may detect EPR-steering even without the detection efficiency loophole. As the value of \(q\) required to witness EPR-steering drops with \(K\), loophole-free demonstration could be achieved for large \(K\) even with low quantum efficiency of the detector \[24\]. Moreover, in a practical scenario a secure transmission could be established over a very lossy channel. This would be an essential step towards HD free-space QKD.
particularly in space applications [53, 54].
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[42] See Supplemental Material [URL], which describes detection process of I-sCMOS camera and contains additional explanations on different Shannon entropy estimators.
SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

S.I. DETECTION VIA I-SCMOS CAMERA

To localize photons with high spatial resolution we use an I-SCMOS camera system consisting of scientific complementary metal-oxide semiconductor (sCMOS) sensor endowed with image intensifier, assembled in our group from commercially available components. The sketch of the I-sCMOS device is depicted in Fig. 5(a).

A third generation image intensifier (Hamamatsu V7090D), powered by an external gating module consists of a photocathode, a micro-channel plate (MCP) and a phosphor screen. The image intensifier power module (Photek, GM300-3), enabling gating down to 5 ns, is gated synchronously with drive laser pulses using the FPGA. High voltage is delivered by a separate module (Photek, FP830). Photons impinging through the input window on the 18 mm diameter gallium arsenide (GaAs) photocathode are converted to photoelectrons with 20% quantum efficiency. The details of image intensifier module are presented in Fig 5(b).

Photoelectrons are attracted from photocathode to MCP by short pulses of gate voltage \( V_{in} = -200 \) V. Normally the voltage \( V_{in} = +50 \) V and turns off the image intensifier. Electrons reach the adjacent MCP under high voltage \( 0.25 \leq V_{MCP} \leq 1.75 \) kV that accelerate electrons in \( \sim 9 \times 10^6 \) small, separated channels of ca. 6 \( \mu \)m diameter each. The channels are tilted by several degrees from the axis (and twisted in the middle to prevent direct electrons passing) thus the electrons hit the channel walls and produce a stochastically growing charge avalanche. The typical gain, i.e. the mean multiplication factor (tuned by changing the MCP voltage \( V_{MCP} \)) is about \( 10^6 \). Electrons leaving MCP are accelerated by a high voltage \( V_{out} = 6 \) kV and hit the phosphor screen producing bright flashes of green light (66 \( \mu \)m diameter, decay time below 200 ns). The phosphor flashes emitting light in the wide angle are subsequently imaged onto the fast, low-noise 6.5 \( \times \) 6.5 mm pixel size sCMOS sensor (Andor Zyla 5.5 Megapixel) by a high numerical aperture relay lens (Stanford Computer Optics, \( f/\# = 1.1 \)). The focal length \( f = 105 \) mm of the relay lens and the magnification \( M = -0.44 \) result in an image size of the phosphor screen corresponding to a circle of 1230 pixels (8mm) in diameter, hence we effectively use about \( 1.2 \times 10^6 \) camera pixels altogether. We operate the camera in the fastest shutter mode enabling the read-out of the full frame with 100 fps frame rate which can be significantly increased by reducing the number of readout camera rows. This is essential for collecting large photon statistics in quantum optics experiments where one can operate with low photon numbers per frame.

The individual single photon flashes are registered as 5-pixel FWHM Gaussian spots on the sCMOS sensor. A single pixel signal is digitized to 2048 analog-to-digital units (ADU). The average pixel offset is at the level of 100 ADU and varies less than 5 ADU across the sensor. Moreover, the s.d. of the signal when measuring dark counts is at the level of 2.5 ADU (1.5 electrons). The average intensity of the brightest pixel (highly random brightness is determined by the stochastic avalanche process) is approximately 500 times higher than the camera noise level thus the spot can be easily discriminated from the low-noise background.

A real-time software algorithm (C compiled DLL library) retrieves central positions of the single flashes from a raw image with 1.5 \( \mu \)m subpixel resolution and bins them into camera pixels. The central position of the spot is found by fitting a two-dimensional paraboloid to the logarithm of the pixel intensity, which must exceed the present threshold at least five times, within the three pixels radius. Fast and efficient fitting is performed by evaluating a numerical integral on the neighboring pixel intensities. The real-time algorithm reduces the amount of stored data enormously – instead of large raster camera frames we store only 16-bit unsigned integer numbers describing the transverse positions of the photons.

S.II. SHANNON ENTROPY ESTIMATORS

Here, we describe the method of calculation conditional Shannon entropy estimators in Fig. 4 from the experimental data, measured using I-SCMOS camera. In the experiment we register the positions \((x^A, y^A)\), \((x^B, y^B)\) (momenta \((k^A_x, k^A_y)\), \((k^B_x, k^B_y)\)) of photons in write-in (A) and readout (B) regions of I-sCMOS camera, depending on imaging system (near or far field).

We are working in the low photon-number regime where rarely more than single count occurs either in A and B region and post-select frames where exactly one photon is register in A and one photon is registered in
B. With many frames we arrive at coincidence distributions $n(x^A, y^A; x^B, y^B)$ in the near ($n(k_x^A, l_x^A; k_y^B, l_y^B)$) and far field. Assuming the registered coincidences are position-correlated (momenta-anti-correlated), the $y$-dimension can be treated as a herald of coincidence event in $x$-direction. Thus, we post-select the detection events with $y^B = y^A \pm 2\sigma$ ($k_y^B = -k_y^A \pm 2\kappa$), where $\sigma$ ($\kappa$) is the size of the spatial mode in the near (far) field, and finally obtain joint, two-dimensional distribution $n(x^A, x^B; n(k_x^A, k_y^B))$.

Based on joint $n(x^A, x^B)$ and marginal $n(x^A)$ coincidence distributions (integrated over all possible $x^B$ coordinate of a second photon), we calculate the conditional entropy values as a difference of joint and marginal entropy distributions $H(x^B|x^A) = H(x^B, x^A) - H(x^A)$. Then we make the analogous procedure for the far field. Finally, we calculate the EPR entropy witness [Eq. (5)]: $\Sigma_H = H(x^B|x^A) + H(k_x^B|k_x^A)$. The details of calculation depends on specific type of estimator, as described below. A naïve “plugin” estimator is based on relative frequencies directly plugged as probabilities into the formula for Shannon entropy:

$$H_{\text{plug}}(x^A, x^B) = - \sum_{x^A, x^B} p(x^A, x^B) \log_2 p(x^A, x^B),$$ (6)

with probability $p(x^A, x^B) = n(x^A, x^B)/\sum n(x^A, x^B)$. Figure 6 presents the results of entropy sum $\Sigma_H$ simulation for different number of coincidences $N$. We randomly choose $N$ events from the probability distributions defined by Eq. (4) for the Gaussian-shaped state [Eq. (3)] and its Fourier transform. We assume the same $\sigma$, $\kappa$ and $\eta$ as in the real experiment and calculate the probability distribution [Eq. (4)] using $100 \times 100$ square grid in both near and far field. Figure 6 shows obtained distributions for two particular number of coincidences as well as mean results for the conditional entropy sum $\Sigma_H$ obtained using different entropy estimators, averaged over 10 different realizations. Additionally, we plot the conditional differential entropy sum $\Sigma_h$ from Eq. (1), corresponding to the asymptotic value when $N \to \infty$.

The “plugin” estimator is strongly biased towards lower entropy values, unless the total number of coincidences exceeds the total number of available bins by orders of magnitude. This crucial problem has been addressed by calculating a Dirichlet prior mixture (NSB estimator) [S1] and the Pitman-Yor prior mixture (PYM estimator) [S2], following the formulas in the respective articles. These estimators are specifically designed to deal with undersampled number of coincidences and we can see in Fig. 6 they converge to $\Sigma_h$ even for relatively small number of coincidences.

Finally, we construct a parametric ML estimator assuming a Gaussian-shaped distribution on top of a wide, flat accidental background: $\lambda(x^A, x^B) = \alpha |\psi(x^A, x^B)|^2 + \beta$, where $\psi(x^A, x^B)$ is defined in Eq. (3). The analogous formula is obtained for the far field using Fourier transform of $\psi(x^A, x^B)$. The coincidence probability distribution has been fitted to experimental or simulated data by maximizing the likelihood function $\log f(n, \lambda)$ over $\alpha, \beta$, where the averaging is carried over all values of $x$ or $k$, with $f(n, \lambda) = \lambda^n e^{-\lambda}/n!$ being Poissonian distribution. The entropy is calculated directly from the fitted distribution $\lambda$ using Eq. (6).
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