Femtosecond valley polarization and topological resonances in transition metal dichalcogenides
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We theoretically introduce the fundamentally fastest induction of a significant population and valley polarization in a monolayer of a transition metal dichalcogenide (i.e., MoS$_2$ and WS$_2$). This may be extended to other two-dimensional materials with the same symmetry. This valley polarization can be written and read-out by a pulse consisting of just a single optical oscillation with a duration of a few femtoseconds and an amplitude of $\sim 0.2$ V/Å. Under these conditions, we predict a new effect, topological resonance, which is due to Bloch motion of electrons in the reciprocal space where electron population textures are formed. This predicted phenomena can be applied for information storage and processing in PHz-band optoelectronics.

Femtosecond and attosecond technology has made it possible to control and study ultrafast electron dynamics in three-dimensional solids [16]. There is also a wide class of two-dimensional (2D) crystals that, in particular, can be obtained by exfoliation from layered materials, which have unique and useful properties [7-13]. This is a modern class of materials bearing a promise for applications in ultrafast opto-electronics [13]. However, not all 2D materials are suitable for any given application. For example, graphene is a well-studied 2D material with many interesting and useful properties. However, it is semimetallic with no bandgap between the valence band (VB) and the conduction band (CB). Consequently, a graphene transistor exhibits a relatively low off-current, which drastically limits its usefulness [10-19]. In contrast to graphene, there is a broad class of 2D semiconductors possessing finite bandgaps. Among them, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) possess bandgaps of 1.1 – 2.1 eV [7, 10, 11, 20, 22].

Similar to graphene, TMDC monolayers have hexagonal lattices constituted by two triangular sublattices [10, 18, 20]. However, unlike graphene, these sublattices consist of different atoms (metal and chalcogen), which breaks the inversion ($P$) symmetry and opens up gaps at the $K, K'$-points. The degeneracy of the the $K$- and $K'$-valleys is protected by the time reversal ($T$) symmetry [18-23].

The $T$-symmetry and valley degeneracy can be relaxed by circularly-polarized optical pumping, which allows for a highly valley-specific electron population, depending on the helicity of the excitation pulse [21, 25-27]. This selective valley population, known as valley polarization, introduces a new area referred to as valleytronics [12, 28]. In addition to the valley degree of freedom of TMDC monolayers, a significant spin-orbit coupling (SOC) makes these materials promising also for spintronics [21, 24].

In this Letter, we theoretically introduce the fundamentally fastest induction of a significant valley polarization in MoS$_2$ and WS$_2$ monolayers by a single cycle of a strong circularly-polarized optical field with duration of a few fs and amplitude of $F_0 = 0.2 - 0.5$ V/Å$^{-1}$. This process is determined by a strong-field-induced electron motion in the reciprocal space, spanning a significant part of the Brillouin zone. This motion also causes a new effect, topological resonance, which we introduce below in discussion of Fig. 1.

For a single-oscillation pulse, optical electric field $F(t)$ depending on time $t$ is defined as

$$F_x(t) = F_0(1 - 2u^2)e^{-u^2}, \quad F_y(t) = \pm 2uF_0e^{-u^2},$$

where $u = t/\tau$, and $\tau = 1$ fs determines the pulse duration and its mean frequency (see appendix for definition), $\hbar \omega \approx 1.2$ eV. The ± sign defines helicity of the applied pulse: + for the right-handed and − for the left-handed circular polarization.Defined by Eq. (1), these left- and right-handed pulses are $T$-reversed with respect to one another. A few- or single-oscillation pulses are presently readily available from near-ultraviolet through terahertz range in linear [20-22] or circularly polarization [23-26].

We set the TMDC monolayer in the $xy$ plane with the pulse incident in the $z$ direction. We use a three-band tight binding (TB) (third nearest neighbor) model Hamiltonian [20], $H_{\text{NNN}}$, see Eq. (8) of appendix. Unlike the TB model of graphene, which is constructed of a single orbital per sublattice, the TB Hamiltonian of a TMDC monolayer is constituted by three orbitals, $d_{xz}$, $d_{xy}$, and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ of the metal atom. The full Hamiltonian is $H = H_{\text{NNN}} + H_{\text{SOC}} + H_{\text{int}}(t)$, where $H_{\text{SOC}}$ is the SOC term [Eq. (10) of appendix], and $H_{\text{int}}(t)$ is the light-TMDC interaction term. The latter we write down in the length gauge: $H_{\text{int}} = e F(t) r$, where $e$ is unit charge.

We assume that during the excitation pulse, electron dynamics is Hamiltonian (coherent), and electron collisions can be neglected. This is a valid assumption since the applied pulse (a few femtoseconds) is much shorter than the electron scattering (dephasing) time in TMDCs. In fact, this dephasing time was reported to be 500 fs for an atomically thin MoS$_2$ [27]. Also, Ref. [28] reported...
electron coherence times for \( \text{WSe}_2 \) to be in the interval of 150 – 410 fs. Additionally, in Ref. \(^{39}\) the time of dephasing was calculated theoretically to be \( \approx 37 \) fs for a few layers of Mo\( \text{S}_2 \). Free carrier relaxation time in Mo\( \text{S}_2 \) was found to be 25 ps, and the electron-hole recombination time to be 300 ps \(^{40}\). Based on these arguments, we describe the electron dynamics as coherent by time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE). Previously, such a TDSE theory \(^{41}–^{49}\) was successful in predicting new effects, stimulating experimental research, and describing experimental results in both three-dimensional solids \(^{2}\) \(^{-}\) \(^{41}\) and graphene \(^{51}\). For non-interacting particles, the TDSE theory is fundamentally equivalent to the corresponding density matrix equations but is computationally much more efficient.

We will employ an interaction representation in an adiabatic basis of the Houston functions \(^{52}\) \( \varPhi^{\alpha}_{H}(r, t) \), which exactly takes into account the intraband (adiabatic) electron dynamics. Then, the Hamiltonian has only off-diagonal matrix elements describing interband transitions. A general solution of TDSE is

\[
\Psi_{q}(r, t) = \sum_{\alpha = c_{1}, c_{2}, v} \beta_{q\alpha}(t) \varPhi^{\alpha}_{H}(r, t), \tag{2}
\]

where \( v, c_{1}, c_{2} \) denote the highest valence band and the two lowest conduction bands, respectively; \( \beta_{q\alpha}(t) \) are expansion coefficients satisfying equations

\[
\frac{d\beta_{q\alpha}(t)}{dt} = -i \frac{\hbar}{\alpha_{\alpha} \neq \alpha} \mathbf{F}(t) Q_{\alpha\alpha}(q, t) \beta_{\alpha\alpha}(t), \tag{3}
\]

where

\[
Q_{\alpha\alpha}(q, t) = D_{\alpha\alpha}[k(q, t)] \exp \left( i \phi_{\alpha\alpha}(q, t) \right), \tag{4}
\]

\[
\phi_{\alpha\alpha}(q, t) = -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' (E_{\alpha}(k(q, t')) - E_{\alpha}(k(q, t'))) , \tag{5}
\]

\[
D_{\alpha\alpha} = c A_{\alpha\alpha}; \quad A_{\alpha\alpha}(q) = \left\langle \Psi_{q}^{(\alpha)} | \frac{\partial}{\partial q} | \Psi_{q}^{(\alpha)} \right\rangle . \tag{6}
\]

Here \( \Psi_{q}^{(\alpha)} \) are eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian without an optical field, \( H^{\text{TNN}} + H^{\text{SOC}} \), where \( \alpha \in \{v, c_{1}, c_{2}\} \); matrix \( A_{\alpha\alpha}(q) \) is non-Abelian Berry connection \(^{53}–^{55}\); matrix \( D_{\alpha\alpha} \) is the interband dipole matrix, which determines optical transitions between the valence and conduction bands [see Fig. 6 of appendix], and \( \phi_{\alpha\alpha}(q, t) \) is the dynamic phase. The trajectory in the reciprocal space, \( k(q, t) \), is given by the Bloch theorem \(^{56}\); \( k(q, t) = q - \frac{e}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{t} F(t') dt' \); \( q \) is the initial crystal momentum.

We numerically solve coupled ordinary differential Eqs. \(^{53}\) with initial conditions \( \beta_{q\alpha}(0) = 1, \beta_{c_{2}q}(0) = 0 \). The total population of the CBs is calculated as \( N_{\text{CB}}(q, t) = |\beta_{c_{1}q}(t)|^2 + |\beta_{c_{2}q}(t)|^2 \). After the pulse ends, there remains residual CB population \( N_{\text{CB}}^{(\text{res})}(q) = N_{\text{CB}}(q, \infty) \).

The field of a single-oscillation right-hand polarized pulse [see Eq. (1)] is displayed in Fig. 1(a) and the \( \mathcal{T} \)-reversed, left-hand pulse in Fig. 1(b). The residual CB population in the reciprocal space for Mo\( \text{S}_2 \) induced by such pulses with an amplitude of 0.2 VÅ\(^{-1}\) is displayed in Fig. 1 for spin-up \( \sigma_{z} = 1/2 \) or \( \uparrow \), panels (c) and (d) and spin-down \( \sigma_{z} = -1/2 \) or \( \downarrow \), panels (e) and (f). The valley selectivity for either spin is very high: the left-handed pulse populates predominantly the K valleys, while the right-handed pulse mostly the K’ valleys. Such a difference in the populations of the K vs. K’ valleys is referred to as valley polarization. Additionally, for each handedness, there is a significant spin polarization (dependence of the population on spin). Protected by the \( \mathcal{T} \)-symmetry, the K\(-\)valley population for a given handedness pulse is reversed \( k \leftrightarrow -k \) to the K’\(-\)valley population for the opposite handedness; the same is true.
for K↑ and K'↑. Correspondingly, panel (c) is center-reflected to panel (f), and panel (d) to panel (e).

We also performed computations for a two-oscillation pulse (see appendix Fig. 7) and found no fundamental difference from the single-oscillation pulse. In fact, both the valley polarization and CB population become higher.

The valley and spin polarization in TMDCs caused by circularly-polarized continuous-wave radiation [21–24] and relatively long 30 fs pulses [40] were previously known and attributed to angular momentum conservation at the K, K'-points [21, 26]. The spin polarization is related to the intrinsic SOC in the transition metals [20, 21, 26]. In fact, SOC causes a significant spin splitting of the bands near the K- and K'-points, which leads to different bandgaps in a given valley for the spin-up and spin-down bands (see Fig. 5 of appendix).

A distinction of this work is that the significant CB-population and valley-polarization (along with a smaller spin polarization) can be written by a single-oscillation strong chiral pulse. The read-out can also be done by a single-oscillation chiral pulse: optical absorption of the read-out pulse of the same chirality will be reduced due to the Pauli blocking, while the opposite-chirality pulse absorption will not be attenuated because it interacts with the other, unpopulated valley. This one-optical-cycle recording and read-out make a basis of a fundamentally fastest optical memory.

Figures 2 (a) and (b) show the residual CB population for another TMDC, WS2, after a right-handed circularly polarized pulse with the amplitude of 0.2 VÅ⁻¹ for spin up and spin down electrons, respectively. Similar to Fig. 1, the right-handed pulse populates predominantly the vicinity of the K' valleys in accord with the optical valley selection rule [10]. Due to stronger SOC in W in comparison to Mo, the spin dependence is even more pronounced in the distributions of N^{(res)}_{CB}(k) in WS2 (Fig. 2) than in MoS2 (Fig. 1).

The valley selection rules for chiral pulses [21, 23–27, 57] are related to the fact that the dipole moment in the plane and non-Abelian Berry connection are proportional – see Eq. (6). These are angular momentum selection rules of linear optics, which are local in k.

In contrast, there is also another, nonlinear-optical selection rule characteristic of strong-field excitation, which is evident from Figs. 1 and 2. In all cases when a given valley is favored by the angular momentum conservation, its population occurs outside of a closed curve (called separatrix [47]). This is the case for K'-valleys in Figs. 1(c), (e) and Fig. 2 and for the K-valleys in Fig. 1(d), (f). In contrast, when the angular momentum selection rule suppresses a valley’s population, then the momentum states inside the separatrix are more populated as is the case for the K-valleys in Figs. 1(c), (e) and Fig. 2.

Formation of such textures in the K and K' valleys is a fundamental effect directly related to the global topology of the Bloch bands. This effect is inherent in the strong-field excitation where an electron moves in the reciprocal space exploring the non-Abelian Berry connection, A_{\alpha\alpha}(k), along its Bloch trajectory. We call it a topological resonance. It is also quantitatively important because it defines a fraction of the valley space favored for population and, consequently, the valley polarization...
Dynamic phase \( \phi \) of the \( \Gamma \)-point. Note that the conventional resonance effect is absent if non-Abelian Berry connection \( A \) is zero, in particular, for \( q \) in the vicinity of the \( \Gamma \)-point. For initial crystal momentum \( \mathbf{q} \) outside of the separatrix, the electron trajectory, \( \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{q},t) \), does not encircle the \( K \)-point as in Fig. 2(a), otherwise it does as in Fig. 2(b). Because the coupling dipole matrix element is large at the \( K \)-points, the residual CB population will be enhanced close to the separatrix.

In Eq. (3), the interband coupling amplitude, \( e \mathbf{F}(t) A_{\alpha\alpha_1}[\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{q},t)] \), acquires a nontrivial topological phase (non-Abelian Berry phase) \( \phi_{\alpha\alpha_1}^{(T)}[\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{q},t)] = \arg \{ \mathbf{F}(t) A_{\alpha\alpha_1}[\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{q},t)] \} \). This phase is displayed in Fig. 3(c) for the \( K \)-valley and in Fig. 3(d) for the \( K' \)-valley. As we see, the changes of this phase for the valleys with opposite chiralities are opposite; for \( q \) outside of the separatrix, this change is significantly larger than otherwise (cf. the red vs. blue lines) and is close to \( \pm 2\pi \).

The total phase, \( \phi_{\alpha\alpha_1}^{(tot)}(q,t) = \arg \{ \mathbf{F}(t) \mathbf{Q}_{\alpha\alpha_1} \} \), of the interband coupling in Eq. (2) is a sum of the dynamic and topological phases,

\[
\phi_{\alpha\alpha_1}^{(tot)}(q,t) = \phi_{\alpha\alpha_1}^{(d)}(q,t) + \phi_{\alpha\alpha_1}^{(T)}(q,t).
\]

Note that the electron trajectory, \( \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{q},t) \), for the complete cycle is always closed. Thus the non-Abelian Berry phase, \( \phi_{\alpha\alpha_1}(q,t) \), is given by the integral of the non-Abelian Berry connection over a closed curve, which can be transformed to the integral of the non-Abelian Berry curvature (curl of the connection) over the area inside this curve. Consequently, the non-Abelian Berry phase for the entire pulse is gauge invariant.

A significant (\( \gtrsim 2\pi \)) change of \( \phi_{\alpha\alpha_1}^{(tot)}(q,t) \) along the Bloch trajectory, \( \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{q},t) \), leads to addition of amplitudes \( \mathbf{F}(t) \mathbf{Q}_{\alpha\alpha_1}(q,t) \) in Eq. (3) with varying signs, which tend to mutually annihilate each other. This prevents coherent accumulation of the CB population as defined by Eq. (3). In contrast, the mutual cancellation of the dynamic and topological phases leads to the coherent (with the same phase) accumulation of the CB excitation amplitudes and enhanced CB population. This is the topological resonance effect.

Dynamic phase \( \phi_{\alpha\alpha_1}^{(d)}(q,t) \) (Eq. (5)) monotonically decreases with time \( t \) from 0 to \( \approx -2\pi \). Hence, the topological resonance takes place for the non-Abelian Berry phase, \( \phi_{\alpha\alpha_1}^{(T)}(q,t) \), increasing by \( \approx 2\pi \). For a case of left-handed pulse illustrated in Fig. 3 the topological resonance occurs for crystal momentum \( \mathbf{q} \) inside the separatrix for the \( K \)-point and outside of the separatrix for the \( K' \)-point; in the latter case, the CB population is also favored by the angular momentum selection rule [21, 23–27]. Protected by the \( T \)-reversal symmetry, for the opposite chirality of the pulse, the \( K \)- and \( K' \)-valleys are exchanged, and the spin is changed to the opposite – cf. Fig. 1 and its discussion.

We quantify valley polarization as

\[
\eta_v = \left( n_{K'}^\uparrow + n_{K'}^\downarrow - n_K^\uparrow - n_K^\downarrow \right) / \left( n_{K'}^\uparrow + n_{K'}^\downarrow + n_K^\uparrow + n_K^\downarrow \right),
\]

where \( n_{K'}^\uparrow \) is a CB population of the \( K' \)-valley for spin-up electrons, and similar for other populations. Likewise, we define spin polarization as

\[
\eta_s = \left( n_{K'}^\uparrow - n_{K'}^\downarrow + n_K^\downarrow - n_K^\uparrow \right) / \left( n_{K'}^\uparrow + n_{K'}^\downarrow + n_K^\downarrow + n_K^\uparrow \right).
\]

Figure 4 displays total population \( n = n_{K} + n_{K'} \) and valley populations \( n_K = n_{K'}^\downarrow + n_{K'}^\uparrow, n_{K'} = n_{K}^\downarrow + n_{K}^\uparrow \) for monolayers of MoS\(_2\) and WS\(_2\) as functions of the amplitude, \( F_0 \), of a chiral left-handed excitation pulse. As one can see, for both TMDC's, there is a strong asymmetry in the population: the \( K' \)-valley is preferentially populated. With an increase of \( F_0 \), this asymmetry decreases but the total CB population increases. A reasonable compromise is \( F_0 \sim 0.2 \text{ V/A} \) where the CB population is high enough (\( \sim 20\% \)) but valley polarization is still also high: \( \eta_v \sim 60\% \). In contrast, the spin polarization is low, \( \eta_s \sim 1\% \).

To conclude, we have demonstrated a fundamental possibility to induce a significant CB populations and valley polarization in TMDCs during just one optical period of a chiral, moderately-high-field (\( F_0 \sim 0.2 \text{ V/A} \)) laser pulse. This is a wide-band ultrafast process which is defined by a combination of the local angular-momentum
conservation and the topological resonance that we have introduced. This resonance is due to mutual compensation of the dynamic phase and the non-Abelian Berry phase, which brings about formation of textures in the $k$-space with discontinuities at the separatrices. These textures can be directly observed using the time-resolved angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy [58].

The topological resonances can be present and pronounced not only in TMDCs but also in other materials with bandgaps at the Brillouin zone boundary, e.g., hexagonal boron nitride and others [59] with bandgaps not at the $\Gamma$-point. The presence of the bandgap is essential because it causes a gradual accumulation of the non-Abelian Berry phase along the Bloch $k$-space electron trajectory, which is necessary to compensate the gradually accumulating dynamic phase. In contrast, in gapless materials such as graphene, silicene, germanene, and surfaces of topological insulators, the non-Abelian Berry curvature has a $\delta$-function singularity at the Dirac points. Consequently, the non-Abelian Berry phase is accumulated discontinuously (at the Dirac points), and the topological resonances are not pronounced – cf. Refs. [47, 60]. The predicted induction of the valley polarization promises a wide range of important valleytronics applications to PHz-band information processing and storage. The predicted topological resonance is a new concept, which promises novel developments in topological optics. In particular, the chiral, non-uniform electron distributions in the reciprocal space will cause chiral currents in the real space, which we will consider elsewhere.
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APPENDIX

TIGHT BINDING HAMILTONIAN

The three band third-nearest-neighbor (TNN) tight-binding (TB) model Hamiltonian, $H^{TNN}$, of a transition metal dichalcogenide (TMDC) monolayer is constructed from three orbitals ($d_{z^2}$, $d_{xy}$, and $d_{x^2-y^2}$) of the metal atom, as introduced by Liu et al. [20], is

$$H^{TNN}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} V_0 & V_1 & V_2 \\ V_1^* & V_{11} & V_{12} \\ V_2^* & V_{12}^* & V_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where

$$V_0 = \epsilon_1 + 2t_0(2 \cos \alpha \cos \beta + \cos 2\alpha)$$
$$+ 2t_0(2 \cos 3\alpha \cos \beta + \cos 2\beta),$$
$$+ 2t_0(2 \cos 2\alpha \cos 2\beta + \cos 4\alpha),$$
$$Re[V_1] = -2\sqrt{3}t_2 \sin \alpha \sin \beta + 2(r_1 + r_2) \sin 3\alpha \sin \beta$$
$$- 2\sqrt{3}u_2 \sin 2\alpha \sin 2\beta,$$
$$Im[V_1] = 2t_1 \sin \alpha(2 \cos \alpha + \cos \beta)$$
$$+ 2(r_1 - r_2) \sin 3\alpha \cos \beta$$
$$+ 2u_1 \sin 2\alpha(2 \cos 2\alpha + \cos 2\beta),$$
$$Re[V_2] = 2t_2(\cos 2\alpha - \cos \alpha \cos \beta)$$
$$- \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(r_1 + r_2)(\cos 3\alpha \cos \beta - \cos 2\beta),$$
$$+ 2u_2(\cos 4\alpha - \cos 2\alpha \cos 2\beta),$$
$$Im[V_2] = 2\sqrt{3}t_1 \sin \alpha \sin \beta$$
$$+ \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \beta(r_1 - r_2)(\cos 3\alpha + \cos 2\alpha)$$
$$+ 2\sqrt{3}u_1 \cos 2\alpha \sin 2\beta,$$
$$V_{11} = \epsilon_2 + (t_{11} + 3t_{22}) \cos \alpha \cos \beta$$
$$+ 2t_{11} \cos 2\alpha + 4r_{11} \cos 3\alpha \cos \beta + 2(r_{11} + \sqrt{3}r_{12} \cos 2\beta) + (u_{11} + 3u_{22}) \cos 2\alpha \cos 2\beta$$
$$+ 2u_1 \cos 4\alpha,$$
$$Re[V_{12}] = \sqrt{3}(t_{22} - t_{11}) \sin \alpha \sin \beta + 4r_{12} \sin 3\alpha \sin \beta$$
$$+ \sqrt{3}(u_{22} - u_{11}) \sin 2\alpha \sin 2\beta,$$
$$Im[V_{12}] = 4t_{12} \sin \alpha(\cos \alpha - \cos \beta)$$
$$+ 4u_{12} \sin 2\alpha(\cos 2\alpha - \cos 2\beta),$$
$$V_{22} = \epsilon_2 + (3t_{11} + t_{22}) \cos \alpha \cos \beta$$
$$+ 2t_{22} \cos 2\alpha + 2r_{11}(2 \cos 3\alpha \cos \beta + \cos 2\beta)$$
$$+ \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}r_{12}(4 \cos 3\alpha \cos \beta - \cos 2\beta)$$
$$+ (3u_{11} + u_{22}) \cos 2\alpha \cos 2\beta + 2u_{22} \cos 4\alpha$$

in which

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \left(\frac{1}{2}k_x a, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}k_y a\right). \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

The values of parameters for MoS\textsubscript{2} and WS\textsubscript{2} can be found in the Table I.

SOC CONTRIBUTION TO THE HAMILTONIAN

The contribution of the spin orbit coupling (SOC), $H^{SOC}$, to the total Hamiltonian written in the ba-
TABLE I. Fitted parameters for three band TNN TB on the first-principles (FP) band structure in generalized-gradient approximation (GGA) case, lattice constant (a), and SOC parameter (λ). All quantities are in unit eV except a which is in unit Å² [20].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_1$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_2$</th>
<th>$t_0$</th>
<th>$t_1$</th>
<th>$t_2$</th>
<th>$t_{12}$</th>
<th>$t_{22}$</th>
<th>$r_0$</th>
<th>$r_1$</th>
<th>$r_2$</th>
<th>$r_{12}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MoS$_2$</td>
<td>3.190</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>1.707</td>
<td>-0.146</td>
<td>-0.114</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>-0.236</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.038</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>-0.176</td>
<td>-0.150</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS$_2$</td>
<td>3.191</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>1.916</td>
<td>-0.152</td>
<td>-0.097</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>-0.261</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.054</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td>-0.163</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$$ H^\text{SOC} = \lambda L_z \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} $$

where $\lambda$ is the SOC parameter, and $L_z$ is the $z$-component of the orbital angular momentum [20].

Therefore, $H^\text{SOC}$ is a 2×2 block diagonal Hamiltonian where the nonzero upper block corresponds to spin up and the nonzero lower block corresponds to spin down [20].

**MAIN EQUATIONS**

The total Hamiltonian, $H_0(k)$, in the same basis is

$$ H_0(k) = H^\text{TNN}(k) + H^\text{SOC} $$

where $H^\text{TNN}(k)$ is the 3×3 tight binding Hamiltonian without spin, $H^\text{SOC}(k)$ is the SOC contribution, and the total Hamiltonian, $H_0(k)$, is a block diagonal operator expressed as

$$ H_0(k) = \begin{bmatrix} H^\text{TNN}(k) + \frac{\lambda}{2} L_z & 0 \\ 0 & H^\text{TNN}(k) - \frac{\lambda}{2} L_z \end{bmatrix} $$

in which the nonzero upper block corresponds to the spin up and the nonzero lower block to the spin down. Band structures of MoS$_2$ and WS$_2$ for the two components of the spin are shown in Fig. 5 which shows spin splitting of the energy bands due to the intrinsic SOC. Protected by the time-reversal ($T$) symmetry, the band energies in the $K$- and $K'$-valleys are identical but the spins are reversed as illustrated in Fig. 5.

In the presence of an external field, $F(t)$, the Hamiltonian in the length gauge is $H_0(k) + H^\text{int}$, where $H^\text{int} = eF(t)r$, and $e$ is unit charge. Electron dynamics in the presence of field $F(t)$ includes two major components: intraband and interband. The intraband electron dynamics in a single band is described by the Bloch acceleration theorem, $\mathbf{k}(q, t) = q + \frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{A}(t)$, where $\mathbf{k}(q, t)$ is electron crystal momentum as a function of time $t$, $q$ is the initial crystal momentum, $\mathbf{A}(t) = -c \int_{-\infty}^{t} F(t')dt'$ is vector potential in the velocity gauge, and $c$ is speed of light.

We describe the resulting electron dynamics by solving time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE). Since the Hamiltonian, $H_0(k)$, is block-diagonal, the spin-up and spin-down components are decoupled. Therefore, the TDSE for each component of the spin,

$$ \frac{i\hbar}{dt} \frac{d\Psi}{dt} = (H^\text{TNN} + H^\text{int})\Psi $$

where $s \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$, can be solved independently.
In a single band, the solutions for the TDSE are Houston functions \[ \Phi_{\alpha q}(r, t) = \Psi_{\alpha q}^{(1)}(r) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \! dt' E_\alpha(k_q t')}, \] where \( \Psi_{\alpha q}^{(1)} \) are the eigenfunctions of \( H_{\alpha}^{3 \times 3} \), \( \alpha \in \{ c_1, c_2 \} \), and \( c_1, c_2 \) are the highest valence band and two lowest conduction bands, respectively. Using the set of the Houston functions as a basis, a general solution of the TDSE is expanded in the form
\[
\Psi_q(r, t) = \sum_{\alpha = v, c_1, c_2} \beta_{\alpha q}(t) \Phi_{\alpha q}(r, t),
\]
where \( \beta_{\alpha q} \) are the expansion coefficients satisfying the following coupled ordinary differential equations,
\[
\frac{d\beta_{c_1 q}(t)}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} F(t) Q_{c_1 v}(q, t) \beta_{c_1 q}(t) - \frac{i}{\hbar} F(t) Q_{c_1 c_2}(q, t) \beta_{c_1 q}(t),
\]
\[
\frac{d\beta_{c_2 q}(t)}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} F(t) Q_{c_2 v}(q, t) \beta_{c_2 q}(t) - \frac{i}{\hbar} F(t) Q_{c_2 c_1}(q, t) \beta_{c_2 q}(t),
\]
\[
\frac{d\beta_{c q}(t)}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} F(t) Q_{c v}(q, t) \beta_{c q}(t) - \frac{i}{\hbar} F(t) Q_{c c}(q, t) \beta_{c q}(t),
\]
and
\[
Q_{\alpha q}(q, t) = c A_{\alpha q}(q, t) \exp \left( i \phi^{(d)}_{\alpha q}(q, t) \right),
\]
\[
A_{\alpha q}(q) = \left( \Psi_{\alpha}^{(1)} \right| \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \left| \Psi_{\alpha}^{(1)} \right),
\]
\[
\phi^{(d)}_{\alpha q}(q, t) = -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^t dt' \left( E_\alpha(k(q, t')) - E_\alpha(k(q, t)) \right),
\]
Here \( A_{\alpha q}(q) \) is non-Abelian Berry connection \[53,55\], and \( \phi^{(d)}_{\alpha q}(q, t) \) is the dynamic phase. The interband dipole matrix, \( D_{\alpha \alpha} = c A_{\alpha \alpha} \), is simply related to the non-Abelian Berry connection, \( D_{\alpha \alpha} = c A_{\alpha \alpha} \); it determines optical transitions between the valence and conduction bands. Figure 6 shows the modulus and the phase of \( D \) for the longitudinal component in panels (a) and (b) and for the tangential component in panels (c) and (d) respectively.

We solve the set of coupled ordinary differential equations \[17,19\] numerically by using a variable time step Runge-Kutta method \[62\] with the following initial conditions \( (\beta_{c_1 q}, \beta_{c_2 q}, \beta_{c q}) = (1, 0, 0) \) to find the bands populations \( N \) as a function of time and the lattice momentum \( q \).

**MEAN FREQUENCY OF THE OPTICAL PULSE**

An ultrafast pulse has no definite frequency since its Fourier component is widely distributed in the frequency space. We calculate the mean frequency, \( \bar{\omega} \), of an optical pulse as
\[
\bar{\omega} = \frac{\int \omega S(\omega) d\omega}{\int S(\omega) d\omega},
\]
where the pulse spectrum, \( S(\omega) \), is defined as
\[
S(\omega) = |F_\omega|^2, \quad F_\omega = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{i\omega t} dt.
\]
For the pulse, described in Eq. (1) of the main text, we have calculated \( \hbar \bar{\omega} \approx 1.2 \) eV.

**TWO-OSCILLATION PULSE**

Here we provide a solution for a pulse which is longer than the pulse used in the main text. This pulse contains...
two optical field oscillations of the same chirality and is parametrized as

\[ F_x(t) = F_0 \frac{1}{12} e^{-u^2} H^{(4)}(u) , \]
\[ F_y(t) = F_0 \frac{1}{4} e^{-u^2} H^{(3)}(u) , \]  

where \( u = t/\tau \), and \( H^{(n)} \) is a Hermite polynomial of power \( n \).

Calculated spin-resolved population distributions in the CB of MoS\(_2\) for a two-oscillation left-handed pulse of Eq. (25) is displayed in Fig. 7. Comparing it to Figs. 2 (c), (e) of the main text, one can conclude that there is no qualitative changes in CB population distribution when extra oscillations are added to the pulse. There are some changes of the distributions along the separatrix but general picture remains the same. Namely, the K-valleys are predominantly populated outside of the separatrix. There is a very small population of the K'-valleys inside the separatrix. Overall, the valley polarization is higher that for a single-oscillation pulse. This is understandable because the two-oscillation pulse is closer to a circularly polarized CW radiation that the single oscillation one.


