PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

Calculation of the ratios and absolute rates of the $\Xi_b^- \to \pi^-(D_s^-)\ \Xi_c^0(2790)\ \left(\Xi_c^0(2815)\right)$ and $\Xi_b^- \to \bar{\nu}_l l\ \Xi_c^0(2790)\ \left(\Xi_c^0(2815)\right)$ decays

R. Pavao[*],*a* **W-H Liang,***b* **J. Nieves,***c* **E. Oset***a*

a Departamento de Física Teórica and IFIC, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia-CSIC Institutos de Investigación de Paterna, Aptdo. 22085, 46071 Valencia, Spain

b Department of Physics, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, China

c Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Centro Mixto CSIC-Universidad de Valencia, Institutos de Investigación de Paterna, Apartado 22085, E-46071 Valencia, Spain

E-mail: rpavao@ific.uv.es, liangwh@gxnu.edu.cn, jmnieves@ific.uv.es, oset@ific.uv.es

In this work we calculate the ratios of rates of the Ξ_b nonleptonic and semileptonic decays into the $\Xi_c(2790)$ and $\Xi_c(2815)$ (Ξ_c^*) resonances. These resonances are dynamically generated from the pseudoscalar-baryon and vector-baryon interactions, whose mixing is done using the chiral Weinberg-Tomozawa (WT) meson-baryon interaction extended to four flavors. The first part of the decay is a weak decay that we analyze through their quark constituents where it is noted that only the heavy quarks (b and c) participate in the interaction, leaving the light pair (ds) as spectators. This first decay then produces a meson-baryon pair that creates the Ξ_c^* through the WT interaction. We then proceed to calculate the decay rates to $\Xi_c(2790)$ and $\Xi_c(2815)$ for both the nonleptonic and semileptonic cases and then calculate the ratios between them. We do this calculation nonrelativistically and fully relativistically and notice that, even though both approaches yield somewhat different results in the rates, the ratios are very similar (difference on the order of 1%) in both cases. The absolute values of the decay rates are also successfully calculated by obtaining the rates between our decays and $\Lambda_b \to \pi(D_s)\ \Lambda_c(2595)\ (\Lambda_c(2625))$ and $\Lambda_b \to \bar{\nu}_l l\ \Lambda_c(2595)\ (\Lambda_c(2625))$ for which there are experimental results, since the momentum transfer is similar such we can cancel out the influence of the quark wave functions in the ratios.

XVII International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy and Structure - Hadron2017
25-29 September, 2017
University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

[*]Speaker.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

arXiv:1712.00239v1 [hep-ph] 1 Dec 2017

1. Introduction

The most renowned resonance explained using the unitary coupled channels formalism in chiral perturbation theory is one of the two $\Lambda(1405)$ states [1, 2, 3, 4]. It was found to couple mostly to $\bar{K}N$. Similarly the $\Lambda_c(2595)$ has been considered an analog to the $\Lambda(1405)$ [5, 6], and it was thought to couple mostly to the DN. However, in [7, 8], by studying the decays $\Lambda_b \to \pi(D_s)\,\Lambda_c(2595)\,(\Lambda_c(2625))$ and $\Lambda_b \to \bar{\nu}_l l\,\Lambda_c(2595)\,(\Lambda_c(2625))$ mixing pseudoscalar-baryon (PB) and vector-baryon (VB) channels, it was discovered that the D^*N channel also plays a very important role in the generation of $\Lambda_c(2595)$. There, the ratio between the partial withs of $\Lambda_c(2595)$ and $\Lambda_c(2625)$ were calculated and found in good agreement with experiment, while without the VB channel the results were in total disagreement.

In this work we extend the ideas of [7, 8] to the study of the $\Xi_b^- \to \pi^-\ \Xi_c^0(2790)(\frac{1}{2}^-)$, $\Xi_b^- \to \pi^-\ \Xi_c^0(2815)(\frac{3}{2}^-)$, $\Xi_b^- \to D_s^-\Xi_c^0(2790)$, $\Xi_b^- \to D_s^-\Xi_c^0(2815)$, $\Xi_b^- \to \bar{\nu}_l l\ \Xi_c^0(2790)$ and $\Xi_b^- \to \bar{\nu}_l l\ \Xi_c^0(2815)$ decays. For the PB and VB mixing we use the formalism created in [9], where the Weinberg-Tomozawa interaction was extended to four flavors in a way compatible with heavy quark spin symmetry. We apply these methods to the full hadronic decays and then extend it to the semileptonic decays.

2. Formalism

2.1 Hadronic decay

The complete decay mechanism for the reaction $\Xi_b^- \to \pi^-\ \Xi_c^*$ is shown in Fig. 1, where a pion is emitted through weak interaction and then a meson-baryon pair is produced through hadronization. Then the pair re-interacts to form the Ξ_c^* resonance. The pion emission vertex corresponds to the weak process in Fig. 2, where the light quarks ds are only spectators and do not participate in the weak process.




Figure 1: Mechanism for the production of the Ξ_c^* resonances by re-scattering of $D(D^*)\Sigma(\Lambda)$ and coupling of the meson-baryon components to Ξ_c^*.

The pion emission vertex was studied in Ref. [7] and, at the macroscopical level, its amplitude is

$$V_P \sim \left\{ \left(i\frac{q^0}{q}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{q} + iq \right) \delta_{J,\frac{1}{2}} - i\frac{q^0}{q}\sqrt{3}\,\vec{S}^+\cdot\vec{q}\;\delta_{J,\frac{3}{2}} \right\} \mathrm{ME}(q), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\vec{S}^+$ is the spin transition operator from spin $\frac{1}{2}$ to spin $\frac{3}{2}$, such that

$$\left\langle M' \right| S_\mu^+ \left| M \right\rangle = \mathscr{C}(\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}; M, \mu, M'), \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathscr{C}(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{3}{2};M,\mu,M')$ are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. The ME(q) is the quark matrix element involving the radial wave functions,

$$\text{ME}(q) = \int \text{d}r\, r^2\, j_1(qr)\phi_{\text{in}}(r)\phi^*_{\text{fin}}(r), \tag{2.3}$$

where $j_1(qr)$ is a spherical Bessel function and $\phi_{\text{in}}(r)$ is the radial wave function of the b quark and $\phi_{\text{fin}}(r)$ the radial wave function of the c quark, which is in an excited $L=1$ state. This is because, since the final Ξ_c^* will have negative parity, and the ds pair has positive parity, then the negative parity must come from the c quark (prior to the hadronization) and hence it must have $L=1$.




Figure 2: Diagrammatic representation of the weak decay and hadronization after the weak process to produce a meson-baryon pair in the final state.

Next is the hadronization that proceeds as shown in Fig. 2, where a $\bar{q}q$ state is created from the vacuum. Then, we can reorganize the quark states in flavor space and write them in terms of both the physical mesons and the mixed antisymmetric representations of the Σ^-, Σ^0, Λ^0 baryon states [10]. Here one needs to be careful to write the states using a phase convention coherent with the chiral Lagrangians. Then, after the hadronization we have, in the isospin basis,

$$\left|H'\right\rangle = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\left|\Sigma D(I=\frac{1}{2})\right\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left|\Lambda D(I=\frac{1}{2})\right\rangle. \tag{2.4}$$

Now we need to study the spin structure of the hadronization. The $\bar{q}q$ pair created from the vacuum has $J^P=0^+$, which means that they need to have $L=1$ and $S=1$. Also the c quark before the hadronization will have the same total angular momentum as the Ξ_c^*. In the hadronization the total angular momentum of the c and $\bar{q}$ recombine to give the total angular momentum ($j=0,1$) of the meson D or D^*. Since the spin of the ds pair is zero, the spin of Ξ_c^* is determined by the total angular momentum of q. This recombination was done in Ref.[7] using Racah coefficients, such that

$$|JM;c\rangle\,|00;\bar{q}q\rangle_{^3P_0}\,|00;ds\rangle = \sum_j \mathscr{C}(j,J)\,|J,M;\text{meson-baryon}\rangle\,, \tag{2.5}$$

where the coefficients $\mathscr{C}(j,J)$ are given in Table 1. Combining Eqs. (2.4) and (2.5) we get the amplitude of the hadronization and the resonance formation:

$$\begin{aligned} J=\frac{1}{2}:\ V_{\text{had}}(J) \ =\ & \frac{1}{2}\left(-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\right) g_{R,\Sigma D}\, G_{\Sigma D} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} g_{R,\Lambda D}\, G_{\Lambda D} \\ & + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\right) g_{R,\Sigma D^*}\, G_{\Sigma D^*} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} g_{R,\Lambda D^*}\, G_{\Lambda D^*}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Table 1: $\mathscr{C}(j,J)$ coefficients in Eq. (2.5).

$\mathscr{C}(j,J)$	$J=\frac{1}{2}$	$J=\frac{3}{2}$
(pseudoscalar) $j=0$	$\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{2}$	0
(vector) $j=1$	$\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$	$-\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$

and

$$J=\frac{3}{2}:\ V_{\rm had}(J)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\right)g_{R,\Sigma D^*}G_{\Sigma D^*}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}g_{R,\Lambda D^*}G_{\Lambda D^*}, \tag{2.7}$$

where G_{BD}, G_{BD^*} are the loop functions for the propagator of $BD(BD^*)$, and $g_{R,BD(BD^*)}$ the coupling of these states to the resonance Ξ_c^* that are calculated in Ref. [9].

The width for the $\Xi_b\to\pi^-\Xi_c^*$ decay is given by

$$\Gamma_{\Xi_b\to\pi^-\Xi_c^*}=\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{M_{\Xi_c^*}}{M_{\Xi_b}}\,q\,\overline{\sum}\sum|t|^2= \tag{2.8}$$

$$=\frac{C^2}{2\pi}\frac{M_{\Xi_c^*}}{M_{\Xi_b}}\,q\,\left[\left(q^2+\omega_\pi^2\right)\delta_{J,\frac{1}{2}}+2\omega_\pi^2\delta_{J,\frac{3}{2}}\right]|V_{\rm had}(J)|^2, \tag{2.9}$$

where C contains the matrix element $\mathrm{ME}(q)$ and the weak interaction constants and can be assumed to be constant. The ω_π is the pion energy.

The case of D_s^- production is identical, with the only difference being that the momentum of the D_s^- is smaller than in the case of pion production.

2.2 Semileptonic decay

The extension to the semileptonic cases $\Xi_b\to\bar\nu_l l\Xi_c^0(2790)$ and $\Xi_b\to\bar\nu_l l\Xi_c^0(2815)$ is straightforward since the main difference is that instead of a π^- we have $\bar\nu_l l$ production. Combining the $W\bar\nu_l l$ and Wcb vertices we get

$$t'\propto L^\alpha Q_\alpha, \tag{2.10}$$

with,

$$L^\alpha=\bar u_l\gamma^\alpha(1-\gamma_5)u_{\nu_l}, \tag{2.11a}$$

$$Q_\alpha=\bar u_c\gamma_\alpha(1-\gamma_5)u_b, \tag{2.11b}$$

The mass distribution is given by

$$\frac{{\rm d}\Gamma}{{\rm d}M_{\rm inv}(\bar\nu_l l)}=\frac{M_{\Xi_c^*}}{M_{\Xi_b}}2m_\nu 2m_l\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}p_{\Xi_c^*}\tilde p_l\overline{\sum}\sum|t'|^2, \tag{2.12}$$

where $p_{\Xi_c^*}$ is the Ξ_c^* momentum in the Ξ_b rest frame and $\tilde p_l$ the lepton momentum in the $\bar\nu_l l$ rest frame, and $\overline{\sum}\sum|t'|^2$ is given by [8]

$$\overline{\sum}\sum|t'|^2=C'^2\frac{8}{m_\nu m_l}\frac{1}{M_{\Xi_b}^2}\left(\frac{M_{\rm inv}}{2}\right)^2\left[\tilde E_{\Xi_b}^2-\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\vec p}_{\Xi_b}^{\,2}\right]|V_{\rm had}(J)|^2, \tag{2.13}$$

where C' is again a factor that contains the matrix element $\mathrm{ME}(q)$.

The magnitudes $\tilde{E}_{\Xi_b}$ and $\tilde{\vec{p}}_{\Xi_b}$ are evaluated in the rest frame of the $\bar{\nu}_l l$ pair and are given by

$$\tilde{E}_{\Xi_b} = \frac{M_{\Xi_b}^2 + M_{\rm inv}^2 - M_{\Xi_c^*}^2}{2M_{\rm inv}}, \tag{2.14a}$$

$$\tilde{p}_{\Xi_b} = \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(M_{\Xi_b}^2, M_{\rm inv}^2, M_{\Xi_c^*}^2\right)}{2M_{\rm inv}}. \tag{2.14b}$$

3. Results

We proceed now to show the ratios of rates obtained in [11]. For the nonleptonic emission, using Eq.(2.9) we get,

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\Xi_b\to\pi^-\Xi_c(1)}}{\Gamma_{\Xi_b\to\pi^-\Xi_c(2)}} = \frac{M_{\Xi_c(1)}\; p_\pi(1)\,\overline{\sum}\sum|t|^2(1)}{M_{\Xi_c(2)}\; p_\pi(2)\,\overline{\sum}\sum|t|^2(2)} = 0.384, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\Xi_b\to D_s^-\Xi_c(1)}}{\Gamma_{\Xi_b\to D_s^-\Xi_c(2)}} = \frac{M_{\Xi_c(1)}\, p_{D_s^-}(1)\,\overline{\sum}\sum|t|^2(1)}{M_{\Xi_c(2)}\, p_{D_s^-}(2)\,\overline{\sum}\sum|t|^2(2)} = 0.273, \tag{3.2}$$

and, if we assume that π^- and D_s^- have similar ME(q), then

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\Xi_b\to D_s^-\Xi_c(1)}}{\Gamma_{\Xi_b\to\pi^-\Xi_c(1)}} = \frac{p_{D_s^-}(1)\,\overline{\sum}\sum|t|^2(1,D_s^-)}{p_{\pi^-}(1)\,\overline{\sum}\sum|t|^2(1,\pi^-)} = 0.686. \tag{3.3}$$

For the semileptonic case, using Eq.(2.12), we have

$$R = \frac{\Gamma_{\Xi_b\to\bar{\nu}_l l\;\Xi_c(2790)}}{\Gamma_{\Xi_b\to\bar{\nu}_l l\;\Xi_c(2815)}} = \frac{\int {\rm d}M_{\rm inv}\,\frac{{\rm d}\Gamma}{{\rm d}M_{\rm inv}}(1)}{\int {\rm d}M_{\rm inv}\,\frac{{\rm d}\Gamma}{{\rm d}M_{\rm inv}}(2)} = 0.197. \tag{3.4}$$

We can also estimate the values of the absolute rates by comparing our results with the ones in Refs. [7, 8] and experimental data from the PDG [12]. For the nonleptonic case we have

$$\frac{BR(\Xi_b\to\pi^-\,\Xi_c^*)}{BR(\Lambda_b\to\pi^-\,\Lambda_c^*)} = \frac{M_{\Xi_c^*}}{M_{\Xi_b}}\,\frac{M_{\Lambda_b}}{M_{\Lambda_c^*}}\,\frac{q\,\overline{\sum}\sum|t|^2\Big|_{\Xi_b}}{q\,\overline{\sum}\sum|t|^2\Big|_{\Lambda_b}}\cdot\frac{\Gamma_{\Lambda_b}}{\Gamma_{\Xi_b}}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\overline{\sum}\sum|t|^2\Big|_{\Lambda_b}$ is given by Eqs. (41), (42) of Ref. [7]. Then, from Ref.[12] we have the experimental branching ratios of $\Lambda_b\to\pi^-\Lambda_c^*$ and $\frac{\Gamma_{\Lambda_b}}{\Gamma_{\Xi_b}}$, so we can obtain the absolute rates:

$$BR[\Xi_b\to\pi^-\Xi_c(2790)] = (7\pm4)\times10^{-6}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$BR[\Xi_b\to\pi^-\Xi_c(2815)] = (13\pm7)\times10^{-6}. \tag{3.7}$$

We can do the same for the semileptonic case where the experimental branching ratios are also in [12] , and comparing with [8], we obtain:

$$BR[\Xi_b\to\bar{\nu}_l l\Xi_c(2790)] = \left(1.0^{+0.6}_{-0.5}\right)\times10^{-4}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$BR[\Xi_b\to\bar{\nu}_l l\Xi_c(2815)] = \left(3.3^{+1.8}_{-1.6}\right)\times10^{-4}. \tag{3.9}$$

4. Conclusion

We have studied the decay of Ξ_b to Ξ_c^*, through the emission of $\pi^-(Ds^-)$ or $\bar{\nu}_l l$, estimating the ratios of rates and the absolute rates of these reactions. To do that we assumed the resonances were dynamically generated from PB and VB interactions [9], where channels involved were $D\Lambda$, $D\Sigma$, $D^*\Lambda$, $D^*\Sigma$. Here the VB channels were found to be just as important as the PB ones. This confirmation of the importance of VB channels should enhance the necessity of mixing PB and VB to build molecular baryonic states. There are no experiments to confirm our calculations, but our results are within measurable range and can serve as predictions which can also be an incentive to carry new experiments.

Acknowledgments

R. P. Pavao wishes to thank the Generalitat Valenciana in the program Santiago Grisolia. This work is partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11565007, No. 11647309 and No. 11547307. This work is also partly supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Economia y Competitividad and European FEDER funds under the contract numbers FIS2014-51948-C2-1-P, and FIS2014-51948-C2-2-P, and the Generalitat Valenciana in the program Prometeo II-2014/068.

References

[1] J. A. Oller and Ulf-G. Meißner, Phys. Lett. B **500**, 263 (2001) [hep-ph/0011146].

[2] D. Jido, J. A. Oller, E. Oset, A. Ramos and U. G. Meissner, Nucl. Phys. A **725**, 181 (2003) [nucl-th/0303062].

[3] Ulf-G. Meißner and T. Hyodo, *Pole structure of the* $\Lambda(1405)$ *region*, in C. Patrignani et al. [Particle Data Group] Chin. Phys. C, **40**, 100001 (2016).

[4] C. García-Recio, J. Nieves, E. Ruiz Arriola and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 076009 (2003) [hep-ph/0210311].

[5] J. Hofmann and M. F. M. Lutz, Nucl. Phys. A **763**, 90 (2005) [hep-ph/0507071].

[6] T. Mizutani and A. Ramos, Phys. Rev. C **74**, 065201 (2006) [hep-ph/0607257].

[7] W. H. Liang, M. Bayar and E. Oset, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, no. 1, 39 (2017) [arXiv:1610.08296 [hep-ph]].

[8] W. H. Liang, E. Oset and Z. S. Xie, Phys. Rev. D **95**, no. 1, 014015 (2017) [arXiv:1611.07334 [hep-ph]].

[9] O. Romanets, L. Tolos, C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves, L. L. Salcedo and R. G. E. Timmermans, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 114032 (2012) [arXiv:1202.2239 [hep-ph]].

[10] F. E. Close. *An Introduction to Quarks and Partons*. Academic press, 1979.

[11] R. P. Pavao, W. H. Liang, J. Nieves and E. Oset, Eur. Phys. J. C **77** (2017) no.4, 265 [arXiv:1701.06914 [hep-ph]].

[12] C. Patrignani *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Chin. Phys. C **40**, no. 10, 100001 (2016).