

# Special Precovered Categories of Gorenstein Categories<sup>\*†</sup>

Tiwei Zhao<sup>‡</sup> and Zhaoyong Huang<sup>§</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, Jiangsu Province, P. R. China*

## Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category and  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  the subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of projective objects. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a full, additive and self-orthogonal subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  a generator, and let  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  be the Gorenstein subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then the right 1-orthogonal category  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  is both projectively resolving and injectively coresolving in  $\mathcal{A}$ . We also get that the subcategory  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of objects admitting special  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ -precovers is closed under extensions and  $\mathcal{C}$ -stable direct summands (\*). Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a generator for  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ , then we have that  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is the minimal subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  containing  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1} \cup \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  with respect to the property (\*), and that  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -resolving in  $\mathcal{A}$  with a  $\mathcal{C}$ -proper generator  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## 1 Introduction

As a generalization of finitely generated projective modules, Auslander and Bridger introduced in [3] the notion of finitely generated modules of Gorenstein dimension zero over commutative Noetherian rings. Then Enochs and Jenda generalized it in [7] to arbitrary modules over a general ring and introduced the notion of Gorenstein projective modules and its dual (that is, the notion of Gorenstein injective modules). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category and  $\mathcal{C}$  an additive and full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Recently Sather-Wagstaff, Sharif and White introduced in [14] the notion of the Gorenstein subcategory  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , which is a common generalization of the notions of modules of Gorenstein dimension zero [3], Gorenstein projective modules, Gorenstein injective modules [7],  $V$ -Gorenstein projective modules and  $V$ -Gorenstein injective modules [9], and so on.

Let  $R$  be an associative ring with identity, and let  $\text{Mod } R$  be the category of left  $R$ -modules and  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))$  the subcategory of  $\text{Mod } R$  consisting of Gorenstein projective modules. Let  $\text{PC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)))$  and  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)))$  be the subcategories of  $\text{Mod } R$  consisting of modules admitting a  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))$ -precover and admitting a special  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))$ -precover respectively. The following question in relative homological algebra remains still open: does  $\text{PC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))) = \text{Mod } R$  always hold true? Several authors have gave some partially positive answers to this question, see [2, 4, 5, 16]. Note that in these references,  $\text{PC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))) = \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)))$ , see Example 4.8 below for details. In particular, any module in  $\text{Mod } R$  with finite Gorenstein projective dimension admits a  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))$ -precover which is

<sup>\*</sup>2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18G25, 18E10.

<sup>†</sup>Keywords: Gorenstein categories, Right 1-orthogonal categories, Special precovers, Special precovered categories, Projectively resolving, Injectively coresolving.

<sup>‡</sup>E-mail address: tiweizhao@hotmail.com

<sup>§</sup>E-mail address: huangzy@nju.edu.cn

also special ([10]). In fact, it is unknown whether  $\text{PC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))) = \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)))$  always holds true. Based on the above, it is necessary to study the properties of these two subcategories.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category and  $\mathcal{C}$  an additive and full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We use  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  to denote the subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of objects admitting special  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ -precovers. The aim of this paper is to investigate the structure of  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  in terms of the properties of the right 1-orthogonal category  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ . This paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we give some terminology and some preliminary results.

Assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is self-orthogonal and the subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of projective objects is a generator for  $\mathcal{C}$ . In Section 3, we prove that  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  is both projectively resolving and injectively coresolving in  $\mathcal{A}$ . We also characterize when all objects in  $\mathcal{A}$  are in  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ .

In Section 4, we prove that  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is closed under extensions and  $\mathcal{C}$ -stable direct summands (\*). Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a generator for  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ , then we get the following two results: (1)  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is the minimal subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  containing  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1} \cup \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  with respect to the property (\*); and (2)  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -resolving in  $\mathcal{A}$  with a  $\mathcal{C}$ -proper generator  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper,  $\mathcal{A}$  is an abelian category and all subcategories of  $\mathcal{A}$  are full, additive and closed under isomorphisms. We use  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$ ) to denote the subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of projective (resp. injective) objects. For a subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  and an object  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the  $\mathcal{C}$ -dimension  $\mathcal{C}\text{-dim } A$  of  $A$  is defined as  $\inf\{n \geq 0 \mid \text{there exists an exact sequence}$

$$0 \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with all  $C_i$  in  $\mathcal{C}\}$ . Set  $\mathcal{C}\text{-dim } A = \infty$  if no such integer exists (cf. [12]). For a non-negative integer  $n$ , we use  $\mathcal{C}^{\leq n}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}^{< \infty}$ ) to denote the subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of objects with  $\mathcal{C}$ -dimension at most  $n$  (resp. finite  $\mathcal{C}$ -dimension).

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Recall that a sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  is called  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, -)$ -exact if it is exact after applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, -)$  for any  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ . Dually, the notion of a  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, \mathcal{X})$ -exact sequence is defined. Set

$$\mathcal{X}^{\perp} := \{M \mid \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\geq 1}(X, M) = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathcal{X}\},$$

$${}^{\perp}\mathcal{X} := \{M \mid \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\geq 1}(M, X) = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathcal{X}\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{X}^{\perp_1} := \{M \mid \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(X, M) = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathcal{X}\},$$

$${}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{X} := \{M \mid \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(M, X) = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

We call  $\mathcal{X}^{\perp_1}$  (resp.  ${}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{X}$ ) the *right* (resp. *left*) 1-orthogonal category of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  be subcategories of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We write  $\mathcal{X} \perp \mathcal{Y}$  if  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\geq 1}(X, Y) = 0$  for any  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** (cf. [6]) Let  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$  be subcategories of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$  is called an  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover of  $Y$  if  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X', f)$  is epic for any  $X' \in \mathcal{X}$ . An  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called *special* if  $f$  is epic and  $\text{Ker } f \in \mathcal{X}^{\perp_1}$ .  $\mathcal{X}$  is called *special precovering* in  $\mathcal{Y}$

if any object in  $\mathcal{Y}$  admits a special  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover. Dually, the notions of a (*special*)  $\mathcal{X}$ -(pre)envelope and a *special preenveloping subcategory* are defined.

**Definition 2.2.** (cf. [10]) A subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *projectively resolving* if it contains  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  and is closed under extensions and under kernels of epimorphisms. Dually, the notion of *injectively coresolving subcategories* is defined.

From now on, assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a given subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** (cf. [14]) The *Gorenstein subcategory*  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) = \{M \text{ is an object in } \mathcal{A} \mid \text{there exists an exact sequence:}$

$$\cdots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow C^0 \rightarrow C^1 \rightarrow \cdots \quad (2.1)$$

in  $\mathcal{C}$ , which is both  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, \mathcal{C})$ -exact, such that  $M \cong \text{Im}(C_0 \rightarrow C^0)\}$ ; in this case, (2.1) is called a *complete  $\mathcal{C}$ -resolution* of  $M$ .

In what follows,  $R$  is an associative ring with identity,  $\text{Mod } R$  is the category of left  $R$ -modules and  $\text{mod } R$  is the category of finitely generated left  $R$ -modules.

*Remark 2.4.*

- (1) Let  $R$  be a left and right Noetherian ring. Then  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R))$  coincides with the subcategory of  $\text{mod } R$  consisting of modules with Gorenstein dimension zero ([3]).
- (2)  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{I}(\text{Mod } R))$ ) coincides with the subcategory of  $\text{Mod } R$  consisting of Gorenstein projective (resp. injective) modules ([7]).
- (3) Let  $R$  be a left Noetherian ring,  $S$  a right Noetherian ring and  ${}_R V_S$  a dualizing bimodule. Put  $\mathcal{W} = \{V \otimes_S P \mid P \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } S)\}$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \{\text{Hom}_S(V, E) \mid E \in \mathcal{I}(\text{Mod } S^{op})\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{W})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U})$ ) coincides with the subcategory of  $\text{Mod } R$  consisting of  $V$ -Gorenstein projective (resp. injective) modules ([9]).

**Definition 2.5.** (cf. [14]) Let  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  be subcategories of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}$  is called a *generator* (resp. *cogenerator*) for  $\mathcal{T}$  if for any  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ , there exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow T' \rightarrow X \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$  (resp.  $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow X \rightarrow T' \rightarrow 0$ ) in  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ ; and  $\mathcal{X}$  is called a *projective generator* (resp. *an injective cogenerator*) for  $\mathcal{T}$  if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a generator (resp. cogenerator) for  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{X} \perp \mathcal{T}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{T} \perp \mathcal{X}$ ).

We have the following easy observation.

**Lemma 2.6.** Assume that  $\mathcal{C} \perp \mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  is a generator for  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ , there exists a  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, \mathcal{C})$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow P \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $G' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ . Then there exists a  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, \mathcal{C})$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $C_0 \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $G_1 \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ . Because  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  is a generator for  $\mathcal{C}$  by assumption, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C' \rightarrow P \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $C' \in \mathcal{C}$ . Consider the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & C' & \equiv & C' & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \dashrightarrow & G' & \dashrightarrow & P & \dashrightarrow & G \dashrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G_1 & \longrightarrow & C_0 & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

By [11, Lemma 2.5], the middle row is both  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, \mathcal{C})$ -exact, and hence  $G' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  by [11, Proposition 4.7], that is, the middle row is the desired sequence.  $\square$

The following result is useful in the sequel.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{C} \perp \mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  is a generator for  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then*

- (1)  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1} = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp}$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq {}^{\perp}\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ .

*Proof.* (1) It suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp}$ . Let  $M \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ . By Lemma 2.6, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow P \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $G' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ . It induces  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^2(G, M) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(G', M) = 0$ , and hence  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^2(G', M) = 0$  and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^3(G, M) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^2(G', M) = 0$ . Repeating this process, we get  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\geq 1}(G, M) = 0$ .

(2) See [11, Lemma 5.7].  $\square$

We remark that if  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough projective objects, and if  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under kernels of epimorphisms, then  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  is a generator for  $\mathcal{C}$ .

### 3 The right 1-orthogonal category of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$

In the rest of this paper, assume that the subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  is self-orthogonal (that is,  $\mathcal{C} \perp \mathcal{C}$ ) and  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  is a generator for  $\mathcal{C}$ . In this section, we mainly investigate the homological properties of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ . We begin with some examples of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ .

**Example 3.1.**

- (1) By Proposition 2.7 and [11, Theorem 5.8], we have  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{<\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})^{<\infty} \cup \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})^{<\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ .

- (3) If the global dimension of  $R$  is finite, then  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))^{\perp_1} = \text{Mod } R$ .
- (4) By [8, Theorem 11.5.1] and [1, Theorem 31.9], we have that  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius if and only if  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))^{\perp_1} = \mathcal{I}(\text{Mod } R)$ , and if and only if  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))^{\perp_1} = \mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R) = \mathcal{I}(\text{Mod } R)$ .

For a non-negative integer  $n$ , recall that a left and right noetherian ring  $R$  is called *n-Gorenstein* if the left and right self-injective dimensions of  $R$  are at most  $n$ . The following result is a generalization of Example 3.1(4).

**Example 3.2.** If  $R$  is  $n$ -Gorenstein, then

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))^{\perp_1} = \mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)^{\leq n} = \mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)^{<\infty} = \mathcal{I}(\text{Mod } R)^{\leq n} = \mathcal{I}(\text{Mod } R)^{<\infty}.$$

*Proof.* By [13, Theorem 2] and Example 3.1(2), we have

$$\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)^{\leq n} = \mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)^{<\infty} = \mathcal{I}(\text{Mod } R)^{\leq n} = \mathcal{I}(\text{Mod } R)^{<\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))^{\perp_1}.$$

Now let  $M \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))^{\perp_1}$  and  $N \in \text{Mod } R$ . Since  $R$  is  $n$ -Gorenstein, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\text{Mod } R$  with all  $P_i$  in  $\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)$  and  $G_n \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))$  by [8, Theorem 11.5.1]. Then we have  $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(N, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(G_n, M) = 0$  and  $M \in \mathcal{I}(\text{Mod } R)^{\leq n}$ , and thus  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))^{\perp_1} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\text{Mod } R)^{\leq n}$ .  $\square$

The following result shows that  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  behaves well.

**Theorem 3.3.**

- (1)  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  is closed under direct products, direct summands and extensions.
- (2)  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  is projectively resolving in  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  is injectively coresolving in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* (1) It is trivial.

(2) By Example 3.1(1),  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ . Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  and

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $M, N \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ . By Proposition 2.7(1), we have  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\geq 1}(G, M) = 0 = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\geq 1}(G, N)$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\geq 2}(G, L) = 0$ . Because  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow C^0 \rightarrow G^1 \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $C^0 \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $G^1 \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ . For  $C^0$ , there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C^{-1} \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow C^0 \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $P^0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $C^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Consider the following pullback diagram


$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & 0 & & 0 & & & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
 & C^{-1} & = & C^{-1} & & & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
 0 & \dashrightarrow & G^0 & \dashrightarrow & P^0 & \dashrightarrow & G^1 \dashrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & C^0 & \longrightarrow & G^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
 & 0 & & 0 & & & 
 \end{array}$$

By the above argument, we have  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(G^0, L) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^2(G^1, L) = 0$ . Because the leftmost column splits by Proposition 2.7(2),  $G$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $G^0$  and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(G, L) = 0$ , which shows that  $L \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ .


(3) It is trivial that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ . By Proposition 2.7, we have that  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  is closed under cokernels of monomorphisms. Thus  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  is injectively coresolving.  $\square$

Before giving some applications of Theorem 3.3(2), consider the following example.


**Example 3.4.** Let  $Q$  be a quiver:



and  $I = \langle a_1 a_3 a_2, a_2 a_1 a_3 \rangle$ . Let  $R = kQ/I$  with  $k$  a field. Then the Auslander-Reiten quiver  $\Gamma(\text{mod } R)$  of  $\text{mod } R$  is as follows.



By a direct computation, we have



where the terms marked by circles are indecomposable projective modules in  $\text{mod } R$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R)) \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R))^{\perp_1} = \mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R)$ .

In general, we have the following

**Corollary 3.5.** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under direct summands, then for any  $n \geq 0$ , we have*

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\leq n} \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1} = \mathcal{C}^{\leq n}.$$

*Proof.* By Example 3.1(1), we have  $\mathcal{C}^{\leq n} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\leq n} \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ .

Now let  $M \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\leq n} \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ . By [11, Theorem 5.8], there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow C_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with all  $C_i$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $K_n \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ . By Theorem 3.3(2), we have  $K_n \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ . Because  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under direct summands by assumption, it follows easily from the definition of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  that  $K_n \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $M \in \mathcal{C}^{\leq n}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *For any  $M \in \mathcal{A}$ , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $M \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ .
- (2) The functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, M)$  is exact with respect to any short exact sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  ending with an object in  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ .
- (3) Every short exact sequence starting with  $M$  is  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}), -)$ -exact.

If, moreover,  $R$  is a commutative ring,  $\mathcal{A} = \text{Mod } R$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)$ , then the above conditions are equivalent to the following

- (4)  $\text{Hom}_R(Q, M) \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))^{\perp_1}$  for any  $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) It is easy.

Now let  $R$  be a commutative ring.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) For any  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{f} P \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.1}$$

in  $\text{Mod } R$  with  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)$ . Let  $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)$ . Then

$$0 \rightarrow Q \otimes_R K \xrightarrow{1_Q \otimes f} Q \otimes_R P \rightarrow Q \otimes_R G \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. It is easy to check that  $Q \otimes_R G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q \otimes_R G, M) = 0$  by (1), and so  $\text{Hom}_R(1_Q \otimes f, M)$  is epic. By the adjoint isomorphism, we have that  $\text{Hom}_R(f, \text{Hom}_R(Q, M))$  is also epic. So applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \text{Hom}_R(Q, M))$  to (3.1) we get  $\text{Ext}_R^1(G, \text{Hom}_R(Q, M)) = 0$ , and hence  $\text{Hom}_R(Q, M) \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))^{\perp_1}$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) It is trivial by setting  $Q = R$ .  $\square$

In the following result, we characterize categories over which all objects are in  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under direct summands. Consider the following conditions.*

- (1)  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1} = \mathcal{A}$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$ .

*Then we have (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a projective generator for  $\mathcal{A}$ , then all of them are equivalent.*

*Proof.* The implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is trivial.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ . Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $C_0 \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $G_1 \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ . By (2), we have that  $G_1 \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  and the above exact sequence splits. Thus as a direct summand of  $C_0$ ,  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  by assumption.


If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a projective generator for  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the implication (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) follows directly.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a subcategory of  $\text{mod } R$  containing  $\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R)$ . We use  $\underline{\mathcal{X}}$  to denote the stable category of  $\mathcal{X}$  modulo  $\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R)$ . We end this section by giving two examples about  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R))^{\perp_1}$ .

**Example 3.8.** Let  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  be the following two quivers

$$Q_1 : 1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \\ \xleftarrow{\alpha_2} \end{array} 2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} 3 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha_4} \\ \xleftarrow{\alpha_4} \end{array} \quad Q_2 : a \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha_a} \\ \xleftarrow{\alpha_b} \end{array} b \xrightarrow{\alpha_c} c \xleftarrow{\alpha_d} d,$$

and let  $I_1 = \langle \alpha_2\alpha_1, \alpha_1\alpha_2, \alpha_4\alpha_3, \alpha_4^2 \rangle$  and  $I_2 = \langle \alpha_b\alpha_a, \alpha_a\alpha_b \rangle$ . Let  $R_1 = KQ_1/I_1$  and  $R_2 = KQ_2/I_2$ . Note that  $R_2$  is Gorenstein and  $R_1$  is not Gorenstein. The Auslander-Reiten quivers of  $\text{mod } R_1$  and  $\text{mod } R_2$  are as follows.



Then we have


- (1) The objects marked in a cycle or a box are indecomposable objects in  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_i))^{\perp_1}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ); in particular, the objects marked in a cycle are indecomposable objects in  $\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).
- (2)  $\underline{\text{mod } R_1} \simeq \underline{\text{mod } R_2}$  and  $\frac{\underline{\text{mod } R_1}}{\underline{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_1))^{\perp_1}}} \simeq \frac{\underline{\text{mod } R_2}}{\underline{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_2))^{\perp_1}}}$ .
- (3)  $\underline{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_1))^{\perp_1}} \simeq \underline{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_2))^{\perp_1}}$  and  $\underline{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_1))^{\perp_1}} \simeq \underline{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_2))^{\perp_1}}$ .



**Example 3.9.** Let  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  be the following two quivers

$$Q_1 : \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & 2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & 3 \\ & & \swarrow \alpha_4 & & \searrow \alpha_3 \\ & & 4 & & \end{array} \quad Q_2 : \begin{array}{ccccc} a & \xleftarrow{\alpha_a} & b & \xrightarrow{\alpha_b} & c, \\ & & \swarrow \alpha_d & & \searrow \alpha_c \\ & & d & & \end{array}$$

and let  $I_1 = \langle \alpha_3\alpha_2, \alpha_4\alpha_3, \alpha_2\alpha_4 \rangle$  and  $I_2 = \langle \alpha_c\alpha_b, \alpha_d\alpha_c, \alpha_b\alpha_d \rangle$ . Let  $R_1 = KQ_1/I_1$  and  $R_2 = KQ_2/I_2$ . Then the Auslander-Reiten quivers of  $\text{mod } R_1$  and  $\text{mod } R_2$  are as follows.



Then we have

- (1) The objects marked in a cycle or a box are indecomposable objects in  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_i))^{\perp_1}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ); in particular, the objects marked in a cycle are indecomposable objects in  $\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).
- (2)  $\underline{\text{mod } R_1} \simeq \underline{\text{mod } R_2}$  and  $\frac{\text{mod } R_1}{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_1))^{\perp_1}} \simeq \frac{\text{mod } R_2}{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_2))^{\perp_1}}$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_1))^{\perp_1} \simeq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_2))^{\perp_1}$  and  $\underline{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_1))^{\perp_1}} \simeq \underline{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R_2))^{\perp_1}}$ .

## 4 The special precovered category of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$

In this section, we introduce and investigate the special precovered category of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  in terms of the properties of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ .

**Proposition 4.1.**

- (1) Let  $M \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  and  $f : C \twoheadrightarrow M$  be an epimorphism in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then  $\text{Ker } f \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  and  $f$  is a special  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ -precover of  $M$ .
- (2) Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow C \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.1)$$

If  $M'$  admits special  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ -precover, then so is  $M$ . The converse is true if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a generator for  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  and (4.1) is  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact.

*Proof.* (1) The assertion follows from Example 3.1(1) and Theorem 3.3(2).

- (2) Assume that  $M'$  admits a special  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ -precover and

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $N \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp 1}$ . Combining it with the following  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, \mathcal{C})$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{i} C^0 \xrightarrow{p} G^1 \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $C^0 \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $G^1 \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ , we get the following commutative diagram with exact columns and rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & N & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{i} & C^0 & \xrightarrow{p} & G^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & & \end{array}$$

Adding the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{1_C} C \rightarrow 0$  to the middle row, we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact columns and rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & N & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & C^0 \oplus C & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1_C \end{pmatrix}} & G^1 \oplus C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow (g, 1_C) & & \downarrow h' \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0, & & \end{array}$$

which can be completed to a commutative diagram with exact columns and rows as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \dashrightarrow & N & \dashrightarrow & C' & \dashrightarrow & M'' \dashrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & C^0 \oplus C & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1_C \end{pmatrix}} & G^1 \oplus C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow (g, 1_C) & & \downarrow h' \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0. \end{array}$$

Note that  $G^1 \oplus C \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ . Moreover, since  $N \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp 1}$ , we have  $M'' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp 1}$  by Theorem 3.3(3). Thus the rightmost column in the above diagram is a special  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ -precover of  $M$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a generator for  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp 1}$  and (4.1) be  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact. Assume that  $M$  admits a special  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ -precover and

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow L' \rightarrow C' \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

are exact sequences in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $L \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp 1}$  and  $C' \in \mathcal{C}$ . By [11, Lemma 3.1(1)], we get the following commutative diagram with exact columns and rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & L' & \rightarrow & G' & \rightarrow & M' \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & C' & \rightarrow & C' \oplus C & \rightarrow & C \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & L & \rightarrow & G & \rightarrow & M \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0.
 \end{array}$$

By Proposition 2.7(2) and Theorem 3.3(2), we have  $L' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp 1}$  and the leftmost column is  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact. So the middle column is also  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact. On the other hand, the middle column is  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, \mathcal{C})$ -exact by Proposition 2.7(2). So  $G' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  by [11, Proposition 4.7(5)], and hence the upper row is a special  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ -precover of  $M'$ .  $\square$

We introduce the following

**Definition 4.2.** We call  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})) := \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid A \text{ admits a special } \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})\text{-precover}\}$  the *special precovered category* of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ .

It is trivial that  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is the largest subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  is special precovering in it. In particular,  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})) = \mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  is special precovering in  $\mathcal{A}$ . For the sake of convenience, we say that a subcategory  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is *closed under  $\mathcal{C}$ -stable direct summands* provided that the condition  $X \oplus C \in \mathcal{X}$  with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  implies  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ .

**Theorem 4.3.**

- (1)  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is closed under extensions.
- (2)  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is closed under  $\mathcal{C}$ -stable direct summands.

*Proof.* (1) Let

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Assume that  $L$  and  $N$  admit special  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ -precovers and

$$0 \rightarrow L' \rightarrow G_L \xrightarrow{f} L \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow G_N \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$$

are exact sequences in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $G_L, G_N \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $L', N' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ . Consider the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \dashrightarrow & L & \dashrightarrow & Q & \dashrightarrow & G_N \dashrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow g \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since  $\text{Ext}_R^2(G_N, L') = 0$  by Proposition 2.7(1), we get an epimorphism  $\text{Ext}_R^1(G_N, f) : \text{Ext}_R^1(G_N, G_L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(G_N, L)$ . It induces the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \dashrightarrow & G_L & \dashrightarrow & G_M & \dashrightarrow & G_N \dashrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \beta & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & G_N \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow g \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Set  $M' := \text{Ker } \alpha\beta$ . Then we get the following commutative diagram with exact columns and rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \dashrightarrow & L' & \dashrightarrow & M' & \dashrightarrow & N' \dashrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G_L & \longrightarrow & G_M & \longrightarrow & G_N \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0. \end{array}$$

Note that  $G_M \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  (by [14, Corollary 4.5]) and  $M' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  (by Theorem 3.3(1)). Thus the middle column in the above diagram is a special  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ -precover of  $M$ . This proves that  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is closed under extensions.

(2) Let  $M \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  and

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $K \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ . Assume that  $M \cong L \oplus C$  with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have an exact and split sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Consider the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \dashrightarrow & K & \dashrightarrow & L' & \dashrightarrow & C \dashrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & L & = & L \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0.
 \end{array}$$

Since  $K, C \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ , we have  $L' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  by Theorem 3.3(1). Thus the middle column in the above diagram is a special  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ -precover of  $L$ .  $\square$

The following question seems to be interesting.

**Question 4.4.** *Is  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  closed under direct summands?*

The following result shows that  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  possesses certain minimality, which generalizes [15, Theorem 6.8(1)].

**Theorem 4.5.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a generator for  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ . Then we have*

- (1)  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1} \cup \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  and  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is closed under extensions and  $\mathcal{C}$ -stable direct summands.
- (2)  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is the minimal subcategory with respect to the property (1) as above.

To prove this theorem, we need the following

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let*

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

*be an exact sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $K \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ . Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \oplus C \rightarrow K' \rightarrow 0$$

*in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $K' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $K \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ . Since  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ , there exists a  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow C \rightarrow G' \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $G' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ . Consider the following pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & K' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & G' & = & G' \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0.
 \end{array}$$

Since  $K, C \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ , we have  $K' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  by Theorem 3.3(3).

Consider the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & G & = & G & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & K' & \longrightarrow & G' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0.
 \end{array}$$

Since the middle column in the first diagram is  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact, so is the rightmost column in this diagram. Then the middle row in the second diagram is also  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact by [11, Lemma 2.4(1)], and in particular, it splits. Thus  $Q \cong M \oplus C$  and the middle column in the second diagram is the desired exact sequence.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.5.* (1) It follows from Proposition 4.1(1) and Theorem 4.3.

(2) Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1} \cup \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed under extensions and  $\mathcal{C}$ -stable direct summands. Let  $M \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ . Then by Lemma 4.6, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \oplus C \rightarrow K' \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $K' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ ,  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ . Because  $G, K' \in \mathcal{X}$ , we have that  $M \oplus C \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $M \in \mathcal{X}$ . It follows that  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ .

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.5, we get the following

**Corollary 4.7.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))$  is special precovering in  $\text{Mod } R$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subcategory of  $\text{Mod } R$ . If  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))^{\perp_1} \cup \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed under extensions and  $\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)$ -stable direct summands, then  $\mathcal{X} = \text{Mod } R$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption, we have  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))) = \text{Mod } R$ . Now the assertion follows from Theorem 4.5.  $\square$

We collect some known classes of rings  $R$  satisfying that  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))$  is special precovering in  $\text{Mod } R$  as follows.

**Example 4.8.** For any one of the following rings  $R$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R))$  is special precovering in  $\text{Mod } R$ .

- (1) Commutative Noetherian rings of finite Krull dimension ([5, Remark 5.8]).
- (2) Rings in which all projective left  $R$ -modules have finite injective dimension ([16, Corollary 4.3]); especially, Gorenstein rings (that is,  $n$ -Gorenstein rings for some  $n \geq 0$ ).
- (3) Right coherent rings in which all flat  $R$ -modules have finite projective dimension ([2, Theorem 3.5] and [4, Proposition 8.10]); especially, right coherent and left perfect rings, and right Artinian rings.

We recall the following definition from [12].

**Definition 4.9.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  be subcategories of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ .

- (1)  $\mathcal{C}$  is called an  $\mathcal{E}$ -proper generator (resp.  $\mathcal{E}$ -coproper cogenerator) for  $\mathcal{T}$  if for any object  $T$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , there exists a  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}, -)$  (resp.  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, \mathcal{E})$ )-exact exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow T' \rightarrow C \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$  (resp.  $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow C \rightarrow T' \rightarrow 0$ ) in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $C$  is an object in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $T'$  is an object in  $\mathcal{T}$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{T}$  is called  $\mathcal{E}$ -preresolving in  $\mathcal{A}$  if the following conditions are satisfied.
  - (i)  $\mathcal{T}$  admits an  $\mathcal{E}$ -proper generator.
  - (ii)  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under  $\mathcal{E}$ -proper extensions, that is, for any  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}, -)$ -exact exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , if both  $A_1$  and  $A_3$  are objects in  $\mathcal{T}$ , then  $A_2$  is also an object in  $\mathcal{T}$ .

An  $\mathcal{E}$ -preresolving subcategory  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is called  $\mathcal{E}$ -resolving if the following condition is satisfied.

  - (iii)  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under kernels of  $\mathcal{E}$ -proper epimorphisms, that is, for any  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}, -)$ -exact exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , if both  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  are objects in  $\mathcal{T}$ , then  $A_1$  is also an object in  $\mathcal{T}$ .

In the following, we investigate when  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -resolving. We need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 4.10.** For any  $M \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ , there exists a  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow C \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ . Then there exists a  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K' \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $K' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp 1}$ . For  $G$ , there exists a  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow C \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $G' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ . Consider the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & 0 & & 0 & & & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
 & G' & = & G' & & & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
 0 & \dashrightarrow & K & \dashrightarrow & C & \dashrightarrow & M \dashrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K' & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & 0 & & 0 & & & 
 \end{array}$$

By [11, Lemma 2.5], the middle row is  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact, as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.11.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a generator for  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ . Given a  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have

- (1) *If  $M, N \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ , then  $L \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ .*
- (2) *If  $L, M \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  and there exists a  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow C \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

*in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $N \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  be a  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact exact sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

(1) Assume that  $M, N \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ . By Lemma 4.10, there exists a  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow C \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ . Consider the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & 0 & & 0 & & & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
 & K & = & K & & & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
 0 & \dashrightarrow & L & \dashrightarrow & T & \dashrightarrow & C \dashrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & 0 & & 0 & & & 
 \end{array}$$

By Proposition 4.1(2),  $K \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ . Then it follows from Theorem 4.3(1) and the exactness of the middle column that  $T \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ . Notice that the middle row is  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact by [11, Lemma 2.4(1)], so it splits and  $T \cong L \oplus C$ . Thus  $L \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  by Theorem 4.3(2).



(2) Assume  $L, M \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  and there exists a  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow C \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ . As in the above diagram, since  $L, C \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ , we have  $T \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  by Theorem 4.3(1). Moreover, the middle column is  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact by [11, Lemma 2.4(1)]. So  $K \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  by (1), and hence  $N \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  by Proposition 4.1(2).  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the following

**Theorem 4.12.** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a generator for  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ , then  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -resolving in  $\mathcal{A}$  with a  $\mathcal{C}$ -proper generator  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Following Theorem 4.3(1) and Lemma 4.11(1), we know that  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is closed under  $\mathcal{C}$ -proper extensions and kernels of  $\mathcal{C}$ -proper epimorphisms. Now let  $M \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ . Then by Lemma 4.10, there exists a  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow C \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ . By Proposition 4.1(2), we have  $K \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -proper generator for  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  and  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -resolving.  $\square$

As a consequence, we get the following

**Corollary 4.13.** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a projective generator for  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is projectively resolving and injectively coresolving in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a projective generator for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Because  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  is projectively resolving by Theorem 3.3(2),  $\mathcal{C}$  is also a projective generator for  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$ . It follows from Theorem 4.12 that  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is projectively resolving. Now let  $I$  be an injective object in  $\mathcal{A}$  and

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{f} I \rightarrow 0$$

an exact sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $P \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $K \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_1}$  by Example 3.1(1) and Theorem 3.3(2). So  $f$  is a special  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ -precover of  $I$  and  $I \in \text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 4.11(2), we have that  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is closed under cokernels of monomorphisms. Thus we conclude that  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  is injectively coresolving.  $\square$

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.13, in which the second assertion generalizes [15, Theorem 6.8(2)].

**Corollary 4.14.**

- (1)  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{Mod } R)))$  is projectively resolving and injectively coresolving in  $\text{Mod } R$ .
- (2) If  $R$  is a left Noetherian ring, then  $\text{SPC}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}(\text{mod } R)))$  is projectively resolving and injectively coresolving in  $\text{mod } R$ .

Let  $\text{SPE}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  be the subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of objects admitting special  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ -preenvelopes. We point out that the dual versions on  ${}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\text{SPE}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}))$  of all of the above results also hold true by using completely dual arguments.

**Acknowledgements.** This research was partially supported by NSFC (Grant No. 11571164), a Project Funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions, the University Postgraduate Research and Innovation Project of Jiangsu Province 2016 (No. KYZZ16\_0034), Nanjing University Innovation and Creative Program for PhD candidate (No. 2016011). The authors thank the referees for the useful suggestions.

## References

- [1] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Secondnd edition, Grad. Texts in Math. **13**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [2] J. Asadollahi, T. Dehghanpour and P. Hafezi, *On the existence of Gorenstein projective precovers*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **136** (2016), 257–264.
- [3] M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable module theory*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **94**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1969.
- [4] D. Bravo, J. Gillespie and M. Hovey, *The stable module category of a general ring*, Preprint is available at arXiv: 1405.5768.
- [5] L. W. Christensen, H.-B. Foxby and H. Holm, *Beyond totally reflexive modules and back: A survey on Gorenstein dimensions*, Commutative algebra—Noetherian and Non-Noetherian Perspectives, Springer, New York, 2011, pp.101–143.
- [6] E. E. Enochs, *Injective and flat covers, envelopes and resolvents*, Israel J. Math. **39** (1981), 189–209.
- [7] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Gorenstein injective and projective modules*, Math. Z. **220** (1995), 611–633.
- [8] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda: *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Exp. Math. **30**, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2000.
- [9] E. E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda and J. A. López-Ramos, *Covers and envelopes by V-Gorenstein modules*, Comm. Algebra **33** (2005), 4705–4717.
- [10] H. Holm, *Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **189** (2004), 167–193.
- [11] Z. Y. Huang, *Proper resolutions and Gorenstein categories*, J. Algebra **393** (2013), 142–167.
- [12] Z. Y. Huang, *Homological dimensions relative to preresolving subcategories*, Kyoto J. Math. **54** (2014), 727–757.
- [13] Y. Iwanaga, *On rings with finite self-injective dimension II*, Tsukuba J. Math. **4** (1980), 107–113.

- [14] S. Sather-Wagstaff, T. Sharif and D. White, *Stability of Gorenstein categories*, J. London Math. Soc. **77** (2008), 481–502.
- [15] R. Takahashi, *Remarks on modules approximated by  $G$ -projective modules*, J. Algebra **301** (2006), 748–780.
- [16] J. Wang and L. Liang, *A characterization of Gorenstein projective modules*, Comm. Algebra **44** (2016), 1420–1432.