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#### Abstract

Let $S$ be a polynomial ring over a field $K$, with a monomial order $\prec$, and let $I$ be an unmixed graded ideal of $S$. In this paper we study two functions associated to $I$ : the minimum distance function $\delta_{I}$ and the footprint function $\mathrm{fp}_{I}$. It is shown that $\delta_{I}$ is positive and that $\mathrm{fp}_{I}$ is positive if the initial ideal of $I$ is unmixed. Then we show that if $I$ is radical and its associated primes are generated by linear forms, then $\delta_{I}$ is strictly decreasing until it reaches the asymptotic value 1. If $I$ is the edge ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graph, we show that $\delta_{I}(d)=1$ for $d$ greater than or equal to the regularity of $S / I$. For a graded ideal of dimension $\geq 1$, whose initial ideal is a complete intersection, we give an exact sharp lower bound for the corresponding minimum distance function.


## 1. Introduction

Let $S=K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]=\oplus_{d=0}^{\infty} S_{d}$ be a polynomial ring over a field $K$ with the standard grading and let $I \neq(0)$ be a graded ideal of $S$. The degree or multiplicity of $S / I$ is denoted by $\operatorname{deg}(S / I)$.

Given an integer $d \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ be the set of all zero-divisors of $S / I$ not in $I$ of degree $d \geq 1$ :

$$
\mathcal{F}_{d}:=\left\{f \in S_{d} \mid f \notin I,(I: f) \neq I\right\},
$$

where $(I: f):=\{h \in S \mid h f \in I\}$ is the quotient ideal or colon ideal of $I$ with respect to $f$. The minimum distance function of $I$ is the function $\delta_{I}: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$
\delta_{I}(d):= \begin{cases}\operatorname{deg}(S / I)-\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}(S /(I, f)) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}_{d}\right\} & \text { if } \mathcal{F}_{d} \neq \emptyset, \\ \operatorname{deg}(S / I) & \text { if } \mathcal{F}_{d}=\emptyset\end{cases}
$$

Fix a graded monomial order $\prec$ on $S$. The initial ideal of $I$ is denoted by $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$. Let $\Delta_{\prec}(I)$ be the footprint or Gröbner éscalier of $S / I$ consisting of all the standard monomials of $S / I$, that is, all the monomials of $S$ not in the ideal in $_{\prec}(I)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{\prec, d}$ be the set of all zero-divisors of $S / \mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$ of degree $d \geq 1$ that are in $\Delta_{\prec}(I)$ :

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\prec, d}:=\left\{t^{a} \mid t^{a} \in \Delta_{\prec}(I) \cap S_{d},\left(\operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I): t^{a}\right) \neq \operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I)\right\} .
$$

The footprint function of $I$, denoted $\mathrm{fp}_{I}$, is the function $\mathrm{fp}_{I}: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$
\operatorname{fp}_{I}(d):= \begin{cases}\operatorname{deg}(S / I)-\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(S /\left(\operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I), t^{a}\right)\right) \mid t^{a} \in \mathcal{M}_{\prec, d}\right\} & \text { if } \mathcal{M}_{\prec, d} \neq \emptyset \\ \operatorname{deg}(S / I) & \text { if } \mathcal{M}_{\prec, d}=\emptyset\end{cases}
$$

In this paper we study $\delta_{I}$ and $\mathrm{fp}_{I}$ from a theoretical point of view. The functions $\delta_{I}$ and $\mathrm{fp}_{I}$ were introduced in [18] and [17, respectively. The interest in these functions is essentially due to the following two facts: the minimum distance function is related to the minimum distance in coding theory [18, Theorem 4.7] and the footprint function is much easier to compute. There

[^0]are significant cases in which either the footprint function is a lower bound for the minimum distance function [17, Lemma 3.10(a)] or the two functions coincide [17, Corollary 4.4].

The footprint lower bound was used in the works of Geil [8] and Carvalho [3] to study affine Reed-Muller-type codes. Long before these two papers appeared the footprint was used by Geil in connection with all kinds of codes (including one-point algebraic geometric codes); see [9, 10, 11] and the references therein.

The contents of this paper are as follows. In Section 2 we present some of the results and terminology that will be needed in the paper.

Our first result shows that $\delta_{I}$ is positive if $I$ is unmixed, and that $\mathrm{fp}_{I}$ is also positive if $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$ is unmixed (Theorem 3.6). This improves the correlated non-negativity of the functions $\delta_{I}$ and $\mathrm{fp}_{I}$ that was shown in [17, Lemma 3.10]. We show that if $I$ is a radical unmixed ideal whose associated primes are generated by linear forms, then $\delta_{I}$ is strictly decreasing until it reaches the asymptotic value 1 (Theorem 3.8). This gives a wide generalization of [18, Theorem 4.5(vi)]. Then we conjecture that $\delta_{I}(d)=1$ for $d \geq \operatorname{reg}(S / I)$, where $\operatorname{reg}(S / I)$ is the regularity of $S / I$ (Conjecture 4.2). We show this conjecture when $I$ is the edge ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graph without isolated vertices (Proposition 4.7).

If $I$ is a complete intersection monomial ideal of dimension $\geq 1$, we present an explicit formula for $\mathrm{fp}_{I}(d)$ (Theorem 5.5). In this case $\mathrm{fp}_{I}(d)=\delta_{I}(d)$ (Proposition [2.14). For a graded ideal of dimension $\geq 1$, whose initial ideal is a complete intersection, we give an exact sharp lower bound for the corresponding minimum distance function (Theorem [5.6). As a particular case we recover [17. Theorem 3.14]; as is seen in [17] this result has interesting applications to coding theory and to packing and covering in combinatorics.

For all unexplained terminology and additional information, we refer to [2, 6] (for the theory of Gröbner bases, commutative algebra, and Hilbert functions).

## 2. Preliminaries

All results of this section are well-known. To avoid repetitions we continue to employ the notations and definitions used in Section $\mathbb{1}$.

Let $S=K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]=\oplus_{d=0}^{\infty} S_{d}$ be a polynomial ring over a field $K$ with the standard grading and let $I \neq(0)$ be a graded ideal of $S$ of dimension $k$. By the dimension of $I$ we mean the Krull dimension of $S / I$. The Hilbert function of $S / I$, denoted $H_{I}$, is given by:

$$
H_{I}(d):=\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left(S_{d} / I_{d}\right), \quad d=0,1,2, \ldots,
$$

where $I_{d}=I \cap S_{d}$. By a theorem of Hilbert [2, Theorem 4.1.3] there is a unique polynomial $h_{I}(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ of degree $k-1$ such that $h_{I}(d)=H_{I}(d)$ for $d \gg 0$. By convention the degree of the zero polynomial is -1 .

The degree or multiplicity of $S / I$, denoted $\operatorname{deg}(S / I)$, is the positive integer

$$
\operatorname{deg}(S / I):= \begin{cases}(k-1)!\lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} H_{I}(d) / d^{k-1} & \text { if } k \geq 1 \\ \operatorname{dim}_{K}(S / I) & \text { if } k=0 .\end{cases}
$$

Definition 2.1. If $I$ is a graded ideal of $S$, the Hilbert series of $S / I$, denoted $F_{I}(x)$, is given by

$$
F_{I}(x)=\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} H_{I}(d) x^{d}, \text { where } x \text { is a variable. }
$$

Theorem 2.2. (Hilbert-Serre [22, p. 58]) Let $I \subset S$ be a graded ideal of dimension $k$. Then there is a unique polynomial $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that

$$
F_{I}(x)=\frac{h(x)}{(1-x)^{k}} \quad \text { and } \quad h(1)>0
$$

Remark 2.3. The leading coefficient of the Hilbert polynomial $h_{I}(x)$ is equal to $h(1) /(k-1)$ !. Thus $h(1)$ is equal to $\operatorname{deg}(S / I)$.

Definition 2.4. Let $I \subset S$ be a graded ideal. The $a$-invariant of $S / I$, denoted $a(S / I)$, is the degree of $F_{I}(x)$ as a rational function, that is, $a(S / I)=\operatorname{deg}(h(x))-k$.

Definition 2.5. Let $I \subset S$ be a graded ideal and let $\mathbb{F}_{\star}$ be the minimal graded free resolution of $S / I$ as an $S$-module:

$$
\mathbb{F}_{\star}: \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j} S(-j)^{b_{g j}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j} S(-j)^{b_{1 j}} \rightarrow S \rightarrow S / I \rightarrow 0
$$

The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $S / I$ (regularity of $S / I$ for short) is defined as

$$
\operatorname{reg}(S / I)=\max \left\{j-i \mid b_{i j} \neq 0\right\}
$$

An excellent reference for the regularity of graded ideals is the book of Eisenbud [7]. The $a$-invariant, the regularity, and the depth of $S / I$ are closely related.

Theorem 2.6. [23, Corollary B.4.1] $a(S / I) \leq \operatorname{reg}(S / I)-\operatorname{depth}(S / I)$, with equality if $S / I$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Definition 2.7. The regularity index of the Hilbert function of $S / I$, or simply the regularity index of $S / I$, denoted $\operatorname{ri}(S / I)$, is the least integer $n \geq 0$ such that $H_{I}(d)=h_{I}(d)$ for $d \geq n$.

If $I$ is a graded Cohen-Macaulay ideal of $S$ of dimension 1 , then $\operatorname{reg}(S / I)$, the regularity of $S / I$ is equal to $\operatorname{ri}(S / I)$, the regularity index of $S / I$. This follows from Theorem 2.6.

Definition 2.8. An ideal $I \subset S$ is called a complete intersection if there exist $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$ in $S$ such that $I=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}\right)$, where $r=\operatorname{ht}(I)$ is the height of $I$.

Remark 2.9. (a) A graded ideal $I$ is a complete intersection if and only if $I$ is generated by a homogeneous regular sequence with $\operatorname{ht}(I)$ elements (see [15, Chapter 3]). (b) A monomial ideal $I$ is a complete intersection if and only if $I$ is minimally generated by a regular sequence of monomials with ht $(I)$ elements.

Lemma 2.10. [22, Corollary 3.3] If $I \subset S$ is an ideal generated by homogeneous polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$, with $r=\operatorname{ht}(I)$ and $\delta_{i}=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)$, then the Hilbert series of $S / I$ is given by

$$
F_{I}(x)=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-x^{\delta_{i}}\right)}{(1-x)^{s}}
$$

Lemma 2.11. ([19, Example 1.5.1], [4, Lemma 3.5]) If $I \subset S$ is a complete intersection ideal generated by homogeneous polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$, with $r=\operatorname{ht}(I)$ and $\delta_{i}=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)$, then the degree and regularity of $S / I$ are given by $\operatorname{deg}(S / I)=\delta_{1} \cdots \delta_{r}$ and $\operatorname{reg}(S / I)=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\delta_{i}-1\right)$.

Proof. The formula for the degree follows from Remark 2.3 and Lemma 2.10. As $S / I$ is CohenMacaulay, the formula for the regularity follows from Lemma 2.10 and Theorem 2.6.

If $f$ is a non-zero polynomial in $S$ and $\prec$ is a monomial order on $S$, we denote the leading monomial of $f$ by $\operatorname{in}_{\prec}(f)$. For $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{s}$, we set $t^{a}=t_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots t_{s}^{a_{s}}$. Let $I \subset S$ be an ideal. A monomial $t^{a}$ is called a standard monomial of $S / I$, with respect to $\prec$, if $t^{a}$ is not the leading monomial of any polynomial in $I$, that is, $t^{a}$ is not in the ideal $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$. A polynomial $f$ is called standard if $f \neq 0$ and $f$ is a $K$-linear combination of standard monomials. The set of standard monomials, denoted $\Delta_{\prec}(I)$, is called the footprint of $S / I$ or Gröbner éscalier of $I$. A subset $\mathcal{G}=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}\right\}$ of $I$ is called a Gröbner basis of $I$ if

$$
\operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I)=\left(\operatorname{in}_{\prec}\left(g_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{in}_{\prec}\left(g_{r}\right)\right) .
$$

An element $f \in S$ is called a zero-divisor of $S / I$-as an $S$-module-if there is $\overline{0} \neq \bar{a} \in S / I$ such that $f \bar{a}=\overline{0}$, and $f$ is called regular on $S / I$ otherwise. Notice that $f$ is a zero-divisor if and only if $(I: f) \neq I$.

Lemma 2.12. [17, Lemma 2.8] Let $\prec$ be a monomial order, let $I \subset S$ be an ideal, and let $f$ be a polynomial of $S$ of positive degree. If $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(f)$ is regular on $S / \mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$, then $f$ is regular on $S / I$.

An associated prime of $I$ is a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $S$ of the form $\mathfrak{p}=(I: f)$ for some $f$ in $S$. An ideal $I \subset S$ is called unmixed if all its associated primes have the same height and $I$ is called radical if $I$ is equal to its radical.

Definition 2.13. If $\operatorname{fp}_{I}(d)=\delta_{I}(d)$ for $d \geq 1$, we say that $I$ is a Geil-Carvalho ideal.
Proposition 2.14. [17, Proposition 3.11] If $I$ is an unmixed monomial ideal and $\prec$ is any monomial order, then $\delta_{I}(d)=\mathrm{fp}_{I}(d)$ for $d \geq 1$, that is, $I$ is a Geil-Carvalho ideal.

Proposition 2.15. Let $I \subset S$ be an unmixed graded ideal, let $\prec$ be a monomial order on $S$, and let $d \geq 1$ be an integer. The following hold.
(a) [17, Lemma 3.10(a)] $\delta_{I}(d) \geq \mathrm{fp}_{I}(d)$.
(b) [18, Theorem 4.5(iv)] If $t_{i}$ is a zero-divisor of $S / I$ for all $i$, then $\mathrm{fp}_{I}(d) \geq 0$.

The lower bound of Proposition 2.15(b) is sharp. In Example 6.3 we show an unmixed graded ideal $I$ of dimension 1 such that $t_{i}$ is a zero-divisor for all $i$ and $\operatorname{fp}_{I}(d)=0$ for $d=1$.

Proposition 2.16. (Additivity of the degree [20, Proposition 2.5]) If $I$ is an ideal of $S$ and $I=\mathfrak{q}_{1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_{m}$ is an irredundant primary decomposition, then

$$
\operatorname{deg}(S / I)=\sum_{\operatorname{ht}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{i}\right)=\operatorname{ht}(I)} \operatorname{deg}\left(S / \mathfrak{q}_{i}\right) .
$$

The additivity is one of the most useful and well-known facts about the degree.

## 3. Minimum and footprint functions

In this section we study the footprint and minimum distance functions of unmixed graded ideals over an arbitrary field.

Lemma 3.1. Let $I \subset S$ be an unmixed graded ideal and let $\prec$ be a monomial order. If $f \in S$ is homogeneous and $(I: f) \neq I$, then
(i) 18 , $\operatorname{Lemma} 4.1] \operatorname{deg}(S /(I, f)) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(S /\left(\operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I), \operatorname{in}_{\prec}(f)\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}(S / I)$,
(ii) $\operatorname{deg}(S / I)=\operatorname{deg}(S /(I: f))+\operatorname{deg}(S /(I, f))$ if $f \notin I$, and
(iii) $\operatorname{deg}(S /(I, f))<\operatorname{deg}(S / I)$ if $f \notin I$.

Proof. (ii): Using that $I$ is unmixed, it is not hard to see that $S / I, S /(I: f)$, and $S /(I, f)$ have the same Krull dimension. There is an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow S /(I: f)[-d] \xrightarrow{f} S / I \longrightarrow S /(I, f) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Hence, by the additivity of Hilbert functions [25, Lemma 5.1.1], we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{I}(i)=H_{(I: f)}(i-d)+H_{(I, f)}(i) \text { for } i \geq 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\operatorname{dim} S / I=0$, then using Eq. (3.1) one has

$$
\sum_{i \geq 0} H_{I}(i)=\sum_{i \geq 0} H_{(I: f)}(i)+\sum_{i \geq 0} H_{(I, f)}(i) .
$$

Therefore, using the definition of degree, the required equality follows. If $k=\operatorname{dim} S / I-1$ and $k \geq 1$, by the Hilbert theorem [2, Theorem 4.1.3], $H_{I}, H_{(I, f)}$, and $H_{(I: f)}$ are polynomial functions of degree $k$. Then dividing Eq. (3.1) by $i^{k}$ and taking limits as $i$ goes to infinity, the required equality follows.
(iii): This part follows at once from part (ii).

The next alternative formula for $\delta_{I}$ is valid for unmixed graded ideals. This expression for $\delta_{I}$ will be used to show some of our results.

Corollary 3.2. [18, Theorem 4.4] Let $I \subset S$ be an unmixed graded ideal. If $\mathfrak{m}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right)$ and $d \geq 1$ is an integer such that $\mathfrak{m}^{d} \not \subset I$, then

$$
\delta_{I}(d)=\min \left\{\operatorname{deg}(S /(I: f)) \mid f \in S_{d} \backslash I\right\} .
$$

Proof. If $\mathcal{F}_{d}=\emptyset$, then $\delta_{I}(d)=\operatorname{deg}(S / I)$, and for any $f \in S_{d} \backslash I$ one has that $(I: f)$ is equal to $I$. Thus equality holds. Assume that $\mathcal{F}_{d} \neq \emptyset$. Take $f \in S_{d} \backslash I$. If $(I: f)=I$, then $\operatorname{deg}(S /(I: f))$ is equal to $\operatorname{deg}(S / I)$. On the other hand if $(I: f) \neq I$, that is, $f \in \mathcal{F}_{d}$, then by Lemma 3.1(ii) one has the equality:

$$
\operatorname{deg}(S /(I: f))=\operatorname{deg}(S / I)-\operatorname{deg}(S /(I, f))
$$

Notice that in this case $\operatorname{deg}(S /(I: f)) \leq \operatorname{deg}(S / I)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{I}(d) & =\operatorname{deg}(S / I)-\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}(S /(I, f)) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}_{d}\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{\operatorname{deg}(S /(I: f)) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}_{d}\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{\operatorname{deg}(S /(I: f)) \mid f \in S_{d} \backslash I\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 3.3. Let $I \subset S$ be a non-zero proper graded ideal. The Vasconcelos function of $I$ is the function $\vartheta_{I}: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{+}$given by

$$
\vartheta_{I}(d)= \begin{cases}\min \left\{\operatorname{deg}(S /(I: f)) \mid f \in S_{d} \backslash I\right\} & \text { if } \mathfrak{m}^{d} \not \subset I, \\ \operatorname{deg}(S / I) & \text { if } \mathfrak{m}^{d} \subset I\end{cases}
$$

Very little is known about the Vasconcelos function when $I$ is not an unmixed graded ideal. Next we show that in certain cases the footprint function can be expressed in terms of the degree of colon ideals.

Corollary 3.4. Let I be a graded ideal and let $\prec$ be a monomial order. If $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$ is an unmixed ideal and $\mathcal{M}_{\prec, d} \neq \emptyset$, then

$$
\operatorname{fp}_{I}(d)=\min \left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(S /\left(\operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I): t^{a}\right)\right) \mid t^{a} \in S_{d} \backslash \operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I)\right\} .
$$

Proof. Take $t^{a} \in \mathcal{M}_{\prec, d}$. By Lemma 3.1(ii) one has the equality:

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(S /\left(\operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I): t^{a}\right)\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(S / \operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I)\right)-\operatorname{deg}\left(S /\left(\operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I), t^{a}\right)\right) .
$$

In this case $\operatorname{deg}\left(S /\left(\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I): t^{a}\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(S / \mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)\right)$. Therefore, noticing that $\operatorname{deg}\left(S / \mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)\right)$ is equal to $\operatorname{deg}(S / I)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{fp}_{I}(d) & =\operatorname{deg}(S / I)-\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(S /\left(\operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I), t^{a}\right)\right) \mid t^{a} \in \mathcal{M}_{\prec, d}\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(S /\left(\operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I): t^{a}\right)\right) \mid t^{a} \in \mathcal{M}_{\prec, d}\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(S /\left(\operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I): t^{a}\right)\right) \mid t^{a} \in S_{d} \backslash \operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

One can apply the corollary to graded lattice ideals of dimension 1.
Proposition 3.5. Let $I \subset S$ be a graded lattice ideal of dimension 1 and let $\prec$ be a graded monomial order with $t_{1} \succ \cdots \succ t_{s}$. The following hold.
(a) If $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$ is not prime, then $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$ is unmixed and $\mathcal{M}_{\prec, d} \neq \emptyset$ for $d \geq 1$.
(b) If $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$ is prime, then $I=\left(t_{1}-t_{s}, \ldots, t_{s-1}-t_{s}\right)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\prec, d}=\emptyset$ for $d \geq 1$.

Proof. The reduced Gröbner basis of $I$ consists of binomials of the form $t^{a_{+}}-t^{a_{-}}$(see [25, Proposition 8.2.7]). It follows that $t_{s}$ is a regular element on both $S / I$ and $S / \mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$. Hence $I$ and $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$ are Cohen-Macaulay ideals. In particular these ideals are unmixed.
(a): Assume that $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$ is not prime. Then there is an associated prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $S / \mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$ such that $\operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I) \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$. Pick a variable $t_{i}$ in $\mathfrak{p} \backslash \mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$. Then $t_{i} t_{s}^{d-1}$ is in $\mathfrak{p}$ and is not in in ${ }_{\prec}(I)$ for $d \geq 1$. Thus $t_{i} t_{s}^{d-1}$ is in $\mathcal{M}_{\prec, d}$ for $d \geq 1$.
(b): Assume that $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$ is prime. This part follows by noticing that $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$, being a face ideal generated by variables, is equal to $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s-1}\right)$.

The next result is a broad generalization of [17, Lemma 3.10].
Theorem 3.6. Let $I \subset S$ be an unmixed graded ideal, let $\prec$ be a monomial order on $S$, and let $d \geq 1$ be an integer. The following hold.
(a) $\delta_{I}(d) \geq 1$.
(b) $\operatorname{fp}_{I}(d) \geq 1$ if $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$ is unmixed.
(c) If $\operatorname{dim}(S / I) \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{F}_{d} \neq \emptyset$ for $d \geq 1$, then $\delta_{I}(d) \geq \delta_{I}(d+1) \geq 1$ for $d \geq 1$.

Proof. (a): If $\mathcal{F}_{d}=\emptyset$, then $\delta_{I}(d)=\operatorname{deg}(S / I) \geq 1$, and if $\mathcal{F}_{d} \neq \emptyset$, then using Lemma 3.1(iii) it follows that $\delta_{I}(d) \geq 1$.
(b): If $\mathcal{M}_{\prec, d}=\emptyset$, then $\operatorname{fp}_{I}(d)=\operatorname{deg}(S / I) \geq 1$. Next assume that $\mathcal{M}_{\prec, d} \neq \emptyset$. As in in $_{\prec}(I)$ is unmixed, by Corollary 3.4, $\mathrm{fp}_{I}(d) \geq 1$.
(c): By part (a), one has $\delta_{I}(d) \geq 1$. The set $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ is not empty for $d \geq 1$. Thus, by Corollary 3.2, $\delta_{I}(d)=\operatorname{deg}(S /(I: f))$ for some $f \in \mathcal{F}_{d}$. As $I$ is unmixed and $\operatorname{dim}(S / I) \geq 1, \mathfrak{m}$ is not an associated prime of $S / I$. Thus, since $(I: f)$ is a graded ideal, one has $(I: f) \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$. Pick a linear form $h \in S_{1}$ such that $h f \notin I$. As $f$ is a zero-divisor of $S / I$, so is $h f$. The ideals $(I: f)$ and ( $I: h f$ ) have height equal to $\operatorname{ht}(I)$. Therefore taking Hilbert functions in the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow(I: h f) /(I: f) \longrightarrow S /(I: f) \longrightarrow S /(I: h f) \longrightarrow 0
$$

it follows that $\operatorname{deg}(S /(I: f)) \geq \operatorname{deg}(S /(I: h f))$. Therefore, applying Corollary 3.2, we get the inequality $\delta_{I}(d) \geq \delta_{I}(d+1)$.

Lemma 3.7. Let $I \subset S$ be a radical unmixed graded ideal and let $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots \mathfrak{p}_{m}$ be its associated primes. If $f \in \mathcal{F}_{d}$ for some $d \geq 1$, then

$$
\operatorname{deg}(S /(I: f))=\sum_{f \notin \mathfrak{p}_{i}} \operatorname{deg}\left(S / \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right) .
$$

Proof. Since $I$ is a radical ideal, we get that $I=\cap_{i=1}^{m} \mathfrak{p}_{i}$. From the equalities

$$
(I: f)=\cap_{i=1}^{m}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{i}: f\right)=\cap_{f \notin \mathfrak{p}_{i}} \mathfrak{p}_{i},
$$

and using the additivity of the degree (see Proposition 2.16), the required equality follows.
We come to the main result of this section-about the asymptotic behavior of the minimum distance function-which gives a wide generalization of [18, Theorem 4.5(vi)].

Theorem 3.8. Let $I \subset S$ be an unmixed radical graded ideal. If all the associated primes of $I$ are generated by linear forms, then there is an integer $r_{0} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\delta_{I}(1)>\cdots>\delta_{I}\left(r_{0}\right)=\delta_{I}(d)=1 \text { for } d \geq r_{0} .
$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{m}$ be the associated primes of $I$. As $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ is generated by linear forms, then $\operatorname{deg}\left(S / \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)=1$ for all $i$. Indeed if $\mathfrak{p}_{i}=\mathfrak{m}$, then $\operatorname{deg}\left(S / \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)$ is $\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left(S / \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)=1$, and if $\mathfrak{p}_{i} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$, then the initial ideal of $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$, with respect to the GRevLex order $\prec$, is generated by a subset of $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(S / \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)$ is equal to $\operatorname{deg}\left(S / \operatorname{in}_{\prec}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)\right)=1$. The last equality follows noticing that $S / \operatorname{in}_{\prec}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)$ is a polynomial ring.

If $I$ is prime, then $I=\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ for some $i$ and $\mathcal{F}_{d}=\emptyset$ for $d \geq 1$. Thus $\delta_{I}(d)=\operatorname{deg}\left(S / \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)=1$ for $d \geq 1$, and we can take $r_{0}=1$. We may now assume that $I$ has at least two associated primes, that is, $m \geq 2$. As $I \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_{1}$, there is a form $h$ of degree 1 in $\mathfrak{p}_{1} \backslash I$. Hence, as $I$ is a radical ideal, we get that $h^{d}$ is in $\mathfrak{p}_{1} \backslash I$. Thus $\mathcal{F}_{d} \neq \emptyset$ for $d \geq 1$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.6(c), one has that $\delta_{I}(d) \geq \delta_{I}(d+1) \geq 1$ for $d \geq 1$. Hence, assuming that $\delta_{I}(d)>1$, it suffices to show that $\delta_{I}(d)>\delta_{I}(d+1)$. By Corollary 3.2, there is $f \in \mathcal{F}_{d}$ such that $\delta_{I}(d)=\operatorname{deg}(S /(I: f))$. Then, by Lemma 3.7, one has

$$
\delta_{I}(d)=\operatorname{deg}(S /(I: f))=\sum_{f \notin \mathfrak{p}_{i}} \operatorname{deg}\left(S / \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right) \geq 2 .
$$

Hence there are $\mathfrak{p}_{k} \neq \mathfrak{p}_{j}$ such that $f$ is not in $\mathfrak{p}_{k} \cup \mathfrak{p}_{j}$. Pick a linear form $h$ in $\mathfrak{p}_{k} \backslash \mathfrak{p}_{j}$. Then $h f \notin I$ because $h f \notin \mathfrak{p}_{j}$, and $h f$ is a zero-divisor of $S / I$ because $(I: f) \neq I$. Noticing that $f \notin \mathfrak{p}_{k}$ and $h f \in \mathfrak{p}_{k}$, one obtains the strict inclusion

$$
\left\{\mathfrak{p}_{i} \mid h f \notin \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right\} \subsetneq\left\{\mathfrak{p}_{i} \mid f \notin \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right\} .
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.7, we get

$$
\operatorname{deg}(S /(I: f))=\sum_{f \notin \mathfrak{p}_{i}} \operatorname{deg}\left(S / \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)>\sum_{h f \notin \mathfrak{p}_{i}} \operatorname{deg}\left(S / \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)=\operatorname{deg}(S /(I: h f)) .
$$

Hence, by Corollary 3.2, we get $\delta_{I}(d)>\delta_{I}(d+1)$.

## 4. Asymptotic behavior of the minimum distance

Let $I \subset S$ be an unmixed radical graded ideal whose associated primes are generated by linear forms. According to Theorem [3.8, there is an integer $r_{0} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\delta_{I}(1)>\cdots>\delta_{I}\left(r_{0}\right)=\delta_{I}(d)=1 \text { for } d \geq r_{0} .
$$

Definition 4.1. The integer $r_{0}$ is called the regularity index of $\delta_{I}$.

If $I$ is the graded vanishing ideal of a set of points in a projective space over a finite field, then $r_{0} \leq \operatorname{reg}(S / I)$ [12, 21], but we do not know whether this holds in general. The regularity of $S / I$ can be computed using Macaulay 2 [13], but $r_{0}$ is very difficult to compute.

Conjecture 4.2. Let $I \subset S$ be an unmixed radical graded ideal. If all the associated primes of $I$ are generated by linear forms, then $\delta_{I}(d)=1$ for $d \geq \operatorname{reg}(S / I)$, that is, $r_{0} \leq \operatorname{reg}(S / I)$.

In this section we give some support for this conjecture. In what follows we focus in the case that $I$ is an unmixed ideal generated by square-free monomial ideals of degree 2 .
Definition 4.3. [24] Let $G$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)=\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. The edge ideal of $G$, denoted by $I(G)$, is the ideal of $S$ generated by all monomials $x_{e}=\prod_{t_{i} \in e} t_{i}$ such that $e \in E(G)$.

Let $G$ be a graph. A subset $F$ of $V(G)$ is called stable if $e \not \subset F$ for any $e \in E(G)$, and a subset $C$ of $V(G)$ is a vertex cover if and only if $V(G) \backslash C$ is a stable vertex set. A minimal vertex cover is a vertex cover which is minimal with respect to inclusion. A graph is called unmixed if all its minimal vertex covers have the same cardinality.

Conjecture 4.2 is open even in the case that $I$ is the edge ideal of an unmixed bipartite graph. Below we prove the conjecture for edge ideals of Cohen-Macaulay graphs.

Definition 4.4. Let $A$ be a set of vertices of a graph $G$. The induced subgraph on $A$, denoted by $G[A]$, is the maximal subgraph of $G$ with vertex set $A$. A graph of the form $G[A]$ for some $A \subset V(G)$ is called an induced subgraph of $G$.

Notice that $G[A]$ may have isolated vertices, i.e., vertices that do not belong to any edge of $G[A]$. If $G$ is a discrete graph, i.e., all the vertices of $G$ are isolated, we set $I(G)=0$.
Definition 4.5. An induced matching in a graph $G$ is a set of pairwise disjoint edges $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ such that the only edges of $G$ contained in $\cup_{i=1}^{r} f_{i}$ are $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$. The induced matching number, denoted by $\operatorname{im}(G)$, is the number of edges in the largest induced matching.
Proposition 4.6. [5, Lemma 2.2] If $G$ is a graph, then $\operatorname{reg}(R / I(G)) \geq \operatorname{im}(G)$.
Next we prove Conjecture 4.2 for edge ideals of Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs. A graph $G$ is called Cohen-Macaulay if $S / I(G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proposition 4.7. If $I=I(G)$ is the edge ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graph without isolated vertices, then $\delta_{I}(d)=1$ for $d \geq \operatorname{reg}(S / I)$.

Proof. By [16, Theorem 1.1], $\operatorname{reg}(S / I)=\operatorname{im}(G)$. Thus, by Theorem 3.8, it suffices to show that $\delta_{I}(d)=1$ for some $d \leq \operatorname{im}(G)$. According to [14, Theorem 3.4], there is a bipartition $V_{1}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{g}\right\}, V_{2}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{g}\right\}$ of $G$ such that:
(a) $e_{i}=\left\{x_{i}, y_{i}\right\} \in E(G)$ for all $i$,
(b) if $\left\{x_{i}, y_{j}\right\} \in E(G)$, then $i \leq j$, and
(c) if $\left\{x_{i}, y_{j}\right\},\left\{x_{j}, y_{k}\right\}$ are in $E(G)$ and $i<j<k$, then $\left\{x_{i}, y_{k}\right\} \in E(G)$.

Next we construct a sequence $x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{d}}$ such that $e_{i_{1}}, \ldots, e_{i_{d}}$ form an induced matching and $V_{2}$ is a pairwise disjoint union

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}=N_{G}\left(x_{i_{1}}\right) \cup \cdots \cup N_{G}\left(x_{i_{d}}\right), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{G}\left(x_{i_{j}}\right) \cap N_{G}\left(x_{i_{k}}\right)=\emptyset$ for $j \neq k$ and $N_{G}\left(x_{i_{j}}\right)$ is the neighbor set of $x_{i_{j}}$, that is, $N_{G}\left(x_{i_{j}}\right)$ is the set of vertices of $G$ adjacent to $x_{i_{j}}$. We set $i_{1}=1$. If $N_{G}\left(x_{i_{1}}\right) \subsetneq V_{2}$, pick $y_{i_{2}}$ in
$V_{2} \backslash N_{G}\left(x_{i_{1}}\right)$. By condition (b), $e_{i_{1}}, e_{i_{2}}$ is an induced matching and $N_{G}\left(x_{i_{1}}\right) \cap N_{G}\left(x_{i_{2}}\right)=\emptyset$. If $N_{G}\left(x_{i_{1}}\right) \cup N_{G}\left(x_{i_{2}}\right) \subsetneq V_{2}$, pick $y_{i_{3}}$ in $V_{2} \backslash\left(N_{G}\left(x_{i_{1}}\right) \cup N_{G}\left(x_{i_{2}}\right)\right)$. By condition (b), $e_{i_{1}}, e_{i_{2}}, e_{i_{3}}$ form an induced matching and $N_{G}\left(x_{i_{j}}\right) \cap N_{G}\left(x_{i_{k}}\right)=\emptyset$ for $j \neq k$. Thus one can continue this process until we get a sequence $x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{d}}$ such that $V_{2}$ is the disjoint union of the $N_{G}\left(x_{i_{j}}\right)$ 's and the $e_{i_{j}}$ 's form an induced matching.

Let $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{m}$ be the associated primes of $I$. There are minimal vertex covers $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}$ of $G$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ is generated by $C_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$ (see [24, p. 279]). We may assume that $C_{m}=V_{2}$. Setting $x^{a}=x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{d}}$, by Corollary [3.2, it suffices to show that $x^{a}$ is in $\cap_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathfrak{p}_{i} \backslash \mathfrak{p}_{m}$ and that $\operatorname{deg}\left(S /\left(I: x^{a}\right)\right)=1$, where $S=K[V(G)]$. If $i \neq m$, there is $y_{\ell} \notin C_{i}$. From Eq. (4.1), there is $x_{i_{j}}$ such that $y_{\ell} \in N_{G}\left(x_{i_{j}}\right)$ for some $i_{j}$. Hence, as $C_{i}$ covers the edge $\left\{x_{i_{j}}, y_{\ell}\right\}$, one has that $x_{i_{j}}$ is in $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$. Thus $x^{a}$ is in $\cap_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathfrak{p}_{i}$ and $x^{a}$ is not in $\mathfrak{p}_{m}$ because $\mathfrak{p}_{m}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{g}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\left(I: x^{a}\right)=\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{m}: x^{a}\right)=\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1}: x^{a}\right) \cap \cdots \cap\left(\mathfrak{p}_{m}: x^{a}\right)=\mathfrak{p}_{m} .
$$

Hence $\operatorname{deg}\left(S /\left(I: x^{a}\right)\right)=1$, as required.

## 5. Complete intersections

Let $S=K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]=\oplus_{d=0}^{\infty} S_{d}$ be a polynomial ring over a field $K$ with the standard grading and let $\prec$ be a graded monomial order.

Proposition 5.1. Let $I \subset S$ be a graded ideal and let $\prec$ be a monomial order. Suppose that $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$ is a complete intersection of height $r$ generated by $t^{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, t^{\alpha_{r}}$ with $d_{i}=\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{\alpha_{i}}\right)$ and $d_{i} \geq 1$ for all $i$. The following hold.
(a) [19, Example 1.5.1] I is a complete intersection and $\operatorname{dim}(S / I)=s-r$.
(b) $\operatorname{deg}(S / I)=d_{1} \cdots d_{r}$ and $\operatorname{reg} S / I=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(d_{i}-1\right)$.
(c) $1 \leq \operatorname{fp}_{I}(d) \leq \delta_{I}(d)$ for $d \geq 1$.

Proof. (a): The rings $S / I$ and $S /$ init $_{\prec}(I)$ have the same dimension. Thus $\operatorname{dim}(S / I)=s-r$. As $\prec$ is a graded order, there are $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ homogeneous polynomials in $I$ with in $\prec\left(f_{i}\right)=t^{\alpha_{i}}$ for $i \geq 1$. Since

$$
\operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I)=\left(\operatorname{in}_{\prec}\left(f_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{in}_{\prec}\left(f_{r}\right)\right),
$$

the polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ form a Gröbner basis of $I$, and in particular they generated $I$. Hence $I$ is a graded ideal of height $r$ generated by $r$ polynomials, that is, $I$ is a complete intersection.
(b): This follows at once from part (a) and Lemma 2.11.
(c): By part (a), $I$ is a complete intersection. In particular $I$ is a Cohen-Macaulay unmixed ideal. Hence this part follows from Proposition 2.15 and Theorem 3.6.
Lemma 5.2. Let $I \subset S$ be a complete intersection ideal minimally generated by $t^{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, t^{\alpha_{r}}$ and let $t^{a}=t_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots t_{s}^{a_{s}}$ be a zero-divisor of S/I not in I. The following hold.
(a) $t^{\alpha_{i}}$ and $t^{\alpha_{j}}$ have no common variable for $i \neq j$.
(b) If $t_{j}^{a_{j}}$ is regular on $S / I$ and $t^{c}=t^{a} / t_{j}^{a_{j}}$, then $\left(I: t^{a}\right)=\left(I: t^{c}\right)$.
(c) If $t_{j}$ is a zero-divisor of $S / I$, then there is a unique $\alpha_{i}=\left(\alpha_{i, 1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i, s}\right)$ such that $\alpha_{i, j}>0$, that is, $t_{j}$ occurs in exactly one $t^{\alpha_{i}}$. If $a_{j}>\alpha_{i, j}$ and $t^{c}=t^{a} / t_{j}$, then $\left(I: t^{a}\right)=\left(I: t^{c}\right)$.
(d) For each $i$ there is $t^{\beta_{i}}$ dividing $t^{\alpha_{i}}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{\beta_{i}}\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{\alpha_{i}}\right)$ and $\left(I: t^{a}\right)=\left(I: t^{\beta}\right)$, where $t^{\beta}=t^{\beta_{1}} \cdots t^{\beta_{r}}$.

Proof. (a): This follows readily from the Krull principal ideal theorem [25, Theorem 2.3.16].
(b): The inclusion " $\supset$ " is clear. To show the reverse inclusion take $t^{\delta}$ in $\left(I: t^{a}\right)$, that is, $t^{\delta} t^{a}=t^{\delta} t_{j}^{a_{j}} t^{c}$ is in $I$. Hence $t^{\delta} t^{c}$ is in $I$ because $t_{j}^{a_{j}}$ is regular on $S / I$. Thus $t^{\delta}$ is in $\left(I: t^{c}\right)$.
(c): If $t_{j}$ is a zero-divisor of $S / I$, then $t_{j}$ is in some associated prime of $S / I$. Hence, by part (a), $t_{j}$ must occur in a unique $t^{\alpha_{i}}$ for some $i$. Thus one has $\alpha_{i, j}>0$. We claim that $\left(\left(t^{\alpha_{k}}\right): t^{a}\right)=\left(\left(t^{\alpha_{k}}\right): t^{c}\right)$ for all $k$. If $k \neq i$, by part (a), $t_{j}$ is regular on $S /\left(t^{\alpha_{k}}\right)$. Thus, as in the proof of part (b), we get the asserted equality. Next we assume that $k=i$. The inclusion " $\supset$ " is clear. To show the reverse inclusion take $t^{\delta}$ in $\left(\left(t^{\alpha_{i}}\right): t^{a}\right)$, that is, $t^{\delta} t^{a}=t^{\gamma} t^{\alpha_{i}}$ for some $t^{\gamma}$. Since $a_{j}>\alpha_{i, j}>0, t_{j}$ must divide $t^{\gamma}$. Then we can write $t^{\delta} t^{c}=t^{\omega} t^{\alpha_{i}}$, where $t^{\omega}=t^{\gamma} / t_{j}$. Thus $t^{\delta}$ is in $\left(\left(t^{\alpha_{i}}\right): t^{c}\right)$. This completes the proof of the claim. Therefore one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(I: t^{a}\right) & =\left(\left(t^{\alpha_{1}}\right): t^{a}\right)+\cdots+\left(\left(t^{\alpha_{r}}\right): t^{a}\right) \\
& =\left(\left(t^{\alpha_{1}}\right): t^{c}\right)+\cdots+\left(\left(t^{\alpha_{r}}\right): t^{c}\right)=\left(I: t^{c}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(d): Using part (a) and successively applying parts (b) and (c) to $t^{a}$, we get a monomial $t^{\beta}$ that divides $t^{a}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied: (i) all variables that occur in $t^{\beta}$ are zero-divisors of $S / I$, (ii) if $t^{\beta}=t_{1}^{\gamma_{1}} \cdots t_{s}^{\gamma_{s}}$ and $\gamma_{j}>0$, then $\alpha_{i, j} \geq \gamma_{j}$, where $t^{\alpha_{i}}$ is the unique monomial, among $t^{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, t^{\alpha_{r}}$, containing $t_{j}$, and (iii) $\left(I: t^{a}\right)=\left(I: t^{\beta}\right)$. We let $t^{\beta_{i}}$ be the product of all $t_{j}^{\gamma_{j}}$ such that $t_{j}$ occurs in $t^{\alpha_{i}}$. Clearly $t^{\beta_{i}}$ divides $t^{\alpha_{i}}$, and $\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{\alpha_{i}}\right)>\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{\beta_{i}}\right)$ because $t^{a}$ is not in $I$ by hypothesis.

The next result gives some additional support to Conjecture 4.2,
Proposition 5.3. Let $I \subset S$ be a complete intersection monomial ideal of dimension $\geq 1$ minimally generated by $t^{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, t^{\alpha_{r}}$. If $d_{i}=\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{\alpha_{i}}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. The following hold.
(a) $\operatorname{reg}(S / I)=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(d_{i}-1\right)$,
(b) $\delta_{I}(d)=1$ if $d \geq \operatorname{reg}(S / I)$,
(c) $\delta_{I}(d) \leq\left(d_{k+1}-\ell\right) d_{k+2} \cdots d_{r}$ if $d<\operatorname{reg}(S / I)$, where $0 \leq k \leq r-1$ and $\ell$ are integers such that $d=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(d_{i}-1\right)+\ell$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq d_{k+1}-1$.

Proof. (a): This follows at once from Lemma 2.11.
(b): By Lemma 5.2(a) the monomials $t^{\alpha_{i}}$ and $t^{\alpha_{j}}$ have no common variables for $i \neq j$. For each $i$ pick $t_{j_{i}}$ in $t^{\alpha_{i}}$. If $I$ is prime, then $I=\left(t_{j_{1}}, \ldots, t_{j_{r}}\right), \operatorname{reg}(S / I)=0, \mathcal{F}_{d}=\emptyset$ and $\delta_{I}(d)=1$ for $d \geq 1$. Thus we may assume that $I$ is not prime. We claim that $\mathcal{F}_{d} \neq \emptyset$ for $d \geq 1$. As $I$ is not prime, there is $m$ such that $t_{j_{m}}$ a zero-divisor of $S / I$ not in $I$. If a variable $t_{n}$ is not in $t^{\alpha_{i}}$ for any $i$, then $t_{n}$ is a regular element on $S / I$, and $\mathcal{F}_{d} \neq \emptyset$ because $t_{j_{m}} t_{n}^{d-1}$ is in $\mathcal{F}_{d}$. If any variable $t_{n}$ is in $t^{\alpha_{i}}$ for some $i$, then any monomial of degree $d$ is a zero-divisor of $S / I$ because any variable $t_{n}$ belongs to at least one associated prime of $S / I$. As $\operatorname{dim}(S / I) \geq 1$, one has $\mathfrak{m}^{d} \not \subset I$. Pick a monomial $t^{a}$ of degree $d$ not in $I$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{d} \neq \emptyset$ because $t^{a}$ is in $\mathcal{F}_{d}$. This completes the proof of the claim. We set $t^{c_{i}}=t^{\alpha_{i}} / t_{j_{i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$ and $t^{c}=t^{c_{1}} \cdots t^{c_{r}}$. Then it is seen that $\left(I: t^{c}\right)=\left(t_{j_{1}}, \ldots, t_{j_{r}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg} S /\left(I: t^{c}\right)=1$. Notice that $t^{c}$ is a zero-divisor of $S / I$, $t^{c} \notin I$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{c}\right)=\operatorname{reg}(S / I)$. Hence, by Corollary [3.2, we get that $\delta_{I}(d)=1$ for $d=\operatorname{reg}(S / I)$. Thus, by Theorem 3.6(c), we get $\delta_{I}(d)=1$ for $d \geq \operatorname{reg}(S / I)$.
(c): There is a monomial $t^{a}$ of degree $\ell$ that divides $t^{\alpha_{k+1}}$ because $\ell$ is a positive integer less than or equal to $d_{k+1}-1$. Setting $t^{c}=t^{c_{1}} \cdots t^{c_{k}} t^{a}$ and $t^{\gamma}=t^{\alpha_{k+1}} / t^{a}$, one has

$$
\left(I: t^{c}\right)=\left(t_{j_{1}}, \ldots, t_{j_{k}}, t^{\gamma}, t^{\alpha_{k+2}}, \ldots, t^{\alpha_{r}}\right)
$$

Hence, by Lemma [2.11, we get $\operatorname{deg} S /\left(I: t^{c}\right)=\left(d_{k+1}-\ell\right) d_{k+2} \cdots d_{r}$ because $\left(I: t^{c}\right)$ is a complete intersection. Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{c}\right)=d=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(d_{i}-1\right)+\ell, t^{c}$ is not in $I$, and $t^{c}$ is a zero-divisor of $S / I$, by Corollary 3.2 we get that $\operatorname{deg} S /\left(I: t^{c}\right) \geq \delta_{I}(d)$, as required.

Proposition 5.4. [18, Proposition 5.7] Let $1 \leq e_{1} \leq \cdots \leq e_{m}$ and $0 \leq b_{i} \leq e_{i}-1$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$ be integers. If $b_{0} \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(e_{i}-b_{i}\right) \geq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\left(e_{i}-b_{i}\right)-(k-1)-b_{0}-\sum_{i=k+2}^{m} b_{i}\right) e_{k+2} \cdots e_{m} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=0, \ldots, m-1$, where $e_{k+2} \cdots e_{m}=1$ and $\sum_{i=k+2}^{m} b_{i}=0$ if $k=m-1$.
We come to the main result of this section.
Theorem 5.5. Let $I \subset S$ be a complete intersection monomial ideal of dimension $\geq 1$ minimally generated by $t^{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, t^{\alpha_{r}}$ and let $d \geq 1$ be an integer. If $d_{i}=\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{\alpha_{i}}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$ and $d_{1} \leq \cdots \leq d_{r}$, then

$$
\delta_{I}(d)=\mathrm{fp}_{I}(d)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left(d_{k+1}-\ell\right) d_{k+2} \cdots d_{r} & \text { if } d<\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(d_{i}-1\right), \\
1 & \text { if } d \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(d_{i}-1\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $0 \leq k \leq r-1$ and $\ell$ are integers such that $d=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(d_{i}-1\right)+\ell$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq d_{k+1}-1$.
Proof. The ideal $I$ is unmixed because $I$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Hence, by Proposition 2.14, $I$ is Geil-Carvalho, that is, $\delta_{I}(d)=\mathrm{fp}_{I}(d)$ for $d \geq 1$. Therefore, by Proposition 5.3, it suffices to show that

$$
\operatorname{fp}_{I}(d) \geq\left(d_{k+1}-\ell\right) d_{k+2} \cdots d_{r} \text { for } d<\operatorname{reg}(S / I)
$$

Let $t^{a}$ be a monomial of degree $d$ such that $t^{a} \notin I$ and $\left(I: t^{a}\right) \neq I$. By Lemma 5.2(d), for each $i$ there is a monomial $t^{\beta_{i}}$ dividing $t^{\alpha_{i}}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{\beta_{i}}\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{\alpha_{i}}\right)$ and $\left(I: t^{a}\right)=\left(I: t^{\beta}\right)$, where $t^{\beta}=t^{\beta_{1}} \cdots t^{\beta_{r}}$. One can write

$$
t^{\alpha_{i}}=t_{1}^{\alpha_{i, 1}} \cdots t_{s}^{\alpha_{i, s}} \text { and } t^{\beta_{i}}=t_{1}^{\beta_{i, 1}} \cdots t_{s}^{\beta_{i, s}}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, r$. According to Lemma 5.2(a) the monomials $t^{\alpha_{i}}$ and $t^{\alpha_{j}}$ have no common variables for $i \neq j$. As $\left(I: t^{\beta}\right)$ is a monomial ideal, it follows that

$$
\left(I: t^{a}\right)=\left(I: t^{\beta}\right)=\left(\left\{t_{1}^{\alpha_{i, 1}-\beta_{i, 1}} \cdots t_{s}^{\alpha_{i, s}-\beta_{i, s}}\right\}_{i=1}^{r}\right) .
$$

Hence, setting $g_{i}=t_{1}^{\alpha_{i, 1}-\beta_{i, 1}} \ldots t_{s}^{\alpha_{i, s}-\beta_{i, s}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$ and observing that $g_{i}$ and $g_{j}$ have no common variables for $i \neq j$, we get that $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$ form a regular sequence, that is, $\left(I: t^{a}\right)$ is again a complete intersection. Thus, by Lemma 2.11, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(S /\left(I: t^{a}\right)\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{s}\left(\alpha_{i, j}-\beta_{i, j}\right)\right]=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left[\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{\alpha_{i}}\right)-\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{\beta_{i}}\right)\right] .
$$

Therefore, setting $b_{i}=\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{\beta_{i}}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$, we get

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(S /\left(I: t^{a}\right)\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(d_{i}-b_{i}\right)
$$

Thus, by Corollary 3.2, it suffices to show the inequality

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(S /\left(I: t^{a}\right)\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(d_{i}-b_{i}\right) \geq\left(d_{k+1}-\ell\right) d_{k+2} \cdots d_{r}
$$

Noticing that $d=\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{a}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(d_{i}-1\right)+\ell \geq \operatorname{deg}\left(t^{\beta}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} b_{i}$, one has

$$
\left(d_{k+1}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(d_{i}-1\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{r} b_{i}\right) d_{k+2} \cdots d_{r} \geq\left(d_{k+1}-\ell\right) d_{k+2} \cdots d_{r} .
$$

Hence, we need only show the inequality

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(d_{i}-b_{i}\right) \geq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\left(d_{i}-b_{i}\right)-k-\sum_{i=k+2}^{r} b_{i}\right) d_{k+2} \cdots d_{r}
$$

which follows making $b_{0}=1$ and $m=r$ in Proposition 5.4.
Theorem 5.6. Let $I \subset S$ be a graded ideal of dimension $\geq 1$ and let $\prec$ be a monomial order. If in $\prec(I)$ is a complete intersection of height $r$ generated by $t^{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, t^{\alpha_{r}}$ with $d_{i}=\operatorname{deg}\left(t^{\alpha_{i}}\right)$ and $1 \leq d_{i} \leq d_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 1$, then $\delta_{I}(d) \geq \mathrm{fp}_{I}(d) \geq 1$ and the footprint function is given by

$$
\mathrm{fp}_{I}(d)= \begin{cases}\left(d_{k+1}-\ell\right) d_{k+2} \cdots d_{r} & \text { if } 1 \leq d \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(d_{i}-1\right)-1, \\ 1 & \text { if } d \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(d_{i}-1\right),\end{cases}
$$

where $0 \leq k \leq r-1$ and $\ell$ are integers such that $d=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(d_{i}-1\right)+\ell$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq d_{k+1}-1$.
Proof. By Proposition 5.1 one has $\delta_{I}(d) \geq \operatorname{fp}_{I}(d) \geq 1$. Since $\mathrm{fp}_{I}(d)$ is equal to $\mathrm{fp}_{\mathrm{in}}^{\prec}(I)$ (d) for $d \geq 1$, the formula for $\mathrm{fp}_{I}(d)$ follows directly from Theorem 5.5.

It is an open question whether in Theorem 5.6 one has the equality $\delta_{I}(d)=\mathrm{fp}_{I}(d)$ for $d \geq 1$. If we make $r=s-1$ in Theorem [5.6, we recover [17, Theorem 3.14]. The reader is referred to [17] for some interesting applications of this result to algebraic coding theory. As is seen in [17, Corollary 4.5] this result can also be used to extend a result of Alon and Füredi [1, Theorem 1] about coverings of the cube $\{0,1\}^{n}$ by affine hyperplanes.

## 6. Computing the minimum distance function

Let $I \subset S$ be a graded ideal and let $\prec$ be a monomial order. The minimum distance function of $I$ can be expressed as follows.
Theorem 6.1. If $\Delta_{\prec}(I) \cap S_{d}=\left\{t^{a_{1}}, \ldots, t^{a_{n}}\right\}$ is the set of all standard monomials of $S / I$ of degree $d \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\prec, d}=\left\{f=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} t^{t_{i}} \mid f \neq 0, \lambda_{i} \in K,(I: f) \neq I\right\}$, then

$$
\delta_{I}(d)=\operatorname{deg}(S / I)-\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}(S /(I, f)) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}_{\prec, d}\right\} .
$$

Proof. Let $f$ be any element of $\mathcal{F}_{d}$. Pick a Gröbner basis $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$ of $I$. Then, by the division algorithm [6, Theorem 3, p. 63], we can write $f=\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} g_{i}+h$, where $h$ is a homogeneous standard polynomial of $S / I$ of degree $d$. Since $(I: f)=(I: h)$, we get that $h$ is in $\mathcal{F}_{\prec, d}$. Hence, as $(I, f)=(I, h)$, we get the equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{I}(d) & =\operatorname{deg}(S / I)-\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}(S /(I, f)) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}_{d}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{deg}(S / I)-\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}(S /(I, f)) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}_{\prec, d}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $\mathcal{F}_{d} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}_{\prec, d} \neq \emptyset$. If $K=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is a finite field, then the number of standard polynomials of degree $d$ is $q^{n}-1$, where $n$ is the number of standard monomials of degree $d$. Hence, we can compute $\delta_{I}(d)$ for small values of $d, n$, and $q$. To compute $\mathrm{fp}_{I}(d)$ is much easier even if the field is infinite because $\mathcal{M}_{\prec, d}$ has at most $n$ elements.

Example 6.2. Let $K$ be the field $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ and let $I$ be the ideal of $S=\mathbb{F}_{2}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right]$ generated by the binomials $t_{1} t_{2}^{2}-t_{1}^{2} t_{2}, t_{1} t_{3}^{2}-t_{1}^{2} t_{3}, t_{2}^{2} t_{3}-t_{2} t_{3}^{2}$. If $S$ has the GRevLex order $\prec$, then using Theorem 6.1 and the procedure below for Macaulay 2 [13] we get

| $d$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{deg}(S / I)$ | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\cdots$ |
| $H_{I}(d)$ | 3 | 6 | 7 | $\cdots$ |
| $\delta_{I}(d)$ | 4 | 2 | 1 | $\cdots$ |
| $\operatorname{fp}_{I}(d)$ | 4 | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ |

```
q=2
S=ZZ/q[t1,t2,t3]
I=ideal(t1*t2^q-t1^q*t2,t1*t3^q-t1^q*t3,t2^q*t3-t2*t3^q)
M=coker gens gb I, degree M, regularity M
h=(d)->degree M - max apply(apply(apply(apply(
toList (set(0..q-1))^**(hilbertFunction(d,M))-
(set{0})^**(hilbertFunction(d,M)),toList), x->basis(d,M)*vector x),
z->ideal(flatten entries z)),x-> if not
quotient(I,x)==I then degree ideal(I,x) else 0)--The function h(d)
--gives the minimum distance in degree d
init=ideal(leadTerm gens gb I)
hilbertFunction(1,M),hilbertFunction(2,M),hilbertFunction(3,M)
f=(x)-> if not quotient(init,x)==init then degree ideal(init,x) else 0
fp=(d) ->degree M -max apply(flatten entries basis(d,M),f)--The
--function fp(d) gives the footprint in degree d
h(1), h(2), fp(1), fp(2)
```

Example 6.3. Let $S=\mathbb{F}_{3}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right]$ be a polynomial ring over the field $\mathbb{F}_{3}$ with the GRevLex order $\prec$, let $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{5}$ be the prime ideals

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathfrak{p}_{1}=\left(t_{3}+t_{4}, t_{2}+t_{4}, t_{1}+t_{4}\right), & \mathfrak{p}_{2}=\left(t_{3}+t_{4}, t_{2}, t_{1}-t_{4}\right), \quad \mathfrak{p}_{3}=\left(t_{4}, t_{2}, t_{1}\right), \\
\mathfrak{p}_{4}=\left(t_{4}, t_{3}, t_{1}\right), & \mathfrak{p}_{5}=\left(t_{4}, t_{2}-t_{3}, t_{1}\right),
\end{array}
$$

and let $I=\cap_{i=1}^{5} \mathfrak{p}_{i}$ be the intersection of these prime ideals. Then, using Macaulay2 [13], we get $\operatorname{reg}(S / I)=2, \operatorname{deg}(S / I)=5$, the initial ideal of $I$ is

$$
\operatorname{in}_{\prec}(I)=\left(t_{3} t_{4}, t_{1} t_{4}, t_{1} t_{3}, t_{1} t_{2}, t_{1}^{2}, t_{2}^{2} t_{4}, t_{2}^{2} t_{3}\right),
$$

$\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$ is a monomial ideal of height $3, \mathfrak{m}$ is an associated prime of $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$, and $\mathrm{fp}_{I}(1)=0$. Thus the lower bound for the footprint $\mathrm{fp}_{I}(d)$ given in Proposition 2.15(b) is sharp.
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