

MINIMAL CONSISTENT FINITE ELEMENT SPACE FOR THE BIHARMONIC EQUATION ON QUADRILATERAL GRIDS

SHUO ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, a finite element space is presented on quadrilateral grids which can provide consistent discretization for the biharmonic equations. The space consists of piecewise quadratic polynomials and is of minimal degree for the variational problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the study of qualitative and numerical analysis of partial differential equations and, in general, of approximation theory, we are often interested in the approximation of functions in Sobolev spaces by piecewise polynomials defined on a partition of the domain. In order for simpler interior structure, lower degree polynomials are often expected to be used. It is of theoretical and practical interests whether and how a minimal-degree finite element space can be constructed for certain problems, namely, particularly, whether and how a consistent finite element space can be constructed for H^m elliptic problem with m -th degree polynomials.

When the subdivision consists of simplexes, a systematic family minimal-degree family of non-conforming finite elements has been proposed by Wang and Xu [14] for $2m$ -th order elliptic partial differential equations in R^n for any $n \geq m$ with polynomials with degree m . Known as Wang-Xu or Morley-Wang-Xu family, the elements have been playing bigger and bigger role in numerical analysis. The elements are constructed based on the perfect matching between the dimension of m -th degree polynomials and the dimension of $(n-k)$ -subsimplexes with $1 \leq k \leq m$. The generalization to the cases $n < m$ is attracting more and more research interests, c.f., e.g., [16].

When the subdivision consists of geometrical shapes other than simplex, the problem is more complicated. The minimal conforming element spaces have been constructed for H^m problem on \mathbb{R}^n rectangle grids by Hu and Zhang [7], where Q_k polynomials are used for $2k$ -th order problems. Some low-degree rectangle elements have been designed, such as the rectangular Morley element and incomplete P_3 element for biharmonic equation. It remains open if the degrees can be further reduced for consistent nonconforming element spaces with minimal degree. Generally, the cells of

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 65L60, 65M60, 65N30, 31A30.

Key words and phrases. nonconforming finite element, quadrilateral grid, quadratic polynomial, biharmonic equation.

The author is supported partially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China with Grant No. 11471026 and National Centre for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

shapes as simple as rectangle can share interfaces with more neighbour cells, and more continuity restrictions will need a higher degree of polynomials, which is generally higher than the order of the underlying Sobolev space. This way, it seems extremely difficult, if not impossible, to construct consistent finite elements in the formulation of Ciarlet's triple (c.f. [4, 15]) with m -th degree for H^m problems on even rectangular grids. When approaches are not restricted on Ciarlet's triple, consistent finite element space with linear polynomials can be constructed for Poisson equation on quadrilateral grids via a more global approach [6, 9]; for higher-order elliptic equations, though, the minimal-degree construction of finite elements on even rectangular grids remains open, and let alone general quadrilateral subdivisions.

In this paper, we study the minimal-degree finite element construction for biharmonic equation on quadrilateral grids. We will present a finite element space that consists of piecewise quadratic polynomials on quadrilateral grids, and which can provide consistent discretization for the biharmonic equation.

The finite element functions on quadrilateral cells are not constructed by the usual bilinear mapping approach. Actually, as pointed in [2, 3], for quadrilateral finite elements that are constructed by starting from a given finite dimensional space of polynomials on the unit reference square, a necessary and sufficient condition for certain approximation accuracy is that the space on reference cell contains the space of Q_r type, namely of all polynomial functions of degree r separately in each variable. This will stop us from constructing a consistent finite element space with polynomial space of P_r type. The way we construct finite element space is similar to the way discussed in [6, 9, 10], where local polynomial function spaces are used on every cell directly. The space constructed in the present paper can be viewed as a reduced quadrilateral Morley element space. This observation admits us to present the consistency estimation in an easy way. Similar to elements in, e.g., [5] and [9], and many spline type methods, the continuity restriction of the finite element function is more than determining a local polynomial. and the standard scaling argument could not be used directly. We instead figure out the exact connection between the finite element functions designed in this paper and the element in [9], and construct the approximation estimate in an indirect way. The exact connection can be viewed as a specialization of the one given in [17]. Moreover, this relation indicates an analogue correspondence with the exactness relation between the Crouzeix-Raviart element and the Morley element on triangular grids.


The remaining of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries are collected. In Section 3, two finite elements are defined on quadrilateral grids. They are each the quadrilateral analogue of the rotated Q_1 element and the Wilson element on rectangle grids, and they are useful auxiliary element in this paper. In Sections 4 and 5, a minimal-degree finite element scheme for biharmonic equation is designed, and its convergence analysis and implementation are presented. Finally, in Section 6, some concluding remarks are given.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, we use these notation. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a simply-connected Lipschitz domain with a boundary of piecewise segment, and $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ be the boundary, with \mathbf{n} the outward unit normal vector. Denote by $H^1(\Omega)$, $H_0^1(\Omega)$, $H^2(\Omega)$, and $H_0^2(\Omega)$ the standard Sobolev spaces as usual, and $L_0^2(\Omega) := \{w \in L^2(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} w dx = 0\}$. Denote $\underline{H}_0^1(\Omega) := (H_0^1(\Omega))^2$, $\underline{L}^2(\Omega) = (L^2(\Omega))^2$; we use “ $\underline{\cdot}$ ” for vector valued quantities in the present paper. We use usual symbols, and use the subscript \cdot_h for a cell by cell operation when a grid is involved. Denote $\underline{\dot{H}}_0^1(\Omega) := \{\underline{w} \in \underline{H}_0^1(\Omega) : \text{div} \underline{w} = 0\}$.

2.1. Geometry of convex quadrilateral grid. Let Q be a convex quadrilateral with a_i the vertices and e_i the edges, $i = 1 : 4$. See Figure 1 for an illustration. Let m_i be the mid-point of e_i , then the quadrilateral $\square m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4$ is a parallelogram ([9]). The cross point of $m_1 m_3$ and $m_2 m_4$, which is labelled as O , is the midpoint of both $m_1 m_3$ and $m_2 m_4$. Denote $\mathbf{r} = \overrightarrow{Om_3}$ and $\mathbf{s} = \overrightarrow{Om_4}$. Then the coordinates of the vertices in the coordinate system \mathbf{rOs} are $a_1(-1 - \alpha, 1 - \beta)$, $a_2(-1 + \alpha, -1 + \beta)$, $a_3(1 - \alpha, -1 - \beta)$ and $a_4(1 + \alpha, 1 + \beta)$ for some α, β . Since Q is convex, $|\alpha| + |\beta| < 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ and $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{s} > 0$.

Define the shape regularity indicator of the cell Q by $\mathcal{R}_Q := \max\{\frac{|\mathbf{r}||\mathbf{s}|}{\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{s}}, \frac{|\mathbf{r}|}{|\mathbf{s}|}, \frac{|\mathbf{s}|}{|\mathbf{r}|}\}$. Evidently $\mathcal{R}_Q \geq 1$, and $\mathcal{R}_Q = 1$ if and only if Q is a square. A given family of quadrilateral triangulations $\{\mathcal{G}_h\}$ of Ω is said to be regular, if all the shape regularity indicators of the cells of all the triangulations are uniformly bounded.


 FIGURE 1. Illustration of a convex quadrilateral Q .

Define two linear functions ξ and η by $\xi(\mathbf{ar} + \mathbf{bs}) = a$ and $\eta(\mathbf{ar} + \mathbf{bs}) = b$. The two functions play the same role on quadrilateral as that of barycentric coordinate on triangles.

Technically, we construct a table (Table 1 below, c.f. [17]) about the evaluation of some functions firstly. Here and after, while “ \int ” is the usual integral symbol, we use “ $\overset{\frown}{\int}$ ” for the spatial average on the integral domain. This table will be useful in both theoretical analysis and practical programming.

function(u)	1	η	ξ	η^2	ξ^2	$\eta^2 - \xi^2$
$\overset{\frown}{\int}_{e_1} u \, ds$	1	0	-1	$\frac{(1-\beta)^2}{3}$	$1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{3}$	$1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{3} - \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{3}$
$\overset{\frown}{\int}_{e_2} u \, ds$	1	-1	0	$1 + \frac{\beta^2}{3}$	$\frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{3}$	$\frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{3} - 1 - \frac{\beta^2}{3}$
$\overset{\frown}{\int}_{e_3} u \, ds$	1	0	1	$\frac{(1+\beta)^2}{3}$	$1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{3}$	$1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{3} - \frac{(1+\beta)^2}{3}$
$\overset{\frown}{\int}_{e_4} u \, ds$	1	1	0	$1 + \frac{\beta^2}{3}$	$\frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{3}$	$\frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{3} - 1 - \frac{\beta^2}{3}$

TABLE 1. Boundary average of some functions.

2.2. Subdivisions and finite element spaces. Let \mathcal{G}_h be a regular subdivision of domain Ω , with the cells being convex quadrilaterals; i.e., $\Omega = \cup_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_h} Q$. Let \mathcal{N}_h denote the set of all the vertices, $\mathcal{N}_h = \mathcal{N}_h^i \cup \mathcal{N}_h^b$, with \mathcal{N}_h^i and \mathcal{N}_h^b consisting of the interior vertices and the boundary vertices, respectively. Similarly, let $\mathcal{E}_h = \mathcal{E}_h^i \cup \mathcal{E}_h^b$ denote the set of all the edges, with \mathcal{E}_h^i and \mathcal{E}_h^b consisting of the interior edges and the boundary edges, respectively. For an edge e , \mathbf{n}_e is a unit vector normal to e , and τ_e is a unit tangential vector of e such that $\mathbf{n}_e \times \tau_e > 0$. On the edge e , we use $[[\cdot]]_e$ for the jump across e .

Denote by \mathfrak{F} the number of cells of the triangulation; denote by \mathfrak{X} , \mathfrak{X}_I , \mathfrak{X}_B and \mathfrak{X}_C the number of vertices, internal vertices, boundary vertices, and corner vertices, respectively; and denote by \mathfrak{E} , \mathfrak{E}_I and \mathfrak{E}_B the number of edges, internal edges, and boundary edges, respectively. Euler's formula states that $\mathfrak{F} + \mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{E} + 1$.

2.2.1. Quadrilateral Morley element space. The quadrilateral Morley element ([10]) is defined by $(Q, P_Q^{\text{QM}}, D_Q^{\text{QM}})$ with

- (1) Q is a convex quadrilateral;
- (2) $P_Q^{\text{QM}} = P_2(Q) + \text{span}\{\xi^3, \eta^3\}$;
- (3) the components of $D_Q^{\text{QM}} = \{d_i^{\text{QM}}, d_{i+4}^{\text{QM}}\}_{i=1:4}$ for any $v \in H^2(Q)$ are:

$$d_i^{\text{QM}}(v) = v(a_i), \quad a_i \text{ the vertices of } T; \quad d_{i+4}^{\text{QM}}(v) = \overset{\frown}{\int}_{e_i} \partial_{\mathbf{n}_{e_i}} v \, ds, \quad e_i \text{ the edges of } T.$$

Given a quadrilateral grid \mathcal{G}_h of Ω , define the quadrilateral Morley element space V_h^{QM} as

$$V_h^{\text{QM}} := \{w_h \in L^2(\Omega) : w_h|_Q \in P_Q^{\text{QM}}, \forall Q \in \mathcal{G}_h, w_h(a) \text{ is continuous at } a \in \mathcal{N}_h, \\ \int_e \partial_{\mathbf{n}_e} w_h \, ds \text{ is continuous across } e \in \mathcal{E}_h^i\}.$$

And, associated with $H_0^2(\Omega)$, define

$$V_{h0}^{\text{QM}} := \{w_h \in V_h^{\text{QM}} : w_h(a) \text{ vanishes at } a \in \mathcal{N}_h^b, \int_e \partial_{\mathbf{n}_e} w_h \, ds \text{ vanishes at } e \in \mathcal{E}_h^b\}.$$

2.2.2. *Quadrilateral Lin-Tobiska-Zhou (QLTZ) element space.* The QLTZ element ([8, 17]) is defined by $(Q, P_Q^{\text{QLTZ}}, D_Q^{\text{QLTZ}})$ with

- (1) Q is a convex quadrilateral;
- (2) $P_Q^{\text{QLTZ}} = P_1(Q) + \text{span}\{\xi^2, \eta^2\}$;
- (3) the components of $D_Q^{\text{QLTZ}} = \{d_0^{\text{QLTZ}}\}_{i=0:4}$ for any $v \in H^1(Q)$ are:

$$d_0^{\text{QLTZ}}(v) = \int_Q v \, dx, \text{ and } d_i^{\text{QLTZ}}(v) = \int_{e_i} v \, ds, \text{ } e_i \text{ the edges of } T, i = 1 : 4.$$

Associated with $H^1(\Omega)$, define a finite element space V_h^{QLTZ} by

$$V_h^{\text{QLTZ}} := \{w \in L^2(\Omega) : w|_Q \in P_Q^{\text{QLTZ}}, \forall Q \in \mathcal{G}_h, \int_e w \, ds \text{ is continuous at } e \in \mathcal{E}_h^i\},$$

and associated with $H_0^1(\Omega)$, define a finite element space V_{h0} by

$$V_{h0}^{\text{QLTZ}} := \{w_h \in V_h^{\text{QLTZ}} : \int_e w_h \, ds = 0 \text{ at } e \in \mathcal{E}_h^b\}.$$

Lemma 1. (Lemma 8 of [17]) $\text{curl}_h V_{h0}^{\text{QM}} = \{\underline{w}_h \in \underline{V}_{h0}^{\text{QLTZ}} : \text{div}_h \underline{w}_h = 0\} := \underline{V}_{h0}^{\circ \text{QLTZ}}$.

2.2.3. *Park-Sheen element space.* The Park-Sheen element space ([9]) is a piecewise linear non-conforming finite element space for H^1 problem. It is defined as

$$V_h^{\text{PS}} := \{w \in L^2(\Omega) : w|_Q \in P_1(Q), \forall Q \in \mathcal{G}_h, \int_e w \, ds \text{ is continuous at } e \in \mathcal{E}_h^i\},$$

and, associated with $H_0^1(\Omega)$, as

$$V_{h0}^{\text{PS}} := \{w_h \in V_h^{\text{PS}} : \int_e w_h \, ds = 0 \text{ at } e \in \mathcal{E}_h^b\}.$$

Similarly, denote $\mathring{V}_{h0}^{\text{PS}} := \{v_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{PS}} : \text{div}_h v_h = 0\}$.

Lemma 2. (Theorem 4.2 of [1], also [6, 9]) *There is a constant C depending on the regularity of \mathcal{G}_h only, such that given $w \in H^2(\Omega)$,*

$$\inf_{v_h \in V_h^{\text{PS}}} (\|w - v_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_h} h_Q^2 \|\nabla(w - v_h)\|_{0,Q}^2) \leq C \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_h} h_Q^4 \|w\|_{2,Q}^2.$$

2.3. Piecewise linear element space on criss-cross grids. Given \mathcal{T}_h a triangulation of Ω , denote by V_h^{le} the linear element space on \mathcal{T}_h , $V_{h0}^{\text{le}} = V_h^{\text{le}} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, and $\mathring{V}_{h0}^{\text{le}} = (V_{h0}^{\text{le}})^2$. Denote by \mathbb{P}_{h0} the space of piecewise constant the integral of which is zero. Consider the Stokes problem: find $(\underline{u}, p) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times L_0^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} (\nabla \underline{u}, \nabla \underline{v}) + (p, \text{div} \underline{v}) = (\underline{f}, \underline{v}) & \forall \underline{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ (\text{div} \underline{u}, q) = 0 & \forall q \in L_0^2(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

and its discretization: find $(\underline{u}_h, p_h) \in \mathring{V}_{h0}^{\text{le}} \times \mathbb{P}_{h0}$, such that

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} (\nabla \underline{u}_h, \nabla \underline{v}_h) + (p_h, \text{div} \underline{v}_h) = (\underline{f}, \underline{v}_h) & \forall \underline{v}_h \in \mathring{V}_{h0}^{\text{le}} \\ (\text{div} \underline{u}_h, q_h) = 0 & \forall q_h \in \mathbb{P}_{h0}. \end{cases}$$

In general, (2) does not provide a stable discretization of (1), but it can provide good approximation of \underline{u} for some special cases. We adopt the hypothesis below.

Hypothesis G. (c.f. [11, 12]) \mathcal{G}_h is generated by uniformly refining a shape-regular quadrilateral subdivision \mathcal{G}_{4h} of Ω twice.

Lemma 3. (Theorem 4.2 of [12]) *Let \mathcal{G}_h be a quadrilateral subdivision of Ω that satisfies **Hypothesis G**. Let \mathcal{T}_h be a triangulation of Ω made by a criss-cross refinement of \mathcal{G}_h , namely dividing each quadrilateral into four subtriangles by the two diagonals. Let (\underline{u}, p) and (\underline{u}_h, p_h) be the solutions of (1) and (2), respectively. Then*

$$(3) \quad \|\underline{u} - \underline{u}_h\|_{1,\Omega} \leq Ch \|\underline{u}\|_{2,\Omega}$$

provided $(\underline{u}, p) \in H^2(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$.




FIGURE 2. Illustration of uniformly refining twice a quadrilateral. A quadrilateral is refined by connecting the intersect point of the diagonals to the mid points of the edges. c.f. [12].

Remark 4. Denote $\mathring{V}_{h0}^{le} := \{v_h \in V_{h0}^{le} : \text{div} v_h = 0\}$. Lemma 3 reveals that the

$$(4) \quad \inf_{v_h \in \mathring{V}_{h0}^{le}} \|u - v_h\|_{1,h} \leq Ch \|u\|_{2,\Omega}, \text{ for } u \in \mathring{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega).$$

Several finite elements are mentioned in this paper, and for readers' convenience, we list the abbreviations below.

QM: Quadrilateral Morley

QLTZ: Quadrilateral Lin-Tobiska-Zhou

PS: Park-Sheen

le: linear element

RQM: Reduced Quadrilateral Morley

QGB: Quadrilateral Generalized Bilinear (see Section 3.1)

QW: Quadrilateral Wilson (see Section 3.2)

3. TWO QUADRILATERAL FINITE ELEMENTS

In this section, we present two new finite elements on quadrilateral grids.

3.1. A quadrilateral generalized bilinear element. Define a quadrilateral generalized bilinear element by $(Q, P_Q^{\text{QGB}}, D_Q^{\text{QGB}})$, with

- (1) Q is a convex quadrilateral;
- (2) $P_Q^{\text{QGB}} = P_1(Q) + \text{span}\{\xi^2 - \eta^2\}$;

(3) the components of $D_Q^{\text{QGB}} = \{d_i^{\text{QGB}}\}_{i=1:4}$ for any $v \in H^1(Q)$ are:

$$d_i^{\text{QGB}}(v) = \int_{e_i} v, \quad e_i \text{ the edges of } Q.$$

Define on a quadrilateral Q

$$(5) \quad \phi_1 = \frac{3}{8}(\xi^2 - \eta^2) + \frac{\beta - 2}{4}\xi + \frac{\alpha - 4}{4}\eta + \frac{2 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2}{8}$$

$$(6) \quad \phi_2 = -\frac{3}{8}(\xi^2 - \eta^2) - \frac{\beta}{4}\xi + \frac{2 - \alpha}{4}\eta + \frac{\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + 2}{8}$$

$$(7) \quad \phi_3 = \frac{3}{8}(\xi^2 - \eta^2) + \frac{\beta + 2}{4}\xi + \frac{\alpha + 4}{4}\eta + \frac{2 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2}{8}$$

$$(8) \quad \phi_4 = -\frac{3}{8}(\xi^2 - \eta^2) - \frac{\beta}{4}\xi - \frac{2 + \alpha}{4}\eta + \frac{\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + 2}{8},$$

then $\phi_i \in P_Q^{\text{QGB}}$ and $d_i^{\text{QGB}}(\phi_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $i, j = 1 : 4$. This proves the uni-solvence. Given $v \in P_Q^{\text{QGB}}$,

then $v = \sum_{i=1}^4 d_i^{\text{QGB}}(v)\phi_i$. Direct calculation leads to that

$$v = \frac{3}{8}(d_1^{\text{QGB}}(v) + d_3^{\text{QGB}}(v) - d_2^{\text{QGB}}(v) - d_4^{\text{QGB}}(v))(\xi^2 - \eta^2) + v', \quad \text{with } v' \in P_1(Q).$$

This way, given $v \in P_Q^{\text{QGB}}$, $v \in P_1(Q)$ if and only if $d_1^{\text{QGB}}(v) + d_3^{\text{QGB}}(v) = d_2^{\text{QGB}}(v) + d_4^{\text{QGB}}(v)$.

Note that the nodal parameters make sense for $v \in H^1(Q)$. Define the interpolator $\mathbb{I}_Q^{\text{QGB}} : H^1(Q) \rightarrow P_Q^{\text{QGB}}$ by $d_i^{\text{QGB}}(\mathbb{I}_Q^{\text{QGB}} v) = d_i^{\text{QGB}}(v)$ for $i = 1 : 4$.

Lemma 5. (c.f. proof of Lemma 3 of [17]) There is a constant C , depending on the regularity of the quadrilateral Q only, such that

$$(9) \quad \left| \int_{e_i} w - \int_{e_j} w \right| \leq C|w|_{1,Q}, \quad \forall w \in H^1(Q).$$

Lemma 6. The interpolation $\mathbb{I}_Q^{\text{QGB}}$ is stable in the sense that $\|\nabla \mathbb{I}_h^{\text{QGB}} w\|_{0,Q} \leq C\|\nabla w\|_{0,Q}$, with a generic constant C depending on the regularity of the quadrilateral only.

Proof. For simplicity, denote $d_i := \int_{e_i} w$, and $\phi = \sum_{i=1:4} d_i\phi_i$. Then

$$\partial_r \phi = 3\xi/4(d_1 - d_2 + d_3 - d_4) + \beta/4(d_1 - d_2 + d_3 - d_4) + 1/2(d_3 - d_1),$$

and

$$\partial_s \phi = 3/4\eta(-d_1 + d_2 - d_3 + d_4) + \alpha/4(d_1 - d_2 + d_3 - d_4) + (d_3 - d_1) + 1/2(d_2 - d_4).$$

We have used the fact that $\partial_r \eta = \partial_s \xi = 0$. This way, by Lemma 5,

$$\int_Q |\nabla \phi|^2 \approx \int_Q |\partial_r \phi|^2 + |\partial_s \phi|^2 \leq C \left((d_1 - d_2)^2 + (d_3 - d_4)^2 + (d_1 - d_3)^2 + (d_2 - d_4)^2 \right) \leq C |w|_{1,Q}^2.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Given a quadrilateral subdivision \mathcal{G}_h , define the generalized bilinear element space by

$$V_h^{\text{QGB}} := \{w \in L^2(\Omega) : w|_Q \in P_Q^{\text{QGB}}, \forall Q \in \mathcal{G}_h, \int_e w \text{ is continuous across } e \in \mathcal{E}_h^i\},$$

and, associated with $H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$V_{h0}^{\text{QGB}} := \{w \in V_h^{\text{QGB}} : \int_e w = 0 \text{ at } e \in \mathcal{E}_h^b\}.$$

Define $I_h^{\text{QGB}} : H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow V_h^{\text{QGB}}$ by $(I_h^{\text{QGB}} v)|_Q = I_Q^{\text{QGB}}(v|_Q)$ for any $Q \in \mathcal{G}_h$. Then $I_h^{\text{QGB}} H_0^1(\Omega) \subset V_{h0}^{\text{QGB}}$.

Denote $\underline{I}_h^{\text{QGB}} = (I_h^{\text{QGB}})^2$.

Lemma 7. (1) $\|I_h^{\text{QGB}} v - v\|_{1,h} \leq Ch|v|_{2,\Omega}$ for $v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$;

(2) let \mathcal{T}_h be a triangulation of Ω made by a criss-cross refinement of \mathcal{G}_h ; then

$$I_h^{\text{QGB}} V_{h0}^{\text{le}}(\mathcal{T}_h) = V_{h0}^{\text{PS}}(\mathcal{G}_h), \text{ and } \underline{I}_h^{\text{QGB}} \hat{V}_{h0}^{\text{le}}(\mathcal{T}_h) = \hat{V}_{h0}^{\text{PS}}(\mathcal{G}_h).$$

Proof. (1) As $V_{h0}^{\text{PS}} \subset V_{h0}^{\text{QGB}}$, $I_h^{\text{QGB}} w_h = w_h$ for $w_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{QGB}}$, and

$$\|I_h^{\text{QGB}} v - v\|_{1,h} = \inf_{w_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{QGB}}} \|I_h^{\text{QGB}}(v - w_h) - (v - w_h)\|_{1,h} \leq C \inf_{w_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{PS}}} |v - w_h|_{1,h} \leq Ch|v|_{2,\Omega}.$$

(2) Given $w_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{le}}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, then $\int_e w = \frac{1}{2}(w_h(L_e) + w_h(R_e))$ for every $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$, where L_e and R_e are the two ends of e . Now given $Q \in \mathcal{G}_h$ with edges e_i^Q , $i = 1 : 4$ with anticlockwise order, evidently $\int_{e_1^Q} w_h + \int_{e_3^Q} w_h = \int_{e_2^Q} w_h + \int_{e_4^Q} w_h$, and $\int_{e_1^Q} I_h^{\text{QGB}} w_h + \int_{e_3^Q} I_h^{\text{QGB}} w_h = \int_{e_2^Q} I_h^{\text{QGB}} w_h + \int_{e_4^Q} I_h^{\text{QGB}} w_h$, thus $I_h^{\text{QGB}} w_h|_Q \in P_1(Q)$. Namely $I_h^{\text{QGB}} w_h|_Q \in V_{h0}^{\text{PS}}(\mathcal{G}_h)$. Similarly, $\underline{I}_h^{\text{QGB}} V_{h0}^{\text{le}}(\mathcal{T}_h) = V_{h0}^{\text{PS}}(\mathcal{G}_h)$. It is easy to verify that $\int_K \text{div} \underline{I}_h^{\text{QGB}} w_h = \int_K w_h$, and the assertion follows.

The proof is completed. \square

Remark 8. A similar result to Item 2 of Lemma 7 can be found in [6].

3.2. A quadrilateral Wilson element. The quadrilateral Wilson element is defined by $(Q, P_Q^{\text{QW}}, D_Q^{\text{QW}})$, with

- (1) Q is a convex quadrilateral;
- (2) $P_Q^{\text{QW}} = P_2(Q)$;
- (3) the components of $D_Q^{\text{QW}} = \{d_i^{\text{QW}}\}_{i=1:6}$ for any $v \in H^2(Q)$ are:

$$d_i^{\text{QW}}(v) = v(a_i), \quad a_i \text{ the vertices of } T; \quad d_5^{\text{QW}}(v) = \int_Q \partial_{\xi\xi} v, \quad d_6^{\text{QW}}(v) = \int_Q \partial_{\eta\eta} v.$$

Lemma 9. *The quadrilateral Wilson element is uni-solvent.*

Proof. Define

$$(10) \quad \phi_4 = -\frac{1}{8(\beta+1)} [-(\xi+\eta+1)^2 + (\alpha+\beta-1)^2]$$

$$(11) \quad \phi_3 = \frac{1}{4(1-\alpha)} ((\xi+1)^2 - \alpha^2) - \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \phi_4$$

$$(12) \quad \phi_2 = \frac{1}{2(\beta-1)} (\eta - (1-\beta)) - \frac{1}{1-\beta} \phi_3 - \frac{\beta}{\beta-1} \phi_4$$

$$(13) \quad \phi_1 = 1 - \phi_2 - \phi_3 - \phi_4$$

$$(14) \quad \phi_5 = (\alpha - \beta + 1)\xi^2 + 2\alpha\xi + 2\alpha\xi\eta + (\alpha + \beta - 1)(1 - \alpha^2)$$

$$(15) \quad \phi_6 = \xi^2 - \eta^2 + 2\beta\xi - 2\alpha\eta + \beta^2 - \alpha^2.$$

It is easy to verify that $d_i^{\text{QW}}(\phi_j) = \delta_{ij}$. The proof is completed. \square

Given a quadrilateral subdivision \mathcal{G}_h , define the quadrilateral Wilson element space by

$$V_h^{\text{QW}} := \{w \in L^2(\Omega) : w|_Q \in P_2(Q), \forall Q \in \mathcal{G}_h, w \text{ is continuous at } a \in \mathcal{N}_h\},$$

and, associated with $H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$V_{h0}^{\text{QW}} := \{w \in V_h^{\text{QW}} : w(a) = 0 \text{ at } a \in \mathcal{N}_h^b\}.$$

4. A MINIMAL CONSISTENT FINITE ELEMENT SPACE FOR BIHARMONIC EQUATION

In this section, we study the discretization of biharmonic equation on quadrilateral grids, and present a consistent finite element method with piecewise quadratic polynomials. Consider the model problem:

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The variational problem is, with $f \in L^2(\Omega)$,

$$(17) \quad \text{find } u \in H_0^2(\Omega), \text{ such that } (\nabla^2 u, \nabla^2 v) = (f, v), \forall v \in H_0^2(\Omega).$$

Let \mathcal{G}_h be a quadrilateral subdivision of Ω and define piecewise quadratic element spaces on \mathcal{G}_h by

- reduced quadrilateral Morley (RQM for short) finite element space

$$(18) \quad V_h^{\text{RQM}} := \{v_h \in L^2(\Omega) : v_h|_Q \in P_2(Q), \forall Q \in \mathcal{G}_h, v_h(a) \text{ is continuous on } a \in \mathcal{X}_h, \\ \text{and } \int_e \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n_e} \text{ is continuous along } e \in \mathcal{E}_h^i\};$$

- homogeneous RQM finite element space:

$$(19) \quad V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}} := \{v_h \in V_h^{\text{RQM}} : v_h(a) = 0 \text{ on } a \in \mathcal{X}_h \setminus \mathcal{X}_h^i, \int_e \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n_e} = 0 \text{ on } e \in \mathcal{E}_h \setminus \mathcal{E}_h^i\}.$$

Consider the finite element problem for (17):

$$(20) \quad \text{find } u_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}, \text{ such that } \sum_{K \in \mathcal{G}_h} (\nabla^2 u_h, \nabla^2 v) = (f, v), \forall v \in V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}.$$

As $V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}} \subset V_{h0}^{\text{QM}}$, The well-posed-ness of (20) follows by [10].

The main result of this paper is the theorem below.

Theorem 10. *Let u and u_h be the solutions of (17) and (20), respectively. If $u \in H^3(\Omega)$, then*

$$(21) \quad \|u - u_h\|_{2,h} \leq Ch(\|u\|_{3,\Omega} + h\|f\|_{0,\Omega}).$$

We postpone the proof of Theorem 10 after some technical lemmas.

4.1. Approximation of V_{h0}^{RQM} .

4.1.1. *Approximation of Park-Sheen space revisited.* Recall $\mathring{V}_{h0}^{\text{PS}} := \{\underline{w}_h \in (V_{h0}^{\text{PS}})^2 : \text{div} \underline{w}_h|_K = 0, \text{ on } K \in \mathcal{G}_h\}$. We are going to construct the approximation result below.

Lemma 11. *Provided Hypothesis G for \mathcal{G}_h , and let $\underline{w} \in \mathring{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$. Then*

$$(22) \quad \inf_{\underline{v}_h \in \mathring{V}_{h0}^{\text{PS}}} \|\underline{w} - \underline{v}_h\|_{1,h} \leq Ch\|\underline{w}\|_{2,\Omega}.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{T}_h be a triangulation of Ω made by a criss-cross refinement of \mathcal{G}_h . Define $\mathbf{P}_{\sim h0}^{\text{le}}$:

$\mathring{H}_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathring{V}_{\sim h0}^{\text{le}}$ such that

$$(23) \quad (\nabla \mathbf{P}_{\sim h0}^{\text{le}} \underline{u}, \nabla \underline{v}_h) = (\nabla \underline{u}, \nabla \underline{v}_h), \quad \underline{u} \in \mathring{H}_0^1(\Omega), \quad \forall \underline{v}_h \in \mathring{V}_{\sim h0}^{\text{le}}.$$

By Lemma 3, the operator $\mathbf{P}_{\sim h0}^{\text{le}}$ is well defined, and

$$(24) \quad \|\underline{u} - \mathbf{P}_{\sim h0}^{\text{le}} \underline{u}\|_{1,\Omega} \leq Ch \|\underline{u}\|_{2,\Omega}, \quad \text{for } \underline{u} \in \mathring{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap \mathring{H}^2(\Omega).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 7, given $\underline{u} \in \mathring{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap \mathring{H}^2(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\underline{v}_h \in \mathring{V}_{\sim h0}^{\text{PS}}} |\underline{u} - \underline{v}_h|_{1,h} &\leq |\underline{u} - \mathbb{I}_h^{\text{QGB}} \mathbf{P}_{\sim h0}^{\text{le}} \underline{u}|_{1,\Omega} \leq |\underline{u} - \mathbb{I}_h^{\text{QGB}} \underline{u}|_{1,\Omega} + |\mathbb{I}_h^{\text{QGB}} \underline{u} - \mathbb{I}_h^{\text{QGB}} \mathbf{P}_{\sim h0}^{\text{le}} \underline{u}|_{1,\Omega} \\ &\leq |\underline{u} - \mathbb{I}_h^{\text{QGB}} \underline{u}|_{1,\Omega} + C |\underline{u} - \mathbf{P}_{\sim h0}^{\text{le}} \underline{u}|_{1,\Omega} \leq Ch \|\underline{u}\|_{2,\Omega}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

4.1.2. Approximation of RQM space.

Lemma 12. $\text{curl} V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}} = \mathring{V}_{\sim h0}^{\text{PS}}$.

Proof. Evidently, $\text{curl} V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}} \subset \mathring{V}_{\sim h0}^{\text{PS}}$. On the other hand, given $\underline{w}_h \in \mathring{V}_{\sim h0}^{\text{PS}} \subset \mathring{V}_{\sim h0}^{\text{QLTZ}}$, by Lemma 1, there exists a $\varphi_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{QM}}$, such that $\text{curl}_h \varphi_h = \underline{w}_h$. Note that $\text{curl}(\varphi_h|_K) \in (P_1(K))^2$ for any K , and thus $\varphi_h|_K \in P^2(K)$ on any $K \subset \mathcal{G}_h$, and we obtain $\varphi_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}$ and thus $\text{curl} V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}} \supset \mathring{V}_{\sim h0}^{\text{PS}}$. The proof is completed. \square

Theorem 13. *Provided Hypothesis G for \mathcal{G}_h , and let $u \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^3(\Omega)$. Then*

$$(25) \quad \inf_{v_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}} |u - v_h|_{2,h} \leq Ch |u|_{3,\Omega}.$$

Proof. Given $u \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^3(\Omega)$, $\text{curl} u \in \mathring{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap \mathring{H}^2(\Omega)$. Thus

$$\inf_{v_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}} |u - v_h|_{2,h} = \inf_{v_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}} |\text{curl} u - \text{curl}_h v_h|_{1,h} = \inf_{\underline{v}_h \in \mathring{V}_{\sim h0}^{\text{PS}}} |\text{curl} u - \underline{v}_h|_{1,h} \leq Ch |\text{curl} u|_{2,\Omega} = Ch |u|_{3,\Omega}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 10.** The proof of Theorem 10 is similar to the analysis in [13] and [10]. By the second Strang Lemma, we have

$$(26) \quad \|u - u_h\|_{2,h} \leq C \left(\inf_{v_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}} \|u - v_h\|_{2,h} + \sup_{0 \neq w_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}} \frac{|(\nabla^2 u, \nabla_h^2 w_h) - (f, w_h)|}{\|w_h\|_{2,h}} \right),$$

where the first term is the approximation error and the second one is the consistency error.

Let \mathcal{T}_h be a triangulation of Ω generated by a criss-cross refinement of \mathcal{G}_h , and $V_{h0}^{\text{le}}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ be the homogeneous linear element space on \mathcal{T}_h . Denote by I_h the nodal interpolation of V_{h0}^{le} . Then by Green formula,

$$(27) \quad (f, I_h w_h) = (\Delta^2 u, I_h w_h) = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Delta u \cdot \nabla I_h w_h.$$

The integration by parts yields

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla^2 u, \nabla_h^2 u_h) - (f, w_h) &= - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \nabla \Delta u \cdot \nabla (w_h - I_h w_h) - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K f (w_h - I_h w_h) \\ &+ \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial K} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial n^2} \frac{\partial w_h}{\partial n} \, ds + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial K} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial s \partial n} \frac{\partial w_h}{\partial s} \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ are tangential and normal derivatives along element boundaries, respectively. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the standard interpolation error estimate lead to

$$(29) \quad \left| \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K f (w_h - I_h w_h) \, dx_1 dx_2 \right| \leq Ch^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} |w_h|_h.$$

and

$$(f, I_h w_h - w_h) \leq Ch^2 \|f\|_{0,\Omega} \|w_h\|_{2,h}.$$

Again, as $V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}} \subset V_{h0}^{\text{QM}}$, it holds by [10] that

$$(30) \quad \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial K} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial n^2} \frac{\partial w_h}{\partial n} \, ds + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial K} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial s \partial n} \frac{\partial w_h}{\partial s} \, ds \leq Ch \|u\|_{3,\Omega} |w_h|_{2,h}.$$

and finally

$$(31) \quad |(\nabla^2 u, \nabla_h^2 v_h) - (f, v_h)| \leq Ch |v_h|_{2,h} (\|u\|_{3,\Omega} + h \|f\|_{0,\Omega}), \quad \forall v_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}.$$

For approximation error, we refer to Theorem 13. The proof is completed.

Remark 14. Based on the exact relation between V_{h0}^{RQM} and $\mathring{V}_{h0}^{\text{PS}}$, the consistency error estimate can also be established based on the techniques in [1].

5. ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FINITE ELEMENT SCHEME

It is evident that, the restrictions of the continuity of the RQM element function across internal edges are more than necessary to shape a quadratic polynomial on a quadrilateral. For special cases, such as for a rectangular grid on a rectangle domain, a linearly independent set of basis functions of the space can be given, while in general, the RQM element space may not be easy to be constructed by figuring out local basis functions. In this section, we present an explicit description of the basis functions on rectangle grids, and present an alternative approach how (20) can be implemented for general quadrilateral subdivision.

5.1. Local basis functions of the RQM element space on rectangular subdivisions. In this part, we consider the case that the domain can be covered by a rectangular subdivision. Namely, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a rectangle. For a subdivision of any domain ω , again, we use $\mathcal{E}_h, \mathcal{E}_h^i, \mathcal{X}_h$ and \mathcal{X}_h^i for the set of faces, interior faces, edges, interior edges, vertices and interior vertices, respectively. For any edge $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$, denote by t_e the unit tangential vector along e . Particularly, if none of the vertices of a cell K is on the boundary of ω , we name this cell an *interior* cell. We use \mathcal{K}_h^i for the set of interior cells. We use the symbol “#” for the cardinal of a set. Let \mathcal{G}_h be a shape regular rectangle subdivision of Ω .

Lemma 15. *Let ω be a rectangle, and \mathcal{T}_ω be a 3×3 subdivision of ω . Let V_{h0}^{RQM} be the homogeneous RQM finite element space defined on \mathcal{T}_ω . Then $\dim(V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}) = 1$.*

Proof. We begin with the local construction of a quadratic polynomial versus a rectangle. Let K be a rectangle with vertices a_i and edges Γ_i , c.f. Figure 3, left. Then, given $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1 : 4$, there exists uniquely a $p \in P_2(K)$, such that

$$p(a_i) = \alpha_i, \quad \int_{\Gamma_1} \partial_x p = \beta_1, \quad \int_{\Gamma_2} \partial_y p = \beta_2, \quad \int_{\Gamma_3} \partial_x p = \beta_3, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Gamma_4} \partial_y p = \beta_4,$$

if and only if

$$(32) \quad \frac{\alpha_4 - \alpha_1}{L} + \frac{\alpha_3 - \alpha_2}{L} = \beta_1 + \beta_3, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha_4 - \alpha_3}{H} + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{L} = \beta_2 + \beta_4.$$

This way, under the compatible condition (32), a quadratic polynomial is uniquely determined by its evaluation on vertices and derivative on edges.




FIGURE 3. Left: Illustration of a rectangle K , with width L and height H . Right: Illustration of ω and \mathcal{T}_ω : X_j^i denotes the vertices, Y_j^i and Z_j^i denote the midpoints, and K_j^i denotes the cells. The Greek letters denote the width (length) of the cells in the same column (row).

Now let the geometric features of ω and \mathcal{T}_ω be labelled as in Figure 3, right. Given $\varphi \in V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}(\mathcal{T}_\omega)$, denote by $x_j^i := \varphi(X_j^i)$, $y_j^i := \partial_y \varphi(Y_j^i)$ and $z_j^i := \partial_x \varphi(Z_j^i)$. By the compatible condition (32) on every cell, we have, row by row,

$$(33) \quad \frac{x_1^1}{\kappa} = z_1^1, \quad -\frac{x_1^1}{\alpha} = y_1^1, \quad \frac{x_2^1 - x_1^1}{\sigma} = z_2^1 + z_1^1, \quad -\frac{x_2^1 + x_1^1}{\alpha} = y_2^1, \quad -\frac{x_2^1}{\alpha} = y_3^1, \quad -\frac{x_2^1}{\delta} = z_2^1,$$

$$(34) \quad \frac{x_1^1 + x_1^2}{\kappa} = z_1^2, \quad \frac{x_1^1 - x_1^2}{\beta} = y_1^1 + y_1^2, \quad \frac{x_1^1 + x_2^1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2}{\beta} = y_2^1 + y_2^2,$$

$$(35) \quad \frac{x_2^1 + x_2^2 - x_1^1 - x_1^2}{\sigma} = z_2^2 + z_1^2, \quad -\frac{x_2^1 + x_2^2}{\delta} = z_2^2, \quad \frac{x_2^1 - x_2^2}{\beta} = y_3^1 + y_3^2,$$

$$(36) \quad \frac{x_1^2}{\kappa} = z_1^3, \quad \frac{x_1^2}{\gamma} = y_1^2, \quad \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{\gamma} = y_2^2, \quad \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{\sigma} = z_2^3 + z_1^3, \quad -\frac{x_2^2}{\delta} = z_2^3, \quad \frac{x_2^2}{\gamma} = y_3^2.$$

We further rewrite the system equivalently to, after adjusting the order,

$$(37) \quad x_1^1 - \kappa z_1^1 = 0, \quad x_2^1 + \delta z_2^1 = 0, \quad x_1^1 + \alpha y_1^1 = 0, \quad x_1^2 - \gamma y_1^2 = 0$$

$$(38) \quad x_2^1 + \alpha y_3^1 = 0, \quad x_2^2 - \gamma y_3^2 = 0, \quad x_1^2 - \kappa z_1^3 = 0, \quad x_2^2 + \delta z_2^3 = 0$$

$$(39) \quad x_1^1 + x_2^1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 - \beta(y_2^1 + y_2^2) = 0$$

$$(40) \quad x_2^1 + x_2^2 - x_1^1 - x_1^2 - \sigma(z_2^2 + z_1^2) = 0.$$

$$(41) \quad \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\delta}\right)x_2^1 - \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\kappa}\right)x_1^1 = 0$$

$$(42) \quad \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)x_1^1 - \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{\gamma}\right)x_1^2 = 0$$

$$(43) \quad \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)x_2^1 - \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{\gamma}\right)x_2^2 = 0$$

$$(44) \quad \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\delta}\right)x_2^2 - \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\kappa}\right)x_1^2 = 0$$

$$(45) \quad x_2^1 + x_1^1 + \alpha y_2^1 = 0$$

$$(46) \quad x_1^1 + x_1^2 - \kappa z_1^2 = 0$$

$$(47) \quad x_2^1 + x_2^2 + \delta z_2^2 = 0$$

$$(48) \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 - \gamma y_2^2 = 0$$

It is straightforward to verify that (39) = $-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}(45) + \frac{\beta}{\gamma}(48) + (42) + (43)$, (40) = $-\frac{\sigma}{\delta}(47) + \frac{\sigma}{\kappa}(46) +$

(41) + (44) and (43) = $\frac{1 + \beta/\alpha}{1 + \sigma/\delta}(41) + \frac{1 + \sigma/\kappa}{1 + \sigma/\delta}(42) - \frac{1 + \beta/\gamma}{1 + \sigma/\delta}(44)$. Now, eliminate Equations (43),

(39) and (40) from the system, and it is easy to see the remaining fifteen equations are linearly independent and the system admits a one-dimension solution space. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 16. *If a subdivision \mathcal{G}_Ω of Ω does not have any interior cell, then $\dim(V_{h0}^{\text{RMW}}(\mathcal{G}_\Omega)) = 0$.*

Theorem 17. *Let \mathcal{G}_h be a rectangular subdivision of Ω . For the homogeneous RQM element space V_{h0}^{RQM} on Ω . Then $\dim(V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}) = \#(\mathcal{K}_j^i(\mathcal{T}_h))$, the number of interior cells of the subdivision \mathcal{T}_h .*

Proof. We prove the result by showing how a sweeping procedure works. Assume the domain is subdivided by $m \times n$ rectangles. In y direction, let the grid \mathcal{T}_ω be decomposed to m rows, each being \mathcal{T}^i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, and in x direction, let the grid be decomposed to n columns, each being \mathcal{T}_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$; see Figure 4. Label the vertices by a_j^i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, and the cells by T_j^i . That is, $T_j^i = \mathcal{T}^i \cap \mathcal{T}_j$, and the vertices of T_j^i are a_{j-1}^{i-1} , a_j^{i-1} , a_{j-1}^i and a_j^i .

The interior cells of ω are T_j^i with $2 \leq i \leq m-1$ and $2 \leq j \leq n-1$. For any interior cell T_j^i , there is 3×3 patch, labelled as P_j^i , with T_j^i being its center cell. A homogeneous RQM element space $V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}(P_j^i)$ can be constructed on P_j^i . Here, we do not distinct $V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}(P_j^i)$ and its extension onto the whole domain ω by zero. Now we carry out the sweeping procedure below.

Given $w \in V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}(\mathcal{T}_\omega)$, we begin with the first row \mathcal{T}^1 of the subdivision. Note that T_2^2 is the only interior cell whose patch contain T_1^1 , and there exists a unique $\phi_2^2 \in V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}(P_2^2)$, such that $\phi_2^2 = w_h$ in




FIGURE 4. Illustration of the domain and the triangulation.

T_1^1 . Denote $w_1^1 := w - \phi_2^2$, and $w_1^1 \in V_{h0}^{\text{RMW}}(\mathcal{T}_\omega \setminus \{T_1^1\})$. Then, there exists a unique $\phi_3^2 \in V_{h0}^{\text{RMW}}(P_3^2)$, such that $\phi_3^2 = w_1^1$ on T_2^1 . Further, $w_2^1 := w_1^1 - \phi_3^2 \in V_{h0}^{\text{RMW}}(\mathcal{T} - \{T_1^1, T_2^1\})$. By repeating the procedure along the first row of the subdivision, we will obtain a $w_{n-2}^1 = w - \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \phi_j^2$ with $\phi_j^2 \in V_{h0}^{\text{RMW}}(P_j^2)$, and $w_{n-2}^1 \in V_{h0}^{\text{RMW}}(\mathcal{T}_\omega \setminus \cup_{j=1}^n 2\{T_j^1\})$. By the compatible condition, it follows that $w_{n-2}^1 = 0$ on $T_{n-1}^1 \cup T_n^1$, and $w_{n-2}^1 \in V_{h0}^{\text{RMW}}(\mathcal{T}_h \setminus \mathcal{T}^1)$.

Repeat the procedure along the rows \mathcal{T}^i , $i = 2, \dots, m-2$, we can represent $w = w_{n-2}^{m-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \phi_j^{i+1}$, with $w_{n-2}^{m-2} \in V_{h0}^{\text{RMW}}(\mathcal{T}^{m-1} \cup \mathcal{T}^m)$, and $\phi_j^i \in V_{h0}^{\text{RMW}}(P_j^i)$. By the virtue of Remark 16, it is easy to verify $w_{n-2}^{m-2} = 0$. Namely $w = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \phi_j^{i+1}$ with $\phi_j^i \in V_{h0}^{\text{RMW}}(P_j^i)$. This way, any function in V_{h0}^{RMW} can be represented as a linear combination of basis functions of $V_{h0}^{\text{RMW}}(P_j^i)$, $i = 2, \dots, m-1$, $j = 2, \dots, n-1$, uniquely. The proof is completed. \square

Remark 18. *The set of the homogeneous RQM element function constructed on each 3×3 form a basis of $V_{h0}^{\text{RMW}}(\mathcal{G}_h)$, which is used for programming.*

Remark 19. *If Ω is a domain such that all sides are parallel axes, it can be subdivided to rectangular blocks, and the sweeping procedure can be run row by row and block by block.*

5.2. General implementation on quadrilateral subdivisions. When the subdivision consist of arbitrary quadrilaterals, there may be too many patterns and we do not seek to construct clearly a set of basis functions of V_{h0}^{RQM} . We instead present an approach how (20) can be implemented.

We begin with the fact that $V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}} = \{w_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{QW}} : \int_e \frac{\partial w_h}{\partial n} = 0, \forall e \in \mathcal{E}_h\}$. Define $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{E}_h)$ the space of piecewise constant functions defined on \mathcal{E}_h . An equivalent formulation of (20) is then to find

$(u_h, \lambda_h) \in V_{h0}^{\text{QW}} \times \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{E}_h)$, such that

$$(49) \quad \begin{cases} (\nabla_h^2 u_h, \nabla_h^2 v_h) + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_e \llbracket \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \rrbracket \lambda_h = (f, v_h) \\ \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_e \llbracket \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial n} \rrbracket \mu_h = 0. \end{cases}$$

The lemma below is direct.

Lemma 20. *The problem (49) admits a solution (u_h, λ_h) , and $u_h \in V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}}$ solves (20). Moreover, if (u_h, λ_h) and $(\hat{u}_h, \hat{\lambda}_h)$ are two solutions of (49), then $u_h = \hat{u}_h$.*

Remark 21. *Actually, it holds that $V_{h0}^{\text{RQM}} = V_{h0}^{\text{QW}} \cap V_{h0}^{\text{QM}}$.*

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we present an approach how a minimal-degree consistent finite element space can be constructed for biharmonic equation on quadrilateral grids. The finite element space is designed, and a practical approach how it can be implemented is also given. Technically, the two main ingredients for the analysis are the exact relation between the space and a vector Park-Sheen element space, and a generally unstable $P_1 - P_0$ pair for Stokes problem. We remark that, on rectangular grids, the role of the $P_1 - P_0$ pair may be replaced by $Q_1 - P_0$ pair; we refer to [11] for related discussion.

In this space, we focus ourselves on the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary value problem of the biharmonic equation. The homogeneous Navier type boundary value problem can be studied in future. As RQM element space is a subspace of rectangular Morley element space, and the Morley element space with Navier type boundary value condition can be used for Poisson equation with high accuracy of $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ order on uniform subdivisions, the RQM element space can be expected to be an optimal quadratic element for Poisson equation there on. This will be studied in future. Also, problems of higher orders can be studied as well.

When the grid is rectangular, an explicit set of locally-supported basis functions are given. For other grids, the finite element scheme is implemented in an indirect way, namely, rewritten in the formulation of (49). The sufficiency of (49) for the primal formulation is obtained. In the future, the well-posed-ness of (49) could be studied. The construction of an explicit set of locally-supported basis functions on general quadrilateral grids will also be discussed.

Finally, the RQM finite element space is defined in a way similar to spline but with less smoothness. We can treat this as some nonconforming spline function. This paper is focused on quadrilateral subdivisions, and its generalization to less regular grids, such as triangular grids can be of theoretical and practical meaning. This will be studied in future.

REFERENCES

- [1] R Altmann and Carsten Carstensen. P_1 -nonconforming finite elements on triangulations into triangles and quadrilaterals. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 50(2):418–438, 2012.
- [2] Douglas Arnold, Daniele Boffi, and Richard Falk. Approximation by quadrilateral finite elements. *Mathematics of computation*, 71(239):909–922, 2002.
- [3] Douglas N Arnold, Daniele Boffi, Richard S Falk, and Lucia Gastaldi. Finite element approximation on quadrilateral meshes. *Communications in Applied Numerical Methods (currently: International Journal for Numerical Methods in Biomedical Engineering)*, 17(11):805–812, 2001.
- [4] Philippe G Ciarlet. The finite element method for elliptic problems, 1978.
- [5] M Fortin and M Soulie. A non-conforming piecewise quadratic finite element on triangles. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 19(4):505–520, 1983.
- [6] Jun Hu and Zhong-Ci Shi. Constrained quadrilateral nonconforming rotated Q_1 element. *Journal of Computational Mathematics*, pages 561–586, 2005.
- [7] Jun Hu and Shangyou Zhang. The minimal conforming H^k finite element spaces on \mathbb{R}^n rectangular grids. *Mathematics of Computation*, 84(292):563–579, 2015.
- [8] Qun Lin, Lutz Tobiska, and Aihui Zhou. Superconvergence and extrapolation of non-conforming low order finite elements applied to the poisson equation. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 25(1):160–181, 2005.
- [9] Chunjae Park and Dongwoo Sheen. P_1 -nonconforming quadrilateral finite element methods for second-order elliptic problems. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 41(2):624–640, 2003.
- [10] Chunjae Park and Dongwoo Sheen. A quadrilateral Morley element for biharmonic equations. *Numerische Mathematik*, 124(2):395–413, 2013.
- [11] Juhani Pitkäranta and Rolf Stenberg. Error bounds for the approximation of the Stokes problem using bilinear/constant elements on irregular quadrilateral meshes. pages 325–334, 1985.
- [12] Jinshui Qin and Shangyou Zhang. Stability and approximability of the $\mathcal{P}_1 - \mathcal{P}_0$ element for stokes equations. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, 54(5):497–515, 2007.
- [13] Zhong-Ci Shi. Error estimates of Morley element. *Math. Numer. Sinica*, 12(2):113–118, 1990.
- [14] Ming Wang and Jinchao Xu. Minimal finite element spaces for $2m$ -th-order partial differential equations in \mathbb{R}^n . *Mathematics of Computation*, 82(281):25–43, 2013.
- [15] Ming Wang and Zhong-Ci Shi. *Finite element methods*. Science Press, 2013.
- [16] Shuonan Wu and Jinchao Xu. Nonconforming finite element spaces for $2m$ -th order partial differential equations on \mathbb{R}^n simplicial grids when $m = n + 1$. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.10873*, 2017.
- [17] Shuo Zhang. Stable finite element pair for stokes problem and discrete stokes complex on quadrilateral grids. *Numerische Mathematik*, 133(2):371–408, 2016.

LSEC, INSTITUTE OF COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC/ENGINEERING COMPUTING, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEM SCIENCES, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
E-mail address: szhang@lsec.cc.ac.cn