Theoretical investigation of Black-body Zeeman Shifts in Microwave Atomic Clock*
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With the development of microwave atomic clocks, black-body radiation Zeeman shifts need to be considered carefully. In this Letter, the frequency shifts of hyperfine splittings of ground state due to black-body magnetic field are investigated. The relative frequency shifts of different alkali atoms and alkali-like ions which could be candidates of microwave atomic clocks are calculated, and results are from \(-0.977 \times 10^{-17}[T(K)/300]^2\) to \(-1.947 \times 10^{-17}[T(K)/300]^2\) for different atoms. These results are consistent with previous works but with more precision, detailed derivations and clear physical pictures.

PACS: no more than four PACS codes should be provided

In all atomic systems, the energy levels are shifted by the black-body radiation (BBR), and these shifts may be ignored due to its small value. With the development of atomic clocks, the BBR shifts become one of the main frequency shifts, and has to be considered seriously. There are lots of theoretical studies [1-8] and experimental studies [9,10] of BBR shifts in microwave or optical atomic clocks. However, most of those studies focus on BBR Stark (BBRS) shifts, because BBRS shifts are much larger than BBR Zeeman (BBRZ) shifts for microwave atomic clocks. To our knowledge, there are only few theoretical studies [11,12] about BBRZ shifts and have no experimental results. So far, the frequency uncertainty of the state-of-the-art cesium fountain has been decreased to the level of 1e-16. It is worthwhile to consider the BBRZ shifts more carefully for microwave atomic clocks, whose frequency uncertainty could be improved to 1e-17 level in the next decade.

In this letter, we give a detailed derivation of BBRZ shifts for the alkali atoms and alkali like ions in the ground state, which could be applied to microwave atomic clocks. This theoretical investigation of BBRZ shifts could be important for the development of microwave atomic clocks.

According to Planck’s radiation law,

\[
\int_0^{+\infty} u(\omega)d\omega = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\hbar}{\pi^2c^3} \frac{\omega^3}{e^{\omega/kT} - 1} d\omega = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \langle E^2(t) \rangle + \frac{1}{2\mu_0} \langle B^2(t) \rangle, (1)
\]

where \(u(\omega)d\omega\) is the field energy density in a bandwidth \(d\omega\) around \(\omega\), \(\langle E^2(t) \rangle\)
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\( \langle B^2(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} B^2(\omega) d\omega \) \hspace{1cm} (2)

and

\( \langle E^2(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} E^2(\omega) d\omega \) \hspace{1cm} (3)

Here, \( E^2(\omega) \) and \( B^2(\omega) \) are the field energy density in a bandwidth \( d\omega \) around \( \omega \) of the electric and magnetic fields, respectively.

According to electromagnetism theory, the electric and magnetic fields in an electromagnetic wave have the same energy. Thus, \( B^2(\omega) d\omega \) is

\[ B^2(\omega) d\omega = \frac{2\mu_0 \hbar}{\pi^2 c^3} \frac{\omega^3}{e^{\frac{\hbar \omega}{kT}} - 1} d\omega. \] \hspace{1cm} (4)

We consider a simple situation where a magnetic field oscillates at frequency \( \omega \) interacting with two level atoms. The equation of motion and the Hamiltonian are expressed as

\[ i\hbar \frac{d\psi}{dt} = \hat{\mathcal{H}} \psi \] \hspace{1cm} (5)

and

\[ \hat{\mathcal{H}} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_A + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{AF}(t), \] \hspace{1cm} (6)

where

\[ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{AF}(t) = \mu \cdot B \cos \omega t \] \hspace{1cm} (7)

is the Hamiltonian of free atom, \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{AF} \) is the atom-field interaction Hamiltonian, \( \mu \) is the magnetic moment, and \( B \) is the amplitude of the monochromatic field.

The wave function of \( \hat{\mathcal{H}} \),

\[ \psi(r, t) = C_g(t) \psi_g(r) e^{-i\omega_g t} + C_e(t) \psi_e(r) e^{-i\omega_e t}, \] \hspace{1cm} (8)

is the superposition of the two eigenfunctions, where \( \omega_g, \omega_e \) are the frequencies of the ground and excited state, \( C_g, C_e \) are the overlay coefficients of the two states.

Then, we can get the equations of the coefficients

\[
\begin{align*}
    i\dot{C}_g &= C_e \left[ e^{i(\omega-\omega_0)t} + e^{-i(\omega+\omega_0)t} \right] \frac{\Omega}{2}, \\
    i\dot{C}_e &= C_g \left[ e^{-i(\omega-\omega_0)t} + e^{i(\omega+\omega_0)t} \right] \frac{\Omega'}{2},
\end{align*}
\] \hspace{1cm} (9)

where \( \Omega = \langle g|\mu \cdot B|e \rangle / \hbar \) is the magnetic Rabi frequency, and \( \omega_0 = \omega_e - \omega_g \) is the resonance frequency between two levels. Here, we define coupling coefficient \( b = |\langle g|\mu|e \rangle| / \mu_B \), so \( \Omega^2 = b^2 \mu_B^2 B^2 / \hbar^2 \).

If the frequency of radiation field \( \omega \) is near resonance, \( |\omega - \omega_0| \ll \omega_0 \), we can use the rotating-wave approximation (RWA) to simplify Eq. (9), and we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
i \dot{C}_g = C_e e^{i(\omega - \omega_0)t} \frac{\Omega}{2} \\
i \dot{C}_e = C_g e^{-i(\omega - \omega_0)t} \frac{\Omega}{2} 
\end{array} \right. 
\tag{10}
\end{align*}
\]

After solving the secular equations of Eq. (10), the frequency shifts caused by the counter-rotating term is \cite{13}:

\[
\triangle \omega_{rot} = - \frac{(b_e^2 + b_g^2) \mu_B^2 B^2}{4(\omega - \omega_0)} = - \frac{b_t^2 \mu_B^2 B^2}{4(\omega - \omega_0)} 
\tag{11}
\]

where \( b_g \) and \( b_e \) are the coupling coefficients of the ground and excited states, and \( b_t^2 = b_e^2 + b_g^2 \).

For the situation of far detuning, \( |\omega - \omega_0| \approx \omega_0 \) or \( \omega \), the RWA is invalid. Thus, the counter-rotating terms are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
i \dot{C}_g = C_e e^{i(\omega + \omega_0)t} \frac{\Omega}{2} \\
i \dot{C}_e = C_g e^{i(\omega + \omega_0)t} \frac{\Omega}{2} 
\end{array} \right. 
\tag{12}
\end{align*}
\]

We can treat the counter-rotating terms as another monochromatic field with frequency of \(- \omega\), and the frequency shift has the same form with only \( \omega \) replaced by \(- \omega\). Thus, the frequency shift caused by the counter-rotating term is \cite{14}:

\[
\triangle \omega_{cr} = \frac{b_t^2 \mu_B^2 B^2}{4(\omega + \omega_0)} 
\tag{13}
\]

Combining Eq. (11) and (13), the total frequency shift of far-detuning situation are

\[
\triangle \omega_{f \text{det}} = \triangle \omega_{rot} + \triangle \omega_{cr} = - \frac{\omega_0 b_t^2 \mu_B^2 B^2}{2(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)h^2} 
\tag{14}
\]

For near-resonance situation, there’s a more accurate expression of the frequency shift \cite{15,16}, then the complete expressions of frequency shift of ground at different situations is

\[
\triangle \omega = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
- \frac{\omega_0 b_t^2 \mu_B^2 B^2(\omega)}{2(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)h^2} & \text{for } 0 < \omega < \omega_0 - \delta \omega_0 \text{ and } \omega > \omega_0 + \delta \omega_0 \\
- \frac{b_t^2 \mu_B^2 B^2(\omega)}{2h^2} & \text{for } \omega_0 - \delta \omega_0 \leq \omega \leq \omega_0 + \delta \omega_0 \\
\frac{\omega - \omega_0}{(\omega - \omega_0)^2 + \frac{b_t^2 \mu_B^2 B^2(\omega)}{h^2}} & \text{for } \omega_0 - \delta \omega_0 \leq \omega \leq \omega_0 + \delta \omega_0 
\end{array} \right. 
\tag{15}
\]

and the excited state has the opposite sign. Where we choose \( \omega_0 \mp \delta \omega_0 \) as the lower and the upper limits of near-resonance situation. Without loss of generality, we can make \( \delta \omega_0 = 0.01\omega_0 \) for calculation.

Then, we need to calculate the coupling coefficient,

\[
b = \frac{|\langle g | \mu | e \rangle|}{\mu_B} = \frac{|\langle Fm_F | \mu | F'm_{F'} \rangle|}{\mu_B}, 
\tag{16}
\]
between the ground and the excited states in order to calculate $\Delta \omega$.

Because the ground and excited states have different total angular momentum $F$ and different total angular momentum magnetic quantum number $m_F$, we cannot get the coupling coefficient $b$ directly by using the coupling formula of total electronic angular momentum g-factor $g_J$, and nuclear spin angular momentum g-factor $g_I$. Instead, we need to separate the coupling representation into the non-coupled representation by using the Clebsh-Gordon coefficients,

$$|IJ; Fm_F\rangle = \sum_{m_j=-J}^{J} \sum_{m_i=-I}^{I} |Jm_j; Im_j\rangle \langle Jm_j; Im_j|IJ; Fm_F\rangle.$$

(17)

For example, the clock transition for the atoms with nuclear spin $I = 1/2$ is the hyperfine splittings of $^2S_{1/2} F = 0, m_F = 0$ to $^2S_{1/2} F = 1, m_F = 0$, and the energy levels are shown in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1 Energy levels for the ground state of $I = 1/2$ atom.

As shown in Fig. 1, we need to consider all other states that can influence the target states we care about. In this situation, there are three transitions that can shift the ground state level of the clock transition, $|00\rangle \rightarrow |11\rangle$, $|00\rangle \rightarrow |10\rangle$, and $|00\rangle \rightarrow |1 - 1\rangle$.

For energy transition $|00\rangle \rightarrow |11\rangle$, the non-coupled representations of each levels are shown in Fig.2.

$$|e\rangle \rightarrow |00\rangle |11\rangle = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right].$$

Fig.2 Non-coupled representations of $|00\rangle |11\rangle$.

Thus, the coupling coefficient $b_g$ is

$$b_g = \langle 00|g_I J + g_J I|11\rangle.$$
\[
\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right)
\]

Using the same methods, we can get the coupling coefficients for \(|00\rangle \rightarrow |10\rangle\) and \(|00\rangle \rightarrow |1-1\rangle\), and the results of \(b_g\) are \((g_j - g_i)/2\) and \((g_j - g_i)/2\sqrt{2}\), respectively.

Only one transition can shift the excited state of clock transition, \(|10\rangle\rightarrow |00\rangle\), which \(b_e\) is \((g_j - g_i)/2\).

The total coupling coefficient of \(I = 1/2\) clock transition is

\[b_t^2 = b_e^2 + b_g^2 = \frac{3}{4} (g_j - g_i)^2.\]  

For different nuclear spin, the coupling coefficients are calculated in the same methods and are listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclear spin</th>
<th>Alkali atoms and Alkali-like ions</th>
<th>Total Coupling Coefficient (b_t^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>(^1H, ^3H, ^3He^+, ^{111}Cd^+, ^{113}Cd^+, ^{171}Yb^+, ^{199}Hg^+)</td>
<td>(\frac{3}{4} (g_j - g_i)^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>(^7Li, ^{23}Na, ^{39}K, ^{41}K, ^{87}Rb, ^{9}Be^+, ^{135}Ba^+, ^{137}Ba^+, ^{201}Hg^+)</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{3} \left[ (3 + \sqrt{2})g_j^2 - \frac{7g_jg_i}{6} + \frac{3g_i^2}{4} \right])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>(^{85}Rb, ^{25}Mg^+, ^{67}Zn^+, ^{173}Yb^+)</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{3} \left[ (3 + \sqrt{2})g_j^2 - \frac{7g_jg_i}{6} + \frac{3g_i^2}{4} \right])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/2</td>
<td>(^{133}Cs, ^{43}Ca^+)</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{8} \left[ (8 + \sqrt{15})g_j^2 - 9g_jg_i + 6g_i^2 \right])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/2</td>
<td>(^{87}Sr^+)</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{20} \left[ 4(5 + \sqrt{6})g_j^2 - 22g_jg_i + 15g_i^2 \right])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The BBR field is a broadband radiation field and uniform in space distributions. There’s only 1/3 of total radiation field energy density parallel to the static magnetic field’s direction, which causes the \(mF = 0 \rightarrow mF = 0\) transition. The remaining part of radiation field energy density is orthogonal to the static magnetic field, and can be decomposed into the left and right circular polarized light, which can cause the \(mF = 0 \rightarrow mF = \pm 1\) transitions\(^{[17]}\). To get the total BBRZ shifts, the Eq. (18) is integrated for all \(\omega\), and multiply 1/3 for space distributions,
\[
\frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega_0} = - \int_{0}^{\omega_0 - \delta \omega_0} \frac{b_t^2 \mu_B^2 B^2(\omega)}{6\hbar^2(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)} d\omega - \int_{\omega_0 - \delta \omega_0}^{\omega_0 + \delta \omega_0} \frac{b_t^2 \mu_B^2 B^2(\omega)}{6\omega_0 \hbar^2} \left( \frac{\omega - \omega_0}{(\omega - \omega_0)^2 + \frac{b_t^2 \mu_B^2 B^2(\omega)}{\hbar^2}} \right) d\omega - \int_{\omega_0 + \delta \omega_0}^{+\infty} \frac{b_t^2 \mu_B^2 B^2(\omega)}{6\hbar^2(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)} d\omega.
\]  

(20)

Using Eq. (20), we can calculate the frequency shifts for each candidate atom for microwave atomic clocks. The atomic structure data are cited from Ref. [18-21] and the results are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. The relative BBRZ shifts for alkali atoms and alkali-like ions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclear spin $I$</th>
<th>Alkali atoms and Alkali-like ions</th>
<th>Relative BBRZ Frequency shifts $\frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega_0} \left( \frac{(T(K))^2}{300} \right)$ (x 10^{-17})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>$^1H$</td>
<td>-0.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^3H$</td>
<td>-0.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^3He^+$</td>
<td>-0.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{111}Cd^+$</td>
<td>-0.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{113}Cd^+$</td>
<td>-0.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{171}Yb^+$</td>
<td>-0.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{199}Hg^+$</td>
<td>-0.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^7Li$</td>
<td>-1.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{23}Na$</td>
<td>-1.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{39}K$</td>
<td>-1.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>$^{41}K$</td>
<td>-1.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{87}Rb$</td>
<td>-1.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^9Be^+$</td>
<td>-1.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{135}Ba^+$</td>
<td>-1.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{137}Ba^+$</td>
<td>-1.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{201}Hg^+$</td>
<td>-1.874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>$^{85}Rb$</td>
<td>-1.923</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Itano et al.\textsuperscript{[11]} analyzed the BBRZ shifts for any alkali atoms and alkali-like ions in the ground state, and gave the result of $-1.304 \times 10^{-17}[T(K)/300]^2$. Our results are pretty close to their results. In addition, we derived the BBRZ shifts for different atoms with different nuclear spins and different hyperfine splittings.

In Ref [12], the BBRZ shifts of cesium are calculated to be $-4.933 \times 10^{-15}[T(K)/300]^3$. Their results are quite different from Itano’s and our results. The main mistake of Ref [12] is that the authors used the rotating-wave approximation which is invalid in this situation and counter-rotating terms need to be considered.

In conclusion, this letter gives a detailed derivation of BBRZ shifts for the alkali atoms and alkali-like ions in ground state, which could be applied for microwave atomic clocks. The results are very close to previous works, and give a clearer and more reasonable physical picture for this phenomenon. The results could be very useful for further improvement of microwave atomic clocks.
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