arXiv:1712.01064v6 [math.FA] 30 Mar 2018

Iterated and Mixed Weak Norms with Applications to Geometric Inequalities*

Ting Chen and Wenchang Sun
School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China
Emails: t.chen@nankai.edu.cn, sunwch@nankai.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we consider a new weak norm, iterated weak norm in Lebesgue spaces with mixed norms. We study properties of the mixed weak norm and the iterated weak norm and present the relationship between the two weak norms. Even for the ordinary Lebesgue spaces, the two weak norms are not equivalent and any one of them can not control the other one. We give some convergence and completeness results for the two weak norms respectively. We study the convergence in truncated norm, which is a substitution of the convergence in measure for mixed Lebesgue spaces. And we give a characterization of the convergence in truncated norm. We show that Hölder's inequality is not always true on mixed weak spaces and we give a complete characterization of indices which admit Hölder's inequality. As applications, we establish some geometric inequalities related to fractional integrals in mixed weak spaces and in iterated weak spaces respectively, which essentially generalize the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality.

Key words. Lebesgue spaces, mixed norms, weak norms, Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 42B20

1 Introduction

For $\vec{p}=(p_1,\dots,p_r)$ and a measurable function f defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\ldots\times\mathbb{R}^{n_r}$, where p_i are positive numbers and n_i are positive integers, $1\le i\le r$, we define the $L^{\vec{p}}$ norm of f by

$$\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}:=\Big\|\|f\|_{L^{p_1}_{x_1}}\cdots\Big\|_{L^{p_r}_{x_r}}.$$

The Lebesgue space $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\ldots\times\mathbb{R}^{n_r})$ with mixed norms consists of all measurable functions f for which $\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}<\infty$. For $\vec{p}=(p_1,p_2)$, we also write $L^{\vec{p}}$ as $L^{p_2}(L^{p_1})$.

Lebesgue spaces with mixed norms were first studied by Benedek and Panzone in [5], where many fundamental properties were proved. In particular, they showed that such spaces possess similar properties as usual Lebesgue spaces. See also related works

*This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11525104, 11531013 and 11761131002).

by Benedek, Calderón and Panzone [4], Rubio de Francia, Ruiz and Torrea [23], and Fernandez [15].

Recently, many works have been done for Lebesgue spaces with mixed norms. For example, Kurtz [20] proved that some classical operators, which include the strong maximal function, the double Hilbert transform and singular integral operators, are bounded on weighted Lebesgue spaces with mixed norms. Torres and Ward [26] gave Calderón's reproducing formula and wavelet characterization of such spaces. In recent works by Cleanthous, Georgiadis, and Nielsen [11] and Huang, Liu, Yang and Yuan [19] anisotropic mixed-norm Hardy spaces were also studied. In this paper, we focus on weak norms.

Recall that the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality says that for any $f \in L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ with $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 > 1$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{|x-y|^{n(2-1/p_1-1/p_2)}}dxdy \le C_{\vec{p},n}\|f\|_{L^{p_1}}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}}. \tag{1.1}$$

See the works by Beckner [1, 2, 3], Burchard [6], Carlen and Loss [7], Lieb [21], Wu, Shi and Yan [28] and see also Lieb's [22] and Stein's [24] books for the Hardy-Littlewoood-Sobolev inequality and the sharp versions. The multilinear analogues of the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality were studied by Beckner [1], Gressman [18], and Valdimarsson [27]. Besides, we refer to Christ [9, 10], Dury [12, 13, 14], and Gressman [17], Tao and Wright [25] for some related works regarding the k-plane transform and the restriction of the Fourier transform.

Observe that $\|f\|_{L^{p_1}}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}} = \|f\otimes g\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}$, where $f\otimes g(x,y) := f(x)g(y)$ and $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$. Define

$$L_\gamma f(x,y) = \frac{f(x,y)}{|x-y|^\gamma},\ \gamma > 0.$$

For $\gamma = n(2-1/p_1-1/p_2)$, (1.1) says that

$$\|L_\gamma f\otimes g\|_{L^{\vec{1}}} \lesssim \|f\otimes g\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}, \qquad f\in L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n),\ g\in L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

It is natural to ask if the above inequality is still true whenever $f\otimes g$ is replaced by a general function in $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$? More precisely, do we have

$$\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q}}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}, \qquad \forall f\in L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for appropriate $\vec{p}, \vec{q}$ and γ?

The answer is false in general. Fortunately, the above inequality is true if the $L^{\vec{p}}$ and $L^{\vec{q}}$ norms are replaced with some other mixed norms, respectively. For example, for appropriate indices, we have

$$\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{p_2}(L^{r,\infty})} \le C_{\vec{p},r,n}\|f\|_{L^{p_2}(L^{p_1,\infty})},$$

where $\|f\|_{L^{p_2}(L^{p_1,\infty})} := \|\|f(x,y)\|_{L^{p_1,\infty}_x}\|_{L^{p_2}_y}$. For a complete characterization of L_γ with respect to various choices of indices and mixed norms, we refer to Theorem 3.7.

Next we consider another variant of (1.1).

By replacing g with $g(-\cdot)$ and a change of variable, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{|x+y|^{n(2-1/p_1-1/p_2)}}dxdy \le C_{\vec{p},n}\|f\|_{L^{p_1}}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}}.$$

Observe that

$$\frac{1}{(|x+y|+|x-y|)^\gamma} \le \frac{1}{|x+y|^\gamma} + \frac{1}{|x-y|^\gamma}.$$

This prompts us to consider the following operator

$$T_\gamma f(x,y) = \frac{f(x,y)}{(|x+y|+|x-y|)^\gamma}, \qquad \gamma > 0.$$

We see from (1.1) that for $\gamma = n(2 - 1/p_1 - 1/p_2)$,

$$\|T_\gamma f \otimes g\|_{L^{\vec{1}}} \lesssim \|f \otimes g\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}.$$

We ask if the following inequality

$$\|T_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q}}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}, \qquad \forall f \in L^{\vec{p}}$$

is true for some $\vec{p}$ and $\vec{q}$?

The answer is again negative. In fact, since $(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{-\gamma} \notin L^{\vec{r}}$ for any $\vec{r}$ with $0 < r_1, r_2 \le \infty$, the above inequality is false. Moreover, the following inequality

$$\|T_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}} \le C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n,\gamma} \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \tag{1.2}$$

or

$$\|T_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}} \le C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n,\gamma} \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} \tag{1.3}$$

is also false in general, where

$$\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} := \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \|\chi_{\{|f|>\lambda\}}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}$$

is the mixed weak $L^{\vec{p}}$ norm of f.

When the mixed weak norm is replaced by the iterated weak norm defined by

$$\|f\|_{L^{(p_2,\infty)}(L^{(p_1,\infty)})} := \Big\| \|f(x,y)\|_{L_x^{p_1,\infty}} \Big\|_{L_y^{p_2,\infty}},$$

we get a positive conclusion. Specifically, for all $0 < q_1 \le p_1 \le \infty$ and $0 < q_2 \le p_2 \le \infty$ satisfying the homogeneity condition

$$\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{\gamma}{n},$$

we have

$$\|T_\gamma f\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})} \le C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n} \|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}. \tag{1.4}$$

We show in Corollary 3.3 that for $\vec{p} = (\infty,\infty)$, (1.4) implies the following geometric inequality studied in [8],

$$\|f\|_{q_1} \|g\|_{q_2} \lesssim \sup |f(x)g(y)| \cdot |x-y|^{n/q_1 + n/q_2}. \tag{1.5}$$

When $f = g = \chi_E$, $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, (1.5) becomes

$$|E|^{1/n} \lesssim \sup_{x,y\in E} |x-y|,$$

which is a well known geometric extremal problem named isodiametric inequality: amongst all sets with given diameter the ball has the maximal volume.

We show in Section 3 that the inverse version of (1.4) implies the forward and inverse Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities. Therefore, it is a generalization of the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities. Moreover, our approach gives a new method to prove the boundedness of the fractional integral

$$I_\alpha f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy$$

from L^{p_1} to L^{q_1}, where $1/q_1 = 1/p_1 - \alpha/n$.

Since (1.4) is true and (1.2) is false in general, it is interesting to investigate these two weak norms. We show in Section 2 that the two weak norms are not equivalent even if $p_1 = p_2$. Moreover, one of them can not control the other one.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we study various aspects of the two weak norms. In particular, we give the relationship between the two weak norms. We give some convergence and completeness results for both weak norms respectively. We study the convergence in truncated norm, which is a substitution of the convergence in measure for mixed Lebesgue spaces. We give a characterization of the convergence in truncated norm. We prove that Hölder's inequality holds for iterated weak spaces but it might be false in mixed weak spaces. We give a complete characterization of indices for which Hölder's inequality is true in mixed weak spaces. Besides, we give some interpolation properties in their respective spaces. In Section 3 we establish some geometric inequalities.

Throughout the paper, $A \lesssim B$ means that $A \le CB$, and the letter C stands for positive constants that are not necessarily the same at each occurrence but that are independent of the essential variables. $A \gtrsim B$ and $A \approx B$ are defined similarly.

2 Weak Norms

In this section, we study various aspects of weak norms, which include the relationship between the two weak norms, Hölder's inequality, the interpolation formula, and the convergence of sequences of functions in weak norms.

2.1 Comparison between two weak norms

For simplicity, we consider only the case of $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$. In this case, the iterated weak norm on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} &= \Big\| \|f\|_{L_x^{p_1,\infty}} \Big\|_{L_y^{p_2,\infty}} \\
&= \sup_{\gamma>0} \gamma \left| \left\{ y : \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda |\{x : |f(x,y)| > \lambda\}|^{1/p_1} > \gamma \right\} \right|^{1/p_2} \\
&= \sup_{\gamma>0} \gamma \left| \left\{ y : \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda |E_{y,\lambda}|^{1/p_1} > \gamma \right\} \right|^{1/p_2},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_{y,\lambda} := \{x : |f(x,y)| > \lambda\}. \tag{2.1}$$

And the mixed weak norm is

$$\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} = \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \|\chi_{\{|f|>\lambda\}}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} = \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(\int |E_{y,\lambda}|^{p_2/p_1} \mathrm{d}y \right)^{1/p_2}.$$

It is well known that for $q > 0$,

$$\|f\|_{L^{q,\infty}} \leq \|f\|_{L^q}. \tag{2.2}$$

Hence

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} \leq \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}.$$

Next we compare the two weak norms. The following lemma could be known. Since we do not find a proof, we include a proof here.

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose that $0 < q < \infty$ and $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the equality in (2.2) holds if and only if $|f| = C\chi_E$ for some constant C and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. Assume that $|f|$ is not of the form $C\chi_E$. Then there exist positive numbers $a < b$ such that $|\{0 < |f| < a\}| > 0$ and $|\{|f| > b\}| > 0$.

For $0 < \lambda < a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^q |\{|f| > \lambda\}| &= \int_{\{|f|>\lambda\}} \lambda^q \\ &\leq \int_{\{\lambda<|f|<b\}} |f|^q + \int_{\{|f|\geq b\}} (|f|^q - b^q + a^q) \\ &\leq \|f\|_q^q - (b^q - a^q)|\{|f| \geq b\}|. \end{aligned}$$

And for $\lambda \geq a$, we have

$$\lambda^q |\{|f| > \lambda\}| = \int_{\{|f|>\lambda\}} \lambda^q \leq \|f\|_q^q - \|f \cdot \chi_{\{0<|f|<a\}}\|_q^q.$$

Hence

$$\|f\|_{L^{q,\infty}} = \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda |\{|f| > \lambda\}|^{1/q} < \|f\|_{L^q}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Next we illustrate that iterated weak norms are order dependent. Moreover, the mixed weak norm and the iterated weak norm are not equivalent and any one of them can not control the other one. Specifically, we have the following.

Theorem 2.2 *Suppose that $0 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and m and n are positive integers. We have*

(i). $L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m) \not\subset L^{\vec{p},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ *and* $L^{\vec{p},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m) \not\subset L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$.

(ii). $L_x^{p_1,\infty}(L_y^{p_2,\infty}) \not\subset L_y^{p_2,\infty}(L_x^{p_1,\infty})$ *and* $L_y^{p_2,\infty}(L_x^{p_1,\infty}) \not\subset L_x^{p_1,\infty}(L_y^{p_2,\infty})$.

(iii). $L^{\vec{p}} \subsetneq L^{\vec{p},\infty} \bigcap L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})$.

Proof. (i). First, we consider the function $F(x,y) = 1/(|x|^{n/p_1}|y|^{m/p_2})$. It is easy to see that

$$\|F|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} = v_n^{1/p_1} v_m^{1/p_2},$$

where v_n and v_m are the volumes of unit balls in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^m$, respectively.

On the other hand, for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\left\|\chi_{\{F>\lambda\}}\right\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} = \left(\int_{|y|>0} \frac{v_n^{p_2/p_1}}{\lambda^{p_2}|y|^m} dy\right)^{1/p_2} = \infty.$$

Hence $\|F\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} = \infty$. This proves $L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty}) \not\subset L^{\vec{p},\infty}$.

Next we consider the function $G(x,y) = a^{|y|^m}\chi_{[0,a^{-p_1|y|^m/n}]}(|x|)$, where $a > 1$ is a constant. For any $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$|\{x : G(x,y) > \lambda\}| = \begin{cases} v_n a^{-p_1|y|^m}, & \lambda < a^{|y|^m}, \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\|G(\cdot,y)\|_{L^{p_1,\infty}} = v_n^{1/p_1}, \qquad |y| > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\|G\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} = \infty.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\{x : G(x,y) > \lambda\}|^{p_2/p_1} dy\right)^{1/p_2} &= \left(\int_{a^{|y|^m}>\lambda} v_n^{p_2/p_1} a^{-p_2|y|^m} dy\right)^{1/p_2} \\ &= \frac{v_n^{1/p_1} v_m^{1/p_2}}{(p_2 \ln a)^{1/p_2}\lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|G\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} = \frac{v_n^{1/p_1} v_m^{1/p_2}}{(p_2 \ln a)^{1/p_2}}.$$

Therefore, $G \in L^{\vec{p},\infty} \setminus L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})$.

(ii). Consider the previous function $G(x,y)$ defined in (i). Fix some $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| < 1$. We have

$$\{y : G(x,y) > \lambda\} = \left\{y : \frac{\ln\lambda}{\ln a} < |y|^m \le \frac{-n\ln|x|}{p_1 \ln a}\right\}.$$

Hence

$$|\{y : G(x,y) > \lambda\}| = \begin{cases} v_m\left(\frac{-n\ln|x|}{p_1\ln a} - \frac{\ln\lambda}{\ln a}\right), & \lambda < \frac{1}{|x|^{n/p_1}}, \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda|\{y : G(x,y) > \lambda\}|^{1/p_2} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{v_m}{p_2 e \ln a}\right)^{1/p_2} \frac{1}{|x|^{n/p_1}}, & |x| < 1, \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$

Consequently,

$$\|G\|_{L_x^{p_1,\infty}(L_y^{p_2,\infty})} = \frac{v_m^{1/p_2} v_n^{1/p_1}}{(p_2 e \ln a)^{1/p_2}} < \infty.$$

In other words, $G \in L_x^{p_1,\infty}(L_y^{p_2,\infty}) \setminus L_y^{p_2,\infty}(L_x^{p_1,\infty})$. Replacing $G(x,y)$ with $G(y,x)$ we get $L_y^{p_2,\infty}(L_x^{p_1,\infty}) \setminus L_x^{p_1,\infty}(L_y^{p_2,\infty}) \neq \emptyset$.

(iii). It is obvious that the left-hand side of (iii) is a subset of the right-hand side. So we only need to show that it is a proper subset.

Take some $w \in L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^m) \setminus \{0\}$. Let $F(x,y) = w(y)/|x|^{n/p_1}$. Then we have $F \notin L^{\vec{p}}$.

For any $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$|\{x : |F(x,y)| > \lambda\}| = v_n \frac{|w(y)|^{p_1}}{\lambda^{p_1}}.$$

Hence

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\{x : |F(x,y)| > \lambda\}|^{p_2/p_1} dy \right)^{1/p_2} = \frac{v_n^{1/p_1} \|w\|_{p_2}}{\lambda}.$$

Therefore, $\|F\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \leq v_n^{1/p_1} \|w\|_{p_2}$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $\|F\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} = \|1/|x|^{n/p_1}\|_{L^{p_1,\infty}} \|w\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}} \leq v_n^{1/p_1} \|w\|_{p_2}$.

□

It is easy to see that $f \otimes g \in L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty}) \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $f \in L^{p_1,\infty} \setminus \{0\}$ and $g \in L^{p_2,\infty} \setminus \{0\}$. Next we consider the conditions for $f \otimes g \in L^{\vec{p},\infty}$.

Theorem 2.3 *Suppose that $0 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and m and n are positive integers. We have*

(i). *If $f \in L^{p_1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, then $f \otimes g \in L^{\vec{p},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$.*

(ii). *If $f \in L^{p_1}$, $g \in L^{p_2,\infty}$ and $p_1 \leq p_2$, then $f \otimes g \in L^{\vec{p},\infty}$.*

(iii). *If $f \otimes g \in L^{\vec{p},\infty}$ and $f, g \neq 0$, then $f \in L^{p_1,\infty}$ and $g \in L^{p_2,\infty}$. But g need not to be in $g \in L^{p_2}$.*

Proof. First, we show that $\|F\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} = \||F|^{p_1}\|_{L^{(1,p_2/p_1),\infty}}^{1/p_1}$ for any measurable function F defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\|g\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}} = \||g|^{p_1}\|_{L^{p_2/p_1,\infty}}^{1/p_1}$ for any measurable function g defined on $\mathbb{R}^m$.

In fact, a simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}^{p_1} &= \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda^{p_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\{x : |F(x,y)| > \lambda\}|^{p_2/p_1} dy \right)^{p_1/p_2} \\
&= \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda^{p_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\{x : |F(x,y)|^{p_1} > \lambda^{p_1}\}|^{p_2/p_1} dy \right)^{p_1/p_2} \\
&= \||F|^{p_1}\|_{L^{(1,p_2/p_1),\infty}}. \qquad (2.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove that $\|g\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}} = \||g|^{p_1}\|_{L^{p_2/p_1,\infty}}^{1/p_1}$.

(i). For any $\lambda > 0$,

$$|\{x:\, f(x)g(y) > \lambda\}|^{1/p_1} \le \frac{\|f\|_{L^{p_1,\infty}}|g(y)|}{\lambda}.$$

Hence

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\{x:\, f(x)g(y) > \lambda\}|^{p_2/p_1} dy\right)^{1/p_2} \le \frac{\|f\|_{L^{p_1,\infty}}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}}}{\lambda}.$$

Consequently,

$$\|f \otimes g\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \le \|f\|_{L^{p_1,\infty}}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}}.$$

(ii). By (2.3), it suffices to consider the case of $p_1 = 1 \le p_2$. For any $\lambda > 0$, since

$$\{(x,y)\colon |f(x)g(y)| > \lambda\} \subset \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \{(x,y)\colon 2^k \le |f(x)| < 2^{k+1}, |g(y)| > 2^{-k-1}\lambda\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda \|\chi_{\{(x,y)\colon |f(x)g(y)|>\lambda\}}\|_{L^{(1,p_2)}} \\
&\le \lambda \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\|\chi_{\{x:2^k\le|f(x)|<2^{k+1}\}}(x)\chi_{\{y:|g(y)|>2^{-k-1}\lambda\}}(y)\right\|_{L^{(1,p_2)}} \\
&= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^k |\{x : 2^k \le |f(x)| < 2^{k+1}\}| \cdot 2^{-k}\lambda |\{y : |g(y)| > 2^{-k-1}\lambda\}|^{1/p_2} \\
&\le 2\|f\|_1\|g\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii). Now we suppose that $f \otimes g \in L^{\vec{p},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$. For any $\alpha, \beta > 0$, we have

$$\{x:\, |f(x)| > \alpha\} \times \{y:\, |g(y)| > \beta\} \subset \{(x,y):\, |f(x)g(y)| > \alpha\beta\}.$$

Hence

$$\alpha|\{x\colon |f(x)| > \alpha\}|^{1/p_1} \cdot \beta|\{y\colon |g(y)| > \beta\}|^{1/p_2} \le \alpha\beta \left\|\chi_{\{(x,y):|f(x)g(y)|>\alpha\beta\}}\right\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}.$$

Taking supremums on both sides, we get

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_1,\infty}}\|g\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}} \le \|f \otimes g\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}.$$

Hence $f \in L^{p_1,\infty}$ and $g \in L^{p_2,\infty}$.

Finally, we show that for $f \otimes g \in L^{\vec{p},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$, g need not to be in L^{p_2}. Set $f(x) = 1/|x|^{2n/p_1}\chi_{(1,\infty)}(|x|)$ and $g(y) = 1/|y|^{m/p_2}$ for $y \ne 0$.

Fix some $\lambda > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\{x:\, |f(x)g(y)| > \lambda\}| &= \left|\left\{x:\, |x| > 1, |x|^{2n/p_1} < \frac{1}{\lambda|y|^{m/p_2}}\right\}\right| \\
&= \begin{cases} v_n\left(\left(\frac{1}{\lambda|y|^{m/p_2}}\right)^{p_1/2} - 1\right), & |y| < \frac{1}{\lambda^{p_2/m}}, \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\otimes g\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}^{p_2} &= \sup_{\lambda>0}\lambda^{p_2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}|\{x:\,|f(x)g(y)|>\lambda\}|^{p_2/p_1}dy\\ &= \sup_{\lambda>0}\lambda^{p_2}v_n^{p_2/p_1}\int_{|y|<\frac{1}{\lambda^{p_2/m}}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\lambda|y|^{m/p_2}}\right)^{p_1/2}-1\right)^{p_2/p_1}dy\\ &\le \sup_{\lambda>0}2\lambda^{p_2}v_n^{p_2/p_1}\int_{|y|<\frac{1}{\lambda^{p_2/m}}}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{p_2/2}|y|^{m/2}}-1\right)dy\\ &= 2v_n^{p_2/p_1}v_m<\infty,\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $(u-1)^\alpha\le 2u^\alpha-1$ for any $u>1$ and $\alpha>0$. This completes the proof. □

Given $\vec{p}=(p_1,p_2)$, we compare the three mixed norms $L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1})$, $L^{p_2}(L^{p_1,\infty})$, and $L^{\vec{p},\infty}$.

Theorem 2.4 *Suppose that $\vec{p}=(p_1,p_2)$. We have*

(i). *For any measurable function f defined on $\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m$, we have*

$$\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}\le\|f\|_{L^{p_2}(L^{p_1,\infty})}.$$

(ii). *$L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1})\not\subset L^{\vec{p},\infty}$ and $L^{\vec{p},\infty}\not\subset L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1})$.*

Proof. (i). Let $E_{y,\lambda}$ be defined by (2.1). Then we have

$$\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}=\sup_{\lambda>0}\left\||E_{y,\lambda}|^{1/p_1}\right\|_{L_y^{p_2}}\le\left\|\sup_{\lambda>0}|E_{y,\lambda}|^{1/p_1}\right\|_{L_y^{p_2}}=\|f\|_{L^{p_2}(L^{p_1,\infty})}.$$

(ii). Take some $f\in L^{p_1,\infty}\setminus L^{p_1}$ and $g\in L^{p_2}\setminus\{0\}$. We see from Theorem 2.3(i) that $f\otimes g\in L^{\vec{p},\infty}\setminus L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1})$.

On the other hand, set $F=\chi_E$, where $E=\{(x,y):\,|x|^n\le|y|^{-mp_1/p_2}\}$. We have

$$\|F\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1})}\approx\|\frac{1}{|y|^{m/p_2}}\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}}<\infty$$

and

$$\|F\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}=\|\chi_E\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}\approx\|\frac{1}{|y|^{m/p_2}}\|_{L^{p_2}}=\infty.$$

Hence $F\in L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1})\setminus L^{\vec{p},\infty}$. □

2.2 Convergence in weak norms

In this subsection, we prove the completeness of both weak spaces.

First, we show that the Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem and Fatou's Lemma are true for weak norms. However, Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem fails for weak norms.

Theorem 2.5 *Let W be either $L^{\vec{p},\infty}$ or $L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})$, where $\vec{p}=(p_1,p_2)$ with $0<p_1,p_2\leq\infty$. Suppose that $\{f_k : k\geq 1\}$ is a sequence of non-negative measurable functions such that $f_k(x,y)\leq f_{k+1}(x,y)$, a.e., $k\geq 1$. Then we have*

$$\left\|\lim_{k\to\infty} f_k\right\|_W = \lim_{k\to\infty}\|f_k\|_W .$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the convergence in $L^{\vec{p},\infty}$, since it implies the convergence in iterated weak spaces.

Denote $f(x,y)=\lim_{k\to\infty} f_k(x,y)$. We consider only the case of $p_1,p_2<\infty$. Other cases can be proved similarly.

First, we assume that $\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}<\infty$. For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists some $\lambda_0>0$ such that

$$\lambda_0\|\chi_{\{|f|>\lambda_0\}}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}\geq(1-\varepsilon)\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}.$$

Since $\{f_k : k\geq 1\}$ is increasing, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\lambda_0\|\chi_{\{|f_k|>\lambda_0\}}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}=\lambda_0\|\chi_{\{|f|>\lambda_0\}}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}\geq(1-\varepsilon)\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}.$$

Hence for k sufficiently large, we have

$$\lambda_0\|\chi_{\{|f_k|>\lambda_0\}}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}\geq(1-\varepsilon)^2\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\|f_k\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}\geq(1-\varepsilon)^2\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}.$$

By letting $\varepsilon\to 0$, we get

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\|f_k\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}\geq\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}.$$

On the other hand, since $|f_k|\leq|f|$ almost everywhere, the reverse inequality is obvious. Hence $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|f_k\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}=\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}$. The case $\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}=\infty$ can be proved similarly. □

With the above theorem, we can prove Fatou's lemma for weak norms, which improves [16, Exercise 1.1.12 (d)] slightly (we remove an extra constant).

Theorem 2.6 *Let W be either $L^{\vec{p},\infty}$ or $L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})$, where $\vec{p}=(p_1,p_2)$ with $0<p_1,p_2\leq\infty$. Let $\{f_k : k\geq 1\}$ be a sequence of non-negative measurable functions. Then we have*

$$\left\|\liminf_{k\to\infty} f_k\right\|_W \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty}\|f_k\|_W .$$

Proof. Let $g_k=\inf_{l\geq k} f_l$. Then $\{g_k : k\geq 1\}$ is increasingly convergent to $\liminf_{k\to\infty} f_k$. It follows from the monotone convergence theorem that

$$\left\|\liminf_{k\to\infty} f_k\right\|_W = \left\|\lim_{k\to\infty} g_k\right\|_W = \liminf_{k\to\infty}\|g_k\|_W \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty}\|f_k\|_W .$$

□

However, the dominated convergence theorem fails in weak norm spaces. For example, set $f_0(x)=1/|x|^{n/p_1}$ and $f_k(x)=f_0(x)\chi_{[k,\infty]}(|x|)$. Take some $g\in L^{p_2}\setminus\{0\}$. We have $\lim_{k\to\infty} f_k\otimes g(x,y)=0$. Moreover, we see from Theorem 2.3 that $f_k\otimes g\leq f_0\otimes g\in L^{\vec{p},\infty}\cap L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})$. But

$$\|f_k\otimes g\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}=\|f_k\otimes g\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}=v_n^{1/p_1}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}},\ k\geq 1.$$

2.2.1 Convergence in measure and almost everywhere

It is known that if $\{f_k : k \geq 1\}$ is convergent in L^p or $L^{p,\infty}$, then it is convergent in measure. However, it is not true for mixed norm. Specifically, neither the strong convergence nor the weak convergence in mixed norm spaces implies the convergence in measure.

For example, set $f_k = \chi_{E_k}$, where

$$E_k = \begin{cases} \{(x,y) : |x|^n < 1/|y|^m, 0 < |y| < 1/k\}, & p_1 > p_2, \\ \{(x,y) : |x|^n < 1/|y|^m, |y| > k\}, & p_1 < p_2. \end{cases}$$

Then we have $\|f_k\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} \to 0$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|f_k\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \|f_k\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} = 0.$$

However, for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $|\{(x,y) : |f_k(x,y)| > \varepsilon\}| = \infty$.

Nevertheless, it was shown in [5, Theorem 1] that if $\{f_k : k \geq 1\}$ is convergent to f in $L^{\vec{p}}$, then it contains a subsequence convergent almost everywhere to f. We show that the same is true for weak norms.

Theorem 2.7 *Let $\{f_k : k \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of measurable functions which is convergent to some f in $L^{\vec{p},\infty}$ or $L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})$, where $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$ with $0 < p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$. Then it contains a subsequence convergent almost everywhere to f.*

Proof. (i). First we assume that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|f_k - f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} = 0$. For any $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| \chi_{\{|f_k - f| > \lambda\}} \right\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} = 0,$$

where $\{|f| > \lambda\}$ stands for $\{(x,y) : |f(x,y)| > \lambda\}$. By [5, Theorem 1], there is some subsequence of $\{f_k : k \geq 1\}$, denoted by $\{f_{1,k} : k \geq 1\}$, such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \chi_{\{|f_{1,k} - f| > \lambda\}}(x,y) = 0, \qquad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \setminus E_\lambda,$$

where $|E_\lambda| = 0$. Consequently,

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} |f_{1,k}(x,y) - f(x,y)| \leq \lambda, \qquad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \setminus E_\lambda.$$

By setting $\lambda = 1, 1/2, \ldots, 1/2^l, \ldots$, we get a sequence of zero measured sets $\{E_l : l \geq 1\}$ and subsequences $\{f_{l,k} : k \geq 1\}$ of $\{f_k : k \geq 1\}$, such that $\{f_{l,k} : k \geq 1\}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_{l-1,k} : k \geq 1\}$ for $l \geq 2$ and for each $l \geq 1$,

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} |f_{l,k}(x,y) - f(x,y)| \leq \frac{1}{2^l}, \qquad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \setminus E_l.$$

Set $E = \cup_{l\geq 1} E_l$. Then for $(x,y) \notin E$, we have

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} |f_{k,k}(x,y) - f(x,y)| \leq \frac{1}{2^l}, \qquad \forall l \geq 1.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} |f_{k,k}(x,y) - f(x,y)| = 0, \qquad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \setminus E.$$

This proves the conclusion for the mixed weak norm.

(ii). Next we assume that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|f_k - f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} = 0$. We consider only the case of $0 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$. Other cases can be proved similarly.

For any $\alpha, \beta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left\{y : \left|\{x : |f_k(x,y) - f(x,y)| > \alpha\}\right|^{p_2/p_1} > \beta\right\}\right| \\
&\qquad \le \left|\left\{y : \|f_k(x,y) - f(x,y)\|_{L^{p_1,\infty}} > \alpha\beta^{1/p_2}\right\}\right| \\
&\qquad \le \frac{1}{\alpha^{p_2}\beta}\|f_k - f\|^{p_2}_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} \\
&\qquad \to 0, \qquad \text{as } k \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence there is a subsequence $\{f_{k_l} : l \ge 1\}$ such that

$$\left|\left\{y : \left|\{x : |f_{k_l}(x,y) - f(x,y)| > \frac{1}{2^l}\}\right|^{p_2/p_1} > \frac{1}{2^{lp_2/p_1}}\right\}\right| < \frac{1}{2^l}, \quad l \ge 1.$$

Let $E = \cap_{i\ge1} E_i$ and $F = \cap_{i\ge1} F_i$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
E_i &= \bigcup_{l=i}^{\infty}\left\{y : \left|\{x : |f_{k_l}(x,y) - f(x,y)| > \frac{1}{2^l}\}\right|^{p_2/p_1} > \frac{1}{2^{lp_2/p_1}}\right\}, \\
F_i &= \bigcup_{l=i}^{\infty}\left\{(x,y) : |f_{k_l}(x,y) - f(x,y)| > \frac{1}{2^l}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have $|E_i| \le 1/2^{i-1}$ and therefore $|E| = 0$.

Take some $y \notin E$. Then there is some $i \ge 1$ such that $y \notin E_i$. Consequently, for $l \ge i$,

$$\left|\{x : |f_{k_l}(x,y) - f(x,y)| > \frac{1}{2^l}\}\right| \le \frac{1}{2^l}.$$

Hence $|\{x : (x,y) \in F_j\}| \le 1/2^{j-1}$ for $j \ge i$. Therefore,

$$\left|\{x : (x,y) \in F\}\right| = 0.$$

It follows that

$$|F| = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m} \chi_F(x,y)dxdy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m\setminus E} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_F(x,y)dx = 0.$$

For $(x,y) \notin (\mathbb{R}^n \times E) \cup F$, there is some $i \ge 1$ such that $(x,y) \notin F_i$. Hence

$$|f_{k_l}(x,y) - f(x,y)| \le \frac{1}{2^l}, \qquad l \ge i.$$

That is, $\{f_{k_l} : l \ge 1\}$ converges to f almost everywhere. □

2.2.2 Completeness of weak norm spaces

It is known that both L^p and weak L^p are complete [16]. We show that the same is true for weak norms in mixed spaces.

Theorem 2.8 *Let W be either $L^{\vec{p},\infty}$ or $L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})$, where $\vec{p}=(p_1,p_2)$ with $0<p_1,p_2\leq\infty$. Let $\{f_k : k\geq 1\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in W, that is,*

$$\lim_{k,l\to\infty}\|f_k-f_l\|_W=0.$$

Then there is some $f\in W$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|f-f_k\|_W=0$.

Before giving a proof of the above theorem, we introduce a preliminary result.

Lemma 2.9 *Let a_i, $\tilde{a}_i$, b_i and c_i be positive numbers, $1\leq i\leq k\leq\infty$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i=\sum_{i=1}^k\tilde{a}_i=1$, $b_1=\ldots=b_k=1$ for $1\leq p_1\leq\infty$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k b_i^{p_1/(1-p_1)}\leq 1$ for $0<p_1<1$, and $c_1=\ldots=c_k=1$ for $1\leq p_2\leq\infty$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i^{p_2/(1-p_2)}\leq 1$ for $0<p_2<1$. Then we have*

$$\|f_1+\ldots+f_k\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}\leq\sum_{i=1}^k\frac{1}{a_ib_ic_i}\|f_i\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}},$$

$$\|f_1+\ldots+f_k\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}\leq\sum_{i=1}^k\frac{1}{a_i\tilde{a}_ib_ic_i}\|f_i\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}.$$

Proof. We prove only the first inequality. The second one can be proved similarly. For any $\lambda>0$, we have

$$\{|f_1+\ldots+f_k|>\lambda\}\subset\{|f_1|>a_1\lambda\}\cup\ldots\cup\{|f_k|>a_k\lambda\}.$$

Hence

$$\chi_{\{|f_1+\ldots+f_k|>\lambda\}}\leq\sum_{i=1}^k\chi_{\{|f_i|>a_i\lambda\}}.$$

If $p_1\geq 1$, then

$$\|\chi_{\{|f_1+\ldots+f_k|>\lambda\}}\|_{L_x^{p_1}}\leq\sum_{i=1}^k\|\chi_{\{|f_i|>a_i\lambda\}}\|_{L_x^{p_1}}.$$

If $0<p_1<1$, then

$$\|\chi_{\{|f_1+\ldots+f_k|>\lambda\}}\|_{L_x^{p_1}}^{p_1}\leq\sum_{i=1}^k\|\chi_{\{|f_i|>a_i\lambda\}}\|_{L_x^{p_1}}^{p_1}.$$

Set $q_1=1/p_1$. We see from Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi_{\{|f_1+\ldots+f_k|>\lambda\}}\|_{L_x^{p_1}}&\leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^k\|\chi_{\{|f_i|>a_i\lambda\}}\|_{L_x^{p_1}}^{p_1}\right)^{q_1}\\&\leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^k\frac{1}{b_i}\|\chi_{\{|f_i|>a_i\lambda\}}\|_{L_x^{p_1}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^k b_i^{q_1'/q_1}\right)^{q_1/q_1'}\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{b_i} \|\chi_{\{|f_i|>a_i\lambda\}}\|_{L_x^{p_1}}. \tag{2.4}$$

With similar arguments we get

$$\left\| \|\chi_{\{|f_1+\ldots+f_k|>\lambda\}}\|_{L_x^{p_1}} \right\|_{L_y^{p_2}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{b_i c_i} \left\| \|\chi_{\{|f_i|>a_i\lambda\}}\|_{L_x^{p_1}} \right\|_{L_y^{p_2}}.$$

Hence

$$\lambda \|\chi_{\{|f_1+\ldots+f_k|>\lambda\}}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{b_i c_i} \lambda \|\chi_{\{|f_i|>a_i\lambda\}}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}.$$

Taking supremums on both sides, we get the conclusion as desired. □

Proof of Theorem 2.8. We prove the conclusion only for $W = L^{\vec{p},\infty}$. The other case can be proved similarly.

For $k \geq 1$, let

$$b_k = \begin{cases} 1, & p_1 \geq 1, \\ \frac{b_0}{2^k}, & 0 < p_1 < 1, \end{cases} \qquad c_k = \begin{cases} 1, & p_2 \geq 1, \\ \frac{c_0}{2^k}, & 0 < p_2 < 1, \end{cases}$$

where b_0 and c_0 are constants such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^{p_1/(1-p_1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^{p_2/(1-p_2)} = 1$ for $0 < p_1, p_2 < 1$.

We see from the hypothesis that there is a subsequence of $\{f_k : k \geq 1\}$, say $\{f_{k_l} : l \geq 1\}$, such that

$$\|f_{k_{l+1}} - f_{k_l}\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \leq \frac{b_l c_l}{2^{2l}}.$$

Let

$$g_i = \sum_{l=i}^{\infty} |f_{k_{l+1}} - f_{k_l}|.$$

We see from Lemma 2.9 that

$$\|g_i\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \leq \sum_{l=i}^{\infty} \frac{2^l}{b_l c_l} \left\| f_{k_{l+1}} - f_{k_l} \right\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{i-1}} \to 0.$$

By Theorem 2.7, $\{g_i : i \geq 1\}$ contains a subsequence convergent almost everywhere. Since $\{g_i : i \geq 1\}$ is decreasing, it is convergent to zero almost everywhere.

Suppose that for some (x, y), $g_i(x, y)$ tends to zero as i tends to the infinity. Since

$$|f_{k_m}(x, y) - f_{k_l}(x, y)| \leq g_i(x, y), \qquad m > l \geq i,$$

$\{f_{k_l}(x, y) : l \geq 1\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ for almost all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Denote $f(x, y) = \lim_{l\to\infty} f_{k_l}(x, y)$. Then f is well defined almost everywhere.

For any $i \geq 1$, we have

$$\|f_{k_i} - f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \leq \|g_i\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{i-1}}.$$

Hence $\lim_{i\to\infty} \|f_{k_i} - f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} = 0$. Since $\{f_k : k \geq 1\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^{\vec{p},\infty}$, it is easy to see that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|f_k - f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} = 0$. This completes the proof. □

It is known that if a sequence of measurable functions $\{f_n : n \geq 1\}$ is convergent in $L^{\vec{p}}$, then we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|f_n\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} = \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}$. We show that the same is true for weak norms.

Theorem 2.10 *Let W be either $L^{\vec{p},\infty}$ or $L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})$, where $\vec{p}=(p_1,p_2)$ with $0<p_1,p_2\le\infty$. Suppose that $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|f_k-f\|_W=0$. Then we have $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|f_k\|_W=\|f\|_W$.*

Proof. We prove the conclusion only for $W=L^{\vec{p},\infty}$. The other case can be proved similarly.

For $1\le p_1\le\infty$, set $b_1=b_2=1$. And for $0<p_1<1$, set $0<b_1<1$ and $b_2=(1-b_1^{p_1/(1-p_1)})^{(1-p_1)/p_1}$. Define c_1 and c_2 similarly (replacing (p_1,b_1,b_2) by (p_2,c_1,c_2)).

For any $0<a<1$, we see from Lemma 2.9 that

$$\|f_k\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}=\|f_k-f+f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}\le\frac{1}{(1-a)b_2c_2}\|f_k-f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}+\frac{1}{ab_1c_1}\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}.$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}\|f_k\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}\le\frac{1}{ab_1c_1}\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}.$$

Letting $a,b_1,c_1\to1$, we get

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}\|f_k\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}\le\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}=\|f-f_k+f_k\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}\le\frac{1}{(1-a)b_2c_2}\|f-f_k\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}+\frac{1}{ab_1c_1}\|f_k\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}},$$

by letting $k\to\infty$ and $a,b_1,c_1\to1$ successively, we get

$$\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}\le\liminf_{k\to\infty}\|f_k\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}.$$

Now the conclusion follows. □

In [5], the Riesz theorem for mixed norm Lebesgue spaces was proved. It says that if $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|f_k\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}=\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty}f_k(x,y)=f(x,y)$ almost everywhere on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, where $\vec{p}=(p_1,p_2)$ with $1\le p_1,p_2<\infty$, then we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\|f_k-f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}=0.$$

Whenever weak norms are considered, the above conclusion fails. For example, set $f_0(x)=1/|x|^{n/p_1}$ and $f_k(x)=f_0(x)\chi_{[0,k]}(|x|)$. Take some $g\in L^{p_2}\setminus\{0\}$. We have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}f_k\otimes g(x,y)=f_0(x)g(y)$$

and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\|f_k\otimes g\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}=\lim_{k\to\infty}\|f_k\otimes g\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}=v_n^{1/p_1}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}}.$$

However, for any $k\ge1$,

$$\|f_k\otimes g-f_0\otimes g\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}=\|f_k\otimes g-f_0\otimes g\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}=v_n^{1/p_1}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}}.$$

Hence $\{f_k\otimes g:\,k\ge1\}$ is not convergent to $f_0\otimes g$ in $L^{\vec{p},\infty}$ or $L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})$.

2.3 Convergence in truncated norm

We see from the previous subsection that while a sequence convergent in mixed norm contains a subsequence convergent almost everywhere, it might not contain a subsequence convergent in measure. This prompts us to consider the following substitution of convergence in measure.

Definition 2.11 *Suppose that $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$ with $0 < p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$. We say that a sequence of measurable functions $\{f_k : k \geq 1\}$ is convergent to some f in truncated $L^{\vec{p}}$ norm if for any $\lambda > 0$,*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\chi_{\{|f_k - f| > \lambda\}}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} = 0.$$

We see from the definition that convergence in truncated $L^{\vec{p}}$ norm is the same as convergence in measure if $p_1 = p_2$. However, they are not equivalent if $p_1 \neq p_2$. For an example, see Subsubsection 2.2.1.

It is easy to see that if $\{f_k : k \geq 1\}$ is convergent to some f in $L^{\vec{p},\infty}$, then it is also convergent to f in truncated norm. Moreover, we see from the proof of Theorem 2.7 that a sequence convergent in truncated norm always contains a subsequence convergent almost everywhere.

The following is a characterization of convergence in truncated norm, which shows also the completeness of such convergence.

Theorem 2.12 *Suppose that $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$ with $0 < p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$. Let $\{f_k : k \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of measurable functions. Then $\{f_k : k \geq 1\}$ is convergent to some f in truncated norm if and only if $\{f_k : k \geq 1\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in truncated norm, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{k,l\to\infty} \|\chi_{\{|f_k - f_l| > \lambda\}}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} = 0, \qquad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

Proof. First we prove the Necessity. Suppose that $\{f_k : k \geq 1\}$ is convergent to f in truncated norm. For any $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\{|f_k - f_l| > \lambda\} \subset \{|f_k - f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} \cup \{|f_l - f| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}.$$

Hence

$$\chi_{\{|f_k - f_l| > \lambda\}} \leq \chi_{\{|f_k - f| > \lambda/2\}} + \chi_{\{|f_l - f| > \lambda/2\}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k,l\to\infty} \|\chi_{\{|f_k - f_l| > \lambda\}}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} &\leq \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{b_1 c_1} \|\chi_{\{|f_k - f| > \lambda/2\}}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} \\ &\quad + \lim_{l\to\infty} \frac{1}{b_2 c_2} \|\chi_{\{|f_l - f| > \lambda/2\}}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

where b_1, b_2, c_1 and c_2 are defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.10.

Next we prove the sufficiency, for which we give only a sketch since it is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.8.

Define $\{b_k : k \geq 1\}$ and $\{c_k : k \geq 1\}$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.8. Then there is some subsequence $\{f_{k_l} : l \geq 1\}$ such that

$$\left\| \chi_{\{|f_{k_{l+1}} - f_{k_l}| > 1/2^l\}} \right\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} \leq \frac{b_l c_l}{2^l}.$$

Let

$$g_i = \sum_{l=i}^{\infty} \chi_{\{|f_{k_{l+1}} - f_{k_l}| > 1/2^l\}}.$$

Similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.9 show that

$$\|g_i\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} \leq \sum_{l=i}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_l c_l} \left\| \chi_{\{|f_{k_{l+1}} - f_{k_l}| > 1/2^l\}} \right\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{i-1}} \to 0.$$

Hence $\{g_i : i \geq 1\}$ contains a subsequence convergent almost everywhere. Since $\{g_i : i \geq 1\}$ is decreasing, it is convergent to zero almost everywhere.

Suppose that for some (x, y), $g_i(x, y)$ tends to zero as i tends to the infinity. Then for i sufficiently large, $g_i(x, y) < 1/2$. In other words,

$$|f_{k_{l+1}}(x, y) - f_{k_l}(x, y)| \leq \frac{1}{2^l}, \qquad l \geq i.$$

Hence $\{f_{k_l}(x, y) : l \geq 1\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}$. Denote $f(x, y) = \lim_{l\to\infty} f_{k_l}(x, y)$. Then f is well defined almost everywhere.

Fix some $\lambda > 0$. Whenever $1/2^{i-1} < \lambda$, we have

$$\{|f_{k_i} - f| > \lambda\} \subset \bigcup_{l=i}^{\infty} \{|f_{k_{l+1}} - f_{k_l}| > \frac{1}{2^l}\}.$$

Similarly to (2.4) we get

$$\left\| \chi_{\{|f_{k_i} - f| > \lambda\}} \right\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} \leq \sum_{l=i}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_l c_l} \left\| \chi_{\{|f_{k_{l+1}} - f_{k_l}| > 1/2^l\}} \right\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{i-1}}.$$

Hence $\{f_{k_l} : l \geq 1\}$ is convergent to f in truncated norm. Since $\{f_k : k \geq 1\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, it is easy to see that $\{f_k : k \geq 1\}$ is also convergent to f in truncated norm. □

2.4 Hölder's inequality

It is well known that Hölder's inequality holds for both L^p and $L^{p,\infty}$. For mixed norms, it was shown in [5] that if $1 \leq p_i \leq \infty$, $i = 1, 2$, then we have

$$\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{1}}} \leq \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} \|g\|_{\vec{p}'},$$

where $\vec{p}' = (p_1', p_2')$.

Suppose that

$$\frac{1}{r_i} = \frac{1}{p_i} + \frac{1}{q_i}, \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

The previous inequality can be rewritten as

$$\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r}}} \le \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}\|g\|_{\vec{q}}, \tag{2.5}$$

where f and g are arbitrary measurable functions.

Using Hölder's inequality for weak spaces [16, Exercise 1.1.15], we get Hölder's inequality for iterated weak norms, for which we omit the proof.

Theorem 2.13 *Suppose that $0 < p_i, q_i, r_i \le \infty$ and that $1/r_i = 1/p_i + 1/q_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Then we have*

$$\|fg\|_{L^{r_2,\infty}(L^{r_1,\infty})} \le C_{\vec{p},\vec{q}}\|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}\|g\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})},$$

where $C_{\vec{p},\vec{q}} = \prod_{i=1}^{2} (p_i/r_i)^{1/p_i} (q_i/r_i)^{1/q_i}$ with suitable changes for $p_i = \infty$ or $q_i = \infty$.

However, for mixed weak norms, Hölder's inequality is true only for very special cases. The following is a complete characterization of indices for which Hölder's inequality is true on mixed weak spaces.

Theorem 2.14 *Suppose that $1/r_i = 1/p_i + 1/q_i$, $i = 1, 2$, where $0 < p_1,\ p_2,\ q_1,\ q_2 \le \infty$. Then there exists some constant $C_{\vec{p},\vec{q}} < \infty$ such that*

$$\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}} \le C_{\vec{p},\vec{q}}\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}\|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}, \qquad \forall f, g,$$

if and only if $p_1q_2 = p_2q_1$.

When the condition is true, we have

$$C_{\vec{p},\vec{q}} = \begin{cases} \max\{1, 2^{1/r_1 - 1/r_2}\}\dfrac{p_2^{1/p_2} q_2^{1/q_2}}{r_2^{1/r_2}}, & 0 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty, \\ \max\{1, 2^{1/r_1 - 1}\}\dfrac{p_1^{r_1/p_1} q_1^{r_1/q_1}}{r_1}, & p_2 = q_2 = \infty, 0 < p_1, p_2 < \infty, \\ \dfrac{p_2^{1/p_2} q_2^{1/q_2}}{r_2^{1/r_2}}, & p_1 = q_1 = \infty, 0 < p_2, q_2 < \infty, \\ 1, & \vec{p} = (\infty, \infty) \text{ or } \vec{q} = (\infty, \infty). \end{cases}$$

To prove Hölder's inequality, we need the following preliminary result, for which we omit the proof.

Lemma 2.15 (i). *For any $\alpha > 0$ and $a, b > 0$, we have*

$$(a + b)^\alpha \le \max\{2^{\alpha-1}, 1\}(a^\alpha + b^\alpha).$$

(ii). *For $0 \le \theta \le 1$ and $a, b \ge 0$, we have*

$$a + b \ge \frac{a^\theta b^{1-\theta}}{\theta^\theta (1-\theta)^{1-\theta}},$$

and the equality is true if and only if $a/\theta = b/(1 - \theta)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.14. We prove only the sufficiency. The necessity can be found in Example 2.16.

First, we consider the case of $0 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$ with $p_1/q_1 = p_2/q_2$. For any $\lambda, a > 0$, we have

$$\{(x,y) : f(x,y)g(x,y) > \lambda\} \subset \{(x,y) : f(x,y) > \frac{\lambda}{a}\} \bigcup \{(x,y) : g(x,y) > a\}. \tag{2.6}$$

Denote $E_{y,\lambda,f} = \{x : f(x,y) > \lambda\}$. We see from the above formula that $E_{y,\lambda,fg} \subset E_{y,\lambda/a,f} \cup E_{y,a,g}$. Hence

$$|E_{y,\lambda,fg}| \le |E_{y,\lambda/a,f}| + |E_{y,a,g}|. \tag{2.7}$$

Note that $r_2/r_1 = p_2/p_1 = q_2/q_1$. We see from Lemma 2.15 that there is some constant C_{p_2/p_1} such that

$$|E_{y,\lambda,fg}|^{r_2/r_1} \le C_{p_2/p_1} \left(|E_{y,\lambda/a,f}|^{p_2/p_1} + |E_{y,a,g}|^{q_2/q_1} \right).$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |E_{y,\lambda,fg}|^{r_2/r_1} dy \le C_{p_2/p_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |E_{y,\lambda/a,f}|^{p_2/p_1} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |E_{y,a,g}|^{q_2/q_1} dy \right).$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |E_{y,\lambda/a,f}|^{p_2/p_1} dy &= \left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)^{p_2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{a} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |E_{y,\lambda/a,f}|^{p_2/p_1} dy \right)^{1/p_2} \right)^{p_2} \\ &\le \left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)^{p_2} \|f\|^{p_2}_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |E_{y,a,g}|^{q_2/q_1} dy \le \frac{1}{a^{q_2}} \|g\|^{q_2}_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |E_{y,\lambda,fg}|^{r_2/r_1} dy \le C_{p_2/p_1} \left(\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)^{p_2} \|f\|^{p_2}_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} + \frac{\|g\|^{q_2}_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}}{a^{q_2}} \right). \tag{2.8}$$

Take some $a_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{(a_0/\lambda)^{p_2} \|f\|^{p_2}_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}}{r_2/p_2} = \frac{(1/a_0)^{q_2} \|g\|^{q_2}_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}}{r_2/q_2}.$$

We see from Lemma 2.15 (ii) that

$$\left(\frac{a_0}{\lambda}\right)^{p_2} \|f\|^{p_2}_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} + \frac{\|g\|^{q_2}_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}}{a_0^{q_2}} = \left(\frac{p_2}{r_2}\right)^{r_2/p_2} \left(\frac{q_2}{r_2}\right)^{r_2/q_2} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda^{r_2}} \|f\|^{r_2}_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \|g\|^{r_2}_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}.$$

By (2.8), we have

$$\lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |E_{y,\lambda,fg}|^{r_2/r_1} dy \right)^{1/r_2} \le C^{1/r_2}_{p_2/p_1} \left(\frac{p_2}{r_2}\right)^{1/p_2} \left(\frac{q_2}{r_2}\right)^{1/q_2} \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}.$$

Taking the supremum over λ, we get the conclusion as desired.

Next we consider the case of $p_2 = q_2 = \infty$. In this case, $r_2 = \infty$. If $p_1 = \infty$ or $q_1 = \infty$, the conclusion is obvious. For the case of $0 < p_1, q_1 < \infty$, we see from (2.7) that

$$|E_{y,\lambda,fg}|^{1/r_1} \le C_{1/r_1} \left(|E_{y,\lambda/a,f}|^{1/r_1} + |E_{y,a,g}|^{1/r_1} \right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |E_{y,\lambda,fg}|^{1/r_1} \right\|_{L_y^\infty} &\le C_{1/r_1} \left(\left\| |E_{y,\lambda/a,f}|^{1/r_1} \right\|_{L_y^\infty} + \left\| |E_{y,a,g}|^{1/r_1} \right\|_{L_y^\infty} \right) \\ &= C_{1/r_1} \left(\left\| |E_{y,\lambda/a,f}|^{1/p_1} \right\|_{L_y^\infty}^{p_1/r_1} + \left\| |E_{y,a,g}|^{1/q_1} \right\|_{L_y^\infty}^{q_1/r_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |E_{y,\lambda/a,f}|^{1/p_1} \right\|_{L_y^\infty}^{p_1/r_1} &= \left(\frac{a}{\lambda} \right)^{p_1/r_1} \left\| \frac{\lambda}{a} |E_{y,\lambda/a,f}|^{1/p_1} \right\|_{L_y^\infty}^{p_1/r_1} \\ &\le \left(\frac{a}{\lambda} \right)^{p_1/r_1} \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}^{p_1/r_1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left\| |E_{y,a,g}|^{1/q_1} \right\|_{L_y^\infty}^{q_1/r_1} \le \frac{1}{a^{q_1/r_1}} \|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}^{q_1/r_1},$$

with similar arguments as the previous case we get

$$\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}} \le C_{1/r_1} \left(\frac{p_1}{r_1} \right)^{r_1/p_1} \left(\frac{q_1}{r_1} \right)^{r_1/q_1} \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}.$$

For $p_1 = q_1 = \infty$, we see from (2.6) that

$$\|\chi_{E_{y,\lambda,fg}}\|_{L_x^\infty} \le \|\chi_{E_{y,\lambda/a,f}}\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|\chi_{E_{y,a,g}}\|_{L_x^\infty}.$$

Since every term in the above inequality is either 0 or 1, we have

$$\|\chi_{E_{y,\lambda,fg}}\|_{L_x^\infty}^{r_2} \le \|\chi_{E_{y,\lambda/a,f}}\|_{L_x^\infty}^{p_2} + \|\chi_{E_{y,a,g}}\|_{L_x^\infty}^{q_2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \|\chi_{E_{y,\lambda,fg}}\|_{L_x^\infty}^{r_2} dy &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \|\chi_{E_{y,\lambda/a,f}}\|_{L_x^\infty}^{p_2} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \|\chi_{E_{y,a,g}}\|_{L_x^\infty}^{q_2} dy \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{\lambda} \right)^{p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left\| \frac{\lambda}{a} \chi_{E_{y,\lambda/a,f}} \right\|_{L_x^\infty}^{p_2} dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{a^{q_2}} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left\| a \chi_{E_{y,a,g}} \right\|_{L_x^\infty}^{q_2} dy \\ &\le \left(\frac{a}{\lambda} \right)^{p_2} \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}^{p_2} + \frac{1}{a^{q_2}} \|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}^{q_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Take some $a > 0$ such that

$$\frac{(a/\lambda)^{p_2} \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}^{p_2}}{r_2/p_2} = \frac{(1/a)^{q_2} \|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}^{q_2}}{r_2/q_2}.$$

We see from Lemma 2.15 (ii) and (2.9) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \|\chi_{E_{y,\lambda,fg}}\|_{L^\infty_x}^{r_2} dy \le \left(\frac{p_2}{r_2}\right)^{r_2/p_2} \left(\frac{q_2}{r_2}\right)^{r_2/q_2} \frac{1}{\lambda^{r_2}} \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}^{r_2} \|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}^{r_2}.$$

Hence

$$\lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \|\chi_{E_{y,\lambda,fg}}\|_{L^\infty_x}^{r_2} dy\right)^{1/r_2} \le \left(\frac{p_2}{r_2}\right)^{1/p_2} \left(\frac{q_2}{r_2}\right)^{1/q_2} \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}} \le \left(\frac{p_2}{r_2}\right)^{1/p_2} \left(\frac{q_2}{r_2}\right)^{1/q_2} \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}.$$

Finally, the case of $\vec{p} = (\infty, \infty)$ or $\vec{q} = (\infty, \infty)$ is obvious. This completes the proof. □

The following examples show the necessity part in Theorem 2.14. In other words, whenever $p_1 q_2 \neq p_2 q_1$, Hölder's inequality does not hold.

Example 2.16 *Suppose that* $1/r_i = 1/p_i + 1/q_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

(i). *For* $0 < q_1, q_2 \le \infty$ *and* $g(x,y) = (|x|^n + |y|^m)^{-\gamma}$ *with* $\gamma = 1/q_1 + 1/q_2$, *we have* $g \in L^{\vec{q},\infty}$.

(ii). *For* $q_1 = \infty$, $0 < p_2 \le \infty$ *and* $0 < p_1, q_2 < \infty$, *set* $\gamma = 1/q_2$ *and* $\alpha = p_1/p_2 + p_1/q_2$. *Let* $f(x,y) = (|x|^n + |y|^m)^\gamma \chi_E(x,y)$ *and* $g(x,y) = (|x|^n + |y|^m)^{-\gamma}$, *where* $E = \{(x,y) : 0 < |x|^n < |y|^{-m\alpha}, 1 \le |y| \le N\}$. *Then we have*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}}}{\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}} = \infty.$$

(iii). *For* $q_2 = \infty$, $0 < p_1 \le \infty$ *and* $0 < p_2, q_1 < \infty$, *set* $\gamma = n/q_1$. *Let* $f(x,y) = |x|^\gamma \chi_E(x,y)$ *and* $g(x,y) = |x|^{-\gamma}$, *where* $E = \{(x,y) : |x|^n \le |y|^{-mr_1/r_2}\}$. *Then we have*

$$\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}} \not\lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}.$$

(iv). *For* $0 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$ *with* $p_2/q_2 > p_1/q_1$, *set*

$$\frac{\alpha}{m} = \frac{1}{q_2} - \frac{\beta}{q_1}, \qquad \beta = \frac{1/p_2 + 1/q_2}{1/p_1 + 1/q_1},$$

$f(x,y) = |y|^\alpha \chi_E(x,y)$ *and* $g(x,y) = |y|^{-\alpha} \chi_E(x,y)$, *where* $E = \{(x,y) : |x|^n \le |y|^{-m\beta}\}$. *Then we have*

$$\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}} \not\lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}.$$

(v). *For* $0 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$ *with* $p_2/q_2 < p_1/q_1$, *set*

$$\frac{\alpha}{m} = \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{\beta}{p_1}, \qquad \beta = \frac{1/p_2 + 1/q_2}{1/p_1 + 1/q_1},$$

$f(x,y) = |y|^{-\alpha} \chi_E(x,y)$ *and* $g(x,y) = |y|^\alpha \chi_E(x,y)$, *where* $E = \{(x,y) : |x|^n \le |y|^{-m\beta}\}$. *Then we have*

$$\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}} \not\lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}.$$

Proof. (i). First, we assume that $0 < q_1, q_2 < \infty$. Denote $E_1 = \{y : |y|^m < \lambda^{-1/\gamma}\}$. For any $y \in E_1$,

$$\left|\left\{x : \frac{1}{(|x|^n + |y|^m)^\gamma} > \lambda\right\}\right| \lesssim_n \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/\gamma}}. \tag{2.10}$$

And for $y \notin E_1$,

$$\left|\left\{x : \frac{1}{(|x|^n + |y|^m)^\gamma} > \lambda\right\}\right| = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left|\left\{x : \frac{1}{(|x|^n + |y|^m)^\gamma} > \lambda\right\}\right|^{q_2/q_1} dy\right)^{1/q_2} &\le C_n \left(\int_{E_1} \frac{1}{\lambda^{q_2/(\gamma q_1)}} dy\right)^{1/q_2} \\ &= C_{n,m} \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/(\gamma q_1)+1/(\gamma q_2)}}. \end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

Hence for $\gamma = 1/q_1 + 1/q_2$, we have $g \in L^{\vec{q},\infty}$. With suitable modification of the above arguments we see that the conclusion is also true if $q_1 = \infty$ or $q_2 = \infty$.

(ii). For $0 < p_2 < \infty$, a simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}} = \|\chi_E\|_{L^{\vec{r}}} &\approx \Big(\int_{1\le|y|\le N} |y|^{-m\alpha r_2/r_1} dy\Big)^{1/r_2} \\ &\approx \Big(\int_1^N t^{-m\alpha r_2/r_1 + m - 1} dt\Big)^{1/r_2}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} &\le \Big(\int_{1\le|y|\le N} |y|^{m\gamma p_2 - m\alpha p_2/p_1} dy\Big)^{1/p_2} \\ &\lesssim \Big(\int_1^N t^{m\gamma p_2 - m\alpha p_2/p_1 + m - 1} dy\Big)^{1/p_2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha = p_1/p_2 + p_1/q_2$ and $p_1 = r_1$, we have $\alpha r_2/r_1 = 1$. Moreover,

$$\gamma p_2 - \frac{\alpha p_2}{p_1} + 1 = \frac{p_2}{q_2} - \frac{p_2}{p_1}\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2} + \frac{p_1}{q_2}\right) + 1 = 0.$$

Hence

$$\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}} \approx (\ln N)^{1/r_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} \lesssim (\ln N)^{1/p_2}.$$

And for $p_2 = \infty$, it is easy to see that

$$\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}} \approx (\ln N)^{1/r_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} \lesssim 1.$$

By (i), $\|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}} < \infty$. Since $p_2 > r_2$ and

$$\frac{\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}}}{\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}\|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}} \ge \frac{\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}}}{\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}\|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}} \gtrsim (\ln N)^{1/r_2 - 1/p_2},$$

we get the conclusion as desired.

(iii). It is easy to see

$$\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}} = \|\chi_E\|_{L^{\vec{r}}} \approx \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |y|^{-m} dy \Big)^{1/r_2} = \infty.$$

On the other hand, for $0 < p_1 < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} &= \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\{x : |f(x,y)| > \lambda\}|^{p_2/p_1} \, dy \right)^{1/p_2} \\
&= \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Big| \{x : \lambda^{n/\gamma} < |x|^n \le |y|^{-mr_1/r_2} \} \Big|^{p_2/p_1} \, dy \right)^{1/p_2} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(\int_{|y|<\lambda^{-nr_2/(m\gamma r_1)}} (|y|^{-mr_1/r_2} - \lambda^{n/\gamma})^{p_2/p_1} dy \right)^{1/p_2} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(\int_{|y|<\lambda^{-nr_2/(m\gamma r_1)}} (|y|^{-mr_1/r_2})^{p_2/p_1} dy \right)^{1/p_2} \lesssim 1.
\end{aligned}$$

And for $p_1 = \infty$, we also have $\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \lesssim 1$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}} &= \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \Big\| |\{x : |x|^{-\gamma} > \lambda\}|^{1/q_1} \Big\|_{L^\infty_y} \\
&= \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \Big\| |\{x : |x| < \lambda^{-1/\gamma}\}|^{1/q_1} \Big\|_{L^\infty_y} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda (\lambda^{-n/\gamma})^{1/q_1} = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

We get the conclusion as desired.

(iv). We see from the definition of α and β that

$$\frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{\beta}{q_1}, \quad \frac{\beta}{p_1} = \frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{1}{p_2}.$$

First, we show that $\alpha > 0$, which is equivalent to

$$\frac{q_1}{q_2} > \frac{1/p_2 + 1/q_2}{1/p_1 + 1/q_1}.$$

Or equivalently,

$$\frac{q_1}{p_1} > \frac{q_2}{p_2},$$

which is true by the hypothesis.

Next we show that $f \in L^{\vec{p},\infty}$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} &= \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\{x : |y|^\alpha \chi_E(x,y) > \lambda\}|^{p_2/p_1} \, dy \right)^{1/p_2} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(\int_{|y|>\lambda^{1/\alpha}} |y|^{-m\beta p_2/p_1} dy \right)^{1/p_2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(\int_{\lambda^{1/\alpha}}^{\infty} t^{-m\beta p_2/p_1+m-1} dt \right)^{1/p_2}$$
$$= \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \lambda^{-1} = 1.$$

Similarly, we prove that $g \in L^{\vec{q},\infty}$.

$$\|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}} = \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left| \{x : |y|^{-\alpha} \chi_E(x,y) > \lambda\} \right|^{q_2/q_1} dy \right)^{1/q_2}$$
$$\lesssim \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(\int_{|y|<\lambda^{-1/\alpha}} |y|^{-m\beta q_2/q_1} dy \right)^{1/q_2}$$
$$\lesssim \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(\int_0^{\lambda^{-1/\alpha}} t^{-m\beta q_2/q_1+m-1} dt \right)^{1/q_2}$$
$$\lesssim 1.$$

However,

$$\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}} = \|\chi_E\|_{L^{\vec{r}}} = \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |y|^{-m\beta r_2/r_1} dy \Big)^{1/r_2} = \infty.$$

Hence $\|fg\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}} \not\lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \|g\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}$.

(v). By an interchange of f and g in (iv), we get (v). □

2.5 Interpolation

It is well known that for $p < r < q$, we have $L^p \cap L^q \subset L^r$. The same is true for weak Lebesgue spaces. Moreover, we have the following interpolation formula.

Proposition 2.17 ([16, Propisition 1.1.14]) *Let $p < q \le \infty$ and $f \in L^{p,\infty} \cap L^{q,\infty}$. Then f is in L^r for all $p < r < q$ and*

$$\|f\|_{L^r} \le \left(\frac{r}{r-p} + \frac{r}{q-r} \right)^{1/r} \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{\theta} \|f\|_{L^{q,\infty}}^{1-\theta}$$

with the suitable interpretation when $q = \infty$, where $0 < \theta < 1$ satisfies $1/r = \theta/p + (1-\theta)/q$.

However, the above proposition is not true in general if p, q, r are replaced with vector indices.

Theorem 2.18 *Suppose that $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$, $\vec{q} = (q_1, q_2)$ and $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2)$ satisfy that*

$$\frac{1}{r_i} = \frac{\theta}{p_i} + \frac{1-\theta}{q_i}, \qquad i = 1, 2, \tag{2.13}$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$ is a constant. Then we have

$$\|f\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}} \le \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}^{\theta} \|f\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}^{1-\theta},$$
$$\|f\|_{L^{\vec{r}}} \le \left(\frac{r_1}{r_1-p_1} + \frac{r_1}{q_1-r_1} \right)^{1/r_1} \|f\|_{L^{p_2}(L^{p_1,\infty})}^{\theta} \|f\|_{L^{q_2}(L^{q_1,\infty})}^{1-\theta}.$$

However, if $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/q_1 + 1/q_2$, then for any multiple index $\vec{r}$, $L^{\vec{p},\infty} \cap L^{\vec{q},\infty} \not\subset L^{\vec{r}}$.

Proof. Fix some function f and $\lambda > 0$. We see from Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\|\chi_{\{|f|>\lambda\}}\|_{L^{\vec{r}}} &\le \lambda^{\theta}\|\chi_{\{|f|>\lambda\}}\|_{L^{\vec{p}/\theta}} \cdot \lambda^{1-\theta}\|\chi_{\{|f|>\lambda\}}\|_{L^{\vec{q}/(1-\theta)}} \\ &\le \|f\|^{\theta}_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}\|f\|^{1-\theta}_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|f\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}} \le \|f\|^{\theta}_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}\|f\|^{1-\theta}_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}$.

On the other hand, we see from Proposition 2.17 that

$$\|f(\cdot,y)\|_{L^{r_1}_x} \le \left(\frac{r_1}{r_1-p_1}+\frac{r_1}{q_1-r_1}\right)^{1/r_1}\|f(\cdot,y)\|^{\theta}_{L^{p_1,\infty}_x}\|f(\cdot,y)\|^{1-\theta}_{L^{q_1,\infty}_x}.$$

By Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^{\vec{r}}} &\le \left(\frac{r_1}{r_1-p_1}+\frac{r_1}{q_1-r_1}\right)^{1/r_1}\left\|\|f\|^{\theta}_{L^{p_1,\infty}_x}\right\|_{L^{p_2/\theta}_y}\left\|\|f(\cdot,y)\|^{1-\theta}_{L^{q_1,\infty}_x}\right\|_{L^{q_2/(1-\theta)}_y} \\ &= \left(\frac{r_1}{r_1-p_1}+\frac{r_1}{q_1-r_1}\right)^{1/r_1}\|f\|^{\theta}_{L^{p_2}(L^{p_1,\infty})}\|f\|^{1-\theta}_{L^{q_2}(L^{q_1,\infty})}.\end{aligned}$$

Next we show that $L^{\vec{p},\infty}\cap L^{\vec{q},\infty} \not\subset L^{\vec{r}}$. Set $f(x,y) = (|x|^n+|y|^m)^{-\gamma}$, where $\gamma > 0$. For $\gamma = 1/q_1 + 1/q_2 = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$, we see from Example 2.16(i) that $f \in L^{\vec{p},\infty}\cap L^{\vec{q},\infty}$.

It remains to show that $f \notin L^{\vec{r}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f(x,y)|^{r_1}dx &\ge \int_{|x|^n<|y|^m}|f(x,y)|^{r_1}dx + \int_{|x|^n\ge|y|^m}|f(x,y)|^{r_1}dx \\ &\ge \int_{|x|^n<|y|^m}\frac{1}{2^{\gamma r_1}|y|^{m\gamma r_1}}dx + \int_{|x|^n\ge|y|^m}\frac{1}{2^{\gamma r_1}|x|^{n\gamma r_1}}dx.\end{aligned}$$

Hence for $\gamma r_1 \le 1$, we have $\|f(\cdot,y)\|_{L^{r_1}_x} = \infty$. And for $\gamma r_1 > 1$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f(x,y)|^{r_1}dx \ge C_{n,r_1}\frac{1}{|y|^{m\gamma r_1-m}}.$$

In both cases, we have $\|f\|_{L^{\vec{r}}} = \infty$. □

When the iterated weak norms are invoked, we get again an interpolation theorem. However, four iterated weak norms are invoked.

Theorem 2.19 *Suppose that*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{r_1} &= \frac{\theta}{p_1}+\frac{1-\theta}{q_1}, \\ \frac{1}{r_2} &= \frac{\theta\xi}{p_{21}}+\frac{(1-\theta)\xi}{p_{22}}+\frac{\theta(1-\xi)}{q_{21}}+\frac{(1-\theta)(1-\xi)}{q_{22}},\end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \theta, \xi < 1$ are constants. Then we have

$$\|f\|_{L^{\vec{r}}} \le C\|f\|^{\theta\xi}_{L^{p_{21},\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}\|f\|^{(1-\theta)\xi}_{L^{p_{22},\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})}\|f\|^{\theta(1-\xi)}_{L^{q_{21},\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}\|f\|^{(1-\theta)(1-\xi)}_{L^{q_{22},\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})}.$$

Proof. We see from Proposition 2.17 that

$$\|f(\cdot,y)\|_{L_x^{r_1}} \le \left(\frac{r_1}{r_1-p_1}+\frac{r_1}{q_1-r_1}\right)^{1/r_1} \|f(\cdot,y)\|^{\theta}_{L_x^{p_1,\infty}} \|f(\cdot,y)\|^{1-\theta}_{L_x^{q_1,\infty}}.$$

Set

$$\frac{1}{p_2}=\frac{\theta}{p_{21}}+\frac{1-\theta}{p_{22}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q_2}=\frac{\theta}{q_{21}}+\frac{1-\theta}{q_{22}}.$$

Then we have $1/r_2 = \xi/p_2 + (1-\xi)/q_2$. Using Proposition 2.17 again we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^{\vec{r}}} \le C &\left\| \|f(\cdot,y)\|^{\theta}_{L_x^{p_1,\infty}} \|f(\cdot,y)\|^{1-\theta}_{L_x^{q_1,\infty}} \right\|^{\xi}_{L_y^{p_2,\infty}} \\ &\times \left\| \|f(\cdot,y)\|^{\theta}_{L_x^{p_1,\infty}} \|f(\cdot,y)\|^{1-\theta}_{L_x^{q_1,\infty}} \right\|^{1-\xi}_{L_y^{q_2,\infty}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we see from Hölder's inequality for weak norms that

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^{\vec{r}}} &\le C \left\| \|f(\cdot,y)\|^{\theta}_{L_x^{p_1,\infty}} \right\|^{\xi}_{L_y^{p_{21}/\theta,\infty}} \left\| \|f(\cdot,y)\|^{1-\theta}_{L_x^{q_1,\infty}} \right\|^{\xi}_{L_y^{p_{22}/(1-\theta),\infty}} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \|f(\cdot,y)\|^{\theta}_{L_x^{p_1,\infty}} \right\|^{1-\xi}_{L_y^{q_{21}/\theta,\infty}} \left\| \|f(\cdot,y)\|^{1-\theta}_{L_x^{q_1,\infty}} \right\|^{1-\xi}_{L_y^{q_{22}/(1-\theta),\infty}} \\ &= C\|f\|^{\theta\xi}_{L^{p_{21},\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} \|f\|^{(1-\theta)\xi}_{L^{p_{22},\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})} \|f\|^{\theta(1-\xi)}_{L^{q_{21},\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} \|f\|^{(1-\theta)(1-\xi)}_{L^{q_{22},\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

□

The above results can be restated as follows. Denote $\vec{p}_a = (p_1, p_{21})$, $\vec{p}_b = (p_1, q_{21})$, $\vec{q}_a = (q_1, p_{22})$ and $\vec{q}_b = (q_1, q_{22})$. Let $(1/r_1, 1/r_2)$ be a point in the interior of the quadrilateral determined by the four points $(1/p_1, 1/p_{21})$, $(1/p_1, 1/q_{21})$, $(1/q_1, 1/p_{22})$, $(1/q_1, 1/q_{22})$ as shown in Figure 1. Then we have

$$L^{\vec{p}_a,\infty} \cap L^{\vec{p}_b,\infty} \cap L^{\vec{q}_a,\infty} \cap L^{\vec{q}_b,\infty} \subset L^{\vec{r}}.$$




Figure 1: Interpolation area

3 Geometric Inequalities Related to Fractional Integration

In this section, we study the boundedness of T_γ and L_γ from $L^{\vec{p}}$ to $L^{\vec{q}}$. First, we consider T_γ with $\vec{p}=(\infty,\infty)$. In this case, it is more convenient to rewrite the inequality in the following form,

$$\|F\|_X \lesssim \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2},$$

where X stands for some norm defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Recall that $L^{\vec{p}}=L^\infty$ whenever $\vec{p}=(\infty,\infty)$.

First, we point out that the following inequality

$$\|F\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \le C_{\vec{p},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F(x,y)|x-y|^{n/p_1+n/p_2} \tag{3.1}$$

if false, even for characteristic functions. This is because for any $s>0$,

$$|\{(x,y):\ |x-y|<s\}|=\infty.$$

Now we turn to study its fractional form as follows.

Theorem 3.1 *Let F be a nonnegative measurable function defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Then for all $0<q_1,q_2\le\infty$, we have*

$$\|F\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}} \le C_{\vec{q},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\|F\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})} \le C_{\vec{q},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2}. \tag{3.3}$$

However, for $\vec{q}\neq(\infty,\infty)$, we have

$$\|F\|_{L^{\vec{q}}} \le C_{\vec{q},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2} \tag{3.4}$$

is not true for all $F\in L^{\vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Proof. (i). First, we prove (3.2). For $0<q_1,q_2<\infty$, suppose that

$$\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2}=s<\infty.$$

Denote $E_{y,\lambda}=\{x:\ |F(x,y)|>\lambda\}$. For any $\lambda>0$,

$$\Big\||E_{y,\lambda}|^{1/q_1}\Big\|_{L^{q_2}} \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big|\Big\{x\colon (|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2}<\frac{s}{\lambda}\Big\}\Big|^{q_2/q_1}dy\right)^{1/q_2}.$$

Denote $E_1=\big\{y:\ |y|<(1/2)(s/\lambda)^{q_1q_2/(nq_1+nq_2)}\big\}$. For any $y\in E_1$,

$$\Big|\Big\{x:\ (|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2}<\frac{s}{\lambda}\Big\}\Big| \lesssim_n \left(\frac{s}{\lambda}\right)^{q_1q_2/(q_1+q_2)}. \tag{3.5}$$

And for $y\notin E_1$,

$$\Big|\Big\{x:(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2}<\frac{s}{\lambda}\Big\}\Big|=0. \tag{3.6}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big|\Big\{x:\,(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2}<\frac{s}{\lambda}\Big\}\Big|^{q_2/q_1}dy\Big)^{1/q_2}&\\ \le C_{\vec{q},n}\Big(\int_{E_1}\Big(\Big(\frac{s}{\lambda}\Big)^{q_1q_2/(q_1+q_2)}\Big)^{q_2/q_1}dy\Big)^{1/q_2}&\\ = C_{\vec{q},n}\Big(\frac{s}{\lambda}\Big)^{q_2/(q_1+q_2)}\Big(\int_{E_1}dy\Big)^{1/q_2}&\\ = C_{\vec{q},n}\frac{s}{\lambda}.&\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|F\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}=\sup_{\lambda>0}\lambda\Big\||E_{y,\lambda}|^{1/q_1}\Big\|_{L^{q_2}}\lesssim_n\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n}F(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2}.$$

Now we consider the case of endpoints. Since the case of $q_1=q_2=\infty$ is obvious, we assume that one of q_1 and q_2 is finite.

For $q_2=\infty$, we have

$$\|F\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}=\sup_{\lambda>0}\lambda\Big\||E_{y,\lambda}|^{1/q_1}\Big\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

Taking similar calculation gives for any $\lambda>0$,

$$\Big\||E_{y,\lambda}|^{1/q_1}\Big\|_{L^\infty}\le\Big\|\Big|\Big\{x:\,(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1}<\frac{s}{\lambda}\Big\}\Big|^{1/q_1}\Big\|_{L^\infty}\le C_{q_1,n}\frac{s}{\lambda}.$$

Hence

$$\|F\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}=\sup_{\lambda>0}\lambda\Big\||E_{y,\lambda}|^{1/q_1}\Big\|_{L^{\infty}}\le C_{q_1,n}s.$$

For $q_1=\infty$, we have

$$\|F\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}=\sup_{\lambda>0}\lambda\Big\|\|\chi_{E_{y,\lambda}}\|_{L^\infty}\Big\|_{L^{q_2}}.$$

Note that for any $\lambda>0$,

$$\chi_{E_{y,\lambda}}\le\chi_{\{x:\,(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_2}<s/\lambda\}}.$$

If $|y|<(1/2)(s/\lambda)^{q_2/n}$, $\|\chi_{E_{y,\lambda}}\|_{L^\infty}\le1$; if $|y|\ge(1/2)(s/\lambda)^{q_2/n}$, $\|\chi_{E_{y,\lambda}}\|_{L^\infty}=0$. Hence

$$\Big\|\|\chi_{E_{y,\lambda}}\|_{L^\infty}\Big\|_{L^{q_2}}\le C_{q_2,n}\frac{s}{\lambda}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|F\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}=\sup_{\lambda>0}\lambda\Big\|\|\chi_{E_{y,\lambda}}\|_{L^\infty}\Big\|_{L^{q_2}}\le C_{q_2,n}s.$$

(ii). Next we prove (3.3). As in the previous arguments, we consider first the case of $q_1,q_2<\infty$.

As in the proof of (3.2), suppose that $\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2} = s < \infty$. Then we see from (3.5) and (3.6) that for any $y \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda |\{x:\ |F(x,y)|>\lambda\}|^{1/q_1} \\
&\qquad \le \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left|\left\{x:\ (|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2} < \frac{s}{\lambda}\right\}\right|^{1/q_1} \\
&\qquad = C_{\vec{q},n} s |y|^{-n/q_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})} &= \left\| \|F\|_{L_x^{q_1,\infty}} \right\|_{L_y^{q_2,\infty}} \\
&= \sup_{\beta>0} \beta \left| \left\{ y:\ \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda |\{x:\ |F(x,y)|>\lambda\}|^{1/q_1} > \beta \right\} \right|^{1/q_2} \\
&\le \sup_{\beta>0} \beta \left| \left\{ y:\ C_{\vec{q},n} s |y|^{-n/q_2} > \beta \right\} \right|^{1/q_2} \\
&\le \sup_{\beta>0} \beta C_{\vec{q},n} s \beta^{-1} = C_{\vec{q},n} s.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to see the endpoint cases. For $q_2 = \infty$,

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty(L^{q_1,\infty})} = \left\| \|F\|_{L_x^{q_1,\infty}} \right\|_{L_y^\infty}.$$

For any $\lambda > 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda |\{x:\ |F(x,y)|>\lambda\}|^{1/q_1} &\le \lambda \left|\left\{x:\ (|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1} < \frac{s}{\lambda}\right\}\right|^{1/q_1} \\
&\le C_{q_1,n} s.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty(L^{q_1,\infty})} = \left\| \|F\|_{L_x^{q_1,\infty}} \right\|_{L_y^\infty} \le C_{q_1,n} s.$$

For $q_1 = \infty$,

$$\|F\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^\infty)} = \left\| \|F\|_{L_x^\infty} \right\|_{L_y^{q_2,\infty}}.$$

Since

$$F(x,y) \le s(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{-n/q_2} \le s(2|y|)^{-n/q_2},$$

we get for all y,

$$\|F\|_{L_x^\infty} \le C_{q_2,n} s |y|^{-n/q_2}.$$

Hence $\left\| \|F\|_{L_x^\infty} \right\|_{L_y^{q_2,\infty}} \le C_{q_2,n} s$.

Finally, we use a counterexample to show that (3.4) is false. We consider only the case of $q_1, q_2 < \infty$. Other cases can be found in Theorem 3.2.

Let

$$F_N(x,y) = \left(\frac{1}{|x|+|y|}\right)^{n/q_1+n/q_2} \chi_{\{(x,y):\, 1\le|y|\le N, |y|\le|x|\}}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Clearly,

$$\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F_N(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2} \le 2^{n/q_1+n/q_2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|F_N\|_{L^{\vec{q}}} = \left(\int_{\{y:\,1\le|y|\le N\}} \left(\int_{\{x:\,|x|\ge|y|\}} \frac{1}{(|x|+|y|)^{n+nq_1/q_2}}dx\right)^{q_2/q_1} dy\right)^{1/q_2}.$$

By polar coordinates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F_N\|_{L^{\vec{q}}} &= C\left(\int_{\{y:\,1\le|y|\le N\}} \left(\int_{|y|}^{\infty} \frac{r^{n-1}}{(r+|y|)^{n+nq_1/q_2}}dr\right)^{q_2/q_1} dy\right)^{1/q_2} \\
&\ge C'\left(\int_{\{y:\,1\le|y|\le N\}} \left(\int_{|y|}^{\infty} \frac{r^{n-1}}{r^{n+nq_1/q_2}}dr\right)^{q_2/q_1} dy\right)^{1/q_2} \\
&= C_{\vec{q},n}\Big(\int_{\{y:\,1\le|y|\le N\}} |y|^{-n}dy\Big)^{1/q_2} \\
&= C_{\vec{q},n}(\ln N)^{1/q_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $N\to\infty$, we get

$$\|F_N\|_{L^{\vec{q}}}\to\infty,$$

which implies that (3.4) does not hold for all $F\in L^{\vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. □

Next we consider the boundedness of T_γ from $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ to $X(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where X stands for the mixed norm $L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1})$ or $L^{q_2}(L^{q_1,\infty})$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let F be a nonnegative measurable function defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Then for all $0<q_1,q_2<\infty$ we have*

$$\|F\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1})} \le C_{\vec{q},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2}. \tag{3.7}$$

However,

$$\|F\|_{L^{q_2}(L^{q_1,\infty})} \le C_{\vec{q},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2} \tag{3.8}$$

does not hold.

Meanwhile, we present all the endpoint cases. For any $C_{\vec{q},n}>0$,

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty(L^{q_1})} \not\le C_{\vec{q},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1}, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\|F\|_{L^{q_1}(L^\infty)} \not\le C_{\vec{q},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1}. \tag{3.10}$$

For the remaining endpoint cases, we have

$$\|F\|_{L^{q_1,\infty}(L^\infty)} \le C_{\vec{q},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty(L^{q_1,\infty})} \le C_{\vec{q},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1}. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. (1) Suppose that $\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2} = s < \infty$. Then

$$\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(x,y)|^{q_1}dx\Big)^{1/q_1} \le s\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n+nq_1/q_2}}dx\right)^{1/q_1}.$$

For any y, denote $M_y = \{x:\ |x|<|y|\}$ and $N_y = \{x:\ |x|\ge|y|\}$. Note that

$$\frac{1}{|x+y|+|x-y|} \le \min\Big\{\frac{1}{2|x|}, \frac{1}{2|y|}\Big\}, \qquad \forall\ x,y\neq 0.$$

Then by calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
&s\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n+nq_1/q_2}}dx\right)^{1/q_1}\\
&= s\left(\Big(\int_{M_y}+\int_{N_y}\Big)\frac{1}{(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n+nq_1/q_2}}dx\right)^{1/q_1}\\
&\le s\left(\int_{M_y}\Big(\frac{1}{2|y|}\Big)^{n+nq_1/q_2}dx + \int_{N_y}\Big(\frac{1}{2|x|}\Big)^{n+nq_1/q_2}dx\right)^{1/q_1}\\
&= C_{\vec{q},n}s|y|^{-n/q_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1})} &= \Big\|\|F\|_{L_x^{q_1}}\Big\|_{L_y^{q_2,\infty}}\\
&\le \sup_{\alpha>0}\alpha\Big|\Big\{y: C_{\vec{q},n}s|y|^{-n/q_2}>\alpha\Big\}\Big|^{1/q_2} \le C_{\vec{q},n}s.
\end{aligned}$$

(2) We consider

$$F_N(x,y) = \left(\frac{1}{|x|+|y|}\right)^{n/q_1+n/q_2}\chi_{\{(x,y):\,1\le|y|\le N\}}, \quad N\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Clearly,

$$\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F_N(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2} \le 2^{n/q_1+n/q_2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\alpha>0}\alpha|\{x:\ |F_N(x,y)|>\alpha\}|^{1/q_1} &= \sup_{\alpha>0}\alpha\left|\left\{x\colon (|x|+|y|)^{n/q_1+n/q_2}<\frac{1}{\alpha}\right\}\right|^{1/q_1}\\
&= \sup_{\alpha>0}\alpha\left|\left\{x\colon |x|<(\frac{1}{\alpha})^{q_1q_2/(nq_1+nq_2)}-|y|\right\}\right|^{1/q_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\alpha = (\frac{1}{2|y|})^{n/q_1+n/q_2}$, we have

$$\sup_{\alpha>0}\alpha|\{x:\ |F_N(x,y)|>\alpha\}|^{1/q_1} \ge \Big(\frac{1}{2|y|}\Big)^{n/q_1+n/q_2}|\{x:\ |x|<|y|\}|^{1/q_1}$$

$$= C_{\vec{q},n}|y|^{-n/q_2}.$$

Hence

$$\|F_N\|_{L^{q_2}(L^{q_1,\infty})} = \Big\| \|F_N\|_{L_x^{q_1,\infty}} \Big\|_{L_y^{q_2}} \gtrsim_{\vec{q},n} \Big(\int_{1\le|y|\le N} \frac{dy}{|y|^n} \Big)^{1/q_2} = (\ln N)^{1/q_2}.$$

Letting $N \to \infty$, we see a contradiction.

(3) We use the similar counterexample to show (3.9) and (3.10). Let

$$F_N(x,y) = \left(\frac{1}{|x|+|y|} \right)^{n/q_1} \chi_{[1,N]}(|x|)\chi_{[1,N]}(|y|), \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $\sup\limits_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} F_N(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/q_1} \le 2^{n/q_1}$. While,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_N\|_{L_x^{q_1}} &= \left(\int_{\{x:\, 1\le|x|\le N\}} \frac{dx}{(|x|+|y|)^n} \right)^{1/q_1} \\ &\ge \left(\int_{\{x:\, |y|\le|x|\le N\}} \frac{dx}{2^n|x|^n} \right)^{1/q_1} = C_{q_1,n}(\ln N - \ln|y|)^{1/q_1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|F_N\|_{L_x^\infty} = (1+|y|)^{-n/q_1}.$$

Consequently,

$$\|F_N\|_{L^\infty(L^{q_1})} = \Big\| \|F_N\|_{L_x^{q_1}} \Big\|_{L_y^\infty} \gtrsim_{q_1,n} (\ln N)^{1/q_1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_N\|_{L^{q_1}(L^\infty)} &= \Big\| \|F_N\|_{L_x^\infty} \Big\|_{L_y^{q_1}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\{y:\, 1\le|y|\le N\}} \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^n} dy \right)^{1/q_1} \\ &\ge \left(\int_{\{y:\, 1\le|y|\le N\}} \frac{1}{(2|y|)^n} dy \right)^{1/q_1} = C_{q_1,n}(\ln N)^{1/q_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $N \to \infty$ we have a contradiction. That gives the endpoint cases (3.9) and (3.10). Obviously, the other two endpoint cases (3.11) and (3.12) follow from the endpoint cases of (3.3).

□

From (3.3) we get the following geometric inequalities which were studied in [8].

Corollary 3.3 *For all $0 < p_1, p_2 \le \infty$,*

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_1,\infty}} \|g\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}} \le C_{\vec{p},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(y)|x-y|^{n/p_1+n/p_2} \tag{3.13}$$

holds for any $f \in L^{p_1,\infty}$, $g \in L^{p_2,\infty}$.

Furthermore, by interpolation

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_1}}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}} \le C_{\vec{p},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(y)|x-y|^{n/p_1+n/p_2} \tag{3.14}$$

holds for any $f \in L^{p_1}$, $g \in L^{p_2}$.

Proof. Let $F(x,y) = f(x)g(y)$. Obviously

$$\|F\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} = \|f\|_{L^{p_1,\infty}}\|g\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}}.$$

By (3.3), we obtain that for all $f \in L^{p_1,\infty}$ and $g \in L^{p_2,\infty}$,

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_1,\infty}}\|g\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}} \le C_{\vec{p},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/p_1+n/p_2}.$$

By interpolation, it is known that for all $0 < p_1 < r < p_2 \le \infty$,

$$\|f\|_r \le C_{\vec{p},r}\|f\|^{\theta}_{p_1,\infty}\|f\|^{1-\theta}_{p_2,\infty}, \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{\theta}{p_1} + \frac{1-\theta}{p_2}.$$

Denote $\gamma = n/p_1 + n/p_2$. It follows from (3.3) that

$$\|f\|_{L^{n/\gamma,\infty}}\|g\|_{L^\infty} \le C_{\vec{p},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{\gamma}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty}\|g\|_{L^{n/\gamma,\infty}} \le C_{\vec{p},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)|^{\gamma}.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{p_1} = \frac{\gamma}{n}\cdot\frac{p_2}{p_1+p_2} + \frac{1}{\infty}\cdot\frac{p_1}{p_1+p_2} \quad\text{and}\quad \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{\gamma}{n}\cdot\frac{p_1}{p_1+p_2} + \frac{1}{\infty}\cdot\frac{p_2}{p_1+p_2},$$

we see from (3.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^{p_1}}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}} &\le C_{\vec{p},n}\|f\|^{p_2/(p_1+p_2)}_{L^{n/\gamma,\infty}}\|f\|^{p_1/(p_1+p_2)}_{L^\infty}\|g\|^{p_1/(p_1+p_2)}_{L^{n/\gamma,\infty}}\|g\|^{p_2/(p_1+p_2)}_{L^\infty}\\ &\le C_{\vec{p},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/p_1+n/p_2}.\end{aligned}$$

From the rearrangement inequality [8, Theorem 4.1] we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(y)|x-y|^{n/p_1+n/p_2}\\ \ge&\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} f^*(x)g^*(y)|x-y|^{n/p_1+n/p_2}\\ =&\frac{1}{2}\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} f^*(x)g^*(y)|x-y|^{n/p_1+n/p_2} + \frac{1}{2}\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} f^*(x)g^*(y)|x+y|^{n/p_1+n/p_2}\\ \ge&\frac{1}{2}\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} f^*(x)g^*(y)\big(|x+y|^{n/p_1+n/p_2}+|x-y|^{n/p_1+n/p_2}\big)\end{aligned}$$

$$\geq C_{\vec{p},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} f^*(x)g^*(y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/p_1+n/p_2},$$

where f^* is the symmetric decreasing rearrangement of f. Therefore, from

$$\|f^*\|_{L^{p_1}}\|g^*\|_{L^{p_2}} \leq C_{\vec{p},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} f^*(x)g^*(y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/p_1+n/p_2},$$

together with the fact that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_1}} = \|f^*\|_{L^{p_1}}, \|g\|_{L^{p_2}} = \|g^*\|_{L^{p_2}},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^{p_1}}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}} &= \|f^*\|_{L^{p_1}}\|g^*\|_{L^{p_2}}\\ &\leq C_{\vec{p},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} f^*(x)g^*(y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n/p_1+n/p_2}\\ &\leq C_{\vec{p},n} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(y)(|x-y|)^{n/p_1+n/p_2}.\end{aligned}$$

That completes the proof. □

Next we study the boundedness of T_γ for general indices.

Theorem 3.4 *Let f be a nonnegative measurable function defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.*

(i). *For all $0 < q_1 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$ and $0 < q_2 \leq p_2 \leq \infty$ satisfying the homogeneity condition*

$$\frac{1}{q_1}+\frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}+\frac{\gamma}{n}, \tag{3.16}$$

we have

$$\|T_\gamma f\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})} \leq C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}\|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}. \tag{3.17}$$

(ii). *For all $0 < p_1 \leq q_1 \leq \infty$ and $0 < p_2 \leq q_2 \leq \infty$ satisfying the homogeneity condition*

$$\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{q_1}+\frac{1}{q_2}+\frac{\gamma}{n}, \tag{3.18}$$

we have

$$\|T_\gamma^{-1} f\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})} \geq C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}\|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}. \tag{3.19}$$

(iii). *For all $0 < q_1 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$ and $0 < q_2 \leq p_2 \leq \infty$ satisfying $p_1q_2 = p_2q_1$ and the homogeneity condition (3.16), we have*

$$\|T_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}} \leq C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}. \tag{3.20}$$

(iv). *For all $0 < p_1 \leq q_1 \leq \infty$ and $0 < p_2 \leq q_2 \leq \infty$ satisfying $p_1q_2 = p_2q_1$ and the homogeneity condition (3.18), we have*

$$\|T_\gamma^{-1} f\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}} \leq C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}}. \tag{3.21}$$

Proof. (i). First, we prove (3.17) and (3.19). Let $g(x,y) = (|x+y| + |x-y|)^{-\gamma}$. To prove (3.17), it suffices to show that $g \in L^{r_2,\infty}(L^{r_1,\infty})$ for all $0 < r_1, r_2 \le \infty$ with $1/r_1 + 1/r_2 = \gamma/n$.

In fact, if this is the case, set

$$\frac{1}{r_1} := \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{p_1}, \qquad \frac{1}{r_2} := \frac{1}{q_2} - \frac{1}{p_2}.$$

Then we have $1/r_1 + 1/r_2 = \gamma/n$. Applying Hölder's inequality gives that

$$\|T_\gamma f\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})} \le \|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} \|g\|_{L^{r_2,\infty}(L^{r_1,\infty})}, \tag{3.22}$$

which is (3.17). Note if $p_1 = \infty$ or $p_2 = \infty$, (3.22) follows easily.

First, we consider the endpoints. When $r_1 = \infty$ and $r_2 = n/\gamma$, we have

$$(|x+y| + |x-y|)^{-\gamma} \le \frac{1}{(2|y|)^\gamma}, \ \forall\ y \ne 0.$$

So

$$\|g\|_{L^{(n/\gamma,\infty)}(L^\infty)} \le C_{n,\gamma}.$$

When $r_1 = n/\gamma$ and $r_2 = \infty$, we have

$$\sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha |\{x :\ (|x+y| + |x-y|)^{-\gamma} > \alpha\}|^{\gamma/n} \le C_{n,\gamma}, \quad \forall\ y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It remains to verify the case when $0 < r_1, r_2 < \infty$. If $|y| < \alpha^{-1/\gamma}$,

$$\alpha |\{x :\ |g(x,y)| > \alpha\}|^{1/r_1} \le C_{r_1,n} \alpha^{1-n/(\gamma r_1)}.$$

If $|y| \ge \alpha^{-1/\gamma}$, it is not hard to see that $\alpha |\{x :\ |g(x,y)| > \alpha\}|^{1/r_1} = 0$. Thus for any $y \ne 0$,

$$\sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha |\{x :\ |g(x,y)| > \alpha\}|^{1/r_1} \le C_{r_1,n} |y|^{n/r_1 - \gamma}.$$

Then by definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g\|_{L^{r_2,\infty}(L^{r_1,\infty})} &= \Big\| \|g\|_{L_x^{r_1,\infty}} \Big\|_{L_y^{r_2,\infty}} \\
&= \sup_{\beta>0} \beta \left| \left\{ y :\ \sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha |\{x :\ |g(x,y)| > \alpha\}|^{1/r_1} > \beta \right\} \right|^{1/r_2} \\
&\le \sup_{\beta>0} \beta \left| \left\{ y :\ C_{r_1,n} |y|^{n/r_1 - \gamma} > \beta \right\} \right|^{1/r_2} = C_{\vec{r},n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.17) is true.

On the other hand, we see from (3.17) that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} = \|T_\gamma T_\gamma^{-1} f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} \lesssim \|T_\gamma^{-1} f\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})}.$$

Hence (3.19) is also true.

(ii). Next we prove (3.20) and (3.21). Suppose that $0 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$ and $1/q_1 + 1/q_2 = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2 + \gamma/n$. Then there always exist r_1, r_2 satisfying $1/r_1 + 1/r_2 = \gamma/n$ such that $p_1/p_2 = r_1/r_2$.

In retrospect, it follows from the proof of Theorem 2.18 that for all $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2)$ satisfying $1/r_1 + 1/r_2 = \gamma/n$,

$$(|x+y| + |x-y|)^{-\gamma} \in L^{\vec{r},\infty}.$$

By Hölder's inequality in Theorem 2.14,

$$\|T_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}} \lesssim_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n} \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \|(|x+y| + |x-y|)^{-\gamma}\|_{L^{\vec{r},\infty}},$$

which gives (3.20). When $p_1 = q_1 = \infty$, $p_2 = q_2 = \infty$, or $p_1 = p_2 = \infty$, as shown in Theorem 2.14, Hölder's inequality still holds for these cases. Taking similar arguments we conclude (3.20).

Likewise, it follows from (3.20) that

$$\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} = \|T_\gamma T_\gamma^{-1} f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} \lesssim \|T_\gamma^{-1} f\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}.$$

Hence (3.21) is also true.

□

Remark 3.5 *We point out that the condition $1/q_1 + 1/q_2 = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2 + \gamma/n$ follows from the homogeneity.*

Suppose that (3.17) is true. Then we consider functions $f(\frac{\cdot}{R}, \frac{\cdot}{R})$ for $R > 0$. On the one hand,

$$\|f(\frac{\cdot}{R}, \frac{\cdot}{R})\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} = R^{n/p_1 + n/p_2} \|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha \left| \left\{ x : \ f(\frac{x}{R}, \frac{y}{R})(|x+y| + |x-y|)^{-\gamma} > \alpha \right\} \right|^{1/q_1} \\
&= \sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha \left| \left\{ x : \ f(\frac{x}{R}, \frac{y}{R}) \left(\left|\frac{x}{R} + \frac{y}{R}\right| + \left|\frac{x}{R} - \frac{y}{R}\right| \right)^{-\gamma} > R^\gamma \alpha \right\} \right|^{1/q_1} \\
&= \sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha R^{n/q_1} \left| \left\{ x : \ f(x, \frac{y}{R}) \left(\left|x + \frac{y}{R}\right| + \left|x - \frac{y}{R}\right| \right)^{-\gamma} > R^\gamma \alpha \right\} \right|^{1/q_1} \\
&= R^{n/q_1 - \gamma} \sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha \left| \left\{ x : \ f(x, \frac{y}{R}) \left(\left|x + \frac{y}{R}\right| + \left|x - \frac{y}{R}\right| \right)^{-\gamma} > \alpha \right\} \right|^{1/q_1} \\
&= R^{n/q_1 - \gamma} \|T_\gamma f(\cdot, \frac{y}{R})\|_{L_x^{q_1,\infty}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| T_\gamma f(\frac{\cdot}{R}, \frac{\cdot}{R}) \right\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})} \\
&\quad = R^{n/q_1 - \gamma} \sup_{\beta>0} \beta \left| \left\{ y : \ \|T_\gamma f(\cdot, \frac{y}{R})\|_{L_x^{q_1,\infty}} > \beta \right\} \right|^{1/q_2} \\
&\quad = R^{n/q_1 + n/q_2 - \gamma} \sup_{\beta>0} \beta |\{ y : \ \|T_\gamma f(\cdot, y)\|_{L_x^{q_1,\infty}} > \beta \}|^{1/q_2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= R^{n/q_1+n/q_2-\gamma}\|T_\gamma f\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})}.$$

These imply that for all $R>0$,

$$R^{n/q_1+n/q_2-\gamma} \lesssim R^{n/p_1+n/p_2},$$

which gives the condition

$$\frac{1}{q_1}+\frac{1}{q_2}=\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}+\frac{\gamma}{n}.$$

Remark 3.6 *We illustrate that (3.20) might be false if $p_1q_2 \neq p_2q_1$.*

Proof. (i). $p_1=q_1$ and $p_2>q_2$.

Let $f(x,y)=(|x+y|+|x-y|)^\gamma\chi_E(x,y)$, where $E=\{(x,y):\ 0<|x|<|y|^{-\alpha}, 1\le |y|\le N\}$, α and N are constants. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}} = \|\chi_E\|_{L^{\vec{q}}} &= \Big(\int_{1\le|y|\le N}|y|^{-n\alpha q_2/q_1}dy\Big)^{1/q_2}\\ &\approx \Big(\int_1^N t^{-n\alpha q_2/q_1+n-1}dt\Big)^{1/q_2}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} \le \Big(\int_{1\le|y|\le N}|y|^{\gamma p_2-n\alpha p_2/p_1}dy\Big)^{1/p_2} \lesssim \Big(\int_1^N t^{\gamma p_2-n\alpha p_2/p_1+n-1}dt\Big)^{1/p_2}.$$

Set $\alpha=p_1(\gamma/n+1/p_2)$. We have

$$\frac{\alpha}{p_1}=\frac{\gamma}{n}+\frac{1}{p_2}=\frac{1}{q_1}+\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{p_1}=\frac{1}{q_2}.$$

Therefore, $\alpha q_2/q_1=1$. It follows that

$$\|T_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}} \approx (\ln N)^{1/q_2} \ \text{ and } \ \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} \approx (\ln N)^{1/p_2}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\|T_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}}}{\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}}=\infty.$$

(ii). $p_2=q_2$ and $p_1>q_1$.

In this case, we have

$$\|T_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}.$$

In fact, there is some constant C such that

$$\big\|(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{-\gamma}\big\|_{L_x^{n/\gamma,\infty}} \le C, \qquad \forall y.$$

Now we see from Hölder's inequality that

$$\|T_\gamma f\|_{L_x^{q_1,\infty}} = \|f(x,y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{-\gamma}\|_{L_x^{q_1,\infty}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_x^{p_1,\infty}}.$$

Hence

$$\|T_\gamma f\|_{L_y^{q_2}(L_x^{q_1,\infty})} \le \|f\|_{L_y^{p_2}(L_x^{p_1,\infty})}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|T_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}.$$

However, (3.20) is not true. To see this, let

$$f(x,y) = (|x+y| + |x-y|)^\gamma \chi_E(x,y),$$

where

$$E = \{(x,y) : 0 < |y| < 1, |x| \le |y|^{-\beta} - |y|\}, \qquad \beta = \frac{q_1}{q_2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q},\infty}} &= \|\chi_E\|_{L^{\vec{r}}} \\
&\gtrsim \Big(\int_{0<|y|<1/2} \big(|y|^{-\beta} - 1\big)^{nq_2/q_1} dy \Big)^{1/q_2} \\
&\gtrsim \Big(\int_{0<|y|<1/2} |y|^{-\beta n q_2/q_1} dy \Big)^{1/q_2} \\
&= \infty
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p},\infty}} &= \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \int_{|y|<1} \Big(|\{x : (|x+y| + |x-y|)^\gamma \chi_E(x,y) > \lambda\}|^{p_2/p_1} \, dy \Big)^{1/p_2} \\
&\le \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(\int_{|y|<1} \Big| \Big\{ x : (|x| + |y|) \chi_E(x,y) > 2^{-1} \lambda^{1/\gamma} \Big\} \Big|^{p_2/p_1} \, dy \right)^{1/p_2} \\
&\le \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(\int_{|y|^{-\beta} > 2^{-1}\lambda^{1/\gamma}} |y|^{-\beta n p_2/p_1} dy \right)^{1/p_2} \\
&\le C_{\vec{p},n,\gamma} \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \lambda^{n/(p_1\gamma) - n/(\beta p_2 \gamma)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note

$$\beta = \frac{q_1}{q_2} = \frac{np_1}{np_2 + p_1 p_2 \gamma}.$$

So

$$\frac{n}{p_1\gamma} - \frac{n}{\beta p_2 \gamma} = -1.$$

Thus we have $f \in L^{\vec{p},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, (3.20) is false is this case. □

Next we study the boundedness of L_γ. Observe that

$$\sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha |\{x : |x-y|^{-\gamma} > \alpha\}|^{\gamma/n} \le C_{n,\gamma}, \qquad \forall y.$$

we have

$$|x-y|^{-\gamma} \in L^\infty(L^{n/\gamma,\infty}).$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$|x-y|^{-\gamma} \notin L^{n/\gamma,\infty}(L^\infty).$$

Therefore, by Hölder's inequality we have the following inequalities.

Theorem 3.7 *Let f be a nonnegative measurable function defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Then for all $0 < r < p_1 \le \infty$ and $0 < p_2 \le \infty$ satisfying the homogeneity condition $1/r = 1/p_1 + \gamma/n$,*

$$\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{p_2}(L^{r,\infty})} \le C_{\vec{p},r,n}\|f\|_{L^{p_2}(L^{p_1,\infty})}, \tag{3.23}$$

$$\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{r,\infty})} \le C_{\vec{p},r,n}\|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}. \tag{3.24}$$

And for all $0 < p_1 < r \le \infty$ and $0 < p_2 \le \infty$ satisfying the homogeneity condition $1/p_1 = 1/r + \gamma/n$,

$$\|L_\gamma^{-1} f\|_{L^{p_2}(L^{r,\infty})} \ge C_{\vec{p},r,n}\|f\|_{L^{p_2}(L^{p_1,\infty})}, \tag{3.25}$$

$$\|L_\gamma^{-1} f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{r,\infty})} \ge C_{\vec{p},r,n}\|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}. \tag{3.26}$$

However, for any multiple indices $\vec{p}$ and $\vec{q}$,

$$\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1})} \not\le C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}\|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1})}; \tag{3.27}$$

$$\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{q_2}(L^{q_1,\infty})} \not\le C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}\|f\|_{L^{p_2}(L^{p_1,\infty})} \text{ unless } p_2 = q_2; \tag{3.28}$$

$$\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})} \not\le C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}\|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})} \text{ unless } p_2 = q_2; \tag{3.29}$$

$$\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q}}} \not\le C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}}, \tag{3.30}$$

Proof. (i). First, we prove (3.23)-(3.26). As before, the condition $1/r = 1/p_1 + \gamma/n$ follows from the homogeneity. Let $h(x,y) = |x-y|^{-\gamma}$. Observe that

$$\sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha|\{x : |x-y|^{-\gamma} > \alpha\}|^{\gamma/n} \le C_{n,\gamma}, \qquad \forall y.$$

we have $h \in L^\infty(L^{n/\gamma,\infty})$.

For $0 < r < p_1 < \infty$ with $1/r = 1/p_1 + \gamma/n$, we see from Hölder's inequality that

$$\|L_\gamma f(\cdot,y)\|_{L_x^{r,\infty}} \lesssim_{p_1,r,n} \|f(\cdot,y)\|_{L_x^{p_1,\infty}}\|h(\cdot,y)\|_{L_x^{n/\gamma,\infty}}. \tag{3.31}$$

And for $p_1 = \infty$, $\|L_\gamma f(\cdot,y)\|_{L_x^{n/\gamma,\infty}} \le \|f(\cdot,y)\|_{L_x^\infty}\|h(\cdot,y)\|_{L_x^{n/\gamma,\infty}}$. It follows that for all $0 < p_2 \le \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\|\|L_\gamma f\|_{L_x^{r,\infty}}\Big\|_{L_y^{p_2}} &= \Big\|\|L_\gamma f\|_{L_x^{r,\infty}}\Big\|_{L_y^{p_2}} \\
&\lesssim_{p_1,r,n} \Big\|\|f\|_{L_x^{p_1,\infty}}\|h\|_{L_x^{n/\gamma,\infty}}\Big\|_{L_y^{p_2}} \\
&\le \Big\|\|f\|_{L_x^{p_1,\infty}}\Big\|_{L_y^{p_2}}\Big\|\|h\|_{L_x^{n/\gamma,\infty}}\Big\|_{L_y^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{p_2}(L^{r,\infty})} \le C_{\vec{p},r,n}\|f\|_{L^{p_2}(L^{p_1,\infty})}\|h\|_{L^\infty(L^{n/\gamma,\infty})}.$$

Meanwhile, by Hölder's inequality we also obtain

$$\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{r,\infty})} \le C_{\vec{p},r,n}\|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}\|h\|_{L^\infty(L^{n/\gamma,\infty})}.$$

Furthermore, applying the similar arguments as in the proof of (3.19) we get their reverse versions (3.25) and (3.26).

(ii). Next we prove (3.27).

First, we assume that $p_2 > q_2$. Let $M > 0$ and consider

$$f(x,y) = |x-y|^{\gamma-n/q_1}\chi_{\{(x,y):\,|x|,|y|\le M\}}.$$

Below we calculate

$$\|f\|_{L_x^{p_1}} = \Big(\int_{\{|x|\le M\}} |x-y|^{(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1}dx\Big)^{1/p_1}.$$

For $\gamma > n/q_1$, we have

$$\Big(\int_{\{|x|\le M\}} |x-y|^{(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1}dx\Big)^{1/p_1} \le C_{n,\gamma,\vec{p}}M^\gamma.$$

And for $0 < \gamma \le n/q_1$, since $p_2 > q_2$, we have $n/q_1 - \gamma < n/p_1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\int_{\{|x|\le M\}} |x-y|^{(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1}dx\Big)^{1/p_1}\\
&\quad\le \Big(\sum_{2^j\le M}\int_{\{x:\,2^j<|x-y|\le 2^{j+1}\}} |x-y|^{(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1}dx\Big)^{1/p_1}\\
&\quad\le C_{n,\gamma,p_2}\Big(\sum_{2^j\le M}\frac{2^{jn}}{2^{j(n/q_1-\gamma)p_1}}\Big)^{1/p_1} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|f\|_{L^{p_1,\infty}(L^{p_2})} < \infty$.

On the other hand, observe that

$$\|L_\gamma f\|_{L_x^{q_1}} = \Big(\int_{\{|x|\le M\}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^n}dx\Big)^{1/q_1} = \infty.$$

We have $\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1})} = \infty$. This proves (3.27) for $p_2 > q_2$.

For the case of $p_2 \le q_2$, consider

$$f(x,y) = |x-y|^{\gamma-n/q_1}\Big|\ln|x-y|\Big|^{-1/q_1}\chi_{\{(x,y):\,|x|,|y|\le 1/3\}}.$$

Observe that $p_1 > q_1$. We have

$$\|L_\gamma f\|_{L_x^{q_1}} = \Big(\int_{\{|x|\le 1/3\}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^n\,|\ln|x-y||}dx\Big)^{1/q_1} = \infty,$$

while

$$\|f\|_{L_x^{p_1}} = \Big(\int_{\{|x|\le 1/3\}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^n\,|\ln|x-y||^{p_1/q_1}}dx\Big)^{1/p_1} \le C < \infty, \quad |y| < 1/3.$$

Again, we get $\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1})} = \infty$ and $\|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1})} < \infty$.

(iii). We use the same counterexample to prove (3.28) and (3.29). From the homogeneity it suffices to consider the case

$$\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{\gamma}{n}.$$

Let $f(x,y) = |x-y|^{-n/p_1}\chi_{[0,1]}(|y|)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L_x^{p_1,\infty}} &= \sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha|\{x : |x-y|^{-n/p_1}\chi_{[0,1]}(|y|) > \alpha\}|^{1/p_1} \\ &= \sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha|\{x : |x-y| < (1/\alpha)^{p_1/n}\}|^{1/p_1}\chi_{[0,1]}(|y|) \\ &= C_{p_1,n}\chi_{[0,1]}(|y|).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|L_\gamma f\|_{L_x^{q_1,\infty}} &= \sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha|\{x : |x-y|^{-n/p_1}|x-y|^{-\gamma} > \alpha\}|^{1/q_1}\chi_{[0,1]}(|y|) \\ &= \sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha(1/\alpha)^{np_1/(nq_1+\gamma p_1 q_1)}\chi_{[0,1]}(|y|) \\ &= \sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha^{1-np_1/(nq_1+\gamma p_1 q_1)} = \infty, \qquad |y| < 1,\end{aligned}$$

unless

$$np_1/(nq_1 + \gamma p_1 q_1) = 1, \text{ i.e., } p_2 = q_2.$$

(iv). Finally, we prove (3.30). First, we see from the homogeneity that it suffices to consider the case

$$\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{\gamma}{n}.$$

There are two cases.

(a) $(\gamma - n/q_1)p_1 > -n$.

In this case, set

$$f(x,y) = |x-y|^{\gamma-n/q_1}\chi_{\{(x,y):\, |y|\le|x|\le N, 1\le|y|\le 2\}}, \quad N > 10.$$

If $(\gamma - n/q_1)p_1 \ge 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} &= \left(\int_{\{y:\, 1\le|y|\le 2\}} \Big(\int_{\{x:\, |y|\le|x|\le N\}} |x-y|^{(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1}dx\Big)^{p_2/p_1} dy\right)^{1/p_2} \\ &\le C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}\left(\int_{\{y:\, 1\le|y|\le 2\}} \Big(N^{(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1+n}\Big)^{p_2/p_1} dy\right)^{1/p_2} \\ &\le C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}N^{n/q_2-n/p_2},\end{aligned}$$

while

$$\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q}}} = \left(\int_{\{1\le|y|\le 2\}} \Big(\int_{\{x:\, |y|\le|x|\le N\}} |x-y|^{-n}dx\Big)^{q_2/q_1} dy\right)^{1/q_2} = \infty.$$

If $-n < (\gamma - n/q_1)p_1 < 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} &= \left(\int_{\{1\le|y|\le 2\}} \Big(\int_{\{x:\, |y|\le|x|\le N\}} |x-y|^{(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1} dx \Big)^{p_2/p_1} dy \right)^{1/p_2} \\
&\le \left(\int_{\{1\le|y|\le 2\}} \Big(\sum_{2^j\le N} \int_{\{x:\, 2^j\le|x-y|\le 2^{j+1}\}} |x-y|^{(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1} dx \Big)^{p_2/p_1} dy \right)^{1/p_2} \\
&\le C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n} \left(\int_{\{1\le|y|\le 2\}} \Big(\sum_{2^j\le N} 2^{jn+j(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1} \Big)^{p_2/p_1} dy \right)^{1/p_2} \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q}}} = \left(\int_{1\le|y|\le 2} \Big(\int_{|y|\le|x|\le N} |x-y|^{-n} dx \Big)^{q_2/q_1} dy \right)^{1/q_2} = \infty.$$

(b) $(\gamma - n/q_1)p_1 \le -n$.
In this case, we consider the function

$$f(x,y) = |x-y|^{\gamma-n/q_1} \chi_{\{(x,y):\, 2|y|\le|x|\le N, 1\le|y|\le 2\}}, \quad N > 10.$$

If $(\gamma - n/q_1)p_1 < -n$, it is not hard to see

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} &= \left(\int_{\{y:\, 1\le|y|\le 2\}} \Big(\int_{\{x:\, 2|y|\le|x|\le N\}} |x-y|^{(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1} dx \Big)^{p_2/p_1} dy \right)^{1/p_2} \\
&\le \left(\int_{\{y:\, 1\le|y|\le 2\}} \Big(\int_{\{x:\, 2|y|\le|x|\le N\}} (|x|-|y|)^{(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1} dx \Big)^{p_2/p_1} dy \right)^{1/p_2} \\
&\lesssim_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n} \left(\int_{\{y:\, 1\le|y|\le 2\}} \Big(\int_{\{x:\, 2|y|\le|x|\le N\}} |x|^{(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1} dx \Big)^{p_2/p_1} dy \right)^{1/p_2} \\
&= \left(\int_{\{y:\, 1\le|y|\le 2\}} \Big((2|y|)^{(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1+n} - N^{(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1+n} \Big)^{p_2/p_1} dy \right)^{1/p_2} \\
&\le C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}.
\end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q}}} &= \left(\int_{\{y:\, 1\le|y|\le 2\}} \Big(\int_{\{x:\, 2|y|\le|x|\le N\}} |x-y|^{-n} dx \Big)^{q_2/q_1} dy \right)^{1/q_2} \\
&\ge \left(\int_{\{y:\, 1\le|y|\le 2\}} \Big(\int_{\{x:\, 2|y|\le|x|\le N\}} (|x|+|y|)^{-n} dx \Big)^{q_2/q_1} dy \right)^{1/q_2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\geq C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}\left(\int_{\{y:\,1\leq|y|\leq 2\}}\Big(\int_{\{x:\,2|y|\leq|x|\leq N\}}|x|^{-n}dx\Big)^{q_2/q_1}dy\right)^{1/q_2}\\
&= C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}\left(\int_{\{y:\,1\leq|y|\leq 2\}}\Big(\ln N-\ln(2|y|)\Big)^{q_2/q_1}dy\right)^{1/q_2}\\
&\geq C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}(\ln N)^{1/q_1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $N\to\infty$ we get a contradiction.

If $(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1=-n$, then $p_2=q_2$ and $p_1>q_1$. We have already obtained

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|L_\gamma f\|_{L^{\vec{q}}} &= \left(\int_{\{y:\,1\leq|y|\leq 2\}}\Big(\int_{\{x:\,2|y|\leq|x|\leq N\}}|x-y|^{-n}dx\Big)^{q_2/q_1}dy\right)^{1/q_2}\\
&\geq C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}(\ln N)^{1/q_1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, in this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}} &= \left(\int_{\{y:\,1\leq|y|\leq 2\}}\Big(\int_{\{x:\,2|y|\leq|x|\leq N\}}|x-y|^{(\gamma-n/q_1)p_1}dx\Big)^{p_2/p_1}dy\right)^{1/p_2}\\
&= \left(\int_{\{y:\,1\leq|y|\leq 2\}}\Big(\int_{\{x:\,2|y|\leq|x|\leq N\}}|x-y|^{-n}dx\Big)^{p_2/p_1}dy\right)^{1/p_2}\\
&\leq \left(\int_{\{y:\,1\leq|y|\leq 2\}}\Big(\int_{\{x:\,2|y|\leq|x|\leq N\}}(|x|-|y|)^{-n}dx\Big)^{p_2/p_1}dy\right)^{1/p_2}\\
&\leq C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}\left(\int_{\{y:\,1\leq|y|\leq 2\}}\Big(\int_{\{x:\,2|y|\leq|x|\leq N\}}|x|^{-n}dx\Big)^{p_2/p_1}dy\right)^{1/p_2}\\
&= C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}\left(\int_{\{y:\,1\leq|y|\leq 2\}}\Big(\ln N-\ln(2|y|)\Big)^{p_2/p_1}dy\right)^{1/p_2}\\
&\leq C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}(\ln N)^{1/p_1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note $p_1>q_1$. By letting $N\to\infty$, we get a contradiction. □

At the end of this paper, we show that Theorem 3.4 implies the classical Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and its reverse version as follows.

Corollary 3.8 *For $1<p_1,p_2<\infty$ with $1/p_1+1/p_2>1$,*

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}f(x)g(y)|x-y|^{-n(2-1/p_1-1/p_2)}dxdy\leq C_{\vec{p},n}\|f\|_{L^{p_1}}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}} \tag{3.32}
$$

holds for all nonnegative functions $f\in L^{p_1}$, $g\in L^{p_2}$.

For $0<p_1,p_2<1$ and all nonnegative functions $f\in L^{p_1}$, $g\in L^{p_2}$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}f(x)g(y)|x-y|^{n(1/p_1+1/p_2-2)}dxdy\geq C_{\vec{p},n}\|f\|_{L^{p_1}}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}}. \tag{3.33}
$$

Proof. It is known that the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and the reverse Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality are equivalent [18]. It suffices to show one of them is true. Below show that Theorem 3.4 implies (3.33).

Denote $\gamma = n/p_1 + n/p_2 - 2n$. It follows from Theorem 3.4 that

$$\|T_\gamma^{-1}(f\otimes g)\|_{L^{q_2,\infty}(L^{q_1,\infty})} \geq C_{\vec{p},\vec{q},n}\|(f\otimes g)\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}$$

holds for all $0 < p_1 \leq q_1 \leq \infty$ and $0 < p_2 \leq q_2 \leq \infty$ satisfying $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/q_1 + 1/q_2 + \gamma/n$. Hence for all $0 < p_1, p_2 \leq 1$ with $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 2 + \gamma/n$,

$$\|T_\gamma^{-1}(f\otimes g)\|_{L^1(L^1)} \geq \|T_\gamma^{-1}(f\otimes g)\|_{L^{1,\infty}(L^{1,\infty})} \geq C_{\vec{p},n}\|f\otimes g\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}(L^{p_1,\infty})}$$

holds, which gives

$$\|T_\gamma^{-1}(f\otimes g)\|_{L^1(L^1)} \geq C_{\vec{p},n}\|f\|_{L^{p_1,\infty}}\|g\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}}. \tag{3.34}$$

As said in (3.15), by interpolation, we have for all $0 < p_1 < r < p_2 \leq \infty$,

$$\|f\|_r \leq C_{\vec{p},r}\|f\|_{p_1,\infty}^{\theta}\|f\|_{p_2,\infty}^{1-\theta}, \tag{3.35}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{\theta}{p_1} + \frac{1-\theta}{p_2}.$$

It follows from (3.34) that for all $0 < s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \leq 1$ satisfying $1/s_1 + 1/t_1 = 1/s_2 + 1/t_2 = 2 + \gamma/n$,

$$\|T_\gamma^{-1}(f\otimes g)\|_{L^1(L^1)} \geq C_{\gamma,n}\|f\|_{L^{s_1,\infty}}\|g\|_{L^{t_1,\infty}}$$

and

$$\|T_\gamma^{-1}(f\otimes g)\|_{L^1(L^1)} \geq C_{\gamma,n}\|f\|_{s_2,\infty}\|g\|_{L^{t_2,\infty}}.$$

We choose s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 such that

$$\frac{1}{p_1} = \frac{\theta}{s_1} + \frac{1-\theta}{s_2}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{p_2} = 2 + \frac{\gamma}{n} - \frac{1}{p_1} = \frac{\theta}{t_1} + \frac{1-\theta}{t_2}.$$

Therefore, we see from (3.35) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_\gamma^{-1}(f\otimes g)\|_{L^1(L^1)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(y)(|x+y|+|x-y|)^{n(1/p_1+1/p_2-2)}dxdy \\ &\geq C_{\vec{p},n}\|f\|_{L^{s_1,\infty}}^{\theta}\|f\|_{L^{s_2,\infty}}^{1-\theta}\|g\|_{L^{t_1,\infty}}^{\theta}\|g\|_{L^{t_2,\infty}}^{1-\theta} \\ &\geq C_{\vec{p},n}\|f\|_{L^{p_1}}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Let f^* and g^* be the symmetric decreasing rearrangements of f and g, respectively. We see from the rearrangement inequality [22, Theorem 3.7] that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(y)|x-y|^{n(1/p_1+1/p_2-2)}dxdy$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^*(x)g^*(y)|x-y|^{n(1/p_1+1/p_2-2)}dxdy\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^*(x)g^*(y)\big(|x-y|^{n(1/p_1+1/p_2-2)}+|x+y|^{n(1/p_1+1/p_2-2)}\big)dxdy\\
&\geq C_{\vec{p},n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^*(x)g^*(y)\big(|x+y|+|x-y|\big)^{n(1/p_1+1/p_2-2)}dxdy\\
&\geq C_{\vec{p},n}\|f^*\|_{L^{p_1}}\|g^*\|_{L^{p_2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use (3.36) in the last step. This together with the fact that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_1}} = \|f^*\|_{L^{p_1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|g\|_{L^{p_2}} = \|g^*\|_{L^{p_2}}$$

gives (3.33). □

References

[1] W. Beckner. Geometric inequalities in Fourier anaylsis. In *Essays on Fourier analysis in honor of Elias M. Stein (Princeton, NJ, 1991)*, volume 42 of *Princeton Math. Ser.*, pages 36–68. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995.

[2] W. Beckner. Pitt's inequality with sharp convolution estimates. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136(5):1871–1885, 2008.

[3] W. Beckner. Weighted inequalities and Stein-Weiss potentials. *Forum Math.*, 20(4):587–606, 2008.

[4] A. Benedek, A. P. Calderón, and R. Panzone. Convolution operators on Banach space valued functions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 48:356–365, 1962.

[5] A. Benedek and R. Panzone. The spaces L^P, with mixed norm. *Duke Math. J.*, 28:301–309, 1961.

[6] A. Burchard. Cases of equality in the Riesz rearrangement inequality. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 143(3):499–527, 1996.

[7] E. A. Carlen and M. Loss. Extremals of functionals with competing symmetries. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 88(2):437–456, 1990.

[8] T. Chen. On a geometric inequality related to fractional integration. *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, Feb 2017.

[9] M. Christ. Estimates for the k-plane transform. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 33(6):891–910, 1984.

[10] M. Christ. On the restriction of the Fourier transform to curves: endpoint results and the degenerate case. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 287(1):223–238, 1985.

[11] G. Cleanthous, A. G. Georgiadis, and M. Nielsen. Anisotropic mixed-norm hardy spaces. *The Journal of Geometric Analysis*, 27(4):2758–2787, Oct 2017.

[12] S. W. Drury. Estimates for a multilinear form on the sphere. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 104(3):533–537, 1988.

[13] S. W. Drury and B. P. Marshall. Fourier restriction theorems for curves with affine and Euclidean arclengths. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 97(1):111–125, 1985.

[14] S. W. Drury and B. P. Marshall. Fourier restriction theorems for degenerate curves. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 101(3):541–553, 1987.

[15] D. L. Fernandez. Vector-valued singular integral operators on L^p-spaces with mixed norms and applications. *Pac. J. Math.*, 129(2):257–275, 1987.

[16] L. Grafakos. *Classical Fourier analysis*, volume 249 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2008.

[17] P. T. Gressman. Sharp L^p-L^q estimates for generalized k-plane transforms. *Adv. Math.*, 214(1):344–365, 2007.

[18] P. T. Gressman. On multilinear determinant functionals. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(7):2473–2484, 2011.

[19] L. Huang, J. Liu, D. Yang, and W. Yuan. Atomic and littlewood-paley characterizations of anisotropic mixed-norm hardy spaces and their applications. *arXiv preprint, arXiv:1801.06251.*, 2018.

[20] D. S. Kurtz. Classical operators on mixed-normed spaces with product weights. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.*, 37(1):269–283, 2007.

[21] E. H. Lieb. Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 118(2):349–374, 1983.

[22] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss. *Analysis*, volume 14 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2001.

[23] J. L. Rubio de Francia, F. J. Ruiz, and J. L. Torrea. Calderón-Zygmund theory for operator-valued kernels. *Adv. Math.*, 62:7–48, 1986.

[24] E. M. Stein. *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Number 0290095 in Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.

[25] T. Tao and J. Wright. L^p improving bounds for averages along curves. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(3):605–638, 2003.

[26] R. H. Torres and E. L. Ward. Leibniz's rule, sampling and wavelets on mixed Lebesgue spaces. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 21(5):1053–1076, 2015.

[27] S. I. Valdimarsson. A multilinear generalisation of the Hilbert transform and fractional integration. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 28(1):25–55, 2012.

[28] D. Wu, Z. Shi, and D. Yan. Sharp constants in the doubly weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality. *Sci. China. Math.*, 57(5):963–970, 2014.