

ON A NEW  $(p, q)$ -MATHIEUTYPE POWER SERIES AND ITS APPLICATIONS

KHALED MEHREZ AND ZIVORAD TOMOVSKI

ABSTRACT. Our aim in this paper, is to establish certain new integrals for the the  $(p, q)$ -Mathieu-power series. In particular, we investigate the Mellin-Barnes type integral representations for a particular case of thus special function. Moreover, we introduce the notion of the  $(p, q)$ -Mittag-Leffler functions and we present a relationships between thus two functions. Some other applications are proved, in particular two Turán type inequalities for the  $(p, q)$ -Mathieu series are proved.

## 1. Introduction

The following familiar infinite series

$$(1.1) \quad S(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n}{(n^2 + r^2)^2},$$

is called a Mathieu series. It was introduced and studied by Émile Leonard Mathieu in his book [1] devoted to the elasticity of solid bodies. Bounds for this series are needed for the solution of boundary value problems for the biharmonic equations in a two-dimensional rectangular domain, see [2, Eq. (54), p. 258].

Several interesting problems and solutions dealing with integral representations and bounds for the following slight generalization of the Mathieu series with a fractional power:

$$(1.2) \quad S_{\mu}(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n}{(n^2 + r^2)^{\mu+1}}, \quad (\mu > 0, r > 0),$$

can be found in the recent works by Diananda [3], Tomovski and Trenčevski [4], Srivastava et al. [5]. In [5], the authors derived the following new Laplace type integral representation via Schlömilch series:

$$(1.3) \quad S_{\mu}(r) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(\mu+1)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-rt} \mathcal{K}_{\mu}(t) dt, \quad \mu > \frac{3}{2},$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu}(t) = t^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{J_{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(kt)}{k^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}}$$

with  $J_{\mu}(z)$  is the Bessel function. Motivated essentially by the works of Cerone and Lenard [6], Srivastava and Tomovski in [7] defined a family of generalized Mathieu series

$$(1.4) \quad S_{\mu}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}) = S_{\mu}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2a_k^{\beta}}{(a_k^{\alpha} + r^2)^{\mu}}, \quad (\alpha, \beta, \mu, r > 0),$$

where it is tacitly assumed that the positive sequence

$$\mathbf{a} = \{a_k\} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}, \text{ such that } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = \infty,$$

is so chosen that the infinite series in definition (1.4) converges, that is, that the following auxiliary series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_k^{\mu\alpha-\beta}},$$

is convergent.

---

File: `_p,q_Mathieu.tex`, printed: 2022-03-14, 0.13

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 31B10, 33C20, 33E12, 33E12.

*Key words and phrases*.  $(p, q)$ -extended Beta function,  $(p, q)$ -extended Gaussian hypergeometric function,  $(p, q)$ -Mittag-Leffler functions, integral representations,  $(p, q)$ -Mathieu-type series, Mellin-Barnes types integrals.

**Definition 1.** (see [8, Eq. (6.1), p. 256]) The extended beta function  $B_{p,q}(x, y)$  is defined by

$$(1.5) \quad B_{p,q}(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} E_{p,q}(t) dt, \quad x, y, p, q \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(p), \Re(q) > 0,$$

where  $E_{p,q}(t)$  is defined by

$$E_{p,q}(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{p}{t} - \frac{q}{1-t}\right), \quad p, q \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(p), \Re(q) > 0.$$

In particular, Chaudhry et al. [9, p. 20, Eq. (1.7)], introduced the pextension of the Eulerian Beta function  $B(x, y)$  :

$$B_p(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} e^{-\frac{p}{t(1-t)}} dt, \quad \Re(p) > 0,$$

whose special case when  $p = 0$  ( or  $p = q = 0$  in (1.5) ) we get the familiar beta integral

$$(1.6) \quad B(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt, \quad \Re(x), \Re(y) > 0.$$

**Definition 2.** (see [10, p. 4, Eq. 2.1]) Assume that  $\lambda, \mu, s, p, q \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\Re(p), \Re(q) \geq 0$  and  $\nu, a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ . The extended Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function is defined by

$$(1.7) \quad \Phi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(z, s, a; p, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_n}{n!} \frac{B_{p,q}(\mu + n, \nu - \mu)}{B(\mu, \nu - \mu)} \frac{z^n}{(a + n)^s}, \quad (|z| < 1),$$

where  $(\lambda)_n$  denotes the Pochhammer symbol (or the shifted factorial) defined, in terms of Euler's Gamma function, by

$$(\lambda)_\mu = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (\mu = 0; \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \\ \lambda(\lambda + 1) \dots (\lambda + n - 1) & (\mu = n \in \mathbb{N}; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}) \end{cases}$$

Upon setting  $\lambda = 1$ , (1.7) reduces to

$$\Phi_{\mu, \nu}(z, s, a; p, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{p,q}(\mu + n, \nu - \mu)}{B(\mu, \nu - \mu)} \frac{z^n}{(a + n)^s}, \quad (|z| < 1).$$

It is easy to observe that

$$(1.8) \quad \Phi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(z, s, a; p, q) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} D_z^{\lambda-1} \{z^{\lambda-1} \Phi_{\mu, \nu}(z, s, a; p, q)\}, \quad (\Re(\lambda) > 0),$$

where  $D_z^\lambda$  denotes the well-known Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative operator defined by

$$(1.9) \quad D_z^\lambda f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\lambda)} \int_0^z (z-t)^{-\lambda-1} f(t) dt & (\Re(\lambda) < 0) \\ \frac{d^m}{dz^m} D_z^{\lambda-m} f(z) & (m-1 \leq \Re(\lambda) < m, (m \in \mathbb{N})) \end{cases}$$

In [11, Theorem 3.8] Luo et al. proved the following integral representation for the extended Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function  $\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(z, s, a; p, q)$  :

$$(1.10) \quad \Phi_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(z, s, a; p, q) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-at} {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \lambda, \mu \\ \nu \end{matrix}; ze^{-t}; p, q \right] dt, \quad |z| < 1,$$

$$(p, q, a, s > 0, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}, \nu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-),$$

where  ${}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; z; p, q \right]$  is the extended Gauss hypergeometric function defined by

$${}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; z; p, q \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{B_{p,q}(b + n, c - b)}{B(b, c - b)} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad |z| < 1,$$

$$(\Re(p), \Re(q) \geq 0, a, b \in \mathbb{C}, c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, \Re(c) > \Re(b) > 0).$$

When  $p = q$  we obtain the extended of the extended of the Gaussian hypergeometric function  $F_p$  defined by [9]:

$${}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; z; p \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{B_p(b + n, c - b)}{B(b, c - b)} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad |z| < 1,$$

$$(\Re(p) \geq 0, a, b \in \mathbb{C}, c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, \Re(c) > \Re(b) > 0).$$

The Fox-Wright function  ${}_p\Psi_q[\cdot]$  with  $p$  numerator parameters  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$  and  $q$  denominator parameters  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q$  which are defined by

$$(1.11) \quad {}_p\Psi_q \left[ \begin{matrix} (\alpha_1, A_1), \dots, (\alpha_p, A_p) \\ (\beta_1, B_1), \dots, (\beta_q, B_q) \end{matrix} \middle| z \right] = {}_p\Psi_q \left[ \begin{matrix} (\alpha_p, A_p) \\ (\beta_q, B_q) \end{matrix} \middle| z \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^p \Gamma(\alpha_l + kA_l)}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(\beta_j + kB_j)} \frac{z^k}{k!},$$

The defining series in (1.11) converges in the whole complex  $z$ -plane when

$$\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^q B_j - \sum_{j=1}^p A_j > -1;$$

when  $\Delta = 0$ , then the series in (1.11) converges for  $|z| < \nabla$ , where

$$\nabla = \left( \prod_{j=1}^p A_j^{-A_j} \right) \left( \prod_{j=1}^q B_j^{B_j} \right).$$

If, in the definition (1.11), we set

$$A_1 = \dots = A_p = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad B_1 = \dots = B_q = 1,$$

we get the relatively more familiar generalized hypergeometric function  ${}_pF_q[\cdot]$  given by

$$(1.12) \quad {}_pF_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q \end{matrix} \middle| z \right] = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(\beta_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(\alpha_i)} {}_p\Psi_q \left[ \begin{matrix} (\alpha_1, 1), \dots, (\alpha_p, 1) \\ (\beta_1, 1), \dots, (\beta_q, 1) \end{matrix} \middle| z \right]$$

In this paper we consider the  $(p, q)$ –Mathieu type power series defined by:

$$(1.13) \quad S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; p, q; z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2a_n^{\beta}(\nu)_n B_{p, q}(\tau + n, \omega - \tau) z^n}{n! B(\tau, \omega - \tau) (a_n^{\alpha} + r^2)^{\mu}},$$

$$(r, \alpha, \beta, \nu > 0, \Re(p), \Re(q) \geq 0, |z| \leq 1).$$

In particular case when  $p = q$ , we define the  $p$ –Mathieu type power series defined by:

$$(1.14) \quad S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; p; z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2a_n^{\beta}(\nu)_n B_p(\tau + n, \omega - \tau) z^n}{n! B(\tau, \omega - \tau) (a_n^{\alpha} + r^2)^{\mu}},$$

$$(r, \alpha, \beta, \nu > 0, \Re(p) \geq 0, |z| \leq 1).$$

The function  $S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; p, q; z)$  has many other special cases. We set  $p = q = 0$  we get

$$(1.15) \quad S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; z) = S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; 0, 0; z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2a_n^{\beta}(\nu)_n (\tau)_n z^n}{n! (\omega)_n (a_n^{\alpha} + r^2)^{\mu}},$$

$$(r, \alpha, \beta, \nu, \tau, \omega > 0, |z| \leq 1).$$

On the other hand, by letting  $\tau = \omega$  in (1.15) we obtain [12, Eq. 5, p. 974]:

$$(1.16) \quad S_{\mu, \nu}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; z) = S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \tau}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2a_n^{\beta}(\nu)_n z^n}{n! (a_n^{\alpha} + r^2)^{\mu}},$$

$$(r, \alpha, \beta, \nu > 0, |z| \leq 1).$$

Furthermore, the special cases when  $\nu = z = 1$  we get the generalized Mathieu series (1.4).

The contents of our paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present new integral representation for the  $(p, q)$ –Mathieu series. In particular, we derive the Mellin-Barnes type integral representations for  $(p, q)$ –Mathieu series  $S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(2, 1)}(r; \{k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}; p, q; -z)$ . As applications, In Section 3, we introduce the  $(p, q)$ –Mittag-Leffler functions and we derive some relationships between thus two special functions, in particular we derive new series representations for the  $(p, q)$ –Mathieu series. Relationships between the  $(p, q)$ – and generalized Mathieu series are proved and two Turán type inequalities are established.

## 2. Integral representation for the $(p, q)$ -Mathieu types series

In the course of our investigation, one of the main tools is the following result providing the integral representation for the  $(p, q)$ -Mathieu types power series  $S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \{k^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; p, q; z)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $r, \alpha, \beta, \nu, \mu, \tau, \omega > 0$ ,  $\Re(p), \Re(q) \geq 0$  such that  $\gamma(\mu\alpha - \beta) > 0$ . Then  $(p, q)$ -Mathieu types power series  $S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \{k^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; p, q; z)$  possesses the integral representation given by:*

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \{k^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; p, q; z) = \\ & = \frac{2\nu\tau z}{\omega\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^\infty t^{\gamma[(\mu\alpha - \beta)]} e^{-t} {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \nu+1, \tau+1 \\ \omega+1 \end{matrix}; ze^{-t}; p, q \right] {}_1\Psi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} (\mu, 1) \\ (\gamma(\mu\alpha - \beta) + 1, \gamma\alpha) \end{matrix} \middle| -r^2 t^{\gamma\alpha} \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By using the definition (1.13), we can write the extended Mathieu types series  $S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; p, q; z)$  in the following form:

$$(2.18) \quad S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; p, q; z) = 2 \sum_{m=0}^\infty \binom{\mu + m - 1}{m} (-r^2)^m \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(\nu)_n}{a_n^{(\mu+m)\alpha - \beta}} \frac{B_{p,q}(\tau + n, \omega - \tau)}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \{k^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; p, q; z) = \\ & = 2z \sum_{m=0}^\infty \binom{\mu + m - 1}{m} (-r^2)^m \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\nu)_{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \frac{B_{p,q}(\tau + 1 + n, \omega - \tau)}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \frac{z^n}{(n+1)^{\gamma((\mu+m)\alpha - \beta)}} \\ & = 2\nu z \sum_{m=0}^\infty \binom{\mu + m - 1}{m} (-r^2)^m \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\nu + 1)_n}{n!} \frac{B_{p,q}(\tau + 1 + n, \omega - \tau)}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \frac{z^n}{(n+1)^{\gamma((\mu+m)\alpha - \beta) + 1}} \\ & = \frac{2\nu z B(\tau + 1, \omega - \tau)}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \binom{\mu + m - 1}{m} (-r^2)^m \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\nu + 1)_n B_{p,q}(\tau + 1 + n, \omega - \tau)}{n! B(\tau + 1, \omega - \tau) (n+1)^{\gamma((\mu+m)\alpha - \beta) + 1}} z^n \\ & = \frac{2\nu z B(\tau + 1, \omega - \tau)}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \binom{\mu + m - 1}{m} (-r^2)^m \Phi_{\nu+1, \tau+1, \omega+1}(z, \gamma[(\mu+m)\alpha - \beta] + 1, 1; p, q) \\ & = \frac{2\nu\tau z}{\omega} \int_0^\infty t^{\gamma[(\mu\alpha - \beta)]} e^{-t} {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \nu+1, \tau+1 \\ \omega+1 \end{matrix}; ze^{-t}; p, q \right] \left( \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{\binom{\mu+m-1}{m} (-r^2 t^{\gamma\alpha})^m}{\Gamma(\gamma[(\mu+m)\alpha - \beta] + 1)} \right) dt \\ & = \frac{2\nu\tau z}{\omega\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^\infty t^{\gamma[(\mu\alpha - \beta)]} e^{-t} {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \nu+1, \tau+1 \\ \omega+1 \end{matrix}; ze^{-t}; p, q \right] {}_1\Psi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} (\mu, 1) \\ (\gamma(\mu\alpha - \beta) + 1, \gamma\alpha) \end{matrix} \middle| -r^2 t^{\gamma\alpha} \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

Now, in this case  $p = q$ , Theorem 1 reduces to the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $r, \alpha, \beta, \nu, \mu, \tau, \omega > 0$ ,  $\Re(p) \geq 0$  such that  $\gamma(\mu\alpha - \beta) > 0$ . Then  $(p, q)$ -Mathieu types power series  $S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \{k^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; p; z)$  possesses the integral representation given by:*

$$(2.19) \quad S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \{k^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; p; z) = \frac{2\nu\tau z}{\omega\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^\infty t^{\gamma[(\mu\alpha - \beta)]} e^{-t} {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \nu+1, \tau+1 \\ \omega+1 \end{matrix}; ze^{-t}; p \right] {}_1\Psi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} (\mu, 1) \\ (\gamma(\mu\alpha - \beta) + 1, \gamma\alpha) \end{matrix} \middle| -r^2 t^{\gamma\alpha} \right] dt.$$

**Remark 1.** 1. By letting  $p = q = 0$  in (2.19), we deduce that the function  $S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \{k^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; z)$  possesses the following integral representation:

$$(2.20) \quad S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \{k^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; z) = \frac{2\nu\tau z}{\omega\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^\infty t^{\gamma[(\mu\alpha - \beta)]} e^{-t} {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \nu+1, \tau+1 \\ \omega+1 \end{matrix}; ze^{-t} \right] {}_1\Psi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} (\mu, 1) \\ (\gamma(\mu\alpha - \beta) + 1, \gamma\alpha) \end{matrix} \middle| -r^2 t^{\gamma\alpha} \right] dt.$$

2. Setting  $\tau = \omega$  in (2.20) and using the fact that

$${}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, b \\ b \end{matrix}; z \right] = (1 - z)^{-a}$$

we obtain the following integral representation for the function  $S_{\mu, \nu}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \{k^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; z)$  [12, Theorem 1, Eq. 8]

$$(2.21) \quad S_{\mu, \nu}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \{k^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; z) = \frac{2\nu z}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{\gamma[(\mu\alpha - \beta)]} e^{-t}}{(1 - ze^{-t})^{\nu+1}} {}_1\Psi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} (\mu, 1) \\ (\gamma(\mu\alpha - \beta) + 1, \gamma\alpha) \end{matrix} \middle| -r^2 t^{\gamma\alpha} \right] dt.$$

In the next Theorem we present the Mellin-Barnes integral representation for the alternating Mathieu-series  $S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(2,1)}\left(r; \{k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}; p, q; -z\right)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $r, \nu, \mu, \tau, \omega > 0$ ,  $\Re(p), \Re(q) \geq 0$ . Then the following integral representation*

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(2,1)}\left(r; \{k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}; p, q; -z\right) = \\ & = -\frac{z}{i\pi\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(\nu-s+1)B_{p,q}(\tau-s+1, \omega-\tau) [\Gamma(-s+ir+1)\Gamma(-s-ir+1)]^{\mu}}{B(\tau, \omega-\tau) [\Gamma(-s+ir+2)\Gamma(-s-ir+2)]^{\mu}} z^{-s} ds, \end{aligned}$$

holds true for all  $|\arg(-z)| < \pi$ .

*Proof.* The contour of integration extends from  $c-i\infty$  to  $c+i\infty$ , such that all the poles of the Gamma function  $\Gamma(\nu-s+1)$  at the points  $s = k + \nu + 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  are separated from the poles of the gamma function  $\Gamma(s)$  at the points  $s = -k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that the poles of the integrand are simple and using the fact that

$$\text{res}[\Gamma, -k] = \lim_{s \rightarrow -k} (s+k)\Gamma(s) = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!},$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{z}{i\pi\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(\nu-s+1)B_{p,q}(\tau-s+1, \omega-\tau) [\Gamma(-s+ir+1)\Gamma(-s-ir+1)]^{\mu}}{B(\tau, \omega-\tau) [\Gamma(-s+ir+2)\Gamma(-s-ir+2)]^{\mu}} z^{-s} ds \\ & = \frac{2z}{\Gamma(\nu)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow -k} \frac{(s+k)\Gamma(s)B_{p,q}(\tau-s+1, \omega-\tau)\Gamma(\nu-s+1) [\Gamma(-s+ir+1)\Gamma(-s-ir+1)]^{\mu}}{B(\tau, \omega-\tau) [\Gamma(-s+ir+2)\Gamma(-s-ir+2)]^{\mu}} \\ & = \frac{2z}{\Gamma(\nu)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{B_{p,q}(\tau+k+1, \omega-\tau)\Gamma(\nu+k+1)}{B(\tau, \omega-\tau)((k+1)^2 + r^2)^{\mu}} z^k \\ & = -2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\nu)_k k B_{p,q}(\tau+k, \omega-\tau)}{B(\tau, \omega-\tau)(k^2 + r^2)^{\mu}} \frac{(-z)^k}{k!} \\ & = -S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(2,1)}\left(r; \{k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}; p, q; -z\right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2 □

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $r, \nu, \mu, \tau, \omega > 0$ ,  $\Re(p) \geq 0$ . Then the following integral representation*

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(2,1)}\left(r; \{k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}; p; -z\right) = \\ & = -\frac{z}{i\pi\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(\nu-s+1)B_p(\tau-s+1, \omega-\tau) [\Gamma(-s+ir+1)\Gamma(-s-ir+1)]^{\mu}}{B(\tau, \omega-\tau) [\Gamma(-s+ir+2)\Gamma(-s-ir+2)]^{\mu}} z^{-s} ds, \end{aligned}$$

holds true for all  $|\arg(-z)| < \pi$ .

**Remark 2.** *If we set  $p = 0$  in Corollary 2, then we get the Mellin-Barnes representation of the function  $S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(2,1)}\left(r; \{k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}; -z\right)$ :*

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(2,1)}\left(r; \{k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}; -z\right) = \\ & = -\frac{z\Gamma(\omega)}{i\pi\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\tau)} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(\nu-s+1)\Gamma(\tau-s+1) [\Gamma(-s+ir+1)\Gamma(-s-ir+1)]^{\mu}}{\Gamma(\omega-s+1) [\Gamma(-s+ir+2)\Gamma(-s-ir+2)]^{\mu}} z^{-s} ds \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for  $\tau = \omega$  we get

$$S_{\mu, \nu}^{(2,1)}\left(r; \{k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}; -z\right) = -\frac{z}{i\pi\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(\nu-s+1) [\Gamma(-s+ir+1)\Gamma(-s-ir+1)]^{\mu}}{[\Gamma(-s+ir+2)\Gamma(-s-ir+2)]^{\mu}} z^{-s} ds.$$

Moreover, if we set  $\mu = 2$  and  $\nu = 1$  in the above equation we get the Mellin-Barnes for the alternating Mathieu-series proved by Saxena et al. [13, Theorem 3.1].

### 3. Applications

In our first application in this section we present the relationships between the  $(p, q)$ -Mathieu-type series  $S_{2,\nu,\tau,\omega}^{(2,1)}\left(r; \{k\}_{k=0}^\infty; p, q; z\right)$  and the Rieman-Liouville operator.

**3.1. Relationships with  $(p, q)$ -Mathieu-type series and the Rieman-Liouville operator.** Our first main application is asserted by the following Theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $r, \mu, \tau, \omega > 0$ ,  $\Re(p), \Re(q) \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \nu < 1$ . Then*

$$(3.25) \quad S_{2,\nu,\tau,\omega}^{(2,1)}\left(r; \{k\}_{k=0}^\infty; p, q; z\right) = \frac{1}{2ir\Gamma(\nu)} \left\{ D_z^{\nu-1} (z^{\nu-1} \Phi_{\tau,\omega}(z, 2, -ir; p, q)) - D_z^{\nu-1} (z^{\nu-1} \Phi_{\tau,\omega}(z, 2, ir; p, q)) \right\}.$$

*Proof.* By using the definition of the  $(p, q)$ -Mathieu-type series, we can write the Mathieu-type series  $S_{2,\nu,\tau,\omega}^{(2,1)}\left(r; \{k\}_{k=0}^\infty; p, q; z\right)$  in the following form:

$$(3.26) \quad S_{2,\nu,\tau,\omega}^{(2,1)}\left(r; \{k\}_{k=0}^\infty; p, q; z\right) = \frac{1}{2ir} [\Phi_{\nu,\tau,\omega}(z, 2, -ir; p, q) - \Phi_{\nu,\tau,\omega}(z, 2, ir; p, q)].$$

Combining the above equation with (1.8), we get the desired result.  $\square$

**3.2. Relationships with  $(p, q)$ -Mittag-Leffler function and  $(p, q)$ -Mathieu-type series.** In this section, we introduce the definition of the  $(p, q)$ -Mittag-Leffler function and we establish an integral representation for this function and we present some relationships with the  $(p, q)$ -Mathieu-type series. For  $\lambda, \tau, \omega, \theta, \sigma, \delta > 0$  and  $\Re(p), \Re(q) \geq 0$  we define the  $(p, q)$ -Mittag-Leffler function by

$$(3.27) \quad E_{\delta,\theta,\sigma;p,q}^{(\lambda,\tau,\omega)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_k}{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^\delta} \frac{B_{p,q}(\tau + k, \omega - \tau)}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \frac{z^k}{k!}, z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In the case  $p = q$  we define the  $p$ -Mittag-Leffler function by

$$(3.28) \quad E_{\delta,\theta,\sigma;p}^{(\lambda,\tau,\omega)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_k}{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^\delta} \frac{B_p(\tau + k, \omega - \tau)}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \frac{z^k}{k!}, z \in \mathbb{C},$$

whose special case when  $p = 0$  reduces to the generalized Mittag-Leffler function, introduced by Tomovski and Mehrez in [12]

$$(3.29) \quad E_{\delta,\theta,\sigma}^{(\lambda)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_k}{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^\delta} \frac{z^k}{k!}, z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For  $\lambda = 1$  the above series was introduced by S. Gerhold [14].

**Lemma 1.** *For  $\tau, \omega, \theta, \sigma, \delta > 0$  and  $\Re(p), \Re(q) \geq 0$ . Then we have*

$$(3.30) \quad E_{\delta,\theta,\sigma+\theta;p,q}^{(1,\tau+1,\omega+1)}(z) = \frac{\omega}{z\tau} \left[ E_{\delta,\theta,\sigma;p,q}^{(1,\tau,\omega)}(z) - \frac{B_{p,q}(\tau, \omega - \tau)}{[\Gamma(\sigma)]^\delta B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \right].$$

*Proof.* By computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\delta,\theta,\sigma+\theta;p,q}^{(1,\tau+1,\omega+1)}(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{p,q}(\tau + k + 1, \omega - \tau) z^k}{B(\tau + 1, \omega - \tau) [\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma + \theta)]^\delta} \\ &= \frac{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)}{zB(\tau + 1, \omega - \tau)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{p,q}(\tau + k, \omega - \tau) z^k}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau) [\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^\delta} \\ &= \frac{\omega}{z\tau} \left[ E_{\delta,\theta,\sigma;p,q}^{(1,\tau,\omega)}(z) - \frac{B_{p,q}(\tau, \omega - \tau)}{[\Gamma(\sigma)]^\delta B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is completes.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\lambda, \tau, \omega, \theta, \sigma > 0$ ,  $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Re(p), \Re(q) \geq 0$ . Then the  $(p, q)$ -Mathieu-type series admits the following series representation:*

$$S_{\mu,\nu,\tau,\omega}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\left(r; \{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; p, q; z\right)$$

(3.31)

$$= 2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{\mu+m-1}{m} (-r^2)^m \left[ E_{\gamma[(\mu+m)\alpha-\beta], \theta, \sigma; p, q}^{(\nu, \tau, \omega)}(z) - \frac{B_{p, q}(\tau, \omega - \tau)}{[\Gamma(\sigma)]^{\gamma[(\mu+m)\alpha-\beta]} B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \right].$$

Moreover, the following series representation

$$(3.32) \quad S_{\mu, 1, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \left( r; \{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; p, q; z \right) = \frac{2z\tau}{\omega} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{\mu+m-1}{m} (-r^2)^m E_{\gamma[(\mu+m)\alpha-\beta], \theta, \sigma+\theta; p, q}^{(1, \tau+1, \omega+1)}(z).$$

holds true.

*Proof.* In view of the definition of the  $(p, q)$ –Mittag-Leffler function (3.27) and the equation (2.18) we obtain (3.31). Finally, combining the equation (3.31) with the following relation (3.30) we obtain the formula (3.32).  $\square$

Taking in (3.31) the values  $\theta = \sigma = 1$  we obtain the following representation:

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $\lambda, \tau, \omega, \theta, \sigma > 0, \delta \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Re(p), \Re(q) \geq 0$ . Then the  $(p, q)$ –Mathieu-type series admits the following series representations:*

(3.33)

$$S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \left( r; \{(k!)^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; p, q; z \right) = 2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{\mu+m-1}{m} (-r^2)^m \left[ E_{\gamma[(\mu+m)\alpha-\beta], 1, 1; p, q}^{(\nu, \tau, \omega)}(z) - \frac{B_{p, q}(\tau, \omega - \tau)}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \right],$$

and

$$(3.34) \quad S_{\mu, 1, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \left( r; \{k!^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; p, q; z \right) = \frac{2z\tau}{\omega} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{\mu+m-1}{m} (-r^2)^m E_{\gamma[(\mu+m)\alpha-\beta], 1, 2; p, q}^{(1, \tau+1, \omega+1)}(z).$$

**Lemma 2.** *For  $\lambda, \tau, \omega, \theta, \sigma > 0, \delta \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Re(p), \Re(q) \geq 0$ . Then the  $(p, q)$ –Mittag-Leffler function  $E_{\delta, \theta, \sigma; p, q}^{(\lambda, \tau, \omega)}(z)$  possesses the following integral representation:*

$$(3.35) \quad E_{\delta, \theta, \sigma; p, q}^{(\lambda, \tau, \omega)}(z) = \frac{1}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} (1-t)^{\omega-\tau-1} E_{p, q}(t) E_{\delta, \theta, \sigma}^{(\lambda)}(zt) dt,$$

holds true.

*Proof.* By using the definition of the  $(p, q)$ –Beta function we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} (1-t)^{\omega-\tau-1} E_{p, q}(t) E_{\delta, \theta, \sigma}^{(\lambda)}(zt) dt &= \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} (1-t)^{\omega-\tau-1} E_{p, q}(t) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_k (zt)^k}{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^\delta k!} \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_k z^k}{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^\delta k!} \int_0^1 t^{\tau+k-1} (1-t)^{\omega-\tau-1} E_{p, q}(t) dt \\ &= B(\tau, \omega - \tau) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_k}{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^\delta} \frac{B_{p, q}(\tau + k, \omega - \tau)}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \frac{z^k}{k!} \\ &= B(\tau, \omega - \tau) E_{\delta, \theta, \sigma; p, q}^{(\lambda, \tau, \omega)}(z). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 2 is completes.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *For  $\lambda, \tau, \omega, \theta, \sigma > 0, \delta \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Re(p), \Re(q) \geq 0$ . Then the following integral representation*

(3.36)

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \left( r; \{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; p, q; z \right) &= \frac{2}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} (1-t)^{\omega-\tau-1} E_{p, q}(t) S_{\mu, \nu}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \left( r; \{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; zt \right) dt \\ &\quad - \frac{2B_{p, q}(\tau, \omega - \tau)}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \cdot \frac{[\Gamma(\sigma)]^\beta}{(\tau^2 + [\Gamma(\sigma)]^\alpha)^\mu} \end{aligned}$$

holds true for all  $|z| < 1$ . Moreover, the following integral representation

(3.37)

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mu, 1, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \left( r; \{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; p, q; z \right) &= \\ &= \frac{2z\tau}{\omega B(\tau + 1, \omega - \tau)} \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} (1-t)^{\omega-\tau-1} E_{p, q}(t) S_{\mu, 1}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \left( r; \{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; zt \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

holds true for all  $|z| < 1$ .

*Proof.* By means of Lemma 2 and the integral representation (3.31), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \left( r; \{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; p, q; z \right) = \\
& = 2 \sum_{m=0}^\infty \binom{\mu + m - 1}{m} (-r^2)^m \left[ \frac{1}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} (1-t)^{\omega-\tau-1} E_{p,q}(t) E_{\gamma[(\mu+m)\alpha-\beta], \theta, \sigma}^{(\nu)}(zt) dt \right] \\
& - \frac{2B_{p,q}(\tau, \omega - \tau)}{[\Gamma(\sigma)]^{\mu\alpha-\beta} B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \binom{\mu + m - 1}{m} \left( \frac{-r^2}{[\Gamma(\sigma)]^\alpha} \right)^m \\
& = \frac{2}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} (1-t)^{\omega-\tau-1} E_{p,q}(t) \left( \sum_{m=0}^\infty \binom{\mu + m - 1}{m} (-r^2)^m E_{\gamma[(\mu+m)\alpha-\beta], \theta, \sigma}^{(\nu)}(zt) \right) dt \\
& - \frac{2B_{p,q}(\tau, \omega - \tau)}{[\Gamma(\sigma)]^{\mu\alpha-\beta} B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{r^2}{[\Gamma(\sigma)]^\alpha})^\mu} \\
& = \frac{2}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} (1-t)^{\omega-\tau-1} E_{p,q}(t) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(\nu)_k}{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^{\gamma(\mu\alpha-\beta)}} \left( \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{\binom{\mu+m-1}{m} (-r^2)^m}{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^{\gamma m \alpha}} \right) \frac{(zt)^k}{k!} dt \\
& - \frac{2B_{p,q}(\tau, \omega - \tau)}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \cdot \frac{[\Gamma(\sigma)]^\beta}{(r^2 + [\Gamma(\sigma)]^\alpha)^\mu} \\
& = \frac{2}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} (1-t)^{\omega-\tau-1} E_{p,q}(t) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(\nu)_k}{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^{\gamma(\mu\alpha-\beta)}} \left( 1 + \frac{r^2}{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^{\gamma\alpha}} \right)^{-\mu} \frac{(zt)^k}{k!} dt \\
& - \frac{2B_{p,q}(\tau, \omega - \tau)}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \cdot \frac{[\Gamma(\sigma)]^\beta}{(r^2 + [\Gamma(\sigma)]^\alpha)^\mu} \\
& = \frac{2}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} (1-t)^{\omega-\tau-1} E_{p,q}(t) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(\nu)_k [\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^{\gamma\beta}}{([\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^{\gamma\alpha} + r^2)^\mu} \frac{(zt)^k}{k!} dt \\
& - \frac{2B_{p,q}(\tau, \omega - \tau)}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \cdot \frac{[\Gamma(\sigma)]^\beta}{(\tau^2 + [\Gamma(\sigma)]^\alpha)^\mu} \\
& = \frac{2}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} (1-t)^{\omega-\tau-1} E_{p,q}(t) S_{\mu, \nu}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \left( r; \{[\Gamma(\theta k + \sigma)]^\gamma\}_{k=0}^\infty; zt \right) dt \\
& - \frac{2B_{p,q}(\tau, \omega - \tau)}{B(\tau, \omega - \tau)} \cdot \frac{[\Gamma(\sigma)]^\beta}{(r^2 + [\Gamma(\sigma)]^\alpha)^\mu},
\end{aligned}$$

which evidently completes the proof of the representation (3.36). Finally, combining (3.35) and (3.32) and repeating the same calculations as above we get (3.37). The proof of Theorem 5 is completes.  $\square$

### 3.3. Turán type inequalities for the $(p, q)$ -Mathieu-type series.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $r, \alpha, \beta, \nu, \mu, \tau, \omega > 0$ ,  $p, q \geq 0$ . Then the following assertions are true: 1. The  $(p, q)$ -Mathieu-type series considered as a function in  $p$  (or  $q$ ) is completely monotonic and log-convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . Furthermore, the following Turán type inequality

$$(3.38) \quad \left[ S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; p+1, q; z) \right]^2 \leq S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; p, q; z) S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; p+2, q; z)$$

holds true for all  $z \in (0, 1)$ .

2. Assume that  $r^2 + \mathbf{a} \geq 1$ . Then the  $(p, q)$ -Mathieu-type series considered as a function in  $\mu$  is completely monotonic and log-convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . Furthermore, the following Turán type inequality

$$(3.39) \quad \left[ S_{\mu+1, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; p, q; z) \right]^2 \leq S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; p, q; z) S_{\mu+2, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; p, q; z)$$

holds true for all  $z \in (0, 1)$  such that  $r^2 + \mathbf{a} \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* 1. In [11, Corollary 2.7], the authors proved that the extended beta function  $p$  (or  $q$ )  $\mapsto B_{p,q}(x, y)$  is completely monotonic function on  $(0, \infty)$  and using the fact that sums of completely monotonic functions are completely monotonic too, we deduce that the  $p$  (or  $q$ )  $\mapsto S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; p, q; z)$  is completely monotonic



and log-convex on  $(0, \infty)$ , since every completely monotonic function is log-convex ( see [15, p.167]. Thus, for all  $p_1, p_2 > 0$ , and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we obtain

$$S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; tp_1 + (1-t)p_2, q; z) \leq \left[ S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; p_1, q; z) \right]^t \left[ S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; p_2, q; z) \right]^{1-t}.$$

Letting  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $p_1 = p$  and  $p_2 = p + 2$  in the above inequality we get the Turán type inequality (3.38).

2. We note that the function  $\mu \mapsto (r^2 + \mathbf{a})^{-\mu}$  is completely monotonic on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $r^2 + \mathbf{a} \geq 1$ , and consequently the function  $\mu \mapsto S_{\mu, \nu, \tau, \omega}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r; \mathbf{a}; p, q; z)$  is completely monotonic and log-convex on  $(0, \infty)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.** The condition  $r^2 + \mathbf{a}$  is not necessary for proved the Turán type inequality (3.39), a similar proof of the Theorem 2 in [5], we obtain (3.39).

## REFERENCES

- [1] É.L. MATHIEU, *Traité de Physique Mathématique. VI–VII: Théory de l'Elasticité des Corps.*
- [2] K. SCHRODER, Das Problem der eingespannten rechteckigen elastischen Platte I.: Die bihar- monische Randwertaufgabe für das Rechteck, *Math. Anal.* 121 (1949), 247–326.
- [3] P.H. DIANANDA, Some inequalities related to an inequality of Mathieu, *Math. Ann.*, 250 (1980), 95–98.
- [4] Ž. TOMOVSKI, K. TRENCEVSKI, On an open problem of Bai-Ni Guo and Feng Qi, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 4 (2) (2003), Article 29, 1-7 (electronic).
- [5] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, K. MEHREZ, Ž. TOMOVSKI, New Inequalities for Some Generalized Mathieu Type Series and the Riemann Zeta Function, *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, 2018.
- [6] P. CERONE, C. T. LENARD, On integral forms of generalized Mathieu series, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 4(5) (2003), Art. No. 100, 1–11.
- [7] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, Ž. TOMOVSKI, Some problems and solutions involving Mathieu's series and its generalizations, *JIPAM*, Volume 5, Issue 2, Article 45, 2004.
- [8] H.M. SRIVASTAVA, R.K. PARMAR, P. CHOPRA, A class of extended fractional derivative operators and associated generating relations involving hypergeometric functions, *Axioms* 1 (2012), 238–258.
- [9] M. A. CHAUDHRY, A. QADIR, H. M. SRIVASTAVA, R. B. PARIS, Extended hypergeometric and confluent hypergeometric functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 159 (2004), no. 2, 589–602.
- [10] R.K. PARMAR, R.K. RAINA, On a certain extension of the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function, *An. Univ. Vest Timis, Ser. Mat.- Inform.* 2 (2014), 157–170.
- [11] M.-J. LUO, R. K. PARMAR, R. K. RAINA, On extended Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (2017), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.11.046>
- [12] Ž. TOMOVSKI, K. MEHREZ, Some families of generalized Mathieu-type power series, associated probability distributions and related functional inequalities involving complete monotonicity and log-convexity, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 20 (2017), 973–986.
- [13] R.K. SAXENA, T. K. POGÁNY, R. SAXENA, Integral transforms of the generalized Mathieu series, *Journal of Applied Mathematics, Statistics and Informatics (JAMSI)*, 6 (2) (2010), 5–16.
- [14] S. GERHOLD, Asymptotics for a variant of the Mittag Leffler function, *Int. Trans. Spec. Func.* 23, 6 (2012), 397–403.
- [15] D. V. WIDDER, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1941.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TUNIS, UNIVERSITÉ TUNIS EL MANAR, TUNISIA

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, ISSAT KASSERINE, UNIVERSITÉ DE KAIROUAN, TUNISIA  
E-mail address: k.mehrez@yahoo.fr

ŽIVORAD TOMOVSKI. UNIVERSITY "ST. CYRIL AND METHODIUS", FACULTY OF NATURAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA.

E-mail address: tomovski@pmf.ukim.edu.mk  
E-mail address: k.mehrez@yahoo.fr