arXiv:1712.01717v1 [math.NT] 5 Dec 2017

THE KERNEL OF A RATIONAL EISENSTEIN PRIME AT NON-SQUAREFREE LEVEL

HWAJONG YOO

ABSTRACT. Let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime and let N be a non-squarefree integer not divisible by ℓ. For a rational Eisenstein prime $\mathfrak{m}$ of the Hecke ring $\mathbb{T}(N)$ of level N acting on $J_0(N)$, we precisely compute the dimension of the kernel $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}]$ under a mild assumption. In the case of level qr^2 which violates our mild assumption, we propose a conjecture based on Sage computations. Assuming this conjecture, we complete our computation in all the remaining cases.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let N be a positive integer. Consider the modular curve $X_0(N)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and its Jacobian variety $J_0(N) := \mathrm{Pic}^0(X_0(N))$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let T_n denote the n-th Hecke operator in the endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}(J_0(N))$ of $J_0(N)$ and let $\mathbb{T}(N)$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}$-subring of $\mathrm{End}(J_0(N))$ generated by T_n for all integers $n \geq 1$. (For the precise definition, and for details of what follows, see Section 2.)

For a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathbb{T}(N)$ containing a prime ℓ, there is a continuous odd semisimple mod ℓ Galois representation

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{m}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}(2, k_{\mathfrak{m}}),$$

where $G_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and $k_{\mathfrak{m}} := T(N)/\mathfrak{m}$, satisfying

$$\mathrm{trace}(\mathsf{Frob}_p) = T_p \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathfrak{m}) \quad \text{and} \quad \det(\mathsf{Frob}_p) = p \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathfrak{m})$$

for all primes p not dividing $N\ell$ ([9, Th. 6.7] or [28, Prop. 5.1]). Here Frob_p denotes a Frobenius element for p in $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The representation $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is unique up to isomorphism.

We say that $\mathfrak{m}$ is Eisenstein (resp. non-Eisenstein) if $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is reducible (resp. irreducible), and that $\mathfrak{m}$ is a rational Eisenstein prime if $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq \mathbf{1} \oplus \chi_\ell$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the trivial character and χ_ℓ is the mod ℓ cyclotomic character. Note that a rational Eisenstein prime contains $\mathcal{I}_0(N)$, where

$$\mathcal{I}_0(N) := (T_p - p - 1 : \text{ for all primes } p \text{ not dividing } N)$$

is an Eisenstein ideal of $\mathbb{T}(N)$ (cf. [45, §3]). Conversely, if a maximal ideal contains ℓ and $\mathcal{I}_0(N)$, then it is a rational Eisenstein prime by a standard argument using the Brauer-Nesbitt theorem and Chebotarev density theorem. We also note that

$$\mathfrak{m} \text{ is an Eisenstein prime} \iff \mathfrak{m} \text{ is a rational Eisenstein prime}$$

when N is squarefree [43, Prop. 2.1], but there do exist Eisenstein primes which are not rational Eisenstein primes if N is not squarefree (Remark 1.9).

For a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathbb{T}(N)$ containing a prime ℓ, by the kernel of $\mathfrak{m}$ we mean the following $k_{\mathfrak{m}}[G_{\mathbb{Q}}]$-module:

$$J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}] := \{x \in J_0(N)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) : Tx = 0 \text{ for any } T \in \mathfrak{m}\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F33, 11F80 (Primary); 11G18 (Secondary).

This work was supported by IBS-R003-D1.

This kernel has been studied for decades. It is known that $\dim_{k_\mathfrak{m}} J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}] \geq 2$ (Lemma 3.3) and

$$\dim_{k_\mathfrak{m}} J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}] = 2 \iff \mathbb{T}_\mathfrak{m} \text{ is Gorenstein}$$

where $\mathbb{T}_\mathfrak{m}$ is the completion of $\mathbb{T}(N)$ at $\mathfrak{m}$, i.e., $\mathbb{T}_\mathfrak{m} := \varprojlim_k \mathbb{T}(N)/\mathfrak{m}^k$ ([38, Appendix] or [5, 5.16]). Note that the Gorenstein property of $\mathbb{T}_\mathfrak{m}$ (for non-Eisenstein $\mathfrak{m}$) plays a crucial role in the proof of Fermat's last theorem by Wiles [41] and Taylor–Wiles [37].

Suppose that $\mathfrak{m}$ is non-Eisenstein. Then by Mazur [21], the semisimplification of $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}]$, which we denote by $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}]^{\mathrm{ss}}$, is the direct sum of copies of $V_\mathfrak{m}$, where $V_\mathfrak{m}$ is the underlying irreducible two dimensional $k_\mathfrak{m}[G_\mathbb{Q}]$-module for $\rho_\mathfrak{m}$. If $V_\mathfrak{m}$ is absolutely irreducible (which is always true when $\ell \geq 3$), by Boston-Lenstra-Ribet [3], $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}] \simeq V_\mathfrak{m}^{\oplus n}$ for some $n \geq 1$. In most cases, the multiplicity n is one[1] and hence $\dim J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}] = 2$ and $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}] \simeq V_\mathfrak{m}$. For the cases where $\dim J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}]$ is greater than 2, often called failure of multiplicity one[2], see the work of Kilford [17] and of Mazur and Ribet [23, Th. 2].

Now suppose that $\mathfrak{m}$ is Eisenstein. Then $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}]$ is not a direct sum of copies of $V_\mathfrak{m}$. Instead, $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}]^{\mathrm{ss}}$ is the direct sum of one dimensional $k_\mathfrak{m}[G_\mathbb{Q}]$-modules (cf. [21, Ch. II, Prop. 14.1]). Suppose further that $\mathfrak{m}$ is rational Eisenstein. Applying Mazur's argument in [21], if ℓ does not divide $2N$ then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}] \longrightarrow \mu_\ell^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow 0$$

for some $n \geq 1$ (Proposition 3.1). This "multiplicity" n is one if and only if $\dim J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}] = 2$. When N is a prime, then Mazur proves $\dim J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}] = 2$ without any assumption on residual characteristic ℓ [21]. His work is generalized to squarefree N by Ribet and the author when ℓ does not divide $6N$ under a mild assumption [30, 42]. In contrast to the previous discussion, the dimension of $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}]$ is often greater than 2. For instance, let $p \equiv q \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ be two distinct primes. Then, $\mathfrak{m} = (\ell, T_p - 1, T_q - 1, \mathcal{I}_0(pq))$ is an Eisenstein prime and $\dim J_0(pq)[\mathfrak{m}] = 5$.

In 2016, Lecouturier asked the author the following "multiplicity one" question:

Question 1.1. As before, let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ a prime. Let

$$\mathfrak{m} = (\ell, T_2, T_p - 1, \mathcal{I}_0(4p))$$

be a rational Eisenstein prime of $\mathbb{T}(4p)$. Is $\dim J_0(4p)[\mathfrak{m}] = 2$?

In this paper, we answer this question more generally, we are interested in the kernel of a rational Eisenstein prime when N is not squarefree, i.e., there is a prime p such that p^2 divides N. To introduce our result, we need some notation: Fix a prime $\ell \geq 5$ and let N be a non-squarefree integer prime to ℓ. Suppose that $\mathfrak{m}$ is a rational Eisenstein prime which is new (see Section 2 for the definition). Then by [45, Lemma 2.2] or [22, §2.(c)], we get

$$\mathfrak{m} = (\ell, T_p - \epsilon(p), \mathcal{I}_0(N) : \text{ for all prime divisors } p \text{ of } N),$$

where $\epsilon(p) = 0$ if p^2 divides N and $\epsilon(p) = \pm 1$ if p exactly divides N, which we mean that p divides N but p^2 does not divide N. Therefore by appropriately ordering (and indexing) the prime divisors of N, we may assume that

- $N = \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i \prod_{j=1}^{t} q_j \prod_{k=1}^{u} r_k^{e(k)}$ with $e(k) \geq 2$;

[1] If N is a prime, it is true by Mazur [21] unless $\ell = 2$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ is ordinary, i.e., $T_\ell \notin \mathfrak{m}$. In general, if ℓ does not divide $2N$, it follows from [28, Th. 5.2]. For more general results, see [41, Th. 2.1] and the references therein.

[2] In the context of Jacobians of Shimura curves, see [29].

- $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_\ell(s,t,u)$, where we define

$$\mathfrak{m}_\ell(s,t,u) := (\ell, T_{p_i} - 1, T_{q_j} + 1, T_{r_k}, \mathcal{I}_0(N) : \text{ for all } i,j \text{ and } k). \tag{1}$$

Assumption 1.2. When we write $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_\ell(s,t,u)$, we always assume that

- $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ for $1 \le i \le s_0$, $p_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ for $s_0 + 1 \le i \le s$,
- $q_j \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ for all $1 \le j \le t$,
- $r_k \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ for $1 \le k \le u_0$, $r_k \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ for $u_0 + 1 \le k \le u_1$,
- $r_k \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{\ell}$ for $u_1 + 1 \le k \le u$.

Before proceeding, we note that by Lemma 2.2

$$\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_\ell(s,t,u) \text{ is maximal } \iff s + u \ge 1 \text{ and } s_0 + t + u_1 \ge 1.$$

We also note that our convention is not limited at all. Assume that $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_\ell(s,t,u)$ is maximal, or equivalently, assume that $s+u \ge 1$ and $s_0+t+u_1 \ge 1$. If $\mathfrak{m}$ is new then it is q_j-new and hence $q_j \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ (cf. [43, Th. 1.2(3)]). So, the second condition is necessary for $\mathfrak{m}$ to be new. In the cases where Assumption 1.2 is not fulfilled, we can compute the dimension of $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}]$ by computing the dimension for a lower level where Assumption 1.2 is satisfied. (In general, Assumption 1.2 does not imply that $\mathfrak{m}$ is new. In other words, it is just a necessary condition for $\mathfrak{m}$ to be new but not a sufficient one[3]. Instead of assuming $\mathfrak{m}$ is new in which case we don't know what is a "verifiable" sufficient condition in general, our convention just rules out some cases that cannot be new.)

Now, we can state our main theorem:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\ell \ge 5$ be a prime, and let $N = \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i \prod_{j=1}^{t} q_j \prod_{k=1}^{u} r_k^{e(k)}$ with $e(k) \ge 2$ not divisible by ℓ. Assume that N is non-squarefree, i.e., $u \ge 1$. Let $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_\ell(s,t,u)$ be a rational Eisenstein prime of $\mathbb{T}(N)$. If $s_0 \ne s$, or $u_0 \ne u$, or $t = 0$, then we have*

$$\dim J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}] = 1 + s_0 + t + u_1.$$

The theorem above gives an affirmative answer to Question 1.1 because it is the situation with

- $p_1 = p$ and $r_1 = 2$;
- $s_0 = s = 1$, $t = 0$, $u_0 = u_1 = 0$ and $u = 1$.

The sketch of the proof of this theorem is as follows: We first generalize a result of Mazur (Proposition 3.1). Then, by the results of Ling-Oesterlé and Vatsal on the Shimura subgroup, we can show that $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}]$ is a prosaic nugget in the sense of Brumer-Kramer (Remark 3.13). So we can obtain an upper bound on its dimension (Theorem 3.20). This bound turns out to be optimal if we find enough submodules which are ramified precisely at the primes in the set $\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$ associated to $\mathfrak{m}$ (Theorem 3.22). Under our assumption that $s_0 \ne s$ or $u_0 \ne u$ or $t = 0$, we can find such submodules by a level raising method (Proposition 4.1).

We believe that the assumptions in the theorem above (except that N is non-squarefree) are superfluous and the statement should be true for all the remaining cases. In the case of level qr^2 which violates the assumptions Sage computations [35] "support" our belief, so we propose the following:

Conjecture 1.4. *Let $\ell \ge 5$ be a prime and let $N = qr^2$ with $r \equiv -q \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. Let*

$$\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_\ell(0,1,1) = (\ell, T_q + 1, T_r, \mathcal{I}_0(qr^2))$$

[3]For example, take $\ell = 5$, $p_1 = 11$ and $p_2 = 3$. Then $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_5(2,0,0)$ is maximal but $\mathfrak{m}$ is not p_2-new.

be a rational Eisenstein prime of $\mathbb{T}(qr^2)$*. Then, we have*

$$\dim J_0(qr^2)[\mathfrak{m}] = 3.$$

This conjecture is true under a certain assumption as follows:

Theorem 1.5. *Let* $\ell \geq 5$ *be a prime and let* $N = qr^2$ *with* $r \equiv -q \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$*. Let*

$$\mathfrak{n} = (\ell, T_q + 1, T_r - 1, \mathcal{I}_0(qr))$$

be a rational Eisenstein prime of $\mathbb{T}(qr)$*. If* $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} J_0(qr)[\mathfrak{n}] = 3$ *then Conjecture 1.4 holds.*

By [42, Th. 4.5], $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} J_0(qr)[\mathfrak{n}]$ is either 2 or 3. Calegari and Ribet [30] conjecture that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} J_0(qr)[\mathfrak{n}] = 3 \iff r \text{ splits completely in } \mathcal{F}_q$$

where $\mathcal{F}_q$ is a certain extension field of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$ of degree ℓ, which is unramified outside the primes dividing q (see Notation 3.17). Therefore, for a fixed prime q with $q \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ we expect that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{\#\{r \in S_\ell : \dim J_0(qr)[\mathfrak{n}] = 3\}}{\#S_\ell} = \frac{1}{\ell}$$

where S_ℓ is the set of primes which are congruent to 1 modulo ℓ. This discussion "conjecturally" explains that our assumption in Theorem 1.5 is often fulfilled but is a somewhat strong constraint.

Example 1.6. Here is "verification" of the conjecture of Calegari and Ribet by Sage [35].

- For $q = 19$ and $\ell = 5$, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_5} J_0(qr)[\mathfrak{n}] = 3$ if and only if

  $$r = 41, 101, 251, 521, 631, 691, 881 \text{ and } 991 \text{ for } r < 1000.$$

  Such primes r are precisely the splitting primes in the extension field $\mathbb{Q}(E[5])$ defined by the 5-torsion points of E, where E is the elliptic curve '38b1' in the Cremona table.
- For $q = 29$ and $\ell = 5$, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_5} J_0(qr)[\mathfrak{n}] = 3$ if and only if

  $$r = 181, 191, 251, 401, 491, 541, 601, 701, 911 \text{ and } 971 \text{ for } r < 1000,$$

  which are precisely the splitting primes in the extension field $\mathbb{Q}(F[5])$, where F is the elliptic curve '58b1' in the Cremona table.

Without the assumption above, we have not been able to prove Conjecture 1.4. However, we can compute the dimension of $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}]$ in all the remaining cases if Conjecture 1.4 holds.

Theorem 1.7. *Let* $\ell \geq 5$ *be a prime, and let* $N = \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i \prod_{j=1}^{t} q_j \prod_{k=1}^{u} r_k^{e(k)}$ *with* $e(k) \geq 2$ *not divisible by* ℓ*. Let* $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_\ell(s,t,u)$ *be a rational Eisenstein prime of* $\mathbb{T}(N)$*. Suppose that* N *is not squarefree, i.e.,* $u \geq 1$*. Suppose further that* $t \geq 1$ *and*

$$p_i \equiv -q_j \equiv r_k \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell} \text{ for all } i, j \text{ and } k.$$

In other words, $s_0 = s$*,* $u_0 = u$ *and* $t \geq 1$*. If Conjecture 1.4 holds, then*

$$\dim J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}] = 1 + s + t + u = 1 + s_0 + t + u_0.$$

The proof of this theorem uses the same strategy as the proof of Theorem 1.3. In fact, Conjecture 1.4 holds if and only if $J_0(qr^2)[\mathfrak{m}]$ is precisely ramified at both q and r. (At the moment, the author only knows that it is ramified at r. The assumption in Theorem 1.5 implies that $J_0(qr^2)[\mathfrak{m}]$ is ramified at q as well.) So, it contains "enough" submodules (cf. Remark 3.23) and hence by a level raising method, we can verify the assumption in Theorem 3.22 as above.

Remark 1.8. One is immediately led to ask whether our methods can be generalized to general Eisenstein primes. It is clear that which information would be necessary for applying our methods (e.g. generalizations or modifications of the results in Section 3). For instance, if $\mathfrak{m}$ is Eisenstein but not rational Eisenstein, then $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq \alpha \oplus \alpha^{-1}\chi_\ell$ for some non-trivial character $\alpha : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times$ [12, Th. 2.3]. To compute $\dim J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}]$ for such $\mathfrak{m}$, some ideas in [40] are useful. We will not discuss this generalization in detail.

Remark 1.9. An example of an Eisenstein prime which is not a rational Eisenstein prime (in level ℓ^2, which does not fit into our framework) can be constructed as follows: Let ω be the Teichmüller character, which is congruent to χ_ℓ modulo ℓ. Then take an Eisenstein series E on $\Gamma_1(\ell)$ of weight 2 and character ϵ. The character ϵ is (ℓ-adically) of the form ω^{k-2} for some even k. Then, the constant term of E is congruent to λB_k, where λ is a ℓ-adic unit and B_k is the k-th Bernoulli number. Suppose B_k is divisible by ℓ (for some irregular prime ℓ). Then, there is a cusp form f of weight 2 and character ϵ which is congruent to E modulo ℓ by Ribet [26]. The mod ℓ representation associated to f is $\mathbf{1} \oplus \chi_\ell\epsilon$. So, the twist $\widetilde{f}$ of f by $\omega^{(2-k)/2}$ is a cusp form for $\Gamma_0(\ell^2)$ whose mod ℓ Galois representation is $\alpha \oplus \alpha^{-1}\chi_\ell$ as desired. See [22, §5], [40, Th. 2.1] or [36, Ex. 4.10] for relevant discussions on the character ϵ. See also [13] for the discussion on Eisenstein primes of level ℓ^2.

This paper will proceed as follows. In Section 2, we recall several results on modular curves, their Jacobian varieties, the Hecke operators and so on. In Section 3, we develop some ideas used in previous work of Mazur, Ribet and the author in order to study the basic structure of the kernel of a rational Eisenstein prime. In Section 4, we prove the theorems in the introduction.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Kenneth Ribet for very helpful discussions and correspondence over the years.

2. Background

In this section, we review basic theory of modular curves and Hecke operators. For more details, see [45, §2] and references therein.

For a positive integer $N > 1$, consider the modular curve $X_0(N)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. It is the compactified coarse moduli scheme associated with the stack of the pairs (E, C), where E is an elliptic curve and C is a cyclic subgroup of E of order N. We denote by $J_0(N)$ its Jacobian, which is an abelian variety over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Let $N = Mp^n$ for $n \geq 1$ with $(M, p) = 1$. There are natural degeneracy maps

$$\alpha_p, \beta_p : X_0(N) = X_0(Mp^n) \to X_0(Mp^{n-1}) = X_0(N/p)$$

which, in the level of stacks, consists of "forgetting the level p structure" and "dividing by the level p structure," respectively. More precisely, they send (E, C_M, C_p) to $(E, C_M, C_p[p^{n-1}])$ and $(E/C_p[p], (C_M \oplus C_p[p])/C_p[p], C_p/C_p[p])$, respectively, where E is an elliptic curve and C_M (resp. C_p) is a cyclic subgroup of E of order M (resp. p^n). They induce the following maps via two functorialities of Jacobians:

$$J_0(N) \underset{\beta_{p,*}}{\overset{\alpha_{p,*}}{\rightrightarrows}} J_0(N/p) \underset{\beta_p^*}{\overset{\alpha_p^*}{\rightrightarrows}} J_0(N)$$

and we denote by

$$\gamma_p := \alpha_p^* + \beta_p^* : J_0(N/p) \times J_0(N/p) \to J_0(N)$$

the map sending (x, y) to $\alpha_p^*(x) + \beta_p^*(y)$. The image of γ_p is called the p-old subvariety of $J_0(N)$ and denoted by $J_0(N)_{p\text{-old}}$. The quotient of $J_0(N)_{p\text{-old}}$ is called the p-new quotient of $J_0(N)$. The abelian subvariety of $J_0(N)$ spanned by the p-old subvarieties for all prime divisors p of N is called the old subvariety of $J_0(N)$ and denoted by $J_0(N)_{\mathsf{old}}$. The quotient of $J_0(N)$ by $J_0(N)_{\mathsf{old}}$ is called the new quotient of $J_0(N)$ and denoted by $J_0(N)^{\mathsf{new}}$.

Now, for a prime number p the p-th Hecke operator T_p is defined by the composition:

$$T_p = \beta_{p,*} \circ \alpha_p^* : J_0(N) \xrightarrow{\alpha_p^*} J_0(Np) \xrightarrow{\beta_{p,*}} J_0(N).$$

The n-th Hecke operator T_n are defined inductively as follows:

- $T_1 = 1$;
- $T_{mn} = T_m T_n$ if $(m, n) = 1$;
- $T_{p^k} = T_p T_{p^{k-1}} - p T_{p^{k-2}}$ for $k \geq 2$.

The Hecke ring of level N, denoted by $\mathbb{T}(N)$, is the commutative subring of $\mathrm{End}(J_0(N))$ generated (over $\mathbb{Z}$) by T_n for all integers $n \geq 1$.

As before, let $N = Mp^n$ for $n \geq 1$ with $(M, p) = 1$. For distinction, let T_p (resp. τ_p) denote the p-th Hecke operator acting on $J_0(Mp^n)$ (resp. $J_0(Mp^{n-1})$). Then by the relation on the p-old subvariety

$$T_p = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \tau_p & p \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \text{ if } n = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad T_p = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \tau_p & p \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \text{ if } n \geq 2, \tag{2}$$

the map γ_p is Hecke-equivariant. (For a prime $q \neq p$, T_q diagonally acts on the p-old subvariety.) Moreover $J_0(N)_{p\text{-old}}$ and $J_0(N)^{p\text{-new}}$ are both stable under the action of the Hecke operators. The image of $\mathbb{T}(N)$ in $\mathrm{End}(J_0(N)_{p\text{-old}})$ (resp. $\mathrm{End}(J_0(N)^{p\text{-new}})$) is called the p-old (resp. p-new) quotient of $\mathbb{T}(N)$ and denoted by $\mathbb{T}(N)^{p\text{-old}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}(N)^{p\text{-new}}$). We say a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathbb{T}(N)$ is p-new if it is still maximal after the projection $\mathbb{T}(N) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}(N)^{p\text{-new}}$. Analogously, $J_0(N)^{\mathsf{new}}$ is stable under the action of the Hecke operators, and the image of $\mathbb{T}(N)$ in $\mathrm{End}(J_0(N)^{\mathsf{new}})$ is called the new quotient of $\mathbb{T}(N)$ and denoted by $\mathbb{T}(N)^{\mathsf{new}}$. A maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}(N)$ is called new if it is still maximal after the natural projection $\mathbb{T}(N) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}(N)^{\mathsf{new}}$. Note that if a maximal ideal is new then it is p-new for all prime divisors p of N.

Now, we recall some results on the cusps of $X_0(N)$. The cusps of $X_0(N)$ may be conveniently described using Shimura's notation [34, §1.6]. Let $\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ be the cusps on $X_0(N)(\mathbb{C})$. Then for $a/b \in \Gamma_0(N)\backslash\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and relatively prime, we denote the associated cusp on $X_0(N)$ by $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. The cusps of $X_0(N)$ then are represented by $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ d \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, where d is a divisor of N, $1 \leq x \leq d$, and $(x, d) = 1$ with x taken modulo $t := (d, N/d)$. (Such a cusp $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ d \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ is called a cusp of level d.) The cusp $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ d \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_t)$, so the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ d \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ factors through $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_t)/\mathbb{Q})$. The action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_t)/\mathbb{Q})$ permutes all the cusps of level d. (For details, see [24, §1], [10, §3.8] or [18, §2].)

The rational cuspidal subgroup of $J_0(N)$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}(N)$, is the subgroup of $J_0(N)$ generated by the degree 0 divisors which are supported only on the cusps and are stable under the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. (By Manin and Drinfeld [20, 11], it is finite.) It is generated by the elements of the form

$$\sum_{d|N} a_d(P_d), \text{ with } \sum_{d|N} a_d \cdot \varphi((d, N/d)) = 0,$$

where the divisor (P_d) is defined as the sum of all the cusps of level d and φ is the Euler's totient function. (From its definition, it is easy to see that (P_d) is of degree $\varphi((d, N/d))$ and stable under the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$.)

Let $N = \prod p^{e(p)}$ be the prime power factorization of N, and let

$$N^{\mathsf{sqf}} := \prod_{e(p)=1} p \quad \text{and} \quad N^{\square} := \prod_{e(p)\geq 2} p.$$

For a divisor M of N^{sqf} with $MN^{\square} \neq 1$, we define a cuspidal divisor $\mathcal{C}_{M,N} \in \mathcal{C}(N)$ by the image in $J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})$ of the divisor

$$C_{M,N} = \sum_{d \mid MN^{\square}} (-1)^{\omega(d)} \varphi(N^{\square}/(d, N^{\square}))(P_d), \tag{3}$$

where $\omega(d)$ is the number of distinct prime divisors of d. Then, we have the following:

Proposition 2.1. *The order of $\mathcal{C}_{M,N}$ is the numerator of*

$$\frac{h \prod_{p\mid M}(p-1) \prod_{p\mid N,\, p\nmid M}(p^2-1) \prod_{p\mid N^{\square}} p^{e(p)-2}}{24},$$

where h is either 1 or 2. For a prime q, the Hecke operator T_q acts on $\mathcal{C}_{M,N}$ by the multiplication by

$$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \text{ divides } M, \\ q & \text{if } q \text{ divides } N^{\mathsf{sqf}}/M, \\ 0 & \text{if } q^2 \text{ divides } N, \\ q+1 & \text{if } q \text{ does not divide } N. \end{cases}$$

If N is squarefree (resp. otherwise), this proposition follows from [42, Prop. 2.13] and [44, Th. 1.3] (resp. from [45, Th. 4.2 and 4.7]).

Finally, we recall the following lemma on the maximality of $\mathfrak{m}_\ell(s,t,u)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime, and let $N = \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i \prod_{j=1}^{t} q_j \prod_{k=1}^{u} r_k^{e(k)}$ with $e(k) \geq 2$ not divisible by ℓ. Then, $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_\ell(s,t,u)$ is maximal if and only if $s+u \geq 1$ and $s_0 + t + u_1 \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $u = 0$. Then, N is squarefree and $\mathfrak{m}$ cannot be maximal if $s = 0$ by [43, Th. 1.2(1)]. Therefore $s + u \geq 1$ if $\mathfrak{m}$ is maximal.

Now, assume that $s + u \geq 1$. Let $I := (T_{p_i} - 1, T_{q_j} - q_j, T_{r_k}, \mathcal{I}_0(N) : \text{ for all } i, j \text{ and } k)$ be an Eisenstein ideal of $\mathbb{T}(N)$. Then by [45, Th. 4.2 and 6.1] we get

$$(\mathbb{T}(N)/I) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell$$

where n is the numerator of

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p_i-1) \prod_{j=1}^{t}(q_j^2-1) \prod_{k=1}^{u} r_k^{e(k)-2}(r_k^2-1)}{24}.$$

By our assumption, $q_j \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ for all j and hence $\mathfrak{m} = (\ell, I)$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{m}$ is maximal if and only if n is divisible by ℓ. Since $\ell \geq 5$, the latter statement is equivalent to saying that $s_0 + t + u_1 \geq 1$, which implies the claim. □

Remark 2.3. It is also true that for $M = \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i$,

$$\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_\ell(s,t,u) \text{ is maximal} \iff MN^{\square} \neq 1 \text{ and } \langle \mathcal{C}_{M,N} \rangle [\mathfrak{m}] \neq 0.$$

3. The structure of $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}]$

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a rational Eisenstein prime of $\mathbb{T}(N)$. The structure of $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}]$ is carefully studied by Mazur when N is a prime [21]; by Ribet and the author when N is squarefree and ℓ does not divide $6N$ [30, 42]. Most of the ideas can be generalized to arbitrary composite level without difficulties if we assume that ℓ does not divide $2N$. For the convenience of readers, we try to provide enough details.

From now on, we fix a prime $\ell \geq 3$ and assume that N is a positive integer prime to ℓ. For ease of notation, we set $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{T}(N)$ and $J := J_0(N)$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote a rational Eisenstein prime of $\mathbb{T}$ containing ℓ. (Note that since all Hecke operators are congruent to an integer modulo $\mathfrak{m}$ (cf. [45, Lemma 2.2]), $k_{\mathfrak{m}} := \mathbb{T}/\mathfrak{m} \simeq \mathbb{F}_\ell$.) Let $S = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ and $S' := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[1/N]$.

Let $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ denote the Néron model of J over S. The description of the special fiber can be given by the theory of Raynaud [25] using the Deligne-Rapoport [8] (resp. Katz-Mazur [16]) model of $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ when p exactly divides N (resp. p^2 divides N). If p does not divide N, $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is an abelian variety by Igusa [15]. Note that $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a semi-abelian variety if p exactly divides N and it is not if p^2 divides N. Let $J[\ell]_S$ denote the scheme-theoretic kernel of multiplication by ℓ in $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then, $J[\ell]_S$ is a quasi-finite flat group scheme, whose restriction to S' is finite and flat, because ℓ^2 does not divide N (cf. [2, §7.3, Lemma 2]).

Now, we define $J[\mathfrak{m}]_S$ to be the Zariski-closure of $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ in $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. It is the subgroup scheme extension of $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ in $J[\ell]_S$ in the sense of [21, Ch. I, §1(c)]. (Note that $J[\mathfrak{m}] \subset J[\ell]_S(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ because $\ell \in \mathfrak{m}$.) Therefore $J[\mathfrak{m}]_S$ is a quasi-finite flat group scheme, whose restriction to S' is finite and flat. It is a closed subgroup scheme of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by construction, and killed by $\mathfrak{m}$. The quotient $\mathbb{T}/\mathfrak{m}$ acts naturally on $J[\mathfrak{m}]_S$. We often abuse notation and write $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ for this quasi-finite flat group scheme $J[\mathfrak{m}]_S$ if there is no confusion. For related discussions, see [21, Ch. I, §1], [22, §1], [4, §3.1] or [6].

3.1. Mazur's argument.

The main result in this subsection is the following:

Proposition 3.1. *There is an exact sequence:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow J[\mathfrak{m}] \longrightarrow \mu_\ell^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow 0$$

with $n \geq 1$.

The following is an easy consequence of the proposition:

Corollary 3.2. *Let p be a prime different from ℓ and let I_p be an inertia subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for p. Then, for any $\sigma_p \in I_p$, $(\sigma_p - 1)^2$ annihilates $J[\mathfrak{m}]$.*

Proof. By definition, $(\sigma_p - 1)$ annihilates $J[\mathfrak{m}]^{I_p}$, the fixed part of $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ by I_p. Then, by Proposition 3.1 the quotient $Q = J[\mathfrak{m}]/J[\mathfrak{m}]^{I_p}$ is isomorphic to $\mu_\ell^{\oplus a}$ for some $0 \leq a \leq 1$, which is unramified at p. Therefore $(\sigma_p - 1)$ also annihilates Q which implies the claim. □

The proof of Proposition 3.1 will directly follow from the discussion below.

Lemma 3.3. *The dimension of $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ over $k_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is at least 2.*

Proof. We apply the arguments in [21, Ch. II, §§6, 7].

For an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbb{T}$, let $J[\mathfrak{a}] := \{x \in J(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) : Tx = 0 \text{ for all } T \in \mathfrak{a}\}$. Let

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}} := \varprojlim_k \mathbb{T}/\mathfrak{m}^k \quad \text{and} \quad J_{\mathfrak{m}} := \varinjlim_k J[\mathfrak{m}^k].$$

Then, $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a direct factor of the semi-local ring $\mathbb{T}_\ell := \mathbb{T} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ and $J_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq J_\ell \otimes_{\mathbb{T}_\ell} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, where $J_\ell := \varinjlim_k J[\ell^k]$, the ℓ-divisible group associated to J. Let

$$\mathrm{Ta}_{\mathfrak{m}}(J) := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell,\, J_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell,\, \varinjlim_k J[\mathfrak{m}^k])$$

be the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic Tate module of J, which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ta}_\ell(J) \otimes_{\mathbb{T}_\ell} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Then by Lemma 7.7 of *loc. cit.* $\mathrm{Ta}_{\mathfrak{m}}(J) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is of dimension 2 over $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Note that the argument used in the proof is not dependent on the fact that the level N is a prime (cf. [40, Prop. 3.1], [41, p. 481] or [7, Lemma 1.39]).

Since $\mathfrak{m}$ is maximal and $\mathbb{T}$ acts faithfully on J, $J[\mathfrak{m}] \neq 0$. Suppose that $\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{m}}} J[\mathfrak{m}] = 1$. Then by Nakayama's lemma $\mathrm{Ta}_{\mathfrak{m}}(J)$ is free of rank 1 over $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Thus, $\mathrm{Ta}_{\mathfrak{m}}(J) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is of dimension 1 over $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\dim_{k_{\mathfrak{m}}} J[\mathfrak{m}] \geq 2$ as desired. □

Remark 3.4. The proof above is valid without any hypothesis on ℓ and $\mathfrak{m}$. Thus, Lemma 3.3 holds for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathbb{T}$.

The following is a generalization of [21, Ch. II, Prop. 14.1]:

Proposition 3.5. *All Jordan-Hölder constituents of $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ is either $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ or μ_ℓ.*

Proof. We apply the argument on pages 114–115 of [21].

Let $W = J[\mathfrak{m}]^{\mathrm{ss}}$ be the semisimplification of $J[\mathfrak{m}]$, and let $W^\vee$ be the Cartier dual of W. Let $d := \dim J[\mathfrak{m}]$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{T}/\mathfrak{m} \simeq \mathbb{F}_\ell$, and let G denote a finite quotient of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ through which the action on W factors. Let Frob_p denote a Frobenius element for p in $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then for any prime number p not dividing ℓN, by the Eichler-Shimura relation the action of Frob_p on W satisfies

$$\mathsf{Frob}_p^2 - (T_p \bmod \mathfrak{m})\mathsf{Frob}_p + p = 0.$$

Since $T_p - p - 1 \in \mathfrak{m}$, the only eigenvalues possible for the action of Frob_p on W are either 1 or p. Since Cartier duality interchanges these eigenvalues, the characteristic polynomial of Frob_p on $W \oplus W^\vee$ is

$$(X-1)^d(X-p)^d.$$

Note that the characteristic polynomial of Frob_p on $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mu_\ell)^{\oplus d}$ is $(X-1)^d(X-p)^d$ as well. By the Chebotarev density theorem any element in G is the image of some Frob_p (for a prime p not dividing ℓN) and hence any element $g \in G$ has the same characteristic polynomial for the representation $W \oplus W^\vee$ as for $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mu_\ell)^{\oplus d}$. Since both representations are semisimple, they are in fact isomorphic by the Brauer-Nesbitt theorem. Therefore

$$J[\mathfrak{m}]^{\mathrm{ss}} = W \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus a} \oplus \mu_\ell^{\oplus b}$$

for some integers $a, b \geq 0$ with $a + b = d$ as desired. □

Remark 3.6. The proof above is valid without any hypothesis on ℓ. Thus, Proposition 3.5 holds for any rational Eisenstein prime $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathbb{T}$.

Lemma 3.7. $\mathcal{C}(N)[\mathfrak{m}] \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \subset J[\mathfrak{m}]$.

Proof. The fact that $\mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{m}] \neq 0$ follows from [45, Th. 1.3(4)]. More specifically, if we write

$$N = \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i \prod_{j=1}^{t} q_j \prod_{k=1}^{u} r_k^{e(k)} \text{ with } e(k) \geq 2$$

and if $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_\ell(s,t,u)$, then by Proposition 2.1 $\langle \mathcal{C}_{M,N} \rangle [\mathfrak{m}] \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, where $M = \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i$. Since $\mathcal{C}(N) \subset J(\mathbb{Q})$, $\mathcal{C}(N)[\mathfrak{m}] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus a}$ for some $a \geq 1$.

Now, we will deduce $a = 1$ from the arguments which parallel those given on [21, pp. 118–119]. Since N and ℓ are relatively prime, we can consider $X_0(N)$ as a curve over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$, which we denote by $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ [15]. Consider reductions of $J[\ell]_{S'}$ and $J[\mathfrak{m}]_{S'}$ at ℓ, and denote them by $J[\ell]_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ and $J[\mathfrak{m}]_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$, respectively. (See the beginning of this section.) Note that $J[\ell]_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ and $J[\mathfrak{m}]_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ are both finite and flat. Let $J[\ell]^{\text{ét}}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ and $J[\mathfrak{m}]^{\text{ét}}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ denote the étale parts of $J[\ell]_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ and $J[\mathfrak{m}]_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$, respectively. Recall the canonical isomorphism

$$\delta : J[\ell](\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell) \to H^0(X_0(N)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}, \Omega^1)^{\mathscr{C}}$$

of [32, §11, Prop. 10], where the superscript $\mathscr{C}$ means fixed elements under the Cartier operator. This map δ is defined as follows: an element $x \in J[\ell](\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ is represented by a divisor D on $X_0(N)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}$ such that $\ell D = (f)$. One takes $\delta(x) = df/f$. Then, the isomorphism above induces an injection:

$$\delta : J[\ell](\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell \hookrightarrow H^0(X_0(N)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}, \Omega^1)$$

which commutes with the action of $\mathbb{T}/\ell\mathbb{T}$ (cf. [21, Ch. II, Prop. 14.7]). Also, the injective q-expansion map $H^0(X_0(N)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}, \Omega^1) \to \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell[[q]]$ and the arguments of [21, Ch. II, §9] show that $H^0(X_0(N)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}, \Omega^1)[\mathfrak{m}]$ is at most of dimension 1. Therefore $(J[\ell]^{\text{ét}}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell})[\mathfrak{m}]$ is of dimension ≤ 1. Note that $\mathfrak{m}$ is ordinary because $T_\ell \equiv \ell + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$. Since $\ell \geq 3$, we get

$$J[\mathfrak{m}]^{\text{ét}}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} = (J[\ell]^{\text{ét}}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell})[\mathfrak{m}]$$

(cf. [21, Ch. II, Cor. 14.8]) and this shows that $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ can have at most one $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ as its Jordan-Hölder constituents. Thus, $a = 1$ as claimed. □

Proposition 3.8. *Let $M := J[\mathfrak{m}]/(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$. Then $M \simeq \mu_\ell^{\oplus n}$ for some $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. The claim $n \geq 1$ directly follows from Lemma 3.3 if $M \simeq \mu_\ell^{\oplus n}$.

In the proof of Lemma 3.7, we proved that $M^{\text{ss}} \simeq \mu_\ell^{\oplus n}$. To do that $M \simeq \mu_\ell^{\oplus n}$, we follow the argument on page 125 of [21] (or [40, Prop. 4.2], [4, Rmk. 4.2.4]).

Let p be a prime not dividing $N\ell$. Then, T_p acts on M by $p+1$ because $T_p - p - 1 \in \mathfrak{m}$. By the Eichler-Shimura relation, for each prime p not dividing ℓN a Frobenius element Frob_p acts on M by

$$\mathsf{Frob}_p^2 - (p+1)\mathsf{Frob}_p + p = (\mathsf{Frob}_p - 1)(\mathsf{Frob}_p - p) = 0.$$

Note that M is of multiplicative type, so the only eigenvalue of Frob_p acting on M is p. Therefore, if $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$, then $(\mathsf{Frob}_p - 1)$ is an isomorphism on M and $(\mathsf{Frob}_p - p)$ annihilates M by the above formula. Let $M^\vee$ be the Cartier dual of M, then $M^\vee$ is étale and Frob_p acts trivially on $M^\vee$ for any prime $p \nmid N\ell$ with $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. By the Chebotarev density theorem, $M^\vee \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus n}$ and hence $M \simeq \mu_\ell^{\oplus n}$. □

3.2. **The Shimura subgroup.** Let $X_1(N)$ be the compactified coarse moduli scheme associated with the stack of the pairs (E, P), where E is an elliptic curve and P is a point of exact order N. The natural homomorphism from $X_1(N)$ to $X_0(N)$ of modular curves, which sends a point $(E, P) \in Y_1(N)$ to $(E, \langle P \rangle)$, induces the morphism $J_0(N) \to J_1(N)$ by Picard functoriality. The kernel of this morphism is called the Shimura subgroup of $J_0(N)$, denoted by $\Sigma(N)$. In their paper [19], Ling and Oesterlé gave a complete description of the Shimura subgroup: the structure as an abstract abelian group, the exponent, the order, the action of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, the action of the Hecke operators T_p for all primes p and so on. Roughly speaking, $\Sigma(N)$ is a quotient of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ by a small subgroup of order n, where n is a product of powers of 2 and 3. The smallest common field of definition of the points of $\Sigma(N)$ is the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_e)$, where e is the exponent of $\Sigma(N)$. The Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_e)/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $\Sigma(N)$ via the cyclotomic character

$\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_e)/\mathbb{Q}) \to (\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z})^\times$. For a prime p not dividing N (resp. dividing N), T_p acts by $p+1$ (resp. p) on $\Sigma(N)$. Combining all the results, we get the following:

Proposition 3.9 (Ling-Oesterlé)**.** *Let $N = \prod_p p^{e(p)}$ be the prime power decomposition of N.*

(1) If $T_p \not\equiv p \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ for some p, then $\Sigma(N)[\mathfrak{m}] = 0$.
(2) Suppose that $T_p \equiv p \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ for all p.
  (a) If $\ell \geq 5$, then $\Sigma(N)[\mathfrak{m}] \simeq \mu_\ell^{\oplus a}$, where a is the number of p's which are congruent to 1 modulo ℓ.
  (b) Suppose further that $\ell = 3$. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ for all p, then $\Sigma(N)[\mathfrak{m}] \simeq \mu_3^{\oplus b}$, where b is the number of p's which are congruent to 1 modulo 9. If $p \equiv -1 \pmod 3$ for some p, then $\Sigma(N)[\mathfrak{m}] \simeq \mu_3^{\oplus c}$, where c is the number of p's which are congruent to 1 modulo 3.

In Mazur's paper [21, Th. 2], he showed that $\Sigma(N)$ is the maximal μ-type subgroup of J is the Shimura subgroup when N is a prime. This result is generalized by Vatsal [39] to composite level, and the following is a special case of his result:

Proposition 3.10 (Vatsal)**.** *If $\mu_\ell \subset J$ then $\mu_\ell \subset \Sigma(N)$.*

Note that since we assume that ℓ does not divide $2N$, ℓ is odd and J has a good reduction at ℓ. Thus, this is a direct consequence of [39, Th. 1.1].

Definition 3.11. We denote by $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ the quotient of $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ by $\Sigma(N)[\mathfrak{m}]$. Also, we denote by $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]_{S'}$ its associated finite flat group scheme over S', which is defined as the quotient of $J[\mathfrak{m}]_{S'}$ by $\Sigma(N)[\mathfrak{m}]_{S'}$.

Corollary 3.12. *The quotient $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ is an extension of $\mu_\ell^{\oplus k}$ by $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ for some $k \geq 0$ such that $\mu_\ell \not\subset \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$.*

(Here, k could be zero, for instance, if N is a prime.)

Proof. Since $\Sigma(N)[\mathfrak{m}] \simeq \mu_\ell^{\oplus a}$ by Proposition 3.9, the first claim follows from Proposition 3.1.

Now suppose that $\mu_\ell \subset \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$. Let $\pi : J[\mathfrak{m}] \to \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ be the quotient map. Then, $\pi^{-1}(\mu_\ell) \subset J[\mathfrak{m}]$ is an extension of μ_ℓ by $\Sigma(N)[\mathfrak{m}] \simeq \mu_\ell^{\oplus a}$. Since $\pi^{-1}(\mu_\ell)$ is annihilated by $\mathfrak{m}$ as well, by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.8 we get

$$\pi^{-1}(\mu_\ell) \simeq \mu_\ell^{\oplus(a+1)} \simeq \Sigma(N)[\mathfrak{m}] \oplus \mu_\ell \subset J[\mathfrak{m}],$$

which contradicts Proposition 3.10. Therefore $\mu_\ell \not\subset \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$. □

Remark 3.13. Thanks to Corollary 3.2, even though J does not have semistable reduction at p if p^2 divides N, both $J[\mathfrak{m}]_{S'}$ and $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]_{S'}$ belong to the category $\underline{D}$ of Schoof [4, p. 2468][4]. Moreover, $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]_{S'}$ with a filtration $0 \subset (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})_{S'} \subset \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]_{S'}$ is an example of a prosaic nugget in *loc. cit.* If N is not squarefree and $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_\ell(s,t,u)$ then $\Sigma(N)[\mathfrak{m}] = 0$ and hence $J[\mathfrak{m}]_{S'} = \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]_{S'}$ is also a prosaic nugget.

[4]The category $\underline{D}$ is first introduced by Schoof [31, §2] when N is a prime.

3.3. **Ramification of $J[\mathfrak{m}]$.** In this section, we provide a criterion for ramification of $J[\mathfrak{m}]$:

Proposition 3.14. *Let $p \neq \ell$ be a prime whose square does not divide N. If $T_p \not\equiv p \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$, then $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ is unramified at p, i.e., I_p acts trivially on $J[\mathfrak{m}]$, where I_p is an inertia subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ for p.*

In the paper [30], Ribet and the author proved the preceding proposition when N is squarefree. The idea is to use Grothendieck's semistable reduction theorem [14] and the structure of $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ in Section 3.1. The argument applies *mutatis mutandis* as long as J has semistable reduction at p, which is equivalent to saying that p^2 does not divide N.

For the convenience of readers, we give a complete proof.

Proof. If p does not divide N, then J has a good reduction at p and I_p acts trivially on $J[\ell]$. Since $J[\mathfrak{m}] \subset J[\ell]$, the result follows.

Now, we assume that p exactly divides N, i.e., p divides N but p^2 does not divide N. Let $Q = J[\mathfrak{m}]/J[\mathfrak{m}]^{I_p}$ and it suffices to show that $Q = 0$ if $T_p \not\equiv p \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$.

First of all, we claim that Q is unramified at p and T_p acts on Q by Frob_p. Let $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ denote the special fiber of the Néron model of J over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Then, by the Deligne-Rapoport model of $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ [8] and the theory of Picard functors by Raynaud [25], $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is an extension of the component group by a semiabelian variety $\mathcal{J}^0_{\mathbb{F}_p}$, called the identity component. Moreover $\mathcal{J}^0_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is an extension of an abelian variety $A_{\mathbb{F}_p}$, which is isomorphic to $J_0(N/p)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \times J_0(N/p)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$, by a torus $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. If the rank of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is a and the dimension of $J_0(N/p)$ is b, then the dimension of J is $a + 2b$ and $J[\ell] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^{2(a+2b)}$. The ℓ-torsion subgroup $J[\ell]$ has a natural filtration [14, 11.6.5]:

$$0 \subset J[\ell]^t \subset J[\ell]^0 \subset J[\ell],$$

where $J[\ell]^0$ is its connected component over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $J[\ell]^t$ is the "toric part" of $J[\ell]^0$. Note that $J[\ell]^0 \simeq \mathcal{J}^0_{\mathbb{F}_p}[\ell]$ is of rank $a + 4b$ and $J[\ell]^t \simeq \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p}[\ell]$ is of rank a. Moreover by Serre and Tate [33, Lemma 2], $J[\ell]^{I_p} \simeq \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{F}_p}[\ell]$, which implies that $J[\ell]^0 \subset J[\ell]^{I_p}$.[5] The quotient $J[\ell]/J[\ell]^0$ (of rank a) is unramified and canonically isomorphic to $X/\ell X$, where X is the character group of the torus of the special fiber of the Néron model of the dual abelian variety $J^\vee$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (cf. [23, Cor. of Prop. 11]). By autoduality of J, X is isomorphic to the character group of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. By [28, Prop. 3.8], the action of T_p on X is equal to that of Frob_p on X. Therefore the actions of T_p and Frob_p on $J[\ell]/J[\ell]^0$ coincide, and the same is true on $J[\ell]/J[\ell]^{I_p}$. Since $J[\mathfrak{m}] \subset J[\ell]$ and $J[\mathfrak{m}]^{I_p} = J[\mathfrak{m}] \cap J[\ell]^{I_p}$, the actions of T_p and Frob_p on $Q = J[\mathfrak{m}]/J[\mathfrak{m}]^{I_p}$ coincide, which proves the claim.

Secondly, we show that Frob_p acts on Q by p. Since $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ is an extension of $\mu_\ell^{\oplus n}$ by $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, $J[\mathfrak{m}]^{I_p}$ is at least of dimension n and $Q = J[\mathfrak{m}]/J[\mathfrak{m}]^{I_p}$ is isomorphic to either μ_ℓ or 0. Therefore the claim follows.

Finally, since both $(T_p - \mathsf{Frob}_p)$ and $(\mathsf{Frob}_p - p)$ annihilate Q, so does $T_p - p$. If $T_p - p \notin \mathfrak{m}$, then it is a unit in $\mathbb{T}/\mathfrak{m}$ and hence it acts as an automorphism on $Q = Q[\mathfrak{m}]$. Therefore $Q = 0$. □

Corollary 3.15. *Let $p \neq \ell$ be a prime whose square does not divide N. Then, the quotient $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ is unramified at p if $T_p \not\equiv p \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ is the quotient of $J[\mathfrak{m}]$, it is clear. □

[5] In [22, §1.(b)], Mazur writes $FJ[\ell]$ for the finite part of $J[\ell]$. Using [21, Ch I, Prop. 1.3] or its generalization [6, Cor. 1.5], we get $J[\ell]^{I_p} = FJ[\ell]$.

3.4. **The group of extensions.** In the rest of this section, we further assume that $\ell \geq 5$.

For a prime $p \neq \ell$, Schoof shows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{\ell} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ denotes the group of extensions of μ_ℓ by $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ (cf. [31, Cor. 4.2]). Brumer and Kramer generalize this result to any composite number N prime to ℓ. Let

$$\mathcal{S}(N) := \{p \mid N : p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{\ell}\}$$

and let $\varpi_\ell(N) := \#\mathcal{S}(N)$. The following is Proposition 4.2.1 of [4]:

Proposition 3.16 (Brumer-Kramer)**.** $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) = \varpi_\ell(N)$.

For an extension $E \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$, we define $\mathbb{Q}(E)$ by the field defined by the points of $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. If $N = p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{\ell}$ is a prime, then there is a basis element $E \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ by Schoof. Moreover $\mathbb{Q}(E)$ is a unique extension field of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$ of degree ℓ (up to isomorphism) such that

- $\mathbb{Q}(E)/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$ is unramified outside the primes above p and split over λ, where λ is the unique prime of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$ above ℓ.
- $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E)/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell))$ by the inverse of the mod ℓ cyclotomic character.

(cf. [4, Lemma 3.3.10].)

Notation 3.17. From now on, for a prime $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{\ell}$, we choose a basis of $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ and denote it by $\mathcal{E}_p$. Note that $\mathcal{E}_p$ can be regarded as an extension over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ if N is a multiple of p. In such a case, for an extension $E \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$, we denote by

$$E \sim \mathcal{E}_p$$

if there is a $k \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$ such that $E \simeq k\mathcal{E}_p$ as elements in $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$. Note that if $E \sim \mathcal{E}_p$, then $\mathbb{Q}(E) \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{E}_p)$, so we set $\mathcal{F}_p := \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{E}_p)$, which is unique up to isomorphism.

Now, we interpret Proposition 3.16 using characters on a certain Galois group. We use the same notation as in the proof of [4, Prop. 4.2.1]. Let L be the maximal elementary abelian ℓ-extension of $F := \mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)$ such that L/F is unramified outside N and split over $\lambda_F = \lambda$. Let $G := \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$, $G_0 := \mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$ and $\Delta := \mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$. For each prime $p \in \mathcal{S}(N)$, let $G_p := \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_p/F)$. Then, we have

$$\Phi : \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{F}_\ell[G]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{F}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_\ell(-1)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(G_0, \mathbb{F}_\ell(-1))^\Delta. \tag{4}$$

For each $E \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$, by a suitable choice of basis (as an $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-vector space), we can obtain a Galois representation of the form:

$$\rho_E : \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E)/\mathbb{Q}) \to \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & c_E \\ 0 & \chi_\ell \end{pmatrix} : c_E \in H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E)/\mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{F}_\ell(-1)) \right\}.$$

Then by the restriction of c_E to $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E)/F)$ we get a character[6] $\iota_E : \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E)/F) \to \mathbb{F}_\ell(-1)$ (cf. [4, Rmk 3.5.4]). The composition of the canonical projection $G_0 \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E)/F)$ and ι_E gives rise to the desired homomorphism $\Phi(E)$.

[6] Note that $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{1} & x \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)^{-1} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{1} & ad^{-1}\cdot x \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

By consideration of ramification, the set $\{\Phi(\mathcal{E}_p) : p \in \mathcal{S}(N)\}$ forms a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(G_0, \mathbb{F}_\ell(-1))^\Delta$. In other words, for any $E \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ we can find $a_p \in \mathbb{F}_\ell$ such that

$$\Phi(E) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}(N)} a_p \cdot \Phi(\mathcal{E}_p)$$

and $a_p = 0$ for all $p \in \mathcal{S}(N)$ if and only if $E \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mu_\ell$. By the same discussion as above, we get the following:

Corollary 3.18. *Suppose that $\mathcal{S}(N) = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$. For each i, let $E_i \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ such that $E_i \sim E_{p_i}$. Then, for any $E \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ there is an element $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^n$ such that*

$$\Phi(E) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}(N)} a_p \cdot \Phi(E_p).$$

Moreover, we have

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (0, \dots, 0) \iff E \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mu_\ell.$$

Remark 3.19. Since L/F is an elementary abelian ℓ-extension, by Kummer theory

$$G_0 = \mathrm{Gal}(L/F) \simeq G_1 \times \cdots \times G_k$$

for some k with $G_i \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ (cf. [1, Cor. of Lemma 3]). Indeed $\Phi(E) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(G_0, \mathbb{F}_\ell(-1))^\Delta$ can be decomposed as follows:

$$\Phi(E) : G_0 \twoheadrightarrow \prod_{p \in \mathcal{S}(N)} G_p \to \mathbb{F}_\ell(-1).$$

3.5. **The dimension of $J[\mathfrak{m}]$.** As before, we assume that $\ell \geq 5$. Let

$$\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m} := \{p \in \mathcal{S}(N) : p^2 \mid N\} \cup \{p \in \mathcal{S}(N) : p^2 \nmid N \text{ and } T_p - p \in \mathfrak{m}\}.$$

Note that by Lemma 2.2, $\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$ is non-empty because $\mathfrak{m}$ is maximal. The following is a generalization of [42, Th. 4.4]:

Theorem 3.20. *As a vector space over $\mathbb{T}/\mathfrak{m} \simeq \mathbb{F}_\ell$, we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}] \leq 1 + \#\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}.$$

Proof. Let S be the set of all prime divisors p of N, and let S_0 be the subset of S such that p^2 does not divide N and $T_p - p \notin \mathfrak{m}$. Let N_0 be the product of all elements in S but not in S_0, i.e.,

$$N_0 := \prod_{p \in S \smallsetminus S_0} p.$$

Then by Proposition 3.14 the Galois module $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ is unramified outside the primes dividing ℓN_0 and so is $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$. Therefore the corresponding group schemes $J[\mathfrak{m}]_{S'}$ and $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]_{S'}$ prolong to a finite and flat scheme over S'', where $S'' = \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{Z}[1/N_0]$ (cf. [21, Ch. I, Prop. 1.3] or [6, Cor. 1.5]). We denote them by $J[\mathfrak{m}]_{S''}$ and $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]_{S''}$ respectively.

Let $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}] = 1+k$, so $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ is an extension of $\mu_\ell^{\oplus k}$ by $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $\iota_i : \mu_\ell \hookrightarrow \mu_\ell^{\oplus k}$ be the embedding into the i-th component and let E_i denote "the pullback by ι_i," which is the extension defined by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]_{S''} & \longrightarrow & \mu_\ell^{\oplus k} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \| & & \uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \iota_i} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & E_i & \longrightarrow & \mu_\ell & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Then, E_i's are extensions of μ_ℓ by $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ over S''.

Now, we use the same convention as Section 3.4. Let L_0 be the maximal elementary abelian ℓ-extension of F such that L/F is unramified outside N_0 and split over λ_F. Let $G_0 := \mathrm{Gal}(L_0/F)$ and $\Delta := \mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$. Let $\Phi(E_i) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(G_0, \mathbb{F}_\ell(-1))^\Delta$, defined in (4). If $k > \#\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$, then the set $\{\Phi(E_i) : 1 \le i \le k\}$ is linearly dependent because

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N_0]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(G_0, \mathbb{F}_\ell(-1))^\Delta = \#\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}.$$

Therefore there exists $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^k \smallsetminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \cdot \Phi(E_i) = 0$. Then, the map $\iota := \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \cdot \iota_i : \mu_\ell \to \mu_\ell^{\oplus k}$ is injective and "the pullback by ι" gives rise to an embedding $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mu_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]_{S''}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]_{S''} & \longrightarrow & \mu_\ell^{\oplus k} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \| & & \uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \iota} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mu_\ell & \longrightarrow & \mu_\ell & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

This is a contradiction because $\mu_\ell \not\subset \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$. Therefore $k \le \#\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}] = 1 + k \le 1 + \#\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$ as claimed. □

Remark 3.21. Let $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ be an extension of $\mu_\ell^{\oplus k}$ by $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ as above. Let $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}])$ be the field defined by the points of $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then, $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}])$ contains F. Let $G^\mathfrak{m} = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}])/\mathbb{Q})$ and $G_0^\mathfrak{m} = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}])/F)$. By a suitable choice of basis, we can obtain a Galois representation of the form

$$\rho_E : G^\mathfrak{m} \to \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_k \\ 0 & \chi_\ell & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \chi_\ell & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \chi_\ell \end{pmatrix} : c_i \in H^1(G^\mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{F}_\ell(-1)) \right\}.$$

Then $\Phi(E_i)$ defined above is equal to the composition of the canonical projection $G_0 \to G_0^\mathfrak{m}$ and the restriction of c_i to $G_0^\mathfrak{m}$.

Theorem 3.22. *For each $p \in \mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$, suppose that there is an injection defined over $\mathbb{Q}$*

$$i_p : E_p \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}],$$

where $E_p \sim \mathcal{E}_p$. Then, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}] = 1 + \#\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.20, it suffices to show that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}] \ge 1 + \#\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$.

If $\#\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m} = 1$ thendim$_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}] \ge 2$ because $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} E_p = 2$.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m} = \{p, q\}$ and we show that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}] \ge 3$. To do this, it suffices to show that $i_p(E_p) \ne i_q(E_q)$, which is indeed true because the Galois modules associated to E_p (resp. E_q) is ramified precisely at p (resp. q), and both i_p and i_q are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Applying the same argument inductively, we get $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}] \ge 1 + \#\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$. □

Remark 3.23. If $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}] = 1 + \#\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$, then there is an injection (defined over $\mathbb{Q}$) $i_p : \mathcal{E}_p \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ for any $p \in \mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$. To show this, let $k = \#\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$ and let E_i be the extension of μ_ℓ by $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ defined in the proof of Theorem 3.20. Then, $\Phi(E_i)$'s are linearly independent because $\mu_\ell \not\subset \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$. Thus, the set $\{\Phi(E_i) : 1 \le i \le k\}$ forms a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(G_0, \mathbb{F}_\ell(-1))^\Delta$. Since $\Phi(\mathcal{E}_p) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(G_0, \mathbb{F}_\ell(-1))^\Delta$, we have

$$\Phi(\mathcal{E}_p) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \cdot \Phi(E_i)$$

for some $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^k$. Since $\Phi(\mathcal{E}_p)$ is a non-trivial extension, $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$. As above, the pullback by $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \cdot \iota_i : \mu_\ell \to \mu_\ell^{\oplus k}$, which is an embedding, defines an injection $i_p : \mathcal{E}_p \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$. Since this embedding is compatible with Galois action, it is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

4. Proofs

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.3, 1.5 and 1.7. Before doing that we introduce a "level raising method" which is very useful to check the assumption in Theorem 3.22.

4.1. **Level raising method.** Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a new rational Eisenstein prime of $\mathbb{T}(N)$ containing ℓ. In this subsection, we assume that ℓ does not divide $2N$. For any divisor M of N, we define the ideal $\mathfrak{m}(M)$ of the Hecke ring of level M corresponding to $\mathfrak{m}$ as follows:

$$\mathfrak{m}(M) := (\ell, T_p - \epsilon(p) : \text{ for all primes } p),$$

where

$$\epsilon(p) := \begin{cases} p+1 & \text{if } p \text{ does not divide } M, \\ 0 & \text{if } p^2 \text{ divides } M, \\ -1 & \text{if } p \text{ divides } M \text{ and } T_p \equiv -1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathfrak{m}), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\mathfrak{m}$ is new, if $T_p \equiv -1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathfrak{m})$, then p^2 does not divide N. Therefore p^2 does not divide M, either. Note that $\mathfrak{m}(M)$ may not be maximal.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $N = Mp$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a rational Eisenstein prime of $\mathbb{T}(N)$, which is new. Suppose that $\mathfrak{m}(M)$ is maximal. Let $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}(M)]$ denote the quotient of $J_0(M)[\mathfrak{m}(M)]$ by $\Sigma(M)[\mathfrak{m}(M)]$. Then, there is an injection defined over $\mathbb{Q}$*

$$\iota : \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}(M)] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}].$$

Proof. For a prime divisor p of N, let $a_p \in \{0, 1, -1\}$ denote the image of T_p in $\mathbb{T}(N)/\mathfrak{m}$. Since we assume that $\mathfrak{m}$ is new, $a_p = \pm 1$ if p does not divide M, and $a_p = 0$ otherwise.

For ease of notation, we set $\mathfrak{n} := \mathfrak{m}(M)$. Moreover, we set

$$J := J_0(M), J' := J_0(N), \Sigma := \Sigma(M) \text{ and } \Sigma' := \Sigma(N).$$

In the four cases below, we will define the morphism γ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$

$$\gamma : J \to J'$$

so that the restriction of γ on $J[\mathfrak{n}]$ induces a map $J[\mathfrak{n}] \to J'[\mathfrak{m}]$, which we also denote by γ. Furthermore, it gives rise to a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma[\mathfrak{n}] & \longrightarrow & J[\mathfrak{n}] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma} & \overset{\pi\circ\gamma}{\searrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma'[\mathfrak{m}] & \longrightarrow & J'[\mathfrak{m}] & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}] & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Then, we will show that the kernel of $\pi \circ \gamma$ is $\Sigma[\mathfrak{n}]$, so the dotted arrow in the commutative diagram is injective. Since all the maps in the diagram except ι are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, so is ι. Therefore the result follows.

Now, let $\alpha_p^*, \beta_p^* : J \to J'$ denote two degeneracy maps in Section 2, which are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

- Case 1: $(p, M) = 1$ and $a_p = 1$. For $x \in J$, let $\gamma(x) := \alpha_p^*(x) - \beta_p^*(x)$.
- Case 2: $(p, M) = 1$ and $a_p = -1$. For $x \in J$, let $\gamma(x) := p\alpha_p^*(x) - \beta_p^*(x)$. Note that $p \equiv -1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \ell)$ because $\mathfrak{m}$ is new.

- Case 3: $p \mid M$ but $p^2 \nmid M$. For $x \in J$, let $\gamma(x) := p\alpha_p^*(x) - \beta_p^*(x)$. (By definition, $\epsilon(p) = 1$.)
- Case 4: $p^2 \mid M$. For $x \in J$, let $\gamma(x) := \alpha_p^*(x)$. (By definition, $\epsilon(p) = 0$.)

In all cases, $\gamma(J[\mathfrak{n}]) \subset J'[\mathfrak{m}]$ by [45, Prop. 2.4].

Let K denote the kernel of $\pi \circ \gamma$. By [19, Th. 4] $\alpha_p^*(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma'$ and $\beta_p^*(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma'$. Thus, $\gamma(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma'$ and hence

$$\gamma(\Sigma[\mathfrak{n}]) = \gamma(\Sigma \cap J[\mathfrak{n}]) \subset \gamma(\Sigma) \cap \gamma(J[\mathfrak{n}]) \subset \Sigma' \cap J'[\mathfrak{m}] = \Sigma'[\mathfrak{m}].$$

Therefore $\pi \circ \gamma(\Sigma[\mathfrak{n}]) = 0$ and $\Sigma[\mathfrak{n}] \subset K$.

On the other hand, in all four cases above, the intersection of the kernel of γ and $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \subset J[\mathfrak{n}]$ is trivial by [45, Th. 1.4]. Since $\Sigma[\mathfrak{n}] \simeq \mu_\ell^{\oplus a}$ for some a, the intersection of K and $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ is trivial as well. This implies that K is of multiplicative type because $J[\mathfrak{n}]^{\mathrm{ss}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mu_\ell^{\oplus n}$ for some $n \geq 1$. Since K is annihilated by $\mathfrak{n}$, by the same argument as in Proposition 3.8, $K \simeq \mu_\ell^{\oplus c}$ for some c. By Proposition 3.10, $K = K[\mathfrak{n}] \subset \Sigma \cap J[\mathfrak{n}] = \Sigma[\mathfrak{n}]$. Therefore $K = \Sigma[\mathfrak{n}]$ and ι is injective. □

Applying this proposition inductively, we easily get the following:

Corollary 4.2. *Let M be a divisor of N, and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a rational Eisenstein prime of $\mathbb{T}(N)$, which is new. If $\mathfrak{m}(M)$ is still maximal, then there is an injection defined over $\mathbb{Q}$*

$$\iota : \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}(M)] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}].$$

Remark 4.3. In the proof of Proposition 4.1, the assumption that $\mathfrak{m}$ is new is only used to get $a_p \in \{0, 1, -1\}$, and $p \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ if $a_p = -1$. Therefore when $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_\ell(s, t, u)$ (without assuming $\mathfrak{m}$ is new), we can still get the same result.

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** From now on, let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime and let

$$N = \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i \prod_{j=1}^{t} q_j \prod_{k=1}^{u} r_k^{e(k)} \quad \text{with } e(k) \geq 2$$

not divisible by ℓ. Let $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_\ell(s, t, u)$ be a rational Eisenstein prime of $\mathbb{T}(N)$. By Assumption 1.2, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_{s_0}, q_1, \ldots, q_t, r_1, \ldots, r_{u_1}\}$$

and $\#\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m} = s_0 + t + u_1$, which is at least 1 by Lemma 2.2. Note also that $J_0[\mathfrak{m}] = \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ by Proposition 3.9 since N is not squarefree. Therefore, when $s_0 \neq s$, or $u_0 \neq u$, or $t = 0$, it suffices to check that the assumption in Theorem 3.22 is fulfilled. In other words, it is enough to show that for each prime $q \in \mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$, there is an E_q such that $E_q \sim \mathcal{E}_q$ and $E_q \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$, which is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Case 1 : $s_0 \neq s$ or $u_0 \neq u$.

Suppose that $q = r_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq u_1$. Let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}(q^2)$. Then, we claim that we can take $E_q = \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}]$. By Corollary 4.2 we have $E_q \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$, defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. So, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}] \sim \mathcal{E}_q$, which is obtained by the following:

- By Proposition 3.9, $\Sigma(q^2)[\mathfrak{n}] = 0$ because $T_p \equiv 0 \not\equiv p \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$. Therefore $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}] = J_0(q^2)[\mathfrak{n}]$.
- Note that $\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{n} = \{q\}$. Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}] = 2$ by Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.20.
- By Corollary 3.12, $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}] \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/q]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$, which is non-trivial.

Now, suppose that $q \in \mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m} \smallsetminus \{r_1, \ldots, r_{u_1}\}$, i.e., $q = p_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq s_0$ or $q = q_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq t$. Let $p := p_s$ if $s_0 \neq s$, and let $p := r_u$ if $s_0 = s$ but $u_0 \neq u$. Then by assumption $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. Let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}(pq)$, which is maximal by Lemma 2.2. Then, we claim that we can take $E_q = \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}]$. By Corollary 4.2, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}] \sim \mathcal{E}_q$. This follows from:

- $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} J_0(pq)[\mathfrak{n}] = 2$ by [42, Th. 1.3].

- By Proposition 3.9, $\Sigma(pq)[\mathfrak{n}] = 0$ because $T_p \equiv 1 \not\equiv p \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$. Thus, $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}] = J_0(pq)[\mathfrak{n}]$.
- By Corollary 3.12, $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}]$ is a non-trivial extension of μ_ℓ by $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$.
- By Corollary 3.15, $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}]$ is unramified at p and hence $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}] \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/q]}(\mu_\ell, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$.

Case 2 : $t = 0$

If either $s_0 \neq s$ or $u_0 \neq u$, then the claim follows from the cases above. Thus, we assume that $s_0 = s$ and $u_0 = u$, i.e, all prime divisors p of N are congruent to 1 modulo ℓ. Let $q \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

If $q = r_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq u$, then we can take $E_q = \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}(q^2)]$ as in Case 1 above.

Now let $q = p_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq s$. To find an appropriate E_q, we apply some ideas used in the paper [30]. For ease of notation, we set $\mathfrak{a} := \mathfrak{m}(q)$ and $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{T}(q)$. Moreover, we set

$$J := J_0(q) \text{ and } \Sigma := \Sigma(q).$$

Let Σ_ℓ denote the ℓ-primary part of Σ and let

$$A := J/\Sigma_\ell \quad \text{and} \quad \pi : J \to A$$

be the corresponding quotient isogeny. Note that $\mathbb{T}$ acts on A via π because Σ_ℓ is stable under the action of $\mathbb{T}$. We claim that $A[\mathfrak{a}] \sim \mathcal{E}_q$ and there is an injection defined over $\mathbb{Q}$

$$A[\mathfrak{a}] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}(qr_1)],$$

which induces an injection $A[\mathfrak{a}] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ by the composition with the map $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}(qr_1)] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ given in Corollary 4.2. (By our assumption, $u_0 = u \geq 1$ so we can pick $r_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$.) Therefore we can take $E_q = A[\mathfrak{a}]$.

In the below, we prove our claim as following steps:

- Step 1: $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} A[\mathfrak{a}] \geq 2$.
- Step 2: Let $V := \pi^{-1}(A[\mathfrak{a}]) \subset J$. Then, V is annihilated by $\eta_p := T_p - p - 1$, for all bad primes p.
- Step 3: Fix a bad prime p (which is defined below). Let $\gamma : J \to J_0(pq)$ be the map defined by $\gamma(x) := \alpha_p^*(x) - \beta_p^*(x)$ (as in the proof of Proposition 4.1). Then, $B_p := \gamma(V)$ is isomorphic to $A[\mathfrak{a}]$ and is annihilated by

  $$\mathfrak{b} := (\ell, U_p - 1, T_q - 1, \eta_r : \text{ for primes } r \nmid pq) \subset \mathbb{T}(pq).$$

  (Here, we denote the p-th Hecke operator of level pq by U_p to distinguish it from the p-th Hecke operator of level q, which we already denoted by T_p.)
- Step 4: For appropriately chosen p, $A[\mathfrak{a}] \simeq B_p = J_0(pq)[\mathfrak{b}] \sim \mathcal{E}_q$.
- Step 5: By taking $p = r_1$, we get $A[\mathfrak{a}] \simeq B_{r_1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}(qr_1)]$, as claimed.

For Step 1 we apply Mazur's argument used in Lemma 3.3. Since A is isogenous to J, $\mathrm{Ta}_{\mathfrak{a}}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is also of dimension 2 over $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, which implies that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} A[\mathfrak{a}] \geq 2$.

For Step 2, we recall some results of Mazur in [21]. Let

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_0(q) := (\eta_r : \text{ for all primes } r \neq q)$$

be the Eisenstein ideal of prime level q, where $\eta_r := T_r - r - 1$. Then, $\mathcal{I}\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is principal and η_r is a generator for $\mathcal{I}\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ if and only if r is a good prime (relative to the pair (ℓ, q)) (Theorem 18.10 of Chapter II in *loc. cit.*). Here, a prime r is good if both $r \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ and r is not an ℓ-th power modulo q (page 124 of *loc. cit.*). We say a prime is bad if it is not good. Choose a good prime M, so let $\mathcal{I}\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}} = (\eta_M)$. Let p be a bad prime. Then, η_p is not a generator for $\mathcal{I}\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ so, $\eta_p = \lambda\eta_M$ for some $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$.[7] Let $A_{\mathfrak{a}}$ denote the $\mathfrak{a}$-divisible group associated to A as in Section 3.1. Namely, $A_{\mathfrak{a}} := \varinjlim_k A[\mathfrak{a}^k]$ on which $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ acts. Via the canonical injection $A[\mathfrak{a}] \hookrightarrow A_{\mathfrak{a}}$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ annihilates

[7] If $\lambda \notin \mathfrak{a}\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, then η_p can generate $\mathcal{I}\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, which is a contradiction.

$A[\mathfrak{a}]$. Now let ℓ^k be the exact power of ℓ dividing $q-1$. Then, Σ_ℓ is of order ℓ^k and $V \subset J[\ell^{k+1}]$. Furthermore, since Σ_ℓ is killed by $\mathfrak{a}^k$, V is killed by $\mathfrak{a}^{k+1}$. Thus, the action of $\mathbb{T}_\ell := \mathbb{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ on V (viewed as a submodule in the ℓ-divisible module J_ℓ) factors through that of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Note that $\lambda(V) \subset \Sigma_\ell$ because $\pi(\lambda(V)) \subset \lambda(\pi(V)) = \lambda(A[\mathfrak{a}]) = 0$. So, we have

$$\eta_p(V) = \lambda\eta_M(V) = \eta_M(\lambda(V)) \subset \eta_M(\Sigma_\ell) = 0,$$

which implies $\eta_p(V) = 0$ as claimed.

For Step 3, we note that the kernel of γ is Σ by Ribet [27, Th. 4.3]. Since $\Sigma \cap V = \Sigma_\ell$, we have $A[\mathfrak{a}] \simeq B_p$. Now we show that B_p is annihilated by $\mathfrak{b}$. Let $T \in \mathfrak{b}$ be a generator different from $U_p - 1$ (i.e., $T = \ell$, $T_q - 1$, or η_r for primes $r \nmid pq$). By abuse of notation, T can be regarded as an element of $\mathbb{T}$ and we get $T \in \mathfrak{a}$. Therefore for any $x \in V$ we have $Tx \in \Sigma_\ell$ because $\pi(Tx) = T(\pi x) = 0$. (Note that π commutes with the action of $\mathbb{T}$.) Since γ commutes with T and its kernel is Σ, we get $T(\gamma(x)) = \gamma(Tx) = 0$ for any $x \in V$. In other words, T annihilates B_p. Now let $T = U_p - 1$. By Formula (2) in Section 2 and the fact that V is annihilated by η_p (Step 2), for any $x \in V$

$$T(\gamma(x)) = (U_p - 1)(\gamma(x)) = \gamma_p \begin{pmatrix} T_p - 1 & p \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ -x \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Therefore B_p is annihilated by $\mathfrak{b}$ as insisted.

For Step 4, choose a prime p such that $p \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{\ell}$ but $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. (By the Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progression, we can do that.) Since 1 is an ℓ-th power modulo q, p is a bad prime. By [42, Th. 4.9(1)] and Proposition 3.9, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} J_0(pq)[\mathfrak{b}] = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}] = 2$. Since $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \{q\}$, we get $\mathcal{E}_q \sim J_0(pq)[\mathfrak{b}]$. Since $A[\mathfrak{a}] \simeq B_p \subset J_0(pq)[\mathfrak{b}]$ by Step 3 and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} A[\mathfrak{a}] \geq 2$ by Step 1, $A[\mathfrak{a}] \simeq B_p = J_0(pq)[\mathfrak{b}] \sim \mathcal{E}_q$.

Finally, for Step 5 we take $p = r_1$, which is a bad prime. Then, $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{m}(qr_1)$ and $A[\mathfrak{a}] \simeq B_{r_1} \subset J_0(qr_1)[\mathfrak{b}]$. Since $B_{r_1} \sim \mathcal{E}_q$, B_{r_1} cannot contain a module isomorphic to μ_ℓ. Therefore $B_{r_1} \cap \Sigma(qr_1) = 0$ and the following composition map is injective:

$$A[\mathfrak{a}] \simeq B_{r_1} \hookrightarrow J_0(qr_1)[\mathfrak{b}] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{b}].$$

In both cases above, we have proved that there is an injection $E_q \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ for any $q \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, so the result follows from Theorem 3.22. □

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** By Theorem 3.22, it suffices to find E_q and E_r satisfying the assumption. Note that we can take $E_r = \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}(r^2)]$ as in the proof of Theorem 1.3 without any other hypothesis.

Now, we claim that we can take $E_q = \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}]$ if $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} J_0(qr)[\mathfrak{n}] = 3$. Suppose $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} J_0(qr)[\mathfrak{n}] = 3$. First of all, $J_0(qr)[\mathfrak{n}]$ is unramified at r by [42, Th. 4.5]. Thus, $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}]$ is also unramified at r. Then, since $\Sigma(qr)[\mathfrak{n}] \simeq \mu_\ell$ by Proposition 3.9, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}] = 2$ and hence $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}]$ is a non-trivial extension of μ_ℓ by $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1/q]$. This implies that $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}] \sim \mathcal{E}_q$. Finally, since $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}(qr)$, by Proposition 4.1 we get an injection $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{n}] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, as claimed. □

4.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.7.** Suppose that $s_0 = s$, $u_0 = u \geq 1$ and $t \geq 1$. Let $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_\ell(s,t,u)$ be a rational Eisenstein prime of $\mathbb{T}(N)$. Then,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathcal{S}(N) = \{p_1, \ldots, p_s, q_1, \ldots, q_t, r_1, \ldots, r_u\}$$

and $\#\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{m}} = s + t + u$.

First, $J_0(N)[\mathfrak{m}] = \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ by Proposition 3.9. Therefore by Theorem 3.22, it suffices to show that there is an injection $E_q \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ for all $q \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, where $E_q \sim \mathcal{E}_q$.

Then, for $q = p_i$ or $q = r_k$, we can find an E_q as in Case 1 of the proof of Theorem 1.3 above. So, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{E}_q \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ for $q = q_j$.

Last, let $q = q_j$ and $r = r_1$. Suppose Conjecture 1.4 holds. Then, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} J_0(qr^2)[\mathfrak{m}(qr^2)] = 3$. Since $J_0(qr^2)[\mathfrak{m}(qr^2)] = \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}(qr^2)]$ by Proposition 3.9, there is an injection $\mathcal{E}_q \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}(qr^2)]$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ by Remark 3.23. Composing with the injection $\mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}(qr^2)] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ in Corollary 4.2, we get a desired injection $\mathcal{E}_q \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}[\mathfrak{m}]$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and the theorem follows. □

References

[1] B.J. Birch, *Cyclotomic fields and Kummer extensions*, Algebraic number theory (ed. by Cassels and Frohlich), Academic Press Inc. (London) LTD (1967). 14

[2] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert and M. Raynaud, *Néron Models*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., 3. Folge, Band **21**, Springer-Verlag (1990). 8

[3] N. Boston, H. Lenstra and K. Ribet, *Quotients of group rings arising from two-dimensional representations*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **312**, Série I (1991), 323–328. 2

[4] A. Brumer and K. Kramer, *Paramodular abelian varieties of odd conductor*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. **366** (2014), 2463–2516. 8, 10, 11, 13

[5] K. Cesnavicius, *The Manin constant in semistable case*, preprint. 2

[6] B. Conrad, *Classification of quasi-finite étale separated schemes*, handout for VIGRE number theory seminar, available at http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/vigregroup/vigre03/zmt.pdf (2004). 8, 12, 14

[7] H. Darmon, F. Diamond and R. Taylor, *Fermat's Last Theorem*, Elliptic curves, modular forms and Fermat's last theorem (Hong Kong, 1993), International press, Cambridge (1995), 2–140. 9

[8] P. Deligne and M.l Rapoport, *Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques*, Modular functions of one variable II, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **349** (1973), 143–316. 8, 12

[9] P. Deligne and J.-P. Serre, *Formes modulaires de poid* 1, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm, Supér., IV. Ser. **7** (1974), 507–530. 1

[10] F. Diamond and J. Shurman, *A first course in modular forms*, Graduate Text in Math., Vol. **228**, Springer (2005). 6

[11] V. Drinfeld, *Two theorems on modular curves*, Functional Anal. Appl., **7** (1973), 155–156. 6

[12] G. Faltings and B. W. Jordan, *Crystalline cohomology and* $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Q})$, Israel J. Math. **90** (1995), 1–66. 5

[13] B. Gross and J. Lubin, *The Eisenstein descent on* $J_0(N)$, Invent. Math. 83 (1986), 303–319. 5

[14] A. Grothendieck, *SGA 7 I. Exposé IX*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **288** (1972), 313–523. 12

[15] J.-I. Igusa, *Kroneckerian model of fields of elliptic modular functions*, Amer. J. Math., Vol. **81** (1959), 561–577. 8, 10

[16] N. Katz and B. Mazur, *Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves*, Princeton Univ. Press, Ann. of Math. Stud., Vol. **108** (1985). 8

[17] L.J.P. Kilford, *Some non-Gorenstein Hecke algebras attached to spaces of modular forms*, J. Number Theory, **97** no. 1 (2002), 157–164. 2

[18] S. Ling, *On the* $\mathbb{Q}$*-rational cuspidal subgroup and the component group of* $J_0(p^r)$, Israel J. Math. **99** (1997), 29–54. 6

[19] S. Ling and J. Oesterlé, *The Shimura subgroup of* $J_0(N)$, Courbes modulaires et courbes de Shimura (Orsay, 1987/1988), Astérisque, No. **196-197** (1991), 171–203. 10, 17

[20] Y. Manin, *Parabolic points and zeta functions of modular curves (in Russian)*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **36**, 19–66 (1972). Translation in Math USSR-Izv, **6** (1972), 19–64. 6

[21] B. Mazur, *Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., tome **47** (1977), 33–186. 2, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 18

[22] B. Mazur, *Rational isogenies of prime degree*, Invent. Math. **44** (1978), 129–162. 2, 5, 8, 12

[23] B. Mazur and K. Ribet, *Two-dimensional representations in the arithmetic of modular curves*, Courbes modulaires et courbes de Shimura (Orsay, 1987/1988), Astérisque, No. **196-197** (1991), 215–255. 2, 12

[24] A. Ogg, *Rational points on certain elliptic modular curves*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **24**, AMS, Providence, R. I. (1973), 221–231. 6

[25] M. Raynaud, *Spécialization du foncteur de Picard*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., tome **38** (1970), 27–76. 8, 12

[26] K. Ribet, *A modular construction of unramified p-extensions of* $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$, Invent. Math. **34**, no. 3 (1976), 151–162. 5

[27] K. Ribet, *Congruence relations between modular forms*, Proceeding of the ICM, Vol. **1**, **2** (Warsaw, 1983) (1983), 503–514. 19

[28] K. Ribet, *On modular representations of* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ *arising from modular forms*, Invent. Math. **100**, no. 2 (1990), 431–476. 1, 2, 12

[29] K. Ribet, *Multiplicities of Galois representations in Jacobians of Shimura curves*, Israel Math. conference proceeding, **3** (1990), 221–236. 2

[30] K. Ribet and H. Yoo, *On the kernel of an Eisenstein prime at square-free levels*, preprint. 2, 4, 8, 12, 18

[31] R. Schoof, *Abelian varieties over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *with bad reduction in one prime only*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005), 847–868. 11, 13

[32] J.-P. Serre, *Quelques propriétés des variétés abéliennes en caractéristique p*, Amer. J. Math., Vol. **80** (1958), 715–739. 10

[33] J.-P. Serre and J. Tate, *Good reduction of abelian varieties*, Ann. of Math., **88** (1968), 492–517. 12

[34] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic forms*, Publ. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. **11**, Tokyo-Princeton (1971). 6

[35] W. Stein at al., *Sage mathematics software (Version 8.0, 2017-07-21)*, The Sage development team, http://www.sagemath.org. 3, 4

[36] G. Stevens, *The cuspidal group and special values of L-functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. **291** (1985), 519–550. 5

[37] R. Taylor and A. Wiles, *Ring theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras*, Ann. of Math., **142** (1995), 553–572. 2

[38] J. Tilouine, *Hecke algebras and the Gorenstein property*, Modular forms and Fermat's last theorem (Boston, MA, 1995) (1997), 327–342. 2

[39] V. Vatsal, *Multiplicative subgroups of* $J_0(N)$ *and applications to elliptic curves*, J. Inst. of Math. Jussieu, **4**(2) (2005), 281–316. 11

[40] A. Wiles, *Modular curves and the class group of* $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$, Invent. Math. **58** (1980), 1–35. 5, 9, 10

[41] A. Wiles, *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem*, Ann. of Math., **142** (1995), 443–551. 2, 9

[42] H. Yoo, *The index of an Eisenstein ideal and multiplicity one*, Math. Z., Vol. **282**(3) (2016), 1097–1116. 2, 4, 7, 8, 14, 17, 19

[43] H. Yoo, *Non-optimal levels of a reducible mod* ℓ *modular representation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear). 1, 3, 7

[44] H. Yoo, *On Eisenstein ideals and the cuspidal group of* $J_0(N)$, Israel J. Math. **214** (2016), 359–377. 7

[45] H. Yoo, *On rational Eisenstein primes and the rational cuspidal groups of modular Jacobian varieties*, submitted, available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.03016. 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 9, 17

IBS Center for Geometry and Physics, Mathematical Science Building, Room 108, Pohang University of Science and Technology, 77 Cheongam-ro, Nam-gu, Pohang, Gyeongbuk, Republic of Korea 37673

E-mail address: hwajong@gmail.com