



Available online at: [www.basra-science-journal.org](http://www.basra-science-journal.org)



ISSN -1817 -2695

## On Dependent Elements of Semiprime Rings

Mehsin Jabel Atteya

*Al-Mustansiriyah University-College of Education*

*Department of Mathematics-IRAQ.*

E-mail:mehsinatteya@yahoo.com

### Abstract

In this paper we study and investigate concerning dependent elements of semiprime rings and prime rings  $R$  by using generalized derivation and derivation, when  $R$  admits to satisfy some conditions, we give some results about that.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 16E99, 16W10, 13N15, 08A35.

**Keywords:** free action, semiprime ring, derivation, generalized derivation, dependent element.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

This research has been motivated by the work of J.Vukman and I.Kosi-Ulbl [16]. Some researchers have studied the notion of free action on operator algebras, Murray and von Neumann [13] and von Neumann [14] introduced the notion of free action on abelian von Neumann algebras and used it for the construction of certain factors (see M.A.Chaudhry and M.S.Samman [5], F.Ali and M.A.Chaudhry [2] and Dixmier [8]). Kallman [11] generalized the notion of free action of automorphisms of von Neumann algebras, not necessarily abelian, by using implicitly the dependent elements of an automorphism. Choda, Kashahara and Nakamoto [6] generalized the concept of freely acting automorphisms to  $C^*$ -algebras by introducing dependent elements associated to automorphisms, where  $C^*$ -algebra is a Banach algebra with an antiautomorphic involution  $*$  which satisfies (i)  $(x^*)^* = x$ , (ii)  $x^*y^* = (yx)^*$ , (iii)  $x^* + y^* = (x+y)^*$ , (iv)  $(cx)^* = \bar{c} x^*$  where  $\bar{c}$  is the complex conjugate of  $c$ , and whose norm satisfies (v)  $\|xx^*\| = \|x\|^2$ . Several other authors have studied dependent elements on operator algebras. A brief account of dependent elements in  $W^*$ -algebras has also appeared in the book of Stratila [15]. It is well-known that all  $C^*$ -algebras and von Neumann algebras are semiprime rings; in particular, a von Neumann algebra is prime if and only if its center consists of scalar multiples of identity. Thus a natural extension of the notions of dependent elements of mappings and free actions on  $C^*$ -algebras and von Neumann algebras is the study of these notions in the context of semiprime rings and prime rings. Laradji and Thaheem [12] initiated a study of dependent elements of endomorphisms of semiprime rings and generalized a number of results of H.Choda, I.Kashahara, R.Nakamoto [6] to semiprime rings. Vukman and Kosi-Ulbl [16] and Vukman [17] have made further study of dependent elements of various mappings related to automorphisms, derivations,  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations and generalized derivations of semiprime rings. The main focus of the authors of J.Vukman, I.Kosi-Ulbl [16]

and [17] has been to identify various freely acting mappings related to these mappings, on semiprime and prime rings. The theory of centralizers (also called multipliers) of  $C^*$ -algebras and Banach algebras is well established (see C.A. Akemann, G.K. Pedersen, J. Tomiyama [1] and P. Ara, M. Mathieu [3]). Zalar [19] and Vukman and Kosi-Ulbl [18] have studied centralizers in the general framework of semiprime rings. Throughout,  $R$  will stand for associative ring with center  $Z(R)$ . As usual, the commutator  $xy - yx$  will be denoted by  $[x, y]$ . We shall use the basic commutator identities  $[xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z]$  and  $[x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z]$ . A ring  $R$  is said to be  $n$ -torsion free, where  $n \neq 0$  is an integer, if whenever  $nx = 0$ , with  $x \in R$ , then  $x = 0$ . Recall that a ring  $R$  is prime if  $aRb = (0)$  implies that either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ , and is semiprime if  $aRa = (0)$  implies  $a = 0$ . A prime ring is semiprime but the converse is not true in general. An additive mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is called a derivation provided  $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$  holds for all pairs  $x, y \in R$ . An additive mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is called centralizing (commuting) if  $[d(x), x] \in Z(R)$  ( $[d(x), x] = 0$ ) for all  $x \in R$ . By Zalar [19], an additive mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$  is called a left (right) centralizer if  $T(xy) = T(x)y$  ( $T(xy) = xT(y)$ ) for all  $x, y \in R$ . If  $a \in R$ , then  $La(x) = ax$  and  $Ra(x) = xa$  ( $x \in R$ ) define a left centralizer and a right centralizer of  $R$ , respectively. An additive mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$  is called a centralizer if  $T(xy) = T(x)y = xT(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Let  $\beta$  be an automorphism of a ring  $R$ . An additive mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is called an  $\beta$ -derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + \beta(x)d(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . Note that the mapping,  $d = \beta - I$ , where  $I$  denotes the identity mapping on  $R$ , is an  $\beta$ -derivation. Of course, the concept of an  $\beta$ -derivation generalizes the concept of a derivation, since any  $I$ -derivation is a derivation.  $\beta$ -derivations are further generalized as  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations. Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be automorphisms of  $R$ , then an additive mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is called an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y)$  holds for all pairs  $x, y \in R$ .  $\beta$ -derivations and  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations have been applied in various situations, in particular, in the solution of some functional equations. An additive mapping  $T$  of a ring  $R$  into itself is called a generalized derivation, with the associated derivation  $d$ , if there exists a derivation  $d$  of  $R$  such that  $T(xy) = T(x)y + xd(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . The concept of a generalized derivation covers both the concepts of a derivation and of a left centralizer provided  $T = d$  and  $d = 0$ , respectively (see B. Hvala [10]). Following A. Laradji, A. B. Thaheem [12], an element  $a \in R$  is called a dependent element of a mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$  if  $T(x)a = ax$  holds for all  $x \in R$ . A mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$  is called a free action or (act freely) on  $R$  if zero is the only dependent element of  $T$ . It is shown in [12] that in a semiprime ring  $R$  there are no non zero nilpotent dependent elements of a mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$ . For a mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$ ,  $D(F)$  denotes the collection of all dependent elements of  $F$ . For other ring theoretic notions used but not defined here we refer the reader to I. N. Herstein [9]. In this paper we study and investigate a dependent elements on a semiprime ring and prime ring  $R$ , we give some results about that. We will use the following result in the sequel.

**Lemma 1 [4, Lemma 4]**

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let  $a, b \in R$ . If for all  $x \in R$ , the relation  $axb + bxa = 0$  holds, then  $axb = bxa = 0$  is fulfilled for all  $x \in R$ .

**2. The main results**

**Theorem 2.1**

Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and let  $D$  and  $G$  be derivations of  $R$  into itself, then the mapping  $x \rightarrow D(x) + G^2(x)$  for all  $x \in R$  is a free action.

**Proof :** We have

$$F(x)a = ax \text{ for all } x \in R.$$

$$\text{Where } F(x) \text{ stands for } D(x) + G^2(x) \tag{1}$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $xy$  with some routine calculation, we obtain

$$F(xy)=F(x)y+xF(y)+2D(x)D(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (2)$$

In(1) putting  $xa$  for  $x$  with using (2), we get

$$F(x)a^2 +xF(a)a+2D(x)D(a)a=axa \text{ for all } x \in R. \quad (3)$$

According to(1),we reduced (3) to

$$2D(x)D(a)a+xa^2+xa^2=0 \text{ for all } x \in R \quad (4)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $yx$  in(4) with using (4),we obtain

$$2D(y)xD(a)a=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in R. \quad (5)$$

Left-multiplying (4) by  $D(y)$  and applying (5),we obtain

$$D(y)xa^2=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in R.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $D(a)$  and  $y$  by  $a$ , we get

$$D(a)^2 a^2=0. \quad (6)$$

Right-multiplying (4) by  $a$  with replacing  $x$  by  $a$  and using (6), we obtain

$$a^4=0. \text{ which means that also } a=0. \text{ Thus our mapping is free action.}$$

### **Theorem 2.2**

Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $\psi:R \rightarrow R$  be a generalized derivation and  $a \in R$  be an element dependent on  $\psi$ , then either  $a \in Z(R)$  or  $\psi(x)=x$  for all  $x \in R$ .

**Proof:** We have the relation

$$\Psi(x)a=ax \text{ for all } x \in R. \quad (7)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $xy$  in (7), we obtain

$$(\psi(x)y+xd(y))a =axy \text{ for all } x,y \in R. \quad (8)$$

According to the fact that  $\Psi$  can be written in form  $\Psi=d+T$ , where  $T$  is a left centralizer,

replacing  $d(y)a$  by  $\psi(y)a-T(y)a$  in(8)which gives according to (7) .

$$\Psi(x)ya+[x,a]y-xT(y)a=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in R. \quad (9)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $y\psi(x)$  in (9), we obtain

$$\Psi(x)y\psi(x)a+[x,a]y\psi(x)-xT(y\psi(x))a=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in R. \quad (10)$$

Again since  $T$  is left centralizer, then (10) become

$$\psi(x)y\psi(x)a+[x,a]y\psi(x)-xT(y)\psi(x)a=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in R. \quad (11)$$

According to (7),(11) reduces to

$$\psi(x)yax+[x,a]y\psi(x)-xT(y)ax=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in R. \quad (12)$$

Right -multiplying (9) by  $x$  gives

$$\psi(x)yax+[x,a]yx-xT(y)ax=0 \text{ for all } x \in R. \quad (13)$$

Subtracting (12) and (13), we obtain

$$[x,a]y(\psi(x)-x)=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in R. \text{ Then}$$

$[x,a]R(\psi(x)-(x))=0$  .Since  $R$  is prime ring, we obtain either  $[x,a]=0$  for all  $x \in R$ , which leads to  $a \in Z(R)$  or  $\psi(x)=x$  for all  $x \in R$ .

### **Proposition 2.3**

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion semiprime ring and let  $a,b \in R$  be fixed elements. Suppose that  $c \in R$  is an element dependent on the mapping  $x \rightarrow xa+bx$ , then  $ac=ca$  .

**Proof:** We will assume that  $a \neq 0$  since there is nothing to prove in case  $a=0$  and  $b=0$ , we have

$$(xa+bx)c =c x \text{ for all } x \in R. \quad (14)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $xy$ , we obtain

$$(xya+bxy)c=cxy \text{ for all } x,y \in R. \quad (15)$$

According to (14) then (15) reduces to

$$(xya+bxy)c=(xa+bx)cy \text{ for all } x,y \in R. \text{ Then}$$

$$x(yac-acy)+bx(yb-cy)=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in R. \text{ Then}$$

$$xa[y,c]+x[y,a]c+bx[y,c]=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in R.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $c$ , we get

$$x[c,a]c=0 \text{ for all } x \in R. \text{ Then}$$

$R[c,a]c=0$  Since  $R$  is semiprime, we get  
 $[c,a]c=0$ . Then (16)

$[c,a][c,r]+[c,a]rc=0$  for all  $r \in R$ .  
 $[c,a][c,r]+[c,a]rc=0$  for all  $r \in R$ . (17)

Right- multiplying (16) by  $r$ , we obtain  
 $[c,a]cr=0$  for all  $r \in R$ . (18)

Subtracting (17) and (18), we get  
 $[c,a][c,r]+[c,a][c,r]=0$  for all  $r \in R$ . Since  $R$  is 2-torsion free with replacing  $r$  by  $ra$ , we obtain  
 $[c,a]r[c,a]=0$  for all  $r \in R$ . Then  
 $[c,a]R[c,a]=0$ . Since  $R$  is semiprime ring, then  $ca=ac$ . The proof of the theorem is complete.

#### Theorem 2.4

Let  $R$  be a prime ring and let  $a, b \in R$  be fixed elements. Suppose that  $c \in R$  is an element dependent on the mapping  $x \rightarrow axb$ , then  $ac \in Z(R)$  or  $bc \in Z(R)$ .

**Proof:** We will assume that  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ , since there is nothing to prove in case  $a=0$  or  $b=0$ . We have  
 $(axb)c=cx$  for all  $x \in R$ . (19)

Let  $x$  be  $xy$  in (19), we obtain  
 $(axyb)c=cxy$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . (20)

According to (19) one can replace  $cx$  by  $(axb)$  in (20), we get  
 $ax[bc,y]=0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . (21)

Replacing  $x$  by  $cyx$  in the above relation, then we have  
 $acyx[bc,y]=0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . (22)

Again in (21) replacing  $x$  by  $cx$  with left -multiplying by  $y$ , we get  
 $yacx[bc,y]=0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . (23)

Subtracting (22) and (23), we obtain  
 $[ac,y]x[bc,y]=0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Then  
 $[ac,y]R[bc,y]=0$ . Since  $R$  is prime, we get.

either  $ac \in Z(R)$  or  $bc \in Z(R)$ , the proof of the theorem is complete.

#### Theorem 2.5

Let  $R$  be a noncommutative 2-torsion free semiprime ring with cancellation property and  $a, b \in R$  be fixed elements. Suppose that  $c \in Z(R)$ , is an element dependent on the mapping  $x \rightarrow axb + bxa$  then  $a \in Z(R)$ .

**Proof:** Similarly, in Theorem 2.4, we will assume that  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ . We have the relation  
 $(axb + bxa)c = cx$  for all  $x \in R$ . (24)

Replacing  $x$  by  $xy$  in (24), we get  
 $(axyb + bxya)c = cxy$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . (25)

Right-multiplying (24) by  $y$ , we get  
 $(axb + bxa)cy = cxy$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . (26)

Subtracting (26) from (25), we obtain  
 $ax[y, bc] + bx[y, ac] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . (27)

Replacing  $x$  by  $cx$  in above relation, we get  
 $acx[y, bc] + bcx[y, ac] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . (28)

Left- multiplying by  $y$  with replacing, by  $yx$ , we obtain  
 $yacyx[y, bc] + ybcyx[y, ac] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . (29)

Subtracting (29) and (28), we get  
 $[y, ac]x[y, bc] + [y, bc]x[y, ac] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . (30)

Suppose that  $ac$  non belong to  $Z(R)$ , we have  $[y, ac] \neq 0$  for some  $y \in R$ .

Then from (30) with Lemma 1, we obtain  $[y, bc] = 0$ , thus (27), reduces to  $bx[y, ac] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , by using the cancellation property on  $b$ , we obtain that  $[y, ac] = 0$ , contrary to assumption. We have, therefore,  $ac \in Z(R)$ .

According to (27), we get  $ax[y, bc]=0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , whence it follows that  $bc \in Z(R)$ , now we have  $ac \in Z(R)$  and  $bc \in Z(R)$ , therefore, according to (24), we obtain

$$((ab+ba)c-c)x=0 \text{ for all } x \in R. \quad (31)$$

Right –multiplying (31) by  $((ab+ba)c-c)$  with using  $R$  is semiprime, we get .

$$(ab+ba)c=c. \quad (32)$$

Then  $[(ab+ba)c, r]=[c, r]$ .

$$(ab+ba)[c, r]+[(ab+ba), r]c=[c, r] \text{ for all } r \in R.$$

Replacing  $r$  by  $c$ , above relation reduces to

$$[(ab+ba), c]c=0. \text{ By using the cancellation property on } [(ab+bc), c], \text{ we obtain, } c \in Z(R).$$

The proof of the theorem is complete.

### **Theorem 2.6**

Let  $R$  be a noncommutative semiprime ring with extended centroid  $C$  and cancellation property, let  $a, b \in R$  be fixed elements the mapping  $x \rightarrow a x b - b x a$  is a free action.

**Proof:** We assume that  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ , with that  $a$  and  $b$  are  $C$ , independent, otherwise, the mapping  $x \rightarrow axb - bxa$  would be zero. Then, we have the following relation.

$$(axb-bxa)c=cx \text{ for all } x \in R. \quad (33)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $xy$  in the above relation, we obtain

$$(axyb-bxya)c=cxy \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (34)$$

Right-multiplication of (33) by  $y$ , we get

$$(axb-bxa)cy = cxy \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (35)$$

Subtracting (34) and (35), we obtain

$$ax[y, bc]-bx[y, ac]=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (36)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $cx$ , we get

$$acx[y, bc]-bcx[y, ac]=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (37)$$

Left–multiplying (37) by  $y$ , we get

$$yacy[y, bc]-ybcx[y, ac]=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (38)$$

In (37) replacing  $x$  by  $yx$ , we obtain

$$acyx[y, bc]-bcyx[y, ac]=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (39)$$

Subtracting (39) and (38), we obtain

$$[y, bc]=\lambda y[y, ac] \text{ for all } y \in R. \quad (40)$$

Holds for some  $\lambda y \in C$ . According to (40) one can replace  $[y, bc]$  by  $\lambda y[y, ac]$  in (36), we obtain

$$(b-\lambda ya)x[y, ac]=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $cxc$ , we obtain

$$(b-\lambda ya)cxc[y, ac]=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (41)$$

Using the cancellation property on  $[y, ac]$  in (41), we obtain

$$(b-\lambda ya)cxc=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (42)$$

Again using the cancellation property on  $(b-\lambda ya)$  in (42) with using  $R$  is semiprime, we obtain  $c=0$ , which completes the proof of the theorem.

### **Proposition 2.7**

$R$  be a prime ring,  $\sigma$  and  $\beta$  be automorphisms of  $R$ , then the mapping  $\sigma+\beta$  is free action or  $\sigma = \beta$ .

**Proof:** We have the relation

$$(\sigma(x)+\beta(x))a=ax \text{ for all } x \in R. \quad (43)$$

Let  $x$  be  $xy$  in the above relation, we obtain

$$(\sigma(x)\sigma(y)+\beta(x)\beta(y))a=axy \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (44)$$

According to (43) above relation (44) gives

$$(\sigma(x)\sigma(y)+\beta(x)\beta(y))a=(\sigma(x)+\beta(x))ay \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (45)$$

Again according to (43) a bove relation (45) gives

$(\sigma(x)\sigma(y)+\beta(x)\beta(y))a = (\sigma(x)+\beta(x)(\sigma(y)+\beta(y))) a$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

Which reduce to

$$\sigma(x)\beta(y)a+\beta(x)\sigma(y)a=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (46)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $zx$  in (46) we obtain

$$\sigma(z)\sigma(x)\beta(y)a+\beta(z)\beta(x)\sigma(y)a=0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \quad (47)$$

Left-multiplying (46) by  $\sigma(z)$  gives

$$\sigma(z)\sigma(x)\beta(y)a+\sigma(z)\beta(x)\sigma(y)a=0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \quad (48)$$

Subtracting (47) from (48), we obtain

$$(\sigma(z)-\beta(z)\beta(x))\sigma(y)a=0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \text{ We have}$$

$$(\sigma(z)-\beta(z))\sigma(y)a=0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \text{ Then}$$

$$(\sigma(z)-\beta(z))Rya=0. \text{ Since } R \text{ is prime ring. Then}$$

either  $\sigma(z)-\beta(z)=0$  for all  $z \in R$ , which implies

$\sigma = \beta$ , or  $ya=0$  for all  $y \in R$ . By the primeness of  $R$ , we obtain

$a=0$ , which completes the proof of the theorem.

### Theorem 2.8

Let  $R$  be a prime ring and let  $\psi: R \rightarrow R$  be a non-zero  $(\sigma, \beta)$ -derivation, then  $\psi$  is a free action.

**Proof:** We have the relation  $\psi(x)a=ax$  for all  $x \in R$ . (49)

Replacing  $x$  by  $xy$ , we obtain

$$\Psi(x)\sigma(y)a+\beta(x)\psi(y)a=axy \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

According to (49) one can replace  $\psi(y)a$  by  $ay$  above relation, which gives

$$\Psi(x)\sigma(y)a+(\beta(x)a-ax)y=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (50)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $yz$  in (50) we obtain

$$\Psi(x)\sigma(y)\sigma(z)a+(\beta(x)a-ax)yz=0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \quad (51)$$

Right-multiplying (50) by  $z$ , we get

$$\Psi(x)\sigma(y)(az)+(Bx)a-ax)yz=0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \quad (52)$$

Subtracting (52) from (51), we get

$$\Psi(x)\sigma(y)(\sigma(z)a-az)=0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \text{ In other words, we have}$$

$$\Psi(x)y(\sigma(z)a-az)=0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \text{ Then}$$

$$\Psi(x)R(\sigma(z)a-az)=0. \text{ Since } R \text{ is prime and } \psi \text{ is non-zero, we obtain}$$

$$\sigma(z)a=az \text{ for all } z \in R. \quad (53)$$

Since  $\sigma$  is automorphism of  $R$ , then by other words from (53) we have

$$za=az \text{ for all } z \in R. \quad (54)$$

Also, since  $B$  is automorphism of  $R$ , then from (50), we obtain

$$\Psi(x)\sigma(y)a+(xa-ax)=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (55)$$

Apply (54) in above relation, we obtain

$$\Psi(x)\sigma(y)a=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \text{ By other words we have}$$

$$\Psi(x)ya=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R, \text{ then}$$

$$\Psi(x)Ra=0. \text{ By the primeness of } R \text{ and } \psi \text{ is non-zero of } R, \text{ we obtain.}$$

$a=0$ , the proof of the theorem is complete.

### Corollary 2.9

Let  $R$  be a prime ring and let  $\sigma$  and  $\beta$  be automorphisms of  $R$ . the mappings  $\sigma - \beta$  and  $a\sigma - \beta a$ , where  $a \in R$  is a fixed element, are free actions on  $R$ .

**Proof:** According to Theorem 2.8, there is nothing to prove, since the mappings  $\sigma - \beta$  and  $a\sigma - \beta a$  are  $(\sigma, \sigma)$ -derivations.

### Corollary 2.10

Let  $R$  be a prime ring, let  $\psi: R \rightarrow R$  be a non-zero derivation, and let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $R$ , the mapping  $x \rightarrow \psi(\sigma(x))$ ,  $x \rightarrow \sigma(\psi(x))$ ,  $x \rightarrow \psi(\sigma(x) + \sigma(\psi(x)))$  and  $x \rightarrow \psi(\sigma(x) - \sigma(\psi(x)))$  are free actions.

**Proof:** A special case of Theorem 2.8, since all mappings are  $(\sigma, \sigma)$ -derivations.

**Acknowledgements.** The author are greatly indebted to the referee for his careful reading the paper.

### **References**

- [1] C.A.Akemann,G.K.Pedersen,J.Tomiyama:Multipliers of  $C^*$ - algebras.J.Funct.Anal. 13(1973),277–301.
- [2] F.Ali and M.A.Chaudhry,Dependent elements of derivations on semiprime rings,International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences,Vol.2009,Article ID 696737,6 pages,doi:10.155/2009/696737.
- [3] P.Ara, M.Mathieu: An application of local multipliers to centralizing mappings of  $C^*$ -algebras. Quart.J. Math. Oxford 44 (1993),129–138.
- [4]M.Bresar,Jordan derivations on semiprime rings,Proc.Amer. Math.Soc.104(1988), no.4,1003-1006. z
- [5] M.A.Chaudhry and M.S.Samman,Free actions on semiprime rings ,Mathematica Bohemica,No.2,133(2008),197-208. zbl
- [6] H.Choda, I.Kasahara, R.Nakamoto: Dependent elements of automorphisms of a  $C^*$ -algebra. Proc. Japan Acad. 48 (1972), 561–565. zbl
- [8] J.Dixmier: Les Algebres d’Operateurs dans l’Espace Hilbertien. Gauthier-Villars, Paris,1957. zbl
- [9] I.N.Herstein:Rings with involution.Univ.Chicago Press,Chicago, 1976. zbl
- [10] B.Hvala: Generalized derivations in rings. Comm. Algebra 26 (1998),1147–1166.
- [11] R.R.Kallman: A generalization of free action. Duke Math. J. 36 (1969), 781–789. zbl
- [12] A. Laradji, A.B.Thaaheem: On dependent elements in semiprime rings. Math. Japonica47 (1998), 29–31. zbl
- [13] F. J.Murray, J. von Neumann: On rings of operators. Ann. Math. 37 (1936), 116–229. zbl
- [14]J.von Neumann: On rings of operators III. Ann. Math. 41(1940), 94–161. zbl
- [15] S. Stratila: Modular Theory in Operator Algebras. Abacus Press, Kent, 1981. zbl
- [16] J.Vukman, I.Kosi-Ulbl: On dependent elements in rings. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 53–56(2004), 2895–2906. 1
- [17] J.Vukman: On dependent elements and related problems in rings. Int. Math. J. 6 (2005),93–112.
- [18] J.Vukman, I.Kosi-Ulbl: Centralizers on rings and algebras. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.71 (2005), 225–234.
- [19] B. Zalar: On centralizers of semiprime rings. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 32 (1991),609–614.