

Approximation and bounds for the Wallis ratio

Xu You

December 30, 2021

Abstract

In this paper, we present an improved continued fraction approximation of the Wallis ratio. This approximation is fast in comparison with the recently discovered asymptotic series. We also establish the double-side inequality related to this approximation. Finally, some numerical computations are provided for demonstrating the superiority of our approximation.

1 Introduction

The Wallis ratio is defined as

$$W(n) = \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n+1)},$$

where Γ is the classical Euler gamma function which may be defined by

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt, \operatorname{Re}(x) > 0.$$

The study and applications of $W(n)$ have a long history, a large amount of literature, and a lot of new results. For detailed information, please refer these papers [1, 2, 3, 4] and references cited therein.

Chen and Qi [1] presented the following inequalities for the Wallis ratio for every natural number n :

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(n + \frac{4}{\pi} - 1)}} \leq \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(n + \frac{1}{4})}},$$

where the constants $\frac{4}{\pi} - 1$ and $\frac{1}{4}$ are the best possible.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 33B15, 26A48, 26D07

Key words and phrases: Wallis ratio, Gamma function, Inequalities, Multiple-correction method

Guo, Xu and Qi proved in [6] that the double inequality

$$(1.2) \quad \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right)^n \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{n} < W(n) \leq \frac{4}{3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right)^n \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{n},$$

for $n \geq 2$ is valid and sharp in the sense that the constants $\sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}$ and $\frac{4}{3}$ are best possible. They also proposed the approximation formula

$$(1.3) \quad W(n) \sim \chi(n) := \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right)^n \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{n}, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Recently, Qi and Mortici [5] improved the approximation formula (1.3) as following,

$$(1.4) \quad W(n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right)^{n + \frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Motivated by these works, in this paper we will apply the *multiple-correction method* [7, 8, 9] to construct an improved continued fraction asymptotic expansion for the Wallis ratio as follows:

Theorem 1. For the Wallis ratio $W(n) = \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!}$, we have

$$(1.5) \quad W(n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right)^{n + \frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \frac{a_1}{n + b_1 + \frac{a_2}{n + b_2 + \frac{a_3}{n + b_3}}} \right),$$

where $a_1 = \frac{1}{144}, b_1 = \frac{1}{60}; a_2 = \frac{781}{3600}, b_2 = -\frac{4309}{109340}; a_3 = \frac{51396085}{89664267}, b_3 = \frac{25682346121}{449571834712}$.

Using Theorem 1, we provide some inequalities for the Wallis ratio.

Theorem 2. For every integer $n > 1$, it holds:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right)^{n + \frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \frac{a_1}{n + b_1 + \frac{a_2}{n + b_2 + \frac{a_3}{n + b_3}}} \right) \\ & > W(n) > \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right)^{n + \frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \frac{a_1}{n + b_1 + \frac{a_2}{n + b_2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_1 = \frac{1}{144}, b_1 = \frac{1}{60}; a_2 = \frac{781}{3600}, b_2 = -\frac{4309}{109340}; a_3 = \frac{51396085}{89664267}, b_3 = \frac{25682346121}{449571834712}$.

To obtain Theorem 1, we need the following lemma which was used in [10, 11, 12] and is very useful for constructing asymptotic expansions.

Lemma 1. If the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent to zero and there exists the limit

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n^s (x_n - x_{n+1}) = l \in [-\infty, +\infty]$$

with $s > 1$, then

$$(1.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n^{s-1} x_n = \frac{l}{s-1}.$$

Lemma 1 was proved by Mortici in [10]. From Lemma 1, we can see that the speed of convergence of the sequences $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ increases together with the values s satisfying (1.8).

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. In section 2, we will apply the *multiple-correction method* to construct a new asymptotic expansion for the Wallis ratio and prove Theorem 1 by the *multiple-correction method*. In section 3, we established the double-side inequality for the Wallis ratio. In section 4, we give some numerical computations which demonstrate the superiority of our new series over some formulas found recently.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

According to the argument of Theorem 5.1 in [5], we can introduce a sequence $(u(n))_{n \geq 1}$ by the relation

$$(2.1) \quad W(n) = \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right)^{n + \frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp u(n),$$

and to say that an approximation $W(n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right)^{n + \frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ is better if the speed of convergence of $u(n)$ is higher.

(Step 1) The initial-correction. When $n \rightarrow \infty$, we define a sequence $(u_0(n))_{n \geq 1}$

$$(2.2) \quad W(n) = \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right)^{n + \frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp u_0(n).$$

From (2.2), we have

$$(2.3) \quad u_0(n) = \ln W(n) - \ln \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} - \left(n + \frac{1}{3}\right) \ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{n}.$$

Thus,

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} u_0(n) - u_0(n+1) = & \ln \frac{2n+2}{2n+1} - \left(n + \frac{1}{3}\right) \ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{n} \\ & + \left(n + \frac{4}{3}\right) \ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{4}{3})}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Developing (2.4) into power series expansion in $1/n$, we have

$$(2.5) \quad u_0(n) - u_0(n+1) = \frac{1}{48} \frac{1}{n^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^5}\right),$$

By Lemma 1, we know that the rate of convergence of the sequence $(u_0(n))_{n \geq 1}$ is n^{-3} .

(Step 2) The first-correction. We define the sequence $(u_1(n))_{n \geq 1}$ by the relation

$$(2.6) \quad W(n) = \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right)^{n + \frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{n^2} \frac{a_1}{n + b_1}\right) \exp u_1(n).$$

From (2.6), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} u_1(n) - u_1(n+1) = & \ln \frac{2n+2}{2n+1} - \left(n + \frac{1}{3}\right) \ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{n+1} \\ & + \left(n + \frac{4}{3}\right) \ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{4}{3})}\right) - \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{a_1}{n + b_1} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \frac{a_1}{n+1 + b_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Developing (2.7) into power series expansion in $1/n$, we have

$$(2.8) \quad u_1(n) - u_1(n+1) = \left(\frac{1}{48} - 3a_1\right) \frac{1}{n^4} + \left(-\frac{91}{2160} + a_1(6 + 4b_1)\right) \frac{1}{n^5} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^6}\right),$$

By Lemma 1, we know that the fastest possible sequence $(u_1(n))_{n \geq 1}$ is obtained as the first item on the right of (2.8) vanishes. So taking $a_1 = \frac{1}{144}$, $b_1 = \frac{1}{60}$, we can get the rate of convergence of the sequence $(u_1(n))_{n \geq 1}$ is at least n^{-5} .

(Step 3) The second-correction. We define the sequence $(u_2(n))_{n \geq 1}$ by the relation

$$(2.9) \quad W(n) = \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right)^{n + \frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{n^2} \frac{a_1}{n + b_1 + \frac{a_2}{n + b_2}}\right) \exp u_2(n).$$

Using the same method as above, we obtain that the sequence $(u_2(n))_{n \geq 1}$ converges fastest only if $a_2 = \frac{781}{3600}$, $b_2 = -\frac{4309}{109340}$.

(Step 4) The third-correction. Similarly, define the sequence $(u_3(n))_{n \geq 1}$ by the relation

$$(2.10) \quad W(n) = \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right)^{n + \frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{n^2} \frac{a_1}{n + b_1 + \frac{a_2}{n + b_2 + \frac{a_3}{n + b_3}}}\right) \exp u_3(n)$$

Using the same method as above, we obtain that the sequence $(u_3(n))_{n \geq 1}$ converges fastest only if $a_3 = \frac{51396085}{89664267}$, $b_3 = \frac{25682346121}{449571834712}$.

The new asymptotic (1.5) is obtained.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

The double-side inequality (1.6) may be written as follows:

$$f(n) = \ln W(n) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \pi - \left(n + \frac{1}{3}\right) \ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{\frac{1}{144}}{n + \frac{1}{60} + \frac{\frac{781}{3600}}{n - \frac{4309}{109340}}}$$

and

$$g(n) = \ln W(n) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \pi - \left(n + \frac{1}{3}\right) \ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\left(n + \frac{1}{3}\right)}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{\frac{1}{144}}{n + \frac{1}{60} + \frac{\frac{781}{3600}}{n - \frac{4309}{109340} + \frac{\frac{51396085}{89664267}}{n + \frac{25682346121}{449571834712}}}}.$$

Suppose $F(n) = f(n+1) - f(n)$ and $G(n) = g(n+1) - g(n)$. For every $x > 1$, we can get

$$(3.1) \quad F''(x) = \frac{A(x-1)}{160x^4(1+x)^4(1+2x)^2(1+3x)(4+3x)(6x-1)^2(5+6x)^2\Psi_1^3(x;2)\Psi_2^3(x;2)} < 0$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad G''(x) = \frac{B(x)}{32x^4(1+x)^4(1+2x)^2(1+3x)(4+3x)(-1+6x)^2(5+6x)^2\Psi_1^3(x;3)\Psi_2^3(x;3)} > 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1(x;2) &= 10642 - 1119x + 49203x^2, \\ \Psi_2(x;2) &= 58726 + 97287x + 49203x^2, \\ \Psi_1(x;3) &= 113505180 + 4083418255x + 178132605x^2 + 5180725368x^3 \\ \Psi_2(x;3) &= 9555781408 + 19981859569x + 15720308709x^2 + 5180725368x^3, \end{aligned}$$

$A(x) = -461003, \dots, 512n^{18} - \dots$ is a polynomial of 18th degree with all negative coefficients and $B(x) = 212876, \dots, 696x^{22} + \dots$ is a polynomial of 22th degree with all positive coefficients.

It show that $F(x)$ is strictly concave and $G(x)$ is strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$. According to Theorem 1, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, it holds that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n) = 0$; thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(n) = 0$. As a result, we can make sure that $F(x) < 0$ and $G(x) > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$. Consequently, the sequence $f(n)$ is strictly increasing and $g(n)$ is strictly decreasing while they both converge to 0. As a result, we conclude that $f(n) > 0$, and $g(n) < 0$ for every integer $n > 1$.

The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

Table 1: Simulations for $\alpha(n), \beta(n), \gamma(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$

n	$\frac{W(n)-\alpha(n)}{W(n)}$	$\frac{W(n)-\beta(n)}{W(n)}$	$\frac{W(n)-\gamma(n)}{W(n)}$	$\frac{W(n)-\sigma(n)}{W(n)}$
50	-6.1876×10^{-6}	7.3576×10^{-14}	5.5532×10^{-8}	-3.8082×10^{-19}
500	-6.2438×10^{-8}	7.1643×10^{-20}	5.5554×10^{-11}	-3.8138×10^{-28}
1000	-1.5617×10^{-8}	1.1177×10^{-21}	6.9443×10^{-12}	-7.4489×10^{-31}
2000	-3.9053×10^{-9}	1.7452×10^{-23}	8.6805×10^{-13}	-1.4549×10^{-33}

4 Numerical computations

In this section, we give Table 1 to demonstrate the superiority of our new series respectively. From what has been discussed above, we found out the new asymptotic function as follows:

(4.1)

$$W(n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right)^{n + \frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \frac{\frac{1}{144}}{n + \frac{1}{60} + \frac{\frac{781}{3600}}{n - \frac{4309}{109340} + \frac{\frac{51396085}{89664267}}{n + \frac{25682346121}{449571834712}}}} \right) = \sigma(n).$$

Chen and Qi [2] gave:

$$(4.2) \quad W(n) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(n + \frac{1}{4})}} = \alpha(n).$$

Qi and Mortici [5] gave the improved formula:

$$(4.3) \quad W(n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right)^n \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{24n^2} + \frac{1}{48n^3} + \frac{1}{160n^4} + \frac{1}{960n^5} \right) = \beta(n)$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad W(n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{3})}\right)^{n + \frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}} = \gamma(n).$$

We can easily observe that the new formula converges fastest of the other three formulas.

5 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 61403034), Beijing Municipal Commission of Education Science and Technology Program (KM201510017002).

References

- [1] C. P. Chen, F. Qi, Completely monotonic function associated with the gamma functions and proof of Wallis inequality, *Tamkang J. Math.* 36(4) (2005) 303-307.
- [2] C. P. Chen, F. Qi, The best bounds in Wallis inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 133 (2) (2005) 397C401.
- [3] F. Qi, Integral representations and complete monotonicity related to the remainder of Burnside's formula for the gamma function, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 268 (2014) 155C167.
- [4] F. Qi, L. H. Cui, S.L. Xu, Some inequalities constructed by Tchebysheff's integral inequality, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 2 (4) (1999) 517C528.
- [5] F. Qi, C. Mortici, Some best approximation formulas and inequalities for the Wallis ratio, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 253 (2015) 363-368.
- [6] S. Guo, J. G. Xu, F. Qi, Some exact constants for the approximation of the quantity in the Wallis formula, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2013 (67) (2013). 7 pages.
- [7] X. D. Cao, H. M. Xu, X. You, Multiple-correction and faster approximation. *J. Number Theory.* 149 (2015), 327-350.
- [8] X. D. Cao, Multiple-correction and continued fraction approximation *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 424 (2015), 1425-1446.
- [9] X. D. Cao, X. You, Multiple-correction and continued fraction approximation(II). *Appl. Math. Comput.* 261 (2015) 192-205.
- [10] C. Mortici, Product approximations via asymptotic integration, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 117(5) (2010) 434-441.
- [11] C. Mortici, New improvements of the Stirling formula, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217(2) (2010) 699-704.
- [12] C. Mortici, A continued fraction approximation of the gamma function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 402 (2013) 405-410.

Xu You

1. Department of Mathematics and Physics, Beijing Institute of Petrochemical Technology,
Beijing 102617, P. R. China
e-mail: youxu@bipt.edu.cn