

Results from the OLYMPUS Experiment on the Contribution of Hard Two-Photon Exchange to Elastic Electron-Proton Scattering

Brian S. Henderson^{*†}

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

E-mail: bhender1@mit.edu

Measurements of the ratio of the elastic form factors of the proton ($\mu_p G_E/G_M$) exhibit a strong discrepancy. Experiments using unpolarized beams and Rosenbluth separation to determine the form factors have found values of the ratio approximately consistent with unity over a wide range of Q^2 , while polarization transfer experiments suggest that the ratio decreases as a function of Q^2 . The most widely-accepted hypothesis to explain this discrepancy is that hard two-photon exchange (TPE) significantly contributes to the elastic ep cross section. Hard TPE has been neglected in previous analyses of electron-proton scattering experiments, in part due to the fact that there exists no model independent way to calculate the contribution. The effect of hard TPE may be measured experimentally, however, via precise determination of the ratio of the electron-proton and positron-proton elastic cross sections. The OLYMPUS experiment collected more than 3 fb^{-1} of exclusive e^-p and e^+p elastic scattering data at DESY in 2012, and has determined the elastic $\sigma_{e^+p}/\sigma_{e^-p}$ ratio to unprecedented precision up to $Q^2 \approx 2.2 (\text{GeV}/c)^2$, $\varepsilon \approx 0.4$. This presentation will discuss the OLYMPUS experiment and analysis, and present the recently published results from OLYMPUS in the context of the results from the other two TPE experiments.

XVII International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy and Structure - Hadron2017

25-29 September, 2017

University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

^{*}Speaker.

[†]For the OLYMPUS Collaboration.

1. Introduction

The development of polarized electron beams and proton targets made possible new approaches to measuring the elastic form factors of the proton in the 1990s [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. While these methods do not provide access to the individual form factors, $G_E(Q^2)$ and $G_M(Q^2)$, they do provide a way of precisely measuring the ratio $\mu_p G_E(Q^2)/G_M(Q^2)$ in which many systematic uncertainties, such as radiative corrections and absolute normalization, at least partially cancel. Previous measurements of the proton form factors using the Rosenbluth separation technique [7] with inclusive e^-p elastic scattering data favored $\mu_p G_E(Q^2)/G_M(Q^2)$ consistent with unity up to $Q^2 \sim 10$ (GeV/c)² [8, 9, 10, 11]. As shown in Figure 1, however, the measurements using the new polarization-based techniques showed a decreasing value of the form factor ratio as a function of Q^2 . More modern Rosenbluth separation measurements using exclusive event reconstruction [12, 13] and re-analysis of the previous data [14] failed to resolve the discrepancy. Given that the form factors represent fundamental properties of nucleons, these results precipitated renewed theoretical and experimental efforts to study elastic e^-p scattering.




Figure 1: Selected measurements of the form factor ratio $\mu_p G_E(Q^2)/G_M(Q^2)$, illustrating the discrepancy between polarized [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] and unpolarized (Rosenbluth separation) [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13] measurements, along with phenomenological fits to the two data types [15]. (Figure reproduced from Reference [16].)

The predominant hypothesis that emerged for the explanation of the form factor ratio discrepancy was that hard two photon exchange (TPE) effects, i.e., contributions from the Feynman diagrams shown in Figure 2, were improperly neglected in previous Rosenbluth separation analyses [17, 18, 19]. While radiative corrections, including “soft” TPE, are critical to form factor extractions in Rosenbluth separation experiments and several standard prescriptions exist [20, 21], these prescriptions neglect contributions from hard TPE. Polarization-based experiments, in measuring a ratio of ratios of final state asymmetries, are relatively insensitive to TPE contributions. Due in part to the uncertainty in the proton’s propagator between the two photon interactions, calculations of the hard TPE contribution are model dependent and vary significantly in their effect on the form factor ratio. While some calculations suggest that hard TPE contributions are large enough to explain the entirety of the form factor discrepancy [22, 19, 23], others suggest that such effects should

be negligible [24, 25].




Figure 2: The two photon exchange diagrams (“box” and “crossed-box”) for elastic $e^- p$ scattering. Since each photon carries significant four-momentum, the proton propagator between the vertices need not be that of an on-shell proton, making calculations of the contributions from these diagrams challenging.

Given the substantial uncertainty surrounding theoretical calculations of hard TPE, experimental measurements were needed to test the hypothesis that hard TPE can explain the form factor ratio discrepancy. An experimental signature of TPE may be elicited by comparing elastic $e^- p$ and $e^+ p$ scattering. The interference of the one- and two-photon exchange diagrams (Figure 2) produces a term in the $e^\pm p$ cross section that is odd in the sign of the lepton. If other lepton-sign odd radiative effects, such as contributions from soft bremsstrahlung are accounted for, then the matrix element corresponding to the TPE diagrams $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma\gamma}$ may be extracted from the ratio $R_{2\gamma}$ of the elastic $e^+ p$ and $e^- p$ cross sections:

$$R_{2\gamma}(\varepsilon, Q^2) = \frac{\sigma_{e^+ p}(\varepsilon, Q^2)}{\sigma_{e^- p}(\varepsilon, Q^2)} = 1 + \frac{4\Re[\mathcal{M}_{\gamma\gamma}\mathcal{M}_\gamma]}{|\mathcal{M}_\gamma|^2} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^4). \quad (1.1)$$

A value of $R_{2\gamma}$ which remains close to unity for all Q^2 and ε indicates minimal hard TPE contribution, while if the $e^+ p$ cross section exceeds the $e^- p$ cross section ($R_{2\gamma} > 1$) by at least several percent for $Q^2 \gtrsim 2 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2$ hard TPE could be responsible for the entirety of the form factor ratio discrepancy [15, 26].

2. The OLYMPUS Experiment

The OLYMPUS experiment was designed to measure $R_{2\gamma}$ by exclusively reconstructing the elastic scattering of 2 GeV electrons and positrons from a fixed proton target, providing a kinematic reach of $(0.4 \leq \varepsilon \leq 0.9)$, $(0.6 \leq Q^2 \leq 2.2) \text{ (GeV/c)}^2$. The experiment collected data in 2012 and 2013 at the DORIS storage ring at the Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron (DESY), Hamburg, Germany. The stored e^- and e^+ beams were incident on a windowless H_2 gas target [27]. As shown in Figure 3, the target chamber was installed in a toroidal spectrometer which was adapted from the detector used at the BLAST experiment at MIT-Bates [28]. Walls of time-of-flight scintillator paddles provided a trigger signal for the tracking detectors and rough particle identification information, while large-acceptance drift chambers permitted exclusive reconstruction of leptons and protons trajectories from elastic scattering events. The lepton beam species was alternated daily to control long-period systematic effects on the measurement of the $e^+ p$ and $e^- p$ cross sections, and the detector positions and magnetic field were surveyed in detail to properly account for the acceptance differences for $e^+ p$ and $e^- p$ events [29]. Using the precise survey of the detector system and magnetic field in conjunction with a newly written generator for radiative $e^\pm p$ events [26, 30], a

detailed Geant4 [31] simulation was developed to account for the effects of non-hard TPE lepton charge odd effects as well as the detector acceptance and efficiency. A detailed description of the experiment may be found in Reference [16].




Figure 3: Schematic of the OLYMPUS detector, with the major elements labeled. The lepton beams passed through the target chamber in the $+\hat{z}$ direction.

In addition to reconstructing the elastic $e^\pm p$ cross sections, determination of $R_{2\gamma}$ required precise determination of the relative integrated luminosity of electron and positron scattering data collected by the experiment. Thus, OLYMPUS employed three independent systems to monitor the relative luminosity:

1. a “slow control” system which combined the recorded beam current with a molecular flow simulation of the target gas density [32],
2. reconstruction of forward (12°) elastic scattering events (where $R_{2\gamma}$ must be close to unity) in dedicated high-resolution tracking telescopes [32], and
3. a calorimetric measurement of forward lepton-lepton and lepton-proton scattering events at very forward angles (1.2°) [33, 34].

Each of these methods produced consistent results, well within the uncertainty goals of the experiment. The combination of the latter two methods additionally allowed extraction of $R_{2\gamma}$ at $\varepsilon \approx 0.98$. More details on these analyses may be founded in the cited references.

3. Results

The determination of $R_{2\gamma}$ by the OLYMPUS experiment is shown in Figure 4 [35], along with several theoretical and phenomenological predictions. The OLYMPUS results show that $R_{2\gamma}$ remains near unity at small values of Q^2 (possibly dropping below one) before increasing to $\sim 2\%$

above unity at the high- Q^2 end of the OLYMPUS kinematic reach. While consistent with some phenomenological models, this result implies a value of $R_{2\gamma}$ that is somewhat below theoretical models, such as the calculation presented in Reference [36], that seek to explain the entire form factor discrepancy through TPE. Two other experiments, at the VEPP-3 storage ring in Novosibirsk, Russia and the CLAS experiment at Jefferson Lab, additionally measured $R_{2\gamma}$ using different techniques than OLYMPUS and at generally lower values of Q^2 [35, 37, 38, 39]. Figure 5 shows the combined data of the three experiments compared to the calculation of the Blunden dispersive model corresponding to the kinematics of each experiment as a means of comparing the results of the experiments in the 2D (Q^2, ϵ) space. In this comparison, all three experiments consistently show values of $R_{2\gamma}$ lower than the theoretical prediction.




Figure 4: Results of the measurement of $R_{2\gamma}$ from the OLYMPUS experiment. The inner error bars represent the statistical uncertainty, the outer error bars the total point-to-point uncertainty including systematic contributions, and the gray band the correlated uncertainty due to the relative luminosity extraction. Also shown are theoretical [36, 40] and phenomenological [15] predictions. (Figure adapted from [35].)




Figure 5: Comparison of the results of the three modern TPE experiments [35, 37, 38, 39] to the Blunden dispersive model [36], showing that the three experiments consistently show a value of $R_{2\gamma}$ less than the prediction across their respective kinematic ranges. (Figure adapted from [35].)

4. Discussion

The OLYMPUS experiment successfully measured $R_{2\gamma}$ to better than 1% uncertainty up to $Q^2 \approx 2.2 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2$. OLYMPUS and the other modern TPE experiments measured a relatively modest hard TPE contribution that may be consistent both with resolving the form factor discrepancy and leaving a significant portion of the problem open. These results suggest that measurements of the elastic e^-p cross section at higher Q^2 will be required to firmly determine the contribution of hard TPE to the form factor discrepancy. While such experiments will be difficult due to the rapidly decreasing elastic cross section and increasing non-elastic contributions to e^-p scattering with increasing Q^2 , plans for such experiments are currently under development [41, 42, 43].

References

- [1] C. B. Crawford et al., *Measurement of the Proton's Electric to Magnetic Form Factor Ratio from $^1\vec{\text{H}}(\vec{e}, e'p)$* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** (Jan, 2007) 052301.
- [2] V. Punjabi et al., *Proton elastic form factor ratios to $Q^2 = 3.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ by polarization transfer*, *Phys. Rev. C* **71** (2005) 055202, [nucl-ex/0501018].
- [3] M. K. Jones et al., *Proton G_E/G_M from beam-target asymmetry*, *Phys. Rev. C* **74** (Sep, 2006) 035201.
- [4] A. J. R. Puckett et al., *Recoil Polarization Measurements of the Proton Electromagnetic Form Factor Ratio to $Q^2 = 8.5 \text{ GeV}^2$* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** (Jun, 2010) 242301.
- [5] A. J. R. Puckett et al., *Final analysis of proton form factor ratio data at $Q^2 = 4.0, 4.8$, and 5.6 GeV^2* , *Phys. Rev. C* **85** (Apr, 2012) 045203.
- [6] M. Paolone et al., *Polarization transfer in the $^4\text{He}(\vec{e}, e' \vec{p})^3\text{H}$ reaction at $Q^2 = 0.8$ and 1.3 (GeV/c)^2* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (Aug, 2010) 072001.
- [7] M. N. Rosenbluth, *High energy elastic scattering of electrons on protons*, *Phys. Rev.* **79** (Aug, 1950) 615–619.
- [8] J. Litt et al., *Measurement of the ratio of the proton form factors, G_E/G_M , at high momentum transfers and the question of scaling*, *Physics Letters B* **31** (1970) 40 – 44.
- [9] W. Bartel et al., *Measurement of proton and neutron electromagnetic form factors at squared four-momentum transfers up to 3 (GeV/c)^2* , *Nuclear Physics B* **58** (1973) 429 – 475.
- [10] L. Andivahis et al., *Measurements of the electric and magnetic form factors of the proton from $Q^2 = 1.75$ to 8.83 (GeV/c)^2* , *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994) 5491–5517.
- [11] R. C. Walker et al., *Measurements of the proton elastic form factors for $1 \leq Q^2 \leq 3 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2$ at SLAC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **49** (June, 1994) 5671–5689.
- [12] M. E. Christy et al., *Measurements of electron-proton elastic cross sections for $0.4 < Q^2 < 5.5 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2$* , *Phys. Rev. C* **70** (2004) 015206, [nucl-ex/0401030].
- [13] I. A. Qattan et al., *Precision Rosenbluth measurement of the proton elastic form factors*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** (2005) 142301, [nucl-ex/0410010].
- [14] J. Arrington, *How well do we know the electromagnetic form factors of the proton?*, *Phys. Rev. C* **68** (Sep, 2003) 034325.

- [15] J. C. Bernauer et al., *Electric and magnetic form factors of the proton*, *Phys. Rev. C* **90** (Jul, 2014) 015206.
- [16] R. Milner et al., *The OLYMPUS Experiment*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **741** (2014) 1–17, [[1312.1730](#)].
- [17] P. A. M. Guichon and M. Vanderhaeghen, *How to reconcile the Rosenbluth and the polarization transfer method in the measurement of the proton form-factors*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003) 142303, [[hep-ph/0306007](#)].
- [18] P. G. Blunden, W. Melnitchouk and J. A. Tjon, *Two photon exchange and elastic electron proton scattering*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003) 142304, [[nucl-th/0306076](#)].
- [19] P. G. Blunden, W. Melnitchouk and J. A. Tjon, *Two-photon exchange in elastic electron-nucleon scattering*, *Phys. Rev. C* **72** (Sep, 2005) 034612.
- [20] L. W. Mo and Y. S. Tsai, *Radiative corrections to elastic and inelastic ep and up scattering*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **41** (Jan, 1969) 205–235.
- [21] L. C. Maximon and J. A. Tjon, *Radiative corrections to electron-proton scattering*, *Phys. Rev. C* **62** (Oct, 2000) 054320.
- [22] A. V. Afanasev et al., *Two-photon exchange contribution to elastic electron-nucleon scattering at large momentum transfer*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (Jul, 2005) 013008.
- [23] N. Kivel and M. Vanderhaeghen, *Two-Photon Exchange in Elastic Electron-Proton Scattering: A QCD Factorization Approach*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (Aug, 2009) 092004.
- [24] E. Tomasi-Gustafsson et al., *Compilation and analysis of charge asymmetry measurements from electron and positron scattering on nucleon and nuclei*, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **76** (2013) 937–946, [[0909.4736](#)].
- [25] E. A. Kuraev, V. V. Bytev, S. Bakmaev and E. Tomasi-Gustafsson, *Charge asymmetry for electron (positron)-proton elastic scattering at large angles*, *Phys. Rev. C* **78** (Jul, 2008) 015205.
- [26] A. Schmidt, *Measuring the lepton sign asymmetry in elastic electron-proton scattering with OLYMPUS*, Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2016. [1711.09894](#).
- [27] J. Bernauer et al., *The OLYMPUS internal hydrogen target*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **755** (2014) 20–27.
- [28] D. Hasell et al., *The BLAST experiment*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **603** (2009) 247–262.
- [29] J. Bernauer et al., *Measurement and tricubic interpolation of the magnetic field for the OLYMPUS experiment*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **823** (2016) 9 – 14.
- [30] R. Russell, *A Measurement of the Two-Photon Exchange Effect in Elastic Electron-Proton Scattering with OLYMPUS*, Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2016.
- [31] S. Agostinelli et al., *GEANT4: A Simulation toolkit*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250–303.
- [32] B. S. Henderson, *A Precision Measurement of the e^+p/e^-p Elastic Scattering Cross Section Ratio at the OLYMPUS Experiment*, Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2016. [1705.04740](#).
- [33] R. P. Benito et al., *Design and performance of a lead fluoride detector as a luminosity monitor*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **826** (2016) 6 – 14.

- [34] A. Schmidt et al., *A novel technique for determining luminosity in electron-scattering/positron-scattering experiments from multi-interaction events*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **877** (2018) 112 – 117.
- [35] B. S. Henderson et al., *Hard Two-Photon Contribution to Elastic Lepton-Proton Scattering Determined by the OLYMPUS Experiment*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118** (Mar, 2017) 092501.
- [36] P. G. Blunden and W. Melnitchouk, *Dispersive approach to two-photon exchange in elastic electron-proton scattering*, *Phys. Rev. C* **95** (Jun, 2017) 065209.
- [37] I. A. Rachek et al., *Measurement of the two-photon exchange contribution to the elastic $e^\pm p$ scattering cross sections at the VEPP-3 storage ring*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (Feb, 2015) 062005.
- [38] D. Adikaram et al., *Towards a resolution of the proton form factor problem: New electron and positron scattering data*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (Feb, 2015) 062003.
- [39] D. Rimal et al., *Measurement of two-photon exchange effect by comparing elastic $e^\pm p$ cross sections*, *Phys. Rev. C* **95** (Jun, 2017) 065201.
- [40] O. Tomalak, B. Pasquini and M. Vanderhaeghen, *Two-photon exchange contribution to elastic e^- -proton scattering: Full dispersive treatment of πn states and comparison with data*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (Nov, 2017) 096001.
- [41] J. C. Bernauer, *Positron beams and two-photon-exchange: The key to precision form factors*, Proceedings of the International Workshop on Physics with Positrons at Jefferson Lab (JPos17), 2017.
- [42] B. A. Raue, *Measurement of tpe with electron/positron elastic scattering off the proton*, Proceedings of the International Workshop on Physics with Positrons at Jefferson Lab (JPos17), 2017.
- [43] A. Schmidt, *Polarization observables using positron beams*, Proceedings of the International Workshop on Physics with Positrons at Jefferson Lab (JPos17), 2017.