

TOPOLOGY OF SPACES OF REGULAR SECTIONS AND APPLICATIONS TO AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

ALEXEY GORINOV AND NIKOLAY KONOVALOV

ABSTRACT. Let G be a complex connected reductive algebraic group that acts on a smooth complex algebraic variety X , and let E be a G -equivariant algebraic vector bundle over X . A section of E is *regular* if it is transversal to the zero section. Let $U \subset \Gamma(X, E)$ be the subset of regular sections. We give a sufficient condition in terms of topological invariants of E and X that implies that every orbit map $O: G \rightarrow U$ induces a surjection in rational cohomology. Under natural assumptions on X and E this condition is also necessary.

If the condition is satisfied, then (1) the geometric quotient U/G exists; (2) there is an isomorphism $H^*(U, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(G, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(U/G, \mathbb{Q})$ of cohomology rings; (3) the order of the stabiliser $G_s, s \in U$ divides a certain expression that can be explicitly calculated e.g. if X is a compact homogeneous space. In some cases (e.g. if E is a line bundle) we also prove similar statements for the space of the zero loci of $s \in U$. We apply these results to several explicit examples which include hypersurfaces in projective spaces, non-degenerate quadrics and complete flag varieties of the simple Lie groups of rank 2, and also certain Fano varieties of dimension 3 and 4.

INTRODUCTION

A section of an algebraic vector bundle E over a smooth complex algebraic variety X is called *regular* if it is transversal to the zero section. The zero locus of a regular section is a smooth subvariety of X . In this paper we prove several results about the topology of the space $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ of regular sections. More specifically, let G be a complex affine group that acts algebraically on X , and suppose that this action lifts to an algebraic action of G on the total space of E by fibrewise linear automorphisms. In this case we say that E is *G -equivariant*.

The prototype for our results is the following theorem of C. Peters and J. Steenbrink [33]: if $G = GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}), X = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}), E = \mathcal{O}(d), d \geq 3$, then there is an isomorphism

$$(0.0.1) \quad H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E), \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(G, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)/G, \mathbb{Q}).$$

A natural question is whether this generalises to other equivariant bundles. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for this to be true in terms of topological invariants of E and X , provided X is compact, the jet bundle $E' = J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1))$ is globally generated by holonomic sections (see Section 2.2), and $c_{\dim \mathbb{P}(E^*)}(E') \neq 0$. This condition (see Corollary 3.3.2 and Theorem B below) can be explicitly checked e.g. if $X = G/P, P$ a parabolic subgroup. We give a few examples in Theorem 4.5.1. When $G = GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}), X = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}), E = \mathcal{O}(d)$, the condition translates simply as $d \notin \{0, 1, 2\}$.

One motivation for results of this type is deducing the cohomology of the quotient $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)/G$ from the cohomology of $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$, or vice versa. Of these two spaces, $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ is usually easier to deal with; in particular, its cohomology can be calculated using conical resolutions, see [48], [15] and [46]. On the other hand, the quotient $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)/G$ is often more interesting than $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ because it is the moduli space of objects of some kind; for example, the moduli spaces of smooth curves of small genus canonically decompose into pieces of the form $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)/G$ for appropriate X, E and G , see [46] and Remarks 4.5.9 and 4.5.11, where we give a few simple applications to moduli spaces.

As in [33], in order to prove 0.0.1 we construct certain cohomology classes $\text{Lk}(y), y \in H_*(X)$ of $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$, which we will call *linking classes* (see Definition 2.2.6), and calculate the pullbacks of these classes under an orbit map $O: G \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ (Theorem 2.2.11). If it so happens that in rational cohomology the pullbacks multiplicatively generate $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$, then 0.0.1 follows, essentially by the Leray-Hirsch principle, see Theorem 3.1.1. We conjecture that for line bundles the “divisibility phenomenon” 0.0.1 is generic in E for a given $X = G/P$; see Section 5.1 for a precise statement.

The key step of this strategy is the first one, namely constructing the linking classes and calculating their pullbacks under the orbit maps. The geometric construction of these classes given in [33] for $X = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, $E = \mathcal{O}(d)$ admits a straightforward generalisation to the case of an arbitrary equivariant bundle. This generalisation is however difficult to work with in practice, so instead we take a different approach, which seems better suited for our purposes. We check however that if $X = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, $E = \mathcal{O}(d)$, then our construction and the one by C. Peters and J. Steenbrink in [33] give the same answer, see Proposition 2.2.8.

We will now explain these results in more detail assuming for simplicity that X is compact, G is reductive and connected, and E is a line bundle, which we denote L . Set $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$. Recall that the *first jet bundle* $E' = J(L)$ of L has rank $d+1$ and there is a map $j: \Gamma(X, L) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, E')$ such that $s \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)$ if and only if $j(s)$ does not vanish anywhere. The Euler class of E' is zero for dimension reasons, so there exists a secondary Thom class $a_{E'} \in H^{2d+1}(\text{Tot}_0(E'), \mathbb{Z})$ where $\text{Tot}_0(E')$ is the total space of E' minus the zero section (see Section 1.1). For $y \in H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ we then set $\text{Lk}(y) = -j_{ev}^*(a_{E'})/y$ where $j_{ev}: \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L) \times X \rightarrow \text{Tot}_0(E')$ is the jet evaluation map defined by $j_{ev}(s, x) = j(s)(x)$, and $/$ denotes the slant product

$$H^p(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L) \times X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_q(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{p-q}(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Theorem A. *The equivariant Euler class $e_G(E') \in H_G^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ (see Definition 1.2.9) has a decomposition*

$$e_G(E') = \sum_i \beta^*(a_i) b_i$$

where $a_i \in \tilde{H}^*(BG, \mathbb{Q})$, $b_i \in H_G^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$, and $\beta^*: H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_G^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is the structure map. Moreover, modulo decomposable elements we have

$$(0.0.2) \quad O^*(\text{Lk}(y)) = \sum \langle \alpha^*(b_i), y \rangle \bar{\gamma}(a_i) \in H^*(G, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Here $\alpha^*: H_G^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is the restriction map, and $\bar{\gamma}$ is the composite

$$H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(\Sigma G, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{*-1}(G, \mathbb{Q})$$

where the first arrow is induced by the map $\gamma: \Sigma G \rightarrow BG$ obtained from the canonical homotopy equivalence $G \simeq \Omega BG$ by the suspension-loop space adjunction.

We note that the right hand side of equation 0.0.2 is integral, because the left hand side is, but the individual ingredients of the right hand side may not be.

As a consequence of Theorem A we have the following necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an isomorphism 0.0.1. We keep the notation of Theorem A.

Theorem B. *Suppose that $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)$ is the complement of a divisor in $\Gamma(X, L)$, and E' is globally generated by holonomic sections (see Section 2.2). Let $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)/G$ be the good categorical quotient. Then the subring*

$$\Lambda_{E'}^* = \left\langle \sum_i \langle \alpha^*(b_i), y \rangle \bar{\gamma}(a_i) \mid y \in H_*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \right\rangle \subset H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$$

is integral, i.e. it is a subring of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) = H^*(G, \mathbb{Z})/\text{torsion}$, and the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The ring $\Lambda_{E'}^*$ has finite index in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$.
- (2) There is a mixed Hodge substructure $\subset H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L), \mathbb{Q})$ that maps isomorphically to $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ under O^* for every orbit map $O: G \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)$.
- (3) For $E = L$ there exists an isomorphism 0.0.1 that is a map of mixed Hodge structures, rings and $H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)/G, \mathbb{Q})$ -modules.

Moreover, if either of these statements holds, then the G -stabiliser of every $s \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)$ is finite, which in turn implies that the categorical quotient $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)/G$ is in fact geometric.

We note that the (geometric or just categorical) quotient $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)/G$ is necessarily affine. Theorems A and B follow from Corollary 2.2.12 and Theorem 3.3.1 respectively. Both theorems can be easily extended to vector bundles of arbitrary rank, see Theorem 2.2.11 and Corollary 3.3.2. To do this we use the Cayley trick, which consists in replacing a vector bundle E on X with the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$, see Section 2.2.

The linking classes $\text{Lk}(y)$ are defined over \mathbb{Z} , and in Theorem A we calculate their pullbacks under O in the integral cohomology of G modulo torsion. As a consequence, we are able to obtain bounds on the orders of the G -automorphism groups of elements of $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)$, as well as several related automorphism groups. Here is an example.

Theorem C. *We keep the notation and assumptions of Theorem B, and additionally assume that G is semi-simple. Suppose that the index $[H^{\text{top}}(G, \mathbb{Z}) : \Lambda_{E'}^{\text{top}}]$ is finite where $\text{top} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} G$ is the top degree in which the cohomology of G is non-zero. Then for every $s \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)$*

- (1) *the order of the G -stabiliser of s divides $[H^{\text{top}}(G, \mathbb{Z}) : \Lambda_{E'}^{\text{top}}]$;*
- (2) *the order of the setwise G -stabiliser of the zero locus of s divides*

$$(0.0.3) \quad [H^{\text{top}}(G, \mathbb{Z}) : \Lambda_{E'}^{\text{top}}] \cdot \langle c_d(E'), [X] \rangle.$$

We note that $\langle c_d(E'), [X] \rangle$ is often the degree of the discriminant hypersurface $\Gamma(X, L) \setminus \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)$, see Proposition 3.2.17 for details. The first part of Theorem C follows from Theorem 3.3.1 and the second part from Corollaries 3.3.2 and 3.2.16 and Lemmas 3.2.8 and 3.2.10. Same as Theorems A and B, the first part of Theorem C generalises to higher rank bundles using the Cayley trick (see Corollary 3.3.2). So does the second part under the additional assumption that the fibrewise automorphism group of the vector bundle acts transitively on the regular sections with given zero locus; see Section 3.2.1, where we give a sufficient condition for this to be true and an example which shows that it is not true in general.

If this assumption is true however, then the higher rank analogue of part 2 of Theorem C follows from Lemma 3.2.8 and Corollary 3.3.2. We give a few examples in Section 4.4. In practice, we also need the reductive part of the quotient of the fibrewise automorphism group by the scalar automorphisms to be semi-simple: otherwise the analogue of 0.0.3 for the group \tilde{G} (see Section 3.2.1) will be infinite.

As an illustration of Theorem C, the order of the projective automorphism group of every smooth projective hypersurface of degree d divides

$$(0.0.4) \quad (d-1)^n \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} ((d-1)^{n+1} + (-1)^{i+1} (d-1)^{n+1-i}).$$

More examples of this type are given in Theorem 4.5.1; in Corollary 3.3.2 and Sections 4.1 and 4.3 we describe a general procedure for obtaining similar formulas for equivariant line bundles over an arbitrary homogeneous space G/P . We note that V. González-Aguilera and A. Liendo show in [12] that the primes that occur in 0.0.4 are precisely the primes that can be realised as the order of an automorphism of some smooth projective hypersurface of degree d . We conjecture that for a line bundle over G/P expression 0.0.3 (provided it is finite and non-zero) contains all primes that occur as the orders of G -automorphisms of the zero loci of regular sections, see Section 5.2.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 1.1 we introduce certain characteristic classes that we call the *secondary* Thom and equivariant Euler classes. The reason they are called secondary is that they are defined for oriented vector bundles whose ordinary Euler class vanishes. The main example we will be interested in is the first jet bundle of a line bundle.

In Section 1.2 we define the map S (Definition 1.2.3), our main technical ingredient, and prove some of its properties. The key results in Section 1 are Propositions 1.1.33, 1.2.14 and Remark 1.2.17, which is the main tool for calculating S in the following sections. Proposition 1.1.7 relates the Euler class of an oriented vector bundle to the transgression in the Leray spectral sequence of the corresponding spherical bundle (see also Remark 1.1.9).

Section 2 contains our main technical results: we construct the *linking class homomorphism* for spaces of nowhere vanishing sections (Lk_V^{Σ} , Definition 2.1.5) and for spaces of regular sections ($\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}$, Definition 2.2.6). For X compact algebraic the image of $\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}$ is precisely the first non-trivial term of the weight filtration on $H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E), \mathbb{Q})$, see Proposition 2.2.10. We express the composition of Lk_V^{Σ} and $\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}$ with O^* in Corollary 2.1.31 and Theorem 2.2.11 in terms of S applied to the equivariant Euler class of $J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1))$.

In Section 3 we explain how the results of the preceding sections can be used to prove analogues of isomorphism 0.0.1 and to obtain information about the orders of automorphism groups (Theorem 3.1.1 and Proposition 3.2.1). Proposition 3.2.17 relates the degree of a variety “swept out” by vector subspaces to the

integral of the Euler class of a certain vector bundle (see also Remark 3.2.18). The main results of Section 3 are summarised in Theorem 3.3.1 and Corollary 3.3.2.

In Section 4.1 we give a general recipe to calculate the linking classes explicitly for compact homogeneous spaces G/P where G is a connected affine group and P is a parabolic subgroup (at least when G is of classical type, see the beginning of Section 4.1.1). We then apply this recipe to hypersurfaces in projective spaces, non-degenerate quadrics and the complete flag variety of $SL_3(\mathbb{C})$, and to certain Fano varieties of dimension 3 and 4. These applications are summarised in Theorem 4.5.1; the list is not exhaustive, and the purpose of Sections 4.2-4.4 is to illustrate the kind of problems that can be handled using our methods, and also to show how the calculations work in concrete examples. In Remarks 4.5.4-4.5.8 we compare Theorem 4.5.1 with known results about automorphism groups.

Finally, in Section 5 we propose a few conjectures and discuss some evidence in favour of these.

There are two appendices in the paper. In Appendix A we prove Propositions 1.1.7 and 3.2.17. These results are not needed for our main applications (at least not in full generality), but we believe they are of independent interest. In Appendix B we give numerical examples for our results in Section 4 on common multiples of the orders of the automorphism groups.

The proofs of our results in Sections 1 and 2 are mostly homotopy theoretic, so it seems likely that these results can be extended in two diverging directions, one being spaces of regular C^∞ -smooth sections of real equivariant vector bundles, and the other equivariant vector bundles in finite characteristic. In this paper we focus on those constructions that translate directly into both these situations, and give a first series of applications to complex algebraic varieties.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Sasha Berdnikov, Misha Finkelberg, Sasha Kuznetsov, Lyonya Rybnikov and Kostya Shramov for helpful conversations.

Notation. Here we describe some notation that will be used throughout the paper. If E is a vector bundle over a topological space X , we denote the total space of E by $\text{Tot}(E)$ and the total space minus the zero section by $\text{Tot}_0(E)$. The pullback of E along $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is denoted $f^*(E)$. A one point space will be denoted pt . For a based space X we use ΩX , ΣX and X_+ to denote the loop space, the (reduced) suspension and the space $X \sqcup pt$ respectively; the base point of X_+ is the added point. If two spaces X, Y are homotopy equivalent, we will write $X \simeq Y$.

If X is a topological space, we denote the ring $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})/\text{torsion}$ by $H_{\text{fr}}^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$. We will often view $H_{\text{fr}}^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ as a subring of $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$. If R a commutative ring with identity, then we use $\langle -, - \rangle$ to denote the evaluation pairing $H^n(X, R) \otimes_R H_n(X, R) \rightarrow R$. The *Borel-Moore homology groups* $H_*^{BM}(X, R)$ of X with coefficients in R are the homology groups of the complex of locally finite singular chains with coefficients in R . If X is a finite CW-complex and $Y \subset X$ is a subcomplex, then $H_*^{BM}(X \setminus Y, R) \cong H_*(X, Y, R)$; if moreover R is a field, then $H_*^{BM}(X \setminus Y, R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_c^*(X \setminus Y, R), R)$.

Suppose X, Y are topological spaces; let $p_1: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $p_2: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the projections. If E_1 and E_2 are vector bundles over X and Y respectively, then $E_1 \boxtimes E_2$ denotes the exterior product of E_1 and E_2 , i.e. the vector bundle $p_1^*(E_1) \otimes p_2^*(E_2)$. Similarly, if $x_1 \in H^m(X, R)$, $x_2 \in H^n(X, R)$, then $x_1 \times x_2$ denotes the class $p_1^*(x_1) \smile p_2^*(x_2)$. We will often omit \smile when writing the cup products of cohomology classes.

Suppose a group G acts on a set X . We will denote the result of applying $g \in G$ to $x \in X$ by $g \cdot x$ or gx , respectively $x \cdot g$ or xg if the action is on the left, respectively on the right. All our group actions will be left group actions unless specified otherwise. We will use G_x , respectively $G(x)$ to denote the stabiliser of $x \in X$, respectively the orbit of x . The *orbit map* $G \rightarrow X$ given by $g \mapsto g \cdot x$ will be denoted O_x , or O when it is clear or irrelevant which x we take.

By the dimension of a complex analytic variety (in particular, a complex affine group) we will always mean the complex dimension. Similarly, the rank of a complex vector bundle will be understood to mean the complex rank.

Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle over a complex analytic variety X . The space of holomorphic sections of E is denoted $\Gamma(X, E)$. If X is irreducible, then the *fundamental class* of X is an element of $H_{2 \dim X}^{BM}(X, \mathbb{Z})$; we will denote this element by $[X]$.

1. SECONDARY CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES

Suppose $E \rightarrow X$ is a real oriented vector bundle with vanishing Euler class. In Section 1.1 we define a *secondary Thom class* $a_E \in H^{\text{rk } E-1}(\text{Tot } E_0)$ (Definition 1.1.1) and prove some of its basic properties. If in addition G is a topological group acting on X and E is G -equivariant, then we define a *secondary G -equivariant Euler class* $se_G(E) \in H^{\text{rk } E-1}(G \times X)$ of E (Definition 1.1.31). Neither secondary class is uniquely defined; in particular, the secondary Euler class is defined up to the *indeterminacy submodule* M_X^* (Definition 1.1.16). In Proposition 1.1.33 we compare the secondary Thom and Euler classes using Proposition 1.1.7, which expresses the Euler class of an oriented vector bundle in terms of the transgression in the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the spherisation. We also define the *naive homotopy quotient* X_{nG} (Definition 1.1.22) as the homotopy colimit of a certain diagram, which gives us a long exact sequence (sequence 1.1.30) that we will need in the sequel.

In Section 1.2 we introduce our main technical ingredients: the ideals $I(X, R)$ and $I_1(X, R)$ of $H^*(X_{hG}, R)$ (Notations 1.2.2 and 1.2.11) and the map $S: I^*(X, R) \rightarrow H^{*-1}(G \times X, R)/M_X^{*-1}$ (Definition 1.2.3). The results that will enable us to calculate S in the upcoming sections are Proposition 1.2.14 and Remark 1.2.17. Finally, in Section 1.3 we describe a few simplifications assuming X smooth compact complex algebraic. In particular, it turns out that in this case the ideals $I(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and $I_1(X, \mathbb{Q})$ coincide (Lemma 1.3.1).

1.1. Secondary Thom and Euler classes. In this subsection X will be a topological space, E will be a real vector bundle over X of rank r , and R will denote a commutative ring with identity. Let $p_0: \text{Tot}_0(E) \rightarrow X$ be the projection map, and let E_x be the fibre of E over $x \in X$. We set $E_{0,x} = E_x \setminus \{0\}$.

Recall that E is R -orientable if there is a *Thom class* $u_E \in H^r(\text{Tot}(E), \text{Tot}_0(E), R)$ such that u_E restricted to the couple $(E_x, E_{0,x})$ is an R -generator of $H^r(E_x, E_{0,x}, R) \cong R$ for every $x \in X$. Once we have chosen a Thom class u_E we will say that E is R -oriented and call u_E an R -orientation of E . If E is R -oriented, then the *Euler class* $e(E)$ is the pullback of u_E under the zero section map $(X, \emptyset) \rightarrow (\text{Tot}(E), \text{Tot}_0(E))$.

Note that if $\text{char } R = 2$, then all vector bundles are R -orientable, and otherwise a vector bundle is R -orientable if and only if it is \mathbb{Z} -orientable.

Definition 1.1.1. A cohomology class $a_E \in H^{r-1}(\text{Tot}_0(E), R)$ is called a *secondary Thom class of E* if and only if its restriction to each fibre $E_{0,x}$ is a generator of the R -module $H^{r-1}(E_{0,x}, R) \cong H^{r-1}(S^{r-1}, R)$.

Proposition 1.1.2. A secondary Thom class of a vector bundle E exists if and only if E is R -orientable and the Euler class $e(E)$ is zero.

Proof. Consider the exact sequence:

$$(1.1.3) \quad H^*(\text{Tot}(E), R) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^*(\text{Tot}_0(E), R) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{*+1}(\text{Tot}(E), \text{Tot}_0(E), R) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^{*+1}(\text{Tot}(E), R).$$

Here i and j are the inclusions $\text{Tot}_0(E) \rightarrow \text{Tot}(E)$ and $(\text{Tot}(E), \emptyset) \rightarrow (\text{Tot}(E), \text{Tot}_0(E))$ respectively. Exact sequence 1.1.3 is functorial with respect to fibrewise isomorphisms of vector bundles.

Suppose that a secondary Thom class $a_E \in H^{r-1}(\text{Tot}_0(E), R)$ exists. Then $\delta(a_E)$ is a Thom class of the vector bundle E , which can be checked by restricting $\delta(a_E)$ to the fibre over an $x \in X$. So E is R -orientable and the Euler class of E is $j^*(\delta(a_E)) = 0$.

Now suppose that E is R -orientable and the Euler class of E is zero. Then there exists a Thom class $u_E \in H^r(\text{Tot}(E), \text{Tot}_0(E), R)$ and $j^*(u_E) = 0$. So there is an $a_E \in H^{r-1}(\text{Tot}_0(E), R)$ such that $\delta(a_E) = u_E$. Using 1.1.3 again and restricting to the fibre over $x \in X$ we see that a_E is a secondary Thom class. \square

Proposition 1.1.4. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map, and let E' and E be vector bundles of real rank r over Y and X respectively. We suppose that f is covered by a map $F: \text{Tot}(E') \rightarrow \text{Tot}(E)$ which is a linear isomorphism when restricted to each fibre. Suppose $a_E \in H^{r-1}(\text{Tot}_0(E), R)$ is a secondary Thom class of the vector bundle E . Then $F^*(a_E)$ is a secondary Thom class of the vector bundle E' .

Proof. This follows from the functoriality property of the Thom classes and sequence 1.1.3. \square

Definition 1.1.5. Let E be an R -oriented vector bundle with the Thom class $u_E \in H^r(\text{Tot}(E), \text{Tot}_0(E), R)$. A secondary Thom class a_E is called R -oriented if and only if $\delta(a_E) = u_E$. Here δ is the homomorphism from 1.1.3.

Proposition 1.1.6. *An R -oriented secondary Thom class $a_E \in H^{r-1}(\text{Tot}_0(E), R)$ is uniquely defined up to the group $\text{Im}(p_0^*)$. I.e., if a_E and a'_E are two R -oriented secondary Thom classes, then there exists a class $b \in H^{r-1}(X, R)$ such that $a_E = a'_E + p_0^*(b)$.*

Proof. Using 1.1.3 we see that if a_E and a'_E are two R -oriented secondary Thom classes, then $a_E - a'_E \in \text{Im}(i^*)$; the latter group coincides with the image of p_0^* . \square

Proposition 1.1.7. *Suppose X is path connected, E is R -oriented and $r = \text{rk } E > 1$. Let $(E_{*}^{*,*})$ be the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of $p_0 : \text{Tot}_0(E) \rightarrow X$ for the cohomology with coefficients in R . Let $x_0 \in X$ be a point. The group $E_2^{0,r-1} \cong H^{r-1}(E_{0,x_0}, R) \cong R$ has a preferred generator \mathbf{a} , namely the one that corresponds to the generator of $H^{r-1}(E_{0,x_0}, R) \cong H^r(E_{x_0}, E_{0,x_0}, R)$ obtained by restricting the Thom class of E . Then the transgression*

$$(1.1.8) \quad d_r(\mathbf{a}) = -e(E) \in H^r(X, R) \cong E_r^{r,0}.$$

Remark 1.1.9. This result is very likely classical, but we were unable to locate it in the literature. For all our applications (e.g. Corollaries 3.3.2 and 3.3.5) it would suffice to know that $d_r(\mathbf{a})$ and $e(E)$ generate the same cyclic subgroup, which is much easier to prove than formula 1.1.8. This formula, however, allows us to make the statements of our key results (such as Corollary 2.1.31 and Theorem 2.2.11) more precise, and we also believe it may come in useful in other examples than those that we consider in this paper, so we prove Proposition 1.1.7 in Appendix A.

Remark 1.1.10. Both the differential d_r and the preferred generator \mathbf{a} depend on the differential δ on singular cochains. For definiteness, here and elsewhere below we take δ to be the dual of the differential on singular chains (i.e. no extra sign), cf. [45], [44] and [19]. We note however that if one replaces δ in each degree i by $n_i \delta$ with $n_i \in \{\pm 1\}$, then the sign in formula 1.1.8 will not change.

Proposition 1.1.11. *The Euler class $e(E)$ is zero if and only if the map $p_0^* : H^*(X, R) \rightarrow H^*(\text{Tot}_0(E), R)$ is injective.*

Proof. The Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the fibre bundle $p_0 : \text{Tot}_0 E \rightarrow X$ degenerates (i.e., all differentials are zero starting from d_2) if and only if the Euler class $e(E)$ is zero. \square

Let G be a topological group that acts on X continuously on the left. We say that the vector bundle E is G -equivariant if there is an action of G on $\text{Tot}(E)$ which is compatible with the action of G on X and linear when restricted to each fibre.

Unless stated otherwise we will assume in the rest of this subsection that E is G -equivariant and R -oriented, and the action of G preserves the R -orientation of E . Let $\varphi : G \times X \rightarrow X$ be the action map, and let $p_2 : G \times X \rightarrow X$ be the projection map. Let ψ be an isomorphism of the vector bundles $\varphi^*(E)$ and $p_2^*(E)$. Note that if E is a G -equivariant vector bundle on X , then there is a preferred choice of ψ : the total space of $\varphi^*(E)$ (respectively of $p_2^*(E)$) is the space of all triples

$$\{(g, x, e) \mid g \in G, x \in X, e \in \text{Tot}(E)\}$$

such that $e \in E_{gx}$ (respectively $e \in E_x$). The isomorphism $\psi : \varphi^*(E) \rightarrow p_2^*(E)$ is then given by $(g, x, e) \mapsto (g, x, g^{-1}e)$. Note also that the spaces $\text{Tot}_0(\varphi^*(E))$ and $\text{Tot}_0(p_2^*(E))$ can be identified with $G \times \text{Tot}_0(E)$:

$$(1.1.12) \quad \eta : \text{Tot}_0(\varphi^*(E)) \rightarrow G \times \text{Tot}_0(E), (g, x, e) \mapsto (g, g^{-1}e)$$

$$(1.1.13) \quad \vartheta : \text{Tot}_0(p_2^*(E)) \rightarrow G \times \text{Tot}_0(E), (g, x, e) \mapsto (g, e).$$

These isomorphisms commute with ψ , i.e. $\vartheta \circ \psi = \eta$. Let us denote the action map $G \times \text{Tot}_0(E) \rightarrow \text{Tot}_0(E)$ and the projection $G \times \text{Tot}_0(E) \rightarrow \text{Tot}_0(E)$ by Φ and P_2 respectively.

Lemma 1.1.14. *For any R -oriented secondary Thom class $a_E \in H^*(\text{Tot}_0(E), R)$ of a G -equivariant R -oriented vector bundle E the difference $\Phi^*(a_E) - P_2^*(a_E) \in H^*(G \times \text{Tot}_0(E), R)$ belongs to the image of the homomorphism $(\text{id} \times p_0)^*$.*

Proof. If we pull $P_2^*(a_E)$ back along ϑ , we will get a secondary Thom class of the bundle $p_2^*(E)$ by Proposition 1.1.4. Similarly, by pulling $\Phi^*(a_E)$ back along $\psi^{-1} \circ \eta$ we get a secondary Thom class of the same bundle. Let us check that these two secondary Thom classes correspond to the same R -orientation, i.e., that they map to the same Thom class under the connecting homomorphism

$$H^*(\text{Tot}_0(p_2^*(E)), R) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(\text{Tot}(p_2^*(E)), \text{Tot}_0(p_2^*(E)), R).$$

It suffices to check this over each point (g, x) of $G \times X$. Since the action of G is orientation-preserving, the maps $\Phi \circ \eta \circ \psi^{-1} = \Phi \circ \vartheta$ and $P_2 \circ \vartheta$ induce the same orientation on the fibre of $p_2^*(E)$ over (g, x) . The lemma now follows from Proposition 1.1.6. \square

Notation 1.1.15. Suppose that X is path connected and the Euler class of E is zero; let a_E be a secondary Thom class. Since the cohomology group $H^{r-1}(\text{Tot}_0(E), R)$ is isomorphic as an R -module to the direct sum $p_0^*(H^{r-1}(X), R) \oplus R[a_E]$, there is a homomorphism of R -modules

$$\partial\Phi^*: H^{r-1}(\text{Tot}_0(E), R) \rightarrow H^{r-1}(G \times X, R)$$

such that $(\text{id} \times p_0)^* \circ (\partial\Phi^*) = \Phi^* - P_2^*$. In order to construct such a map $\partial\Phi^*$ recall that p_0^* is injective (Proposition 1.1.11). So we can set $\partial\Phi(p_0^*(x)) = \varphi^*(x) - p_2^*(x)$ for $x \in H^{r-1}(X, R)$, and we define $\partial\Phi^*(a_E)$ using Lemma 1.1.14.

Definition 1.1.16. The graded R -module

$$M_X^* := \text{Im}((\varphi^* - p_2^*): H^*(X, R) \rightarrow H^*(G \times X, R))$$

will be called the *indeterminacy submodule*. We will omit the subscript if the space X is clear from the context.

Corollary 1.1.17. *Let a_E and a'_E be R -oriented secondary Thom classes of E . Then the difference*

$$\partial\Phi^*(a_E) - \partial\Phi^*(a'_E)$$

belongs to the indeterminacy submodule M^{r-1} .

Proof. Indeed, by Proposition 1.1.6 the difference $a_E - a'_E$ is equal to $p_0^*(b)$ for some $b \in H^{r-1}(X, R)$. Since the vector bundle E is G -equivariant, we have

$$(\text{id} \times p_0)^* \circ (\partial\Phi^*)(a_E - a'_E) = (\Phi^* - P_2^*)(a_E - a'_E) = (\Phi^* - P_2^*)(p_0^*(b)) = (\text{id} \times p_0)^*((\varphi^* - p_2^*)(b)).$$

The last equality here follows from the fact that $p_0 \circ \Phi = \varphi \circ (\text{id} \times p_0)$, and similarly for P_2 and p_2 . The map $(\text{id} \times p_0)^*$ is injective, as p_0 is, so we conclude that $\partial\Phi^*(a_E - a'_E)$ is $(\varphi^* - p_2^*)(b) \in M^{r-1}$. \square

We now wish to describe the cohomology class $\partial\Phi^*(a_E) \in H^{r-1}(X, R)/M^{r-1}$ in more concrete terms. Recall that if we are given two continuous maps

$$(1.1.18) \quad A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} B$$

of topological spaces, then the homotopy colimit of 1.1.18 is called the *homotopy coequaliser* of f and g . On the level of spaces it can be constructed as follows: we take the cylinders of f and g and identify the copies of both A and B . The resulting space C is equipped with a map $q: B \rightarrow C$.

Remark 1.1.19. Given a homotopy coequaliser diagram

$$A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} B \xrightarrow{q} C.$$

there exists a long exact sequence of cohomology groups:

$$(1.1.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^{*+1}(B, R) &\xrightarrow{f^* - g^*} H^*(A, R) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^*(C, R) \xrightarrow{q^*} \\ &\rightarrow H^*(B, R) \xrightarrow{f^* - g^*} H^*(A, R) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{*+1}(C, R) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the homomorphism $\delta: H^{*+1}(A, R) \rightarrow H^*(C, R)$ in this sequence is induced by the natural map

$$(1.1.21) \quad \Delta: C \rightarrow \Sigma(A_+).$$

and

$$B \xrightarrow{q} C \xrightarrow{\Delta} \Sigma(A_+),$$

is a cofibre sequence.

Definition 1.1.22. Recall that φ denotes the action map $G \times X \rightarrow X$. We define the *naive homotopy quotient* X_{nG} of X by the G -action as the homotopy coequaliser of the maps φ and p_2 . We will denote the map $X \rightarrow X_{nG}$ by q_X (or just q if it is clear which space X is meant).

Recall that EG is a contractible topological space with a continuous right free G -action such that the quotient map $EG \rightarrow (EG)/G$ is a principal G -bundle.

Definition 1.1.23. The *homotopy quotient* or the *Borel quotient* X_{hG} of X by G is the quotient space $(X \times EG)/G$ with respect to the diagonal action of G given by $g(x, e) = (gx, eg^{-1})$. There is a natural map $\alpha: X \rightarrow X_{hG}$ and the homotopy fibre of α is G .

Remark 1.1.24. Note that the ordinary colimit in the category of topological spaces of the diagram

$$G \times X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \\ \xrightarrow{p_2} \end{array} X$$

is the quotient space X/G , which explains the analogy between X/G and X_{nG} . We call X_{nG} the *naive homotopy quotient* because it can be viewed as a first approximation to the “true” homotopy quotient X_{hG} , see Definition 1.1.23. Indeed, the space X_{hG} is homotopy equivalent to the geometric realisation of the following simplicial bar construction:

$$\cdots \begin{array}{c} \dashrightarrow \\ \dashrightarrow \\ \dashrightarrow \end{array} G \times G \times G \times X \begin{array}{c} \xleftrightarrow{\varphi} \\ \xleftrightarrow{p_2} \\ \xleftrightarrow{p_2} \end{array} G \times G \times X \begin{array}{c} \xleftrightarrow{\varphi} \\ \xleftrightarrow{p_2} \\ \xleftrightarrow{p_2} \end{array} G \times X \begin{array}{c} \xleftrightarrow{\varphi} \\ \xleftrightarrow{p_2} \\ \xleftrightarrow{p_2} \end{array} X.$$

Here each face map is either the action map φ , the multiplication map of the group G , or the projection. The degeneracy maps are induced by the inclusion of the unit element into G , see [25, Section 7] for more details. Under mild conditions on X and G this simplicial space is good in the sense of G. Segal. Therefore by [38, Appendix A] its geometric realisation is weakly homotopy equivalent to the geometric realisation of the corresponding semisimplicial space

$$\cdots \dashrightarrow G \times G \times G \times X \begin{array}{c} \rightrightarrows \\ \rightrightarrows \\ \rightrightarrows \end{array} G \times G \times X \begin{array}{c} \rightrightarrows \\ \rightrightarrows \\ \rightrightarrows \end{array} G \times X \begin{array}{c} \rightrightarrows \\ \rightrightarrows \\ \rightrightarrows \end{array} X.$$

The geometric realisation is the homotopy colimit of this diagram, and the naive homotopy quotient is simply the homotopy colimit of the first stage.

Notation 1.1.25. Note that the homotopy colimit of a diagram naturally maps to the ordinary colimit of the same diagram. So there exists a natural map from X_{nG} to X/G . We will denote the following composition by γ'_X :

$$\gamma'_X: X_{nG} \xrightarrow{\cong} (X \times EG)_{nG} \rightarrow (X \times EG)/G = X_{hG}.$$

Moreover, note that the following diagram commutes:

$$(1.1.26) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{q_X} & X_{nG} \\ & \searrow \alpha & \downarrow \gamma'_X \\ & & X_{hG}. \end{array}$$

Example 1.1.27. If X is a one point space, then $X_{nG} \simeq \Sigma(G_+) \simeq \Sigma G \vee S^1$. Moreover, we claim that γ'_X is then nullhomotopic on the second component of the wedge, S^1 , and on the first component it is the map $\gamma: \Sigma G \rightarrow BG$ obtained from the natural homotopy equivalence $G \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega BG$ by the suspension-loop space adjunction.

This can be seen as follows. The space $pt_{nG} \simeq \Sigma G_+$ is the quotient of $G \times I$ by the equivalence relation that collapses $G \times \{0, 1\}$. Let $e_0 \in EG$ be a base point. Let $F: EG \times I \rightarrow EG$ be a homotopy such that $F(-, 0)$ is the constant map that takes everything to e_0 and $F(-, 1)$ is the identity. We will denote the free right action of G on EG by \cdot and use the square brackets denote the image of an element of EG in BG .

The space EG_{nG} is the quotient space of $G \times EG \times I$ by an equivalence relation \sim . Note that in the definition of the naive homotopy quotient we use left actions, so we need to transform the natural right action of G on EG into a left one as above in Definition 1.1.23, and \sim is generated by

$$(g_1, e, 0) \sim (g_2, e, 0) \sim (g_1, e \cdot g_1, 1) \sim (g_2, e \cdot g_2, 1), g_1, g_2 \in G, e \in EG.$$

The map $EG_{nG} \rightarrow BG$ is induced by the map $G \times EG \times I \rightarrow BG$ that takes $(g, e, t) \in G \times EG \times I$ to $[e]$. The homotopy equivalence $pt_{nG} \rightarrow EG_{nG}$ can be given explicitly as the map induced by

$$G \times I \ni (g, t) \mapsto (g, F(e_0 \cdot g, t), t).$$

The map γ'_{pt} is by definition the composition of this map with $EG_{nG} \rightarrow BG$. The resulting map $\Sigma G_+ \rightarrow BG$ is induced by

$$(1.1.28) \quad G \times I \ni (g, t) \mapsto [F(e_0 \cdot g, t)],$$

and it has the properties that we stated above.

We will now discuss vector bundles over the naive homotopy quotient X_{nG} . The category of vector bundles over the space X_{nG} is equivalent to the category of pairs (E, ψ) , where E is a vector bundle over X and $\psi: \varphi^* E \rightarrow p_2^* E$ is an isomorphism of vector bundles over $G \times X$.

Notation 1.1.29. Suppose that (E, ψ) is a G -equivariant vector bundle over X . Let E_{nG} be the vector bundle over X_{nG} constructed using the pair (E, ψ) .

Let us apply Remark 1.1.19 to the homotopy coequaliser of φ and $p_2: G \times X \rightarrow X$. The resulting long exact sequence reads:

$$(1.1.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H^{*-1}(X, R) &\xrightarrow{\varphi^* - p_2^*} H^{*-1}(G \times X, R) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^*(X_{nG}, R) \xrightarrow{q_X^*} \\ &\rightarrow H^*(X, R) \xrightarrow{\varphi^* - p_2^*} H^*(G \times X, R) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{*+1}(X_{nG}, R) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.1.31. Recall that E is a G -equivariant real R -oriented vector bundle of rank r over X and suppose that the Euler class of E is zero. A cohomology class $se_G(E) \in H^{r-1}(G \times X, R)$ is called a *secondary equivariant Euler class* of E if and only if

$$\delta(se_G(E)) = e(E_{nG}) \in H^r(X_{nG}, R).$$

Here E_{nG} is the vector bundle defined in Notation 1.1.29 and $\delta: H^{r-1}(G \times X, R) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^r(X_{nG}, R)$ is the boundary homomorphism from long exact sequence 1.1.30

Remark 1.1.32. A secondary equivariant Euler class of the vector bundle E is unique up to the indeterminacy submodule M_X^{r-1} . Indeed, by long exact sequence 1.1.30 the kernel of δ is precisely M_X^{r-1} .

Proposition 1.1.33. *Recall that E is a G -equivariant R -oriented real vector bundle of rank r . Suppose X is path-connected and $e(E) = 0$. Then for every R -oriented secondary Thom class $a_E \in H^{r-1}(\text{Tot}_0(E), R)$ and for every secondary equivariant Euler class $se_G(E) \in H^{r-1}(G \times X, R)$ the equality*

$$\partial\Phi^*(a_E) = -se_G(E)$$

holds up to the indeterminacy submodule M_X^{r-1} .

Proof. To prove the proposition it would suffice to show that $\delta(\partial\Phi^*(a_E)) = -\delta(se_G(E)) = -e(E_{nG})$. Let F_0 be the fibre of the projection map $p_0: \text{Tot}_0(E_{nG}) \rightarrow X_{nG}$ over a point $x \in \text{Im}(q_X)$. Let $i_x: F_0 \rightarrow \text{Tot}_0(E)$ denote the embedding of the fibre. Since the vector bundle E is R -oriented, there is a preferred R -generator $a_F \in H^{r-1}(F_0, R)$. The image of a_F under the transgression homomorphism in the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the bundle $\text{Tot}_0(E_{nG}) \rightarrow X_{nG}$ is $-e(E_{nG})$ (see Proposition 1.1.7).

Recall also that the transgression homomorphism can be constructed as explained e.g. in [26, Section 6.2], so $-e(E_{nG}) = (p_0^*)^{-1}(\delta(a_F))$, where δ and p_0^* are given in the diagram below

$$H^{r-1}(F_0, R) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^r(\text{Tot}_0(E_{nG}), F_0, R) \xleftarrow{p_0^*} H^r(X_{nG}, R).$$

Note that in our case there is a unique element of $H^r(X_{nG}, R)$ that goes to $\delta(a_F)$ under p_0^* . Notice that the topological space $\text{Tot}_0(E_{nG})$ is homeomorphic to the space $(\text{Tot}_0 E)_{nG}$. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$(1.1.34) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^{r-1}(F_0, R) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^r(\text{Tot}_0(E_{nG}), F_0, R) & \xleftarrow{p_0^*} & H^r(X_{nG}, \{x\}, R) \\ i_x^* \uparrow & & \uparrow & & j^* \uparrow \\ H^{r-1}(\text{Tot}_0(E), R) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^r(\text{Tot}_0(E_{nG}), \text{Tot}_0(E), R) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & H^r(X_{nG}, X, R) \\ \Phi^* - P_2^* \downarrow & & \cong \uparrow & & \cong \uparrow \\ H^{r-1}(G \times \text{Tot}_0(E), R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \tilde{H}^r(\Sigma(G \times \text{Tot}_0(E))_+, R) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \tilde{H}^r(\Sigma(G \times X)_+, R) \end{array}$$

In this diagram the lower left square is constructed as follows: given a homotopy coequaliser diagram

$$(1.1.35) \quad A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} B \xrightarrow{q} C \longrightarrow \Sigma A_+$$

as above we have the following commutative square:

$$(1.1.36) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^{r-1}(B, R) & \longrightarrow & H^r(C, B, R) \\ f^* - g^* \downarrow & & \cong \uparrow \\ H^{r-1}(A, R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \tilde{H}^r(\Sigma A_+, R) \end{array}$$

This gives the lower left square of 1.1.34 if we set $A = G \times \text{Tot}_0(E)$, $B = \text{Tot}_0(E)$, $C = \text{Tot}_0(E_{nG})$. Furthermore, diagrams 1.1.36 are functorial with respect to morphisms of homotopy coequaliser diagrams 1.1.35. The lower right square of 1.1.34 is obtained from the map of the right arrows of diagrams 1.1.36 induced by

$$(1.1.37) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} G \times X & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \\ \xrightarrow{p_2} \end{array} & X & \xrightarrow{q} & X_{nG} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma(G \times X)_+ \\ \text{id} \times p_0 \uparrow & & p_0 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ G \times \text{Tot}_0(E) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \\ \xrightarrow{P_2} \end{array} & \text{Tot}_0(E) & \xrightarrow{q} & \text{Tot}_0(E_{nG}) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma(G \times \text{Tot}_0(E))_+ \end{array}$$

Now let us apply diagram 1.1.34 to our situation. Since $e(E) = 0$, there exists an R -oriented secondary Euler class $a_E \in H^{r-1}(\text{Tot}_0(E), R)$ such that $i_x^*(a_E) = a_F$, and so $(p_0^*)^{-1} \circ \delta \circ i_x^*$ applied to a_E is $-e(E_{nG})$.

Let us now see what happens to a_E if we take it along a different path in 1.1.34. Recall that $\Phi^* - P_2^*$ decomposes as $(\text{id} \times p_0)^* \circ \partial\Phi^*$. The following diagram is the map of the bottom arrows of diagrams 1.1.36 induced by 1.1.37.

$$(1.1.38) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^{r-1}(G \times \text{Tot}_0(E), R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \tilde{H}^r(\Sigma(G \times \text{Tot}_0(E))_+, R) \\ \text{id} \times p_0^* \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^{r-1}(G \times X, R) & \xrightarrow[\Sigma]{\cong} & \tilde{H}^r(\Sigma(G \times X)_+, R) \end{array}$$

It follows from this diagram that in 1.1.34, the image of a_E in $\tilde{H}^r(\Sigma(G \times \text{Tot}_0(E))_+, R)$ is the same as the image of $\Sigma(\partial\Phi^*(a_E)) \in \tilde{H}^r(\Sigma(G \times X)_+, R)$ where Σ denotes the bottom arrow of 1.1.38. Finally, note that the composition of Σ and the two right arrows of 1.1.34 is simply the homomorphism δ from 1.1.30. We can now conclude that $\delta(\partial\Phi^*(a_E)) = -e(E_{nG})$, which is what we wanted to prove. \square

1.2. Calculating secondary equivariant Euler classes. Here we will describe a method to calculate the cohomology class $\partial\Phi^*(a_E)$. In this subsection G is a path-connected topological group that acts continuously on a path-connected topological space X , and E is an R -oriented G -equivariant real vector bundle over X of rank r where R is a commutative ring with identity; we will assume that the action of G preserves the R -orientation of E . Consider the fibre sequence

$$(1.2.1) \quad X \xrightarrow{\alpha} X_{hG} \xrightarrow{\beta} BG.$$

Notation 1.2.2. We set $I^*(X, R)$ to be the kernel of the natural map $\alpha^* : H^*(X_{hG}, R) \rightarrow H^*(X, R)$.

We will now describe one of our main constructions. It follows from commutative diagram 1.1.26 that $(\gamma'_X)^*(I^*(X, R)) \subset \ker(q_X^*) \subset H^*(X_{nG}, R)$. So using long exact sequence 1.1.30 we obtain $(\gamma'_X)^*(I^*(X, R)) \subset \delta(H^{*-1}(G \times X, R))$, where δ is the connecting homomorphism in 1.1.30. Recall that M_X^* denotes the indeterminacy submodule (Definition 1.1.16) and M_X^* is precisely the kernel of δ . This motivates the following definition.

Definition 1.2.3. We define a homomorphism

$$S : I^*(X, R) \rightarrow H^{*-1}(G \times X, R)/M_X^{*-1}$$

as follows. For an $x \in I^*(X, R)$ we set $S(x)$ to be the element of $H^{*-1}(G \times X, R)/M_X^{*-1}$ that goes to $(\gamma'_X)^*(x) \in H^*(X_{nG}, R)$ under the homomorphism induced by δ .

The homomorphism S will be a key ingredient in our applications. The first property of S that we will need is that both its source and target have natural structures of (right) $H^*(X_{hG}, R)$ -modules which make S an $H^*(X_{hG}, R)$ -linear map. To prove this we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2.4. *Suppose*

$$(1.2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{q} & C \\ & \xrightarrow{g} & & & \\ & & \downarrow h & \swarrow i & \\ & & Z & & \end{array}$$

is a homotopy commutative diagram of topological spaces and continuous maps with the top row being a homotopy coequaliser diagram, and such that $h \circ f = h \circ g$. We make $H^(A, R)$, $H^*(B, R)$ and $H^*(C, R)$ into right graded $H^*(Z, R)$ -modules using the maps $h \circ f = h \circ g$, h and i respectively. Then all maps in long exact sequence 1.1.20 will be maps of right $H^*(Z, R)$ -modules.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma in the case $Z = C$, $i = \text{id}$, $h = q$, so let us assume this. All maps in the long exact cohomology sequence of an excisive couple (A_1, A_2) , $A_1 \supset A_2$ are maps of right $H^*(A_1, R)$ -modules, see e.g. [45, Proposition 13.56, part (iii)]. Sequence 1.1.20 is the long exact sequence of the couple (C, B) . By the definition of a homotopy coequaliser there is a natural map $F : A \times I \rightarrow C$ that takes $A \times \{0, 1\}$ to B . Let $\bar{F} : \Sigma A_+ \rightarrow C/B$ be the resulting map of quotient spaces. Using the commutative diagram

$$(1.2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} B & \xrightarrow{\subset} & C & \longrightarrow & C/B \\ f \sqcup g \uparrow & & F \uparrow & & \bar{F} \uparrow \cong \\ A \times \{0, 1\} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & A \times I & \longrightarrow & \Sigma A_+ \end{array}$$

we see that the structure of a right $H^*(C, R)$ -module on $H^*(A, R)$ induced by $h \circ f = h \circ g$ is the same as the one induced by

$$H^*(A, R) \cong \tilde{H}^{*+1}(\Sigma A_+, R) \cong \tilde{H}^{*+1}(C/B, R).$$

In more detail, let $\Sigma : H^*(A, R) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{*+1}(\Sigma A_+, R)$ denote the suspension isomorphism. Since the lower row of diagram 1.2.6 induces a long exact sequence of right $H^*(A, R)$ -modules, we conclude that Σ is a map of right $H^*(A, R)$ -modules too. To compare the two $H^*(C, R)$ -module structures on $H^*(A, R)$ we need to take elements $a \in H^*(A, R)$, $c \in H^*(C, R)$ and compare $aF^*(c)$ with

$$\Sigma^{-1} \left(\bar{F}^* \left(((\bar{F}^*)^{-1}(\Sigma a))c \right) \right) = \Sigma^{-1}((\Sigma a)F^*(c)).$$

The resulting elements of $H^*(A, R)$ coincide because Σ is $H^*(A, R)$ -linear. \square

The following diagram homotopy commutes:

$$(1.2.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} G \times X & \xrightarrow[\varphi]{p_2} & X & \xrightarrow{q_X} & X_{nG} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma(G \times X)_+ \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & \swarrow \gamma'_X & & & \\ & & X_{hG} & & & & \end{array}$$

(see Notation 1.1.25). We introduce $H^*(X_{hG}, R)$ -module structures on $H^*(G \times X, R)$, $H^*(X, R)$, and $H^*(X_{nG}, R)$ as in Lemma 1.2.4.

Corollary 1.2.8. *The homomorphism S is a homomorphism of $H^*(X_{hG}, R)$ -modules. Moreover, S is a natural transformation of functors from the category of topological G -spaces and G -equivariant maps to the category of graded abelian groups.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.2.4 all maps in sequence 1.1.30 are maps of $H^*(X_{hG}, R)$ -modules, and hence so is S . Finally, the last statement of the corollary, namely that S is a natural transformation, follows from the fact that up to homotopy all maps in diagram 1.2.7 are. \square

Definition 1.2.9. The homotopy quotient $(\text{Tot } E)_{hG}$ is the total space of a vector bundle over the quotient X_{hG} ; we will denote this bundle E_{hG} . We define the *equivariant Euler class* $e_G(E)$ of E as follows:

$$e_G(E) = e(E_{hG}) \in H^*(X_{hG}, R).$$

Proposition 1.2.10. *Suppose that $e(E) = 0$, $r = \text{rk}(E)$. Then $e_G(E) \in I^r(X, R)$ and for every secondary equivariant Euler class $se_G(E) \in H^{r-1}(G \times X, R)$ the image of $se_G(E)$ in $H^{r-1}(G \times X, R)/M_X^{r-1}$ is $S(e_G(E))$.*

Proof. We need to show that the image of $S(e_G(E))$ in $H^r(X_{nG}, R)$ is $e(E_{nG})$, where E_{nG} is the vector bundle defined in Notation 1.1.29. By Definition 1.2.3, to do this it would suffice to prove that $(\gamma'_X)^*(e_G(E)) = e(E_{nG})$, which follows from the fact that $(\gamma'_X)^*(E_{hG}) \cong E_{nG}$ as vector bundles over X_{nG} . \square

Notation 1.2.11. We set $I_1^*(X, R)$ to be the ideal generated by the image of the abelian group $\tilde{H}^*(BG, R)$ under the homomorphism β^* .

Let us also define the following homomorphism

$$k: \tilde{H}^*(BG, R) \otimes H^*(X_{hG}, R) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^*(X_{hG}, R), \\ x \otimes y \mapsto \beta^*(x) \smile y.$$

The image of k is precisely the ideal $I_1^*(X, R)$.

Notation 1.2.12. Let $\gamma: \Sigma G \rightarrow BG$ be the adjoint of the homotopy equivalence $G \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega BG$, see Example 1.1.27. Let $\bar{\gamma}$ be the homomorphism

$$H^*(BG, R) \xrightarrow{\bar{\gamma}^*} H^*(\Sigma G, R) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^*(\Sigma G_+, R) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{*-1}(G, R)$$

where the arrow in the middle is induced by $G_+ \rightarrow G$. Then one can define a homomorphism

$$\tilde{S}: \tilde{H}^p(BG, R) \otimes H^q(X_{hG}, R) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{p+q-1}(G \times X, R)$$

by setting $x \otimes y \mapsto \bar{\gamma}(x) \times \alpha^*(y)$.

Remark 1.2.13. The homomorphism $\bar{\gamma}$ is an example of the *cohomology suspension* in the special case of the fibre bundle $G \rightarrow EG \rightarrow BG$, [41, Chapitre I, n° 3], [26, §6.2]. In particular, $\bar{\gamma}: H^p(BG, R) \rightarrow H^{p-1}(G, R)$ is the right inverse of the transgression $d_p: E_p^{0,p-1} \rightarrow E_p^{p,0}$ in the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of this fibre bundle, i.e. the following diagram commutes [26, Proposition 6.10]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^p(BG, R) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\gamma}} & H^{p-1}(G, R) \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ E_p^{p,0} & \xleftarrow[\cong]{d_p} & E_p^{0,p-1}. \end{array}$$

We now prove the main statement about the homomorphism S , which will allow us to compute it.

Proposition 1.2.14. *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{H}^*(BG, R) \otimes H^*(X_{hG}, R) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{S}} & H^{*-1}(G \times X, R) \\ \downarrow k & & \downarrow \\ I^*(X, R) & \xrightarrow{S} & H^{*-1}(G \times X, R)/M_X^{*-1}. \end{array}$$

Proof. Since all homomorphisms in the diagram are maps of $H^*(X_{hG}, R)$ -modules, in order to prove the proposition it would suffice to show that for every $x \in \tilde{H}^*(BG, R)$ the image of $\tilde{S}(x \otimes 1)$ in $H^{*-1}(G \times X, R)/M_X^{*-1}$ is

$$S(k(x \otimes 1)) = S(\beta^*(x)).$$

This amounts to checking that

$$(1.2.15) \quad \delta(\tilde{S}(x \otimes 1)) = (\gamma'_X)^*(\beta^*(x))$$

for all $x \in \tilde{H}^*(BG, R)$, see Definition 1.2.3.

Since the map $\gamma'_X: X_{nG} \rightarrow X_{hG}$ is natural with respect to X , we get the homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{nG} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & pt_{nG} \\ \downarrow \gamma'_X & & \downarrow \gamma'_{pt} \\ X_{hG} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & BG \end{array}$$

Recall that the space pt_{nG} is homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma(G_+) \simeq S^1 \vee \Sigma G$; the map $\gamma'_{pt}: pt_{nG} \rightarrow BG$ was described in Example 1.1.27. We denote the resulting map $\Sigma G_+ \rightarrow BG$ by γ' dropping the subscript.

Let $\Delta: X_{nG} \rightarrow \Sigma(G \times X)_+$ be the connecting morphism 1.1.21 in the Puppe sequence for the coequaliser diagram of φ and p_2 (see Definition 1.1.22) and let $p_1: G \times X \rightarrow G$ be the projection map. Recall that the induced homomorphism

$$H^{*-1}(G \times X, R) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{H}^*(\Sigma(G \times X)_+, R) \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} H^*(X_{nG}, R)$$

coincides with the connecting homomorphism δ in exact sequence 1.1.30. Furthermore, using 1.2 we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{H}^*(\Sigma(G \times X)_+, R) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & H^{*-1}(G \times X, R) \\ & \swarrow \Delta^* & \uparrow (\Sigma p_1)^* & & \uparrow p_1^* \\ H^*(X_{nG}, R) & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon^*} & \tilde{H}^*(\Sigma G_+, R) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & H^{*-1}(G, R) \\ (\gamma'_X)^* \uparrow & & \uparrow (\gamma')^* & \nearrow \bar{\gamma} & \\ H^*(X_{hG}, R) & \xleftarrow{\beta^*} & \tilde{H}^*(BG, R) & & \end{array}$$

Here the upper left triangle commutes, because the map Δ is natural with respect to X . We can now apply the diagram to an $x \in \tilde{H}^*(BG, R)$ and get

$$\delta(\tilde{S}(x \otimes 1)) = \delta(\bar{\gamma}(x) \times 1) = (\gamma'_X)^*(\beta^*(x)).$$

□

Notation 1.2.16. Let $S_1: I_1^*(X, R) \rightarrow H^{*-1}(G \times X, R)/M_X^{*-1}$ be the restriction of S to $I_1^*(X, R)$.

Remark 1.2.17. For our main results we need to calculate the homomorphism S . There does not seem to be an easy way to do this in general, but Proposition 1.2.14 gives us an explicit formula for S_1 . Indeed, if an element x belongs to $I_1^*(X, R)$, then $x = \sum \beta^*(a_i)b_i$ with $a_i \in \tilde{H}^*(BG, R)$ and $b_i \in H^*(X_{hG}, R)$, so using Proposition 1.2.14 we conclude that $S(x) = S_1(x)$ is the image of

$$(1.2.18) \quad \tilde{S}\left(\sum a_i \otimes b_i\right) = \sum \bar{\gamma}(a_i) \times \alpha^*(b_i) \in H^{*-1}(G \times X, R).$$

in $H^{*-1}(G \times X, R)/M_X^{*-1}$.

Moreover, in Lemma 1.3.1 we will see that if X is a smooth compact complex algebraic variety, the ideals $I_1^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and $I^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ coincide.

We will now discuss functorial properties of S . As we already saw in Corollary 1.2.8, the homomorphism $S: I^*(X, R) \rightarrow H^{*-1}(G \times X, R)/M_X^{*-1}$ is natural with respect to G -equivariant morphisms of topological spaces. More generally, if $g: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is a continuous homomorphism of topological groups, $X_i, i = 1, 2$ is a G_i -space, and $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a continuous map that is equivariant with respect to g , then the following diagram commutes.

$$(1.2.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} I^*(X_2, R) & \xrightarrow{S} & H^{*-1}(G_2 \times X_2, R)/M_{X_2}^{*-1} \\ \downarrow f^* & & \downarrow (g \times f)^* \\ I^*(X_1, R) & \xrightarrow{S} & H^{*-1}(G_1 \times X_1, R)/M_{X_1}^{*-1}. \end{array}$$

Observe that the homomorphism S_1 is also natural, i.e. if one replaces in the diagram above S with S_1 and I with I_1 , the resulting diagram will commute.

We also note the following property of the homomorphism S .

Proposition 1.2.20. *If $x \in I^*(X, R)^2 \subset H^*(X_{hG}, R)$, then $S(x) = 0$.*

Proof. Let y, z be elements of $I^*(X, R)$. It is enough to show that $(\gamma'_X)^*(y \smile z) = 0$. But $(\gamma'_X)^*(y)$ and $(\gamma'_X)^*(z)$ are in the image of δ in exact sequence 1.1.30. The product of any two elements in the image of δ is zero, and the proposition follows. \square

1.3. Smooth compact complex algebraic varieties. In this subsection X will be a smooth compact complex algebraic variety and G will be a connected complex affine group that acts algebraically on X . To begin with, we show that under these assumptions the homomorphisms S and S_1 coincide at least rationally.

Lemma 1.3.1. *The Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the fibration $X \rightarrow X_{hG} \rightarrow BG$ degenerates at E_2 over \mathbb{Q} . As a consequence $I^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) = I_1^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \tilde{H}^*(BG, \mathbb{Q})$, and so in rational cohomology we have $S = S_1$.*

Proof. The group G is a closed subgroup of some $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$, so up to homotopy equivalence BG is a direct limit $\varinjlim B_i$, where each B_i is a smooth complex algebraic variety. The weight filtration of $H^p(B_i, \mathbb{Q})$ starts in weight p , i.e. $W_{p-1}(H^p(B_i, \mathbb{Q})) = 0$, and $H^q(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is pure of weight q . The degeneration of the spectral sequence now follows from Hodge theory, cf. [9]. \square

Lemma 1.3.2. *Recall that above we set $\varphi: G \times X \rightarrow X$ to be the G -action morphism. The homomorphisms $\varphi^*, p_2^*: H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Q})$ coincide.*

Proof. The lemma follows from these observations: first, the group $H^p(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is pure of weight p , whereas $W_q(H^q(G, \mathbb{Q})) = 0$ unless $q = 0$. So the image of both φ^* and p_2^* is inside $H^0(G, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Secondly, both φ and p_2 when composed with the map $x \mapsto (e, x)$ from X to $G \times X$ give the identity of X . \square

Corollary 1.3.3. *The integral indeterminacy submodule $M_X^* \subset H^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Z})$ consists of torsion elements.*

Proof. It follows from the definition of M_X^* that $M_X^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is the image of the homomorphism

$$\varphi^* - p_2^*: H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Q}),$$

which is zero by Lemma 1.3.2. \square

Corollary 1.3.4. *Let E be a G -equivariant R -oriented vector bundle over X with $e(E) = 0$. Then for every secondary equivariant Euler class $se_G(E)$ the following identity holds in $H^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Q})$:*

$$se_G(E) = S(e_G(E)) = S_1(e_G(E)).$$

Proof. This follows from Propositions 1.2.10 and 1.3.1. \square

Corollary 1.3.5. *The following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 I^*(X, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & I^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) & \xleftarrow{=} & I_1^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \\
 \downarrow S & & \downarrow S & & \downarrow S_1 \\
 H_{\text{fr}}^{*-1}(G \times X, \mathbb{Z}) & \longleftarrow & H^{*-1}(G \times X, \mathbb{Q}) & \xleftarrow{=} & H^{*-1}(G \times X, \mathbb{Q}).
 \end{array}$$

□

Remark 1.3.6. It follows from Remark 1.2.13 that the image of $\bar{\gamma}$ in $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ is the graded vector space $P_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ of the primitive elements of $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$. This vector space is concentrated in odd degrees and freely generates $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ as a graded commutative \mathbb{Q} -algebra. It will be important for us in the sequel that the integral analogue of this is also true: the graded group $P^* = P_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \cap H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ of the primitive elements of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ freely generates $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ as a graded commutative ring, see e.g. [28, Chapter VII, Theorem 1.22]. Note that P^* is a direct summand of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ and that $\text{rk } P^{2l-1} = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} P_{\mathbb{Q}}^{2l-1}$ is the number of free polynomial generators of $H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q})$ of degree $2l$.

We also note for the sequel that if G is complex affine, then every element of $P_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ has weight equal the degree plus 1; so every decomposable element of $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ has weight equal the degree plus 2 or greater.

Remark 1.3.7. It follows from the previous remark, Lemma 1.3.1 and formula 1.2.18 that the image of S in $H^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Q})/M_X^* = H^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Q})$ is $P_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \otimes H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

Remark 1.3.8. By Remark 1.3.6, over the rationals the kernel of $\bar{\gamma}$ in positive degrees is the space of decomposable elements of $\tilde{H}^*(BG, \mathbb{Q})$. One can prove that the kernel of $S : I(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Q})$ is $(I(X, \mathbb{Q}))^2 = (I_1(X, \mathbb{Q}))^2$. We will not use the latter statement in the sequel.

2. DISCRIMINANT COMPLEMENTS AND ORBIT MAPS

In this section we state and prove our main results. As above, let G be a topological group. Given a topological G -space X and a G -equivariant oriented vector bundle E over X with Euler class 0, we use any secondary Thom class of E to define the cohomology classes $\text{Lk}^{\Sigma}(y) \in H^*(C^0(X, E)_0, \mathbb{Z})$ (see Definition 2.1.5); here y is an arbitrary class $\in H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and $C^0(X, E)_0$ denotes the space of nowhere vanishing continuous sections of E . Furthermore, we explain how to calculate $O^*(\text{Lk}^{\Sigma}(y)) \in H^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ in terms of the equivariant Euler class of E (Corollaries 2.1.31 and 2.1.32).

As an application, suppose that X is a smooth complex analytic variety, E is holomorphic and G acts on X and E by biholomorphic transformations; now we no longer assume $e(E) = 0$. In Section 2.2 we define the space $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E) \subset \Gamma(X, E)$ of regular sections of E and use our results from Section 2.1 to define the classes $\text{Lk}^{\text{Sing}}(y) \in H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E), \mathbb{Z})$ (Definition 2.2.6) and calculate their pullbacks under the orbit map $O^* : H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)) \rightarrow H^*(G)$ (Theorem 2.2.11 and Corollary 2.2.12). In Propositions 2.1.11 and 2.2.8 we explain the geometric motivation behind $\text{Lk}^{\Sigma}(y)$ and $\text{Lk}^{\text{Sing}}(y)$, and in Propositions 2.1.14 and 2.2.10 we relate these classes to the weight filtration.

2.1. Linking class homomorphism: spaces of nowhere vanishing sections. Unless stated otherwise, in Section 2.1 X is a topological space and E is a real vector bundle over X of rank r .

Notation 2.1.1. We set $C^0(X, E)$ to be the vector space of continuous sections of E . Let V be a subset of $C^0(X, E)$ and set

$$\Sigma_V(E) = \{s \in V \mid \text{there is an } x \in X \text{ such that } s(x) = 0\}.$$

Let V_0 be the complement of $\Sigma_V(E)$ in V . We define the *evaluation map*

$$ev_V : V_0 \times X \rightarrow \text{Tot}_0(E), \quad ev_V(s, x) = s(x).$$

In the sequel we assume that V has been equipped with a topology that makes the evaluation map ev_V continuous.

Example 2.1.2. If X is locally compact, then we can take $V = C^0(X, E)$ with the compact-open topology. (If X is not assumed locally compact, then ev_V is still continuous if one replaces all topological spaces involved with their compactly generated versions.)

Example 2.1.3. Let X be a smooth manifold and let E be a smooth vector bundle over X . Then one can take $V =$ the vector space of smooth sections $C^\infty(X, E)$ with the Whitney topology.

Example 2.1.4. Let X be a compact complex analytic variety and let E be a holomorphic vector bundle over X . Then one can take $V =$ the space of holomorphic sections $\Gamma(X, E)$.

In the rest of Section 2.1 we suppose that E is \mathbb{Z} -oriented and the Euler class $e(E) \in H^r(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is zero. Then there exists an oriented secondary Thom class $a_E \in H^*(\text{Tot}_0, \mathbb{Z})$ (Definition 1.1.1).

Definition 2.1.5. We define the *linking class homomorphism* Lk_V^Σ using the following rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lk}_V^\Sigma: H_p(X, \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow H^{r-p-1}(V_0, \mathbb{Z}), \\ \text{Lk}_V^\Sigma(y) &= -(ev_V^*(a_E))/y. \end{aligned}$$

Here $/y: H^{r-1}(V_0 \times X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{r-p-1}(V_0, \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the slant product homomorphism with the homology class $y \in H_p(X, \mathbb{Z})$; see e.g. [44, Chapter 6, §1] for details. Elements of the image of Lk_V^Σ will be called *linking classes*.

Remark 2.1.6. We use the minus sign here so as to eliminate signs from the formula in our main theorem 2.2.11.

Proposition 2.1.7. *If $p \neq r - 1$, then the homomorphism Lk_V^Σ is well defined, i.e. it does not depend on the choice of the oriented secondary Thom class.*

Proof. Indeed, by Proposition 1.1.6 any two oriented secondary Thom classes a_E and a'_E differ by $p_0^*(b) \in H^{r-1}(\text{Tot}_0(E))$ for some $b \in H^{r-1}(X)$ where $p_0: \text{Tot}_0(E) \rightarrow X$ is the projection map. Therefore,

$$ev_V^*(a_E) - ev_V^*(a'_E) \in \text{Im } p_2^* \subset H^{r-1}(V_0 \times X).$$

Here $p_2: V_0 \times X \rightarrow X$ is the projection map. But if $y \in H_p(X)$ and $p \neq r - 1$, then $(\text{Im } p_2^*)/y = 0$. \square

Here are some basic properties of the linking class homomorphism.

Proposition 2.1.8. *Let $f: X' \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map, and let E be a vector bundle over X with $e(E) = 0$. We let $C^0 f: C^0(X, E) \rightarrow C^0(X', f^*E)$ be the induced linear map between the vector spaces of global sections. Let $V \subset C^0(X, E)$ and $V' \subset C^0(X', f^*E)$ be subsets topologised so that ev_V and $ev_{V'}$ are continuous, $C^0 f(V) \subset V'$ and $C^0 f$ is continuous on V . Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_p(X', \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Lk}_{V'}^\Sigma} & H^{r-p-1}(V'_0, \mathbb{Z}) \\ f_* \downarrow & & \downarrow (C^0 f)^* \\ H_p(X, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma} & H^{r-p-1}(V_0, \mathbb{Z}). \end{array}$$

Proof. We use the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X' \times V'_0 & \xrightarrow{ev_{V'}} & \text{Tot}_0(E') \\ & \text{id} \times C^0 f \nearrow & & & \downarrow \\ X' \times V_0 & & & & \\ & f \times \text{id} \searrow & X \times V_0 & \xrightarrow{ev_V} & \text{Tot}_0(E). \end{array}$$

\square

Corollary 2.1.9. *Let E be a vector bundle over X with $e(E) = 0$, and let $V \subset V'$ be subsets of $C^0(X, E)$ topologised so that $ev_V, ev_{V'}$ and the inclusion $i: V \rightarrow V'$ are continuous. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_p(X, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Lk}_{V'}^\Sigma} & H^{r-p-1}(V'_0, \mathbb{Z}) \\ & \searrow \text{Lk}_V^\Sigma & \downarrow i^* \\ & & H^{r-p-1}(V_0, \mathbb{Z}). \end{array}$$

□

We will now give a geometric interpretation for the classes Lk_V^Σ . To do this we need a technical result, Proposition 2.1.10.

If M is an oriented (but not necessarily compact) topological manifold of dimension m without boundary, then the *Poincaré-Lefschetz duality* for M is the isomorphism $H^*(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{m-*}^{BM}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ given by the \frown -product with the fundamental class $[M] \in H_m^{BM}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. If $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a (closed) sub-polyhedron, then by composing the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality for $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus Y$ with the connecting homomorphism $H_*^{BM}(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus Y, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{*-1}^{BM}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ in the Borel-Moore homology long exact sequence of the couple (\mathbb{R}^m, Y) we get the *Alexander duality isomorphism* $\tilde{H}^*(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{m-*}^{BM}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let E be an oriented vector bundle with $e(E) = 0$ over an oriented smooth closed manifold X of dimension m , and let V be a finite-dimensional vector subspace of $C^\infty(X, E)$ that *generates* E at each point of X , i.e. the evaluation map $V \times X \rightarrow \text{Tot}(E)$ is surjective. In this case the following subset of $V \times X$ (cf. Proposition 3.2.17)

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_V(E) = \{(s, x) \in V \times X \mid s(x) = 0\}$$

is an oriented vector bundle over X ; let n be the rank of this bundle.

Let $p_X : V \times X \rightarrow X$ and $p_V : V \times X \rightarrow V$ be the projections. Under our assumptions there is a fundamental class $[\tilde{\Sigma}_V(E)] \in H_{m+n}^{BM}(\tilde{\Sigma}_V(E), \mathbb{Z})$, and the *Thom isomorphism* $u : H_*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{*+n}^{BM}(\tilde{\Sigma}_V(E), \mathbb{Z})$ is given by $u(y) = p_X^*(Dy) \frown [\tilde{\Sigma}_V(E)]$ where Dy is the Poincaré dual cohomology class of y .

There are two maps $H_*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{*+n}^{BM}(\Sigma_V(E), \mathbb{Z})$. One is given by

$$y \mapsto (p_V)_*(u(y)) = (p_V)_*(p_X^*(Dy) \frown [\tilde{\Sigma}_V(E)]),$$

and the other map is

$$y \mapsto D^A(ev(a_E)/y)$$

where $D^A : \tilde{H}^*(V_0, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\dim V - * - 1}^{BM}(\Sigma_V(E), \mathbb{Z})$ is the Alexander duality isomorphism.

Proposition 2.1.10. *In each degree these two maps coincide up to sign.*

Proof. We will use ∂ to denote the connecting homomorphisms in the long exact sequences of the Borel-Moore homology groups. The evaluation map

$$ev : V_0 \times X \rightarrow \text{Tot}_0(E)$$

extends to $(V \times X) \setminus \tilde{\Sigma}_V(E)$. Set $v_E \in H_*^{BM}((V \times X) \setminus \tilde{\Sigma}_V(E), \mathbb{Z})$ to be the Poincaré-Lefschetz dual of $ev^*(a_E)$. We have $\partial(v_E) = [\tilde{\Sigma}_V(E)]$ in the Borel-Moore long exact sequence of the couple $(V \times X, \tilde{\Sigma}_V(E))$. This is a long exact sequence of $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ -modules, so for every $z \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ we have $\partial(p_X^*(z) \frown v_E) = p_X^*(z) \frown [\tilde{\Sigma}_V(E)]$. The map $p_V : (V \times X, \tilde{\Sigma}_V(E)) \rightarrow (V, \Sigma_V(E))$ is proper, so we have

$$\partial((p_V)_*(p_X^*(z) \frown v_E)) = (p_V)_*(p_X^*(z) \frown [\tilde{\Sigma}_V(E)]),$$

which implies that the Alexander dual $\in H^*(V_0, \mathbb{Z})$ of $(p_V)_*(p_X^*(z) \frown [\tilde{\Sigma}_V(E)])$ is the Poincaré-Lefschetz dual of $(p_V)_*(p_X^*(z) \frown v_E)$. Let us give another expression for the latter class.

Set v'_E to be the restriction of v_E to $V_0 \times X$. We have then $v'_E = ev^*(a_E) \frown ([V_0] \times [X])$, so

$$p_X^*(z) \frown v'_E = (p_X^*(z) \frown ev^*(a_E)) \frown ([V_0] \times [X]) = \pm ev^*(a_E) \frown ([V_0] \times y)$$

where $y = z \frown [X]$ is the Poincaré dual of z . Using e.g. [45, Proposition 13.61, part (vi)] we get

$$(p_V)_*(p_X^*(z) \frown v_E) = (p_V)_*(p_X^*(z) \frown v'_E) = \pm(ev^*(a_E)/y) \frown [V_0],$$

which is \pm the Poincaré-Lefschetz dual of $ev^*(a_E)/y$. □

For the next two propositions we suppose that X is a smooth compact complex algebraic variety of (complex) dimension d and E is a globally generated holomorphic vector bundle of (complex) rank $r > d$. Then $e(E) = 0$, and we set $V = \Gamma(X, E) \subset C^0(X, E)$ and $N = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$. By our assumptions $\tilde{\Sigma}_V(E) \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle of rank $N - r$. We will now explain how the linking class homomorphism is related to actual linking numbers.

Suppose $y \in H_{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is represented by an irreducible subvariety $Y \subset X$ of dimension p . Let $\Sigma_V^Y(E) \subset \Sigma_V(E)$ denote the irreducible subvariety formed by all sections that vanish at some point of Y . There exists a fundamental class $[\Sigma_V^Y(E)]$ in the Borel-Moore homology of $\Sigma_V(E)$, and by the Alexander duality this class gives us a cohomology class $\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma \in H^*(\Gamma(X, E)_0)$ that can be viewed as the “linking number class of $\Sigma_V^Y(E)$ ”, as the value of Lk_V^Σ on a cycle $c \subset V_0$ is the linking number of c and $\Sigma_V^Y(E)$ inside V .

Proposition 2.1.11. *Set $\tilde{\Sigma}_V^Y(E) = p_X^{-1}(Y) \subset \tilde{\Sigma}_V(E)$. Suppose that $p_V: \tilde{\Sigma}_V^Y(E) \rightarrow \Sigma_V^Y(E)$ is generically finite of degree k . Then $\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma(y) = -k \text{Lk}_V^\Sigma$.*

Proof. Note that $u(y)$ is represented by $\tilde{\Sigma}_V^Y(E)$. Hence $(p_V)_*(u(y)) = (p_V)_*[\tilde{\Sigma}_V^Y(E)]$ is the k times the fundamental class of the set-theoretical image $p_V(\tilde{\Sigma}_V^Y(E)) = \Sigma_V^Y(E) \subset \Sigma_V(E)$. \square

Remark 2.1.12. Let $Z(s) \subset X$ be the zero locus of $s \in C^0(X, E)$. The number k of Proposition 2.1.11 can be interpreted as $|Z(s) \cap Y|$ for a general section $s \in \Sigma_V(E)$. This number is finite if and only if $\langle c_p(E), [Y] \rangle \neq 0$, see Proposition 3.2.17.

Remark 2.1.13. If $p_V: \tilde{\Sigma}_V^Y(E) \rightarrow \Sigma_V^Y(E)$ is not generically finite then $(p_V)_*(u(y)) = 0$ and $\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma(y) = 0$.

Proposition 2.1.10 also allows one to interpret the linking class homomorphism in terms of Hodge theory. The topological space $\Gamma(X, E)_0$ is a smooth complex algebraic variety, so its cohomology groups $H^*(\Gamma(X, E)_0, \mathbb{Q})$ carry a mixed Hodge structure. As $\Gamma(X, E)_0$ is an open subset of an affine space, we have $W_p H^p(\Gamma(X, E)_0, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for all $p > 0$, and it is natural to ask what the next term $W_{p+1} H^p(\Gamma(X, E)_0, \mathbb{Q})$ of the weight filtration looks like.

Proposition 2.1.14. *If $2r - p - 1 > 0$, then the image $\text{Im}(\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma)$ of the linking class homomorphism*

$$\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma: H_p(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{2r-p-1}(\Gamma(X, E)_0, \mathbb{Q})$$

coincides with $W_{2r-p} H^{2r-p-1}(\Gamma(X, E)_0, \mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. Set $p' = p + 2N - 2r$. Since the Alexander duality isomorphism is compatible with mixed Hodge structures (up to Tate twists), it is enough to prove that the image of $(p_V)_* \circ u$ coincides with $W_{-p'} H_{p'}^{BM}(\Sigma_V(E), \mathbb{Q})$. Indeed, $u: H_p(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{p'}^{BM}(\tilde{\Sigma}_V(E))(r - N)$ is an isomorphism of Hodge structures of pure weight $-p$, and $(p_V)_*: H_{p'}^{BM}(\tilde{\Sigma}_V(E)) \rightarrow H_{p'}^{BM}(\Sigma_V(E))$ is a surjection on $W_{-p'}$, which follows e.g. by [34, Theorem 5.41]. \square

In the rest of Section 2.1, G will be a topological group acting continuously on X , and the vector bundle E will be assumed G -equivariant; recall that we also assume $e(E) = 0$. We wish to calculate the pullbacks of the cohomology classes $\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma(y)$ under orbit maps. As in Section 1, we let $p_2: G \times X \rightarrow X$ and $P_2: G \times \text{Tot}_0(E) \rightarrow \text{Tot}_0(E)$ be the projections, and we denote the G -actions on X and $\text{Tot}(E)$ by $\varphi: G \times X \rightarrow X$ and $\Phi: G \times \text{Tot}(E) \rightarrow \text{Tot}(E)$ respectively. Let V be a G -stable vector subspace of $C^0(X, E)$.

Example 2.1.15. If G, X, E and the actions of G on X and E are complex analytic, and X is compact, then one can take $V =$ the vector space $\Gamma(X, E)$ of holomorphic sections.

Suppose that V_0 is non-empty and take a section $s \in V_0$. Recall that the *orbit map* is the map $O: G \rightarrow V_0$ given by $O(g) = g(s)$ (see Introduction).

Remark 2.1.16. If V_0 is path connected (e.g. when G, X, E are as in Example 2.1.15), then all orbit maps are homotopic. Indeed, let $\gamma: I \rightarrow V_0$ be a path in V_0 . Then the orbit maps constructed using $s_0 = \gamma(0)$ and $s_1 = \gamma(1)$ are homotopic via the homotopy that takes $(g, t) \in G \times I$ to $g\gamma(t)$.

In the rest of the subsection s_0 will be a fixed element of V_0 and O will be the orbit map constructed using s_0 . The following commutative diagram will be crucial for us.

$$(2.1.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} G \times \{s_0\} \times X & \xrightarrow{\iota} & G \times V_0 \times X & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \text{ev}_V} & G \times \text{Tot}_0(E) \\ & & \downarrow A & & \downarrow \Phi \\ & & V_0 \times X & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_V} & \text{Tot}_0(E). \end{array}$$

Here the map $A: G \times V_0 \times X \rightarrow V_0 \times X$ is given by $(g, s, x) \mapsto (gs, gx)$, and ι denotes the inclusion.

Notation 2.1.18. Let $\text{dec}_{\mathbb{Q}}^i(G)$ be the subgroup of $H^i(G, \mathbb{Z})$ that consists of all elements that become decomposable in $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$. We will denote this subgroup by $\text{dec}_{\mathbb{Q}}^i$ when this is unlikely to lead to confusion.

Proposition 2.1.19. *If $y \in H_p(X, \mathbb{Z})$, then for every oriented secondary Thom class $a_E \in H^{r-1}(\text{Tot}_0 E, \mathbb{Z})$ the following identity holds in $H^{r-1-p}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ modulo $\text{dec}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{r-1-p}(G)$:*

$$(2.1.20) \quad O^*(\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma(y)) = -(ev_V \circ A \circ \iota)^*(a_E)/y + (s_0 \circ \varphi)^*(a_E)/y.$$

Proof. Notice that the following diagram commutes

$$(2.1.21) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G \times \{s_0\} \times X & \xrightarrow{\quad \iota \quad} & G \times V_0 \times X \\ \text{id} \times O \times \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow A \\ G \times V_0 \times X & \longrightarrow & V_0 \times G \times X \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \varphi} V_0 \times X \end{array}$$

where the left arrow in the bottom row transposes the first two factors. Take a $b \in H^{r-1}(V_0 \times X, \mathbb{Z})$ and a $y \in H_p(X, \mathbb{Z})$, and set $b' = (\text{id} \times \varphi)^*(b)$. From the diagram we get

$$(2.1.22) \quad ((A \circ \iota)^*b)/y = (O')^*(b'/y)$$

where $O': G \rightarrow V_0 \times G$ takes $g \in G$ to $(g(s_0), g)$.

We now compare this with $O^*(b/y)$. Let $i_1: V_0 \rightarrow V_0 \times G$ be the inclusion given by $v \mapsto (v, e)$. Using the map

$$i_1 \times \text{id}_X: V_0 \times X \rightarrow V_0 \times G \times X$$

we see that $i_1^*(b'/y) = b/y$, so

$$(2.1.23) \quad O^*(b/y) = (i_1 \circ O)^*(b'/y).$$

Let $i_2: G \rightarrow V_0 \times G$ be the inclusion given by $g \mapsto (s_0, g)$. The maps O' , $i_1 \circ O$, and i_2 can be decomposed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} O' &: G \xrightarrow{\Delta} G \times G \xrightarrow{O \times \text{id}_G} V_0 \times G, \\ i_1 \circ O &: G \xrightarrow{j_1} G \times G \xrightarrow{O \times \text{id}_G} V_0 \times G, \\ i_2 &: G \xrightarrow{j_2} G \times G \xrightarrow{O \times \text{id}_G} V_0 \times G. \end{aligned}$$

Here the map Δ is the diagonal inclusion, $g \mapsto (g, g)$, the map j_1 is given by $g \mapsto (g, e)$, and j_2 is given by $g \mapsto (e, g)$. It follows from the Künneth formula that the image of

$$(2.1.24) \quad (O')^* - (i_1 \circ O)^* - i_2^*: H^*(V_0 \times G, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$$

consists of decomposable elements. Finally, to finish the proof we set $b = -ev_V^*(a_E)$ and apply 2.1.24 to $b'/y = -((\text{id} \times \varphi)^*(ev_V^*(a_E))) / y$. We conclude using 2.1.22 and 2.1.23 that

$$-(ev_V \circ A \circ \iota)^*(a_E)/y - O^*(\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma(y)) + i_2^*\left(\left((\text{id} \times \varphi)^*(ev_V^*(a_E))\right) / y\right) \in \text{dec}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{r-1-p}(G).$$

It remains to calculate the third term in this sum. Using the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V_0 \times G \times X & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \varphi} & V_0 \times X & \xrightarrow{ev_V} & \text{Tot}_0(E) \\ i_2 \times \text{id}_X \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \text{id} \\ G \times X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X & \xrightarrow{s_0} & \text{Tot}_0(E) \end{array}$$

we see that $i_2^*\left(\left((\text{id} \times \varphi)^*(ev_V^*(a_E))\right) / y\right) = \varphi^*(s_0^*(a_E))/y$. \square

Remark 2.1.25. Suppose X is smooth compact complex algebraic, G is complex affine algebraic and the action of G on X is algebraic. Then the map $\varphi^* : H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Q})$ is the same as p_2^* (Lemma 1.3.2). This implies that the map $(\text{id} \times \varphi)^* : H^*(V_0 \times X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(V_0 \times G \times X, \mathbb{Q})$ is the same as $(\text{id} \times p_2)^*$. So using diagram 2.1.21 we see that under the above assumptions in rational cohomology we have

$$O^*(\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma(y)) = -(\text{ev}_V \circ A \circ \iota)^*(a_E)/y.$$

Definition 2.1.26. The *big indeterminacy submodule* $bM_X^* \subset H^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the sum of the indeterminacy submodule M_X^* and $p_2^*(H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}))$. As usual, we will omit the subscript if it is clear which space X is meant.

Lemma 2.1.27. *Recall that we assume that $e(E) = 0$. For every secondary Thom class a_E the following identity holds modulo the big indeterminacy submodule $bM_X^* \subset H^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Z})$:*

$$\partial\Phi^*(a_E) = (\Phi \circ (\text{id} \times \text{ev}_V) \circ \iota)^*(a_E)$$

Proof. As in Section 1, let $p_0 : \text{Tot}_0(E) \rightarrow X$ be the projection map and recall that the homomorphism

$$(\text{id} \times p_0)^* : H^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(G \times \text{Tot}_0(E), \mathbb{Z})$$

is injective (Proposition 1.1.11). So to prove the lemma it would suffice to check that

$$(2.1.28) \quad (\text{id} \times p_0)^*(\partial\Phi^*(a_E)) = (\text{id} \times p_0)^*((\Phi \circ (\text{id} \times \text{ev}_V) \circ \iota)^*(a_E)) \quad \text{mod } (\text{id} \times p_0)^*(bM_X^*).$$

The left hand side of this is equal $\Phi^*(a_E) - P_2^*(a_E)$. Set $b = \Phi^*(a_E) - P_2^*(a_E)$, see Notation 1.1.15. Formula 2.1.28 then reads

$$b = (\text{id} \times p_0)^*((\text{id} \times \text{ev}_V) \circ \iota)^*(b + P_2^*(a_E)) \quad \text{mod } (\text{id} \times p_0)^*(bM_X^*).$$

Let $q : G \times V_0 \times X \rightarrow G \times X$ be the projection map. Notice that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times V_0 \times X & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \text{ev}_V} & G \times \text{Tot}_0(E) \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow \text{id} \times p_0 \\ G \times X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & G \times X. \end{array}$$

Moreover, the map $\iota : G \times X \cong G \times \{s_0\} \times X \rightarrow G \times V_0 \times X$ is a section of the projection map q . Since $b = (\text{id} \times p_0)^*(c)$ for some $c \in H^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Z})$ by Lemma 1.1.14, we see that

$$(\text{id} \times p_0)^*((\text{id} \times \text{ev}_V) \circ \iota)^*(b) = (\text{id} \times p_0)^*(\iota^*(q^*(c))) = (\text{id} \times p_0)^*(c) = b.$$

To complete the proof of the lemma it suffices to show that $((\text{id} \times \text{ev}_V) \circ \iota)^*(P_2^*(a_E)) \in bM_X^*$, which follows from $((\text{id} \times \text{ev}_V) \circ \iota)^*(P_2^*(a_E)) = p_2^*(s_0^*a_E) \in bM_X^*$. \square

Notation 2.1.29. Let $y \in H_p(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be a homology class. We denote by $bM_{X,y}^q$ the image of the big indeterminacy submodule bM_X^{q+p} under the slant product homomorphism $/y : H^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*-p}(G, \mathbb{Z})$.

Notice that if $x \in H^q(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and $y \in H_p(X, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $p \neq q$, then

$$(2.1.30) \quad \varphi^*(x)/y = p_2^*(x)/y = 0 \quad \text{mod } bM_{X,y}^{q-p}.$$

Corollary 2.1.31. *Recall that we assume that $e(E) = 0$, and r denotes the rank of E . The following identity holds for every $y \in H_p(X, \mathbb{Z})$ provided $p \neq r - 1$:*

$$O^*(\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma(y)) = S(e_G(E))/y \quad \text{mod } \left(bM_{X,y}^{r-p-1} + \text{dec}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{r-p-1} \right).$$

Recall that the target of S is $H^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Z})/M_X^*$, see Definition 1.2.3. Since $(M_X^*)/y \subset bM_{X,y}^*$, the above identity makes sense.

Proof.

$$S(e_G(E))/y = se_G(E)/y \quad \text{mod } bM_{X,y}^{r-p-1} \quad (\text{Proposition 1.2.10})$$

$$= -\partial\Phi^*(a_E)/y \quad \text{mod } bM_{X,y}^{r-p-1} \quad (\text{Proposition 1.1.33})$$

$$= -(\Phi \circ (\text{id} \times \text{ev}_V) \circ \iota)^*(a_E)/y \quad \text{mod } bM_{X,y}^{r-p-1} \quad (\text{Lemma 2.1.27})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= - (ev_V \circ A \circ \iota)^*(a_E)/y \pmod{bM_{X,y}^{r-p-1}} && \text{(Commutative diagram 2.1.17)} \\
 &= O^*(\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma(y)) \pmod{bM_{X,y}^{r-p-1} + \text{dec}_\mathbb{Q}^{r-p-1}} && \text{(Proposition 2.1.19 and formula 2.1.30).}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.1.32. *We use the notation of Corollary 2.1.31. Suppose moreover that X is a CW-complex of dimension $< r - 1$ (so $e(E) = 0$ is automatic). Then the following identity holds in $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ for every $y \in H_p(X, \mathbb{Z})$:*

$$O^*(\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma(y)) = S(e_G(E))/y \pmod{\text{dec}_\mathbb{Q}^{r-p-1}}.$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.1.31 it suffices to prove that $bM_X^{r-1} = 0$, as $bM_{X,y}^{r-p-1} = bM_X^{r-1}/y$. We now use the fact that $bM_X^* = \text{Im}(\varphi^* - p_2^*) + \text{Im}p_2^* = 0$ in degree $r - 1$. □

Corollary 2.1.32 allows one to construct a subring of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ that is included in the image of the cohomology map induced by any orbit map $O : G \rightarrow V_0$. In the sequel we will often use these subrings, so we introduce the following notation.

Notation 2.1.33. Recall that above we set G to be a topological group and E a \mathbb{Z} -oriented G -equivariant vector bundle over a topological G -space X with $e(E) = 0$. We let $\Lambda_{E,G}^*$, or simply Λ_E^* if it is clear which group G is meant, denote the subring of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ generated by $S(e_G(E))/y, y \in H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

2.2. Linking class homomorphism: spaces of regular sections. To begin with, suppose X is a C^∞ -smooth manifold and E is a smooth real vector bundle over X of rank r . Note that X embeds in $\text{Tot}(E)$ as the zero section. We will now explain how to apply the results of the previous subsection to spaces of regular sections.

Definition 2.2.1. Let $s \in C^\infty(X, E)$ be a smooth section of E . An $x \in X$ is called a *singular point* of the zero locus of s if the submanifolds X and $s(X)$ embedded into $\text{Tot}(E)$ intersect non-transversally at x .

Notation 2.2.2. Let us equip the vector space $C^\infty(X, E)$ with the standard Whitney C^∞ -topology. Let V be a subset of $C^\infty(X, E)$. The subset

$$\text{Sing}_V(E) = \{s \in V \mid \text{the zero locus of } s \text{ has a singular point}\}.$$

of V will be called the *discriminant*. Let V_{reg} be the complement of $\text{Sing}_V(E)$ in V . Elements of $\text{Sing}_V(E)$, respectively V_{reg} will be called *singular*, respectively *regular*.

Example 2.2.3. Suppose that $\text{rk}(E) > \dim(X)$. Then $V_{\text{reg}} = V_0$ for any $V \subset C^\infty(X, E)$.

Given a vector bundle E as above there is a vector bundle E' such that there is a continuous inclusion $j : C^\infty(X, E) \rightarrow C^0(X, E')$ and $j(V_{\text{reg}}) \subset j(V)_0$ for any subset $V \subset C^\infty(X, E)$. The holomorphic and C^∞ -versions are slightly different.

The C^∞ case is discussed e.g. in [37, Chapter 4]: we take $E' = J_{\text{top}}(E)$, the smooth jet bundle over X , which is part of an exact sequence

$$(2.2.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow T^*X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} E \rightarrow J_{\text{top}}(E) \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0.$$

In this paper however we are mainly interested in the case when X is smooth complex algebraic and E is an algebraic vector bundle. Then we take E' to be the algebraic (first) jet bundle $J(E)$, see e.g. [17, Chapter 16.7], and there is a natural exact sequence

$$(2.2.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E \rightarrow J(E) \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0.$$

This construction extends in a straightforward way to the case when X is smooth complex analytic and E is a holomorphic vector bundle over X , which is what we will assume until the end of Section 2.2. If $V = \Gamma(X, E)$, the space of holomorphic sections of E , we will write $\text{Sing}(E)$ instead of $\text{Sing}_V(E)$.

Note that the rank $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{C}}(J(E))$ is $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{C}}(E)(\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) + 1)$ and that there is a natural linear injective map

$$j : \Gamma(X, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, J(E)) \hookrightarrow C^0(X, J(E)).$$

By local arguments the preimage $j^{-1}(j(V)_0)$ is equal to the set V_{reg} , so we get a natural continuous map $j: V_{\text{reg}} \rightarrow j(V)_0$.

Recall that the Cayley trick allows one to identify $\Gamma(X, E)$ with the space of holomorphic sections of a certain line bundle in such a way that regular sections correspond to regular sections. In more detail, let $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$ be the projectivisation of the dual vector bundle E^* and let $\pi: \mathbb{P}(E^*) \rightarrow X$ be the projection. The direct image $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1))$ of the relative twisting line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)$ is canonically isomorphic to the vector bundle E itself. So there is an isomorphism

$$\Gamma\pi_*: \Gamma(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma(X, E).$$

There is a natural (continuous) linear map

$$j_E: \Gamma(X, E) \xrightarrow{\Gamma\pi_*^{-1}} \Gamma(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)) \xrightarrow{j} C^0(\mathbb{P}(E^*), J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1))).$$

A section $j_E(s)$, $s \in \Gamma(X, E)$ of $J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1))$ will be called *holonomic*. Let V be any subset of $\Gamma(X, E)$. A local calculation shows that $j_E(V_{\text{reg}}) \subset j_E(V)_0$. Recall that for every subset $W \subset C^0(\mathbb{P}(E^*), J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)))$ we have a homomorphism $\text{Lk}_W^\Sigma: H_*(\mathbb{P}(E^*)) \rightarrow H^*(W_0)$ (see Definition 2.1.5).

Definition 2.2.6. Set $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ and $r = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{C}} E$. We define the *linking class homomorphism*

$$\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}: H_p(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2(r+d)-p-1}(V_{\text{reg}}, \mathbb{Z})$$

by the formula $\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}(y) = j_E^*(\text{Lk}_{j_E(V)}^\Sigma(y))$ for $y \in H_p(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$. Elements of the image of $\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}$ will be referred to as *linking classes*. If $V = \Gamma(X, E)$, we will often write Lk instead of $\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}$.

Remark 2.2.7. If E is a line bundle, then everything simplifies: we have $\mathbb{P}(E^*) = X$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1) = E$, and $j_E = j$. So for $y \in H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ the cohomology class $\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}(y)$ is given by the formula $\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}(y) = j^*(\text{Lk}_j(V)^\Sigma(y))$, cf. the introduction.

The classes $\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}(y)$ have a geometric interpretation similar to the one given in Propositions 2.1.11 and 2.1.14 for $\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma(y)$. Set $V = \Gamma(X, E)$ and $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)$. We take X to be smooth compact algebraic and we assume that $J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^*(E)}(1))$ is globally generated by holonomic sections, i.e. if the following map is surjective:

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{P}(E^*), L) \times \mathbb{P}(E^*) \xrightarrow{j \times \text{id}} \Gamma(\mathbb{P}(E^*), J(L)) \times \mathbb{P}(E^*) \xrightarrow{ev} \text{Tot}(J(L)).$$

Then

$$\widetilde{\text{Sing}}(E) = \{(s, x) \in \Gamma(X, E) \times \mathbb{P}(E^*) \mid j_E(s)(x) = 0\}$$

is the total space of a vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$. Suppose that $y \in H_{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is represented by an irreducible algebraic subvariety $Y \subset X$. Let $\text{Sing}^Y(E)$ denote the subset of $\text{Sing}(E)$ that consists of sections that have a singular point in Y . Then $\text{Sing}^Y(E)$ is an irreducible subvariety of $\text{Sing}(E)$, so there exist a fundamental class $[\text{Sing}^Y(E)] \in H_*^{BM}(\text{Sing}(E))$ and the Alexander dual cohomology class $\text{Lk}_Y^{\text{Sing}} \in H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E))$. These classes generalise those defined by C. Peters and J. Steenbrink [33] for $E = \mathcal{O}(d)$, $X = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. In the following proposition we express them in terms of the linking class homomorphism.

Proposition 2.2.8. *Set $\widetilde{\text{Sing}}^Y(E) = p_X^{-1}(Y) \subset \widetilde{\text{Sing}}(E)$. Suppose that $p_V: \widetilde{\text{Sing}}^Y(E) \rightarrow \text{Sing}^Y(E)$ is generically finite of degree k . Then $\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}(\pi^!y) = -k \text{Lk}_Y^{\text{Sing}}$, where $\pi^!y = [\pi^{-1}(Y)]$. \square*

Remark 2.2.9. Let $\text{Sing}(s) \subset X$ be the singular locus of $s \in \Gamma(X, E)$. The number k of Proposition 2.2.8 can be interpreted as $|\text{Sing}(s) \cap Y|$ for a general section $s \in \text{Sing}(E)$. This number is finite if and only if $\langle c_p(J(L)), [\pi^{-1}Y] \rangle \neq 0$, see Proposition 3.2.17.

Proposition 2.2.10. *If $p > 1$, then the image $\text{Im}(\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}})$ of the linking class homomorphism*

$$\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}: H_{2r+2d-p}(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{p-1}(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E), \mathbb{Q})$$

coincides with $W_p H^{p-1}(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E), \mathbb{Q})$. \square

Now we study the behaviour of the cohomology classes $\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}(y)$ under a group action. Let G be a topological group that acts on X by biholomorphic transformations, and suppose E is G -equivariant. Then G also acts on E^* , $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)$, and $J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1))$, the isomorphisms $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)) \cong E$ and $\Gamma\pi^*$ are G -equivariant, and so are exact sequence 2.2.5 and the inclusion $j_E: \Gamma(X, E) \rightarrow C^0(\mathbb{P}(E^*), J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)))$.

Let V be a G -stable subset of $\Gamma(X, E)$. Then V_{reg} is also G -stable. Suppose that V_{reg} is non-empty. Fix an $s_0 \in V_{\text{reg}}$ and consider the orbit map $O: G \rightarrow V_{\text{reg}}$, $O(g) = gs_0$. We want to calculate the following composition:

$$O^* \circ \text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}: H_p(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2(d+r)-1-p}(V_{\text{reg}}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2(d+r)-1-p}(G, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Theorem 2.2.11. *Let G be a topological group that acts on a smooth complex analytic variety X by biholomorphic transformations. Suppose that E is a G -equivariant holomorphic vector bundle over X and let V be a G -stable subset of $\Gamma(X, E)$. Then the following identity holds in $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ modulo $\text{dec}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ for every $y \in H_*(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$:*

$$O^* \circ \text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}(y) = S\left(e_G(J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)))\right) / y.$$

Suppose that moreover G, X, E and the actions of G on E and X are algebraic, and that G is affine and X is compact. Then the above identity is true modulo torsion.

Proof. By Definition 2.2.6 we have

$$O^* \circ \text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}(y) = O^* \circ j_E^* \circ \text{Lk}_{j_E(V)}^{\Sigma}(y).$$

Since the map j_E is G -equivariant, the composition $O^* \circ j_E^*$ is O_1^* where O_1 is the orbit map of $j_E(s_0)$. Applying Corollary 2.1.32 we see that modulo $\text{dec}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ we have

$$O^* \circ \text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}(y) = O_1^* \circ \text{Lk}_{j_E(V)}^{\Sigma}(y) = S\left(e_G(J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)))\right) / y$$

To prove that in the algebraic case the identity in fact holds modulo torsion and not just $\text{dec}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$, we compare the weights. By Remark 1.3.6, the weight of every decomposable element of $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ is at least the degree plus 2. On the other hand, rationally both $O^* \circ \text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}(y)$ and $S\left(e_G(J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)))\right) / y$ are pure of weight equal the degree plus 1: for former class this follows straight from the definition, and for the latter we use Corollary 1.3.4, formula 1.2.18 and Remark 1.3.6. \square

Corollary 2.2.12. *Let G be an affine complex algebraic group, and let L be a G -equivariant algebraic line bundle over a smooth compact complex algebraic G -variety X . Suppose V is a G -stable subset of $\Gamma(X, L)$. Then the following identity holds modulo torsion in $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ for every $y \in H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$:*

$$O^* \circ \text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}(y) = S(e_G(J(L))) / y.$$

Unless stated otherwise, Theorem 2.2.11 and Corollary 2.2.12 are applied below to $V = \Gamma(X, E)$.

3. APPLICATIONS

Let U be a path-connected topological space, and let G be a topological group that acts on U continuously on the left. Note that since U is assumed path connected, all orbit maps $G \rightarrow U$ are homotopic (cf. Remark 2.1.16). We will say that *the division theorem holds for the action of G on U* if the homomorphism $H^*(U, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ induced by some (and hence every) orbit map is surjective. This is what one might expect to get e.g. by applying Theorem 2.2.11 to U equal the space of regular sections of some holomorphic vector bundle, and in this section we describe a few consequences. In particular, in Section 3.1 we prove (Theorem 3.1.1) that, assuming U complex affine algebraic and G complex reductive, the division theorem for the action of G on U implies that $H^*(U, \mathbb{Q})$ is “divisible” by $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$, which explains our terminology.

The integral analogue of the division theorem is almost always false. By measuring the extent to which it is false we are able to obtain restrictions on the orders of the G -automorphism groups of elements of U (Proposition 3.2.1). In Section 3.2.1 we compare the automorphism groups of sections to those of their zero loci. If E is a G -homogeneous vector bundle, we construct a split extension \tilde{G} of G that acts on E (Notation 3.2.5). The stabiliser \tilde{G}_s of a section s of E maps to the stabiliser $G_{Z(s)}$ of the zero locus $Z(s)$ of s , and under certain hypotheses this map is surjective (see Lemma 3.2.8 and Remark 3.2.9). In order to check

these hypotheses one can use Lemmas 3.2.11 and 3.2.12. If E has no non-trivial fibrewise automorphisms (covering the identity of the base), then $\tilde{G} \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times G$, which leads to simplifications that we describe in Corollary 3.2.16. A related result is Proposition 3.2.17, which allows one to calculate the degree of the discriminant variety in many cases of interest (see also Remark 3.2.18).

We summarise the results of Section 3 in Theorem 3.3.1 and Corollaries 3.3.2 and 3.3.5.

3.1. Quotients and slices.

Theorem 3.1.1. *Let G be a connected complex reductive group that acts algebraically on an affine complex algebraic variety U . Suppose the division theorem holds for this action, and let $O : G \rightarrow U$ be an orbit map. Then the following is true.*

- (1) *The stabiliser G_x of every point $x \in U$ is finite and the geometric quotient of U by G exists and is affine.*
- (2) *There is an étale slice, respectively a smooth complex analytic slice through every point of U , respectively through every smooth point of U .*
- (3) *There is an isomorphism*

$$H^*(U, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(G, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(U/G, \mathbb{Q})$$

of rings that can be made compatible with mixed Hodge structures provided $H^(U, \mathbb{Q})$ has a mixed Hodge substructure $'H^*$ such that $O^* : 'H^* \rightarrow H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We take an orbit $G(x), x \in U$ of minimal dimension. It is closed, so it is an affine variety, and so the stabiliser G_x of x is reductive by Matsushima's criterion, see [36]. If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} G_x > 0$, then the map $G \rightarrow G/G_x$ does not induce a surjective map in rational cohomology, and hence nor does the orbit map of $G \ni g \mapsto gx \in U$.

So all stabilisers are finite, which means that the orbit of every element of U is closed and the geometric quotient of U by G exists, see e.g. [31, Chapter 3, §§3,4]. The statement about slices follows from Luna's étale slice theorem, see [23] and [49, 6.6].

The third statement follows from [33, Section 2] and the fact that the rational cohomology of a connected Lie group is a free graded commutative algebra. \square

Remark 3.1.2. One can check the condition in part 3 of Theorem 3.1.1 using the following observations. Suppose there is a map of mixed Hodge structures $f : 'P^* \rightarrow H^*(U, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $'P^*$ is polarisable and pure in each degree and $O^* \circ f$ induces a surjection from $'P^*$ to $P_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$, the space of primitive elements of $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$, see Remark 1.3.6. Then there is a mixed Hodge substructure $'H^* \subset H^*(U, \mathbb{Q})$ that maps isomorphically to $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ under O^* .

In particular, if $W_i H^i(U, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, which will be the case in all our examples, one can take $'P^i = W_{i+1} H^i(U, \mathbb{Q})$ and $f = \text{id}$.

For completeness we compare two natural topologies on the geometric quotient.

Proposition 3.1.3. *Suppose G is reductive and the stabiliser of every point of U is finite. Then the geometric quotient with the complex analytic topology coincides with the topological quotient space, i.e. the quotient space of U with the complex analytic topology by the equivalence relation given by the action of G .*

Proof. We note that there is a natural bijective continuous map from the topological quotient to the geometric quotient with the complex analytic topology. To show that it is a homeomorphism it would suffice to prove that it is open. By the étale slice theorem, the latter statement would follow if we prove that if $x \in U$ and S is an étale slice through x , then the map

$$(3.1.4) \quad G \times_{G_x} S \rightarrow (G \times_{G_x} S)/G$$

is open in the complex analytic topology. Here $G \times_{G_x} S$ is the quotient of $G \times S$ by the following action of G_x :

$$g_1(g, s) = (gg_1^{-1}, g_1 s), g_1 \in G_x, g \in G, s \in S.$$

It follows from [49, 6.6] that if a finite group G acts on a complex affine variety W , then the complex analytic topology on W/G is the same as the quotient of the complex analytic topology on W . Using this and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G \times S & \longrightarrow & S & \longrightarrow & S/G_x \\ \downarrow & & & \searrow & \downarrow \cong \\ G \times_{G_x} S & \longrightarrow & & & (G \times_{G_x} S)/G \end{array}$$

we see that map 3.1.4 is open. □

3.2. Automorphism groups. Here we explain how Theorem 2.2.11 can be applied to get bounds on the order of various automorphism groups. We begin with the following observation.

Proposition 3.2.1. *Suppose a connected Lie group G acts continuously with finite stabilisers on a path-connected topological space U . Let k be the maximum integer such that $H^k(G, \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$. Suppose there is a class $a \in H^n(U, \mathbb{Z})$ such that for some (and hence for every) G -orbit map $O : G \rightarrow U$ the pullback $O^*(a)$ generates a subgroup of $H^k(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ of index m . Then for every $x \in U$ the order of the stabiliser G_x divides m .*

Proof. Take an $x \in U$ and let $K \subset G$ be a maximal compact subgroup of G that contains the stabiliser G_x . We now use the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K & \xrightarrow{\cong} & G & \xrightarrow{O} & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ K/G_x & \xrightarrow{\cong} & G/G_x & & \end{array}$$

□

3.2.1. Automorphisms of sections versus automorphisms of zero loci. We now explain how to relate the automorphism groups of regular sections to those of their zero loci.

Notation 3.2.2. We use $Z(s)$ to denote the zero locus of a section s of a vector bundle.

Notation 3.2.3. If G is a topological group acting on a space X and $Z \subset X$, then we denote the subgroup of G which preserves Z (not necessary pointwise) by G_Z .

Notation 3.2.4. If $p: E \rightarrow X$ is a complex vector bundle, then let $\text{Aut}_X(E)$ be the group of all couples (f, g) where $g \in \text{Aut}(X)$ and f is a fibrewise automorphism of E that covers g . Let $\text{Aut}(E/X) \subset \text{Aut}_X(E)$ be the normal subgroup of all couples (f, id_X) .

Notation 3.2.5. Suppose a group G acts on X . Let \tilde{G} be the fibre product $G \times_{\text{Aut}(X)} \text{Aut}_X(E)$, and let $p: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be the projection map. Denote the image of \tilde{G} in $\text{Aut}(X)$ by \tilde{G} .

Lemma 3.2.6. *If E is a G -equivariant vector bundle, then there exists an exact sequence of groups*

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E/X) \rightarrow \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{p} G \rightarrow 1.$$

Moreover, this exact sequence naturally splits.

Proof. The construction of all maps in the exact sequence is straightforward. Moreover, the G -equivariant structure on E allows one to lift the action map $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$ lifts to a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_X(E)$, which gives us a splitting $G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$. □

Remark 3.2.7. The group $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ always contains the central subgroup $\cong \mathbb{C}^*$ of scalar automorphisms. If $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ coincides with this subgroup, then by Lemma 3.2.6 we have $\tilde{G} \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times G$.

From now on we assume that X is smooth complex algebraic, E is algebraic and G acts on E and X by biregular transformations. Then \tilde{G} acts on $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ and the action of G on $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ induced by the splitting $G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ is the same as the given one. Note that the projection $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ induces a homomorphism $p_s: \tilde{G}_s \rightarrow G_{Z(s)}$.

Lemma 3.2.8. *Let $s \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ be a regular section. If $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ acts transitively on the set of all $s' \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ such that $Z(s') = Z(s)$, then p_s is surjective.*

Proof. If $g \in G_{Z(s)}$, then $Z(gs) = Z(s)$. By our assumption there is an $h \in \text{Aut}(E/X)$ such that $hgs = s$. So $hg \in \tilde{G}_s$, and $p_s(hg) = g$. \square

Remark 3.2.9. Note that $\ker(p_s) = \ker(p) \cap \tilde{G}_s = \text{Aut}(E/X)_s$. So under the assumptions above we have a split exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E/X)_s \rightarrow \tilde{G}_s \rightarrow G_{Z(s)} \rightarrow 1,$$

in particular, $|G_{Z(s)}|$ divides $|\tilde{G}_s|$, and $|\tilde{G}_{Z(s)}|$ divides $\frac{|\tilde{G}_s|}{|\ker(G \rightarrow \text{Aut } X)|}$, assuming all these numbers are finite.

In view of Lemma 3.2.8 we need to know whether the action of $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ on the set of regular sections with given zero locus is transitive. From now on we assume that X is compact. Then for line bundles the answer is completely straightforward.

Lemma 3.2.10. *If E is a line bundle, then we have $\tilde{G} \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times G$, $\text{Aut}(E/X) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$, and the latter group acts transitively on the set of regular sections with any given zero locus.* \square

For general vector bundles there is a sufficient condition, which we will now describe. The group $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ is the group of invertible elements of the global $\text{Hom}(E, E)$. Let s_0 be a regular section of E , and let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ be the ideal sheaf of $Z = Z(s_0)$. Then $\text{Hom}(E^*, \mathcal{I})$ embeds in $\text{Hom}(E^*, \mathcal{O}_X) = \Gamma(X, E)$ and the intersection $\text{Hom}(E^*, \mathcal{I}) \cap \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(E)$ can be viewed both as the set U_{surj} of surjective morphisms $\in \text{Hom}(E^*, \mathcal{I})$ and as the set of sections s such that $Z(s) = Z$. Notice that U_{surj} is an irreducible algebraic variety.

The section $s_0 \in \text{Hom}(E^*, \mathcal{I}) \subset \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(E)$ induces a homomorphism

$$\varphi: \text{Hom}(E^*, E^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E^*, \mathcal{I}).$$

Lemma 3.2.11. *Suppose that the $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ -action on $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(E)$ has finite stabilisers and the homomorphism φ is surjective. Then $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ acts transitively on U_{surj} .*

Proof. The map φ is assumed surjective, so the $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ -orbit of s_0 , which coincides with the image $\varphi(\text{Aut}(E/X))$, is open in U_{surj} . Since all stabilisers of the action of $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ on U_{surj} are finite, this action must have only one orbit. \square

There is a cohomological condition that ensures the surjectivity of φ . Using the Koszul resolution of \mathcal{I}

$$0 \rightarrow \det E^* \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda^2 E^* \rightarrow E^* \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow 0,$$

one can construct a third quadrant spectral sequence that converges to $\text{Hom}(E^*, \mathcal{I})$:

$$E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}^p(E^*, \Lambda^{1-q} E^*) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}^{p+q}(E^*, \mathcal{I}).$$

The edge map $E_2^{0,0} \rightarrow E_\infty^{0,0}$ in this spectral sequence is precisely the homomorphism φ .

Lemma 3.2.12. *If $\text{Ext}^p(E^*, \Lambda^{p+1} E^*) = 0$, $p > 0$ then the homomorphism $\varphi: \text{Hom}(E^*, E^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E^*, \mathcal{I})$ is surjective.* \square

Corollary 3.2.13. *Let L be an ample G -equivariant line bundle over X . Suppose that $E = L^{\oplus r}$, $r = \text{rk}(E) \leq \dim(X)$. Then for any $s \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ the map $p_s: \tilde{G}_s \rightarrow G_{Z(s)}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. First we observe that the action of $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ on $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(E)$ is in fact free. Indeed, $\Gamma(X, E)$ can be identified with $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^r, \Gamma(X, L))$, and $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ with $GL_r(\mathbb{C})$ so that under these identifications the group $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ acts by precomposition. Moreover, the linear maps $\mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \Gamma(X, L)$ that correspond to regular sections are injective, and $GL_r(\mathbb{C})$ acts freely on the set of such maps.

Next we note that the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2.12 hold for E because $H^p(X, (L^{\otimes p})^*)$ vanish for $0 < p \leq \text{rk}(E) - 1 < \dim(X)$, which follows by the Kodaira vanishing theorem. So the map φ for E is surjective, and we finish the proof applying Lemmas 3.2.11 and 3.2.8. \square

Finally, we give an example which shows that $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ may not act transitively on U_{surj} .

Example 3.2.14. Take $X = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, $n \geq 2$ and $E = T\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. On the one hand, all automorphisms of E are scalar, and on the other the space $\Gamma(X, E)$ can be identified with the space of traceless endomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} so that the zeroes of a section are the eigenlines of the corresponding endomorphism.

3.2.2. $\text{Aut}(E/X) = \mathbb{C}^*$. Let G be a complex reductive group, and let E be a G -equivariant vector bundle over a smooth compact complex algebraic G -variety X . It follows from Remark 3.2.9 that (under some assumptions) the order of the G -automorphism group of $Z(s)$, $s \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ divides $|\tilde{G}_s|$, which in turn, as we will shortly see, divides the index of $\Lambda_{E', \tilde{G}}^k$ (see Notation 2.1.33) in $H^k(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z})$; here E' is a certain \tilde{G} -equivariant line bundle and k is the top degree in which the cohomology of \tilde{G} is non zero. We will now explain how calculating the index simplifies if the fibrewise automorphism group $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ is \mathbb{C}^* , which will be the case in most examples we consider in Section 4. Let us choose a generator \mathbf{x} of $H^1(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 3.2.15. *Let E' be a rank r complex vector bundle over a CW-complex X' of dimension $< 2r$. We consider the standard action of \mathbb{C}^* on the total space of E' (so the resulting action on X' is trivial). Let $V \subset C^0(X, E)$ be a vector subspace, and let $O: \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow V_0$ be an orbit map (recall that V_0 denotes the space of nowhere vanishing sections $\in V$, see Section 2.1). Then if $y \in H_{2r-2}(X', \mathbb{Z})$, the class $O^*(\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma(y)) \in H^1(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{Z})$ (see Definition 2.1.5) is $\pm \langle c_{r-1}(E'), y \rangle \mathbf{x}$.*

Proof. The homotopy quotient $X'_{h\mathbb{C}^*} \simeq B\mathbb{C}^* \times X'$, and a straightforward check shows that the vector bundle $E'_{h\mathbb{C}^*}$ is $\gamma^1 \boxtimes E$, where γ^1 denotes the tautological line bundle over $B\mathbb{C}^*$. So

$$e_{\mathbb{C}^*}(E') = e(E'_{h\mathbb{C}^*}) = \sum_{i=0}^r c^{r-i} \times c_i(E') = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} c^{r-i} \times c_i(E')$$

where $c = c_1(\gamma^1)$. The ideals $I(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and $I_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ coincide and are generated by $c \times 1$. Using formula 1.2.18 and Proposition 1.2.20 we see that for every $y \in H_{2r-2}(X', \mathbb{Z})$ we have

$$S(e_{\mathbb{C}^*}(E')) = S(c \times c_{r-1}(E')) = \bar{\gamma}(c) \times \alpha^*(1 \times c_{r-1}(E')) = \bar{\gamma}(c) \times c_{r-1}(E') \in H^{2r-1}(\mathbb{C}^* \times X', \mathbb{Z}).$$

By Corollary 2.1.32,

$$O^*(\text{Lk}_V^\Sigma(y)) = S(e_{\mathbb{C}^*}(E'))/y = (\bar{\gamma}(c) \times c_{r-1}(E'))/y = \langle c_{r-1}(E'), y \rangle \bar{\gamma}(c).$$

Now observe that $\bar{\gamma}(c) \in H^1(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{Z})$ is $\pm \mathbf{x}$ by Remark 1.2.13, cf. Example 4.1.9. □

Corollary 3.2.16. *Let G be a connected complex reductive group that acts algebraically on a smooth compact complex algebraic variety X of dimension d , and let E be a G -equivariant algebraic vector bundle of rank r over X . We suppose that $\text{Aut}(E/X) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ and $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Set $E' = J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^*(E)}(1))$ (see Section 2.2), and suppose that $\delta = \langle c_{d+r-1}(E'), [\mathbb{P}(E^*)] \rangle \neq 0$. Recall that $\tilde{G} \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times G$ (Remark 3.2.7). Let $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in H^1(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z})$ be the pullback of $\mathbf{x} \in H^1(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{Z})$ under the projection $\tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$.*

- (1) *The class $S(e_{\tilde{G}}(E'))/[\mathbb{P}(E^*)] \in H^1(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z})$ is $\pm \delta \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$. Moreover, if $y \in H_{<2(d+r-1)}(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$, then modulo torsion the class $S(e_{\tilde{G}}(E'))/y$ is the pullback of $S(e_G(E'))/y$ under $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$.*
- (2) *The ring $\Lambda_{E', G}^*$ has finite index in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $\Lambda_{E', \tilde{G}}^*$ has finite index in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z})$, and the index of $\Lambda_{E', \tilde{G}}^{\dim \tilde{G}}$ in $H^{\dim \tilde{G}}(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z})$ is δ times the index of $\Lambda_{E', G}^{\dim G}$ in $H^{\dim G}(G, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. To prove the first statement we use Lemma 3.2.15, Remark 3.2.7, the functoriality of the map S (see diagram 1.2.19) and the following observations: Firstly, using Remark 1.3.7 we see that the image of every $S(e_{\tilde{G}}(E'))/y$, $y \in H_*(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$ in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z})$ is primitive. Secondly, the self-map of $H_{\text{fr}}^i(\mathbb{C}^* \times G, \mathbb{Z})$, $i > 1$ induced by the composition of the projection $\mathbb{C}^* \times G \rightarrow G$ and the inclusion $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \times G$ is the identity on the primitive elements.

The second statement of the corollary follows from the first. □

The class $c_{r-1}(E')$ that appears in Lemma 3.2.15 has a geometric interpretation in terms of the degrees of discriminant varieties, which we will now describe. Here is a setup that suffices for many applications. Let X be an irreducible compact complex algebraic variety of dimension d and let E' be an algebraic vector bundle of rank $r > d$ over X . Let $V \subset \Gamma(X, E')$ be a vector subspace, and let $\Sigma \subset V$ be the set of all sections with non-empty zero locus. Moreover, set $\tilde{\Sigma} = \{(s, x) \in V \times X \mid s(x) = 0\}$. We require that there should be a Zariski open $X' \subset X$ such that the restriction of $\tilde{\Sigma}$ to X' is dense in $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and is the total space of an algebraic vector bundle over X' of rank $\dim V - r$. Note that if E' is globally generated by elements of V , then one can take $X' = X$.

Proposition 3.2.17. *If $\langle c_d(E'), [X] \rangle = 0$, then all elements of Σ have infinitely many zeroes and $\dim \Sigma < d + \dim V - r$. If $\langle c_d(E'), [X] \rangle \neq 0$, then a Zariski general element of Σ has $k > 0$ zeroes, $\dim \Sigma = d + \dim V - r$, and $k \deg \Sigma = \langle c_d(E'), [X] \rangle$.*

Remark 3.2.18. This proposition is a variant of [11, Theorem 3.3.10]. Note however that the version given in [11] is not correct because a general section $\in \Sigma$ may have more than one zero: one can take for instance $X = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ and $E' = \mathcal{O}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}$. Then E' is very ample as defined in [11, p. 110], and $\Sigma \subset V = \Gamma(X, E')$ is a hyperplane, but $\langle c_d(E'), [X] \rangle = 2$. Nevertheless, the argument given in [11] can be easily adapted to give a proof of Proposition 3.2.17, which we do in Appendix A.

3.3. Summary. Here we summarise the results of this section that we will need in Section 4.

Theorem 3.3.1. *Let G be a connected complex reductive group that acts algebraically on a smooth compact complex algebraic variety X of dimension d , and let L be an G -equivariant algebraic line bundle over X . Recall that the ring $\Lambda_{J(L)}^* \subset H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $S(e_G(J(L))) / y, y \in H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ (see Notation 2.1.33). Let $O : G \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)$ be an orbit map.*

- (1) *Suppose that $\langle c_d(J(L)), [X] \rangle \neq 0$, the discriminant $\text{Sing}(L) = \Gamma(X, L) \setminus \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)$ is equidimensional, and $\Lambda_{J(L)}^*$ has finite index in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$. Then the map O^* in rational cohomology is surjective, the geometric quotient $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)/G$ exists and is affine, and*

$$H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L), \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(G, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)/G, \mathbb{Q})$$

both as rings and mixed Hodge structures. For every $s \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ the order of the stabiliser G_s divides the index of $\Lambda_{J(L)}^{\dim G}$ in $H^{\dim G}(G, \mathbb{Z})$.

- (2) *Suppose that $J(L)$ is globally generated by holonomic sections (see Section 2.2), and the map $O^* : H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E), \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective. Then $\Lambda_{J(L)}^*$ has finite index in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. To prove the first part, we note that by Lemma 3.2.15 we have $H^1(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L), \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$, which by the Alexander duality and our assumption on $\text{Sing}(L)$ implies that $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)$ is the complement of a hypersurface in an affine space. We then apply Corollary 2.2.12, Theorem 3.1.1 and Proposition 3.2.1.

The second part of the theorem follows from Proposition 2.2.10 □

Corollary 3.3.2. *Let G and X be as in Theorem 3.3.1, and let E be a G -equivariant algebraic vector bundle over X of arbitrary rank r . Suppose G' is an arbitrary connected reductive subgroup of the group \tilde{G} (see Notation 3.2.5) and take $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)$ (see Section 2.2). Let $O : G' \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ be an orbit map.*

- (1) *Suppose that $\langle c_{d+r-1}(J(L)), [\mathbb{P}(E^*)] \rangle \neq 0$, the discriminant $\text{Sing}(E) = \Gamma(X, E) \setminus \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ is equidimensional, and $\Lambda_{J(L), G'}^*$ has finite index in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G', \mathbb{Z})$. Then the map O^* in rational cohomology is surjective, the geometric quotient $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)/G'$ exists and is affine, and*

$$H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E), \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(G', \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)/G', \mathbb{Q})$$

both as rings and mixed Hodge structures. Moreover, for every $s \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ the order of the stabiliser G'_s divides the index of $\Lambda_{J(L), G'}^{\dim G'}$ in $H^{\dim G'}(G', \mathbb{Z})$.

- (2) *Suppose that $J(L)$ is globally generated by holonomic sections and*

$$O^* : H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E), \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(G', \mathbb{Q})$$

is surjective. Then $\Lambda_{J(L), G'}^$ has finite index in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G', \mathbb{Z})$.*

□

Remark 3.3.3. If $J(L)$ is globally generated by holonomic sections, then $\text{Sing}(E)$ is irreducible. So if E satisfies the former condition and $\langle c_{d+r-1}(J(L)), [\mathbb{P}(E^*)] \rangle \neq 0$, then $\Lambda_{J(L), G'}^*$ has finite index in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G', \mathbb{Z})$ if and only if O^* is surjective in rational cohomology. We also note that if L is very ample, then $J(L)$ is globally generated by holonomic sections, and that $\text{Sing}(E)$ is irreducible if the action of \tilde{G} on $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$ is transitive; for line bundles the latter holds if and only if the action of G on X is transitive.

Recall that for every $y \in H_*(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$ the image of $(S(e_{G'}(J(L)))) / y$ in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G', \mathbb{Z})$ is primitive by Remark 1.3.7. Let i_l be the order of the cokernel of the map

$$(3.3.4) \quad H_{2(r-1+d)-2(l-1)}(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow P^{2l-1}, y \mapsto (S(e_{G'}(J(L)))) / y$$

where $P^* \subset H_{\text{fr}}^*(G', \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the graded group of primitive elements.

Corollary 3.3.5. *We keep the notation and assumptions of Corollary 3.3.2. Suppose all i_l are finite. Then $\Lambda_{J(L), G'}^*$ has finite index in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G', \mathbb{Z})$ and the index of $\Lambda_{J(L), G'}^{\dim G'}$ in $H^{\dim G'}(G', \mathbb{Z})$ is $\prod_l i_l$. In particular, for every $s \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ the order $|G'_s|$ divides $\prod_l i_l$.* □

Finally, we note that if $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, $\text{Aut}(E/X) = \mathbb{C}^*$, and $E' = J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1))$, then Corollary 3.2.16 allows one to deduce the information about $\Lambda_{E', \tilde{G}}^*$ that we need to apply Corollary 3.3.2 to $G' = \tilde{G}$ from the same information about $\Lambda_{E', G}^*$.

4. EXAMPLES

This section contains examples and methods for computing them. For our applications it will suffice to work with rational cohomology whilst keeping track of the integral lattices.

In this section we take G to be a connected complex affine algebraic group, P a parabolic subgroup of G , $X = G/P$, E an algebraic G -equivariant vector bundle on X , and $V = \Gamma(X, E)$, the space of holomorphic sections of E , and explicitly describe in several examples the cohomology classes $S(e_{\tilde{G}}(E'))$ modulo torsion; here \tilde{G} is the group defined in Section 3.2.1, $y \in H_*(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$, $E' = J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1))$ (see Section 2.2), and the classes $S(e_{\tilde{G}}(E'))$ live in $H^*(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z})$. Recall that by Theorem 2.2.11, in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ the classes $S(e_{\tilde{G}}(E'))/y$ coincide with the pullbacks $O^*(\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}(y))$ under the orbit map $O : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$. Recall also that if E is a line bundle, then $\mathbb{P}(E^*) = X$, $E' = J(E)$ and $\tilde{G} = \mathbb{C}^* \times G$, see Remark 2.2.7 and Lemma 3.2.10, and by Corollary 3.2.16 to calculate the image of $S(e_{\tilde{G}}(E'))/y$ in H_{fr}^* it suffices to do the same for $S(e_G(E'))/y$.

In Section 4.1 we describe a general procedure that starts with an arbitrary equivariant vector bundle E' over X with vanishing Euler class and calculates the classes $S(e_G(E'))$ modulo torsion. We illustrate this procedure for the complete flag varieties of $G = SL_3(\mathbb{C})$, $Sp_4(\mathbb{C})$ and G_2 in Section 4.3. If X is “small”, then one can calculate $S(e_G(E'))$ directly, which we do in Section 4.2 for the bundles $\mathcal{O}(d)$ on projective spaces and non-degenerate quadrics. In Section 4.4 we consider higher rank bundles on Plücker embedded Grassmannians and give applications to certain Fano varieties. Finally, in Section 4.5 we summarise our results and explain how they are related to known results about automorphism groups.

4.1. Generalities. Let us first recall a few facts about principal and induced bundles.

If H is a Lie group, $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$ is a principal H -bundle and $R : H \rightarrow GL(V)$ is a representation, then we will denote the induced vector bundle on X constructed using the natural *left* action of H on $\mathcal{P} \times V$ by $\mathcal{P} \times_R V$, or $\mathcal{P} \times_H V$ when it is clear which representation is meant. If H is a Lie subgroup of a Lie group H' , then we can take $\mathcal{P} = H'$. The resulting vector bundle $H' \times_R V$ over $X = H'/H$ is then H' -equivariant, and all H' -equivariant vector bundles over H'/H are obtained in this way.

Example 4.1.1. Suppose $H' = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and H is the stabiliser of a vector subspace $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ of rank k . The action of H on W , respectively \mathbb{C}^n/W corresponds to the tautological bundle U of rank k , respectively the quotient bundle Q of rank $n - k$ over the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(n, k) = H'/H$. The cotangent bundle $\Omega_{\text{Gr}(n, k)} \cong \text{Hom}(Q, U)$ as H' -equivariant vector bundles. Note that if $k = 1$, then the bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $H'/H = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ is U^* .

If $H = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and R is the standard action of H on \mathbb{C}^n , then $EH \times_R \mathbb{C}^n$ is the tautological rank n bundle γ^n over BH .

Lemma 4.1.2. *If H is a Lie subgroup of a Lie group H' and $R : H \rightarrow GL(V)$ is a representation of H , then there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H' \times_R V & \longrightarrow & (H' \times_R V)_{hH'} & \longleftarrow & EH \times_R V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H'/H & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & (H'/H)_{hH'} & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & BH \end{array}$$

in which the vertical arrows are vector bundle projections, the top horizontal arrows are fibrewise maps of vector bundles and the bottom right horizontal arrow is a homotopy equivalence. \square

Let now E' be a G -equivariant vector bundle over $X = G/P$ with Euler class 0. In this subsection we sketch an algorithm that allows one to calculate $S(e_G(E'))$ and the resulting cohomology classes $\in H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$. Recall that the ring $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is a free graded commutative \mathbb{Z} -algebra, see Remark 1.3.6.

In order to apply 1.2.18 we need the following data.

- (1) A system of generators s_1, \dots, s_n of $H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(s_1), \dots, \bar{\gamma}(s_n)$ are free multiplicative generators of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$. (Note that we do not require that s_1, \dots, s_n themselves should be integral.)
- (2) The class $e_G(E')$ expressed in terms of $\beta^*(s_1), \dots, \beta^*(s_n)$.
- (3) The map $\alpha^* : H^*(BP, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

We explain these steps in more detail in Sections 4.1.1-4.1.4; before we do that we make a few observations.

Remark 4.1.3. There is a complex semi-simple simply connected group H , a parabolic subgroup $P_H \subset H$ and an algebraic group homomorphism $H \rightarrow G$ with finite kernel that takes P_H to P and induces an isomorphism $H/P_H \rightarrow G/P$. Using the functoriality of S (see Diagram 1.2.19) we see that for the purposes of calculating S we may assume G semi-simple and simply connected.

Moreover, for such a G one can obtain a system of free multiplicative generators for $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ by first doing the same for the simple factors of G and then taking the exterior products (this follows from the Künneth formula, see e.g. [44, Theorem 5.6.1]). So in particular, in step 1 of the above strategy it would suffice to consider the case of G simple and simply connected.

Notation 4.1.4. If H is a complex algebraic group, then we denote its *character group* $\text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$ by $\mathfrak{X}(H)$.

Let $T \subset P$ be a maximal torus of G . There is an isomorphism between the character group $\mathfrak{X}(T)$ and $H^2(BT, \mathbb{Z})$ that takes a character $\chi : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ to $c_1(ET \times_{\chi} \mathbb{C})$. Let \mathfrak{t} be the Lie algebra of T . There is an embedding $\mathfrak{X}(T) \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^* = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathbb{C})$ that takes $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}(T)$ to the differential $d\chi$ at the identity element. This gives us an integral structure on \mathfrak{t}^* . We identify $H^*(BT, \mathbb{C})$ with the symmetric \mathbb{C} -algebra $\text{Sym}^*(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ on \mathfrak{t}^* and $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Z})$ with the symmetric \mathbb{Z} -algebra $\text{Sym}^*(\mathfrak{X}(T))$ on $\mathfrak{X}(T) \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$.

Notation 4.1.5. We denote the i -th elementary symmetric polynomial in x_1, \dots, x_n by $\sigma_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

The following lemma is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 4.1.2.

Lemma 4.1.6. *Let $R : P \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a complex representation and set $\bar{E} = G \times_R V$. Let $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{\dim V} \in \mathfrak{X}(T)$ be the weights of its restriction to T . Let us identify $H^*(BP, \mathbb{Q})$ with its image in $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})$ and the homotopy quotient X_{hG} with BP . The total Chern class of the bundle \bar{E}_{hG} over X_{hG} is then*

$$(4.1.7) \quad \prod_{i=1}^{\dim V} (1 + \chi_i) \in H^*(BP, \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Moreover, $\alpha^*(\sigma_i(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{\dim V})) = c_i(\bar{E})$ for every $i = 1, \dots, \dim V$. \square

Until the beginning of Section 4.1.4 we suppose that P is a Borel subgroup B of G , and then we will indicate the modifications that are necessary if P is arbitrary. The classifying space of B is homotopy equivalent to BT .

The Weyl group $W = N_G(T)/Z_G(T)$ of G acts on $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})$, and $\beta^* : H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})$ induces an isomorphism between $H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q})$ and the ring of invariants $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})^W$.

Notation 4.1.8. In the sequel we will often identify an element $s \in H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q})$ with its image in $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})$, and vice versa. In particular, for $x \in H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})^W$ we will write $\bar{\gamma}(x)$ instead of $\bar{\gamma}((\beta^*)^{-1}(x))$.

4.1.1. *Generators of $I_1(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and the map $\bar{\gamma}$.* Recall that for our purposes it suffices to calculate $\bar{\gamma}$ for G simple and simply-connected (see Remark 4.1.3). There does not seem to be a way to do this uniformly for all G . For example, while calculating $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ is straightforward, identifying the integral lattice $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ in a functorial manner is less so, cf. the calculations below for orthogonal and Spin groups.

In order to make our procedure explicit and applicable to a reasonably large class of examples, in Section 4.1.1 we take G to be a classical Lie group or G_2 and describe a system of generators s_1, \dots, s_n of $H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(s_1), \dots, \bar{\gamma}(s_n)$ are free multiplicative generators of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$. The details for the exceptional Lie groups other than G_2 will be presented elsewhere. If the quotient of the Lie algebra of G by the solvable radical is a direct sum of copies of the classical simple complex Lie algebras, we say that G is of *classical type*.

We denote the set of all diagonal square complex matrices by D and let $\varepsilon_i : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the map that takes a matrix $\in D$ to the i -th entry on the diagonal.

Example 4.1.9. Set $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $T = G \cap D$, the group of all invertible diagonal matrices. Then the Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} of T is D , and the elements $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ generate the integral lattice $\mathfrak{X}(T) \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ and freely generate the algebra $\text{Sym}^*(\mathfrak{X}(T)) = H^*(BT, \mathbb{Z})$. The Weyl group W is the group of permutations of $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$. We set $s_i = \sigma_i(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$. The ring of invariants $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})^W$ is generated by s_1, \dots, s_n , so these polynomials generate $I_1(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Note that by Example 4.1.1 and Lemma 4.1.6, s_i viewed as an element of $H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q})$ is $c_i(\gamma^n)$, so $s_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ are in fact free multiplicative generators of $H^*(BG, \mathbb{Z})$.

It follows from the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the bundle $EG \rightarrow BG$ that there are free multiplicative generators $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{2n-1}$ (where the subscript denotes the degree) of $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) = H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ such that \mathbf{x}_{2i-1} transgresses to s_i modulo the ideal generated by $s_{<i}$. By Remark 1.2.13 we have $\bar{\gamma}(s_i) = \mathbf{x}_{2i-1}, i = 1, \dots, n$. Note that $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{2n-1}$ can also be constructed inductively as follows: we take the top generator \mathbf{x}_{2n-1} to be the pullback of an appropriately chosen generator of $H^{2n-1}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{Z})$ under o^* where $o : GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is an orbit map, and we require the remaining generators to restrict to the same generators for $GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Example 4.1.10. Set $G = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $T = G \cap D$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ let ϵ_i be the restriction of ε_i to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} of T . The elements $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$ generate $\mathfrak{X}(T)$ subject to the relation $\sum \epsilon_i = 0$, and we have $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n]/(\sum \epsilon_i)$. The Weyl group is the same as in the previous example, and the ring $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})^W$ is generated by $s_i, i = 2, \dots, n$ where s_i is the image of $\sigma_i(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ in $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})$. By abuse of notation we denote the restriction of \mathbf{x}_i from the previous example to G also by \mathbf{x}_i . Then for every $i = 2, \dots, n$ we have $\bar{\gamma}(s_i) = \mathbf{x}_i$.

Example 4.1.11. Set $G = Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$. We embed G in $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ as the stabiliser of the skew-symmetric bilinear form with matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Set $T = G \cap D$. Note that the Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} of T is $\{\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n, -x_1, \dots, -x_n) \mid \text{all } x_i \in \mathbb{C}\}$.

The elements $\varepsilon_i, i \leq n$ generate the group $\mathfrak{X}(T_1) \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ and freely generate the algebra $\text{Sym}^*(\mathfrak{X}(T)) = H^*(BT, \mathbb{Z})$. The Weyl group W is the group of all permutations and sign changes of $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$, so the ring $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})^W$ is generated by s_1, \dots, s_n where each $s_i = \sigma_i(\varepsilon_1^2, \dots, \varepsilon_n^2)$. By using power sums one can check that, modulo decomposable elements, the polynomial $\sigma_i(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{2n})$ restricted to \mathfrak{t} is 0 if i is odd and $(-1)^{\frac{i}{2}} s_{\frac{i}{2}}$ if i is even. Note that it follows from the definition of $\bar{\gamma}$ (see Notation 1.2.12) that $\bar{\gamma}$ is zero on decomposable elements.

Let us now construct a system of free multiplicative generators of $H^*(Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ and compare it with the one we constructed above for $H^*(GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$. The group $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ is homotopy equivalent to $GL_n(\mathbb{H}) \subset GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, as both deformation retract onto the quaternionic unitary group $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \cap U(2n)$. We can construct

a system of free multiplicative generators for $GL_n(\mathbb{H})$ in the same way as for $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ above in Example 4.1.9. Moreover, using the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{H}) & \longrightarrow & GL_n(\mathbb{H}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ GL_{2n-2}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^{2n} \setminus \{0\} \end{array}$$

(in which both horizontal arrows on the right are orbit maps) we see that we get a system of free multiplicative generators of $H^*(Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ by restricting the classes \mathbf{x}_i from the Example 4.1.9 with $i \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ from $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ to $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$. The map $\tilde{\gamma}$ is functorial in G , so using the previous paragraph we see that $y_{4i-1} = \tilde{\gamma}(s_i) \in H^*(G, \mathbb{Q}), i = 1, \dots, n$ are integral and form a system of free multiplicative generators of $H^*(Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$.

In order to handle the orthogonal and Spin groups we need the following lemma.

- Lemma 4.1.12.** (1) *The inclusion $SO_{2n-1}(\mathbb{R}) \subset SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ induces a surjective map of $H^*(-, \mathbb{Z})$ (and hence so does $SO_{2n-1}(\mathbb{R}) \subset SO_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$).*
(2) *Let $o : SO_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S^{2n-1}$ be the orbit map of an element of S^{2n-1} . The group $o^*(H^{2n-1}(S^{2n-1}, \mathbb{Z}))$ is $\cong \mathbb{Z}$ and is a direct summand of $H^{2n-1}(SO_{2n}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z})$.*
(3) *Let $V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$, respectively $V_2(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ be the Stiefel manifold of orthogonal 2-frames in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} , respectively unitary 2-frames in \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} , and let $i : V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}) \rightarrow V_2(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ be the natural inclusion. Then the image of the integral cohomology map i^* in degree $4n-1$ has index 2.*

Proof. The first two statements can be checked using the explicit CW-structure on $SO_k(\mathbb{R})$ given e.g. in [19, §3D]. Let us prove the third statement.

We equip \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} with the standard Hermitian metric. Let e be the vector $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ in the unit sphere $S_{\mathbb{C}} \cong S^{4n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$, and set $K = \{ze \mid z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| = 1\}$. We construct a section f of the projection $p : V_2(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}) \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C}}$ over the complement $S_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$ by taking $f(x), x \in S_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$ to be the couple $(x, \text{the normalised orthogonal projection of } e \text{ to the orthogonal complement of } x)$. Let M be the image of f . The circle K does not intersect the real unit sphere $S_{\mathbb{R}} \cong S^{2n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ and bounds a smooth embedded 2-disk $D \subset S_{\mathbb{C}}$ that for dimension reasons may also be assumed not to intersect $S_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Let D' be a closed neighbourhood of D that is diffeomorphic to a $4n+1$ -disk and does not intersect $S_{\mathbb{R}}$, and let D'' be $\overline{S_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus D'}$. The intersection $M \cap p^{-1}(\partial D') = f(\partial D')$ is diffeomorphic to S^{4n} , and so it is null homologous in $p^{-1}(D') \simeq S^{4n-1}$. Using this one can construct a smooth singular cycle c in $V_2(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ such that every singular simplex in c lies either in $p^{-1}(D')$ or in $p^{-1}(D'')$, and the simplices of the second type form a triangulation of $M \cap D''$ and are transversal to $V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$. Let Dc be the Poincaré dual cohomology class of c . Note that Dc is a generator of $H^{4n-1}(V_2(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}), \mathbb{Z})$, which can be checked by restricting Dc to $p^{-1}(x), x \in S_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus D'$. Finally, a straightforward check shows that M intersects $V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$ transversally at two points

$$((\pm 1, 0, \dots, 0), (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0))$$

which have the same sign: if the signs were opposite, the map of $H^{4n-1}(-, \mathbb{Q})$ induced by the inclusion $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R}) \subset U_{2n+1}$ would be zero, which is not the case, see e.g. [28, Theorem 6.7 (2)]. This proves the third statement of the lemma. \square

Example 4.1.13. Set $G = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. We embed G in $GL_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ as the stabiliser of the symmetric

bilinear form with matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n & 0 \\ I_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We again set $T = G \cap D$, and the Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} of T is

$$\{\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n, -x_1, \dots, -x_n, 0) \mid \text{all } x_i \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

The lattice $\mathfrak{X}(T)$ is again generated by $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ and the Weyl group W is the same as in Example 4.1.11. As above we set $s_i = \sigma_i(\varepsilon_1^2, \dots, \varepsilon_n^2), i = 1, \dots, n$ and find that these elements generate $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})^W$ and that, modulo decomposable elements, $\sigma_i(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{2n+1})$ restricted to \mathfrak{t} is 0 if i is odd and $(-1)^{\frac{i}{2}} s_{\frac{i}{2}}$ if i is even.

Using Lemma 4.1.12 we see that there exist elements $\mathbf{z}'_i \in H_{\text{fr}}^i(SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}), i = 3, 7, \dots, 4n - 1$ that form a system of free multiplicative generators and such that for $i \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ the restriction of $\mathbf{x}_i \in H^i(GL_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ to $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ is $2\mathbf{z}'_i$. (To define the classes \mathbf{z}'_i we proceed by induction on n , cf. Example 4.1.9; the top generator \mathbf{z}'_{4n-1} is set to be the pullback of a generator of $H^{4n-1}(V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}), \mathbb{Z})$ under an orbit map.) As in Example 4.1.11 we conclude that the classes $\mathbf{z}_{4i-1} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}(s_i) \in H^*(G, \mathbb{Q}), i = 1, \dots, n$ are in fact integral and form a system of free multiplicative generators of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$.

Example 4.1.14. The case of $G = Spin_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ follows from the previous one with minor modifications. Let T, \mathfrak{t}, W and $s_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ be the same as in Example 4.1.13. Let $T' \subset G$ be the torus with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} . The group W acts on $H^*(BT', \mathbb{Q})$ and the ring $H^*(BT', \mathbb{Q})^W$ is again generated by s_1, \dots, s_n . Note however that the character group $\mathfrak{X}(T') \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ is strictly larger than $\mathfrak{X}(T)$: it is the set of all $x \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ such that the coordinates of x in the basis $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ are either all integer or all half-integer; this group contains $\mathfrak{X}(T)$ as a subgroup of index 2.

Let $p : Spin_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be the covering map. The map

$$p^* : H_{\text{fr}}^*(SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{fr}}^*(Spin_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$$

takes all classes $\mathbf{z}_i, i = 3, 7, \dots, 4n - 1$ but one to free multiplicative generators, and the remaining class to twice a free multiplicative generator. It follows from [32, p. 122 and Section 7.4] that this class is in fact \mathbf{z}_{4t-1} where t is the highest power of 2 that is $\leq n$. So we get a system of free generators of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(Spin_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ by taking $\frac{1}{4}\bar{\gamma}(s_t)$ and $\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}(s_i), i = 1, \dots, n, i \neq t$.

Example 4.1.15. Set $G = SO_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), n > 1$. We embed G in $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ as the stabiliser of the symmetric bilinear form with matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $T, \mathfrak{t}, s_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ be as in Example 4.1.11. The Weyl group this time will be the group of permutations and sign changes of $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ that only involve an even number of signs. So the ring $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})^W$ will be generated by s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} and the Pfaffian $s = \prod \varepsilon_i$; note that $s_n = s^2$.

Let us calculate $\bar{\gamma}(s)$. Let $\mathbf{z}' \in H^{2n-1}(SO_{2n}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z})$ be a generator of the group $o^*(H^{2n-1}(S^{2n-1}, \mathbb{Z}))$ from Lemma 4.1.12. We claim that $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \pm \mathbf{z}'$. To prove this notice that firstly, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ESO_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & S(\gamma^{2n}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BSO_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{=} & BSO_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

of fibre bundles, where $S(\gamma^{2n})$ denotes the spherisation of the tautological (real) oriented vector bundle γ^{2n} over $BSO_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$, and secondly, the pullback of γ^{2n} to BT splits as a sum of n oriented bundles of rank 2 and has Euler class $\prod \varepsilon_i = s$. From these observations it follows that the element $\mathbf{z}' \in E_2^{0, 2n-1}$ of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of $ESO_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow BSO_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ is transgressive; moreover, by Proposition 1.1.7 it transgresses to $\pm e(\gamma^{2n}) \in H^{2n}(BSO_{2n}, \mathbb{Z})$, which pulls back to $\pm s \in H^{2n}(BT, \mathbb{Z})$ under $BT \rightarrow BSO_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$. This implies that $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \pm \mathbf{z}'$, see Remark 1.2.13.

As in Example 4.1.13 we deduce using Lemma 4.1.12 that there exist elements $\mathbf{z}'_i \in H_{\text{fr}}^i(SO_{2n}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}), i = 3, 7, \dots, 4n - 5$ that together with \mathbf{z}' form a system of free multiplicative generators of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(SO_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$. For $i \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ the restriction of $\mathbf{x}_i \in H^i(GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ to $SO_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ is $2\mathbf{z}'_i$. We can now conclude as above in Example 4.1.13 that the elements $\mathbf{z}_{4i-1} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}(s_i) \in H^*(G, \mathbb{Q}), i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ and $\mathbf{z} = \bar{\gamma}(s)$ are integral and form a system of free multiplicative generators of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(SO_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$.

Example 4.1.16. The case $G = Spin_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), n > 1$ is very similar to Examples 4.1.14 and 4.1.15, so we will just state the answer. Let $T, \mathfrak{t}, W, s_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ and s be as in Example 4.1.15. Take $T' \subset G$ to be the torus with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} . As in the previous example, the group W acts on $H^*(BT', \mathbb{Q})$ and the ring $H^*(BT', \mathbb{Q})^W$ is generated by s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, s . Moreover, let t be the highest power of 2 that is $\leq n - 1$. The elements $\bar{\gamma}(s), \frac{1}{4}\bar{\gamma}(s_t)$ and $\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}(s_i), i = 1, \dots, n - 1, i \neq t$ are integral and form a system of free multiplicative generators of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(Spin_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$.

Example 4.1.17. Set G to be the (unique) complex simple group of type G_2 . Below we deduce information about G via an embedding $G \subset Spin_7(\mathbb{C})$, so we let $T, \mathfrak{t}, W, \varepsilon_i, s_i$ and $\mathbf{z}_{4i-1}, i = 1, 2, 3$ be as in Example 4.1.13 for $n = 3$. Let $K \subset G$ be a maximal compact subgroup. Then K is a Lie subgroup of $Spin_7(\mathbb{R})$, all such subgroups are conjugate and the quotient space $Spin_7(\mathbb{R})/K \cong S^7$, see e.g. [47, Section 2, Theorem 3]. It follows from the fact that $H^5(K, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ (see e.g. [1, Theorem 2.18]) that all differentials of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the fibre bundle $K \subset Spin_7(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S^7$ that originate in $E_{\geq 2}^{0,11}$ are zero, so the inclusion $K \subset Spin_7(\mathbb{R})$ induces a surjective map of the integral cohomology groups modulo torsion, and by Example 4.1.14 so does the composite map $i : K \rightarrow Spin_7(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow SO_7(\mathbb{R})$, so $\mathbf{w}_3 = i^*(\mathbf{z}_3)$ and $\mathbf{w}_{11} = i^*(\mathbf{z}_{11})$ are free multiplicative generators of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(K, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let $\pi : Spin_7(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow GL_8(\mathbb{R})$ be the unique irreducible representation of dimension 8, and let $\pi_{\mathbb{C}} : Spin_7(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GL_8(\mathbb{C})$ be its complexification, which is also irreducible (see [47]) and has weights $t_1\varepsilon_1 + t_2\varepsilon_2 + t_3\varepsilon_3$ where $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \{\pm\frac{1}{2}\}$. The group $K \subset Spin_7(\mathbb{R})$ is the stabiliser of a non-zero element under π , so $G \subset Spin_7(\mathbb{C})$ is the stabiliser of an $x \in \mathbb{C}^8 \setminus \{0\}$ under $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$. By conjugating $G \subset Spin_7(\mathbb{C})$ if necessary we may assume that a maximal torus T_G of G is $\subset T$, so x is a weight vector. The Weyl group W acts transitively on the weights of $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$, so we may further assume that the weight that corresponds to x is $\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_G \subset \mathfrak{t}$ of T_G is then given by $\sum \varepsilon_i = 0$ and we have

$$H^*(BT_G, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})/(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3) = \mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3]/(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3);$$

note that the long roots of G are the restrictions of the roots $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$ of $SO_7(\mathbb{C})$, while the short roots are the restrictions of $\pm(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)$ and $\pm\varepsilon_i$; here $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, i \neq j$. Set s_{1, G_2} , respectively s_{3, G_2} to be the image of $s_1 = \sum \varepsilon_i^2$, respectively $s_3 = \prod \varepsilon_i^2$ in $H^*(BT_G, \mathbb{Q})$. The elements $\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}(s_{1, G_2}) = \mathbf{w}_3$ and $\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}(s_{3, G_2}) = \mathbf{w}_{11}$ are free multiplicative generators of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$.

4.1.2. *Expressing $e_G(E')$ in terms of the generators of $I_1(X, \mathbb{Q})$.* Let $X = G/B$ and $E' \rightarrow X$ be as above in the beginning of Section 4.1. Suppose that we have a system s_1, \dots, s_n of homogeneous generators of $I_1(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Since $e(E') = 0$, the equivariant Euler class $e_G(E') \in I_1(X, \mathbb{Q})$ by Propositions 1.2.10 and 1.3.1, so we can write it as

$$(4.1.18) \quad e_G(E') = \sum p_i s_i$$

where each $p_i \in H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})$ is homogeneous of degree $\text{rk } E' - \text{deg } s_i$. Note that 4.1.18 is a finite-dimensional linear system of equations in p_1, \dots, p_n .

In practice however, instead of solving 4.1.18 directly it is more convenient to use Gröbner bases for the ideals generated by the Weyl invariants. In [29] T. Mora and M. Sala construct a system of homogeneous generators for the ideal $I \subset \mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ generated by the elementary symmetric polynomials and prove that it is a Gröbner basis with respect to any monomial ordering $<$ such that $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$. This takes care of the case $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, and a similar construction can be given for any other reductive group G . The details will be presented elsewhere.

4.1.3. *The map α^* and calculating the answer.* Here we assume that that we have homogeneous generators s_1, \dots, s_n of the ideal $I_1(X, \mathbb{Q})$ that have been chosen so that $\bar{\gamma}(s_1), \dots, \bar{\gamma}(s_n)$ is a system of free multiplicative generators of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$. Writing $e_G(E') = \sum p_i s_i$ with p_i as in 4.1.18, and applying 1.2.18 we get

$$S(e_G(E')) = S_1(e_G(E')) = \sum \bar{\gamma}(s_i) \times \alpha^*(p_i) \in H_{\text{fr}}^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^*(G \times X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

So if $y \in H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$, then

$$(4.1.19) \quad S(e_G(E'))/y = \sum \langle \alpha^*(p_i), y \rangle \bar{\gamma}(s_i) \in H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^*(G, \mathbb{Q}).$$

The left hand side of 4.1.19 is integral for every $y \in H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$, so it follows from our assumption on s_i 's that all classes $\alpha^*(p_i)$ are integral too.

For our applications we will need to determine whether the ring $\Lambda_{E'}^*$ (see Notation 2.1.33) has finite index in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$, and if it does, to calculate the index of $\Lambda_{E'}^k$ in $H^k(G, \mathbb{Z})$ where k is the top degree in which the cohomology of G is non-zero, see Theorem 3.3.1. In other words, we have to determine the image of the homomorphism

$$(4.1.20) \quad y \mapsto S(e_G(E'))/y.$$

Recall that all integral elements in $\text{Im } \bar{\gamma} \subset H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ are primitive (Remark 1.3.6) and note that both the homology and cohomology of G/B are torsion free ([2]). Therefore we can consider 4.1.20 as a homomorphism acting between the free graded abelian groups $H_*(G/B, \mathbb{Z})$ and $P^* \subset H_{\text{fr}}^*(G)$; note also that it maps $H_{2\text{rk}(E')-2l}$ to P^{2l-1} for every fixed $l \geq 1$. So acting degreewise, we set $m_l = \text{rk } P^{2l-1}$ and let r_l , respectively i_l be the rank, respectively the order of the cokernel of 4.1.20 restricted to $H_{2\text{rk}(E')-2l}$, cf. Corollary 3.3.5.

Proposition 4.1.21. *The ring $\Lambda_{E'}^*$ has finite index in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ if and only if all i_l are finite, in which case $\prod_l i_l$ is the index of $\Lambda_{E'}^k$ in $H^k(G, \mathbb{Z})$. \square*

In order to find the numbers r_l and i_l one can choose bases for the source and for the target and write down the corresponding matrix for 4.1.20. Bases for P^* for classical Lie groups and G_2 were described in Section 4.1.1. In [2], I. Bernstein, I. Gelfand and S. Gelfand give a basis for $H_*(G/B, \mathbb{Z})$ and an integration formula that allows one to evaluate $\alpha^*(f)$, $f \in H^*(BT, \mathbb{Z})$ on the elements of the basis.

In more detail, let us assume that G is semi-simple and simply connected (which we are allowed to do by Remark 4.1.3), and suppose we have chosen a system Π of simple roots of G . For γ a root of G let σ_γ denote the reflection in the orthogonal complement of γ . There is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $H_*(G/B, \mathbb{Z})$ the elements of which are in bijection with the elements of the Weyl group W of G . An element $w \in W$ corresponds to a basis element $e_w \in H_{2\ell(w)}(G/B, \mathbb{Z})$ where $\ell(w)$ is the length of w with respect to the generators $\sigma_\gamma, \gamma \in \Pi$. (In [2] e_w is denoted s_w ; we use the notation e_w to avoid confusion with the generators s_1, \dots, s_n of $H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q})$.) Theorems 3.4 and 4.1 of [2] allow one to evaluate $\alpha^*(f)$ for a given $f \in \text{Sym}^*(\mathfrak{X}(T)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})$ on e_w once w has been written as a product of $\ell(w)$ reflections $\sigma_\gamma, \gamma \in \Pi$. We do not reproduce this procedure here, but we give explicit examples in Section 4.3.

Returning to the question of calculating i_l and r_l , let $t_1, \dots, t_{m_l} \in \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ be the elements of degree $2l$. Let q_1, \dots, q_{m_l} be the coefficients of t_1, \dots, t_{m_l} in $\sum p_i s_i$. To calculate r_l and i_l for a given l we apply the integration formula to $f = q_j, j = 1, \dots, m_l$ and w an arbitrary element of length $\text{rk } E' - l$. Since we wish in fact to calculate r_l and i_l for all $l \geq 1$, we need to express every $w \in W$ of length $< \text{rk } E'$ as a product of $\ell(w)$ reflections $\sigma_\gamma, \gamma \in \Pi$. This can be done in a straightforward way by induction on $\ell(w)$ using the fact that the word problem for W is easy to solve e.g. by applying an explicit “small” faithful permutation action of W (see e.g. [5, Chapter 8] for details for W of type B, C and D).

4.1.4. *Arbitrary P .* Now let P be an arbitrary parabolic subgroup of G . Let $B \subset P$ be a Borel subgroup and let $p: G/B \rightarrow G/P$ be the projection. Using 1.2.19 and the functoriality of the $/$ -product we get

$$(S(e_G(E')))/p_*(y) = (S(e_G(p^*(E')))) / y$$

for every $y \in H_*(G/B, \mathbb{Z})$. Note that $p_*(H_*(G/B, \mathbb{Z})) = H_*(G/P, \mathbb{Z})$ by [2, Corollary 5.4], which implies $\Lambda_{p^*(E')}^* = \Lambda_{E'}^*$. So the case of arbitrary P reduces to the case $P = B$ described above. Note that in the case $P \neq B$ our task is simplified somewhat by the fact that we don't need to take into account all basis elements $e_w \in H_*(G/B, \mathbb{Z})$: I. Bernstein, I. Gelfand and S. Gelfand define a subset W_\emptyset^1 of W ([2, Proposition 5.1]) and prove that $p_*(e_w) = 0$ for $w \notin W_\emptyset^1$ and that the classes $p_*(e_w), w \in W_\emptyset^1$ form a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $H_*(G/P, \mathbb{Z})$ ([2, Corollary 5.3]).

Finally, we note that for “small” G/P it is possible to calculate the map α^* directly, i.e. without the integration formula. In the next subsection we do this for projective spaces and quadrics.

4.2. Projective spaces and quadrics. In the rest of this section we will write $\text{Lk}(y), y \in H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ instead of $\text{Lk}_V^{\text{Sing}}(y)$ (see Definition 2.2.6) because in all examples below V will be $\Gamma(X, E)$ for some algebraic vector bundle E over X .

Notation 4.2.1. For $d, n, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ set $\mathbf{m}(d, n, i) = (d-1)^{n+1} + (-1)^{i+1}(d-1)^{n+1-i}$. Note that if n, i are fixed, i is even and $i, n+1-i \geq 0$, then $\frac{\mathbf{m}(d, n, i)}{d-2}$ is an integral polynomial in d . We denote this polynomial by $\mathbf{m}'(d, n, i)$. We also note that $\mathbf{m}(d, n, i) \neq 0$ for $d \notin \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\mathbf{m}'(d, n, i) \neq 0$ for $d \notin \{0, 1\}$.

4.2.1. *Projective spaces.* Set $X = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}), E = \mathcal{O}(d), G = GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$, and let P be the stabiliser of a line $l \in X$. Using the notation of Example 4.1.9 we have $H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[s_1, \dots, s_{n+1}]$ where s_i is the i -th elementary symmetric polynomial in $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n+1} \in H^2(BT, \mathbb{Q})$. Let us take l to be the line spanned by $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. The

ring $H^*(BP, \mathbb{Q})$ then also becomes a subring of $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})$: we have $H^*(BP, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[b, b_1, \dots, b_n]$ where $b = \varepsilon_1$ and $b_i = \sigma_i(\varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{n+1})$. Note that under these identifications the map $\beta^* : H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(BP, \mathbb{Q})$ becomes the inclusion, so we have $\beta^*(s_i) = b_i + bb_{i-1}$ where we set for convenience $b_0 = 1$ and $b_{n+1} = 0$. The ideal $I_1(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by $a_i = b_i + bb_{i-1}, i = 1, \dots, n+1$.

Set $E' = J(E)$. Using Example 4.1.1 we see that the weights of the representations of P that correspond to $\mathcal{O}(d)$, respectively $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})}$ are $-d\varepsilon_1$, respectively $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_i, i \in \{2, \dots, n+1\}$. By exact sequence 2.2.5 the weights of the representation of P that corresponds to E' are

$$(4.2.2) \quad -d\varepsilon_1, (1-d)\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_i, i \in \{2, \dots, n+1\}.$$

Multiplying these together and using Lemma 4.1.6 we get

$$(4.2.3) \quad c_{n+1}(E'_{hG}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} ((1-d)\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_i) = -(d-1)b^{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^i (b_i + bb_{i-1})(-d-1)b^{n+1-i}$$

$$= (-1)^{n+1} \left((d-1)b^{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i (d-1)b^{n+1-i} \right) \in H_G^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(BP, \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q}).$$

A straightforward check shows that

$$(4.2.4) \quad b^{n+1} = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i (b_{i+1} + b_i b) b^{n-i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} a_i b^{n-i+1}.$$

Substituting the right hand side in 4.2.3 we get

$$(-1)^{n+1} c_{n+1}(E'_{hG}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i b^{n-i+1} ((d-1)^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} + (d-1)^{n-i+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} a_i b^{n-i+1} \mathbf{m}(d, n, i).$$

Let us now calculate $\alpha^*(b)$. This is straightforward: the vector bundle over $X = G/P$ constructed using $b = \varepsilon_1$ is $\mathcal{O}(-1)$, so applying Lemma 4.1.6 and setting $c = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ we get

$$(4.2.5) \quad \alpha^*(b) = -c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)) = -c.$$

Taking into account formulas 4.2.5 and 1.2.18, Example 4.1.9 and the fact that $a_i = \beta^*(s_i)$ we get

$$S(e_G(E')) = - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathbf{m}(d, n, i) \mathbf{x}_{2i-1} \times c^{n-i+1},$$

so using Corollary 2.2.12 we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.2.6. *We have*

$$(4.2.7) \quad \mathcal{O}^*(\text{Lk}([\mathbb{P}^{n-i+1}(\mathbb{C})])) = S(e_G(E')) / [\mathbb{P}^{n-i+1}(\mathbb{C})] = -\mathbf{m}(d, n, i) \mathbf{x}_{2i-1}, i = 1, \dots, n+1$$

where \mathbf{x}_{2i-1} are the free multiplicative generators of $H^*(GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ from Example 4.1.9 and the coefficients $\mathbf{m}(d, n, i)$ are defined in Notation 4.2.1. \square

4.2.2. Odd-dimensional quadrics. Let G, T, W , and $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \mathfrak{X}(T)$ be as in Example 4.1.13. So $G \cong SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ preserves a non-degenerate quadratic form on \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} , and we let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ be the zero locus of this form. We take E to be the restriction of $\mathcal{O}(d)$ to X and $P \subset G$ equal the stabiliser of $(1 : 0 : \dots : 0) \in X$. The Weyl group $W_P \subset W$ of P is the stabiliser of ε_1 . We identify $H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q})$ with $\mathbb{Q}[s_1, \dots, s_n]$, where s_i is the i -th elementary symmetric polynomial in $\varepsilon_1^2, \dots, \varepsilon_n^2$, and $H^*(BP, \mathbb{Q})$ with $\mathbb{Q}[b, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}]$ where $b = \varepsilon_1$ and $b_i, i = 1, \dots, n-1$ is $\sigma_i(\varepsilon_2^2, \dots, \varepsilon_n^2)$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have $\beta^*(s_i) = b_i + b^2 b_{i-1}$ where $b_0 = 1, b_n = 0$. The ideal $I_1(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by $a_i = b_i + b^2 b_{i-1}, i = 1, \dots, n$.

We now proceed as in the case of the projective space. The bundle $E' = J(E)$ is G -equivariant, and we wish to find the weights of the representation of P that induces E' . We have an exact sequence

$$(4.2.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(d-2)|_X \rightarrow J(\mathcal{O}(d))|_X \rightarrow E' = J(\mathcal{O}(d))|_X \rightarrow 0.$$

(Note that this sequence exists for even-dimensional quadrics as well.) Restricting the weights we found above for $J(\mathcal{O}(d))$ (see formula 4.2.2) to $\mathfrak{X}(T)$ and excluding the weight $(2-d)\varepsilon_1$, which corresponds to $\mathcal{O}(d-2)|_X$, we get

$$-d\varepsilon_1, (1-d)\varepsilon_1, (1-d)\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_i, i \in \{2, \dots, n\}.$$

Multiplying the weights we get by Lemma 4.1.6 (for $d \neq 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} e(E'_{hG}) &= (-\varepsilon_1 - (d-1)\varepsilon_1)(-(d-1)\varepsilon_1) \prod_{i=2}^n ((d-1)^2 \varepsilon_1^2 - \varepsilon_i^2) = \frac{d-1}{d-2} \prod_{i=1}^n ((d-1)^2 \varepsilon_1^2 - \varepsilon_i^2) \\ &= \frac{d-1}{d-2} \left((d-1)^{2n} \varepsilon_1^{2n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (d-1)^{2n-2i} \varepsilon_1^{2n-2i} s_i \right) \\ &= \frac{d-1}{d-2} \left((d-1)^{2n} b^{2n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (d-1)^{2n-2i} b^{2n-2i} a_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

We have (cf. 4.2.4)

$$(4.2.9) \quad b^{2n} = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} (b_i + b_{i-1} b^2) b^{2(n-i)} = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} a_i b^{2(n-i)},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} e(E'_{hG}) &= \frac{d-1}{d-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} ((d-1)^{2n} - (d-1)^{2n-2i}) a_i b^{2n-2i} = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \frac{\mathbf{m}(d, 2n, 2i)}{d-2} a_i b^{2n-2i} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \mathbf{m}'(d, 2n, 2i) a_i b^{2n-2i}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the formula $e(E'_{hG})$ we have just obtained is valid for $d = 2$ as well.

As in Section 4.2.1 we find that $\alpha^*(b) = -c$ where c is $c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))$ restricted to X . Recall that $H^i(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_i(X, \mathbb{Z})$ are $\cong \mathbb{Z}$ if $i \in \{0, 2, \dots, 4n-2\}$ and are 0 otherwise. The class c^i is a generator of $H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ if $i \leq n-1$ and twice a generator if $n \leq i \leq 2n-1$: this follows from the integral Poincaré duality and the fact that $c^{2n-1}([X]) = 2$. Applying formula 1.2.18, Corollary 2.2.12 and Example 4.1.13 we get the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2.10. *There exist additive generators Z_j of $H_{2j}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, $j = 0, \dots, 2n-1$ such that modulo torsion*

$$O^*(\text{Lk}(Z_j)) = S(e_G(E'))/Z_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \text{ is odd,} \\ 2\mathbf{m}'(d, 2n, 2i)\mathbf{z}_{4i-1} & \text{if } j = 2n-2i \text{ and } 0 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ 4\mathbf{m}'(d, 2n, 2i)\mathbf{z}_{4i-1} & \text{if } j = 2n-2i \text{ and } n \leq j \leq 2n-2 \end{cases}$$

where \mathbf{z}_{4i-1} are the free multiplicative generators of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ introduced in Example 4.1.13, and $\mathbf{m}'(d, 2n, 2i)$ are the coefficients defined in Notation 4.2.1. \square

4.2.3. Even-dimensional quadrics. Let G, T, W , and $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \mathfrak{X}(T)$ be as in Example 4.1.15. We take $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ to be the zero locus of the quadratic form on \mathbb{C}^{2n} preserved by G . The line bundle E and the subgroup $P \subset G$ are defined as in the case of odd-dimensional quadrics above. The Weyl group W_P of P is again the stabiliser of ε_1 , so setting $s_i = \sigma_i(\varepsilon_1^2, \dots, \varepsilon_n^2)$, $s = \prod_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i$, $b = \varepsilon_1$, $b_i = \sigma_i(\varepsilon_2^2, \dots, \varepsilon_n^2)$, $s' = \prod_{i=2}^n \varepsilon_i$ we have

$$H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[s_1, \dots, s_n, s], H^*(BP, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[b, b_1, \dots, b_n, s']$$

with the map $\beta^* : H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(BP, \mathbb{Q})$ being the inclusion. The ideal $I_1(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by $a_i = b_i + b^2 b_{i-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $a = bs'$ (we again set $b_0 = 1$).

As in Section 4.2.2 we set $E' = J(E)$ and find that the weights of the corresponding representation of P are

$$-d\varepsilon_1, (1-d)\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_i, i \in \{2, \dots, n\},$$

so we get using Lemma 4.1.6 and temporarily assuming $d \neq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} e(E'_{hG}) &= (-\varepsilon_1 - (d-1)\varepsilon_1) \prod_{i=2}^n ((d-1)^2 \varepsilon_1^2 - \varepsilon_i^2) = \frac{-1}{\varepsilon_1(d-2)} \prod_{i=1}^n ((d-1)^2 \varepsilon_1^2 - \varepsilon_i^2) \\ &= \frac{-1}{\varepsilon_1(d-2)} \left((d-1)^{2n} \varepsilon_1^{2n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (d-1)^{2n-2i} \varepsilon_1^{2n-2i} s_i \right) \\ &= \frac{-1}{d-2} \left((d-1)^{2n} b^{2n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i (d-1)^{2n-2i} b^{2n-2i-1} a_i + (-1)^n a s' \right). \end{aligned}$$

We have (cf. 4.2.4 and 4.2.9)

$$b^{2n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} (b_i + b_{i-1} b^2) b^{2(n-i)-1} + (-1)^{n+1} b_{n-1} b = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} a_i b^{2(n-i)-1} + (-1)^{n+1} a s',$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} e(E'_{hG}) &= \frac{-1}{d-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} ((d-1)^{2n} - (d-1)^{2n-2i}) a_i b^{2n-2i-1} + (-1)^{n+1} ((d-1)^{2n} - 1) a s' \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{d-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \mathbf{m}(d, 2n-1, 2i) a_i b^{2n-2i-1} + (-1)^n \mathbf{m}(d, 2n-1, 2n) a s' \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \mathbf{m}'(d, 2n-1, 2i) a_i b^{2n-2i-1} + (-1)^n \mathbf{m}'(d, 2n-1, 2n) a s'. \end{aligned}$$

The final expression for $e(E'_{hG})$ is valid for $d = 2$ as well.

We have

$$H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_i(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i \in \{0, 2, \dots, 4n-4\} \text{ but } i \neq 2n-2, \\ \mathbb{Z}^2 & \text{if } i = 2n-2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let c be $c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))$ restricted to X . As in Section 4.2.2 we conclude that $\alpha^*(b) = -c$ and the class c^i is a generator of $H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ if $0 \leq i < n-1$ and twice a generator if $n-1 < i \leq 2n-2$.

Lemma 4.2.11. *We identify $H^{4n-4}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ using the fundamental class. Set $\alpha_1 = c^{n-1}$ and $\alpha_2 = \alpha^*(s')$. We have then $\alpha_1^2 = 2$, $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 = 0$ and $\alpha_2^2 = (-1)^{n-1} \cdot 2$. Moreover, there is a basis $\{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2\}$ of $H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\alpha_1 = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2$ and $\alpha_2 = \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2$.*

Proof. We have $\alpha_1^2 = c^{2n-2} = 2$, as $c = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))$, and $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 = (-1)^{n-1} \alpha^*(bs') = (-1)^{n-1} \alpha^*(a) = 0$. Moreover, $\alpha_2^2 = \alpha^*(b_{n-1})$. Using $a_i = b_i + b^2 b_{i-1}$ and $\alpha^*(a_i) = 0$ we deduce by induction that $\alpha(b_i) = (-1)^i \alpha^*(b^{2i}) = (-1)^i c^{2i}$, which implies $\alpha_2^2 = (-1)^{n-1} \cdot 2$.

The intersection form of X represents 2. Using the classification of integral unimodular quadratic forms of small rank (see e.g. [27, Theorem 2.2, Chapter 2]) we conclude that there is a basis of $H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ in which the intersection form has matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ if n is odd and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ if n is even. Multiplying some or all elements of this basis by -1 if necessary we get the required basis $\{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2\}$ of $H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. \square

We now state an analogue of Propositions 4.2.6 and 4.2.10, which again follows by applying formula 1.2.18, Corollary 2.2.12 and Example 4.1.15.

Proposition 4.2.12. *There exist generators Z_j of $H_{2j}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, $j = 0, \dots, 2n - 2$, $j \neq n - 1$ and generators W_1, W_2 of $H_{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ such that modulo torsion*

$$O^*(\text{Lk}(Z_j)) = S(e_G(E'))/Z_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \\ 2\mathbf{m}'(d, 2n - 1, 2i)\mathbf{z}_{4i-1} & \text{if } j = 2n - 2i - 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq j < n - 1, \\ 4\mathbf{m}'(d, 2n - 1, 2i)\mathbf{z}_{4i-1} & \text{if } j = 2n - 2i - 1 \text{ and } n - 1 < j \leq 2n - 3; \end{cases}$$

$$O^*(\text{Lk}(W_1)) = S(e_G(E'))/W_1 = \begin{cases} \mathbf{m}'(d, 2n - 1, 2n)\mathbf{z} + 2\mathbf{m}'(d, 2n - 1, 2i)\mathbf{z}_{4i-1} & \text{if } n - 1 = 2n - 2i - 1, \\ \mathbf{m}'(d, 2n - 1, 2n)\mathbf{z} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \end{cases}$$

$$O^*(\text{Lk}(W_2)) = S(e_G(E'))/W_2 = \begin{cases} -\mathbf{m}'(d, 2n - 1, 2n)\mathbf{z} + 2\mathbf{m}'(d, 2n - 1, 2i)\mathbf{z}_{4i-1} & \text{if } n - 1 = 2n - 2i - 1, \\ -\mathbf{m}'(d, 2n - 1, 2n)\mathbf{z} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Here \mathbf{z}_{4i-1} and \mathbf{z} are the free multiplicative generators of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(SO_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ introduced in Example 4.1.15, and $\mathbf{m}'(d, 2n - 1, 2i)$ are the coefficients defined in Notation 4.2.1. \square

4.2.4. *Degree of the discriminant.* We will need the following proposition later to apply Corollaries 3.2.16 and 3.3.2. A straightforward check shows that in all cases considered in Section 4.2 above a general singular section has one singular point, so by Proposition 3.2.17 the proposition also gives us the degrees of the discriminant varieties.

Proposition 4.2.13. *If $X = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, then $\langle c_n(J(\mathcal{O}(d))), [X] \rangle = (n + 1)(d - 1)^n$. Similarly, if Q a non-degenerate quadric in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, then $\langle c_{n-1}(J(\mathcal{O}(d)|_Q)), [Q] \rangle = 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (i + 1)(d - 1)^i$.*

Proof. We use $c(-)$ to denote the total Chern class of a vector bundle. In the case of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ we have then $c(J(\mathcal{O}(d))) = c((\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})} \oplus \mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(d)) = c(\mathcal{O}(d-1)^{\oplus(n+1)})$ by exact sequence 2.2.5. For Q using exact sequence 4.2.8 we have

$$c(J(\mathcal{O}(d)|_Q)) = \frac{c(J(\mathcal{O}(d))|_Q)}{c(\mathcal{O}(d-2)|_Q)} = \frac{c(\mathcal{O}(d-1)^{\oplus(n+1)}|_Q)}{c(\mathcal{O}(d-2)|_Q)},$$

which implies the formula for $c_{n-1}(J(\mathcal{O}(d)|_Q))$. \square

4.3. **Complete flag varieties.** Let G be a connected complex reductive Lie group, $B \subset G$ a Borel subgroup, $T \subset B$ a maximal torus of G , and set $X = G/B$. Let $R \subset \mathfrak{X}(T)$ be the root system of G . For a character $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}(B) = \mathfrak{X}(T)$ we denote the line bundle $G \times_{\chi} \mathbb{C}$ over X by $\mathcal{O}(\chi)$. By the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem (see e.g. [42], and also [40, §7.4] for a detailed account), $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(\chi)) \neq 0$ if and only if χ is dominant with respect to the system Π of simple roots determined by $B \supset T$.

Recall that in Section 4.1.3 we introduced a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{e_w\}_{w \in W}$ for the integral homology groups $H_*(G/B, \mathbb{Z})$. Here W denotes the Weyl group of G ; recall that $e_w \in H_{2\ell(w)}(G/B, \mathbb{Z})$. Assume χ dominant and set $E' = J(\mathcal{O}(\chi))$. We will work out below the classes $S(e_G(E'))/e_w \in H_{\text{fr}}^{2d+1-2\ell(w)}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, $w \in W$ explicitly in several examples.

To begin with, let us calculate E' and $e_G(E')$. Let $H \subset G$ be a subgroup that contains T , and let $E_1 = G \times_{R_1} V$ and $E_2 = G \times_{R_2} V$ be two equivariant vector bundles over G/H where R_1, R_2 are representations of H . We will write $E_1 \cong_T E_2$ if $R_1|_T \cong R_2|_T$.

Let $R_+ \subset R$ denote the set of positive roots. Using sequence 2.2.5 we deduce that

$$(4.3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_X &\cong G \times_B (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})^* \cong_T \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R^+} \mathcal{O}(-\alpha), \\ E' &= J(\mathcal{O}(\chi)) \cong_T \mathcal{O}(\chi) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_+} \mathcal{O}(\chi - \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

which by Lemma 4.1.6 implies (setting $d = \dim X$)

$$e_G(E') = e_G(J(\mathcal{O}(\chi))) = \chi \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (\chi - \alpha) \in \text{Sym}^{d+1}(\mathfrak{X}(T)) \subset H^{2d+2}(BT, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_G^{2d+2}(G/B, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Remark 4.3.2. If $P \supset B$ is an arbitrary parabolic subgroup of G and $X_P = G/P$, the cotangent bundle Ω_{X_P} has a similar description, namely this bundle is $\cong_T \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_+ \setminus \Delta_\Theta} \mathcal{O}(-\alpha)$ where Δ_Θ is the subgroup of $\mathfrak{X}(T)$ generated by a subset $\Theta \subset \Pi$, see e.g. [43]. So if $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$, $E' = J(G \times_\chi \mathbb{C})$, $d_P = \dim X_P$ and $p : G/B \rightarrow G/P$ is the projection, then we have

$$e_G(p^*(E')) = \chi \prod_{\alpha \in R_+ \setminus \Delta_\Theta} (\chi - \alpha) \in \text{Sym}^{d_P+1}(\mathfrak{X}(T)) \subset H^{2d_P+2}(BT, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_G^{2d_P+2}(G/B, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Remark 4.3.3. Let P and X_P be as in the previous remark. For a character $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$ set $\mathcal{O}(\chi) = G \times_\chi \mathbb{C}$. The map $H_*(X_P, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$y \mapsto S\left(e_G(J(\mathcal{O}(\chi)))\right) / y$$

depends polynomially on χ .

The next step after calculating $e_G(E')$ is to find a system of generators for the ideal $I_1(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and express $e_G(E')$ in terms of these generators, cf. Section 4.1.1. Below we only do this for $G = SL_3(\mathbb{C}), Sp_4(\mathbb{C})$ and G_2 . Note that the case of $G =$ any other connected complex reductive group of rank ≤ 2 is either not interesting or is covered by the results of Section 4.2.

Set G to be any of the groups $SL_3(\mathbb{C}), Sp_4(\mathbb{C}), G_2$. We let α_1, α_2 be the elements of Π and denote the corresponding dominant weights by ω_1 and ω_2 . We will write $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}(T)$ as $\chi = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and express the classes $S(e_G(E'))/w, w \in W$ in terms of m, n . Note that χ is dominant (i.e. $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(\chi)) \neq 0$) if and only if $m, n \geq 0$.

There are generators \tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2 of the ring $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})^W$ such that $\tilde{s}_1 \in H^4(BT, \mathbb{Q})^W$ and $\tilde{s}_2 \in H^{2\ell(w_0)}(BT, \mathbb{Q})^W$ where $w_0 \in W$ is the longest element. Moreover, one can choose \tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2 so that $\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{s}_1), \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{s}_2)$ are free multiplicative generators of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$. This was done in Examples 4.1.10 (for $n = 3$), 4.1.11 (for $n = 2$), and 4.1.17. Let us fix such a pair of generators. There is a decomposition $e_G(J(\mathcal{O}(\chi))) = p_1\tilde{s}_1 + p_2\tilde{s}_2$ where $p_1 \in H^{2\ell(w_0)-2}(BT, \mathbb{Q})$ and $p_2 \in H^2(BT, \mathbb{Q})$.

We now describe how to compute $\alpha^*(p_i)$. Let $\sigma_i \in W$ be the reflection of $\mathfrak{X}(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ in the hyperplane orthogonal to $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2$. Following [2], we define operators $A_w : \text{Sym}^*(\mathfrak{X}(T)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^*(\mathfrak{X}(T)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, w \in W$ by setting $A_{w_1 w_2} = A_{w_1} A_{w_2}$ if $\ell(w_1 w_2) = \ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2)$ and, for $w = \sigma_1, \sigma_2$ and $f \in \text{Sym}^*(\mathfrak{X}(T)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$,

$$A_{\sigma_1} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{f(\omega_1, \omega_2) - f(\sigma_1(\omega_1), \omega_2)}{\omega_1 - \sigma_1(\omega_1)},$$

$$A_{\sigma_2} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{f(\omega_1, \omega_2) - f(\omega_1, \sigma_2(\omega_2))}{\omega_2 - \sigma_2(\omega_2)}.$$

By [2, Theorem 4.1] we have then $\langle \alpha^*(f), e_w \rangle = (A_w f)(0)$. Note that each of the operators $A_{\sigma_1}, A_{\sigma_2}$ has degree -1 . Applying Corollary 2.2.12 and formula 4.1.19, and setting $E' = J(\mathcal{O}(\chi))$ we get the following identity in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$:

$$(4.3.4) \quad O^*(\text{Lk}(e_w)) = S(e_G(E'))/e_w = \begin{cases} \langle \alpha^*(p_2), e_w \rangle \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{s}_1) = (A_w p_2)(0) \cdot \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{s}_2) & \text{for } w = \sigma_i, i = 1, 2; \\ \langle \alpha^*(p_1), e_w \rangle \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{s}_2) = (A_w p_1)(0) \cdot \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{s}_1) & \text{for } w = \sigma_i w_0, i = 1, 2; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Below for each of the groups $SL_3(\mathbb{C}), Sp_4(\mathbb{C}), G_2$ we give a table that contains all information necessary to compute $A_w p_i, i = 1, 2$, and after that we give formulas for the resulting classes $O^*(\text{Lk}(e_w)), w = \sigma_i$, and $w = \sigma_i w_0$.

We also calculate $c_d(E')([X])$. By Proposition 3.2.17, if this number is non-zero, then a general singular section of $\mathcal{O}(\chi)$ has k singular points where k is an integer > 0 , and if $k = 1$, then $c_d(E')([X])$ is the degree of the discriminant variety $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{O}(\chi)) \subset \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(\chi))$. We have $[X] = e_{w_0}$. The equivariant Chern class $c_d(E'_{hG})$ can be read off 4.3.1. We then use the integration formula again to evaluate the result on $[X]$.

All calculations (i.e. finding p_1, p_2 and evaluating A_w) for complete flag varieties below were done using Singular [7].

4.3.1. $SL_3(\mathbb{C})$. Let $G = SL_3(\mathbb{C})$. We use the notation of Example 4.1.10 for $n = 3$. The simple roots in table 1 correspond to the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices in G . Recall that we have $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3]/(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3)$. Recall also that $\mathbf{x}_{2i-1} = \bar{\gamma}(s_i) \in H^{2i-1}(SL_3, \mathbb{Z}), i = 1, 2$ are free multiplicative generators of $H^*(SL_3, \mathbb{Z})$.

 TABLE 1. Root system A_3 .

Simple roots	$\alpha_1 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \alpha_2 = \epsilon_1 + 2\epsilon_2$
Fundamental weights	$\omega_1 = \epsilon_1, \omega_2 = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$
Positive roots in terms of ω_1, ω_2	$\alpha_1 = 2\omega_1 - \omega_2, \alpha_2 = -\omega_1 + 2\omega_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \omega_1 + \omega_2$
Action of W on the fundamental weights	$\sigma_1(\omega_2) = \omega_2, \sigma_2(\omega_1) = \omega_1,$ $\sigma_1(\omega_1) = \omega_2 - \omega_1, \sigma_2(\omega_2) = \omega_1 - \omega_2$
Generators \tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2 of $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})^W$ in terms of ω_1, ω_2	$\tilde{s}_1 = s_1 = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_2\epsilon_3 + \epsilon_3\epsilon_1 = -\omega_1^2 + \omega_1\omega_2 - \omega_2^2,$ $\tilde{s}_2 = s_2 = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3 = \omega_1\omega_2(\omega_1 - \omega_2)$
The longest element w_0 of W	$w_0 = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1, \ell(w_0) = 3$

Applying formula 4.3.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} O^*(\text{Lk}(e_{\sigma_1})) &= \mathbf{x}_5(m^4 - 6m^2n^2 - 4mn^3 - 2m^3 + 6m^2n + 12mn^2 + 2n^3 - 12mn - 6n^2 + 3m + 6n), \\ O^*(\text{Lk}(e_{\sigma_2})) &= \mathbf{x}_5(4m^3n + 6m^2n^2 - n^4 - 2m^3 - 12m^2n - 6mn^2 + 2n^3 + 6m^2 + 12mn - 6m - 3n), \\ O^*(\text{Lk}(e_{\sigma_1 w_0})) &= \mathbf{x}_3(-m^4 - 4m^3n - 6m^2n^2 - 4mn^3 + 4m^3 + 12m^2n + 12mn^2 + 2n^3 - 5m^2 - 10mn - \\ &\quad 6n^2 + 2m + 4n), \\ O^*(\text{Lk}(e_{\sigma_2 w_0})) &= \mathbf{x}_3(-4m^3n - 6m^2n^2 - 4mn^3 - n^4 + 2m^3 + 12m^2n + 12mn^2 + 4n^3 - 6m^2 - 10mn - \\ &\quad 5n^2 + 4m + 2n). \end{aligned}$$

We let $\mathbf{m}(\chi, SL_3(\mathbb{C}), 2)$, respectively $\mathbf{m}(\chi, SL_3(\mathbb{C}), 3)$ be the least common multiple of the coefficients of \mathbf{x}_3 , respectively \mathbf{x}_5 in these formulas.

Moreover,

$$c_d(E^l)([X]) = A_{w_0}(c_3(J(\mathcal{O}(\chi))_G)) = 12m^2n + 12mn^2 - 6m^2 - 24mn - 6n^2 + 12m + 12n - 6.$$

4.3.2. $Sp_4(\mathbb{C})$. We use the notation introduced in Example 4.1.11 for $n = 2$. The set of simple roots in table 2 corresponds to the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices in G . Recall that we have $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2]$. Recall also that $\mathbf{y}_{2i-1} = \bar{\gamma}(s_i) \in H^{2i-1}(Sp_4(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}), i = 1, 2$ are free multiplicative generators of $H^*(Sp_4(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$.

Applying formula 4.3.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} O^*(\text{Lk}(e_{\sigma_1})) &= \mathbf{y}_7(-m^5 + 20m^3n^2 + 40m^2n^3 + 20mn^4 + 2m^4 - 16m^3n - 72m^2n^2 - 64mn^3 - 8n^4 + \\ &\quad 2m^3 + 48m^2n + 84mn^2 + 24n^3 - 8m^2 - 48mn - 32n^2 + 8m + 16n), \\ O^*(\text{Lk}(e_{\sigma_2})) &= \mathbf{y}_7(-5m^4n - 20m^3n^2 - 20m^2n^3 + 4n^5 + 2m^4 + 24m^3n + 48m^2n^2 + 16mn^3 - 8n^4 - \\ &\quad 8m^3 - 42m^2n - 36mn^2 + 4n^3 + 12m^2 + 32mn + 8n^2 - 8m - 8n), \\ O^*(\text{Lk}(e_{\sigma_1 w_0})) &= \mathbf{y}_3(5m^4n + 20m^3n^2 + 30m^2n^3 + 20mn^4 + 4n^5 - 2m^4 - 24m^3n - 60m^2n^2 - 56mn^3 - \\ &\quad 16n^4 + 8m^3 + 39m^2n + 54mn^2 + 24n^3 - 10m^2 - 24mn - 16n^2 + 4m + 4n), \\ O^*(\text{Lk}(e_{\sigma_2 w_0})) &= \mathbf{y}_3(m^5 + 10m^4n + 30m^3n^2 + 40m^2n^3 + 20mn^4 - 6m^4 - 40m^3n - 84m^2n^2 - 64mn^3 - \\ &\quad 8n^4 + 13m^3 + 54m^2n + 72mn^2 + 24n^3 - 12m^2 - 32mn - 24n^2 + 4m + 8n). \end{aligned}$$

TABLE 2. Root system C_2 .

Simple roots	$\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \alpha_2 = 2\varepsilon_2$
Fundamental weights	$\omega_1 = \varepsilon_1, \omega_2 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$
Positive roots in terms of ω_1, ω_2	$\alpha_1 = 2\omega_1 - \omega_2, \alpha_2 = -2\omega_1 + 2\omega_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \omega_2, 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 = 2\omega_1$
Action of W on the fundamental weights	$\sigma_1(\omega_2) = \omega_2, \sigma_2(\omega_1) = \omega_1,$ $\sigma_1(\omega_1) = \omega_2 - \omega_1, \sigma_2(\omega_2) = 2\omega_1 - \omega_2$
Generators \tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2 of $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})^W$ in terms of ω_1, ω_2	$\tilde{s}_1 = s_1 = \varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 = 2\omega_1^2 - 2\omega_1\omega_2 + \omega_2^2,$ $\tilde{s}_2 = s_2 = (\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2)^2 = \omega_1^2(\omega_1 - \omega_2)^2$
The longest element w_0 of W	$w_0 = (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^2, \ell(w_0) = 4$

We let $\mathbf{m}(\chi, Sp_4(\mathbb{C}), 2)$, respectively $\mathbf{m}(\chi, Sp_4(\mathbb{C}), 4)$ be the least common multiple of the coefficients of \mathbf{y}_3 , respectively \mathbf{y}_7 in these formulas.

Moreover,

$$c_d(E')([X]) = A_{w_0}(c_4(J(\mathcal{O}(\chi))_G)) = 20m^3n + 60m^2n^2 + 40mn^3 - 8m^3 - 72m^2n - 96mn^2 - 16n^3 + 24m^2 + 84mn + 36n^2 - 24m - 32n + 8.$$

4.3.3. G_2 . We use the notation of Example 4.1.17, with two exceptions: we let T be the maximal torus of G that was denoted T_G in Example 4.1.17, and we use W to denote the Weyl group of G . Recall that we have $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3]/(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)$. Recall also that $\mathbf{w}_3 = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}(s_{1,G_2}) \in H_{\text{fr}}^3(G_2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbf{w}_{11} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}(s_{3,G_2}) \in H_{\text{fr}}^{11}(G_2, \mathbb{Z})$ are free multiplicative generators of $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G_2, \mathbb{Z})$, where s_{1,G_2} and s_{3,G_2} are the polynomial generators of $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})^W$ from Example 4.1.17.

TABLE 3. Root system G_2 .

Simple roots	$\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_2, \alpha_2 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$
Fundamental weights	$\omega_1 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2, \omega_2 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3 = 2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$
Positive roots in terms of ω_1, ω_2	$\alpha_1 = 2\omega_1 - \omega_2, \alpha_2 = -3\omega_1 + 2\omega_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = -\omega_1 + \omega_2,$ $2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \omega_1, 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 = \omega_2, 3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 3\omega_1 - \omega_2$
Action of W on the fundamental weights	$\sigma_1(\omega_2) = \omega_2, \sigma_2(\omega_1) = \omega_1,$ $\sigma_1(\omega_1) = \varepsilon_1 = \omega_2 - \omega_1, \sigma_2(\omega_2) = \varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2 = 3\omega_1 - \omega_2$
Generators \tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2 of $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})^W$ in terms of ω_1, ω_2	$\tilde{s}_1 = \frac{s_{1,G_2}}{2} = \frac{\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 + \varepsilon_3^2}{2} = 3\omega_1^2 - 3\omega_1\omega_2 + \omega_2^2,$ $\tilde{s}_2 = \frac{s_{3,G_2}}{2} = \frac{(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3)^2}{2} = \frac{4\omega_1^6 - 12\omega_1^5\omega_2 + 13\omega_1^4\omega_2^2 - 6\omega_1^3\omega_2^3 + \omega_1^2\omega_2^4}{2}$
The longest element w_0 of W	$w_0 = (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3, \ell(w_0) = 6$

Applying formula 4.3.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} O^*(\text{Lk}(e_{\sigma_1})) &= 2\mathbf{w}_{11}(m^7 - 63m^5n^2 - 315m^4n^3 - 630m^3n^4 - 567m^2n^5 - 189mn^6 - \\ &\quad 2m^6 + 36m^5n + 360m^4n^2 + 300m^3n^3 + 1350m^2n^4 + 648mn^5 + 54n^6 - \\ &\quad 4m^5 - 150m^4n - 1440m^2n^3 - 990mn^4 - 162n^5 + \\ &\quad 20m^4 + 264m^3n + 828m^2n^2 + 864mn^3 + 234n^4 - \\ &\quad 30m^3 - 234m^2n - 432mn^2 - 198n^3 + 24m^2 + 108mn + 90n^2 - 9m - 18n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 O^*(\text{Lk}(e_{\sigma_2})) &= 2\mathbf{w}_{11}(7m^6n + 63m^5n^2 + 210m^4n^3 + 315m^3n^4 + 189m^2n^5 - 27n^7 - \\
 &\quad 2m^6 - 48m^5n - 270m^4n^2 - 600m^3n^3 - 540m^2n^4 - 108mn^5 + 54n^6 + \\
 &\quad 10m^5 + 130m^4n + 480m^3n^2 + 660m^2n^3 + 270mn^4 - 36n^5 - \\
 &\quad 22m^4 - 184m^3n - 432m^2n^2 - 312mn^3 - 18n^4 + \\
 &\quad 26m^3 + 144m^2n + 198mn^2 + 54n^3 - 18m^2 - 60mn - 36n^2 + 6m + 9n), \\
 O^*(\text{Lk}(e_{\sigma_1w_0})) &= \mathbf{w}_3(28m^6n + 252m^5n^2 + 910m^4n^3 + 1680m^3n^4 + 1638m^2n^5 + 756mn^6 + 108n^7 - \\
 &\quad 8m^6 - 192m^5n - 1140m^4n^2 - 2960m^3n^3 - 3780m^2n^4 - 2232mn^5 - 432n^6 + \\
 &\quad 40m^5 + 500m^4n + 2000m^3n^2 + 3520m^2n^3 + 2760mn^4 + 732n^5 - \\
 &\quad 80m^4 - 640m^3n - 1704m^2n^2 - 1856mn^3 - 684n^4 + \\
 &\quad 80m^3 + 420m^2n + 696mn^2 + 372n^3 - 40m^2 - 128mn - 108n^2 + 8m + 12n), \\
 O^*(\text{Lk}(e_{\sigma_2w_0})) &= \mathbf{w}_3(4m^7 + 84m^6n + 546m^5n^2 + 1680m^4n^3 + 2730m^3n^4 + 2268m^2n^5 + 756mn^6 - \\
 &\quad 32m^6 - 456m^5n - 2220m^4n^2 - 5040m^3n^3 - 5580m^2n^4 - 2592mn^5 - 216n^6 + \\
 &\quad 100m^5 + 1000m^4n + 3520m^3n^2 + 5520m^2n^3 + 3660mn^4 + 648n^5 - \\
 &\quad 160m^4 - 1136m^3n - 2784m^2n^2 - 2736mn^3 - 816n^4 + \\
 &\quad 140m^3 + 696m^2n + 1116mn^2 + 552n^3 - 64m^2 - 216mn - 192n^2 + 12m + 24n).
 \end{aligned}$$

We let $\mathbf{m}(\chi, G_2, 2)$, respectively $\mathbf{m}(\chi, G_2, 6)$ be the least common multiple of the coefficients of \mathbf{w}_3 , respectively \mathbf{w}_{11} in these formulas.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_d(E')([X]) &= A_{w_0}(c_5(J(\mathcal{O}(\chi))_G)) = 84m^5n + 630m^4n^2 + 1680m^3n^3 + 1890m^2n^4 + 756mn^5 - 24m^5 - \\
 &\quad 480m^4n - 2160m^3n^2 - 3600m^2n^3 - 2160mn^4 - 216n^5 + 100m^4 + 1040m^3n + 2880m^2n^2 + \\
 &\quad 2640mn^3 + 540n^4 - 176m^3 - 1104m^2n - 1728mn^2 - 624n^3 + 156m^2 + 576mn + 396n^2 - \\
 &\quad 72m - 120n + 12.
 \end{aligned}$$

4.4. Complete intersection of type (d, d, \dots, d) in Grassmann varieties. Here we illustrate how our methods work for bundles of rank > 1 and give a few applications to some Fano varieties. Let $n, k, d > 0$ and $r \geq 0$ be integers. We let $G = SL_{n+k}(\mathbb{C})$ and take X to be the Grassmann variety $\text{Gr}(k, n+k)$ of k -planes in \mathbb{C}^{n+k} . Let $\mathcal{O}(1)$ be the very ample line bundle over X that corresponds to the Plücker embedding $\text{Gr}(k, n+k) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+k}{k}-1}$, and set $\mathcal{O}(d) = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes d}$. Let E be the direct sum of $r+1$ copies of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(d)$, $r < \dim X$. The group \tilde{G} (see Section 3.2.1) in this case is a split extension of $G = SL_{n+k}(\mathbb{C})$ by $\text{Aut}(E/X) \cong GL_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$ (see Lemma 3.2.6), so it is isomorphic to $SL_{n+k}(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$. This group acts on $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$, and the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)$ is \tilde{G} -equivariant. Moreover, by the Cayley trick (see Section 2.2) we have $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E) \cong \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1))$, so \tilde{G} acts on $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$. Set $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)$ and $E' = J(L)$. In this subsection we give a recipe for calculating the classes $S(e_{\tilde{G}}(E'))/y$ where $y \in H_*(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$.

Let us identify $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$ with $\text{Gr}(k, n+k) \times \mathbb{P}^r$. Then L is identified with $\mathcal{O}(d) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1)$, and the action of $\tilde{G} \cong SL_{n+k}(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$ on L with the direct product of the action of $SL_{n+k}(\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{O}(d)$ and the action of $GL_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1)$.

Let $T_1 \subset SL_{n+k}(\mathbb{C})$ and $T_2 \subset GL_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be the subgroups of diagonal matrices, and set $T = T_1 \times T_2 \subset \tilde{G}$. Then T is a maximal torus of \tilde{G} . Let $P_1 \subset SL_{n+k}(\mathbb{C})$ be the stabiliser of the plane spanned on the first k basis vectors in \mathbb{C}^{n+k} and $P_2 \subset GL_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be the stabiliser of the point $[1 : 0 : \dots : 0] \in \mathbb{P}^r$, and set $P = P_1 \times P_2$. Then P is a parabolic subgroup of \tilde{G} , and $\tilde{G}/P \cong \mathbb{P}(E^*)$.

We now identify the rational cohomology of BP_1, BP_2, BP and BG with subrings of $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})$. Let $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n+k} \in \mathfrak{X}(T_1)$ be the same elements as in Example 4.1.10; in this subsection we denote the elements $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{r+1} \in \mathfrak{X}(T_2)$ from Example 4.1.9 by $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{r+1}$ respectively to avoid confusion. We have

$H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n+k}, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{r+1}] / (\epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_{n+k})$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} w_i &= \sigma_i(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k), 1 \leq i \leq k; b_i = \sigma_i(\epsilon_{k+1}, \dots, \epsilon_{n+k}), 1 \leq i \leq n; \\ u &= \zeta_1, u_i = \sigma_i(\zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_{r+1}), 1 \leq i \leq r; \\ s_i &= \sigma_i(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n+k}), i = 2, \dots, n+k; t_i = \sigma_i(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{r+1}), i = 1, \dots, r+1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $w_1 + b_1 = 0$. We have then

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(BP_1, \mathbb{Q}) &\cong \mathbb{Q}[w_1, \dots, w_k, b_2, \dots, b_n], H^*(BP_2, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[u, u_1, \dots, u_r], \\ H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q}) &\cong \mathbb{Q}[s_2, \dots, s_{n+k}, t_1, \dots, t_{r+1}], H^*(BP, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[w_1, \dots, w_k, b_2, \dots, b_n, u, u_1, \dots, u_r]. \end{aligned}$$

With these identifications the map $\beta^*: H^*(BG, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(BP, \mathbb{Q})$ is simply the inclusion, cf. Section 4.2.1, so $\beta^*(t_i) = u_i + uu_{i-1}$ (where we set $u_0 = 1, u_{r+1} = 0$), and $\beta^*(s_i)$ is the degree $2i$ part of

$$(1 + w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_k)(1 + b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n).$$

The weight of the representation of P which corresponds to the line bundle $L \cong \mathcal{O}(d) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1)$ is $-d\epsilon_1 - \zeta_1$. The cotangent bundle $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum $\pi_1^* \Omega_{\text{Gr}(k, n+k)} \oplus \pi_2^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ where $\pi_1: \mathbb{P}(E^*) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(k, n+k)$ and $\pi_2: \mathbb{P}(E^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ are the projections. Let U , respectively Q be the tautological rank k vector bundle, respectively the universal quotient bundle over $\text{Gr}(k, n+k)$. By Example 4.1.1, $\Omega_{\text{Gr}(k, n+k)} \cong \text{Hom}(Q, U)$ is obtained from the representation of P_1 with weights $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j, i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, j \in \{k+1, \dots, n+k\}$. Similarly, we know from Section 4.2.1 that the weights of the representation of P_2 that induces $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ are $\zeta_1 - \zeta_i, i \in \{2, \dots, r+1\}$.

So by exact sequence 2.2.5 the weights of the representation of P such that the associated vector bundle over \tilde{G}/P is $J(L)$ are

$$-d\epsilon_1 - \zeta_i, i \in \{1, \dots, r+1\}, \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j + (-d\epsilon_1 - \zeta_1), i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, j \in \{k+1, \dots, n+k\},$$

and by Lemma 4.1.6 the product of these is the Euler class $e_{\tilde{G}}(J(L)) \in H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_{\tilde{G}}^*(\tilde{G}/P, \mathbb{Q})$.

Set $a_i = \beta^*(s_i), 2 \leq i \leq n+k$, and $d_i = \beta^*(t_i), 1 \leq i \leq r+1$. Suppose we have found a decomposition $e_G(E') = \sum a_i p_i + \sum d_j q_j$ with $p_i, q_i \in H^*(BP, \mathbb{Q})$. Then using Theorem 2.2.11 and formula 1.2.18 we see that for every $y \in H_*(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$ we have

$$(4.4.1) \quad O^*(\text{Lk}(y)) = S(e_G(E'))/y = \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n+k} \bar{\gamma}(s_i) \times \alpha^*(p_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} \bar{\gamma}(t_j) \times \alpha^*(q_j) \right) / y.$$

The cohomology classes $\bar{\gamma}(s_i)$, and $\bar{\gamma}(t_j)$ were calculated in Examples 4.1.9 and 4.1.10. In particular, these classes are free multiplicative generators of $H^*(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z})$. Let us describe the map α^* . As in Section 4.2.1, we have $\alpha^*(u) = -c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1))$, $\alpha^*(u_i) = (-1)^{i-1} (\alpha^*(u))^i$.

Calculating $\alpha^*(w_i)$ and $\alpha^*(b_i)$ is more complicated, but to do this we only need the classical Schubert calculus, and not its generalised version [2]. First we note that $\alpha^*(w_i) = c_i(U)$ and $\alpha^*(b_i) = c_i(Q)$ by Lemma 4.1.6. Next, recall that a *partition* λ of length l is a non-increasing sequence $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l$ of positive integers. Set $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_l$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{n,k}$ be the set of all partitions λ of length at most n and such that $|\lambda| \leq nk$.

Proposition 4.4.2. *There is a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{c_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,k}}$ of $H^*(\text{Gr}(k, n+k), \mathbb{Z})$ such that*

- (1) $c_\lambda \in H^{2|\lambda|}(\text{Gr}(k, n+k), \mathbb{Z}), \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,k}$;
- (2) $\alpha^*(w_i) = c_i(U) = (-1)^i c_{(1, \dots, 1)} \in H^{2i}(\text{Gr}(k, n+k), \mathbb{Z}), i = 1, \dots, k$;
- (3) $\alpha^*(b_i) = c_i(Q) = c_{(i)} \in H^{2i}(\text{Gr}(k, n+k), \mathbb{Z}), i = 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. See [18, Sections 14.5–14.7]. □

Moreover, if $c_\lambda c_\mu = \sum N_{\lambda, \mu, \rho} c_\rho$, then the numbers $N_{\lambda, \mu, \rho}$ can be calculated in terms of Young diagrams by the Littlewood-Richardson rule (see e.g. [18, Lemma 14.5.3]).

The description of the cup product, formula 4.4.1 and Proposition 4.4.2 allow one to calculate for every $l \geq 1$ the order i_l of the cokernel of map 3.3.4. For illustration we give here the answer for smooth intersections

of the Plücker embedded $\text{Gr}(2, 6)$ and a projective subspace $L^5 \subset \mathbb{P}^{14}(\mathbb{C})$ of codimension 5 ($k = 2, n = 4, d = 1, r = 4$).

TABLE 4. Smooth intersections of $\text{Gr}(2, 6)$ and L^5 ($k = 2, n = 4, d = 1, r = 4$)

l	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\dim H_{26-2l}(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$	1	2	4	6	9	10
$\dim P^{2l-1}$	1	2	2	2	2	1
i_l	48	384	2	10	11	3

More examples are given in Appendix B.2. By Corollary 3.3.5, if none of the orders in the bottom row of the table for given parameters k, n, d, r is infinite, then the product of these numbers is the index of $\Lambda_{\tilde{G}, E'}^{\dim \tilde{G}}$ in $H_{\text{fr}}^{\dim \tilde{G}}(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z})$, and $\Lambda_{E', \tilde{G}}^*$ has finite index in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z})$; note that in this case the product is divisible by every $|\tilde{G}_s|, s \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$. For comparison we also include a case when the automorphism group is known to be infinite, namely transversal intersections of $\text{Gr}(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^6(\mathbb{C})$ and projective subspaces of codimension 3 (see e.g. [22, Theorem 5.1.1]). As expected, one of the cokernels turns out to be infinite. As in the case of complete flag varieties, the answers were obtained using Singular [7].

4.5. Summary. Here we summarise what we have done in Sections 4.2-4.4, prove a few corollaries and discuss several related results.

Theorem 4.5.1. *Let G, X and E be as in table 5; in the table Q_k denotes a non-singular quadratic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{k+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Let \tilde{G}, \bar{G} and $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ be the groups defined in Section 3.2.1. (Recall that the group \tilde{G} contains both G and $\text{Aut}(E/X)$.) Suppose that $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E) \neq \emptyset$ and that the number in column 4 of the table is non-zero. (Note that this holds if $d \geq 3$ for $X = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}), E = \mathcal{O}(d)$, and for $d \geq 2$ if $X = Q_k$ and $E = \mathcal{O}(d)$.) Then*

- (1) *The geometric quotient $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)/\tilde{G}$ exists and is affine. The group $\text{Aut}(E/X)$ is reductive, and the geometric quotients $Z_{\text{reg}} = \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)/\text{Aut}(E/X)$ and Z_{reg}/\bar{G} also exist and are affine. Moreover, we have*

$$H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E), \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)/\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Q}),$$

$$H^*(Z_{\text{reg}}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(\bar{G}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(Z_{\text{reg}}/\bar{G}, \mathbb{Q})$$

both as rings and mixed Hodge structures.

- (2) *For any $s \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ the order of the stabiliser \tilde{G}_s divides the number in column 4 of the table, and the order of $\tilde{G}_{Z(s)}$ divides the same number divided by $|Z(G)|$.*

Remark 4.5.2. Here we explain the exponent of 2 in column 4 of table 5 for quadrics. For $X = Q_{2n-1}$ the exponent is $\lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \rfloor + 1 = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$. The first, respectively second summand in the right hand side comes from the linking classes $\text{Lk}(Z)$ with the degree of Z being $< \dim X$, respectively $> \dim X$. The last summand, 1, is there because of the 2 in the formula in Proposition 4.2.13 for quadrics.

For Q_{2n-2}, n odd the exponent is $\lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \rfloor = \frac{n-1}{2} + 2 \frac{n-1}{2} + 1$ with each of the summands having the same origin as in the previous paragraph. A similar result holds for Q_{2n-2}, n even: we have $\lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \rfloor = \frac{n}{2} - 1 + 2(\frac{n}{2} - 1) + 1 + 2$. The source of the first three summands is the same as before, and the last one, 2, comes from the classes $\text{Lk}(W)$ with W of middle degree.

Proof. Let us first prove the theorem for projective hypersurfaces. Let G, X, E be as in the first row of table 5. Set $E' = J(E)$. The group \tilde{G} in this case is simply $\mathbb{C}^* \times G$ by Lemma 3.2.10. We find using Proposition 4.2.6 that $\Lambda_{E', G}^*$ has finite index in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) = H^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$, and that the index of $\Lambda_{E', G}^{\dim \tilde{G}}$ in

TABLE 5

G	X	E	Multiple of $ \tilde{G}_s , s \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$
$SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathcal{O}(d)$	$(n+1)(d-1)^n \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \mathbf{m}(d, n, i)$ (see Section 4.2)
$SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$	Q_{2n-1}	$\mathcal{O}(d)$	$2^{\lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \rfloor + 1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} (i+1)(d-1)^i \right) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{m}'(d, 2n, 2i)$ (See Section 4.2)
$SO_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	Q_{2n-2}	$\mathcal{O}(d)$	$2^{\lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \rfloor} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2n-2} (i+1)(d-1)^i \right) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{m}'(d, 2n-1, 2i)$ (See Section 4.2)
$SL_3(\mathbb{C})$	G/B	$\mathcal{O}(\chi)$ $\chi = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$	$\mathbf{m}(\chi, SL_3(\mathbb{C}), 2) \cdot \mathbf{m}(\chi, SL_3(\mathbb{C}), 3) \cdot \deg \text{Sing}(\mathcal{O}(\chi))$ (see Section 4.3)
$Sp_4(\mathbb{C})$	G/B	$\mathcal{O}(\chi)$ $\chi = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$	$\mathbf{m}(\chi, Sp_4(\mathbb{C}), 2) \cdot \mathbf{m}(\chi, Sp_4(\mathbb{C}), 4) \cdot \deg \text{Sing}(\mathcal{O}(\chi))$ (see Section 4.3.2)
G_2	G/B	$\mathcal{O}(\chi)$ $\chi = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$	$\mathbf{m}(\chi, G_2, 2) \cdot \mathbf{m}(\chi, G_2, 6) \cdot \deg \text{Sing}(\mathcal{O}(\chi))$ (see Section 4.3.3)
$SL_5(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 3}$	$2^{18} \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2$ (see table 11)
$SL_6(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{P}^5(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 2}$	$2^{15} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5$ (see table 12)
$SL_7(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{P}^6(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 3}$	$2^{24} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3$ (see table 14)
$SL_6(\mathbb{C})$	$\text{Gr}(2, 6)$	$\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 7}$	$2^{16} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ (see table 13)
$SL_6(\mathbb{C})$	$\text{Gr}(2, 6)$	$\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 5}$	$2^{13} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ (see table 4)
$SL_7(\mathbb{C})$	$\text{Gr}(2, 7)$	$\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 6}$	$2^{13} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 19 \cdot 73$ (see table 16)
$SL_6(\mathbb{C})$	$\text{Gr}(3, 6)$	$\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 5}$	$2^{17} \cdot 3^{11} \cdot 5^2$ (see table 17)

$H^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is $\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \mathbf{m}(d, n, i)$. We deduce the analogues of these results for \tilde{G} from Corollary 3.2.16 and Proposition 4.2.13. All statements of Theorem 4.5.1 that involve the group \tilde{G} now follow from Corollary 3.3.2 by setting $G' = \tilde{G}$, and to prove the remaining statements involving $\bar{G} = PGL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ we use Theorem 3.1.1 (applied to $U = Z_{\text{reg}}$), Lemma 3.2.10 again and Remark 3.2.9.

For hypersurfaces of quadrics and complete flag varieties the proof is very similar; instead of Proposition 4.2.6 we use analogous results from Sections 4.2.2, 4.2.3 and 4.3. For higher rank bundles over Grassmannians we use the results of Section 4.4 to calculate $\Lambda_{E', \tilde{G}}^*$ for $E' = J(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1))$, and to prove the result about the automorphism groups of the zero loci we use Corollary 3.2.13 (instead of Lemma 3.2.10) and Remark 3.2.9. \square

Remark 4.5.3. A version of theorem 4.5.1 for projective hypersurfaces (with somewhat worse bounds on the orders of the automorphism groups) first appeared in the preprint [16] by the first named author. This preprint is superseded by the present paper.

Remark 4.5.4. The zero locus of a regular section of $\mathcal{O}(d)$ over $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ is a d -element subset $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. Theorem 4.5.1 in this example tells us that the order of the projective automorphism group \bar{G}_Z of Z divides $d(d-1)(d-2)$. Here is an alternative proof of this communicated to us by F. Catanese: observe that \bar{G}_Z acts freely on the set of ordered triples of elements of Z .

Remark 4.5.5. For smooth hypersurfaces in projective spaces and non-degenerate quadrics, as well as for smooth complete intersections of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^5(\mathbb{C})$ or $\mathbb{P}^6(\mathbb{C})$ the finiteness of the automorphism groups is known, see [3] and references therein. For regular sections of $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 5}$ over $\text{Gr}(2, 6)$ and of $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 5}$ over

$\text{Gr}(2, 7)$ this result follows from [22, Corollary 4.3.5] and [24] respectively, and in the remaining cases it seems to be new. The explicit bound on the orders of the automorphism groups given in Theorem 4.5.1 is new except for smooth complete intersections of quadrics and in the cases mentioned in Remark 4.5.8.

Remark 4.5.6. For smooth complete intersections in characteristic 0 the projective automorphism group coincides with the full automorphism group, except for curves and K3 surfaces, see [3], Theorem 3.1 and the references after that theorem.

Similarly, every automorphism of the zero locus Z of a regular section of $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 5}$ over the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(2, 6)$ is induced by an element of $\text{Aut}(\text{Gr}(2, 6)) = \text{PSL}_6(\mathbb{C})$. To see this note that Z is a smooth Fano threefold of index 1, Picard rank 1 and genus 8. By Theorem B.1.1 from [22], Z can be equipped with a stable vector bundle \mathcal{E}_2 of rank 2 such that \mathcal{E}_2 is globally generated, $\dim \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{E}_2) = 6$ and $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{E}_2 \cong \mathcal{O}(1)$. Moreover, by Proposition B.1.5 *ibid.* any two such bundles are isomorphic. Therefore \mathcal{E}_2 is an $\text{Aut}(Z)$ -equivariant vector bundle. Since \mathcal{E}_2 is globally generated, it defines an $\text{Aut}(Z)$ -equivariant closed embedding $Z \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}(2, \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{E}_2)) \subset \mathbb{P}^{14}$ such that the image is the transversal intersection of $\text{Gr}(2, \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{E}_2))$ and a projective subspace of codimension 5. So every automorphism of Z can be extended to an automorphism of $\text{Gr}(2, 6)$.

Note also that the image of $SO_{k+2}(\mathbb{C})$ in $\text{Aut}(Q_k)$ is the whole group if k is odd and has index 2 if k is even. As a consequence, the order of the full automorphism group of a regular section of the bundle $\mathcal{O}(d)$, $d \geq 3$ over Q_k divides the expression in column 4 of table 5.

Remark 4.5.7. V. González-Aguilera and A. Liendo show in [12, Theorem 1.3] that if p is a prime number that does not divide d or $d - 1$ and p^l is the order of an automorphism of a smooth degree d hypersurface Z of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, then p^l divides the least common multiple of $\mathbf{m}(d, n, i)$ for $i = 2, \dots, n + 1$. This implies that the order of any abelian subgroup of the projective automorphism group $\text{PAut}(Z) = \text{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})_Z$ of Z divides

$$(\text{LCM}_{i=2}^{n+1} \mathbf{m}(d, n, i))^n,$$

as every such subgroup is included in some maximal torus of $\text{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$, which has dimension n .

Recall that by Jordan's theorem there is an integer $J(n + 1)$, called the *Jordan constant for $GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$* , such that every finite subgroup of $GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ has an abelian normal subgroup of index $\leq J(n + 1)$; moreover, $J(n + 1) = (n + 2)!$ for $n \geq 70$, see [6] and references therein. If we drop the requirement that the abelian subgroup should be normal, we get another constant, which we will call the *weak Jordan constant* following [35] and denote $J_w(n + 1)$. Note that we have $J(n + 1) \geq J_w(n + 1) \geq \sqrt{J(n + 1)}$ by the Chermak-Delgado theorem (see e.g. [21, Theorem 1.41]). The same results hold for $\text{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$, with the same constants $J(n + 1)$ and $J_w(n + 1)$.

So using the results of [12] one can deduce that if p does not divide $d(d - 1)$, then the order of the Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{PAut}(Z)$ divides

$$(\text{LCM}_{i=2}^{n+1} \mathbf{m}(d, n, i))^n p^{\lfloor \log_p J_w(n+1) \rfloor}.$$

Note that under the same assumptions on p Theorem 4.5.1 gives a better bound, namely $\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \mathbf{m}(d, n, i)$.

Let us briefly explain what happens when p divides d or $d - 1$. We call a projective automorphism of Z *F-liftable* if it has a lift to $GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ that preserves an equation of Z . In [13, Theorem 2.1] the authors extend their previous results for $p \nmid d(d - 1)$ to *F-liftable* automorphisms of order p^l , $p \mid d(d - 1)$. The answer is different, but still can be given in terms of the numbers $\mathbf{m}(d, n, i)$. Assume now that every element of the Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{PAut}(Z)$ is *F-liftable*. Then a similar argument as above shows that Theorem 4.5.1 still gives a better bound, with one potential exception: if p divides both d and $n + 1$, then it is not clear how to compare our bound on $|\text{PAut}(Z)|$ with the one obtained by applying the results of [13]; also, we do not know whether or not smooth hypersurfaces whose projective automorphism group has all these properties in fact exist.

Finally, we note that in [51] Z. Zheng obtained results similar to those in [12] and [13] that we have just described. More precisely, suppose p^l is the order of a (not necessarily *F-liftable*) automorphism of Z ; then [51, Theorem 1.5] gives one a bound on l that is identical to the one by V. González-Aguilera and A. Liendo (see above) except when p divides d but does not divide $d - 1$, in which case it is slightly weaker.

Remark 4.5.8. In some cases there is a classification of the automorphism groups of smooth degree d hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, which allows one to find the least common multiple of the orders. The examples that we know of are given in the following table, where we set $U_{d,n} = \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}(d))$:

(n, d)	Multiple of $ \bar{G}_{Z(s)} $, $s \in U_{d,n}$ from Theorem 4.5.1	LCM of $ \bar{G}_{Z(s)} $, $s \in U_{d,n}$	References
(2, 3)	$2^3 \cdot 3^3$	$2^2 \cdot 3^3$	[10, section 3]
(2, 4)	$2^5 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 7$	$2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$	[10, section 6]
(3, 3)	$2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$	$2^3 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$	[20], [39], [10, section 9]

Remark 4.5.9. The moduli space \mathcal{M}_5 of smooth complex genus 5 curves is the union of the hyperelliptic locus, the trigonal locus and the locus $\mathcal{M}_5^{\text{gen}}$ of curves that are complete intersections of three quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{C})$. For curves of the last type the canonical class is the hyperplane section, so the projective automorphism group $\subset PGL_5(\mathbb{C})$ coincides with the full automorphism group. Using Theorem 4.5.1 we obtain an isomorphism

$$(4.5.10) \quad H^*(U_{(2,2,2),4}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(SL_5(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_3(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(\mathcal{M}_5^{\text{gen}}, \mathbb{Q})$$

where $U_{(2,2,2),4} = \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 3})$. This isomorphism suggests a strategy for calculating $H^*(\mathcal{M}_5, \mathbb{Q})$. Namely, one could start by calculating $H^*(U_{(2,2,2),4}, \mathbb{Q})$ (using conical resolutions or otherwise), and then deduce $H^*(\mathcal{M}_5^{\text{gen}}, \mathbb{Q})$ using 4.5.10. The rational cohomology of the trigonal locus has been calculated by A. Zheng [50], and that of the hyperelliptic locus is trivial. Putting all this together we get a spectral sequence with known E_1 that converges to $H_c^*(\mathcal{M}_5, \mathbb{Q})$. It is reasonable to expect that all differentials will be zero or at least tractable, cf. the case of genus 4 considered in [46].

Implementing this plan is beyond the scope of this paper, but here is an illustration. Note that $U_{(2,2,2),4}$ is the complement of a hypersurface in an affine space, so the reduced rational cohomology of $U_{(2,2,2),4}$ has no classes of weight equal the degree. By 4.5.10 the same is true for $\mathcal{M}_5^{\text{gen}}$; combining this with [50, Corollary 1.2] we conclude that $W_i H^i(\mathcal{M}_5, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}(-i/2)$ for $i = 0, 2, 4, 6$, and 0 otherwise.

Remark 4.5.11. Similarly, a general curve of genus 8 is the intersection of the Plücker embedded $\text{Gr}(2, 6)$ and a transversal projective subspace of codimension 7. It follows from the results of S. Mukai [30, Theorem A] that the embedding is uniquely determined by the curve up to an automorphism of the Grassmannian. So if we denote the locus of such curves by $\mathcal{M}_8^{\text{gen}} \subset \mathcal{M}_8$, then by Theorem 4.5.1 we have an isomorphism

$$H^*(\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(\text{Gr}(2, 6), \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 7}), \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(SL_6(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_7(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(\mathcal{M}_8^{\text{gen}}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

5. CONJECTURES

In this section we propose and discuss a few conjectures. Below G is a complex semi-simple group with finite fundamental group, $P \subset G$ is a parabolic subgroup, $X = G/P$, and $T \subset P$ is a maximal torus of G .

5.1. Genericity conjectures.

Conjecture 1 (Genericity conjecture, weak version). *For every G and $P \subsetneq G$ there is a G -equivariant line bundle L on G/P such that the division theorem (see the beginning of Section 3) holds for the action of G on $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)$.*

The character group $\mathfrak{X}(P)$ of P is a subgroup of $\mathfrak{X}(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\dim T}$. We will say that a character $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$ is *exceptional* if the division theorem does not hold for the action of G on $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, G \times_{\chi} \mathbb{C})$. (Note that if $\Gamma(X, G \times_{\chi} \mathbb{C}) = 0$, then $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, G \times_{\chi} \mathbb{C}) = \emptyset$, and for this space the division theorem is vacuously true.) It follows from our results in Sections 4.1 and 4.3 that if the weak form of the genericity conjecture is true, then exceptional elements form a proper Zariski closed subset of $\mathfrak{X}(P)$, see Remark 4.3.3.

Conjecture 2 (Genericity conjecture, strong version). *For every G and $P \subsetneq G$ there are finitely many exceptional elements in $\mathfrak{X}(P)$.*

Remark 5.1.1. Suppose $P_1 \supset P$ is another parabolic subgroup and set $X_1 = G/P_1$. Let $p : X \rightarrow X_1$ be the projection. On the one hand, if the strong genericity conjecture holds for X , then it also holds for X_1 . On the other hand, suppose L_1 is a G -equivariant line bundle over X_1 such that the ring $\Lambda_{J(L_1),G}^*$ (see Notation 2.1.33) has finite index in $H_{\text{fr}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$. We claim that then so does $\Lambda_{J(L),G}^*$ where $L = p^*(L_1)$. Let us sketch a proof. Note that there is a G -equivariant exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow p^*(J(L_1)) \rightarrow J(L) \rightarrow \Omega_{X/X_1} \rightarrow 0$$

where Ω_{X/X_1} denotes the relative cotangent bundle. We identify both $H^*(BP, \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^*(BP_1, \mathbb{Q})$ with subrings of $H^*(BT, \mathbb{Q})$, cf. Section 4.2, and deduce using formula 1.2.18 that in the rational cohomology of $G \times X$ we have

$$S(e_G(J(L))) = (\text{id} \times p)^* \left(S(e_G(J(L_1))) \right) \smile (1 \times e(\Omega_{X/X_1})).$$

The map $H^*(X_1, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{*+2 \dim X - 2 \dim X_1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ given by $x \mapsto p^*(x) \smile e(\Omega_{X/X_1})$ is injective, which implies the claim.

By Theorem 4.5.1, the strong genericity conjecture is true for projective spaces and non-degenerate quadrics, and one can prove it for the complete flag variety of $G = SL_3(\mathbb{C})$ as follows. By inspection, there are finitely many exceptions when $m = n$ or $m, n \in \{0, 1\}$. For $w \in W$, the Weyl group of G , set $S_w = S(e_G(E'))/e_w = O^*(\text{Lk}(e_w))$, and make the substitution $m' = m - 1, n' = n - 1$. Then all coefficients of $S_{\sigma_1 w_0} + S_{\sigma_2 w_0}$ will be negative, which implies $\mathbf{m}(\chi, SL_3(\mathbb{C}), 2) \neq 0$ for $m, n > 1$. Moreover, $\frac{1}{m'-n'}(S_{\sigma_1} + S_{\sigma_2})$ becomes a polynomial with positive coefficients, so $\mathbf{m}(\chi, SL_3(\mathbb{C}), 3) \neq 0$ when $m, n > 1$ and $m \neq n$. These observations indicate that there may be a positive formula (cf. [8]) for the analogues of $\mathbf{m}(\chi, SL_3(\mathbb{C}), 2)$ and $\mathbf{m}(\chi, SL_3(\mathbb{C}), 3)$ for general G .

Using Remark 5.1.1 we can now extend both the strong and weak versions of the conjecture to more examples. For instance, the strong genericity conjecture is true for $G = SL_3(\mathbb{C})$ and P arbitrary, and the weak one for $G = SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ and P such that G/P admits a G -map to $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$.

5.2. Parsimony conjecture.

Conjecture 3 (Parsimony conjecture). *Let L be a G -equivariant line bundle over X , and set $E' = J(L)$. Let \mathbf{m} , respectively δ denote the index of $\Lambda_{E',G}^{\dim G}$ (see Notation 2.1.33) in $H^{\dim G}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, respectively the integer $c_{\dim X}(E')([X])$. Suppose $\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L) \neq \emptyset, \mathbf{m} < \infty$ and $\delta \mathbf{m} \neq 0$. Then we conjecture that the number $\frac{\delta \mathbf{m}}{|Z(G)|}$, which is an integer, is parsimonious in the following sense: every prime that occurs in $\frac{\delta \mathbf{m}}{|Z(G)|}$ can be realised as the order of a G -automorphism of $Z(s)$ for some $s \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, L)$.*

The procedure for calculating \mathbf{m} for any given G, P, L is described in Sections 4.1 and 4.3.

As we mentioned in the Introduction, the parsimony conjecture is true for $G = SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}), X = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}), L = \mathcal{O}(d), d \geq 3$ by the results of V. González-Aguilera and A. Liendo [12], and this is the only infinite family of examples we are aware of.

APPENDIX A.

A.1. Proof of Proposition 1.1.7. Let Y be a topological space. We let $C_*(Y)$ denote the integral singular chain complex of Y , and we set $\tilde{C}_*(Y)$ to be the kernel of the natural augmentation $C_*(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. We will denote the singular cochain complex $\text{Hom}(C_*(Y), R)$ of Y by $C^*(Y, R)$. Recall that the differential in $C^*(Y, R)$ is the dual of the differential in $C_*(Y)$, see Remark 1.1.10; we will use δ to denote the differentials in singular cochain complexes.

Lemma A.1.1. *A path-connected polyhedron X is set-theoretically the direct limit of a family \mathcal{T} of subspaces homeomorphic to trees.*

Proof. Let T_0 be a maximal subtree of the 1-skeleton of X . For each face F of X we choose a vertex $v(F) \in T_0 \cap F$. If $x \in X$, we let $I(x)$ be the closed line segment that joins x and $v(F)$ where F is the unique face such that x belongs to the interior of F . The required family \mathcal{T} of subspaces of X is formed by $T_0 \cup \bigcup_{x \in X'} I(x)$ where $X' \subset X$ is an arbitrary finite subset. \square

Lemma A.1.2. *Let X and \mathcal{T} be as in the previous lemma. Then every closed singular cochain $\varepsilon' \in C^m(X, R)$, $m \geq 2$ is homologous to a cochain ε that is zero on every singular simplex $\in C_*(T)$, $T \in \mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. Set $\tilde{C}_* = \varinjlim_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \tilde{C}_*(T)$. This is a complex of free R -modules that is acyclic in all degrees, so the zero and identity endomorphisms of \tilde{C}_* are chain homotopic, which implies that the same is true for $\text{Hom}(\tilde{C}_*, R)$. We now apply the long exact sequence of

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\tilde{C}_*(X)/\tilde{C}_*, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\tilde{C}_*(X), R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\tilde{C}_*, R) \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Proof of the proposition. Let $p : \text{Tot}(E) \rightarrow X$ be the projection; recall that p_0 denotes the restriction of p to $\text{Tot}_0(E)$. After pulling E back if necessary to a space weakly equivalent to X we may assume that the base X is a polyhedron. By the construction of the transgression given e.g. in [26, Section 6.2] there are singular cochains $\psi' \in C^{r-1}(\text{Tot}_0(E), R)$ and $\varepsilon' \in C^r(X, \{x_0\}, R)$ such that $\delta\psi' = p_0^*(\varepsilon')$ and ψ' extends a cocycle $\in C^{r-1}(E_{0,x_0}, R)$ that represents the preferred generator of $H^{r-1}(E_{0,x_0}, R)$. The cohomology class of ε' will then be $d_r(\mathbf{a})$.

Applying Lemmas A.1.1 and A.1.2 we find a cochain $\varepsilon'' \in C^{r-1}(X, R)$ such that $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' + \delta\varepsilon''$ is zero on every singular simplex in every $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Then the restriction of the cochain $\psi = \psi' + p_0^*(\varepsilon'')$ to every fibre of p_0 is closed, and $\psi|_{E_{0,x_0}} = \psi'|_{E_{0,x_0}}$. Moreover, if $x \in X$, then using the lifting homotopy property we can extend the identity of E_{0,x_0} to a map $E_{0,x_0} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Tot}_0(E)$ that covers a path from x_0 to x in some $T \in \mathcal{T}$. We conclude that the restriction of ψ to the fibre $E_{0,x}$ represents the preferred generator of $H^{r-1}(E_{0,x}, R) \cong H^r(E_x, E_{0,x}, R)$. Note also that $\delta\psi = p_0^*(\varepsilon)$ naturally extends as a closed cochain $p^*(\varepsilon)$ on the whole of $\text{Tot}(E)$.

The rest of the proof is adapted from the construction of smooth representatives of the Thom classes [4, §12]. Let $\rho(x)$ be -1 for $x \in [0, 1]$ and 0 for $x \in (1, \infty)$. We introduce a metric on E and denote the resulting norm by $\| - \|$. By setting $x \mapsto \rho(\|x\|)$ we get a function $\text{Tot}(E) \rightarrow R$, which we also denote by ρ and view as a singular 0-cochain. Set $U_0 = \{y \in \text{Tot}(E) \mid \|y\| \neq 0\}$, $U_1 = \{y \in \text{Tot}(E) \mid \|y\| < 1\}$, and $U_2 = \{y \in \text{Tot}(E) \mid \|y\| > 1\}$. The cochain $\delta(\rho\psi) = (\delta\rho) \smile \psi + \rho\delta\psi = (\delta\rho) \smile \psi + \rho p_0^*(\varepsilon) \in C^*(U_0, R)$ extends as $\rho p^*(\varepsilon)$ to singular simplices in U_1 . In fact, this extension belongs to

$$C^*(U_0 + U_1, U_2 + \emptyset, R) = \{f \in \text{Hom}(C_*(U_0) + C_*(U_1), R) \mid f|_{C_*(U_2)} = 0\},$$

and by abuse of notation we will denote it also by $\delta(\rho\psi)$. We have an exact sequence of cochain complexes (cf. [19, §3.1])

$$0 \rightarrow C^*(U_0 + U_1, U_2 + \emptyset, R) \rightarrow C^*(U_0 + U_1, R) \rightarrow C^*(U_2, R) \rightarrow 0$$

where $C^*(U_0 + U_1, R) = \text{Hom}(C_*(U_0) + C_*(U_1), R)$, and

$$H^*(C^*(U_0 + U_1, U_2 + \emptyset, R)) \cong H^*(\text{Tot}(E), U_2, R) \cong H^*(\text{Tot}(E), \text{Tot}_0, R).$$

The cochain $\delta(\rho\psi)$ is closed in $C^*(U_0 + U_1, U_2 + \emptyset, R)$: the restriction of $\delta(\rho\psi)$ to U_0 is exact, and after restricting to U_1 we get the closed cochain $\rho p^*(\varepsilon)$. Moreover, the restriction of $\delta(\rho\psi)$ to each fibre E_x represents the preferred generator of $H^r(E_x, E_x \cap U_2, R) \cong H^r(E_x, E_{0,x}, R)$. To see this recall that ψ restricted to $E_x \cap U_2$ is closed and represents the preferred generator; the cochain $(1 + \rho)\psi$ is an extension of $\psi|_{U_2}$ to $C^*(U_0 + U_1, R)$. So $\delta(((1 + \rho)\psi)|_{E_x}) = (\delta(\rho\psi))|_{E_x}$ represents the preferred generator of $H^r(E_x, E_x \cap U_2, R)$ as we claimed.

We conclude that $\delta(\rho\psi)$ represents the Thom class of E , and $-\varepsilon$, the restriction of $\delta(\rho\psi)$ to the zero section, represents the Euler class. □

A.2. Proof of Proposition 3.2.17.

Lemma A.2.1. *Let U_1 and U_2 be open subsets of \mathbb{C}^m and \mathbb{C}^n respectively, and let A be a smooth analytic subvariety of $U_1 \times U_2$ of dimension m . Suppose A intersects every subset $\{x\} \times U_2$, $x \in U_1$ at one point. Then all these intersections are transversal.*

Proof. The projection $A \rightarrow U_1$ is a holomorphic bijection between smooth complex analytic varieties, so it is an isomorphism, which implies that the tangent space to A at any point does not intersect $0 \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$. □

Let Y be a smooth complex analytic variety, and let V be a complex vector space. The projective space $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(V \oplus \mathbb{C})$ contains V as an open subset. Suppose that Z is a vector subbundle of the trivial vector bundle $V \times Y \rightarrow Y$, and that $W \subset V$ is an affine subspace of dimension l such that $0 \notin W$ and $\overline{\text{Tot } Z}$ intersects $\overline{W \times Y}$ transversally at one point $(s_0, y_0) \in W \times Y$, where the bar denotes the closure inside $\mathbb{P} \times Y$. Let $\tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_{l+1} \in W$ be elements that generate W as an affine subspace, and let s_1, \dots, s_{l+1} be the corresponding sections of the quotient bundle $Z' = (V \times Y)/Z$. Then s_i are linearly dependent only at y_0 , and their values at this point span a vector subspace of dimension l .

Let $\tilde{D}_{l+1} \subset \text{Tot}(Z'^{\oplus(l+1)})$ be the *linear dependency locus*; its fibre over $y \in Y$ is the set of all $(l+1)$ -tuples of elements of Z'_y that are linearly dependent. Note that \tilde{D}_{l+1} is an irreducible analytic subvariety of $\text{Tot}(Z'^{\oplus(l+1)})$. It follows from our assumptions that the image of $s = (s_1, \dots, s_{l+1})$ intersects \tilde{D}_{l+1} at one point. Moreover, if U is a compact neighbourhood of y_0 , then the same will be true for $s'(U)$ for s' an $(l+1)$ -tuple of sections $\in \Gamma(Y, Z')$ that is sufficiently close to s : any intersection point $\in s'(U) \cap \tilde{D}_{l+1}$ gives one an intersection point $\in (W' \times U) \cap \text{Tot}(Z)$ where W' is the affine subspace of V spanned by the components of s' .

Lemma A.2.2. *The intersection $s(Y) \cap \tilde{D}_{l+1}$ is transversal.*

Proof. Let $W' \subset V$ be a vector subspace that maps isomorphically to Z'_{y_0} , and take a neighbourhood $U' \subset W'$ of the origin and a neighbourhood U of y_0 in Y . The map $F : U'^{\times(l+1)} \times U \rightarrow \text{Tot } Z'$ given by

$$(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{l+1}, y) \mapsto (s_1(y) + \varepsilon_1(y), \dots, s_{l+1}(y) + \varepsilon_{l+1}(y))$$

is a local analytic isomorphism at $(0, y_0)$. Moreover, if U and U' are small enough, then for every $\varepsilon \in U'^{\times(l+1)}$ the image $F(\{\varepsilon\} \times U)$ intersects \tilde{D}_{l+1} at a single point. Using Lemma A.2.1 we see that all these intersections are transversal. \square

Proof of the proposition. Let $p_V : V \times X \rightarrow V$ be the projection. We may and will assume that every point of X' is smooth. Since p_V is proper and $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is closed in $V \times X$, we see that $\Sigma = p_V(\tilde{\Sigma}) \subset V$ is closed and has dimension $\leq d + \dim V - r = \dim \tilde{\Sigma}$. Set $\tilde{\Sigma}' = \tilde{\Sigma}|_{X'}$, $q = p_V|_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ and

$$\Theta = p_V \left(\tilde{\Sigma} \setminus \tilde{\Sigma}' \right) \cup p_V \left(\{y \in \tilde{\Sigma}' \mid \ker dq|_y \neq 0\} \right).$$

Note that $\dim \Theta < d + \dim V - r$.

Suppose first that $\dim \Sigma = d + \dim V - r$. Let W be an affine subspace of V of dimension $r - d$ that $\not\cong 0$ and that intersects Σ transversally at $\text{deg } \Sigma$ smooth points $\notin \Theta$. Set $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(V \oplus \mathbb{C})$. Note that the closures of Σ and W in \mathbb{P} have no intersection points at infinity, i.e. in $\mathbb{P} \setminus V$. It follows from our assumptions that for any point in $\Sigma \cap W$ the preimage under q consists of $k > 0$ transversal intersection points of $W \times X$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}$, which all belong to $\tilde{\Sigma}'$. Choose elements $s_1, \dots, s_{r-d+1} \in W$ that generate W as an affine subspace. Applying Lemma A.2.2 we see that these sections are linearly dependent at $k \text{ deg } \Sigma$ points of X' , and at each of these the tuple $s = (s_1, \dots, s_{r-d+1})$ is transversal to the linear dependency locus. We conclude that, after pulling E' and s back to a resolution of singularities of X if necessary, the class of the degeneracy cycle D_{r-d+1} for E' (see e.g. [14, Chapter 3, §3]) is $k \text{ deg } \Sigma \in H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})$. We now use the fact that this class is Poincaré dual to $c_d(E')$ (see *ibid.*).

If $\dim \Sigma < d + \dim V - r$, then q^{-1} of every point of Σ has positive dimension, and one can choose an affine subspace $W \subset V$ of dimension $r - d$ such that $\Sigma \cap W = \emptyset$, which by the above argument implies that $c_d(E) = 0$.

APPENDIX B. TABLES

B.1. Examples for Sections 4.2 and 4.3. Tables 6-10 contain the multiples of $|\tilde{G}_{Z(s)}|, s \in \Gamma_{\text{reg}}(X, E)$ given by Theorem 4.5.1 for varieties considered in Sections 4.2 and 4.3 for small values of the parameters. (Recall that \tilde{G} is the image of G in $\text{Aut } X$, and \tilde{G}_Z is the subgroup of \tilde{G} that preserves Z but not necessarily pointwise, see Section 3.2.) In table 7 Q_n is a non-singular quadric in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$; the notation used in tables 8-10 was introduced in Section 4.3.

TABLE 6. $G = SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$, $X = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, $E = \mathcal{O}(d)$

$d \backslash n$	2	3	4
3	$2^3 \cdot 3^3$	$2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$	$2^{10} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$
4	$2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$	$2^9 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	$2^{11} \cdot 3^{10} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 61$
5	$2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 13$	$2^{12} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$	$2^{20} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 41$

TABLE 7. $G = SO_{n+2}(\mathbb{C})$, $X = Q_n$, $E = \mathcal{O}(d)$

$d \backslash n$	2	3	4
2	$2^7 \cdot 3$	$2^8 \cdot 5$	$2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$
3	$2^5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$	$2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2$	$2^{10} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 43$
4	$2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$	$2^{10} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 71$	$2^{11} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 547$

TABLE 8. $G = SL_3(\mathbb{C})$, $X = SL_3(\mathbb{C})/B$, $E = \mathcal{O}(m\omega_1 + n\omega_2)$

$m \backslash n$	1	2	3	4
1	0	$2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$	$2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 13$	$2^9 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
2	$2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$	$2^5 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5$	$2^{11} \cdot 3$	$2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11$
3	$2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 13$	$2^{11} \cdot 3$	$2^8 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$	$2^6 \cdot 3^4$
4	$2^9 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	$2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11$	$2^6 \cdot 3^4$	$2^6 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 31$

TABLE 9. $G = Sp_4(\mathbb{C})$, $X = Sp_4(\mathbb{C})/B$, $E = \mathcal{O}(m\omega_1 + n\omega_2)$

$m \backslash n$	1	2	3	4
1	$2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	$2^8 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$	$2^3 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$	$2^{13} \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 31 \cdot 41$
2	$2^8 \cdot 5 \cdot 37$	$2^{11} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 107$	$2^{10} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^4$	$2^{14} \cdot 5^2 \cdot 683$
3	$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$	$2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 67$	$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 709$	$2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$
4	$2^8 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$	$2^9 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 59$	$2^8 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 97$	$2^{11} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 19$

TABLE 10. $G = G_2, X = G_2/B, E = \mathcal{O}(m\omega_1 + n\omega_2)$

$m \backslash n$	1	2	3	4
1	$2^8 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 229$	$2^{10} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 89$	$2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 37 \cdot 163 \cdot 239$	$2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 61 \cdot 71 \cdot 2213$
2	$2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 2473$	$2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 19 \cdot 73 \cdot 467$	$2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 37 \cdot 20333$	$2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 61 \cdot 199 \cdot 641$
3	$2^9 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 787$	$2^8 \cdot 3^8 \cdot 7 \cdot 4273$	$2^9 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 61 \cdot 257$	$2^8 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 538073$
4	$2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 31 \cdot 3491$	$2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 53 \cdot 1877$	$2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 139 \cdot 241$	$2^8 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 743 \cdot 4517$

B.2. **Examples for Section 4.4.** In all tables 11-17 we use the notation of Section 4.4. In tables 13 and 15-17 L^m denotes a projective subspace of codimension m that is transversal to the Grassmannian.

TABLE 11. Smooth complete intersections of three quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{C})$ ($k = 1, n = 4, d = 2, r = 2$)

l	1	2	3	4	5
$\dim H_{14-2l}(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$	1	2	2	2	2
$\dim P^{2l-1}$	1	2	2	1	1
i_l	40	480	8	16	8

TABLE 12. Smooth complete intersections of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^5(\mathbb{C})$ ($k = 1, n = 5, d = 2, r = 1$)

l	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\dim H_{14-2l}(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$	1	2	2	2	2	2
$\dim P^{2l-1}$	1	2	1	1	1	1
i_l	30	96	4	8	4	12

TABLE 13. Smooth intersections of $\text{Gr}(2, 6)$ and L^7 ($k = 2, n = 4, d = 1, r = 6$)

l	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$\dim H_{30-2l}(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$	1	2	4	6	9	11	13
$\dim P^{2l-1}$	1	2	2	2	2	2	1
i_l	66	1152	2	8	2	56	1

TABLE 14. Smooth complete intersections of three quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^6(\mathbb{C})$ ($k = 1, n = 6, d = 2, r = 2$)

l	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$\dim H_{16-2l}(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$	1	2	3	3	3	3	3
$\dim P^{2l-1}$	1	2	2	1	1	1	1
i_l	140	3360	112	16	8	24	8

TABLE 15. Smooth intersections of $\text{Gr}(2, 5)$ and L^3 ($k = 2, n = 3, d = 1, r = 2$)

l	1	2	3	4	5
$\dim H_{18-2l}(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$	1	2	4	5	6
$\dim P^{2l-1}$	1	2	2	1	1
i_l	5	∞	12	2	1

TABLE 16. Smooth intersections of $\text{Gr}(2, 7)$ and L^6 ($k = 2, n = 5, d = 1, r = 5$)

l	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$\dim H_{30-2l}(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$	1	2	4	6	9	12	14
$\dim P^{2l-1}$	1	2	2	2	2	2	1
i_l	266	13140	4	8	4	56	1

TABLE 17. Smooth intersections of $\text{Gr}(3, 6)$ and L^5 ($k = 3, n = 3, d = 1, r = 4$)

l	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\dim H_{28-2l}(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathbb{Z})$	1	2	4	7	10	12
$\dim P^{2l-1}$	1	2	2	2	2	1
i_l	240	15552	648	120	2	1

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Akbulut and M. Kalafat, *Algebraic topology of G_2 manifolds*, Expo. Math. **34** (2016), no. 1, 106–129, DOI 10.1016/j.exmath.2015.03.004.
- [2] J. H. Bernstein, I. M. Gel'fand, and S. I. Gel'fand, *Schubert cells and cohomology of the spaces G/P* , Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **28** (1973), no. 3, 3–26 (in Russian); English transl., Russian Math. Surveys **28** (1973), no. 3, 1–26.
- [3] O. Benoist, *Séparation et propriété de Deligne-Mumford des champs de modules d'intersections complètes lisses*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **87** (2013), no. 1, 138–156, DOI 10.1112/jlms/jds045 (French, with English and French summaries).
- [4] R. Bott and L. W. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 82, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [5] A. Björner and F. Brenti, *Combinatorics of Coxeter groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 231, Springer, New York, 2005.

- [6] M. J. Collins, *On Jordan's theorem for complex linear groups*, J. Group Theory **10** (2007), no. 4, 411–423, DOI 10.1515/JGT.2007.032.
- [7] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann, *SINGULAR 4-1-1 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations* (2018), available at <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>.
- [8] C. De Concini and J. Weyman, *A formula with nonnegative terms for the degree of the dual variety of a homogeneous space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 1, 1–8, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-97-03841-0.
- [9] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge: III*, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS **44** (1974), 5-77 (french).
- [10] I. V. Dolgachev, *Classical algebraic geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. A modern view.
- [11] I. M. Gelfand, M. Kapranov, and A. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, resultants, and multidimensional determinants*, 1st ed., Mathematics: theory & applications, Birkhäuser, 1994.
- [12] V. González-Aguilera and A. Liendo, *On the order of an automorphism of a smooth hypersurface*, Isr. J. Math. **197** (2013), no. 1, 29–49.
- [13] V. González-Aguilera, A. Liendo, and P. Montero, *On the liftability of the automorphism group of smooth hypersurfaces of the projective space* (2020), preprint, available at [arXiv:2004.12455](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.12455).
- [14] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1978. Pure and Applied Mathematics.
- [15] A. G. Gorinov, *Real cohomology groups of the space of nonsingular curves of degree 5 in $\mathbb{C}P^2$* , Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. **14** (2005), no. 3, 395–434.
- [16] ———, *Division theorems for the rational cohomology of some discriminant complements* (2005), preprint, available at [arxiv:math.AT/0511593](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0511593).
- [17] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique: IV*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **20, 24, 28, 32** (1967), 5–361.
- [18] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, 2nd ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [19] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [20] T. Hosoh, *Automorphism groups of cubic surfaces*, J. Algebra **192** (1997), no. 2, 651–677, DOI 10.1006/jabr.1996.6968.
- [21] I. M. Isaacs, *Finite group theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 92, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [22] A. G. Kuznetsov, Y. G. Prokhorov, and C. A. Shramov, *Hilbert schemes of lines and conics and automorphism groups of Fano threefolds*, Jpn. J. Math. **13** (2018), no. 1, 109–185, DOI 10.1007/s11537-017-1714-6.
- [23] D. Luna, *Slices étales*, Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France **33** (1973), 81-105.
- [24] L. Manivel, *On Fano manifolds of Picard number one*, Math. Z. **281** (2015), no. 3-4, 1129–1135, DOI 10.1007/s00209-015-1523-7.
- [25] J. P. May, *Classifying spaces and fibrations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1975), no. 155, xiii+98.
- [26] J. McCleary, *A user's guide to spectral sequences*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 58, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [27] J. Milnor and D. Husemoller, *Symmetric Bilinear Forms*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 2. Folge, vol. 73, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1973.
- [28] M. Mimura and H. Toda, *Topology of Lie groups. I, II*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 91, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991. Translated from the 1978 Japanese edition by the authors.
- [29] T. Mora and M. Sala, *On the Gröbner bases of some symmetric systems and their application to coding theory*, J. Symbolic Comput. **35** (2003), no. 2, 177–194, DOI 10.1016/S0747-7171(02)00131-1.
- [30] S. Mukai, *Curves and Grassmannians*, Algebraic geometry and related topics (Inchon, 1992), Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Algebraic Geom., I, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1993, pp. 19–40.
- [31] P. E. Newstead, *Introduction to moduli problems and orbit spaces*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics, vol. 51, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; by the Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, 1978.
- [32] H. V. Pittie, *The integral homology and cohomology rings of $SO(n)$ and $Spin(n)$* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra **73** (1991), no. 2, 105–153, DOI 10.1016/0022-4049(91)90108-E.
- [33] C. A. M. Peters and J. H. M. Steenbrink, *Degeneration of the Leray spectral sequence for certain geometric quotients*, Mosc. Math. J. **3** (2003), no. 3, 1085–1095.
- [34] C. A. M. Peters and J. H. M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [35] Y. Prokhorov and C. Shramov, *Jordan constant for Cremona group of rank 3*, Mosc. Math. J. **17** (2017), no. 3, 457–509, DOI 10.17323/1609-4514-2017-17-3-457-509.
- [36] R. W. Richardson, *Affine Coset Spaces of Reductive Algebraic Groups*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society **9** (1977), no. 1, 38–41.
- [37] D. J. Saunders, *The Geometry of Jet Bundles*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 142, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [38] G. Segal, *Categories and cohomology theories*, Topology **13** (1974), 293–312.
- [39] B. Segre, *The Non-singular Cubic Surfaces*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1942.

- [40] M. R. Sepanski, *Compact Lie groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 235, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [41] J.-P. Serre, *Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés. Applications*, Ann. of Math. (2) **54** (1951), 425–505, DOI 10.2307/1969485 (French).
- [42] ———, *Représentations linéaires et espaces homogènes kählériens des groupes de Lie compacts (d’après Armand Borel et André Weil)*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 2, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995, pp. Exp. No. 100, 447–454 (French).
- [43] R. Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley groups*, Yale University, New Haven, Conn., 1968. Notes prepared by John Faulkner and Robert Wilson.
- [44] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, 3rd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1981. Corrected reprint.
- [45] R. M. Switzer, *Algebraic topology — homotopy and homology*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 212, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [46] O. Tommasi, *Rational cohomology of the moduli space of genus 4 curves*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005), no. 2, 359–384.
- [47] V. S. Varadarajan, *Spin(7)-subgroups of SO(8) and Spin(8)*, Expo. Math. **19** (2001), no. 2, 163–177, DOI 10.1016/S0723-0869(01)80027-X.
- [48] V. A. Vassiliev, *How to calculate homology groups of spaces of nonsingular algebraic projective hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^n$* , Proc. Steklov Math. Inst. **225** (1999), 121–140.
- [49] È. B. Vinberg and V. L. Popov, *Invariant theory*, Algebraic geometry, 4 (Russian), Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1989, pp. 137–314, 315 (Russian).
- [50] A. Zheng, *Rational cohomology of the moduli space of trigonal curves of genus 5* (2020), preprint, available at [arXiv:2007.12150](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.12150).
- [51] Z. Zheng, *On Abelian Automorphism Groups of Hypersurfaces* (2020), preprint, available at [arXiv:2004.09008](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.09008).

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, HSE UNIVERSITY, 6 USACHEVA ULITSA, MOSCOW 119048, RUSSIA

Email address: agorinov@hse.ru, gorinov@mccme.ru

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, HSE UNIVERSITY, 6 USACHEVA ULITSA, MOSCOW 119048, RUSSIA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, 255 HURLEY HALL, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556, USA

Email address: nkonoval@nd.edu, nikolay.konovlov.p@gmail.com