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#### Abstract

Sub-Riemannian cubics are a generalisation of Riemannian cubics to a sub-Riemannian manifold. Cubics are curves which minimise the integral of the norm of the covariant acceleration. SubRiemannian cubics are cubics which are restricted to move in a horizontal subspace of the tangent space. When the sub-Riemannian manifold is also a Lie group, sub-Riemannian cubics correspond to what we call a sub-Riemannian Lie quadratic in the Lie algebra. The present article studies sub-Riemannian Lie quadratics in the case of $\mathfrak{s u}(2)$, focusing on the long term dynamics.


## I. SUB-RIEMANNIAN CUBICS

Let $G$ be a matrix Lie group with a positive-definite bi-invariant inner product $\langle,\rangle_{B}$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}:=T G_{e}$. Choose a positive-definite self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{J}$ with respect to $\langle,\rangle_{B}$. Now define $\langle,\rangle_{\mathcal{J}}$, by $\langle X, Y\rangle_{\mathcal{J}}=\langle X, \mathcal{J} Y\rangle_{B}$. Given a basis $\hat{e}_{1}, \ldots \hat{e}_{n}$ for $\mathfrak{g}$, define an $n \times n$ matrix $\mathcal{J}_{i j}$ by $\mathcal{J}_{i j}=\left\langle\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{J}}$. Then given $V=v_{k} \hat{e}_{k} \in \mathfrak{g}, v_{k} \in \mathbb{R}$, we can compute $\mathcal{J} V=v_{i} \mathcal{J}_{i j} \hat{e}_{j}$, where repeated indicies are summed.

A left-invariant metric $\langle$,$\rangle is defined on T G$ by the formula $\langle X, Y\rangle_{g}:=\left\langle g^{-1} X, g^{-1} Y\right\rangle_{\mathcal{J}}$. Then given a vector subspace of $\delta_{1} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, we define a left-invariant distribution on $G$ to be the vector sub-bundle $\delta$ of $T G$, whose fibre $\delta_{g}$ over $g$ is $g \delta_{1}$.

Previous work [1-6 has investigated the critical points of the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
S[\widetilde{x}]:=\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\nabla_{t} \dot{\tilde{x}}, \nabla_{t} \dot{\tilde{x}}\right\rangle_{\hat{x}} d t, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{x}:[0, T] \rightarrow M$, and $\widetilde{x}(0), \widetilde{x}(T), \dot{\tilde{x}}(0)$ and $\dot{\tilde{x}}(T)$ are given. $\nabla_{t} \dot{\tilde{x}}$ denotes the covariant acceleration, and $M$ is a Riemannian manifold. In this situation critical points of $S$ are called Riemannian cubics. We now consider the case where, $M=G$ and $\dot{\tilde{x}}$ is constrained to be in the distribution $\delta$. With this constraint, we will call critical points of $S$ a sub-Riemannian cubic.

Note that restricting the original Riemannian metric to the distribution makes $G$ a sub-Riemannian manifold [7]. When the metric is not bi-invariant, $\mathcal{J} \neq I$, where $I$ is the identity matrix, we need an underlying Riemannian metric to define $\nabla_{t} \dot{\tilde{x}}$, which is not necessarily restricted to the distribution.

The equations for normal sub-Riemannian cubics can be derived from the Pontryagin Maximum Principle (PMP). For a reference on the PMP see [8. Usually the PMP applies for control systems on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ but there is a version for control systems on a Lie group 9.

As $G$ is left-invariant $\dot{\tilde{x}}$ is constrained by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\tilde{x}}:=\widetilde{x} \tilde{V} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $\widetilde{V}:[0, T] \rightarrow \delta_{1}$. We also require $\delta_{1}$ to be a bracket generating subset of $\mathfrak{g}$.
Let left Lie reduction by $\widetilde{x}$ be denoted $L^{-1}$. Then the left Lie reduction of the covariant acceleration $\nabla_{t} \dot{\tilde{x}}$ to $\mathfrak{g}$ includes a first order derivative of $\widetilde{V}$,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{-1}\left(\nabla_{t} \dot{\tilde{x}}\right)=\dot{\widetilde{V}}-\mathcal{J}^{-1}[\mathcal{J} \tilde{V}, \tilde{V}] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

To use the PMP define a new control function $u:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, and treat $\widetilde{V}$ as an additional state variable. So to minimise $S[\widetilde{x}]$ subject to the constraints

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\tilde{x}}=\widetilde{x} \tilde{V},  \tag{4}\\
& \dot{\widetilde{V}}=u, \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

we form the PMP Hamiltonian, $H$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{V}, u, \lambda, \vartheta, \nu):=\lambda(\widetilde{x} \widetilde{V})+\vartheta(u)+\frac{\nu}{2}\left\|u-\mathcal{J}^{-1}[\mathcal{J} \widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V}]\right\|_{\mathcal{J}}^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \in T^{*} G_{\widetilde{x}}$ and $\vartheta \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ are the co-states, and $\nu \leq 0$. Then the PMP says maximising $H$ for all $t$ is a necessary condition for minimising $S$.

By the PMP, the co-states are required to satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\lambda}(y) & =-d H_{\widetilde{x}}(y)=-\lambda(y \widetilde{V}) \\
\dot{\vartheta}(Z) & =-d H_{\widetilde{V}}(Z)=-\lambda(\widetilde{x} Z)-\nu\left\langle-\mathcal{J}^{-1}[\mathcal{J} Z, \widetilde{V}]+\mathcal{J}^{-1}[\mathcal{J} \widetilde{V}, Z], u-\mathcal{J}^{-1}[\mathcal{J} \widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V}]\right\rangle_{\mathcal{J}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\forall y \in T G_{\widetilde{x}}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{g} . \lambda \in T^{*} G_{\widetilde{x}}$ can be associated with a $\lambda^{*} \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ via left multiplication, $\Lambda^{*}(V)=\lambda(L(\widetilde{x}) V)$. Differentiating $\Lambda^{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\Lambda^{*}(y)} & =\dot{\lambda}(\widetilde{x} y)+\lambda(\dot{\widetilde{x}} y) \\
& =-\lambda(\widetilde{x} y \widetilde{V})+\lambda(\widetilde{x} \widetilde{V} y) \\
& =-\Lambda^{*}(y \widetilde{V})+\Lambda^{*}(\widetilde{V} y) \\
& =\Lambda^{*}([\widetilde{V}, y]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally $\Lambda^{*}$ can be associated with $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{g}$ via the bi-invariant inner product, $\langle,\rangle_{B}$

$$
\Lambda^{*}(y)=\langle\Lambda, y\rangle_{B}
$$

Likewise for $\vartheta$ there is an associated $\Theta \in \mathfrak{g}$.

$$
\vartheta(w)=\langle\Theta, w\rangle_{B}
$$

This gives the following equations for the costates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\Lambda} & =[\Lambda, \widetilde{V}] \\
\dot{\Theta} & =-\Lambda-\nu(\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{V}, u]-[\mathcal{J} \widetilde{V}, u] \\
& \left.+\mathcal{J}\left[\widetilde{V}, \mathcal{J}^{-1}[\mathcal{J} \widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V}]\right]-\left[\mathcal{J} \widetilde{V}, \mathcal{J}^{-1}[\mathcal{J} \widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V}]\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the PMP there are two cases to consider.

## 1. Normal case

In the normal case, $\nu<0$, the optimal control $u^{*}$ must maximise $H$. From now on we consider the case when $\langle,\rangle_{\mathcal{J}}$ is simply bi-invariant and so $\mathcal{J}=I$. We now use the notation $\langle\rangle:,=\langle,\rangle_{\mathcal{J}}=\langle,\rangle_{B}$. Without loss of generality set $\nu=-1$. Hence in the normal case, the PMP Hamiltonian can equivalently written as

$$
H=\langle\Lambda, \tilde{V}\rangle+\langle\Theta, u\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\langle u, u\rangle
$$

Maxima occur when $d H\left(u^{*}\right)_{u}=0$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Theta, u^{*}\right\rangle-\left\langle u, u^{*}\right\rangle=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore optimal controls occur when $\operatorname{proj}_{\delta_{1}}(\Theta)=u=\dot{\widetilde{V}}$. The equations for the costates reduce to a single equation, which gives the following theorem.

Theorem I.1. $\widetilde{x}$ is a normal sub-Riemannian cubic if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\Theta}=[\dot{\Theta}, \tilde{V}] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. Denote the projection of $\Theta$ onto the orthogonal complement, $\perp$, of $\delta_{1}$ by $\varphi=\operatorname{proj}_{\perp}(\Theta)$. Let $\delta_{1}=\mathfrak{g}$. Then the resulting equations for normal sub-Riemannian cubics match the bi-invariant Riemannian case, $\ddot{\widetilde{V}}=[\ddot{\widetilde{V}}, \widetilde{V}][5$. In general solutions to 8 are hard to find.

Remark. One subclass of solutions are the so called linear Lie quadratics. In this case, $\varphi=\varphi_{0}$ and $\widetilde{V}=\left(q_{0}+q_{1} t+q_{2} t^{2}\right) \widetilde{V}_{0}$, where $q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, \widetilde{V}_{0}$ is a constant in $\delta_{1}$, and $\varphi_{0}$ is a constant in the orthogonal complement of $\delta_{1}$.
$\dot{\Theta}$ can be found in terms of $\widetilde{x}$. Rewrite (8), take the adjoint and integrate,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{Ad}(\widetilde{x})(\ddot{\Theta}+[\widetilde{V}, \dot{\Theta}])=0 \\
\quad \Longrightarrow \dot{\Theta}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(\widetilde{x}^{-1}\right) \mathcal{A}
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{g}$. This simply reflects the fact that $\dot{\Theta}$ satisfies a Lax equation 10 and is therefore isospectral.

## 2. Abnormal case

The abnormal case is given by $\nu=0$. As before, the PMP Hamiltonian can be written as

$$
H=\langle\Lambda, \widetilde{V}\rangle+\langle\Theta, u\rangle
$$

Maxima occur when $d H\left(u^{*}\right)_{u}=0$. Immediately this requires $\operatorname{proj}_{\delta_{1}}(\Theta)=0$, so there is no way to determine $u$ from the PMP.

## 3. Bounds

Given some function $f$, we say that $f$ is $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{n}\right)$, when for some $c>0,|f| \leq c\left|t^{n}\right|$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

## Corollary I.1.

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle\ddot{\tilde{V}}, \tilde{V}\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\langle\dot{\tilde{V}}, \dot{\tilde{V}}\rangle & =c_{1}  \tag{9}\\
\langle\ddot{\tilde{V}}, \ddot{\tilde{V}}\rangle+\langle\dot{\varphi}, \dot{\varphi}\rangle & =c_{2} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c_{2} \geq 0$.

Proof. First take the inner product of 8 and $\tilde{V}$ to find $\langle\ddot{\widetilde{V}}, \tilde{V}\rangle=0$. Next take the inner product of 8 with $\ddot{\widetilde{V}}+\dot{\varphi}$ to find $\langle\ddot{\tilde{V}}, \ddot{\widetilde{V}}\rangle+\langle\dot{\varphi}, \dot{\varphi}\rangle=0$. Integrating these gives the result.

## Corollary I.2.

$$
\frac{1}{2} c_{1} t^{2}+c_{5} t+c_{6} \leq\langle\tilde{V}, \widetilde{V}\rangle \leq \mathcal{O}\left(t^{4}\right)
$$

Proof. As $\langle\dot{\varphi}, \dot{\varphi}\rangle \geq 0$, we have $\langle\ddot{\tilde{V}}, \ddot{\widetilde{V}}\rangle \leq c_{2}$. This argument can be repeated for the components of $\ddot{\widetilde{V}}$, so given $\widetilde{V}=v_{k}(t) \widehat{e}_{k}$, then $\ddot{v}_{k}^{2}\left\langle\hat{e}_{k}, \hat{e}_{k}\right\rangle \leq c_{2}$. As we are working with the bi-invariant metric, we have $-\sqrt{c_{2}} \leq \ddot{v_{k}} \leq \sqrt{c_{2}}$ and so $\left|v_{k}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{c_{2}} t^{2}+c_{3} t+c_{4}$, where $c_{3}$ and $c_{4}$ are some other constants. Therefore $\langle\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V}\rangle$ is bounded above by $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{4}\right)$.

The same argument can be used to to show $\langle\dot{\varphi}, \dot{\varphi}\rangle$ is bounded above a constant, and then $\|\varphi\|$ is bounded above by a linear function. This then shows $\langle\varphi, \varphi\rangle$ is bounded above by $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)$.

Equation (9) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}(\langle\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V}\rangle)=c_{1}+\frac{3}{2}\langle\dot{\widetilde{V}}, \dot{\tilde{V}}\rangle \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Immediately this yields the lower bound $\langle\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{V}\rangle \geq \frac{1}{2} c_{1} t^{2}+c_{5} t+c_{6}$ where $c_{5}$ and $c_{6}$ are other constants.

## II. SUB-RIEMANNIAN LIE QUADRATICS AND SYMMETRIC PAIRS

## A. Symmetric pairs

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ be a symmetric pair, namely $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{h}$ where the following properties hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] } & \subseteq \mathfrak{h} \\
{[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}] } & \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \\
{[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] } & \subseteq \mathfrak{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

An example is $\mathfrak{s u}(2)$ where $\mathfrak{m}$ is spanned by the Pauli matrices $i \sigma_{1}$ and $i \sigma_{2}$, and $\mathfrak{h}$ is spanned by $i \sigma_{3}$. Suppose we set $\delta_{1}=\mathfrak{m}$. The equations for normal sub-Riemannian cubics in $\mathfrak{g}$ separate into two components.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{\varphi} & =[\ddot{\widetilde{V}}, \tilde{V}] \\
\dddot{\widetilde{V}} & =[\dot{\varphi}, \widetilde{V}]
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating the first equation and substituting leaves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\widetilde{V}}=[[\dot{\tilde{V}}, \tilde{V}], \tilde{V}]+[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \tilde{V}] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $\widetilde{V}$ which satisfy this equation a sub-Riemannian Lie quadratic. One simple solution to this is $\widetilde{V}=\widetilde{V}_{0}+\widetilde{V}_{1} t$, where $\widetilde{V}_{0}$ and $\widetilde{V}_{1}$ are chosen so $\left[\widetilde{V}_{0}, \widetilde{V}_{1}\right]=\widetilde{C}$. We call sub-Riemannian Lie quadratics null when $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}=0$.

## B. Duality

We say $\widetilde{W}$ is dual to $\widetilde{V}$, when

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{W} & =-\operatorname{Ad}(\widetilde{x}) \widetilde{V}  \tag{13}\\
\dot{\widetilde{y}} & =\widetilde{y} \widetilde{W} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\widetilde{y}=\widetilde{x}^{-1}$.
In the null case, $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}=0, \widetilde{V}$ is dual to a non-null Riemannian Lie quadratic, $V$, which is defined by the equation

$$
\ddot{V}=[\dot{V}, V]+C .
$$

Duality was considered for Riemannian Lie quadratics in 6]. We investigate the sub-Riemannian case.
Theorem II.1. $\tilde{V}$ is dual to a rescaled non-null Riemannian Lie quadratic.

Proof. Recall that for any other function $Z$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}(\operatorname{Ad}(\widetilde{x}) Z)=\operatorname{Ad}(\widetilde{x})(\dot{Z}+[\widetilde{V}, Z]) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Computing derivatives,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\widetilde{W}}=-\operatorname{Ad}(\widetilde{x})(\dot{\widetilde{V}}) \\
& \ddot{\widetilde{W}}=-\operatorname{Ad}(\widetilde{x})(\ddot{\widetilde{V}}+[\widetilde{V}, \dot{\tilde{V}}]) \\
& \dddot{\widetilde{W}}=-\operatorname{Ad}(\widetilde{x})(\ddot{\widetilde{V}}+[\widetilde{V}, \ddot{\tilde{V}}]+[\widetilde{V}, \ddot{\widetilde{V}}+[\widetilde{V}, \dot{\tilde{V}}]]),
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives

$$
\cdots \stackrel{\widetilde{W}}{ }=-2 \operatorname{Ad}(\widetilde{x})([\widetilde{V}, \ddot{\widetilde{V}}]+[\widetilde{V},[\widetilde{V}, \dot{\widetilde{V}}]])
$$

Then

$$
[\widetilde{W}, \ddot{W}]=\operatorname{Ad}(\widetilde{x})([\widetilde{V}, \ddot{\widetilde{V}}]+[\widetilde{V},[\widetilde{V}, \dot{\widetilde{V}}]])
$$

and so

$$
\ddot{\widetilde{W}}=2[\ddot{\widetilde{W}}, \widetilde{W}]
$$

Integrating this equation leaves a reparameterised non-null Riemannian Lie quadratic

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\widetilde{W}}=2[\stackrel{\dot{W}}{ }, \widetilde{W}]+\widetilde{\mathcal{D}} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, let $\widetilde{x}(0)=I$. Consider the equations for $\widetilde{W}$ and $\widetilde{V}$ at $t=0$. Clearly $\widetilde{V}(0)=\widetilde{W}(0)$, $\dot{\tilde{V}}(0)=\dot{\widetilde{W}}(0)$, and $\ddot{\widetilde{W}}(0)=\ddot{\widetilde{V}}(0)+[\widetilde{V}(0), \dot{\widetilde{V}}(0)]$. First this shows $\widetilde{W}$ is no longer constrained to $\delta_{1}$. Additionally we must have $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}=\ddot{\widetilde{V}}(0)+3[\widetilde{V}(0), \dot{\widetilde{V}}(0)]$.

Now let $V(t)=a \widetilde{W}(b t)$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Setting $a=2 b$, and $\mathcal{C}=2 b^{3} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}, V$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{V}=[\dot{V}, V]+\mathcal{C} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the equation for a non null Riemannian Lie quadratic.

Note if we define $x:[0, T] \rightarrow G$, and $\dot{x}=x V$, then there is no clear relation between $x$, a non-null Riemannian cubic and $\widetilde{x}$. If $\mathcal{C}=0$ then the Lie quadratic is called null 4]. It is possible to integrate the equation for $V$ in certain groups. This can occur in a non-trivial way if $\ddot{\widetilde{V}}(0)=0$ and $\dot{\widetilde{V}}(0)=0$ or $\widetilde{V}(0)=0$. Let

$$
W=-\operatorname{Ad}(x) V
$$

Computing derivatives, we find

$$
\ddot{W}=0,
$$

which gives $W=W_{0}+W_{1} t$, where the $W_{k}$ are constant matrices. If $V$ was known it would be possible to work backwards and compute $\widetilde{x}$ using the work of 10 .

## C. $\mathrm{SU}(2)$

Let $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)$. Take $\delta_{1}=\operatorname{span}\left(\left\{\hat{e}_{1}, \hat{e}_{2}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right\}\right)$, where $\sigma_{i}$ are the Pauli matrices. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}=C \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma_{3}$, where $C \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall $\mathfrak{s u}(2)$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{s o}(3)$. $\mathfrak{s o}(3)$ can then be identified with Euclidean three space, $\mathbb{E}^{3}$, with the cross product. As a consquence of the vector triple product formula, we can write for $\widetilde{V}$ in $\mathfrak{s u}(2)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dddot{\widetilde{V}}=2(\langle\widetilde{V}, \dot{\tilde{V}}\rangle \tilde{V}-\langle\widetilde{V}, \tilde{V}\rangle \dot{\tilde{V}})+[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \tilde{V}] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can identify $\widetilde{V}=v_{1}(t) \hat{e}_{1}+v_{2}(t) \hat{e}_{2}$ with a $v \in \mathbb{C}$ by taking $v:=v_{1}+i v_{2}$. Then the sub-Riemannian cubic equation in $\delta_{1}$ can be written as

$$
\dddot{v}=\frac{1}{2} v(\dot{\bar{v}} v-\dot{v} \bar{v})-i C v
$$

Assuming $v(t) \neq 0$ for all t , define $\omega: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1} \subset \mathbb{E}^{2} \cong \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\omega(t):=\frac{v(t)}{\|v(t)\|}
$$

Define $\exp (y)=(\cos (y), \sin (y)) \equiv e^{i y} \in \mathbb{C}$. Choose a $\vartheta\left(t_{0}\right) \in[0,2 \pi)$ so that $\exp \left(\vartheta\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=\omega\left(t_{0}\right)$. Then there is a unique continuous function $\vartheta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the diagram

commutes. Then we have $v(t)=q(t)(\cos (\vartheta(t)), \sin (\vartheta(t)))=q e^{i \vartheta}$, where $q(t)=\|v(t)\|=\|\widetilde{V}\|$.
Substituting back, and taking the real and imaginary components gives the two equations

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\dddot{q}-3 \dot{q} \dot{\vartheta}^{2}-3 q \ddot{\vartheta} \dot{\vartheta}=0 \\
q \dddot{\vartheta}+3 \dot{q} \ddot{\vartheta}+3 \ddot{q} \dot{\vartheta}-q \dot{\vartheta}^{3}+q^{3} \dot{\vartheta}+C q=0 . \tag{20}
\end{array}
$$

Multiplying the first equation by $r$, and integrating leaves

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^{2}+\ddot{q} q-\frac{3}{2} q^{2} \dot{\vartheta}^{2}+c_{1}=0
$$

where $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that equation follows directly from equation 11 , but we use the complex structure to show several additional properties.

## D. $c_{1}>0$

Let $c_{1}>0$. Recall $\langle V, V\rangle$ is at most $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{4}\right)$. Therefore $q$ increases no faster than $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)$. Likewise $\dot{q}^{2}+q^{2} \dot{\vartheta}^{2}$ must not increase faster than $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)$.

Also note $q^{2} \dot{\vartheta}^{2} \leq c_{2}+c_{1}$. Recall $q^{2}$ was bounded below by a quadratic and above by $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{4}\right)$, so at most $\dot{\vartheta}^{2}=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-2}\right)$. Additionally $q^{2} \dot{\vartheta}^{2}$ is at most $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-2}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\frac{-1}{2} \dot{q}^{2}+\ddot{q} q=c_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-2}\right)
$$

First set $Y=\dot{q}^{2}$. Then it follows

$$
-Y+\frac{d Y}{d q} q=2 c_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-2}\right)
$$

so

$$
\frac{d}{d q}\left(\frac{Y}{q}\right)=\frac{2 c_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-2}\right)}{q^{2}}
$$

Integrating with respect to $q$

$$
\dot{q}^{2}=c_{7} q-2 c_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-2}\right)
$$

where $c_{7} \geq 0$. Up to $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-2}\right)$ error, and as $q$ is at most $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)$, we can write

$$
\dot{q}=\left(c_{7} q-2 c_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left(c_{7} q-2 c_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-2}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\dot{q}}{c_{7} q-2 c_{1}}=1+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-2}\right)
$$

Integrating with respect to $t$

$$
\frac{2\left(c_{7} q-2 c_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{c_{7}}=t+\frac{c_{8}}{c_{7}}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1}\right)
$$

which gives

$$
q=\frac{\left(c_{7} t+c_{8}\right)^{2}}{4 c_{7}}+\frac{2 c_{1}}{c_{7}}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1}\right)
$$

## III. ASYMPTOTICS

In $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)$, and with $c_{1}>0$, it is possible to show that long term asymptotes exist. For Riemannian cubics in $\mathrm{SO}(3)$, it was established that a limit exists in 4. In $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, we can show that the limit

$$
\alpha_{ \pm}(\tilde{V})=\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \frac{\tilde{V}}{\|\tilde{V}\|}
$$

exists. Using the (smooth) identification of $\delta_{1}$ with $\mathbb{C}$, we can equivalently show $\vartheta$ tends to a constant

$$
\alpha_{ \pm}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \vartheta
$$

recalling the definition of $\vartheta$ from the previos section.
Theorem III.1. $\alpha_{ \pm}(\widetilde{V})=\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \widetilde{V} /\|\widetilde{V}\|$ exists.

Proof. Note that we only need to consider $t \rightarrow \infty$, as $\widetilde{V}$ can be re-parameterised. Using results from section (II D), $\dot{\vartheta}$ behaves at most like $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-2}\right)$,

$$
|\dot{\vartheta}| \leq \frac{1}{d_{1} t^{2}}
$$

as $q$ is bounded by a quadratic. First note that for $s \geq r$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\vartheta(s)-\vartheta(r)| & \leq \int_{r}^{s}|\dot{\vartheta}| d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{d_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can show that for an unbounded sequence of increasing times, $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots$, the sequence $\vartheta\left(t_{1}\right), \vartheta\left(t_{2}\right), \ldots$ converges to a limit,

$$
L=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta\left(t_{n}\right)
$$

Given some $\varepsilon \geq 0$, there exists a $N$ such that for all $n, m \geq N$, where $n \geq m$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\vartheta\left(t_{n}\right)-\vartheta\left(t_{m}\right)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{d_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{t_{m}}-\frac{1}{t_{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{d_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{t_{m}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by choosing $t_{N} \geq\left(1+\frac{2}{d_{1} \varepsilon}\right)$ and so the sequence is Cauchy. As $\vartheta$ is a real function, by completeness of $\mathbb{R}$, the sequence converges. So given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists an $N$ such that for all $n>N$

$$
\left|L-\vartheta\left(t_{n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

Using a similar argument as before, given an $\varepsilon \geq 0$, there exists a $T$ such that for $s, t \geq T$,

$$
|\vartheta(s)-\vartheta(t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

Now choose $T=t_{N}$ and for $t \geq T$ we have

$$
|L-\vartheta(T)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

and

$$
|\vartheta(T)-\vartheta(t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

By the triangle inequality this gives

$$
|L-\vartheta(t)| \leq \varepsilon .
$$

Therefore

$$
L=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta .
$$

Hence take $\alpha_{+}=L$. Likewise $\alpha_{-}$exists by reparameterising.

Using the identification this shows $\alpha_{ \pm}(\tilde{V})$ exists.
For null Riemannian cubics a similar limit was found in Theorem (5) of 11. A similar approach can be used to establish a more precise statement on the convergence when the sub-Riemannian cubic is null. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}=\widetilde{V}+\frac{1}{2\|\widetilde{V}\|^{2}} \ddot{\widetilde{V}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall $q(t)=\|\tilde{V}(t)\|$.
Theorem III.2. If $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}=0,\left\|\frac{\mathcal{V}}{q}-\alpha_{ \pm}(\widetilde{V})\right\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{c_{2}}}{2 q^{3}}$.

Proof. Again, considering $t \rightarrow \infty$ as the negative case can be found via re-parameterisation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}}{q}\right) & =\frac{\dot{\mathcal{V}}}{q}-\frac{\dot{q} \mathcal{V}}{q^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{q}\left(\dot{\tilde{V}}-\frac{\dot{q}}{q^{3}} \ddot{\tilde{V}}+\frac{1}{2 q^{2}}\left(2\left(\dot{q} q \widetilde{V}-q^{2} \dot{\tilde{V}}\right)+[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \widetilde{V}]\right)\right)-\frac{\dot{q}}{q^{2}}\left(\widetilde{V}+\frac{1}{2 q^{2}} \ddot{\tilde{V}}\right) \\
& =-\frac{3 \dot{q}}{2 q^{4}}+\frac{[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \tilde{V}]}{2 q^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then if $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}=0$, and noting that $q>0$, and for large enough $t, \dot{q}>0$, assuming $c_{7}>0$ and $c_{1}>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{\mathcal{V}(s)}{q(s)}-\frac{\mathcal{V}(r)}{q(r)}\right\| & \leq\left\|\int_{r}^{s} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}(t)}{q(t)}\right) d t\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{r}^{s} \frac{3 \dot{q} \sqrt{c_{2}}}{2 q^{4}} d t \\
& \leq \frac{\sqrt{c_{2}}}{2 q(r)^{3}}-\frac{\sqrt{c_{2}}}{2 q(s)^{3}} \\
& \leq \frac{\sqrt{c_{2}}}{2 q(r)^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\ddot{\tilde{V}}$ is bounded,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{V}}{q}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{V}}{q}=\alpha_{+}(\tilde{V})
$$

So finally, taking the limit as $s \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\alpha_{+}(\widetilde{V})-\frac{\mathcal{V}(r)}{q(r)}\right\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{c_{2}}}{2 q(r)^{3}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Corollary III.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|q \alpha_{ \pm}(\widetilde{V})-\widetilde{V}\right\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{c_{2}}}{2 q^{2}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Multiply equation 22 through by $q$.

Recall from section (IID), in the long term limit, assuming $c_{1}, c_{7}>0, q$ approaches a quadratic. Hence in the long term $\widetilde{V}=q \alpha_{+}(V)+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-4}\right)$

In the non-null case, a different estimate can be made for $\widetilde{V}$.

$$
\frac{\mathcal{V}(s)}{q(s)}-\frac{\mathcal{V}(r)}{q(r)}-\int_{r}^{s} \frac{[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \tilde{V}]}{2 q^{3}} d t=-\int_{r}^{s} \frac{3 \dot{q} \ddot{\vec{V}}}{2 q^{4}} d t
$$

As before, taking norms, and letting $s \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\left\|\alpha_{+}(\widetilde{V})-\frac{\mathcal{V}(r)}{q(r)}-\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \widetilde{V}]}{2 q^{3}} d t\right\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{c_{2}}}{2 q(r)^{3}}
$$

Multiplying through by $q$, we can deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{V}(r)=q(r) \alpha_{+}(\widetilde{V})-q(r)\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{V}}{2 q^{3}} d t\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-4}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this we can estimate $V(r)$ recursively up to $\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-4}\right)$ error.
Theorem III.3.

$$
\widetilde{V}(r)=q(r) \alpha_{+}(\widetilde{V})-q(r) \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 q(t)^{2}} d t\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \alpha_{+}(\widetilde{V})\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-4}\right)
$$

Proof. By equation 24, substituting $\widetilde{V}(r)$ back

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{V}(r) & =q(r) \alpha_{+}(\widetilde{V})-q(r) \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 q(t)^{2}} d t\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \alpha_{+}(\widetilde{V})\right] \\
& +q(r) \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-4}\right)\right]}{2 q(t)^{2}} d t+q(r) \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}},\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{V}(s)}{2 q(s)^{3}} d s\right]\right]}{2 q(t)^{2}} d t+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We should ignore terms smaller than $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-4}\right)$. Also recall $\widetilde{V}$ behaves like $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)$ for large $t$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{V}(r) & =q(r) \alpha_{+}(\widetilde{V})-q(r) \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 q(t)^{2}} d t\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \alpha_{+}(\widetilde{V})\right] \\
& +q(r) \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-4}\right)\right]}{2 q(t)^{3}} d t+q(r) \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}},\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-3}\right)\right]\right]}{2 q(t)^{2}} d t+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-4}\right) \\
\widetilde{V}(r) & =q(r) \alpha_{+}(\widetilde{V})-q(r) \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 q(t)^{2}} d t\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \alpha_{+}(\widetilde{V})\right] \\
& +q(r) \mathcal{O}\left(r^{-9}\right)+q(r) \mathcal{O}\left(r^{-6}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-4}\right) \\
\widetilde{V}(r) & =q(r) \alpha_{+}(\widetilde{V})-q(r) \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 q(t)^{2}} d t\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \alpha_{+}(\widetilde{V})\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLE 1

Equations 20) can be numerically solved with Mathematica's NDSolve function for the components $(q, \vartheta)$ of $v$. Figure (1) is a parametric plot of $v_{1}=q \cos (\vartheta)$ vs $v_{2}=q \sin (\vartheta)$, where $C=1, v_{1}(0)=4, v_{2}(0)=$ $-1.75, \dot{v}_{1}(0)=-0.1, \dot{v}_{2}(0)=2.5, \ddot{v}_{1}(0)=-5$ and $\ddot{v}_{2}(0)=-5$.

Initially we see oscillation before stabilising in the long term. Figure (2) shows the radial and angular components of $v$. Note how $q$ approaches a quadratic, $\dot{q}$ approaches a linear function, and $\vartheta$ approaches a constant as discussed in the previous sections.

Finally the equation for $\widetilde{x}$ can also be numerically integrated, using the previously found $v$. In $\mathrm{SU}(2), \widetilde{x}$ is a matrix with four components which satisfy $\widetilde{x}_{11}^{2}+\widetilde{x}_{12}^{2}+\widetilde{x}_{21}^{2}+\widetilde{x}_{22}^{2}=1$, which is the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{3}$. Figure (3) shows a stereographic projection of the components of $\widetilde{x}$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, via

$$
\left(\widetilde{x}_{11}, \widetilde{x}_{12}, \widetilde{x}_{21}, \widetilde{x}_{22}\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{1-\widetilde{x}_{22}}\left(\widetilde{x}_{11}, \widetilde{x}_{12}, \widetilde{x}_{21}\right)
$$



FIG. 1: Parametric plot of $v$ per example 1.


FIG. 2: Radial and angular components of $v$ per example 1.


FIG. 3: Components of $\widetilde{x}$ projected into $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ per example 1.

## EXAMPLE 2

Setting $C=0$ can yield just as interesting dynamics as $C \neq 0$. The following figures show $v$ as per equations (20) with $v_{1}(0)=2, v_{2}(0)=-1, \dot{v}_{1}(0)=2, \dot{v}_{2}(0)=-1, \ddot{v}_{1}(0)=0$ and $\ddot{v}_{2}(0)=5$.


FIG. 4: Parametric plot of $v$ per example 2.


FIG. 5: Radial and angular components of $v$ per example 2.


FIG. 6: Components of $\widetilde{x}$ projected into $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ per example 2.
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