

Strong convergence of quantum channels: continuity of the Stinespring dilation and discontinuity of the unitary dilation

M.E. Shirokov

Steklov Mathematical Institute, Moscow, Russia

Abstract

We show that a sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ of quantum channels strongly converges to a quantum channel Φ_0 if and only if there exist a common environment for all the channels and a corresponding sequence $\{V_n\}$ of Stinespring isometries strongly converging to a Stinespring isometry V_0 of the channel Φ_0 .

We also give a quantitative description of the above characterization of the strong convergence in terms of the appropriate metrics on the sets of quantum channels and Stinespring isometries. As a result, the uniform selective continuity of the complementary operation with respect to the strong convergence is established.

We show discontinuity of the unitary dilation by constructing a strongly converging sequence of channels which can not be represented as a reduction of a strongly converging sequence of unitary channels.

The Stinespring representation of strongly converging sequences of quantum channels allows to prove the lower semicontinuity of the entropic disturbance as a function of a pair (channel, input ensemble). Some corollaries of this property are considered.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	4
3	Norms on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ generating the strong operator topology on bounded subsets of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$.	6
4	The Kretschmann-Schlingemann-Werner theorem and its generalizations.	8

5	Characterization of the strong convergence in terms of the Stinespring and Kraus representations.	11
6	On continuity of the unitary dilation	14
6.1	Continuity of the unitary dilation w.r.t. the uniform convergence	14
6.2	Discontinuity of the unitary dilation w.r.t. the strong convergence . . .	15
7	Some applications	17
7.1	Lower semicontinuity of the entropic disturbance w.r.t. the strong convergence of quantum channels	17
7.2	On continuity of the output Holevo quantity of a channel and of a complementary channel	19

1 Introduction

The Stinespring theorem provides a characterization of quantum channels – completely positive trace-preserving linear maps between Banach spaces of trace-class operators [1]. It implies that any quantum channel Φ from a quantum system A to a quantum system B can be represented as

$$\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E V_\Phi \rho V_\Phi^*, \quad (1)$$

where V_Φ is an isometrical embedding of the input Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_A into the tensor product of the output Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_B and some Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_E typically called environment [2, 3].

It is natural to explore continuity of the representation (1) with respect to appropriate metrics (topologies) D and D' on the sets of quantum channels and corresponding Stinespring isometries. Since the map $\Phi \mapsto V_\Phi$ is multivalued, the question of its continuity should be formulated in the following form: is it possible to find for any $\varepsilon > 0$ such $\delta > 0$ that for any channels Φ and Ψ δ -close w.r.t the metric D there exist corresponding Stinespring isometries V_Φ and V_Ψ ε -close w.r.t. the metric D' ? This question can be also formulated in terms of sequences of channels $\{\Phi_n\}$ converging w.r.t. the metric D and corresponding sequences of *selective* Stinespring isometries $\{V_{\Phi_n}\}$ converging w.r.t. the metric D' .

If D and D' are, respectively, the diamond-norm metric on the set of quantum channels and the operator-norm metric on the set of isometries then the above continuity question is completely solved by Kretschmann, Schlingemann and Werner in [5, 6]. They have shown that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\Phi - \Psi\|_\diamond \leq \inf \|V_\Phi - V_\Psi\| \leq \sqrt{\|\Phi - \Psi\|_\diamond} \quad (2)$$

for any channels Φ and Ψ , where the infimum is over all the isometries V_Φ and V_Ψ from common Stinespring representations of these channels.

The diamond-norm metric is widely used as a measure of distinguishability between finite dimensional quantum channels [3, Ch.9],[7]. But the topology (convergence) generated by the diamond-norm metric on the set of infinite-dimensional quantum channels is generally *too strong* for analysis of real variations of such channels [8, 9]. In this case it is natural to use the substantially weaker *topology of strong convergence* on the set of quantum channels defined by the family of seminorms $\Phi \mapsto \|\Phi(\rho)\|_1$, $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ [10]. The strong convergence of a sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ of channels to a channel Φ_0 means that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_n(\rho) = \Phi_0(\rho) \text{ for all } \rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A). \quad (3)$$

In this paper we present a modification of the Kretschmann-Schlingemann-Werner theorem for the strong convergence topology on the set of quantum channels and the strong operator topology on the set of Stinespring isometries. This modification is based on using the *energy-constrained Bures distance* between quantum channels introduced in [12] and the *operator E-norm* generating the strong operator topology on bounded subsets of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (introduced in Section 3).

The modified version of the Kretschmann-Schlingemann-Werner theorem allows to obtain a characterization of the strong convergence of quantum channels in terms of the Stinespring representation. It states, roughly speaking, that the strong convergence of a sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ of quantum channels is equivalent to the strong (operator) convergence of a corresponding sequence $\{V_{\Phi_n}\}$ of *selective* Stinespring isometries. We also obtain a characterization of the strong convergence of quantum channels in terms of the Kraus representation and prove the uniform selective continuity of the complementary operation $\Phi \mapsto \hat{\Phi}$ with respect to the strong convergence of quantum channels.

By using the Stinespring representation (1) it is easy to show that any quantum channel Φ can be represented as a reduction of some unitary (reversible) evolution of a larger quantum system. In the case $A = B$ this means that

$$\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E U_\Phi \rho \otimes \sigma_0 U_\Phi^*,$$

where σ_0 is a pure state in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_E)$ and U_Φ is a unitary operator on \mathcal{H}_{AE} [2, 3, 13]. We analyse the question of selective continuity of the multivalued map $\Phi \mapsto U_\Phi$ w.r.t. given metrics (topologies) on the sets of quantum channels and of unitary operators.

By using the Kretschmann-Schlingemann-Werner result mentioned above we prove the selective continuity of the map $\Phi \mapsto U_\Phi$ w.r.t. the diamond norm metric on the set of quantum channels and the operator norm metric on the set of unitary operators (Proposition 3). It means that any sequence of quantum channels converging w.r.t. the diamond norm *can be represented* as a reduction of a sequence of unitary channels converging w.r.t. the diamond norm.

In the case of strong convergence topologies we show discontinuity of the map $\Phi \mapsto U_\Phi$ by constructing a strongly converging sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ of channels with Choi rank 2 which can not be represented as a reduction of a strongly converging sequence of unitary channels (Corollary 3). This discontinuity means that some strongly converging sequences of channels *have no sense* within the standard interpretation of a channel as a reduced unitary evolution of a larger system.

The obtained characterization of the strong convergence in terms of the Stinespring representation can be applied to continuity analysis of different entropic and information characteristics of quantum channels. In the last section of the paper we use it to prove the lower semicontinuity of the entropic disturbance as a function of a pair (channel, input ensemble) w.r.t. the strong convergence of channels and the weak convergence of ensembles. This result is derived from the lower semicontinuity of the entropic disturbance as a function of an input ensemble established in [14].

The lower semicontinuity of the entropic disturbance is used to prove the closedness of the set of all quantum channels reversible with respect to a given family of input states. It also implies continuity properties of the output Holevo quantity of a quantum channel and of a complementary channel which can be treated as stability of this quantities with respect to all physical perturbations of a channel.

2 Preliminaries

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space, $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the algebra of all bounded operators on \mathcal{H} with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H})$ the Banach space of all trace-class operators on \mathcal{H} with the trace norm $\|\cdot\|_1$. Let $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of quantum states (positive operators in $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H})$ with unit trace) [2, 3].

Denote by $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ the unit operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and by $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{H}}$ the identity transformation of the Banach space $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H})$.

The *Bures distance* between quantum states ρ and σ is defined as

$$\beta(\rho, \sigma) = \sqrt{2 \left(1 - \sqrt{F(\rho, \sigma)}\right)}, \quad (4)$$

where $F(\rho, \sigma) = \|\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\sigma}\|_1^2$ is the *fidelity* of ρ and σ [2, 3]. The following relations between the Bures distance and the trace-norm distance hold

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\rho - \sigma\|_1 \leq \beta(\rho, \sigma) \leq \sqrt{\|\rho - \sigma\|_1}. \quad (5)$$

The *von Neumann entropy* $H(\rho) = \text{Tr} \eta(\rho)$ of a state $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$, where $\eta(x) = -x \log x$ if $x > 0$ and $\eta(0) = 0$, is a concave nonnegative lower semicontinuous concave function on the set $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ [2, 15].

The *quantum relative entropy* for two states ρ and σ in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ is defined as

$$H(\rho\|\sigma) = \sum_i \langle i | \rho \log \rho - \rho \log \sigma | i \rangle,$$

where $\{|i\rangle\}$ is the orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of the state ρ and it is assumed that $H(\rho\|\sigma) = +\infty$ if the support of ρ is not contained in the support of σ [2, 15].¹

If quantum systems A and B are described by Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B then the bipartite system AB is described by the tensor product of these spaces, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_{AB} \doteq$

¹The support of a positive operator is the orthogonal complement to its kernel.

$\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. A state in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_{AB})$ is denoted by ρ_{AB} , its marginal states $\text{Tr}_B \rho_{AB}$ and $\text{Tr}_A \rho_{AB}$ are denoted, respectively, by ρ_A and ρ_B (here and in what follows Tr_X denotes the partial trace $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_X}$ over the space \mathcal{H}_X).

A *quantum channel* Φ from a system A to a system B is a completely positive trace preserving linear map from $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ into $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ [2, 3]. For any quantum channel $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ the Stinespring theorem implies existence of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_E and of an isometry $V_\Phi : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$ such that

$$\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E V_\Phi \rho V_\Phi^*, \quad \rho \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_A). \quad (6)$$

The space \mathcal{H}_E is called *environment*, its minimal dimension is called *Choi rank* of the channel Φ [2, 3].

In finite dimensions (i.e. when $\dim \mathcal{H}_A$ and $\dim \mathcal{H}_B$ are finite) the distance between quantum channels from A to B generated by the diamond norm

$$\|\Phi\|_\diamond \doteq \sup_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_{AR})} \|\Phi \otimes \text{Id}_R(\rho)\|_1 \quad (7)$$

of a Hermitian-preserving superoperator $\Phi : \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_B)$, where R is any system, is widely used as a measure of distinguishability between these channels [3, 7, 16]. It is topologically equivalent to the Bures distance

$$\beta(\Phi, \Psi) = \sup_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_{AR})} \beta(\Phi \otimes \text{Id}_R(\rho), \Psi \otimes \text{Id}_R(\rho)) \quad (8)$$

between quantum channels Φ and Ψ , where $\beta(\cdot, \cdot)$ in the r.h.s. is the Bures distance between quantum states defined in (4) and R is any system. This metric is related to the notion of *operational fidelity* for quantum channels introduced in [4]. It is studied in detail in [5, 6]. In particular, it is shown in [6] that the Bures distance (8) can be also defined as

$$\beta(\Phi, \Psi) = \inf \|V_\Phi - V_\Psi\|, \quad (9)$$

where the infimum is over all common Stinespring representations

$$\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E V_\Phi \rho V_\Phi^* \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E V_\Psi \rho V_\Psi^*.$$

It follows from definitions (7),(8) and the relations in (5) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\Phi - \Psi\|_\diamond \leq \beta(\Phi, \Psi) \leq \sqrt{\|\Phi - \Psi\|_\diamond}$$

for any channels Φ and Ψ . By representation (9) this implies the relations (2) which show the selective continuity of the Stinespring representation w.r.t. the diamond-norm topology on the set of quantum channels and the operator-norm topology on the set of Stinespring isometries.

The topology (convergence) generated by the diamond-norm on the set of infinite-dimensional quantum channels is too strong for analysis of real variations of such

channels: there are infinite-dimensional channels with close physical parameters such that the diamond-norm distance between them is equal to 2 [9]. In this case it is natural to use the (substantially weaker) strong convergence (3) of quantum channels studied in detail in [10, 11].

Let H_A be any unbounded densely defined positive (semidefinite) operator on \mathcal{H}_A having discrete spectrum of finite multiplicity and E_0 is the minimal eigenvalue of H_A . It is shown in [8] that the strong convergence of quantum channels is generated by any of the *energy-constrained diamond norms*

$$\|\Phi\|_\diamond^E \doteq \sup_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_{AR}), \text{Tr} H_A \rho_A \leq E} \|\Phi \otimes \text{Id}_R(\rho)\|_1, \quad E > E_0. \quad (10)$$

of a Hermitian-preserving superoperator $\Phi : \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_B)$, where R is any system. These norms are independently introduced in [9], where a detailed analysis of their properties are presented.² The energy-constrained diamond norms turned out to be a useful tool for quantitative continuity analysis of basic capacities of energy-constrained infinite-dimensional channels [8, 9, 12]. These norms are also used in study of quantum dynamical semigroups [9, 18, 19].

3 Norms on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ generating the strong operator topology on bounded subsets of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

In this section we consider norms on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ generating the strong operator topology on bounded subsets of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, in particular, on the unit ball of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Let H be any positive (semidefinite) densely defined operator on \mathcal{H} and $E_0 = \inf_{\|\varphi\|=1} \langle \varphi | H | \varphi \rangle$. For given $E > E_0$ consider the function on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ defined as

$$\|A\|_E \doteq \sup_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}), \text{Tr} H \rho \leq E} \sqrt{\text{Tr} A \rho A^*} \quad (11)$$

(the supremum is over quantum states ρ satisfying the inequality $\text{Tr} H \rho \leq E$).

Proposition 1. *The function $A \mapsto \|A\|_E$ defined in (11) is a norm on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For any given operator $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the following properties hold:*

- a) $\|A\|_E$ tends to $\|A\|$ as $E \rightarrow +\infty$;
- b) the function $E \mapsto \|A\|_E^2$ is concave and nondecreasing on $(E_0, +\infty)$;
- c) $\|A\varphi\| \leq K_\varphi \|A\|_E$ for any unit vector φ in \mathcal{H} with finite $E_\varphi \doteq \langle \varphi | H | \varphi \rangle$, where $K_\varphi = 1$ if $E_\varphi \leq E$ and $K_\varphi = \sqrt{(E_\varphi - E_0)/(E - E_0)}$ otherwise.

²Slightly different energy-constrained diamond norms are used in [17].

Proof. Almost all assertions of the proposition can be easily derived from definition (11).

To prove the inequality $\|A + B\|_E \leq \|A\|_E + \|B\|_E$ one should take for given arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ a state ρ such that $\|A + B\|_E \leq \sqrt{\text{Tr}|A + B|^2\rho} + \varepsilon$ and $\text{Tr}H\rho \leq E$. Then, by using the spectral decomposition of ρ , basic properties of the norm in \mathcal{H} and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality it is easy to show that

$$\sqrt{\text{Tr}|A + B|^2\rho} \leq \sqrt{\text{Tr}|A|^2\rho} + \sqrt{\text{Tr}|B|^2\rho} \leq \|A\|_E + \|B\|_E.$$

To prove property c) take any unit vector $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ with finite E_φ and arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\rho = (1 - K_\varphi^{-2})|\phi_\varepsilon\rangle\langle\phi_\varepsilon| + K_\varphi^{-2}|\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|$, where ϕ_ε is a vector in \mathcal{H} such that $\langle\phi_\varepsilon|H|\phi_\varepsilon\rangle \leq E_0 + \varepsilon$. Then $\text{Tr}H\rho \leq E + \varepsilon$ and hence

$$K_\varphi^{-1}\|A\varphi\| \leq \sqrt{\text{Tr}A\rho A^*} \leq \|A\|_{E+\varepsilon}.$$

By passing to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ we obtain the required inequality. \square

The norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ defined in (11) will be called *the operator E-norm*. We will essentially use the following

Proposition 2. *If H is an unbounded densely defined positive operator on \mathcal{H} having discrete spectrum $\{E_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ of finite multiplicity and $E > E_0$ then the operator E -norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ generates the strong operator topology on bounded subsets of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. The set of vectors φ in \mathcal{H} with finite $E_\varphi \doteq \langle\varphi|H|\varphi\rangle$ is dense in \mathcal{H} . So, by using property c) in Proposition 1 it is easy to show the strong convergence of any sequence $\{A_n\} \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to an operator $A_0 \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ provided that $\|A_n - A_0\|_E$ tends to zero as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\sup_n \|A_n\| < +\infty$.

To prove the converse implication note that the assumed properties of the operator H guarantee, by the Lemma in [20], the compactness of the subset $\mathfrak{C}_{H,E}$ of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ determined by the inequality $\text{Tr}H\rho \leq E$. So, the supremum in definition (11) is attained at some state $\rho(A) \in \mathfrak{C}_{H,E}$. Assume that $\{A_n\}$ is a sequence in $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ strongly converging to an operator $A_0 \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sup_n \|A_n\| = M < +\infty$ and $\|A_n - A_0\|_E$ does not tend to zero as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Denote the state $\rho(A_n - A_0)$ by ρ_n . By passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\|A_n - A_0\|_E \geq \varepsilon$ for some positive ε and all n and that the sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ converges to some state $\rho_0 \in \mathfrak{C}_{H,E}$ (by the compactness of $\mathfrak{C}_{H,E}$). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_n - A_0\|_E^2 &= \text{Tr}|A_n - A_0|^2\rho_0 + \text{Tr}|A_n - A_0|^2(\rho_n - \rho_0) \\ &\leq \text{Tr}|A_n - A_0|^2\rho_0 + 4M^2\|\rho_n - \rho_0\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

By using the spectral decomposition of ρ_0 it is easy to show that the first term in the r.h.s. of this inequality tends to zero as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. This contradicts the above assumption. \square

The following assertions are easily proved by using definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_E$.

Lemma 1. A) For arbitrary operators A and B in $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the following inequalities hold

$$m(A)\|B\|_E \leq \|AB\|_E \leq \|A\|\|B\|_E,$$

where $m(A)$ is the infimum of the spectrum of the operator $|A| = \sqrt{A^*A}$.

B) For arbitrary operators A and B in $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\langle A\varphi|B\varphi \rangle = 0$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ the following inequalities hold

$$\max\{\|A\|_E, \|B\|_E\} \leq \|A + B\|_E \leq \sqrt{[\|A\|_E]^2 + [\|B\|_E]^2}.$$

4 The Kretschmann-Schlingemann-Werner theorem and its generalizations.

The selective continuity of the Stinespring representation w.r.t. the diamond-norm topology on the set of quantum channels and the operator-norm topology on the set of Stinespring isometries is shown by Kretschmann, Schlingemann and Werner in [5, 6]. Theorem 1 in [6] (stated in the operator algebras settings) implies that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\Phi - \Psi\|_\diamond \leq \inf \|V_\Phi - V_\Psi\| \leq \sqrt{\|\Phi - \Psi\|_\diamond} \quad (12)$$

for any channels Φ and Ψ , where the infimum is over all common Stinespring representations

$$\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E V_\Phi \rho V_\Phi^* \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E V_\Psi \rho V_\Psi^*. \quad (13)$$

Inequalities (12) are proved in [6] by showing that the infimum in (12) coincides with the Bures distance $\beta(\Phi, \Psi)$ defined in (8).

To obtain a generalization of the Kretschmann-Schlingemann-Werner theorem (the KSW-theorem in what follows) consider the *energy-constrained Bures distance*

$$\beta_E(\Phi, \Psi) = \sup_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_{AR}), \text{Tr} H_A \rho \leq E} \beta(\Phi \otimes \text{Id}_R(\rho), \Psi \otimes \text{Id}_R(\rho)), \quad E > E_0, \quad (14)$$

between quantum channels Φ and Ψ from A to B induced by a positive operator H_A on the space \mathcal{H}_A treated as a Hamiltonian of the input system A (here R is an infinite-dimensional quantum system and E_0 is the infimum of the spectrum of H_A). This distance is topologically equivalent to the distance induced by the energy-constrained diamond norm (10), it is introduced in [12] for quantitative continuity analysis of information characteristics of energy-constrained infinite-dimensional channels. Properties of the energy-constrained Bures distance are presented in Proposition 1 in [12]. In particular, it is shown in [12] (by modifying the arguments from the proof of Theorem 1 in [6]) that

$$\beta_E(\Phi, \Psi) = \inf \sup_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A), \text{Tr} H_A \rho \leq E} \sqrt{\text{Tr}(V_\Phi - V_\Psi)\rho(V_\Phi^* - V_\Psi^*)}, \quad (15)$$

where the infimum is over all common Stinespring representations (13), and that this infimum is attainable.

The operator E-norms introduced in Section 3 are obviously generalized to operators between different Hilbert spaces. By using these norms and representation (15) one can obtain the following E-version of the KSW-theorem and its modifications.

Theorem 1. *Let H_A be an unbounded densely defined positive operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_A having discrete spectrum $\{E_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ of finite multiplicity, $E > E_0$, $\|\cdot\|_\diamond^E$ the energy-constrained diamond norm defined in (10), β_E the energy-constrained Bures distance defined in (14) and $\|\cdot\|_E$ the operator E-norm defined in (11) with $H = H_A$.*

A) *For any quantum channels Φ and Ψ from A to B the following relations hold*

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\Phi - \Psi\|_\diamond^E \leq \beta_E(\Phi, \Psi) = \inf_{V_\Phi, V_\Psi} \|V_\Phi - V_\Psi\|_E \leq \sqrt{\|\Phi - \Psi\|_\diamond^E}, \quad (16)$$

where the infimum is over all common Stinespring representations (13). This infimum is attainable.

B) *For a given quantum channel Φ from A to B there exist a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_E and a Stinespring isometry $V_\Phi : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE}$ of this channel with the following property: for any quantum channel Ψ from A to B there is a Stinespring isometry $V_\Psi : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE}$ of Ψ such that*

$$\|V_\Phi - V_\Psi\|_E = \beta_E(\Phi, \Psi).$$

C) *If $V_\Phi : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE}$ is the operator from a given Stinespring representation of a quantum channel Φ then*

$$\inf_{V_\Psi} \|V_\Phi - V_\Psi\|_E \leq 2\beta_E(\Phi, \Psi) \quad (17)$$

for any quantum channel Ψ from A to B with the Choi rank not exceeding $\dim \mathcal{H}_E$, where the infimum is over all Stinespring representations of Ψ with the same environment space \mathcal{H}_E .

Proof. A) The equality in (16) is the representation (15) rewritten by using the operator E-norms. The inequalities in (16) follow from the definitions (10) and (14) and the inequalities in (5).

B) Assume that V_Φ is the isometry from any Stinespring representation (6) with infinite-dimensional space \mathcal{H}_E . Let \tilde{V}_Φ be the isometry from \mathcal{H}_A into the space

$$\mathcal{H}_B \otimes (\mathcal{H}_E^1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_E^2) = (\mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_E^1) \oplus (\mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_E^2),$$

where \mathcal{H}_E^1 and \mathcal{H}_E^2 are copies of \mathcal{H}_E , defined by setting $\tilde{V}_\Phi|\varphi\rangle = V_\Phi|\varphi\rangle \oplus |0\rangle$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_A$.

Since any separable Hilbert space can be isometrically embedded into \mathcal{H}_E , we may assume that any channel Ψ from A to B has a Stinespring representation with the same environment space \mathcal{H}_E . Denote by V_Ψ a given Stinespring isometry of the channel Ψ in

this representation. The arguments from the proof of Proposition 1 in [12] (obtained by simple modification of the proof of Theorem 1 in [6]) show that

$$\beta_E(\Psi, \Phi) = \inf_{C \in \mathfrak{B}_1(\mathcal{H}_E)} \|\tilde{V}_\Psi^C - \tilde{V}_\Phi\|_E = \|\tilde{V}_\Psi^{C_0} - \tilde{V}_\Phi\|_E \quad (18)$$

for some $C_0 \in \mathfrak{B}_1(\mathcal{H}_E)$, where $\mathfrak{B}_1(\mathcal{H}_E)$ is the unit ball of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_E)$ and $\tilde{V}_\Psi^C : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_B \otimes (\mathcal{H}_E^1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_E^2)$ is the Stinespring isometry of the channel Ψ defined by setting

$$\tilde{V}_\Psi^C |\varphi\rangle = (I_B \otimes C) V_\Psi |\varphi\rangle \oplus \left(I_B \otimes \sqrt{I_E - C^* C} \right) V_\Psi |\varphi\rangle$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_A$ (we assume here that the isometry V_Ψ acts from \mathcal{H}_A to $\mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_E^2$ and the contraction C acts from \mathcal{H}_E^2 to \mathcal{H}_E^1). This implies assertion B of the theorem with the isometry \tilde{V}_Φ in the role of V_Φ .

C) Since any k -dimensional Hilbert space can be isometrically embedded into \mathcal{H}_E provided that $k \leq \dim \mathcal{H}_E$, we may assume that any channel Ψ with the Choi rank not exceeding $\dim \mathcal{H}_E$ has a Stinespring representation with the same environment space \mathcal{H}_E . By repeating the arguments from the proof of assertion B we construct the operators \tilde{V}_Φ and $\tilde{V}_\Psi^{C_0}$. Assume first that the operator C_0 is nondegenerate, i.e. $\ker C_0 = \{0\}$. Let U be the isometry from the polar decomposition of C_0 , i.e. $C_0 = U|C_0|$. Since $\|\tilde{V}_\Psi^{C_0} - \tilde{V}_\Phi\|_E = \beta_E(\Psi, \Phi)$, it follows from Lemma 1B that

$$\|(I_B \otimes C_0)V_\Psi - V_\Phi\|_E \leq \beta_E(\Psi, \Phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \left(I_B \otimes \sqrt{I_E - |C_0|^2} \right) V_\Psi \right\|_E \leq \beta_E(\Psi, \Phi) \quad (19)$$

Hence the triangle inequality and Lemma 1A imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I_B \otimes U)V_\Psi - V_\Phi\|_E &\leq \|(I_B \otimes C_0)V_\Psi - V_\Phi\|_E \\ &+ \|(I_B \otimes C_0)V_\Psi - (I_B \otimes U)V_\Psi\|_E \leq \beta_E(\Psi, \Phi) + \|I_B \otimes (I_E - |C_0|)V_\Psi\|_E. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Since C_0 is a contraction, by using Lemma 1A and the second inequality in (19) we obtain

$$\|I_B \otimes (I_E - |C_0|)V_\Psi\|_E \leq \|I_B \otimes (I_E - |C_0|^2)V_\Psi\|_E \leq \|I_B \otimes \sqrt{I_E - |C_0|^2}V_\Psi\|_E \leq \beta_E(\Psi, \Phi).$$

Thus, it follows from (20) that $\|(I_B \otimes U)V_\Psi - V_\Phi\|_E \leq 2\beta_E(\Psi, \Phi)$. Since U is an isometry, $(I_B \otimes U)V_\Psi$ is a Stinespring isometry for Ψ .

To omit the assumption $\ker C_0 = \{0\}$ it suffices to show that the infimum in (18) can be taken over the subset $\mathfrak{B}_1^n(\mathcal{H}_E)$ of $\mathfrak{B}_1(\mathcal{H}_E)$ consisting of nondegenerate operators. Since $\mathfrak{B}_1^n(\mathcal{H}_E)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{B}_1(\mathcal{H}_E)$ in the weak operator topology, this can be easily done by noting that

$$\|\tilde{V}_\Psi^C - \tilde{V}_\Phi\|_E = \sup_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A), \text{Tr } H_A \rho \leq E} \sqrt{2 - 2\Re \text{Tr } V_\Phi^* (I_B \otimes C) V_\Psi \rho}$$

and by using compactness of the set of states ρ in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ satisfying the inequality $\text{Tr} H_A \rho \leq E$ [20]. \square

Remark 1. The assumption that H_A is an unbounded positive operator having discrete spectrum of finite multiplicity is used only in the proof of part C of Theorem 1. So, parts A and B of this theorem are valid for any positive densely defined operator H_A and $E > E_0 = \inf_{\|\varphi\|=1} \langle \varphi | H_A | \varphi \rangle$. If $H_A = I_A$ and $E > 1$ then part A of Theorem 1 is the standard KSW-theorem while part B is its strengthened version adapted for analysis of uniformly converging sequences of quantum channels (see Remark 2 below).

5 Characterization of the strong convergence in terms of the Stinespring and Kraus representations.

Theorem 1 in Section 4, Proposition 3 in [8] and Proposition 2 in Section 3 imply the following characterisation of the strong convergence of quantum channels in terms of their Stinespring's representations.

Theorem 2. *Let H_A be an unbounded densely defined positive operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_A having discrete spectrum $\{E_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ of finite multiplicity, $E > E_0$, $\|\cdot\|_\diamond^E$ the energy-constrained diamond norm defined in (10), β_E the energy-constrained Bures distance defined in (14) and $\|\cdot\|_E$ the operator E -norm defined in (11) with $H = H_A$.*

A) *If a sequence of isometries $V_n : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE} \doteq \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$ strongly converges to an isometry $V_0 : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE}$ then the sequence of channels $\Phi_n(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E V_n \rho V_n^*$ strongly converges to the channel $\Phi_0(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E V_0 \rho V_0^*$ and*

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\Phi_n - \Phi_0\|_\diamond^E \leq \beta_E(\Phi_n, \Phi_0) \leq \|V_n - V_0\|_E \quad \forall n.$$

B) *If a sequence of quantum channels $\Phi_n : A \rightarrow B$ strongly converges to a quantum channel $\Phi_0 : A \rightarrow B$ then there exist a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_E and a sequence of isometries $V_n : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE}$ strongly converging to an isometry $V_0 : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE}$ such that $\Phi_n(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E V_n \rho V_n^*$ for all $n \geq 0$ and*

$$\|V_n - V_0\|_E = \beta_E(\Phi_n, \Phi_0) \leq \sqrt{\|\Phi_n - \Phi_0\|_\diamond^E} \quad \forall n.$$

If $V_0 : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE_0}$ is a given Stinespring isometry for Φ_0 , where \mathcal{H}_{E_0} is an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence of isometries $V_n : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE_0}$ strongly converging to the isometry V_0 such that $\Phi_n(\rho) = \text{Tr}_{E_0} V_n \rho V_n^$ and*

$$\|V_n - V_0\|_E \leq 2\beta_E(\Phi_n, \Phi_0) + \varepsilon \leq 2\sqrt{\|\Phi_n - \Phi_0\|_\diamond^E} + \varepsilon \quad \forall n. \quad (21)$$

If the Choi rank of all the channels Φ_n does not exceed $m < +\infty$ then the above assertion is valid provided that $\dim \mathcal{H}_{E_0} \geq m$.

Factor "2" and the arbitrarily small summand ε in (21) is a cost of the possibility to take the sequence $\{V_n\}$ of Stinespring isometries representing the sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ that strongly converges to a *given* Stinespring isometry $V_0 : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE_0}$ of the channel Φ_0 .

Proof. Assertion A follows directly from the first inequality in (16), Proposition 3B in [8] and Proposition 2 in Section 3.

To prove B note that for any sequence of quantum channels $\Phi_n : A \rightarrow B$ strongly converging to a channel $\Phi_0 : A \rightarrow B$ Theorem 1B implies existence of a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_E , a sequence of isometries $V_n : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE}$ and an isometry $V_0 : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE}$ such that $\Phi_n(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E V_n \rho V_n^*$ and $\|V_n - V_0\|_E = \beta_E(\Phi_n, \Phi_0)$ for all $n \geq 0$. So, the second inequality in (16), Proposition 3B in [8] and Proposition 2 in Section 3 show the strong convergence of the sequence $\{V_n\}$ to the isometry V_0 .

The last assertion of B is proved similarly by using Theorem 1C. \square

The Stinespring representation (6) implies that any quantum channel $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ has the Kraus representation

$$\Phi(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \rho A_i^*,$$

where $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is a collection of linear operators from \mathcal{H}_A to \mathcal{H}_B such that $\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* A_i = I_A$ and $m = \dim \mathcal{H}_E \leq +\infty$ [2, 3, 13]. Theorem 2 implies the following necessary and sufficient conditions of the strong convergence of quantum channels in terms of their Kraus representations.

Corollary 1. A) Let $\{\{A_i^n\}_n\}_{i=1}^m$ be a set of $m \leq +\infty$ sequences of linear operators from \mathcal{H}_A to \mathcal{H}_B such that $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_i^n = A_i^0$ for each $i = \overline{1, m}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m [A_i^n]^* A_i^n = I_A$ for all $n \geq 0$. The sequence of channels $\Phi_n(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^n \rho [A_i^n]^*$ strongly converges to the channel $\Phi_0(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^0 \rho [A_i^0]^*$.

B) Let $\{\Phi_n\}$ be a sequence of quantum channels from A to B strongly converging to a quantum channel Φ_0 and m the maximal Choi rank of all the channels Φ_n , $n \geq 0$, if it is finite and $m = +\infty$ otherwise. There exists a set $\{\{A_i^n\}_n\}_{i=1}^m$ of m sequences of linear operators from \mathcal{H}_A to \mathcal{H}_B such that $\Phi_n(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^n \rho [A_i^n]^*$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_i^n = A_i^0$ for each $i = \overline{1, m}$.

If $\Phi_0(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} A_i^0 \rho [A_i^0]^*$, $m_0 \in [m, +\infty]$, is a given Kraus representation of Φ_0 then there exists a set $\{\{A_i^n\}_n\}_{i=1}^{m_0}$ of m_0 sequences of linear operators from \mathcal{H}_A to \mathcal{H}_B such that $\Phi_n(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} A_i^n \rho [A_i^n]^*$ for all n and $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_i^n = A_i^0$ for each $i = \overline{1, m_0}$.

Proof. A) Assume that $\{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is a basic in a m -dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_E . Then $\Phi_n(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E V_n \rho V_n^*$ for all $n \geq 0$, where $\{V_n\}$ is a sequence of operators defined by setting $V_n |\varphi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^n |\varphi\rangle \otimes |\tau_i\rangle$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_A$. Since $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_i^n = A_i^0$ for all $i = \overline{1, m}$, the sequence $\{V_n |\varphi\rangle\}$ weakly converges to the vector $V_0 |\varphi\rangle$. The weak convergence of this sequence implies its convergence in the norm of \mathcal{H}_{BE} , since all the operators V_n , $n \geq 0$, are isometries (this follows from the condition $\sum_{i=1}^m [A_i^n]^* A_i^n = I_A$ for all n). Thus, Theorem 2A implies strong convergence the sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ to the channel Φ_0 .

B) It suffices to prove the last assertion of B. Let $\{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^{m_0}$ be a basic in m_0 -dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{E_0} and V_0 the isometry from \mathcal{H}_A to \mathcal{H}_{BE_0} defined by setting $V_n |\varphi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} A_i^0 |\varphi\rangle \otimes |\tau_i\rangle$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_A$. Theorem 2B implies existence of a sequence

$\{V_n\}$ of isometries from \mathcal{H}_A into \mathcal{H}_{BE_0} such that $\Phi_n(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E V_n \rho V_n^*$ for all n and $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n = V_0$. Let A_i^n be the operator from \mathcal{H}_A to \mathcal{H}_B such that $\langle \psi | A_i^n | \varphi \rangle = \langle \psi \otimes \tau_i | V_n | \varphi \rangle$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_A$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_B$. Then $\Phi_n(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} A_i^n \rho [A_i^n]^*$ for all n . By noting that $V_n | \varphi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} A_i^n | \varphi \rangle \otimes | \tau_i \rangle$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_A$ and n it is easy to show that $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_i^n = A_i^0$ for each i . \square

If a quantum channel $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ has Stinespring representation (6) then the quantum channel

$$\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_A) \ni \rho \mapsto \widehat{\Phi}(\rho) = \text{Tr}_B V_\Phi \rho V_\Phi^* \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_E) \quad (22)$$

is called *complementary* to the channel Φ [2, Ch.6]. The complementary channel is uniquely defined up to *isometrical equivalence*, i.e. if $\widehat{\Phi}' : A \rightarrow E'$ is the channel defined by formula (22) via some other Stinespring isometry $V'_\Phi : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_{E'}$ then there exists a partial isometry $W : \mathcal{H}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{E'}$ such that $\widehat{\Phi}'(\rho) = W \widehat{\Phi}(\rho) W^*$ and $\widehat{\Phi}(\rho) = W^* \widehat{\Phi}'(\rho) W$ for all $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ [21].

Let H_A be a positive operator on \mathcal{H}_A , $E > E_0$ and β_E the corresponding energy-constrained Bures distance defined in (14). It follows from representation (15) that for any quantum channels Φ and Ψ from A to B one can find complementary channels $\widehat{\Phi}$ and $\widehat{\Psi}$ from A to some system E such that³

$$\beta_E(\widehat{\Phi}, \widehat{\Psi}) \leq \beta_E(\Phi, \Psi).$$

Theorem 2B implies the following observations which show (due to Proposition 1 in [12]) the *uniform selective continuity of the complementary operation* $\Phi \mapsto \widehat{\Phi}$ with respect to the strong convergence of quantum channels.

Corollary 2. *Let $\{\Phi_n\}$ be a sequence of quantum channels from A to B strongly converging to a quantum channel Φ_0 and m the maximal Choi rank of all the channels Φ_n if it is finite and $m = +\infty$ otherwise.*

A) *There exists a sequence $\{\Psi_n\}$ of channels from A to some system E strongly converging to a channel Ψ_0 such that $\Psi_n = \widehat{\Phi}_n$ and $\beta_E(\Psi_n, \Psi_0) \leq \beta_E(\Phi_n, \Phi_0)$ for all $n \geq 0$.*

B) *If $\widehat{\Phi}_0$ is a given complementary channel to the channel Φ_0 acting from A to a system E_0 such that $\dim \mathcal{H}_{E_0} \geq m$ then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence $\{\Psi_n\}$ of channels from A to E_0 strongly converging to the channel $\widehat{\Phi}_0$ such that $\Psi_n = \widehat{\Phi}_n$ and*

$$\beta_E(\Psi_n, \widehat{\Phi}_0) \leq 2\beta_E(\Phi_n, \Phi_0) + \varepsilon \quad \forall n.$$

Remark 2. Assertion B of Theorem 1 is valid with the energy-constrained Bures distance β_E and the operator E-norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ replaced, respectively, by the (unconstrained) Bures distance β and the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$ (see Remark 1). It can be used to obtain the versions of Theorem 2B and Corollary 2A in which the strong convergences of channels and operators are replaced by the diamond norm and the operator norm convergences.

³Since a complementary channel is defined up to the isometrical equivalence the quantity $\beta_E(\widehat{\Phi}, \widehat{\Psi})$ depends on concrete realizations of the complementary channels $\widehat{\Phi}$ and $\widehat{\Psi}$.

6 On continuity of the unitary dilation

By using the Stinespring representation (6) it is easy to show that any quantum channel Φ from A to $B = A$ can be represented as

$$\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E U_\Phi \rho \otimes \sigma_0 U_\Phi^*, \quad (23)$$

where σ_0 is a pure state in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_E)$ and U_Φ is a unitary operator on \mathcal{H}_{AE} . Representation (23) allows to consider any channel from a quantum system A to itself as a reduction of some unitary (reversible) evolution of the larger quantum system AE [2, 3, 13].

In general case, for a given quantum channel Φ from A to B having representation (6) one can find such quantum systems D and E' that

$$\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_{E'} U_\Phi \rho \otimes \sigma_0 U_\Phi^*, \quad (24)$$

where σ_0 is a pure state in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_D)$ and U_Φ is a unitary operator from \mathcal{H}_{AD} onto $\mathcal{H}_{BE'}$ [2]. In particular, one can take $D = BE$ and $E' = AE$. For an infinite-dimensional quantum channel Φ with representation (6) such that $\dim(\mathcal{H}_{BE} \ominus \text{Ran} V_\Phi) = +\infty$ one can always take $E' = E$. This follows from the fact that any partial isometry W such that $\dim \ker W = \dim \ker W^* = +\infty$ can be extended to a unitary operator [22].

Representations (23) and (24) are called *unitary dilations* of a quantum channel Φ [2, 23]. Since (23) is a partial case of (24), the latter can be called *universal unitary dilation*. In this section we explore selective continuity of the multi-valued map $\Phi \mapsto U_\Phi$ w.r.t. different topologies on the sets of quantum channels and unitary operators.

6.1 Continuity of the unitary dilation w.r.t. the uniform convergence

We show first that the KSW-theorem implies selective continuity of the map $\Phi \mapsto U_\Phi$ w.r.t. the diamond norm metric on the sets of quantum channels and the operator norm metric on the set of unitary operators.

Proposition 3. *For an arbitrary sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ of quantum channels from A to B diamond norm converging to a channel Φ_0 there exist quantum systems D and E , a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of unitary operators from \mathcal{H}_{AD} onto \mathcal{H}_{BE} norm converging to a unitary operator $U_0 : \mathcal{H}_{AD} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE}$ and a pure state σ_0 in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_D)$ such that*

$$\Phi_n(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E U_n \rho \otimes \sigma_0 U_n^* \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

Proof. By using the arguments from the proof of Theorem 1 in [6] one can show existence of a quantum system E and a sequence $\{V_n\}$ of isometries from \mathcal{H}_A into \mathcal{H}_{BE} norm converging to an isometry $V_0 : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE}$ such that $\Phi_n(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E V_n \rho V_n^*$ for all $n \geq 0$ (see Remark 2).

Let C and D be infinite-dimensional quantum systems and $\sigma_0 = |\tau_0\rangle\langle\tau_0|$, where τ_0 is any unit vector in \mathcal{H}_D . If we identify the space \mathcal{H}_A with the subspace $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \{c\tau_0\}$ of

\mathcal{H}_{AD} , then $\{V_n\}$ is a sequence of partial isometries from \mathcal{H}_{AD} to $\mathcal{H}_{BEC} \cong \mathcal{H}_{AD}$ norm converging to the partial isometry V_0 such that $V_n^*V_n = V_0^*V_0$ and $\dim \ker V_n^*V_n = \dim \ker V_nV_n^* = +\infty$ for all $n \geq 0$. So, the existence of the sequence $\{U_n\}$ with the required properties (with the system EC in the role of E) follows from Lemma 2 below. \square

Lemma 2. *Let $\{V_n\}$ be a sequence of partial isometries on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} norm converging to a partial isometry V_0 such that $V_n^*V_n = V_0^*V_0 = P$ and $\dim \text{Ker} P = \dim \text{Ker} Q_n \leq +\infty$, where $Q_n = V_nV_n^*$, for all $n \geq 0$. Let U_0 be a given unitary operator such that $U_0P = V_0$.⁴ Then there exists a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of unitary operators norm converging to the operator U_0 such that $U_nP = V_n$ for all n .*

Proof. Since all the partial isometries have the same initial space, the sequence $\{W_n = V_nV_0^*\}$ consists of partial isometries and norm converges to the projector $Q_0 = V_0V_0^*$. Note that $W_nW_n^* = Q_n$ and $W_n^*W_n = Q_0$ for all n . Assume that $\{\bar{W}_n\}$ is a sequence of partial isometries norm converging to the projector $R_0 = I_{\mathcal{H}} - Q_0$ such that $\bar{W}_n\bar{W}_n^* = R_n \doteq I_{\mathcal{H}} - Q_n$ and $\bar{W}_n^*\bar{W}_n = R_0$ for all n . Then the sequence of unitary operators $(W_n + \bar{W}_n)U_0$ has the required property.

The sequence $\{\bar{W}_n\}$ can be constructed as follows. Let $T_n = R_nR_0$ and $|T_n| = \sqrt{R_0R_nR_0}$. Since the sequence $\{R_n\}$ norm converges to the projector R_0 , we may assume that $\|R_n - R_0\| < 1$ for all n . It is easy to see that the last inequality implies that $\text{Ran} T_n = R_n(\mathcal{H})$ and $\text{Ran} |T_n| = R_0(\mathcal{H})$. Let \bar{W}_n be the partial isometry from the polar decomposition of T_n , i.e. $T_n = \bar{W}_n|T_n|$, such that $\text{Ran} \bar{W}_n^* = R_0(\mathcal{H})$. Since the sequences $\{T_n\}$ and $\{|T_n|\}$ norm converges to the projector R_0 [22], it is easy to show that the sequence $\{\bar{W}_n\}$ norm converges to the projector R_0 as well. \square

6.2 Discontinuity of the unitary dilation w.r.t. the strong convergence

In this section we show that the map $\Phi \mapsto U_\Phi$ is discontinuous in the following sense: there is a sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ of quantum channels strongly converging to a channel Φ_0 that can not be represented in the form (24) with a sequence $\{U_{\Phi_n}\}$ of unitary operators strongly converging to a unitary operator U_{Φ_0} . Moreover, this discontinuity can not be eliminated by making the state σ_0 in (24) dependent on a channel Φ .

We will use the following observation in which Φ^* denotes the dual map to a channel Φ defined by the relation $\text{Tr} \Phi(\rho)B = \text{Tr} \Phi^*(B)\rho$ for any $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$, $B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_B)$. The map Φ^* is a quantum channel in the Heisenberg picture [2].

Proposition 4. *Let $\{U_n\}$ be a sequence of unitary operators from \mathcal{H}_{AD} onto \mathcal{H}_{BE} converging to a unitary operator U_0 in the strong operator topology and $\{\sigma_n\}$ a sequence of states in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_D)$ converging to a state σ_0 . Let $\Phi_n(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E U_n \rho \otimes \sigma_n U_n^*$ be a channel from A to B for any $n \geq 0$. Then the sequence $\{\Phi_n^*(B)\}$ converges to the operator $\Phi_0^*(B)$ in the strong operator topology for any $B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_B)$.*

⁴The existence of such operator is guaranteed by the condition $\dim \text{Ker} P = \dim \text{Ker} Q_0$.

The condition $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = \sigma_0$ is necessary and sufficient for the strong convergence of the channels $\rho \mapsto U_n \rho \otimes \sigma_n U_n^*$ to the channel $\rho \mapsto U_0 \rho \otimes \sigma_0 U_0^*$ (provided that $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_n = U_0$).

Proof. Since for any converging sequence of states in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_D)$ there is a converging sequence of purifications in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_{DR})$, where R is some system, and $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_n = U_0$ implies $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_n \otimes I_R = U_0 \otimes I_R$, we may assume that the sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ consists of pure states. It is easy to see that $\Phi_n^*(B) = \text{Tr}_D[I_A \otimes \sigma_n][U_n^* B \otimes I_E U_n]$. So, the assumed purity of the state σ_n implies that

$$T_n \doteq [I_A \otimes \sigma_n][U_n^* B \otimes I_E U_n][I_A \otimes \sigma_n] = \Phi_n^*(B) \otimes \sigma_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Since the sequence $\{U_n^*\}$ strongly converges to the operator U_0^* ,⁵ the sequence $\{T_n\}$ strongly converges to the operator T_0 . It follows that the sequence $\{\Phi_n^*(B)\}$ strongly converges to the operator $\Phi_0^*(B)$ as well. \square

Corollary 3. *There exists a sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ of quantum channels from an infinite-dimensional quantum system to itself strongly converging to a channel Φ_0 such that the channels Φ_n can not be represented in the form $\Phi_n(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E U_n \rho \otimes \sigma_n U_n^*$ for all $n \geq 0$, where $\{U_n\}$ is a sequence of unitary operators from \mathcal{H}_{AD} onto \mathcal{H}_{BE} strongly converging to a unitary operator U_0 and $\{\sigma_n\}$ is a sequence of states in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_D)$ converging to a state σ_0 .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}_A = \mathcal{H}_B$ be a separable Hilbert space and \mathcal{H}_0 an infinite-dimensional subspace of \mathcal{H}_A . Let $\{\tau_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{H}_0 and ψ any unit vector in \mathcal{H}_0^\perp . For each n consider the partial isometry

$$V_n = \sum_{i \neq n} |\tau_i\rangle\langle\tau_i| + |\psi\rangle\langle\tau_n|.$$

Then $V_n^* = \sum_{i \neq n} |\tau_i\rangle\langle\tau_i| + |\tau_n\rangle\langle\psi|$ and hence $V_n^* V_n = P_0$, where $P_0 = \sum_i |\tau_i\rangle\langle\tau_i|$ is the projector on the subspace \mathcal{H}_0 . It is easy to see that

$$s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n = P_0,$$

while the sequence $\{V_n^*\}$ has no limit in the strong operator topology.

The sequence of the channels $\Phi_n(\rho) = V_n \rho V_n^* + \bar{P}_0 \rho \bar{P}_0$ strongly converges to the channel $\Phi_0(\rho) = P_0 \rho P_0 + \bar{P}_0 \rho \bar{P}_0$, where $\bar{P}_0 = I_A - P_0$. It is easy to see that the sequence $\{\Phi_n^*(|\psi\rangle\langle\tau_1|)\}$ does not converge to the operator $\Phi_0^*(|\psi\rangle\langle\tau_1|) = 0$ in the strong operator topology. \square

This result can be treated as discontinuity of the unitary dilation w.r.t. the strong convergence topology on the set of quantum channels and the strong operator topology on the set of unitary operators. Mathematically, this discontinuity is connected with the discontinuity of the map $A \mapsto A^*$ in the strong operator topology on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

⁵Here and in what follows we use the continuity of the map $A \mapsto A^*$ in the strong operator topology on the set of unitary operators [22].

The discovered discontinuity of the unitary dilation with respect to the strong convergence has an interesting physical implication described below.

It is known that all the basic topologies on the algebra $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ excepting the norm topology coincide on the set of unitary operators [24]. So, the strong convergence topology on the set of infinite-dimensional unitary channels, i.e. channels of the form $\Phi(\rho) = U\rho U^*$, where U is a unitary operator, seems the only reasonable topology on the set of such channels. Therefore, Corollary 3 states the existence of strongly converging sequences of quantum channels that *has no prototype* within the standard interpretation of a channel as a reduced unitary evolution of some larger system (or unitary transformation between larger systems). This shows that the strong convergence of quantum channels is *too weak* for describing physical perturbations of quantum channels. The weakest type of convergence of quantum channels with respect to which the unitary dilation is continuous (in the above sense) is considered in [25].

7 Some applications

The representation of strongly converging sequences of quantum channels given by Theorem 2B is a useful tool for continuity analysis of informational characteristics of quantum channels w.r.t. the strong convergence.

7.1 Lower semicontinuity of the entropic disturbance w.r.t. the strong convergence of quantum channels

A finite or countable collection $\{\rho_i\}$ of quantum states with a probability distribution $\{p_i\}$ is called an *ensemble* and denoted by $\{p_i, \rho_i\}$. The state $\bar{\rho} \doteq \sum_i p_i \rho_i$ is called the *average state* of the ensemble. We will also use the notion of *generalized ensemble* as a Borel probability measure on the set of quantum states, so that previously defined ensembles correspond to discrete probability measures. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of all Borel probability measures on $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ equipped with the topology of weak convergence [26, 27, 28]. The set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ can be considered as a complete separable metric space [27]. The average state of a generalized ensemble $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ is the barycenter of the measure μ defined by the Bochner integral

$$\bar{\rho}(\mu) = \int_{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})} \rho \mu(d\rho).$$

The *Holevo quantity* of a discrete ensemble $\{p_i, \rho_i\}$ is defined as

$$\chi(\{p_i, \rho_i\}) = \sum_i p_i H(\rho_i \| \bar{\rho}) = H(\bar{\rho}) - \sum_i p_i H(\rho_i), \quad (25)$$

where $H(\cdot)$ is the von Neumann entropy and $H(\cdot \| \cdot)$ is the quantum relative entropy (defined in Section 2). The second formula in (25) is valid under the condition $H(\bar{\rho}) <$

$+\infty$. This quantity is an upper bound on the classical information which can be obtained by recognizing the states of an ensemble by quantum measurements [29].

The Holevo quantity of a generalized ensemble $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ is defined as

$$\chi(\mu) = \int H(\rho \| \bar{\rho}(\mu)) \mu(d\rho) = H(\bar{\rho}(\mu)) - \int H(\rho) \mu(d\rho),$$

where the second formula is valid under the condition $H(\bar{\rho}(\mu)) < +\infty$ [28].

For an ensemble $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ its image $\Phi(\mu)$ under a quantum channel $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ is defined as the ensemble in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ corresponding to the measure $\mu \circ \Phi^{-1}$ on $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)$, i.e. $\Phi(\mu)[\mathfrak{S}_B] = \mu[\Phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{S}_B)]$ for any Borel subset \mathfrak{S}_B of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)$, where $\Phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{S}_B)$ is the pre-image of \mathfrak{S}_B under the map Φ [28]. If $\mu = \{p_i, \rho_i\}$ then $\Phi(\mu) = \{p_i, \Phi(\rho_i)\}$.

For a given channel $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ and an ensemble μ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ the monotonicity of the quantum relative entropy implies that

$$\chi(\Phi(\mu)) \leq \chi(\mu).$$

Thus, the decrease of the Holevo quantity

$$\Delta^\Phi \chi(\mu) \doteq \chi(\mu) - \chi(\Phi(\mu))$$

(called the entropic disturbance in [30, 31]) is a nonnegative function on the set of generalized ensembles with a finite value of $\chi(\Phi(\mu))$.

In [14] it is shown that the function $\mu \mapsto \Delta^\Phi \chi(\mu)$ is lower semicontinuous on the set $\{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_A) \mid \chi(\Phi(\mu)) < +\infty\}$ for any given channel Φ . By using Theorem 2B one can strengthen this results as follows.

Theorem 3. *The function $(\Phi, \mu) \mapsto \Delta^\Phi \chi(\mu)$ is lower semicontinuous on the set*

$$\{(\Phi, \mu) \in \mathfrak{F}(A, B) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_A) \mid \chi(\Phi(\mu)) < +\infty\},$$

where $\mathfrak{F}(A, B)$ is the set of all quantum channels from A to B equipped with the strong convergence topology.

Theorem 3 states that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^{\Phi_n} \chi(\mu_n) \geq \Delta^{\Phi_0} \chi(\mu_0)$$

for any sequences $\{\Phi_n\} \subset \mathfrak{F}(A, B)$ and $\{\mu_n\} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ converging, respectively, to a channel Φ_0 and an ensemble μ_0 provided that $\chi(\Phi_n(\mu_n)) < +\infty$ for all $n \geq 0$ (otherwise $\Delta^{\Phi_n} \chi(\mu_n)$ is not defined).

Proof. Let $\{\Phi_n\} \subset \mathfrak{F}(A, B)$ and $\{\mu_n\} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ be sequences converging, respectively, to a channel Φ_0 and an ensemble μ_0 such that $\chi(\Phi_n(\mu_n)) < +\infty$ for all $n \geq 0$. By Theorem 2B there exist a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_E and a sequence of isometries $V_n : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE}$ strongly converging to an isometry $V_0 : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BE}$ such that $\Phi_n(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E V_n \rho V_n^*$ for all $n \geq 0$. Let ν_n be the image of the ensemble μ_n under the isometric channel $\rho \mapsto V_n \rho V_n^*$ for all $n \geq 0$. It is easy to see that $\nu_n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{BE})$ and

that $\chi(\nu_n) = \chi(\mu_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$. By using the arguments from the proof of Lemma 1 in [10] one can show the weak convergence of the sequence $\{\nu_n\}$ to the ensemble ν_0 . Denote by Θ the channel $\text{Tr}_E(\cdot)$ from BE to B . Then $\Phi_n(\mu_n) = \Theta(\nu_n)$ and hence $\Delta^{\Phi_n}\chi(\mu_n) = \Delta^\Theta\chi(\nu_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$. So, by Theorem 1 in [14] (applied to the channel Θ) we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^{\Phi_n}\chi(\mu_n) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^\Theta\chi(\nu_n) \geq \Delta^\Theta\chi(\nu_0) = \Delta^{\Phi_0}\chi(\mu_0). \quad \square$$

Remark 3. Theorem 3 implies, in particular, that for any input ensemble μ with finite $\chi(\mu)$ and any $C \geq 0$ the set of all quantum channels Φ such that

$$\chi(\Phi(\mu)) \geq \chi(\mu) - C$$

is closed w.r.t. the strong convergence. This result can be used to show the closedness of the set of all quantum channels reversible with respect to a given family of input states.

A quantum channel $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ is called *reversible* with respect to a family \mathfrak{S} of states in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ if there exists a quantum channel $\Psi : B \rightarrow A$ such that $\rho = \Psi \circ \Phi(\rho)$ for all $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}$ [32, 33].⁶

Corollary 4. *The set of all quantum channels between quantum systems A and B reversible w.r.t. a given family \mathfrak{S} of states in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ is closed w.r.t. the strong convergence topology.*

Proof. Since the reversibility of a channel Φ w.r.t. an uncountable family \mathfrak{S} is equivalent to the reversibility of this channel Φ w.r.t. any dense countable subfamily of \mathfrak{S} , we may assume that $\mathfrak{S} = \{\rho_i\}$ is a countable set of states. By the Petz theorem (cf. [34, 35]) a channel Φ is reversible with respect to a family $\mathfrak{S} = \{\rho_i\}$ if and only if

$$\chi(\{p_i, \Phi(\rho_i)\}) = \chi(\{p_i, \rho_i\})$$

for any probability distribution $\{p_i\}$ such that $\chi(\{p_i, \rho_i\}) < +\infty$ (in particular, for any probability distribution $\{p_i\}$ with finite Shannon entropy).

Thus, the assertion of the corollary follows from Remark 3 with $C = 0$. \square

7.2 On continuity of the output Holevo quantity of a channel and of a complementary channel

The Holevo quantity $\chi(\Phi(\mu))$ of the image of an input ensemble μ under a quantum channel Φ (in what follows we will call it the output Holevo quantity) plays a basic role in studying the classical capacity of this channel [2, 3, 20]. In quantitative analysis of the private classical capacity of a quantum channel it is necessary to deal with the output Holevo quantity a complementary channel, i.e. with the quantity $\chi(\hat{\Phi}(\mu))$ giving

⁶This property is also called sufficiency of the channel Φ for the family \mathfrak{S} [34, 35].

an upper bound on classical information obtained by eavesdropper [2, 36]. Since different realizations of a complementary channel are isometrically equivalent, the quantity $\chi(\widehat{\Phi}(\mu))$ is uniquely defined for any given channel Φ and input ensemble μ [21].

Theorem 3 and Corollary 2 imply the following continuity condition for the functions $(\Phi, \mu) \mapsto \chi(\Phi(\mu))$ and $(\Phi, \mu) \mapsto \chi(\widehat{\Phi}(\mu))$.

Proposition 5. *If the function $\mu \mapsto \chi(\mu)$ is continuous on a set $\mathcal{P}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ then the functions $(\Phi, \mu) \mapsto \chi(\Phi(\mu))$ and $(\Phi, \mu) \mapsto \chi(\widehat{\Phi}(\mu))$ are continuous on the set $\mathfrak{F}(A, B) \times \mathcal{P}_0$.*

This holds, in particular, if $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_A) \mid \bar{\rho}(\mu) \in \mathfrak{S}_0\}$, where \mathfrak{S}_0 is any subset of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ on which the von Neumann entropy $H(\rho)$ is continuous.

In other words, Proposition 5 states that for any sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ of ensembles weakly converging an ensemble μ_0 such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi(\mu_n) = \chi(\mu_0) < +\infty \quad (26)$$

and arbitrary sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ of channels strongly converging to any channel Φ_0 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi(\Phi_n(\mu_n)) = \chi(\Phi_0(\mu_0)) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi(\widehat{\Phi}_n(\mu_n)) = \chi(\widehat{\Phi}_0(\mu_0)).$$

The last part of Proposition 5 states that condition (26) can be replaced by the more easily verified condition $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\bar{\rho}(\mu_n)) = H(\bar{\rho}(\mu_0)) < +\infty$.

Proof. By using Proposition 1 in [28] and the arguments from the proof of Lemma 1 in [10] it is easy to show that $(\Phi, \mu) \mapsto \chi(\Phi(\mu))$ is a lower semicontinuous function on the set $\mathfrak{F}(A, B) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_A)$. So, by Theorem 3, all the terms in the l.h.s of the equality

$$\chi(\Phi(\mu)) + \Delta^\Phi \chi(\mu) = \chi(\mu)$$

are lower semicontinuous functions on the set $\mathfrak{F}(A, B) \times \mathcal{P}_0$. Hence, the continuity of $\chi(\mu)$ on a set \mathcal{P}_0 implies continuity of $\chi(\Phi(\mu))$ on the set $\mathfrak{F}(A, B) \times \mathcal{P}_0$.

The continuity of the function $(\Phi, \mu) \mapsto \chi(\widehat{\Phi}(\mu))$ on the set $\mathfrak{F}(A, B) \times \mathcal{P}_0$ follows from Corollary 2, since the quantity $\chi(\widehat{\Phi}(\mu))$ does not depend on a realization $\widehat{\Phi}$ of a complementary channel.

To prove the last assertion note that $\chi(\mu) + \int H(\rho) \mu(d\rho) = H(\bar{\rho}(\mu))$. By Proposition 1 in [28] and the arguments from the proof of the Theorem in [28] all the terms in the l.h.s of the above equality are lower semicontinuous functions on the set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_A)$. Hence, the continuity of $H(\rho)$ on a set \mathfrak{S}_0 implies continuity of $\chi(\mu)$ on the set \mathcal{P}_0 in this case. \square

Corollary 5. *Let μ be an ensemble in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ with finite $\chi(\mu)$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi(\Phi_n(\mu)) = \chi(\Phi_0(\mu)) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi(\widehat{\Phi}_n(\mu)) = \chi(\widehat{\Phi}_0(\mu))$$

for arbitrary sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ of channels strongly converging to any channel Φ_0 .

The property stated in Corollary 5 can be treated as stability (robustness) of the quantities $\chi(\Phi(\mu))$ and $\chi(\widehat{\Phi}(\mu))$ with respect to all physical perturbations of a channel Φ . Previously, the similar property was established for other two important characteristics of a channel: the quantum mutual information $I(\Phi, \rho)$ and the coherent information $I_c(\Phi, \rho)$ [37, Proposition 10].

I am grateful to Frederik vom Ende for the valuable communications which led to the appearance of Section 6 of this paper. I am also grateful to A.S.Holevo, G.G.Amosov, V.Zh.Sakbaev, T.V.Shulman and M.M.Wilde for useful discussion.

Special thanks to the participants of the workshop "Quantum information, statistics, probability", September, 2018, Steklov Mathematical Institute, Moscow, for the useful comments and suggestions which led to essential improvements of the initial version of this paper.

References

- [1] W.F.Stinespring, "Positive functions on C^* -algebras", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6**:2, 211-216 (1955).
- [2] A.S.Holevo, "Quantum systems, channels, information. A mathematical introduction", Berlin, DeGruyter (2012)
- [3] M.M.Wilde, "Quantum Information Theory", Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press, (2013).
- [4] V.P.Belavkin, G.M.D'Ariano, M.Raginsky, "Operational Distance and Fidelity for Quantum Channels", J.Math.Phys. **46**, 062106 (2005).
- [5] D.Kretschmann, D.Schlingemann, R.F.Werner, "The Information-Disturbance Tradeoff and the Continuity of Stinespring's Representation", arXiv:quant-ph/0605009 (2006).
- [6] D.Kretschmann, D.Schlingemann, R.F.Werner, "A Continuity Theorem for Stinespring's Dilation", J. Funct. Anal. **255**(8), 1889-1904 (2008).
- [7] D.Aharonov, A.Kitaev, N.Nisan, "Quantum circuits with mixed states", Proc. 30th STOC, pp. 20-30, ACM Press, 1998.
- [8] M.E.Shirokov, "Energy-constrained diamond norms and their use in quantum information theory", Problems of Information Transmission **54**(1), 20-33 (2018).
- [9] A.Winter, "Energy-Constrained Diamond Norm with Applications to the Uniform Continuity of Continuous Variable Channel Capacities", arXiv:1712.10267 (2017).
- [10] M.E.Shirokov, A.S.Holevo, "On approximation of infinite dimensional quantum channels", Problems of Information Transmission **44**:2, 3-22 (2008).

- [11] M.M.Wilde, "Strong and uniform convergence in the teleportation simulation of bosonic Gaussian channels", *Physical Review A*, **97**:6, 062305 (2018).
- [12] M.E.Shirokov, "Uniform continuity bounds for information characteristics of quantum channels depending on input dimension and on input energy", *J.Phys. A: Math. Theor* **52**, 014001 (31pp) (2019).
- [13] K.Kraus, "States, Effects and Operations: Fundamental Notions of Quantum Theory", Springer Verlag (1983).
- [14] M.E.Shirokov, A.S.Holevo, "On lower semicontinuity of the entropic disturbance and its applications in quantum information theory", *Izv. Math.* **81**:5, 1044-1060 (2017).
- [15] A.Wehrh, "General properties of entropy", *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **50**, 221-250, (1978).
- [16] V.Paulsen, "Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras", Cambridge University Press (2003).
- [17] S.Pirandola, R.Laurenza, C.Ottaviani, and L.Banchi, "Fundamental Limits of Repeaterless Quantum Communications", *Nat. Commun.*, **8** 15043 (2017).
- [18] S.Becker, N.Datta, "Convergence rates for quantum evolution and entropic continuity bounds in infinite dimensions", *arXiv:1810.00863* (2018).
- [19] M.E.Shirokov, A.S.Holevo, "Energy-constrained diamond norms and quantum dynamical semigroups", *Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics*, **40**(10), 1569-1586 (2019); *arXiv:1812.07447*.
- [20] A.S.Holevo, "Entanglement Assisted Capacities of Constrained Quantum Channels", *Probability Theory and Applications*. **48**(2), 243-255.
- [21] A.S.Holevo, "On complementary channels and the additivity problem", *Probab. Theory and Appl.*, **51**, 133-143 (2005).
- [22] M.Reed, B.Simon, "Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics", Vol I. Functional Analysis. Academic Press Inc. (1980).
- [23] F.Caruso, J.Eisert, V.Giovannetti, A.S.Holevo, "The optimal unitary dilation for bosonic Gaussian channels", *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 022306 (2011).
- [24] O.Bratteli, D.W.Robinson, "Operators algebras and quantum statistical mechanics", vol.I, Springer Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin (1979).
- [25] M.E.Shirokov "Strong-* convergence of quantum channels", *arXiv:1802.05632*.
- [26] P.Billingsley, "Convergence of probability measures", John Wiley and Sons. Inc., New York-London-Sydney-Toronto (1968).

- [27] K.R.Parthasarathy, "Probability measures on metric spaces", Academic Press, New York and London (1967).
- [28] A.S.Holevo, M.E.Shirokov "Continuous ensembles and the χ -capacity of infinite dimensional channels", Probab. Theory and Appl. **50**:1, 86-98 (2005).
- [29] A.S.Holevo, "Bounds for the quantity of information transmitted by a quantum communication channel", Probl. Inf. Transm. (USSR) **9**, 177-183 (1973).
- [30] F.Buscemi, M.Horodecki, "Towards a unified approach to information-disturbance tradeoffs in quantum measurements", Open Systems and Information Dynamics, **16**(01), 2948 (2009).
- [31] F.Buscemi, S.Das, M.M.Wilde, "Approximate reversibility in the context of entropy gain, information gain, and complete positivity", Physical Review A **93**:6, 062314 (2016).
- [32] A.Jencova, "Reversibility conditions for quantum operations", Rev. Math. Phys. **24**, 1250016 (2012).
- [33] T.Ogawa, A.Sasaki, M.Iwamoto, H.Yamamoto, "Quantum Secret Sharing Schemes and Reversibility of Quantum Operations", Phys. Rev. A **72**, 032318 (2005).
- [34] D.Petz., "Sufficiency of channels over von Neumann algebras", Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **39**:153, 97-108 (1988).
- [35] A.Jencova, D.Petz, "Sufficiency in quantum statistical inference", Commun. Math. Phys. **263**, 259-276, (2006).
- [36] I.Devetak, "The private classical capacity and quantum capacity of a quantum channel", IEEE Transaction on Information Theory **51**:1, 44-55 (2005).
- [37] M.E.Shirokov, "Measures of correlations in infinite-dimensional quantum systems", Sbornik: Mathematics **207**:5, 724-768 (2016).