arXiv:1712.03441v1 [math.AT] 9 Dec 2017

HOMOTOPICAL ALGEBRA FOR LIE ALGEBROIDS

JOOST NUITEN

Abstract. We construct Quillen equivalent semi-model structures on the categories of dg-Lie algebroids and L_∞-algebroids over a commutative dg-algebra in characteristic zero. This allows one to apply the usual methods of homotopical algebra to dg-Lie algebroids: for example, every Lie algebroid can be resolved by dg-Lie algebroids that arise from dg-Lie algebras, i.e. that have a null-homotopic anchor map. As an application, we show how Lie algebroid cohomology is represented by an object in the homotopy category of dg-Lie algebroids.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to provide a model-categorical description of the homotopy theory of *differential graded Lie algebroids* over a commutative dg-algebra of characteristic zero. Just as Lie algebroids are frequently used to describe infinitesimal structures in algebraic [26] or differential geometry [20], such dg-Lie algebroids can be used to describe infinitesimal structures in *derived* geometry. In particular, our main reason to develop a homotopy theory of dg-Lie algebroids is to use it to study the role of dg-Lie algebroids in deformation theory.

To motivate this, let us start by considering dg-Lie algebroids over a field k of characteristic zero, which are simply dg-Lie algebras. A fundamental principle in deformation theory, tracing back to the work of Deligne and Drinfeld, asserts that for any point in a moduli space over k, its formal neighbourhood is controlled by a dg-Lie algebra. This idea has proven to give a very effective and concrete method for describing the infinitesimal behaviour of moduli spaces, which applies in many situations (see e.g. [9, 10, 16]). Nowadays, a precise formulation of the equivalence between dg-Lie algebras and formal deformation problems is provided in terms of *homotopy theory*: work of Pridham [24] and Lurie [18] establishes an equivalence between the homotopy theory of dg-Lie algebras and a certain homotopy theory of formal moduli problems over k.

One can try to extend these ideas to more general commutative dg-algebras A of characteristic zero: given a map $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \to X$ from an affine (derived) scheme to a moduli space, one can try to describe a formal neighbourhood of $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ inside X in terms of a dg-Lie algebroid over A. The recent work of Gaitsgory and Rozenblyum [8] makes extensive use of this viewpoint on Lie algebroids: they essentially *define* Lie algebroids to be formal moduli problems over $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ and develop their theory in these terms.

This paper serves as a complement to this work, and provides a rigid, point-set model for the homotopy theory of Lie algebroids in terms of a dg-version of the usual notion of a Lie algebroid [26]. More precisely, the main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem. *Let A be a commutative dg-algebra over a field of characteristic zero. The category of dg-Lie algebroids carries a semi-model structure, in which a map is a weak equivalence (fibration) if it is a quasi-isomorphism (degreewise surjective).*

The question of endowing the category of dg-Lie algebroids with a model structure has also been studied in [30]. However, it turns out that, unlike categories of algebras over operads, the category of dg-Lie algebroids does not carry an actual model structure. The main reason for this is that a dg-Lie algebroid is only fibrant if its anchor map is surjective: this prevents certain dg-Lie algebroids from having a weak equivalence into a fibrant dg-Lie algebroid (see Example 3.2).

In [21], we show that this homotopy theory of dg-Lie algebroids over (cofibrant) A is equivalent to the homotopy theory of formal moduli problems over A. Informally, this means that dg-Lie algebroids can indeed be used as algebraic models for the formal neighbourhoods of $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ inside moduli spaces. The fact that dg-Lie algebroids indeed behave like 'algebraic objects' from the viewpoint of homotopy theory, is made precise by the following result:

Theorem. *Consider the forgetful functor $\mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \to \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A$, which sends a dg-Lie algebroid to its anchor map. This is a right Quillen functor that detects weak equivalences and preserves all sifted homotopy colimits.*

This theorem guarantees that the homotopy theory of dg-Lie algebroids is well behaved. For example, the free-forgetful adjunction $\mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A \leftrightarrows \mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ induces a monadic adjunction between ∞-categorical localizations [19, Section 4.7]. This formal property of the homotopy theory of dg-Lie algebroids plays an important technical role in [21].

In fact, the theorem implies that the right Quillen functor to A-linear dg-Lie algebras

$$\mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}_A^{\mathrm{dg}};\ \ \mathfrak{g} \longmapsto \ker\Big(\rho\colon \mathfrak{g} \to T_A\Big)$$

also detects weak equivalences and preserves sifted homotopy colimits. This means that dg-Lie algebroids can be considered as algebras for some monad on the ∞-category of A-linear Lie algebras (cf. [8]). In particular, it follows that every dg-Lie algebroid admits a simplicial resolution by Lie algebroids arising from Lie algebras, i.e. with a null-homotopic anchor map.

Outline. The paper is outlined as follows: after recalling some preliminaries in Section 2, we describe the above theorems, together with some variants and immediate consequences, in Section 3. For example, both theorems have analogues for L_∞-algebroids as well. The proofs of the theorems are contained in Section 4.

In the remainder of the text, we discuss a few model-categorical tools that one can use to study dg-Lie algebroids. For example, we give a concrete cofibrant replacement of dg-Lie algebroids and L_∞-algebroids in Section 5, which can be used to present derived mapping spaces in terms of 'nonlinear' maps. In Section 6, we use this to identify the (reduced) Lie algebroid cohomology (see e.g. [26])

$$\overline{H}^n(\mathfrak{g}; A) \cong \big[\mathfrak{g}, T_A \oplus A[n-1]\big]$$

with the set of homotopy classes of maps into the square zero extension of the tangent Lie algebroid by a shifted copy of A. Similarly, we show that the Weil algebra of a Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ (see e.g. [2]) simply computes the Lie algebroid cohomology

of its free loop space $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$. The mixed structure on the Weil algebra can then be thought of as arising from the S^1-action on $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$ by rotation of loops.

Conventions. Throughout, we work over a field k of characteristic zero, so that a chain complex always means a chain complex of k-vector spaces. We use homological conventions for chain complexes, i.e. the differential ∂ is of degree -1. When V is a chain complex, we denote its n-fold suspension by $V[n]$ and its cone by $V[0,1]$.

Acknowledgement. The author was supported by NWO.

2. Preliminaries

The purpose of this section is twofold: on the one hand, we will recall the notion of a dg-Lie algebroid over a commutative dg-algebra and on the other hand, we will recall the notion of a (left) semi-model category.

2.1. **DG-Lie- and L_∞-algebroids.** Throughout, fix a commutative dg-algebra A over k and let $T_A = \mathrm{Der}_k(A,A)$ be the chain complex of derivations of A. This complex carries the structure of a dg-A-module, given by pointwise multiplication in A, as well as the structure of a dg-Lie-algebra over k, with Lie bracket given by the commutator bracket.

Definition 2.1. A *dg-Lie algebroid* $\mathfrak{g}$ over A is an (unbounded) dg-A-module $\mathfrak{g}$, equipped with a k-linear dg-Lie algebra structure and an *anchor map* $\rho\colon \mathfrak{g} \to T_A$ such that

(1) ρ is both a map of dg-A-modules and dg-Lie algebras.
(2) the failure of the Lie bracket to be A-bilinear is governed by the Leibniz rule
$$[X, a\cdot Y] = (-1)^{Xa} a[X,Y] + \rho(X)(a)\cdot Y.$$

Let $\mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ be the category of dg-Lie algebroids over A, with maps given by A-linear maps over T_A that preserve the Lie bracket.

Example 2.2. Any dg-A-module E gives rise to an *Atiyah dg-Lie algebroid* $\mathrm{At}(E)$ over A, which can be described as follows: a degree n element of $\mathrm{At}(E)$ is a tuple (v, ∇_v) consisting of a derivation $v\colon A \to A$ (of degree n), together with a k-linear map $\nabla_v\colon E \to E$ (of degree n) such that
$$\nabla_v(a\cdot e) = v(a)\cdot e + (-1)^{|a|\cdot n} a\cdot \nabla_v(e)$$
for all $a \in A$ and $e \in E$. This becomes a dg-A-module under pointwise multiplication and a dg-Lie algebra under the commutator bracket. The anchor map is the obvious projection $\mathrm{At}(E) \to T_A$ sending (v, ∇_v) to v.

Example 2.3. Similarly, suppose that $E \in \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ has the structure of an algebra over a k-linear dg-operad $\mathcal{P}$. Then there is a sub dg-Lie algebroid of $\mathrm{At}(E)$ consisting of the tuples (v, ∇_v) where ∇_v is a $\mathcal{P}$-algebra derivation.

In certain situations, it can be convenient to work with a variant of the notion of a dg-Lie algebroid, where the Lie algebra structure is weakened to an L_∞-structure. For instance, such objects are naturally constructed from explicit Lie algebroid cocycles (see Example 6.17). Recall that an L_∞-structure on a chain complex $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by a collection of graded anti-symmetric maps $[-,\ldots,-]\colon \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \to \mathfrak{g}$ of

(homological) degree $n-2$, for each $n \geq 2$. These maps have to satisfy a sequence of Jacobi identities

$$J^k(X_1, \cdots, X_k) = 0 \qquad k \geq 2$$

where $J^k(X_1, \cdots, X_k)$ is the k-th *Jacobiator*, given by

$$\sum_{i+j=k} (-1)^{ij} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{UnSh}(i,j)} (-1)^{\sigma} \pm \big[\big[X_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, X_{\sigma(i)}\big]_i, X_{\sigma(i+1)}, \cdots, X_{\sigma(i+j)}\big]_{j+1}. \tag{2.4}$$

Here $\pm$ denotes the usual Koszul sign due to the permutation of the variables X_i and the 1-ary bracket $[-]\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is given by $[X] = -\partial X$.

There is a map of operads $L_\infty \to \mathrm{Lie}$, realizing a Lie algebra as an L_∞-algebra whose n-ary brackets vanish for $n \geq 3$. In particular, T_A can be considered as an L_∞-algebra.

Definition 2.5. An *L_∞-algebroid* over A is a dg-A-module $\mathfrak{g}$, equipped with the structure of a (k-linear) L_∞-algebra and an *anchor map* $\rho\colon \mathfrak{g} \to T_A$, such that

(1) ρ is both a map of dg-A-modules and of L_∞-algebras.
(2) the brackets satisfy the Leibniz rules

$$\begin{aligned} [X, a \cdot Y] &= (-1)^{aX} a[X,Y] + \rho(X)(a) \cdot Y \\ [X_1, ..., a \cdot X_n] &= (-1)^{an}(-1)^{a(X_1+\ldots+X_{n-1})} a[X_1, ..., X_n] \qquad n \geq 3. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Let $\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ be the category of L_∞-algebroids over A, with maps given by A-linear maps over T_A that preserve the L_∞-structure.

Remark 2.7. It is possible to also weaken other parts of the algebraic structure of a dg-Lie algebroid. For example, there is a more general notion of L_∞-algebroid in the literature which allows the anchor map to be a *nonlinear* map of L_∞-algebras [15, 12]. We will not consider such further weakenings.

Example 2.8 (Action L_∞-algebroids)**.** Let $\rho\colon \mathfrak{g} \to T_A$ be a map of L_∞-algebras over k. Then $A \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ has the structure of an L_∞-algebroid, with anchor map given by the A-linear extension of ρ and with brackets given by

$$\begin{aligned} [a \otimes X, b \otimes Y] &= \pm ab \otimes [X,Y] + a \cdot \rho(X)(b) \otimes Y - (\pm) b \cdot \rho(Y)(a) \otimes X \\ [a_1 \otimes X_1, ..., a_n \otimes X_n] &= \pm a_1 ... a_n \otimes [X_1, ..., X_n]. \end{aligned}$$

where $\pm$ is the usual Koszul sign. The only nontrivial condition to verify is the Jacobi identity; for this it suffices to show that each Jacobiator J^k is A-linear in each of its variables, which can be done by explicit computation.

The categories of dg-Lie algebroids and L_∞-algebroids over A fit into a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Lie}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Alg}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A. \end{array} \tag{2.9}$$

The vertical functors forget the A-module structure, the left two horizontal functors are inclusions and the right two horizontal functors forget the L_∞-structure. Each of these forgetful functors admits a left adjoint for formal reasons. In fact, the left adjoints to the vertical functors are easily identified:

Lemma 2.10. *The left adjoints to the forgetful functors*

$$\mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \to \mathrm{Lie}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \to \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Alg}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A$$

are given by the 'action L_∞-algebroid' construction of Example 2.8.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g} \to T_A$ be a k-linear L_∞-algebra over T_A and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be an L_∞-algebroid. A k-linear map $f\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ over T_A determines a unique A-linear map $g\colon A \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$, which preserves the brackets if and only if f preserves the brackets. □

Remark 2.11. The left adjoint to the forgetful functor $\mathrm{LieAlgd}^{\mathrm{dg}} \to \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A$ is described in [14].

2.2. **Semi-model categories.** Recall [27, 7] that a (left) *semi-model category* is a bicomplete category $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with wide subcategories of weak equivalences, cofibrations and fibrations, subject to the following conditions:

(1) The weak equivalences have the two out of three property and the weak equivalences, fibrations and cofibrations are stable under retracts.
(2) The cofibrations have the left lifting property with respect to the trivial fibrations. The trivial cofibrations *with cofibrant domain* (i.e. with a domain X for which the map $\emptyset \to X$ is a cofibration) have the left lifting property with respect to the fibrations.
(3) Every map can be factored functorially into a cofibration, followed by a trivial fibration. Every map *with cofibrant domain* can be factored functorially into a trivial cofibration followed by a fibration.
(4) The fibrations and trivial fibrations are stable under transfinite composition, products and base change.

An adjunction $F\colon \mathcal{M} \leftrightarrows \mathcal{N}\colon G$ between two semi-model categories is a *Quillen adjunction* if the right adjoint G preserves fibrations and trivial fibrations. It is a Quillen equivalence when a map $X \to G(Y)$ is a weak equivalence if and only if its adjoint map $F(X) \to Y$ is a weak equivalence, for any cofibrant $X \in \mathcal{M}$ and fibrant $Y \in \mathcal{N}$.

Most model-categorical constructions can be performed in semi-model categories as well. For example, the category of (co)simplicial diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$ carries a Reedy semi-model structure, which can be used to define (co)simplicial resolutions and simplicial sets of maps in $\mathcal{M}$. The latter describe the mapping spaces in the ∞-categorical localization $\mathcal{M}[W^{-1}]$ of $\mathcal{M}$ at its weak equivalences. For a detailed description of the basic theory of semi-model categories, we refer to [27, 7].

Definition 2.12. A semi-model category $\mathcal{M}$ is *tractable* if its underlying category is locally presentable and if there exist sets of maps *with cofibrant domain* I and J with the property that a map has the right lifting property against I (resp. J) if and only if it is a trivial fibration (resp. a fibration). We refer to the maps in I (resp. J) as the *generating (trivial) cofibrations*.

Remark 2.13. The cofibrations and trivial fibrations in a semi-model category $\mathcal{M}$ determine each other via the lifting property. When $\mathcal{M}$ is tractable, the fibrations are characterized by the right lifting property against the trivial cofibrations between cofibrant objects. This becomes particularly useful when considering homotopy limits: when $\mathcal{M}$ is tractable, there diagram category $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{M})$ carries a unique tractable semi-model structure whose cofibrations and weak equivalences

are given pointwise. In this case, the adjunction

$$\Delta\colon \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{M})\colon \lim$$

is a Quillen pair because the left adjoint preserves cofibrations and trivial cofibrations with cofibrant domain. The right derived functor computes the homotopy limit.

The main purpose of semi-model structures is that they are easier to transfer along adjunctions:

Lemma 2.14 (cf. [7, Proposition 12.1.4])**.** *Let $F\colon \mathcal{M} \leftrightarrows \mathcal{N}\colon G$ be an adjunction between locally presentable categories and suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ carries a tractable semi-model structure with sets of generating (trivial) cofibrations I and J.*

Define a map in $\mathcal{N}$ to be a weak equivalence (fibration) if its image under G is a weak equivalence (fibration) in $\mathcal{M}$ and a cofibration if it has the left lifting property against the trivial fibrations. Assume that the following condition holds:

- *Let $f\colon A \to B$ be a map in $\mathcal{N}$ with cofibrant domain, obtained as a transfinite composition of pushouts of maps in $F(J)$. Then f is a weak equivalence.*

Then the above classes of maps determine a tractable semi-model structure on $\mathcal{N}$ whose generating (trivial) cofibrations are given by $F(I)$ and $F(J)$.

Proof. The factorization axioms follow from the small object argument. The only nontrivial thing to check is the lifting axiom for trivial cofibrations between cofibrant objects against fibrations. If $A \to B$ is a trivial cofibration between cofibrant objects, we can factor it as an iterated pushout $A \to \tilde{A}$ of maps in $F(J)$, followed by a fibration $\tilde{A} \to B$. Since the map $A \to \tilde{A}$ is a weak equivalence, $\tilde{A} \to B$ is a trivial fibration and the map $A \to B$ is a retract of the map $A \to \tilde{A}$. The latter has the lifting property against the fibrations by definition. □

Example 2.15. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a tractable semi-model category and let $\mathcal{I}$ be a small category. Then the category $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{M})$ carries the *projective* semi-model structure, in which a map is a weak equivalence (fibration) if it is a levelwise weak equivalence (fibration) in $\mathcal{M}$. The functor $\mathrm{colim}\colon \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{M}$ is a left Quillen functor, whose left derived functor takes homotopy colimits.

3. Main results

In this section we will state our main results and collect some immediate consequences, leaving the proofs to Section 4. First of all, consider the free-forgetful adjunction

$$F\colon \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A \rightleftarrows \mathrm{LieAlgd}^{\mathrm{dg}}\colon U$$

between the category of dg-A-modules over T_A and the category of dg-Lie algebroids over A. Our first result asserts that the usual projective model structure on dg-A-modules can be transferred to a semi-model structure along this adjunction.

Theorem 3.1. *The category $\mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ of dg-Lie algebroids over A and the category $\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ of L_∞-algebroids over A both admit a right proper, tractable semi-model structure, in which a map is a weak equivalence (resp. a fibration) if and only if it is a quasi-isomorphism (a degreewise surjection).*

The quasi-isomorphisms and surjections do not define a genuine model structure on Lie algebroids, even when the cdga A is free. Indeed, the following example demonstrates that dg-Lie algebroids may fail to have a fibrant replacement:

Example 3.2. Let $A = k[x, y]$ and consider the quotient $B = k[x, y]/(x-y) \cong k[x]$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the free A-linear Lie algebra generated by the A-module $B^{\oplus 2} = B\langle e_1, e_2\rangle$. Equivalently, $\mathfrak{g}$ is the free B-linear Lie algebra on two generators e_1, e_2, considered as a Lie algebra over A. Suppose that the zero map $\mathfrak{g} \to T_A$ factors over a transitive dg-Lie algebroid

$$\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\ \iota\ } \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\ \rho\ } T_A.$$

We claim that ι can never be a quasi-isomorphism. To see this, let $v \in \mathfrak{h}$ be an element in $\mathfrak{h}$ and consider the following two equalities in $\mathfrak{h}$:

$$[x \cdot \iota(e_1), [y \cdot \iota(e_2), v]] = xy \cdot [\iota(e_1), [\iota(e_2), v]] - xv(y) \cdot \iota\big([e_1, e_2]\big)$$
$$[y \cdot \iota(e_1), [x \cdot \iota(e_2), v]] = xy \cdot [\iota(e_1), [\iota(e_2), v]] - yv(x) \cdot \iota\big([e_1, e_2]\big)$$

The left hand sides agree by definition of $\mathfrak{g}$. If we let v be an element such that $\rho(v) = \partial/\partial y$, then

$$\iota\Big(x \cdot [e_1, e_2]\Big) = 0.$$

This means that the kernel of $\pi_0(\iota)\colon \pi_0(\mathfrak{g}) \to \pi_0(\mathfrak{h})$ always contains the (nonzero) element $x \cdot [e_1, e_2]$.

Our proof of Theorem 3.1 depends on an analysis of pushouts of generating trivial cofibrations. Such pushouts of dg-Lie algebroids (and L_∞-algebroids) have a similar structure as pushouts of maps between free algebras over an operad. However, some extra care is needed because in the case of Lie algebroids, one can add generators that act nontrivially on A. For this reason, we postpone the proof of Theorem 3.1 to Section 4, where we also prove the following result:

Theorem 3.3. *The forgetful functors*

$$U\colon \mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \to \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A \qquad \textit{and} \qquad U\colon \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \to \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A$$

are right Quillen functors with the following two properties:

- *(a) they preserve cofibrant objects, i.e. any cofibrant dg-Lie-algebroid is cofibrant as a dg-A-module.*
- *(b) they preserve sifted homotopy colimits. More precisely, let $\mathcal{J}$ be a nonempty category such that the diagonal $\Delta : \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J}$ is homotopy cofinal and let $\mathfrak{g}\colon \mathcal{J} \to \mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ be a projectively cofibrant diagram. Then the natural map*

  $$\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}}\, U(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow U(\mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{J}}\, \mathfrak{g})$$

  is a weak equivalence of dg-A-modules over T_A.

Theorem 3.3 implies that the free-forgetful adjunction from dg-Lie algebroids to chain complexes (over T_A) induces a monadic adjunction of ∞-categories. Informally, this means that the forgetful functor still behaves like a forgetful functor when considered from a homotopical perspective. As an application of this result, consider the inclusion

$$i\colon \mathrm{Lie}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}};\ \ \mathfrak{g} \longmapsto \big(\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{0} T_A\big).$$

of the category of dg-Lie algebras over A into the category of dg-Lie algebroids.

Proposition 3.4. *Endow the category* $\mathrm{Lie}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ *of dg-Lie algebras over* A *with the model structure transferred from* $\mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$*. Then the above inclusion functor is part of a Quillen adjunction*

$$i\colon \mathrm{Lie}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}\colon \ker$$

whose right adjoint sends a dg-Lie-algebroid to the kernel of its anchor map. The right derived functor $\mathbb{R}\ker$ *detects equivalences and preserves all sifted homotopy colimits.*

Proof. One easily verifies that the functor ker is right Quillen and fits into a commuting diagram of right Quillen functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} & \xrightarrow{\ker} & \mathrm{Lie}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \\ {\scriptstyle U}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle U} \\ \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A & \xrightarrow[\ker]{} & \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \end{array} \tag{3.5}$$

Since the vertical forgetful functors detect equivalences and preserve sifted homotopy colimits (see [23, Proposition 7.8] for the case of Lie algebras), it suffices to check the right derived functor of $\ker\colon \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A \to \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ has these properties as well. But it follows immediately from the fact that $\mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ is a stable model category that taking homotopy pullbacks along $0 \to T_A$ detects equivalences and preserves all homotopy colimits indexed by contractible categories. □

Remark 3.6. The above proposition asserts that the ∞-category of Lie algebroids over A is monadic over the ∞-category of Lie algebras over A. In particular, even though the functor $\mathrm{Lie}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \to \mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ is fully faithful, its derived functor is *not* fully faithful; the derived counit map is given at the level of A-modules by a map $\mathfrak{g} \oplus T_A[-1] \to \mathfrak{g}$.

In [8], Lie algebroids have also been described as algebras for a certain monad on the ∞-category Lie_A of A-linear Lie algebras. However, the monad used in loc. cit. is constructed in a rather indirect way and does not have an explicit algebraic description.

Corollary 3.7. *Any object* $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ *is the homotopy colimit of a simplicial diagram in* $\mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ *whose objects are equivalent to Lie algebras over* A*.*

Proof. Use the bar resolution associated to the Quillen adjunction $\mathrm{Lie}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \leftrightarrows \mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ [5] or associated to the induced monadic adjunction of ∞-categories [19, Proposition 4.7.4.14]. □

Corollary 3.8. *The inclusion* $j\colon \mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \to \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ *is the right adjoint of a Quillen equivalence.*

Proof. The functor j fits into a commuting diagram of right Quillen functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \\ {\scriptstyle \ker}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \ker} \\ \mathrm{Lie}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} & \xrightarrow[w^*]{} & \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Alg}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}. \end{array}$$

Here w^* is the forgetful functor associated to the map of operads $w\colon L_\infty \to \mathrm{Lie}$. This functor is part of a Quillen equivalence

$$w_!\colon \mathrm{Lie}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Alg}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}\colon w^*$$

because w is a weak equivalence between Σ-cofibrant operads. Since w^* and the vertical functors have right derived functors that detect equivalences and preserve all sifted homotopy colimits, it follows that j has these properties as well.

Let L be the left adjoint to the right Quillen functor j. Because j detects weak equivalences, it suffices to show that the (derived) unit map $\eta\colon \mathfrak{g} \to jL(\mathfrak{g})$ is a weak equivalence for each cofibrant L_∞-algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$. By Corollary 3.7 (in the L_∞-case), $\mathfrak{g}$ is weakly equivalent to the homotopy colimit of a simplicial diagram of L_∞-algebroids, each of which is weakly equivalent to an L_∞-algebra. Because j and L preserve sifted homotopy colimits, it suffices to show that η is a weak equivalence when $\mathfrak{g}$ is a cofibrant L_∞-algebra over A. But in that case, the (derived) unit map $\mathfrak{g} \to jL(\mathfrak{g})$ agrees with the (derived) unit map $\mathfrak{g} \to w^*w_!\mathfrak{g}$, which is a weak equivalence. □

Finally, let us mention that the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 also apply in various other situations where the notion of a dg-Lie algebroid makes sense:

Variant 3.9. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be site and let $\mathcal{O}\colon \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{CAlg}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}$ be a presheaf of commutative dg-algebras over $\mathcal{C}$. There is a natural presheaf $T_{\mathcal{O}}$ whose value on $c \in \mathcal{C}$ is the dg-Lie algebra (and dg-$\mathcal{O}(c)$-module) of natural derivations of $\mathcal{O}$ over $\mathcal{C}/c$. A *presheaf of dg-Lie algebroids* over $\mathcal{O}$ is a map $\mathfrak{g} \to T_{\mathcal{O}}$ of presheaves of dg-$\mathcal{O}$-modules and dg-Lie algebras, satisfying the conditions of Definition 2.1.

Consider the category of presheaves of dg-k-modules over $\mathcal{C}$, with monoidal structure given by the pointwise tensor product. This category carries a monoidal model structure, in which a map is a cofibration if it is a monomorphism and a weak equivalence if it induces isomorphisms on homotopy sheaves (see e.g. [13]). The proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that there is a transferred semi-model structure on the category of presheaves of dg-Lie algebroids over $\mathcal{O}$.

Variant 3.10. Let $\mathcal{M}_k$ be the category of *graded-mixed complexes* over k, i.e. $\mathbb{Z}$-graded chain complexes $\{V(p)\}_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}$ equipped with maps

$$d\colon V(p) \longrightarrow V(p+1)[-1]$$

such that $d^2 = 0$. Recall from [22] that there is a model structure on $\mathcal{M}_k$, with weak equivalences (fibrations) given by the degreewise quasi-isomorphisms (surjections). This is a symmetric monoidal model structure for the tensor product

$$(V \otimes W)(p) = \textstyle\bigoplus_q V(q) \otimes_k W(p-q) \qquad\qquad d_{V\otimes W} = d_V \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_W.$$

Interpreting Definition 2.1 in $\mathcal{M}_k$ instead of chain complexes, we obtain a notion of *graded-mixed dg-Lie algebroid* $\mathfrak{g} \to T_A$ over a cdga A. Here A and T_A are considered as graded mixed complexes of weight zero. The proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that the category of such graded-mixed dg-Lie algebroids carries a transferred semi-model structure.

Variant 3.11. The category $\mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ can be endowed with the *contraderived*, or *tame* model structure, of which the projective model structure is a right Bousfield localization. In this model structure, the fibrations are the surjections and the

cofibrations are the monomorphisms whose cokernels are projective as graded A-modules.

The category of dg-Lie algebroids over A can then be endowed with a semi-model structure in which the fibrations are the surjections and the weak equivalences are the maps that induce a tame weak equivalence on the underlying dg-A-modules. Furthermore, the forgetful functor

$$\mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \to \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A$$

preserves cofibrant objects and sifted homotopy colimits. Both assertions are proven in exactly the same way as the above two theorems, using Proposition 4.16 (see also Remark 4.23).

4. Filtrations on cell attachments

This section is devoted to the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3. Just as in the case of algebras over an operad, these proofs rely on an analysis of the pushout of a diagram of dg-Lie algebroids (or L_∞-algebroids) of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(V) & \xrightarrow{F(i)} & F(W) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{h}. \end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

We will show that the map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ can be decomposed into a sequence of maps $\mathfrak{g}^{(p)} \to \mathfrak{g}^{(p+1)}$, whose associated graded is controlled by the *reduced enveloping operad* of the dg-Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$. The difference from the case of algebras over operads is that the maps $\mathfrak{g}^{(p)} \to \mathfrak{g}^{(p+1)}$ need not be injective in general.

Throughout, we will only treat L_∞-algebroids; the case of dg-Lie algebroids proceeds in exactly the same manner, replacing all appearances of the L_∞-operad by the Lie operad.

4.1. **Filtrations.** Let $\mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}}$ be the category of sequences of chain complexes

$$V^{(0)} \longrightarrow V^{(1)} \longrightarrow V^{(2)} \longrightarrow \dots \tag{4.2}$$

endowed with the Reedy model structure. We will refer to an object V of $\mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}}$ as a *weakly filtered* chain complex. An object is Reedy cofibrant if and only if (4.2) consists of monomorphisms, in which case it can be interpreted as a genuine filtration on $\mathrm{colim}\, V$. We will say that an element in $V^{(p)}$ is of *weight* $\leq p$ and an element of $V^{(p)}/V^{(p-1)}$ is of *weight* p. Degrees always indicate homological degrees.

The category of weakly filtered chain complexes has a closed symmetric monoidal structure, given by

$$(V \otimes W)^{(n)} = \mathrm{colim}\, V^{(p)} \otimes W^{(q)}.$$

The colimit is taken over the full subcategory of $(p,q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ for which $p + q \leq n$. The symmetry isomorphism given by the symmetry isomorphisms of chain complexes $V^{(p)} \otimes W^{(q)} \to W^{(q)} \otimes V^{(p)}$, i.e. there are no extra signs depending on p and q.

There are two Quillen pairs

$$\mathrm{colim}\colon \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}\colon i \qquad \mathrm{gr}\colon \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg,gr}}\colon j.$$

Here i sends a chain complex to the constant diagram on V (and will be omitted from the notation) and 'gr' sends a sequence V to the $\mathbb{N}$-graded chain complex $V^{(\bullet)}/V^{(\bullet-1)}$, with right adjoint sending a graded chain complex W to the sequence consisting of zero maps. Each of the above functors is symmetric monoidal.

Remark 4.3. The functor $\mathrm{gr}\colon \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}} \to \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg,gr}}$ detects weak equivalences between cofibrant objects: this is just the fact that weak equivalences of filtered chain complexes are detected on the associated graded.

The notions of L_∞-algebras and L_∞-algebroids over A have obvious weakly filtered and graded analogues (A is always of weight ≤ 0). For example, a weakly filtered L_∞-algebroid over A is an object $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}}$ together with

(1) the structure of an A-module, i.e. natural chain maps $A \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{(i)} \to \mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$.
(2) an L_∞-algebra structure in $\mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}}$, i.e. for each $p \geq 0$ a matching family of n-ary maps $[-,\cdots,-]\colon \mathfrak{g}^{(i_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{(i_n)} \to \mathfrak{g}^{(p)}$, for all $i_1 + \cdots + i_n \leq p$.
(3) a map $\mathfrak{g} \to T_A$ of L_∞-algebras and A-modules in $\mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}}$, where T_A is of weight ≤ 0.

When $\mathfrak{g}$ is Reedy cofibrant (i.e. a filtered chain complex), this is simply the structure of an L_∞-algebroid on $\mathrm{colim}(\mathfrak{g})$ whose entire structure respects the filtration. Let us denote the categories of weakly filtered and graded L_∞-algebroids over A by

$$\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg,gr}}.$$

The description of the free L_∞-algebroid on a chain complex over T_A also applies to the weakly filtered and graded settings: one first takes the free (weakly filtered, graded) L_∞-algebra over T_A and then takes the associated action L_∞-algebroid (Example 2.8). This yields a commuting diagram of left adjoints

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}}/T_A & \xleftarrow{i} & \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{colim}} & \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}}/T_A & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{gr}} & \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg,gr}}/T_A \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Free}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Free}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Free}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Free}} \\
\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}} & \xleftarrow[i]{} & \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} & \xleftarrow[\mathrm{colim}]{} & \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}} & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{gr}]{} & \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}^{\mathrm{dg,gr}}.
\end{array}$$

The vertical functors are the free functors, sending a (weakly filtered, graded) chain complex V over T_A to the action L_∞-algebroid $A \otimes L_\infty(V)$ associated to the free L_∞-algebra on V. All horizontal functors can be computed at the level of chain complexes. For example, the colimit of a weakly filtered L_∞-algebroid is simply the colimit of the underlying sequence of chain complexes, together with a certain L_∞-algebroid structure on it.

4.2. **Coproducts with L_∞-algebras.** In this section we will study the simplest type of pushout diagram (4.1): the case of a coproduct of a (weakly filtered) L_∞-algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ over A with the free L_∞-algebroid generated by a (weakly filtered) dg-A-module V, equipped with the *zero map* to T_A.

Such coproducts are much easier to describe than coproducts for nonzero maps $V \to T_A$. Indeed, the coproduct $\mathfrak{g} \amalg F\big(V \xrightarrow{0} T_A\big)$ fits into a retract diagram

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \amalg F(0) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \amalg F\big(V \xrightarrow{0} T_A\big) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}.$$

This construction is the left adjoint in an adjunction

$$\mathfrak{g} \amalg F\big((-) \xrightarrow{0} T_A\big)\colon \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}} \rightleftarrows \mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}}/\mathfrak{g}\colon \ker \tag{4.4}$$

where the right adjoint sends a retract diagram $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{g}$ to the kernel of $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{g}$.

The category of retract diagrams of (weakly filtered) L_∞-algebroids

$$\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

can be identified with the category of algebras over an operad in (weakly filtered) chain complexes over k. Indeed, such a retract diagram can equivalently be encoded by the following kind of algebraic structure on $\mathfrak{m}$:

- $\mathfrak{m}$ has the structure of a (weakly filtered) A-module.
- $\mathfrak{m}$ comes equipped with an A-linear L_∞-structure, since the anchor map vanishes on $\mathfrak{m}$.
- for each set of elements $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathfrak{g}$, the $(n+k)$-ary bracket on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ determines a k-ary operation $[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, (-)]\colon \mathfrak{m}^{\otimes k} \to \mathfrak{m}$ of degree $k-2$, for each $k \geq 1$.

These operations have to satisfy equations stating that certain sums of their composites are zero. This type of algebraic structure can precisely be encoded by means of an operad, which has no nullary operations (as one sees from the above description).

Definition 4.5. The *reduced enveloping operad* $\overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of a weakly filtered L_∞-algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ is the (reduced) weakly filtered dg-operad over k whose algebras $\mathfrak{m}$ are retract diagrams of L_∞-algebroids $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \to \mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 4.6. The above definition is somewhat imprecise. More accurately, one can construct the operad $\overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in terms of generators of the form

- μ_a for $a \in A$ (left multiplication by a)
- $[-, \dots, -]$ (the L_∞-structure on $\mathfrak{m}$)
- $[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, -, \dots, -]$ for elements $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ in $\mathfrak{g}$.

These generators have to satisfy an obvious list of equations. For example, there are equations expressing the anti-symmetry and Jacobi identities for the various brackets. Furthermore, the brackets $[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, -, \dots, -]$ depend A-multilinearly on the elements ξ_i and are almost all A-multilinear operations themselves, viz.

$$\begin{aligned} [a \cdot \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, -, \dots, -] &= \mu_a \circ [\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, -, \dots, -] \\ [\xi, -] \circ \mu_a &= \mu_a \circ [\xi, -] + \mu_{\xi(a)} \\ [\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, -, \dots, -] \circ_i \mu_a &= \mu_a \circ [\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, -, \dots, -]. \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.7. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is an A-linear L_∞-algebra. Then the reduced enveloping operad of $\mathfrak{g}$ is simply the arity ≥ 1 part of the usual enveloping operad of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 4.8. A map of L_∞-algebroids $f\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ induces a map of reduced enveloping operads $f\colon \overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \to \overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{h}}$, which sends a generator $[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, -, \dots, -]$ to $[f(\xi_1), \dots, f(\xi_n), -, \dots, -]$. The corresponding restriction functor between categories of algebras can be identified with the functor

$$f^*\colon \mathfrak{h}/\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/\mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/\mathfrak{g}$$

sending $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \to \mathfrak{h}$ to the pullback $\mathfrak{g} \to (\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}) \times_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$.

The operad structure on $\overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is not A-linear, but there is a canonical map of operads $\mu\colon A \to \overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Here we consider A as an operad with only unary operations. The adjunction (4.4) can be identified with the adjunction that restricts and induces operadic algebras along μ. In particular, for every (weakly filtered) *dg-A-module* V, we can identify

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g} \coprod F\big(V \xrightarrow{0} T_A\big) &\cong \mathfrak{g} \oplus \Big(\overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ_A V\Big) \\ &= \mathfrak{g} \oplus \bigoplus_{p\geq 1} \overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p) \otimes_{\Sigma_p \ltimes A^{\otimes p}} V^{\otimes p}\end{aligned}$$

Here $\circ_A$ denotes the relative composition product over A. In exactly the same way, the coproduct of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the free L_∞-algebroid on a map $0\colon V \to T_A$ of *chain complexes* can be identified with the composition product

$$\mathfrak{g} \coprod \mathrm{Free}\big(V \xrightarrow{0} T_A\big) \cong \mathfrak{g} \oplus \Big(\overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ V\Big)$$

To simplify the above formulas, let us make the following definition:

Definition 4.9. For any (weakly filtered) L_∞-algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$, let $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the symmetric sequence of (weakly filtered) chain complexes given by $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}(0) = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p) = \overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p)$ for $p \geq 1$. This determines a functor

$$\mathrm{Env}\colon \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{BiMod}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\Sigma,\mathbb{N}}$$

to the category of A-bimodules of (weakly filtered) symmetric sequences. In other words, each $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p)$ a commuting left A-module and right symmetric $A^{\otimes p}$-structure.

Remark 4.10. The symmetric sequence $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ has no natural operad structure.

Remark 4.11. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a weakly filtered L_∞-algebroid of weight ≤ 0, i.e. an ordinary L_∞-algebroid. Then $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is of weight ≤ 0 as well. Similarly, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a graded L_∞-algebroid, then $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a symmetric sequence of graded complexes. In other words, there is a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}} & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\mathrm{gr}} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Env}_{(-)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Env}_{(-)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Env}_{(-)}} \\
\mathrm{BiMod}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\Sigma} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{BiMod}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\Sigma,\mathbb{N}} & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{BiMod}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\Sigma,\mathrm{gr}}
\end{array}$$

where the horizontal functors are the obvious inclusions.

Lemma 4.12. *The functor* $\mathrm{Env}\colon \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}} \to \mathrm{BiMod}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\Sigma,\mathbb{N}}$ *has the following properties:*

1. *It preserves all filtered colimits and reflexive coequalizers.*
2. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} \to T_A$ is a map of weakly filtered k-linear L_∞-algebras and let $A \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to T_A$ be the associated action L_∞-algebroid. Then there is a natural isomorphism of symmetric A-bimodules*

$$\mathrm{Env}_{A\otimes\mathfrak{g}} \cong A \otimes (L_\infty)_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

where $(L_\infty)_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the enveloping operad of the L_∞-algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The bimodule structure is induced by the canonical bimodule structure on A.

(3) *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a weakly filtered L_∞-algebroid and V is a weakly filtered dg-A-module, then there is an isomorphism of symmetric A-bimodules*

$$\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g} \amalg F\left(V \xrightarrow{0} T_A\right)}(p) \cong \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}((-)+p) \circ_A V.$$

(4) *The functor $\mathrm{Env}_{(-)}$ commutes with taking the colimit and associated graded of a weakly filtered L_∞-algebroid. In other words, there is a commuting diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{colim}} & \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\mathbb{N}} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{gr}} & \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\mathrm{gr}} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Env}_{(-)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Env}_{(-)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Env}_{(-)}} \\ \mathrm{BiMod}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\Sigma} & \xleftarrow[\mathrm{colim}]{} & \mathrm{BiMod}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\Sigma,\mathbb{N}} & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{gr}]{} & \mathrm{BiMod}_A^{\mathrm{dg},\Sigma,\mathrm{gr}}. \end{array}$$

Proof. Since filtered colimits and reflexive coequalizers of L_∞-algebroids are computed at the level of the underlying complexes, part (1) follows either from the explicit description of $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in terms of generators and relations (Remark 4.6) or from the fact that for any such diagram $\mathfrak{g}_\bullet$, there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Env}_{\mathrm{colim}(\mathfrak{g}_\bullet)} \circ_A V &\cong \big(\mathrm{colim}\, \mathfrak{g}_\bullet\big) \amalg F\big(V \xrightarrow{0} T_A\big) \\ &\cong \mathrm{colim}\Big(\mathfrak{g}_\bullet \amalg F\big(V \xrightarrow{0} T_A\big)\Big) \cong \mathrm{colim}\,\big(\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}_\bullet}\big) \circ_A V. \end{aligned}$$

For (2), consider an action L_∞-algebroid $A \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and let V be a chain complex. The free L_∞-algebroid on $0\colon V \to T_A$ is the A-linear extension of the free L_∞-algebra $L_\infty(V)$ generated by V. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Env}_{A\otimes\mathfrak{g}} \circ V &\cong \big(A \otimes \mathfrak{g}\big) \amalg \mathrm{Free}\big(V \xrightarrow{0} T_A\big) \\ &\cong A \otimes \Big(\mathfrak{g} \cup L_\infty(V)\Big) \cong \big(A \otimes (L_\infty)_{\mathfrak{g}}\big) \circ V \end{aligned}$$

is the A-linear extension of the coproduct of L_∞-algebras $\mathfrak{g} \cup L_\infty(V)$. This induces an isomorphism of symmetric sequences $\mathrm{Env}_{A\otimes\mathfrak{g}} \cong A \otimes (L_\infty)_{\mathfrak{g}}$, which identifies the A-bimodule structure on $\mathrm{Env}_{A\otimes\mathfrak{g}}$ with the bimodule structure on $A \otimes (L_\infty)_{\mathfrak{g}}$ arising from A.

For (3), observe that for any dg-A-module W, there are natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}\amalg F(V\xrightarrow{0}T_A)} \circ_A W &\cong \mathfrak{g} \amalg F(V \xrightarrow{0} T_A) \amalg F(W \xrightarrow{0} T_A) \\ &\cong \mathfrak{g} \amalg F(V \oplus W \xrightarrow{0} T_A) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ_A (V \oplus W) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{p\geq 0} \left(\bigoplus_{q \geq 0} \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}(q+p) \otimes_{\Sigma_q \ltimes A^{\otimes q}} V^{\otimes q} \right) \otimes_{\Sigma_p \ltimes A^{\otimes p}} W^{\otimes p}. \end{aligned}$$

This induces the desired isomorphism of symmetric sequences.

For (4), recall that Env commutes with the inclusions of objects of weight ≤ 0 (resp. graded objects) into weakly filtered objects (Remark 4.11). This implies that there is a natural transformation

$$\nu\colon\ \mathrm{colim} \circ \mathrm{Env} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Env} \circ \mathrm{colim}$$

and similarly for the functor taking the associated graded. Any weakly filtered L_∞-algebroid can be obtained as a reflexive coequalizer of free L_∞-algebroids generated

by weakly filtered chain complexes over T_A. Since the functors colim and Env preserve reflexive coequalizers, it suffices to check that ν induces an isomorphism for such a free L_∞-algebroid.

For a free L_∞-algebroid $\mathrm{Free}(\rho\colon V \to T_A)$, we can use part (2) and the description of the enveloping operad of a free L_∞-algebra to see that the map

$$\nu\colon \operatorname{colim}\Big(\mathrm{Env}_{\mathrm{Free}(V)}(p)\Big) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Env}_{\mathrm{colim}(\mathrm{Free}(V))}(p)$$

is the A-linear extension of the map

$$\operatorname{colim}\Big(\textstyle\bigoplus_{q\geq 0} L_\infty(p+-)\otimes_{\Sigma_q} V^{\otimes q}\Big) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{q\geq 0} L_\infty(p+q)\otimes_{\Sigma_q}\big(\operatorname{colim} V\big)^{\otimes q}.$$

This map is an isomorphism for any $\mathbb{N}$-diagram V. The same argument applies to the functor taking the associated graded. □

4.3. **Pushouts along free maps.** Let us now consider more general pushout diagrams of L_∞-algebroids of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(W \to T_A) & \xrightarrow{F(i)} & F(V \to T_A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} \coprod_{F(W)} F(V). \end{array} \tag{4.13}$$

Here $i\colon V \to W$ is any monomorphism of dg-A-modules over T_A; the maps $V \to T_A$ and $W \to T_A$ need not be zero.

We can realize Diagram (4.13) as the colimit of a pushout diagram of *weakly filtered* L_∞-algebroids. More precisely, let us endow the objects appearing in the above square with the following filtrations:

- $\mathfrak{g}$ and W have weight ≤ 0, i.e. we simply take the constant $\mathbb{N}$-diagrams on $\mathfrak{g}$ and W.
- let $\widetilde{V}$ be the filtered dg-A-module

$$W \xrightarrow{f} V \xrightarrow{=} V \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

  together with the obvious map to T_A (which has weight ≤ 0).

Diagram (4.13) is the colimit over $\mathbb{N}$ of the pushout square of weakly filtered L_∞-algebroids

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(W) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(\widetilde{V}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} \coprod_{F(W)} F(\widetilde{V}) =: \mathfrak{h}. \end{array} \tag{4.14}$$

Indeed, the colimit of $\widetilde{V}$ is simply V and taking colimits over $\mathbb{N}$ commutes with all colimits and free functors. On the other hand, the associated graded of (4.14) is given by

$$\mathrm{gr}\Big(\mathfrak{g} \coprod_{F(W)} F(\widetilde{V})\Big) \cong \mathfrak{g} \coprod_{F(W)} F\big(\mathrm{gr}(\widetilde{V})\big) \cong \mathfrak{g} \amalg F\big(V/W\big). \tag{4.15}$$

The last isomorphism uses that the associated graded of $\widetilde{V}$ is $W \oplus V/W$, with W of weight 0 and V/W of weight 1. In particular, the map $V/W \to T_A$ is the *zero map*, since T_A has weight 0.

Proposition 4.16. *Let $i\colon W \to V$ be a monomorphism of dg-A-modules over T_A whose cokernel is graded-free. Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is an L_∞-algebroid over A for which the following holds:*

(⋆) without differentials, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a retract of the free L_∞-algebroid generated by a map of graded vector spaces $M \to T_A$.

Then the weakly filtered L_∞-algebroid $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{g} \coprod_{F(W)} F(\widetilde{V})$ from (4.14) has the following two properties:

(1) the symmetric sequence of weakly filtered $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ *is* filtered*: in other words, for each p, there is a sequence of* injections

$$\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{h}}(p)^{(0)} \to \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{h}}(p)^{(1)} \to \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{h}}(p)^{(2)} \to \cdots .$$

(2) the filtration on $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ *has associated graded*

$$\mathrm{gr}\big(\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{h}}(p)\big) \cong \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}((-)+p) \circ_A (W/V)$$

where W/V has weight 1*.*

Proof. Let us start by verifying part (2): recall from Lemma 4.12 that Env commutes with taking the associated graded. We then find that

$$\mathrm{gr}(\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{h}}(p)) \cong \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g} \coprod F(V/W)}(p) \cong \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}((-)+p) \circ_A (W/V)$$

using the isomorphism (4.15) and Lemma 4.12.

To verify assertion (1), we can forget about all differentials. Without differentials, we can split $\widetilde{V}$ as a direct sum

$$\widetilde{V} \cong W \oplus \big(A \otimes N\big) \longrightarrow T_A.$$

Here N is a graded vector space generating the graded-free A-module V/W (of weight 1). The map $F(W) \to F(\widetilde{V})$ can then be identified with the map

$$F(W) \longrightarrow F(W) \amalg \mathrm{Free}(N)$$

into the coproduct with the free L_∞-algebroid on a map of filtered k-modules $N \to T_A$. By our assumption on $\mathfrak{g}$, we can realize $\mathfrak{h}$ as a retract of

$$\mathrm{Free}(M) \coprod_{F(W)} F(\widetilde{V}) \cong \mathrm{Free}(M \oplus N \to T_A).$$

This is the free (weakly filtered) L_∞-algebroid on the filtered k-module $M \oplus N$. It folows from Lemma 4.12 that each $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{h}}(p)$ is (without differentials) the retract of

$$\mathrm{Env}_{\mathrm{Free}(M \oplus W/V)}(p) \cong \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} A \otimes L_\infty(p+q) \otimes_{\Sigma_q} (M \oplus N)^{\otimes q}.$$

Since $M \oplus N$ is filtered, the above symmetric sequence is filtered and the retract $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is filtered as well. □

4.4. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** We have to prove that the free-forgetful adjunction

$$F\colon \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A \rightleftarrows \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}\colon U.$$

satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.14, guaranteeing the existence of a transferred semi-model structure. Since the forgetful functor U preserves filtered colimits, it suffices to show that for any cofibrant L_∞-algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ and any generating cofibration $0 \to A[n, n+1]$ in $\mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A$, the map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \amalg F(A[n, n+1])$ is a trivial cofibration of chain complexes:

Lemma 4.17. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a cofibrant L_∞-algebroid, then the map*

$$\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \amalg F\big(A[n, n+1] \to T_A\big)$$

is a trivial cofibration of chain complexes. In fact, the map of symmetric sequences $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g} \coprod F(A[n,n+1])}$ is a levelwise trivial cofibration as well.

Proof. The generating cofibrations of $\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ are given by the maps

$$F\big(A[n] \to T_A\big) \longrightarrow F\big(A[n, n+1] \to T_A\big)$$

Forgetting differentials, the pushout of an L_∞-algebroid along such a map simply adds a single generator in degree $n+1$. It follows that any cofibrant L_∞-algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies condition $(\star)$ from Proposition 4.16, so that $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g} \coprod F(A[n,n+1])}(p)$ admits a filtration with associated graded

$$\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}((-) + p) \circ_A A[n, n+1].$$

Since $A[n, n+1]$ is acyclic, it follows that the map $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p) \to \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g} \coprod F(A[n,n+1])}(p)$ is a trivial cofibration of chain complexes. □

4.5. **Proof of Theorem 3.3.** We have to prove that the forgetful functor

$$U\colon \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/T_A$$

preserves cofibrant objects and sifted homotopy colimits. Our proof will follow the lines of e.g. [19, Lemma 4.5.4.12]:

Definition 4.18. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a (semi-) model category and let $X\colon \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{M}$ be a diagram. We will say that X is *good* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- each object $X(j)$ is cofibrant.
- the colimit $\operatorname{colim} X$ is cofibrant.
- the map $\operatorname{hocolim} X \to \operatorname{colim} X$ is a weak equivalence.

More generally, we say that a map of $\mathcal{J}$-diagrams $X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{M}$ is good if

(i) X and Y are both good.
(ii) each $X(j) \to Y(j)$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{M}$.
(iii) $\operatorname{colim} X \to \operatorname{colim} Y$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $\mathrm{Mod}_{A, A^{\otimes p}}^{\mathrm{dg}}$ denote the category of dg-A-$A^{\otimes p}$-bimodules. We endow this category with the model structure in which a map is a weak equivalence (fibration) if and only if the underlying map of left dg-A-modules is a weak equivalence (fibration). With these definitions, Theorem 3.3 follows from the following assertion by taking $p = 0$:

Theorem 4.19. *Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a homotopy sifted category. If $\mathfrak{g}\colon \mathcal{J} \to \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ is a projectively cofibrant diagram, then each diagram $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p)\colon \mathcal{J} \to \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{dg}}_{A,A^{\otimes p}}$ is good.*

We will prove Theorem 4.19 by 'induction on cells', using the following simple stability properties of good maps:

Lemma 4.20. *Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a homotopy sifted category. We have the following properties of good maps between $\mathcal{J}$-indexed diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$:*

1. *every projectively cofibrant diagram $X\colon \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{M}$ is good and every projective cofibration between projectively cofibrant diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$ is good.*
2. *good morphisms are closed under transfinite composition and retracts.*
3. *given a pushout diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow[f']{} & Y' \end{array}$$

   in which f is good and X' is good, we have that f' is good.
4. *If $F\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ is a left Quillen functor and $X \to Y$ is a good map of diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$, then $F(X) \to F(Y)$ is good.*
5. *If $F\colon \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2 \to \mathcal{N}$ is a left Quillen bifunctor, $X_1 \to Y_1$ is a good map of $\mathcal{J}_1$-diagrams in $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $X_2 \to Y_2$ is a good map of $\mathcal{J}_2$-diagrams in $\mathcal{M}_2$, then $F(X_1, X_2) \to F(Y_1, Y_2)$ is a good map of $\mathcal{J}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_2$-diagrams.*
6. *If $X\colon \mathcal{J}^{\times n} \to \mathcal{M}$ is good, then the restriction along the diagonal $\Delta^* X\colon \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{M}$ is good.*

When $\mathcal{M} = \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{dg}}_{A,A^{\otimes p}}$ is the model category of dg-A-$A^{\otimes p}$-bimodules, we furthermore have:

7. *if X is a good diagram with a Σ_n-action, then X/Σ_n is good.*
8. *let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a natural monomorphism with a good domain and a good cokernel, such that the map $\mathrm{colim}\, X \to \mathrm{colim}\, Y$ is a monomorphism. Then f is good.*

Proof. The first four properties are easily verified. For (5), it is clear that the map $F(X_1, X_2) \to F(X_1, Y_2) \to F(Y_1, Y_2)$ is a pointwise cofibration. The map on colimits

$$\mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{J}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_2} F(X_1, X_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{J}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_2} F(Y_1 \times Y_2)$$

is a cofibration between cofibrant objects. Indeed, it is the image under F of the maps

$$\mathrm{colim}\, X_1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{colim}\, Y_1 \qquad\qquad \mathrm{colim}\, X_2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{colim}\, Y_2$$

which are both cofibrations between cofibrant objects. To see that $F(X_1, X_2)$ is good, note that there are weak equivalences between cofibrant objects

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname*{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_2} F(X_1, X_2) &\simeq \operatorname*{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_1} F\big(X_1, \operatorname*{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_2}(X_2)\big) \\ &\simeq F\big(\operatorname*{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_1}(X_1), \operatorname*{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_2}(X_2)\big) \\ &\simeq F\big(\operatorname*{colim}_{\mathcal{J}_1}(X_1), \operatorname*{colim}_{\mathcal{J}_2}(X_2)\big) \cong \operatorname*{colim}_{\mathcal{J}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_2} F(X_1, X_2). \end{aligned}$$

For (6), clearly $\Delta^* X$ is pointwise cofibrant. Since Δ is homotopy cofinal, the (homotopy) colimit of $\Delta^* X$ agrees with the (homotopy) colimit of X, which proves conditions (ii) and (iii). Assertion (7) follows from the fact that we are working in characteristic zero, so that the colimit functor $\operatorname{colim}\colon \mathcal{M}^{\Sigma_n} \to \mathcal{M}$ is left Quillen for the *injective* model structure.

Finally, for (8) we use that a map of dg-A-$A^{\otimes p}$-bimodules is a cofibration if and only if it is a monomorphism with cofibrant cokernel. This implies that the maps $X(i) \to Y(i)$ and $\operatorname{colim} X \to \operatorname{colim} Y$ are cofibrations, so that all $Y(i)$ and $\operatorname{colim} Y$ are cofibrant. Furthermore, we obtain a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \operatorname{hocolim} X & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{hocolim} Y & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{hocolim} Y/X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} \\ \operatorname{colim} X & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{colim} Y & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{colim} Y/X \end{array}$$

Both horizontal sequences are cofiber sequences of dg-A-modules, so the map $\operatorname{hocolim} Y \to \operatorname{colim} Y$ is a weak equivalence. □

Lemma 4.21. *Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a homotopy sifted category and consider a pushout square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(W) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Free}(i)} & F(V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{h} \end{array}$$

where i is a projective cofibration of $\mathcal{J}$-diagrams of dg-A-modules and $\mathfrak{g}$ is a projectively cofibrant diagram of L_∞-algebroids. If each $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p)$ is good, then each $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p) \to \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{h}}(p)$ is good.

Proof. Note that each L_∞-algebroid $\mathfrak{g}(j)$ is cofibrant, so that it satisfies condition $(\star)$ of Proposition 4.16. It follows that there is a natural filtration

$$\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p) = \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{h}}(p)^{(0)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{h}}(p)^{(1)} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{h}}(p)$$

on the $\mathcal{J}$-diagram $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{h}}(p)$. There is a similar filtration on the colimit, because $\operatorname{colim}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a cofibrant L_∞-algebroid as well. By parts (2) and (8) of Lemma 4.20, it then suffices to verify that the associated graded

$$\bigoplus_{q \geq 0} \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p+q) \otimes_{A^{\otimes q}} (V/W)^{\otimes q} \Big/ \Sigma_q \tag{4.22}$$

consists of good $\mathcal{J}$-diagrams of dg-A-$A^{\otimes p}$-bimodules.

Let us abbreviate the $\mathcal{J}$-diagram of A-$A^{\otimes p+q}$-bimodules $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p+q)$ to just E. To show that the above sum consists of good $\mathcal{J}$-diagrams, consider the functor

$$T\colon \mathcal{J}^{\times 1+q} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{dg}}_{A, A^{\otimes p}};\ (i, j_1, \ldots, j_q) \longmapsto E_i \otimes_{A^{\otimes q}} (V/W_{j_1} \otimes \ldots V/W_{j_q}).$$

We claim that T is a good diagram of dg-A-$A^{\otimes p}$-bimodules. To see this, note that the functor

$$\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{dg}}_{A, A^{\otimes r}} \times \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{dg}}_{A} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{dg}}_{A, A^{\otimes r-1}};\ (E, V) \longmapsto E \otimes_A V$$

is a left Quillen bifunctor. Since E is a good diagram of A-$A^{\otimes p+q}$-bimodules and V/W is a good (projectively cofibrant) diagram of dg-A-modules, a repeated application of part (5) of Lemma 4.20 shows that the functor T is good.

Restricting T along the diagonal and taking the quotient by the action of the symmetric group, we obtain a good $\mathcal{J}$-diagram of dg-A-modules by parts (6) and (7) of Lemma 4.20. This means that the associated graded (4.22) is a sum of good diagrams, which proves the result. □

Proof (of Theorem 4.19). Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the subcategory of $\mathfrak{g}\colon \mathcal{J} \to \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ for which each diagram of dg-A-modules $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p)$ is good. By part (2) of Lemma 4.20, $\mathcal{K}$ is closed under retracts and contains the colimit of a transfinite sequence $\mathfrak{g}_\bullet$ for which each $\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}_\alpha}(p) \to \mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}_\beta}(p)$ is good. It therefore suffices to show that $\mathcal{K}$ is closed under pushouts along generating cofibrations, which is Lemma 4.21. □

Remark 4.23. The above proofs apply verbatim in situations where the base monoidal model category $\mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}$ of chain complexes (over a field k of characteristic zero) is replaced by the monoidal model category of presheaves or graded-mixed complexes over k (Variants 3.9 and 3.10). In both cases, one can directly transfer the model structure along the forgetful functor to (graded mixed, presheaves of) chain complexes over T_A.

One can also obtain a semi-model structure on L_∞-algebroids by transfer from the *tame* model structure on $\mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$, which itself is not transferred from $\mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}$ (see Variant 3.11). Indeed, the tame model structure on $\mathrm{Mod}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ is a monoidal model structure and one can easily see that the cofibrations are generated by inclusions $V \to V[0,1]$ of graded-free dg-A-modules into their cone [21, Lemma 3.4]. It follows that all cofibrant L_∞-algebroids still satisfy condition $(\star)$ from Proposition 4.16.

The above proofs apply now apply verbatim to this case as well. Let us remark that in Theorem 4.19, one uses the model structure on dg-bimodules transferred from the tame model structure on left dg-A-modules, which is different from the tame model structure on A-$A^{\otimes p}$-bimodules.

5. Cofibrant replacement

There is no straightforward way to replace a dg-Lie algebroid or L_∞-algebroid by a fibrant dg-Lie algebroid; this is the main reason for the non-existence of an actual model structure on dg-Lie algebroids. The purpose of this section is to provide a reasonably concrete *cofibrant* replacement for dg-Lie algebroids and L_∞-algebroids, which is analogous to the cobar resolution for algebras over reduced operads.

Let us start by briefly recalling the relation between L_∞-algebras and cocommutative dg-coalgebras. All cocommutative coalgebras are assumed to be without counit and conilpotent (every element is annihilated by some n-fold composite of the comultiplication). For any cocommutative coalgebra C and an L_∞-algebra $\mathfrak{h}$, the chain complex $\mathrm{Hom}(C,\mathfrak{h})$ has the structure of an L_∞-algebra, with differential given by $\partial\tau = \partial_{\mathfrak{h}}\circ\tau - \tau\circ\partial_C$ and n-ary bracket given by composing the n-ary bracket in $\mathfrak{h}$ with the n-fold comultiplication in C. A *twisting cochain* is a Maurer-Cartan element of this L_∞-algebra, i.e. a map $C \to \mathfrak{h}[1]$ which satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$\partial\tau + \sum_{j\geq 2} \frac{1}{j!}[\tau,\dots,\tau]_j = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

The infinite sum is well-defined because C is conilpotent. There are natural bijections

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Alg}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}}(\Omega C, \mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathrm{Twist}(C, \mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CoAlg}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}}\big(C, \overline{C}_*(\mathfrak{g})\big) \tag{5.2}$$

between the set of twisting cochains $C \to \mathfrak{g}[1]$, the set of maps of L_∞-algebras $\Omega C \to \mathfrak{g}$ from the *cobar* construction of C and the set of maps of cocommutative coalgebras $C \to \overline{C}_*(\mathfrak{g})$ to the *reduced (homological) Chevalley-Eilenberg complex* of $\mathfrak{g}$. The latter is the cofree (conilpotent, non-counital) graded-cocommutative coalgebra

$$\overline{C}_*(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathrm{Sym}_k^{\geq 1}\mathfrak{g}[1]$$

endowed with the unique differential extending the map

$$\textstyle\sum_{n\geq 1}[-,\ldots,-]_n \colon \mathrm{Sym}_k^{\geq 1}\mathfrak{g}[1] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}[1].$$

The natural isomorphisms (5.2) realize the cobar functor Ω as a left adjoint to $\overline{C}_*$.

A *nonlinear map* of L_∞-algebras $\mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is simply a twisting cochain $\overline{C}_*(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{h}[1]$, or equivalently, a map of cocommutative dg-coalgebras $\overline{C}_*(\mathfrak{g}) \to \overline{C}_*(\mathfrak{h})$.

Definition 5.3. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ be L_∞-algebroids over A. A *nonlinear map* $\mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{h}$ of L_∞-algebroids is a nonlinear map of L_∞-algebras $\mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{h}$, such that

(i) the composite map $\rho_{\mathfrak{h}}(\tau)\colon \overline{C}_*(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{h}[1] \to T_A[1]$ takes the quotient by $\mathrm{Sym}_k^{\geq 2}\mathfrak{g}[1] \subseteq \overline{C}_*(\mathfrak{g})$ and applies the anchor of $\mathfrak{g}$ to the remaining $\mathfrak{g}[1]$.
(ii) the map of graded vector spaces $\tau\colon \mathrm{Sym}_k^{\geq 1}(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \to \mathfrak{h}[1]$ descends to a graded A-linear map $\mathrm{Sym}_A^{\geq 1}(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \to \mathfrak{h}[1]$.

Let $\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{nonlin}}$ be the category of L_∞-algebroids and nonlinear maps between them.

Remark 5.4. The category of L_∞-algebras and nonlinear maps between them is a full subcategory of the category of cocommutative dg-coalgebras (on the fibrant objects in the model structure from [10]). We do not know if $\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}^{\mathrm{nonlin}}$ can be embedded into such a category of coalgebraic objects.

Remark 5.5. Nonlinear maps of L_∞-algebroids are frequently used in the literature, where (in the finite rank case) they are often identified with maps of so-called NQ-supermanifolds (originating in [1]). For example, they naturally arise when studying homotopy transfer of L_∞-algebroid structures (see [25]).

Remark 5.6. More generally, let $\overline{C}_{\leq n}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \overline{C}_*(\mathfrak{g})$ be the sub-dg-coalgebra on the polynomials in $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ of order $\leq n$. Let us say that an *n-th order map* $\mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{h}$ of L_∞-algebroids is a twisting cochain $\overline{C}_{\leq n}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{h}[1]$ satisfying the obvious analogues of (i) and (ii).

For each L_∞-algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ and each n, the functor

$$\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Set};\ \ \mathfrak{h} \longmapsto \big\{n-\text{th order maps } \mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{h}\big\}$$

can be corepresented by an L_∞-algebroid $Q^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g})$, depending functorially on $\mathfrak{g}$. The L_∞-algebroid $Q^{(1)}(\mathfrak{g})$ is simply the free L_∞-algebroid generated by the map of dg-A-modules $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}\colon \mathfrak{g} \to T_A$.

An $(n+1)$-st order map $\mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{h}$ restricts to an n-th order linear map, so that there is a sequence of L_∞-algebroids (depending functorially on $\mathfrak{g}$)

$$Q^{(1)}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathrm{Free}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow Q^{(2)}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow Q(\mathfrak{g}) \tag{5.7}$$

whose colimit $Q(\mathfrak{g})$ corepresents nonlinear maps $\mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{h}$. Our first aim will be to prove that $Q(\mathfrak{g})$ is often a cofibrant L_∞-algebroid.

Definition 5.8. An L_∞-algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ is *A-cofibrant* if its underlying dg-A-module is cofibrant.

Lemma 5.9. *The maps in Diagram 5.7 fit into a pushout square (depending functorially on $\mathfrak{g}$)*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F\Big(\big(\mathrm{Sym}_A^{n+1}\mathfrak{g}[1]\big)[-2]\Big) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & Q^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F\Big(\big(\mathrm{Sym}_A^{n+1}\mathfrak{g}[1]\big)[-2,-1]\Big) & \longrightarrow & Q^{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g}). \end{array} \tag{5.10}$$

Here the left two L_∞-algebroids are freely generated by the twofold desuspension of the dg-A-module $\mathrm{Sym}_A^{n+1}\mathfrak{g}[1]$ and its cone, both equipped with the zero anchor map. Consequently, $Q(\mathfrak{g})$ is cofibrant if $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ is A-cofibrant.

Proof. The left map in Diagram (5.10) is a cofibration when $\mathfrak{g}$ is cofibrant as a dg-A-module. It follows that the sequence (5.7) consists of cofibrations, so that $Q(\mathfrak{g})$ is cofibrant.

To produce the pushout (5.10), observe that without the differential, the map $Q^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g}) \to Q^{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by the obvious map of free graded L_∞-algebroids

$$F\Big(\big(\mathrm{Sym}_A^{1\leq \bullet \leq n}\mathfrak{g}[1]\big)[-1]\Big) \longrightarrow F\Big(\big(\mathrm{Sym}_A^{1\leq \bullet \leq n+1}\mathfrak{g}[1]\big)[-1]\Big).$$

To obtain a pushout of the form (5.10), it suffices to check that for every new generator $\tau \in \mathrm{Sym}_A^{n+1}\mathfrak{g}[1]$, its differential $\partial\tau$ is contained in $Q^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g})$. To see this, let

$$\Omega^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g}) := \Omega\big(\overline{C}_{\leq n}(\mathfrak{g})\big)$$

denote the n-th stage of the operadic bar-cobar resolution of $\mathfrak{g}$, thought of as an L_∞-algebra over k. By its universal property, $Q^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a quotient of the free L_∞-algebroid $A \otimes \Omega^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by this L_∞-algebra (which has a natural map to T_A). We therefore obtain a commuting diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes \Omega^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g}) & \twoheadrightarrow & Q^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A \otimes \Omega^{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g}) & \twoheadrightarrow & Q^{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g}) \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal maps are surjective. Any new generator τ of $Q^{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the image of a new generator $\tilde{\tau} \in \mathrm{Sym}_k^{n+1}\mathfrak{g}[1]$ of $\Omega^{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g})$. It is well-known that $\partial\tilde{\tau}$ is contained in $\Omega^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g})$ (see e.g. [29, Proposition 2.8 and Section 4.3] for a detailed discussion). Consequently, its image $\partial\tau$ is contained in $Q^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g})$. □

The functor Q is left adjoint to the inclusion $\iota\colon \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \to \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{nonlin}}$ by construction. The induced (linear) counit map of L_∞-algebroids $Q(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{g}$ is often an equivalence:

Lemma 5.11. *The functor $Q\colon \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{nonlin}} \to \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ enjoys the following properties:*

(a) let $\mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a nonlinear map between A-cofibrant L_∞-algebroids. If the linear part $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ is a weak equivalence, then $Q(\mathfrak{g}) \to Q(\mathfrak{h})$ is a weak equivalence.

(b) the composite functor from the category of A-cofibrant L_∞-algebroids

$$Q \circ \iota\colon \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg,A-cof}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg,cof}}$$

is a relative functor that preserves $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$-indexed homotopy colimits.

(c) the counit map $Q(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{g}$ is a weak equivalence whenever $\mathfrak{g}$ is A-cofibrant.

Proof. Assertion (a) follows by induction along the filtration (5.7), using the pushout square (5.10) and the fact that each

$$\mathrm{Free}\Big(\big(\mathrm{Sym}_A^{n+1}\mathfrak{g}[1]\big)[-2]\Big) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Free}\Big(\big(\mathrm{Sym}_A^{n+1}\mathfrak{h}[1]\big)[-2]\Big)$$

is a weak equivalence whenever $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ is a weak equivalence of cofibrant dg-A-modules (and similarly for the cones).

For (b), note that each functor $Q^{(n)}\colon \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}} \to \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ preserves strict colimits of diagrams indexed by $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$, which can be computed at the level of the underlying chain complexes. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}_\bullet\colon \mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}$ is a projectively cofibrant diagram. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ takes values in A-cofibrant L_∞-algebroids (by Theorem 3.3) and $\mathrm{colim}(\mathfrak{g}_\bullet)$ is a cofibrant model for the homotopy colimit of the underlying simplicial diagram of dg-A-modules. We have to check that the natural map

$$\mathrm{hocolim}(Q(\mathfrak{g}_\bullet)) \longrightarrow Q(\mathrm{colim}\,\mathfrak{g}_\bullet)$$

is an equivalence. Applying the filtration (5.7), we obtain a sequence of $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$-diagrams $Q^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g}_\bullet)$ of L_∞-algebroids, which fit into pushout diagrams of the form (5.10). We will prove by induction on n that the map

$$\mathrm{hocolim}\,\Big(Q^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g}_\bullet)\Big) \longrightarrow \mathrm{colim}\,\Big(Q^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g}_\bullet)\Big) = Q^{(n)}\big(\,\mathrm{colim}\,\mathfrak{g}_\bullet\big)$$

is a weak equivalence whose codomain is cofibrant. For $n = 1$ the statement is trivial. For each $n \geq 1$, we obtain a diagram of L_∞-algebroids

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{colim}\,F\Big(\big(\mathrm{Sym}_A^{n+1}\mathfrak{g}_\bullet[1]\big)[-2]\Big) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{colim}\,\Big(Q^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g}_\bullet)\Big) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{colim}\,F\Big(\big(\mathrm{Sym}_A^{n+1}\mathfrak{g}_\bullet[1]\big)[-2,-1]\Big) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{colim}\,\Big(Q^{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g}_\bullet)\Big). \end{array}$$

The free functor and the symmetric power functor commute with sifted colimits and preserve cofibrations between cofibrant objects. Since $\mathrm{colim}(\mathfrak{g}_\bullet)$ is a cofibrant dg-A-module (by Theorem 3.3), the left vertical map is a cofibration between cofibrant L_∞-algebroids. Since $\mathrm{colim}\,\big(Q^{(n)}(\mathfrak{g}_\bullet)\big)$ is cofibrant by inductive assumption, the above square is a homotopy pushout square and $\mathrm{colim}\,\big(Q^{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g}_\bullet)\big)$ is cofibrant.

The above homotopy pushout square is weakly equivalent to the corresponding square of homotopy colimits. Indeed, for the top right colimit this holds by assumption, while the left two colimits are equivalent to the corresponding homotopy colimits because the free functor and the symmetric power functor commute with $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$-indexed homotopy colimits. It follows that the map on homotopy pushouts is a weak equivalence as well.

For part (c), note that by parts (a) and (b), together with Corollary 3.7, it suffices to prove this when $\mathfrak{g} = A \otimes_k \mathfrak{h}$ is just the A-linear extension of an ordinary L_∞-algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ over k. In that case, the map $Q(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{g}$ is just the A-linear extension of the usual map $\Omega(\overline{C}_*(\mathfrak{h})) \to \mathfrak{h}$ of L_∞-algebras from the operadic cobar construction of $\mathfrak{h}$. This map is a weak equivalence (see e.g. [17] for a textbook account). □

As usual, the derived mapping space between two L_∞-algebroids can be described by the simplicial set of maps from a cofibrant replacement of the domain to a fibrant simplicial resolution of the codomain. Such a simplicial resolution of fibrant L_∞-algebroids has been described in [30]:

Construction 5.12 ([30])**.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an L_∞-algebroid over A and let B be any (possibly unbounded) commutative dg-algebra over k. Then $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_k B$ has the structure of an A-module and an L_∞-algebra and the anchor map extends to a B-linear map $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_k B \to T_A \otimes_k B$. Let $\mathfrak{g} \boxtimes B$ be the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} \boxtimes B & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} \otimes B \\ {\scriptstyle \rho}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_A & \longrightarrow & T_A \otimes B. \end{array}$$

All maps in this diagram are A-linear and preserve L_∞-structures, and one can verify that the induced L_∞-structure on $\mathfrak{g} \boxtimes B$ turns it into an L_∞-algebroid over A (see [30]). We therefore obtain a functor

$$\mathfrak{g} \boxtimes (-) \colon \mathrm{CAlg}^{\mathrm{dg}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A$$

which preserves pullbacks and fibrations, and weak equivalences when $\mathfrak{g}$ is fibrant. Furthermore, there are natural isomorphisms $\mathfrak{g} \boxtimes (B \otimes_k C) \cong \big(\mathfrak{g} \boxtimes B\big) \boxtimes C$.

For any finite simplicial set K, let $\mathfrak{g}^K = \mathfrak{g} \boxtimes \Omega[K]$ be the dg-Lie algebroid obtained by applying this functor to the polynomial differential forms on K.

Lemma 5.13. *Let $K \to L$ be a cofibration between finite simplicial sets and let $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ be a fibration. Then $\mathfrak{g}^L \to \mathfrak{g}^K \times_{\mathfrak{h}^K} \mathfrak{h}^L$ is a fibration, which is a weak equivalence if $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ or $K \to L$ is a weak equivalence.*

Proof. It is well-known that the map $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega[L] \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega[K] \times_{\mathfrak{h} \otimes \Omega[K]} \mathfrak{h} \otimes \Omega[L]$ is a surjection and a quasi-isomorphism whenever $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ or $K \to L$ is a weak equivalence (cf. [6]). The assertion now follows by considering the two pullback squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{g}^L & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}^K \times_{\mathfrak{h}^K} \mathfrak{h}^L & \longrightarrow & T_A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega[L] & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega[K] \times_{\mathfrak{h} \otimes \Omega[K]} \mathfrak{h} \otimes \Omega[L] & \longrightarrow & T_A \otimes \Omega[L] \end{array}$$

and using that (acyclic) fibrations are stable under base change. □

Corollary 5.14. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an A-cofibrant L_∞-algebroid and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be fibrant. Then the simplicial set $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}^{\mathrm{nonlin}}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^{\Delta[-]})$ is a model for the derived mapping space $\mathrm{Map}^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$.*

Remark 5.15. When the L_∞-algebroids $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ are concentrated in nonnegative degrees, one can also compute the mapping space using a semi-model structure on connective dg-Lie algebroids. In this case, one just has to assume that the map $\mathfrak{h} \to \tau_{\geq 0} T_A$ is a surjection in degrees > 0; this becomes particularly easy when A is discrete (so that T_A is concentrated in degree 0).

Remark 5.16. The simplicial sets $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}^{\mathrm{nonlin}}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^{\Delta[\bullet]})$ endow the category $\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}^{\mathrm{nonlin}}$ with an enrichment over simplicial sets. Corollary 5.14 shows that the ∞-categorical localization of the semi-model category of L_∞-algebroids can be modeled by the full simplicial subcategory of $\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}^{\mathrm{nonlin}}$ on the L_∞-algebroids which are fibrant and A-cofibrant.

6. Applications

In this section, we give two examples of the homological algebra that the semi-model structure on dg-Lie algebroids facilitates. First, we illustrate how classical Lie algebroid cohomology can be understood model-categorically in terms of mapping spaces. Furthermore, we provide a description of the *loop space* of a dg-Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$. This loop space can naturally be considered as a dg-Lie algebroid in graded-mixed complexes, whose (graded-mixed) Lie algebroid cohomology can be described by the Weil algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

6.1. **Representations.** Recall that a *representation* of a dg-Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ over A is given by a dg-A-module E, together with a Lie algebra representation

$$\nabla \colon \mathfrak{g} \otimes_k E \longrightarrow E \tag{6.1}$$

such that $\nabla_{aX} s = a \nabla_X s$ and $\nabla_X(as) = X(a)s + (-1)^{aX} a \nabla_X s$, for all $a \in A$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, and $s \in E$. There are at least two other ways of describing a $\mathfrak{g}$-representation on a dg-A-module E:

(1) Let $\mathrm{At}(E)$ be the Atiyah Lie algebroid of E, as in Example 2.2. Then a $\mathfrak{g}$-representation is just a map of dg-Lie algebroids

$$\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathrm{At}(E).$$

(2) If E is a $\mathfrak{g}$-representation, then $\mathfrak{g} \oplus E$ has the structure of a dg-Lie algebroid, with anchor map $(\rho, 0) \colon \mathfrak{g} \oplus E \to T_A$ and bracket

$$[(X, s), (Y, t)] = ([X, Y], \nabla_X t - \nabla_Y s).$$

There is an obvious inclusion and retraction $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \oplus E \to \mathfrak{g}$. Using these maps, one can realize the category of $\mathfrak{g}$-representations as the full subcategory of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/\mathfrak{g}$ on those retract diagrams $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \to \mathfrak{g}$ for which the Lie bracket vanishes on $\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathfrak{m}$.

Remark 6.2. Recall that the category $\mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/\mathfrak{g}$ can be identified with the category of algebras over the reduced enveloping operad $\overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ (Definition 4.5). It is well-known (see e.g. [4]) that the following categories are equivalent:

- the category of *abelian group objects* in $\mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/\mathfrak{g}$.

- the full subcategory of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{LieAlgd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/\mathfrak{g}$ on the $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{m}$ such that the Lie bracket vanishes on $\mathfrak{m}\otimes\mathfrak{m}$.
- the category of modules over $\overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$, the dg-algebra of unary operations in the reduced enveloping operad.

Unraveling the definitions, one sees that $\overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$ agrees with the usual *enveloping algebra* $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, as described in e.g. [26].

Example 6.3. Every dg-Lie algebroid has a natural representation on A (via the anchor) and on the kernel of its anchor map (via the Lie bracket).

Example 6.4. The category $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{dg}}$ has a closed symmetric monoidal structure, given by $E\otimes_A F$ endowed with the $\mathfrak{g}$-representation

$$\nabla_X(e\otimes f)=\nabla_X(e)\otimes f+(-1)^{Xe}e\otimes\nabla_X(f).$$

The internal hom is given by $\mathrm{Hom}_A(E,F)$, equipped with the conjugate representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. This does not make $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{dg}}$ a monoidal model category, but for every $\mathfrak{g}$-representation E whose underlying dg-A-module is cofibrant, the functor $E\otimes_A(-)$ does preserve quasi-isomorphisms.

When $\mathfrak{g}$ is a cofibrant dg-Lie algebroid, the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\cong\mathrm{Env}_{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$ is cofibrant by Theorem 3.3. It follows that every cofibrant $\mathfrak{g}$-representation has a cofibrant underlying dg-A-module, so that the tensor product can be derived.

The above definitions have analogues for L_∞-algebras:

Definition 6.5. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an L_∞-algebroid over A. A $\mathfrak{g}$-*representation* is a dg-A-module E, together with operations

$$[X_1,...,X_n,-]\colon E\longrightarrow E$$

of degree $|X_1|+...+|X_n|+n-2$ for every $X_1,...,X_n\in\mathfrak{g}$, such that (ignoring all Koszul signs due to permutations of variables)

$$\begin{aligned}
[X_{\sigma(1)},...,X_{\sigma(n)},v]&=(-1)^{\sigma}[X_1,...,X_n,v] && \sigma\in\Sigma_n\\
[a\cdot X_1,...,X_n,v]&=(-1)^{(n-1)a}a\cdot[X_1,...,X_n,v] &&\\
[X_1,...,X_n,a\cdot v]&=(-1)^{(n-1)a}a\cdot[X_1,...,X_n,v] && n\geq 2\\
[X_1,a\cdot v]&=a\cdot[X_1,v]+X_1(a)\cdot v. &&
\end{aligned}\tag{6.6}$$

Furthermore, the brackets have to determine the structure of a module over the L_∞-algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e.

$$J^{n+1}(X_1,\dots,X_n,v)=0.\tag{6.7}$$

for all $n\geq 0$, where J^{n+1} is the Jacobiator from 2.4, $X_i\in\mathfrak{g}$ and $v\in E$.

Remark 6.8. When $\mathfrak{g}$ is a dg-Lie algebroid, there are now two notions of $\mathfrak{g}$-representation. To avoid confusion, we will call a representation in the sense of (6.1) a *strict* $\mathfrak{g}$-representation and a representation in the sense of Definition 6.5 will be called an L_∞-*representation* of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 6.9. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an L_∞-algebroid over A and let E be a dg-A-module. Then the following data is equivalent:*

(0) a $\mathfrak{g}$-representation on E.
(1) the structure of a retract diagram of L_∞-algebroids on $\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{g}\oplus E\to\mathfrak{g}$, for which all brackets vanish when evaluated on at least two element of E.

(2) a nonlinear map $\mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{At}(E)$ to the Atiyah Lie algebroid of E.

Proof. Unwinding the definitions, the nontrivial brackets on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus E$ precisely correspond to the operations from Definition 6.5. This shows that (0) and (1) are equivalent.

By [11], a k-linear L_∞-algebra representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on E is equivalent to the data of a twisting cochain $\tau\colon \overline{C}_*(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathrm{End}_k(E)[1]$ to the endomorphism Lie algebra of E: the map τ is given by

$$\mathrm{Sym}^n_k \mathfrak{g}[1] \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_k(E)[1];\ \ X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n \longrightarrow [X_1, \ldots, X_n, -].$$

The conditions (6.6) are now equivalent to the condition that

$$(\rho, \tau)\colon \overline{C}_*(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \big(T_A \oplus \mathrm{End}_k(E)\big)[1]$$

takes values in the Atiyah Lie algebroid of E and is graded A-linear. □

By (1), the category of representations of an L_∞-algebroid is equivalent to the category of modules over $\overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$, the unary operations of its reduced enveloping operad. In particular, the category $\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{dg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ carries a model structure in which a map is a weak equivalence (fibration) if and only if the underlying map of dg-A-modules is one.

Corollary 6.10. *A map $f\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ of L_∞-algebroids induces a Quillen adjunction*

$$f_*\colon \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{dg}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{dg}}_{\mathfrak{h}}\colon f^!.$$

This is a Quillen equivalence if f is a weak equivalence between A-cofibrant L_∞-algebroids.

Proof. The Quillen pair $(f_*, f^!)$ is induced by induction and restriction along the map of reduced enveloping operads $f\colon \overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \to \overline{\mathrm{Env}}_{\mathfrak{h}}$. For the second assertion, let $Q(f)$ denote the map induced by f on 'cobar' resolutions (5.7). This is a weak equivalence between cofibrant L_∞-algebroids. Applying the left Quillen equivalence of Corollary 3.8, we obtain a weak equivalence between cofibrant dg-Lie algebroids $\tilde{f}\colon \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$.

By part (2) of Lemma 6.9, a representation of the L_∞-algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ is just a map of dg-Lie algebroids $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathrm{At}(E)$. It follows that there is a natural equivalence


$$\mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{dg}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{dg,strict}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$$

between the category of $\mathfrak{g}$-representations and the category of strict representations of the cofibrant dg-Lie algebroid $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. This equivalence of categories identifies the model structures on both sides, which are both transferred from $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{dg}}_A$. The Quillen pair $(f_*, f^!)$ can now be identified with the Quillen pair

$$\tilde{f}_*\colon \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{dg,strict}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{dg,strict}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}}\colon \tilde{f}^!.$$

associated to the map of dg-Lie algebroids $\tilde{f}\colon \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$. By Lemma 4.17, a weak equivalence between cofibrant dg-Lie algebroids induces a weak equivalence on enveloping operads, so that the above Quillen pair is a Quillen equivalence. □

6.2. **Lie algebroid cohomology.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an L_∞-algebroid and let E be a $\mathfrak{g}$-representation. Our aim is to give a cohomological description of the derived space of sections



of the canonical projection from $\mathfrak{g} \oplus E$ to $\mathfrak{g}$.

Because the semi-model structure on L_∞-algebroids is right proper, the derived space of sections of π can be computed as the derived mapping space from $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{g} \oplus E$ in the slice semi-model category $\mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/\mathfrak{g}$. As an object over $\mathfrak{g}$, the L_∞-algebroid $\mathfrak{g} \oplus E$ is fibrant. Furthermore, it admits a simple fibrant simplicial resolution, given by

$$\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{L}_\infty\mathrm{Algd}_A^{\mathrm{dg}}/\mathfrak{g};\ [n] \longmapsto \mathfrak{g} \oplus C^*(\Delta[n], E).$$

Here $C^*(\Delta[n], E)$ are the normalized cochains on $\Delta[n]$ with coefficients in E, which carry a natural $\mathfrak{g}$-representation.

Let us assume from now on that $\mathfrak{g}$ is an A-cofibrant L_∞-algebroid, so that it has an explicit cofibrant replacement $q\colon Q(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{g}$, as described in Section 5. The datum of map of L_∞-algebroids over $\mathfrak{g}$

$$s\colon Q(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus E$$

is equivalent to the datum of a graded A-linear map

$$(q, \alpha)\colon \mathrm{Sym}_A^{\geq 1}\mathfrak{g}[1] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}[1] \oplus E[1]$$

satisfying the Maurer-Cartan equation (5.1), where q is the obvious projection onto $\mathfrak{g}[1]$. Since q already satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation and there are no nontrivial brackets between elements in E, the Maurer-Cartan equation reduces to the following (linear) equation for α:

$$\partial_{\mathrm{CE}}(\alpha) := \partial_E \circ \alpha - \alpha \circ \partial_{\overline{C}_*(\mathfrak{g})} + \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{k!}[q, q, \ldots, q, \alpha]_{k+1} \stackrel{!}{=} 0. \tag{6.11}$$

Lemma 6.12. *Formula (6.11) determines a differential on the graded vector space of graded A-linear maps*

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A\big(\mathrm{Sym}_A^{\geq 1}\mathfrak{g}[1], E[1]\big).$$

Proof. Formula (6.11) determines an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map of (homological) degree -1

$$\partial_{\mathrm{CE}}\colon \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A(\mathrm{Sym}_A\mathfrak{g}[1], E[1]) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{g}[1], E[1])$$

To verify that this preserves A-multilinear maps and square to zero, replace E by its cone $E[0,1]$. Unraveling the definition, a map $Q(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{g} \oplus E[0,1]$ over $\mathfrak{g}$ is determined by a pair of maps

$$\alpha\colon \mathrm{Sym}_A^{\geq 1}\mathfrak{g}[1] \longrightarrow E[1] \qquad \beta\colon \mathrm{Sym}_A^{\geq 1}\mathfrak{g}[1] \longrightarrow E[2]$$

subject to the condition that $\partial_{\mathrm{CE}}\alpha = \beta$ and $\partial_{\mathrm{CE}}\beta = 0$.

On the other hand, a map $Q(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{g} \oplus E[0,1]$ over $\mathfrak{g}$ is determined uniquely by a map $Q(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{g} \oplus E$ of L_∞-algebroids without differential over $\mathfrak{g}$. Without

differential, $Q(\mathfrak{g})$ is freely generated by the graded A-module $\big(\mathrm{Sym}_A^{\geq 1}\mathfrak{g}[1]\big)[-1]$ and the map $Q(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{g} \oplus E$ is classified by the map α above.

It follows that for every graded A-linear α, there is a unique graded A-linear β such that $\beta = \partial_{\mathrm{CE}}\alpha$ and $\partial_{\mathrm{CE}}\beta = 0$. It follows that ∂_{CE} preserves graded A-linear maps and squares to zero. □

Definition 6.13. Let $\overline{C}^*(\mathfrak{g}, E)$ be the chain complex $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathrm{Sym}_A\mathfrak{g}[1], E)$, equipped with the differential ∂_{CE} given by formula 6.11. We will refer to $\overline{C}^*(\mathfrak{g}, E)$ as the *reduced Chevalley-Eilenberg complex* of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in E.

The Chevalley-Eilenberg differential ∂_{CE} can be computed explicitly, using that the value of $\frac{1}{k!}[\pi, \pi, \dots, \pi, \alpha]_{k+1}$ on an element $X_1 \dots X_n \in \mathrm{Sym}_A^{\geq 1}\mathfrak{g}[1]$ is given by the sum (ignoring Koszul signs)

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sh}(k,n-k)} \Big[X_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, X_{\sigma(k)}, \alpha(X_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(n)})\Big].$$

Using this, one obtains (modulo Koszul signs) the explicit formula

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_{\mathrm{CE}}\alpha)(X_1, ..., X_n) = &\sum_{k\geq 1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{UnSh}(k,n-k)} \big[X_{\sigma(1)}, ..., X_{\sigma(k)}, \alpha(X_{\sigma(k+1)}, ..., X_{\sigma(n)})\big] \\ &- \sum_{k\geq 1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{UnSh}(k,n-k)} \alpha\Big([X_{\sigma(1)}, ..., X_{\sigma(k)}], X_{\sigma(k+1)}, ..., X_{\sigma(n)}\Big) \\ &+ \partial_E\big(\alpha(X_1, ..., X_n)\big).\end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

This is precisely the formula for the usual Chevalley-Eilenberg (or de Rham) differential on

$$C^*(\mathfrak{g}, E) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A\big(\mathrm{Sym}_A\mathfrak{g}[1], E\big)$$

which computes the cohomology of the L_∞-algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in $\mathfrak{g}$. The reduced Chevalley-Eilenberg complex is simply the kernel of the canonical map of chain complexes $C^*(\mathfrak{g}, E) \to E$ evaluating at $1 \in \mathrm{Sym}_A\mathfrak{g}[1]$. Consequently, one can think of its homotopy groups as the *reduced* cohomology groups $\overline{H}^i(\mathfrak{g}, E)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in E.

Corollary 6.15. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an A-cofibrant L_∞-algebroid and let E be a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. There is a (natural) equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Map}^{\mathbb{R}}_{/\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g} \oplus E) \simeq \Omega^\infty\overline{C}^*(\mathfrak{g}, E[1])$$

between the derived space of sections $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \oplus E$ and the (infinite loop) space associated to the reduced Chevalley-Eilenberg complex $\overline{C}^*(\mathfrak{g}, E[1])$. In particular, there is an isomorphism

$$\overline{H}^1(\mathfrak{g}, E) \cong \pi_0\mathrm{Map}^{\mathbb{R}}_{/\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g} \oplus E).$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.12 and the discussion preceding it, the derived mapping space $\mathrm{Map}^{\mathbb{R}}_{/\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g} \oplus E)$ can be modeled by the simplicial set

$$\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Set};\ [n] \longmapsto Z_0\overline{C}^*\Big(\mathfrak{g}, C^*(\Delta[n], E[1])\Big).$$

There are natural isomorphisms

$$\overline{C}^*\Big(\mathfrak{g}, C^*(\Delta[n], E[1])\Big) \cong C^*\Big(\Delta[n], C^*(\mathfrak{g}, E[1])\Big).$$

The space of lifts therefore has n-simplices given by maps $C_*(\Delta[n]) \to \overline{C}^*(\mathfrak{g}, E[1])$. This means precisely that it is the infinite loop space associated to $\overline{C}^*(\mathfrak{g}, E[1])$. $\square$

Example 6.16. The reduced cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in ts canonical representation can be identified with homotopy classes of maps of L_∞-algebroids

$$\overline{H}^n(\mathfrak{g}, A) \cong \big[\mathfrak{g}, T_A \oplus A[n-1]\big].$$

Example 6.17. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an L_∞-algebroid, E a $\mathfrak{g}$-representation and let

$$\alpha \in \overline{C}^*(\mathfrak{g}, E) = \mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathrm{Sym}_A^{\geq 1}\mathfrak{g}, E)$$

be a degree 0 cycle in the reduced Chevalley-Eilenberg complex. Associated to α is a map of L_∞-algebroids $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \to \mathfrak{g}$, given by the projection $\mathfrak{g} \oplus E[-2] \to \mathfrak{g}$ at the level of dg-A-modules. The bracket on $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is given as follows: a bracket of multiple elements in $E[-2]$ is zero and the bracket of elements in $\mathfrak{g}$ with an element in $E[-2]$ is given by the $\mathfrak{g}$-representation on E. The bracket of elements in $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$[X_1, \ldots, X_k] = \big([X_1, \ldots, X_k]_\mathfrak{g}, \alpha(X_1, \ldots, X_k)\big).$$

The map $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ fits into a commuting square of L_∞-algebroids

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha & \rightsquigarrow & \mathfrak{g} \oplus E[-1,-2] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g} & \underset{\alpha}{\rightsquigarrow} & \mathfrak{g} \oplus E[-1] \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are nonlinear. This realizes $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ as the homotopy pullback of the zero map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \oplus E[-1]$ with the map $\alpha\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \oplus E[-1]$.

6.3. **Loop spaces.** As in every model category, the *free loop space* $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$ of an L_∞-algebroid is the homotopy limit of the constant S^1-diagram with value $\mathfrak{g}$. There are various ways to compute such free loop spaces, depending on a choice of cell decomposition for the circle S^1. Taking the CW-structure on S^1 with two zero cells and two 1-cells, one obtains the usual description of $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$ as the derived self-intersection of the diagonal map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \times^h_{T_A} \mathfrak{g}$.

When $\mathfrak{g}$ is a *fibrant* (i.e. transitive) L_∞-algebroid, there is a simple way to compute $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$ using the cotensoring of L_∞-algebroids over (unbounded) cdgas from Construction 5.12. Indeed, $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$ can simply be computed as $\mathfrak{g} \boxtimes \Omega[S^1]$, where S^1 is some finite simplicial model for the circle. In fact, recall that $H^*(S^1) = k[\epsilon_{-1}]$ is the free graded algebra on a generator of (homological) degree -1. We can therefore choose a weak equivalence of cdgas $k[\epsilon_{-1}] \to \Omega[S^1]$ and identify

$$\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \boxtimes k[\epsilon_{-1}].$$

Let $\mathfrak{n}$ denote the kernel of the anchor map $\rho\colon \mathfrak{g} \to T_A$, which carries a natural (adjoint) $\mathfrak{g}$-representation. Unwinding the definitions, we find that $\mathfrak{g} \boxtimes k[\epsilon_{-1}]$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{n}[-1]$. There are maps

$$\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\ \iota\ } \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{n}[-1] \xrightarrow{\ \pi\ } \mathfrak{g}$$

which realize $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{n}[-1]$ as a square zero extension of $\mathfrak{g}$. The inclusion ι is the canonical map induced by $k \to \Omega[S^1]$, but the projection π is not canonical: it depends on a choice of basepoint for S^1.

Lemma 6.18. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a fibrant L_∞-algebroid. Then $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \boxtimes k[\epsilon_{-1}]$ has the structure of a graded-mixed L_∞-algebroid (Variant 3.10), whose underlying graded-mixed complex is given by*

$$\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}(-1) = \mathfrak{n}[-1] \qquad\qquad \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}(0) = \mathfrak{g}$$

with $d\colon \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}(-1) \to \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}(0)[-1]$ the obvious inclusion.

Proof. The bracket of an element in $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}(p)$ with elements in $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}(0)$ is again contained in $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}(p)$ and the bracket of at least two elements in $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}(-1)$ is zero. It follows that the L_∞-algebroid structure is compatible with the grading. It is compatible with the mixed structure because for any $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi \in \mathfrak{n}[-1]$, we have that

$$d[X_1, \dots, X_n, \xi] = [X_1, \dots, X_n, d\xi]$$

is simply given by the $(n+1)$-fold bracket in $\mathfrak{g}$. □

Remark 6.19. The graded-mixed structure on $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$ can also be interpreted at follows. Recall (see e.g. [3], [22]) that graded mixed complexes can be viewed as dg-comodules over the cohomology Hopf algebra

$$\mathcal{H} = H^*(\mathbb{G}_m \ltimes B\mathbb{G}_a, \mathcal{O}) = k[t, t^{-1}] \otimes_k k[\epsilon_{-1}].$$

Here t has degree 0, ϵ_{-1} has (homological) degree -1 and the comultiplication is given by $\Delta(t) = t \otimes t$ and $\Delta(\epsilon_{-1}) = t \otimes \epsilon_{-1}$. The action of $\mathbb{G}_m \ltimes B\mathbb{G}_a$ on $B\mathbb{G}_a$ (by rescaling and translation) induces a coaction

$$H^*(B\mathbb{G}_a, \mathcal{O}) = k[\epsilon_{-1}] \longrightarrow k[\epsilon_{-1}] \otimes_k \mathcal{H} = H^*(\mathbb{G}_m \ltimes B\mathbb{G}_a \times B\mathbb{G}_a, \mathcal{O}).$$

This induces a coaction of $\mathcal{H}$ on the free loop space $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$ of the form

$$\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \boxtimes k[\epsilon_{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \boxtimes \big(k[\epsilon_{-1}] \otimes_k \mathcal{H}\big) \cong \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} \boxtimes \mathcal{H},$$

simply by restricting the canonical $\mathcal{H}$-comodule structure on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes k[\epsilon_{-1}]$. Unwinding the definitions, this coaction of $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$ corresponds to the graded mixed structure on $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$ described in Lemma 6.18.

Remark 6.20. The coaction of $\mathcal{H}$ on $H^*(S^1) = H^*(B\mathbb{G}_a, \mathcal{O}) = k[\epsilon_{-1}]$ restricts to a coaction of $H^*(S^1)$ on itself. In terms of graded mixed structures, this coaction encodes just the mixed structure on $k[\epsilon_{-1}]$. On the other hand, this coaction arises topologically from the rotation action $\mu\colon S^1 \times S^1 \to S^1$, by passing to cohomology (in fact, it provides a rational model for the rotation action by [28]).

Similarly, the mixed structure on $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$ is encoded by the coaction

$$\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \boxtimes H^*(S^1) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{g} \boxtimes H^*(\mu)} \mathfrak{g} \boxtimes H^*(S^1 \times S^1) \cong \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} \boxtimes H^*(S^1).$$

One can therefore think of the mixed structure on $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$ as a (rational) algebraic incarnation of the S^1-action on $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$ by rotation of loops.

The Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of a graded mixed L_∞-algebroid over A can be computed internally to graded mixed complexes, using exactly the same formulas as in Definition 6.13. Applying this to the free loop space of an L_∞-algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$, we obtain the following:

Example 6.21. Let $\rho\colon \mathfrak{g} \to T_A$ be a fibrant L_∞-algebroid and let $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{n}[-1]$ be its free loop space. The Chevalley-Eilenberg complex $C^*(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ with coefficients in A decomposes into graded pieces

$$C^*(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})(q) := \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A\Big(\mathrm{Sym}_A\mathfrak{g}[1] \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^q_A\mathfrak{n}, A\Big)$$

consisting of maps that are polynomial of degree q in $\mathfrak{n}$. This is indeed stable under the differential (6.14) because $\mathfrak{n}[-1] \subseteq \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$ is square zero. Furthermore, $C^*(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ carries a mixed structure

$$d\colon C^*(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})(q) \longrightarrow C^*(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})(q+1)[-1]$$

given by

$$(d\alpha)(X_1, \ldots, X_n, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_q) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \alpha(X_1, \ldots, X_n, \sigma(\xi_j), \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_q)$$

where $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\xi_j \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $\sigma\colon \mathfrak{n} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is the inclusion, which gave the mixed structure on $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$. The resulting graded mixed complex $C^*(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ has the structure of a graded mixed cdga, with multiplication given by the usual product of forms.

Remark 6.22. The Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of graded mixed L_∞-algebroids is only homotopy invariant when applied to $\mathfrak{h}$ for which each $\mathfrak{h}(p)$ is a cofibrant dg-A-module. For free loop spaces $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$, this is the case when $\mathfrak{g} \to T_A$ is a fibration between cofibrant dg-A-modules. For the remainder of this section, we will therefore assume that T_A *is a cofibrant dg-A-module*. One can always replace T_A by a weakly equivalent dg-Lie algebroid for which this is the case.

For sufficiently nice dg-Lie algebroids, the mixed graded complex $C^*(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ has a more traditional description as the *Weil algebra* $W(\mathfrak{g})$ of the dg-Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ [2]. In fact, $W(\mathfrak{g})$ can also be used to describe the cohomology of $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$ when $\mathfrak{g}$ is not fibrant.

Construction 6.23. Suppose that T_A is a cofibrant dg-A-module and consider the graded mixed complex

$$\widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A)(p) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A\Big(\mathrm{Sym}^p_A\big(T_A[-1]\big), A\Big)$$

with mixed structure given by the de Rham differential (without Koszul signs)

$$d_{\mathrm{dR}}\omega(v_1, \cdots, v_n) = \sum_i v_i\big(\omega(v_1, \ldots, v_n)\big) - \sum_{i<j} \omega([v_i, v_j], v_1, \ldots, v_n).$$

The result is a graded mixed cdga $\widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A)$, to which the usual Cartan calculus of differential forms can be applied: in addition to the de Rham differential, every $v \in T_A$ yields operators

$$\iota_v\colon \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A)[1] \qquad \mathcal{L}_v = d\iota_v + \iota_v d\colon \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A)$$

where ι_v is given by $(\iota_v\omega)(v_1, \cdots, v_n) = \omega(v, v_1, \ldots, v_n)$.

Let $\rho\colon \mathfrak{g} \to T_A$ be a dg-Lie algebroid over T_A and let $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}[-1]$ be the square zero extension of its underlying dg-Lie algebra (over k) by the shifted adjoint representation. There is a canonical representation of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}[-1]$ on $\widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A)$, where an element $(X, \xi) \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}[-1]$ acts by the derivation

$$(X, \xi) \cdot \omega = \mathcal{L}_{\rho(X)}\omega + \iota_{\rho(\xi)}\omega$$

The associated Chevalley-Eilenberg complex has a graded subalgebra

$$\widehat{W}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathcal{A} := C^*\Big(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}[-1], \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A)\Big)$$

consisting of maps $\mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathfrak{g}[1] \oplus \mathfrak{g}) \to \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A)$ that are A-multilinear in $\mathfrak{g}[1] \oplus \mathfrak{g}$. This subalgebra is closed under the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential by [2, Proposition 3.5], where it is called the vertical differential.

In fact, unwinding the definitions yields the following description of $\widehat{W}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathrm{fib}(\mathfrak{g} \to T_A) = \mathfrak{g} \oplus T_A[-1]$$

denote the mapping fiber of the anchor map of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\widehat{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ factors as

$$\widehat{W}(\mathfrak{g}) = \prod_q W^q(\mathfrak{g}) = \prod_q \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A\Big(\mathrm{Sym}_A \mathfrak{g}[1] \otimes_A \mathrm{Sym}^q\big(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}\big), A\Big).$$

For $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}[1], \xi_j \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $v_k \in T_A[-1]$, the differential is given by

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial\alpha)(X,\xi,v) = \partial_A\big(\alpha(X,\xi,v)\big) - \alpha\big(\partial(X,\xi,v)\big) + \sum_i X_i \cdot \alpha(\dots) \\ - \sum_{i<i'} \alpha([X_i, X_{i'}], \dots) - \sum_{i,j} \alpha([X_i, \xi_j], \dots) - \sum_{i,k} \alpha([X_i, v_k], \dots).\end{aligned}$$

Here $\partial(X,\xi,v)$ is the (A-linear) differential on $\mathrm{Sym}_A(\mathfrak{g}[1] \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$ induced by the differentials on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$. The brackets

$$[X_i, X_{i'}] \in \mathfrak{g}[1] \qquad [X_i, \xi_j] \in \mathfrak{g} \qquad [X_i, v_k] \in T_A[-1]$$

arise from the k-linear Lie algebra representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on itself and on the mapping fiber $\tilde{n}$.

In particular, the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential preserves each factor $W^q(\mathfrak{g})$. In addition, there are derivations $d\colon W^q(\mathfrak{g}) \to W^{q+1}(\mathfrak{g})[-1]$, given (modulo Koszul signs) by

$$(d\alpha)(X,\xi,-) = d_{\mathrm{dR}}(\alpha(X,\xi,-)) + \sum_j \alpha(X, \sigma(\xi)_j, \xi, -) \quad \in \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A).$$

Here $\sigma(\xi_j) \in \mathfrak{g}[1]$ is the element ξ_j, considered as an element in $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ instead of $\mathfrak{g}$. The map d is a chain map which squares to zero, since the de Rham differential on $\widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A)$ does.

Definition 6.24. The *Weil algebra* of a dg-Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ over A is the graded mixed cdga

$$W(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_q W^q(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \widehat{W}(\mathfrak{g})$$

from Construction 6.23.

Lemma 6.25. *Suppose that T_A is a cofibrant dg-A-module and let $f\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ be a weak equivalence between A-cofibrant dg-Lie algebroids over A. Then restriction along f induces a weak equivalence of graded mixed cdgas $W(\mathfrak{h}) \to W(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Proof. For every A-cofibrant dg-Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$, the mapping fiber $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ of its anchor map is a cofibrant dg-A-module. Furthermore, a weak equivalence between A-cofibrant dg-Lie algebroids induces a weak equivalence between their mapping fibers.

Observe that the Weil algebra $W(\mathfrak{g})$ is the limit of the sequence of graded mixed cdgas

$$W(\mathfrak{g})^{\leq p} = \bigoplus_q \mathrm{Hom}_A\Big(\mathrm{Sym}_A^{\leq p}\mathfrak{g}[1] \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_A^q \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, A\Big)$$

consisting of quotients by polynomials of degree $> p$ in the variables $\mathfrak{g}[1]$. The associated graded of this filtration can be identified with

$$\bigoplus_q \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A\Big(\mathrm{Sym}_A^p \mathfrak{g}[1] \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_A^q \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, A\Big).$$

Each of these summand carries a differential obtained from the A-linear differentials of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ by tensoring and dualizing. It follows that $f\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ induces a weak equivalence between the associated graded of $W(\mathfrak{h})$ and $W(\mathfrak{g})$, so that the map on limits $W(\mathfrak{h}) \to W(\mathfrak{g})$ is a weak equivalence as well. □

Proposition 6.26. *Suppose that T_A is a cofibrant dg-A-module and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a fibrant-cofibrant dg-Lie algebroid over A. Restriction along the canonical map $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \ker(\rho)[-1] \to \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathrm{fib}(\rho)[-1]$ induces a weak equivalence of graded mixed cdgas*

$$W(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow C^*(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}).$$

In particular, the Weil algebra of an A-cofibrant dg-Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$ computes the (derived) Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of its free loop space $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. The second part of the assertion follows from the fact that $W(\mathfrak{g})$ is homotopy invariant, by Lemma 6.25. For the first part, note that $W(\mathfrak{g})$ and $C^*(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ are both filtered by polynomial degree in $\mathfrak{g}[1]$, as in the proof of Lemma 6.25. The restriction map $W(\mathfrak{g}) \to C^*(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ preserves this filtration, and is given on the associated graded by a map

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A\Big(\mathrm{Sym}_A^p \mathfrak{g}[1] \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_A^q \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, A\Big) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A\Big(\mathrm{Sym}_A^p \mathfrak{g}[1] \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_A^q\big(\ker(\rho)\big), A\Big).$$

This map is obtained from the inclusion $\ker(\rho) \to \mathrm{fib}(\rho)$ by tensoring and dualizing. Since this inclusion is a weak equivalence between cofibrant dg-A-modules, the result follows. □

References

[1] M. Alexandrov, M. Kontsevich, A. Schwarz, and O. Zaboronsky. The geometry of the master equation and topological quantum field theory. *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A*, 12(7):1405–1429, 1997.

[2] C. Arias Abad and M. Crainic. The Weil algebra and the Van Est isomorphism. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 61(3):927–970, 2011.

[3] D. Ben-Zvi and D. Nadler. Loop spaces and connections. *J. Topol.*, 5(2):377–430, 2012.

[4] C. Berger and I. Moerdijk. On the derived category of an algebra over an operad. *Georgian Math. J.*, 16(1):13–28, 2009.

[5] A. J. Blumberg and E. Riehl. Homotopical resolutions associated to deformable adjunctions. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 14(5):3021–3048, 2014.

[6] A. K. Bousfield and V. K. A. M. Gugenheim. On PL de Rham theory and rational homotopy type. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8(179):ix+94, 1976.

[7] B. Fresse. *Modules over operads and functors*, volume 1967 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.

[8] D. Gaitsgory and N. Rozenblyum. *A study in derived algebraic geometry.*, volume 221 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.

[9] W. M. Goldman and J. J. Millson. The deformation theory of representations of fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (67):43–96, 1988.
[10] V. Hinich. DG coalgebras as formal stacks. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 162(2-3):209–250, 2001.
[11] V. Hinich and V. Schechtman. Homotopy Lie algebras. In *I. M. Gel′fand Seminar*, volume 16 of *Adv. Soviet Math.*, pages 1–28. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
[12] J. Huebschmann. Multi derivation Maurer-Cartan algebras and sh Lie-Rinehart algebras. *J. Algebra*, 472:437–479, 2017.
[13] J. F. Jardine. Presheaves of chain complexes. *K-Theory*, 30(4):365–420, 2003. Special issue in honor of Hyman Bass on his seventieth birthday. Part IV.
[14] M. Kapranov. Free Lie algebroids and the space of paths. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 13(2):277–319, 2007.
[15] L. Kjeseth. Homotopy Rinehart cohomology of homotopy Lie-Rinehart pairs. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 3(1):139–163, 2001.
[16] M. Kontsevich. Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 66(3):157–216, 2003.
[17] J.-L. Loday and B. Vallette. *Algebraic operads*, volume 346 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
[18] J. Lurie. Derived algebraic geometry X: Formal moduli problems, 2011. Available at author's website: http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/.
[19] J. Lurie. Higher Algebra, 2016. Available at author's website: http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/.
[20] K. Mackenzie. *Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in differential geometry*, volume 124 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
[21] J. Nuiten. Homotopical algebra for Lie algebroids. 2017.
[22] T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquié, and G. Vezzosi. Shifted symplectic structures. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 117:271–328, 2013.
[23] D. Pavlov and J. Scholbach. Admissibility and rectification of colored symmetric operads. arXiv:1410.5675, 2014.
[24] J. P. Pridham. Unifying derived deformation theories. *Adv. Math.*, 224(3):772–826, 2010.
[25] B. Pym and P. Safronov. Shifted symplectic Lie algebroids. arXiv:1612.09446, 2016.
[26] G. S. Rinehart. Differential forms on general commutative algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 108:195–222, 1963.
[27] M. Spitzweck. Operads, algebras and modules in general model categories. arXiv:math/0101102, 2001.
[28] B. Toën and G. Vezzosi. Algèbres simpliciales S^1-équivariantes, théorie de de Rham et théorèmes HKR multiplicatifs. *Compos. Math.*, 147(6):1979–2000, 2011.
[29] B. Vallette. Homotopy theory of homotopy algebras. arXiv:1411.5533, 2014.
[30] G. Vezzosi. A model structure on relative dg-Lie algebroids. In *Stacks and categories in geometry, topology, and algebra*, volume 643 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 111–118. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.

E-mail address: j.j.nuiten@uu.nl

Mathematical Institute, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80010, 3508 TA Utrecht, The Netherlands.