# INEQUALITIES OF CHERN CLASSES ON NONSINGULAR PROJECTIVE $n$-FOLDS OF FANO AND GENERAL TYPE WITH AMPLE CANONICAL BUNDLE 

RONG DU ${ }^{\dagger}$ AND HAO SUN ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$


#### Abstract

Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective $n$-fold ( $n \geq 2$ ) of Fano or of general type with ample canonical bundle $K_{X}$ over an algebraic closed field $\kappa$ of any characteristic. We produce a new method to give a bunch of inequalities in terms of all the Chern classes $c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{n}$ by pulling back Schubert classes in the Chow group of Grassmannian under the Gauss map. Moreover, we show that if the characteristic of $\kappa$ is 0 , then the Chern ratios $\left(\frac{c_{2.1} 1^{n-2}}{c_{1 n}^{n}}, \frac{c_{2.2 .11^{n-4}}^{c_{1} n}}{l^{n}}, \cdots, \frac{c_{n}}{c_{1} n}\right)$ are contained in a convex polyhedron for all $X$. So we give an affirmative answer to a generalized open question, that whether the region described by the Chern ratios is bounded, posted by Hunt ([Hun]) to all dimensions. As a corollary, we can get that there exist constants $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}$ and $d_{4}$ depending only on $n$ such that $d_{1} K_{X}^{n} \leq \chi_{\text {top }}(X) \leq d_{2} K_{X}^{n}$ and $d_{3} K_{X}^{n} \leq \chi\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right) \leq$ $d_{4} K_{X}^{n}$. If the characteristic of $\kappa$ is positive, $K_{X}$ (or $-K_{X}$ ) is ample and $\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-K_{X}\right)\right.$, respectively) is globally generated, then the same results hold.


## 1. Introduction

One of the fundamental questions in algebraic geometry is the classification of algebraic varieties. The classical method is by considering the numerical invariants first. Among all kinds of numerical invariants, Chern numbers are the most natural and important ones. The terminology geography which was first introduced by Persson in 1981 (see [Per]) is used to describe the distribution of Chern numbers of nonsingular projective varieties of general type, i.e. whether there exists nonsingular projective varieties $X$ of dimension $n$ for every given set of numbers such that $X$ has precisely those Chern numbers. So the first thing is to determine if the quotients of

[^0]those numbers are in a bounded set or not. For $n=2$ and $X$ is minimal over the complex numbers, we have Noether's inequalities:
$$
K_{X}^{2} \geq 2 p_{g}-4,
$$
here $p_{g}=h^{0}\left(X, K_{X}\right)$. From Noether's formula, we can have
$$
5 c_{1}^{2}+36 \geq c_{2}
$$

On the other hand, we have the famous Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality

$$
c_{2} \geq \frac{1}{3} c_{1}^{2} .
$$

So $c_{2} / c_{1}^{2}$ is bounded.
For $n=2$ and over a field of positive characteristic, Noether's inequality (see [Lie]) and Noether's formula (see [Bad] Chap. 5) remain true, while Lang ([Lan]) and Easton ([Eas]) gave examples of surfaces, such as generalized Raynaud surfaces, for which the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality no longer holds (cf. [Szp], Sec. 3.4). In fact, from Raynaud's example ([Ray]) even the weaker inequality $c_{2} \geq 0$ due to Castelnuovo and de Franchis fails (cf. [Gu], Sec. 3). So it is natural to formulate an inequality in positive characteristic bounding $c_{2}$ from below by $c_{1}^{2}$. Shepherd-Barron has already considered a similar question and proved that $\chi>0$ (equivalently, $c_{2}>-c_{1}^{2}$ ) with a few possible exceptional cases when the characteristic of the field is not greater than 7 ([S-B], Theorem 8). Later, Gu solved Shepherd-Barron's question and got an optimal lower bound of $\chi / c_{1}^{2}$.

For $n=3$ and over the complex numbers, Hunt initiated the study of geography for threefolds ([Hun]). Later, Chang, Kim and Nollet gave a bound of $c_{3}$ by quadratic forms in term of $c_{1} c_{2}$ and $c_{1}^{3}$ of threefolds with ample canonical bundle ([C-K-N]). Later, Chang and Lopez obtained a linear bound of $c_{3}$ of threefolds with ample canonical bundle, i.e. boundedness for the region described by the Chern ratios $c_{3} / c_{1} c_{2}, c_{1}^{3} / c_{1} c_{2}$ ([C-L]). Their idea is to control the Euler number by the Rieman-Roch formula and cohomology calculations. Their result relies on the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality heavily for dimension 3. M. Chen-Hacon and J. Chen- Hacon (see $[\mathrm{CM}-\mathrm{H}],[\mathrm{CJ}-\mathrm{H}]$ ) also considered the geography of Gorenstein minimal complex 3-folds of general type after 2000. For positive characteristic, as far as the authors know that there are no such kind of considerations.

For $n \geq 4$, such problem seems unknown even over the field of complex numbers. Except for the famous Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality which is in the case of characteristic 0 , many other mathematicians studied inequalities of Chern classes (see [F-L], [B-S-S], [Ca-Sc], [Ch-Le], [Ko], [Mi], [Sun]). In this paper, we show that there are similar boundedness result as the dimension 3 for characteristic 0 if $K_{X}$ (or $-K_{X}$ ) is ample
and for positive characteristic if moreover $\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-K_{X}\right)\right.$, respectively) is globally generated. So we give an affirmative answer to a generalized open question,fore that whether the region described by the Chern ratios is bounded, posted by Hunt ([Hun]) to all dimensions. In particular, we generalize Chang-Lopez's result to all dimensions. (For the notations, please see the paragraph before Theorem 3.7.)

Main Theorem:(see Theorem 3.7)
Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective variety of dimension $n$ over an algebraic closed field $\kappa$ with any characteristic. Suppose $K_{X}$ (or $-K_{X}$ ) is ample. If the characteristic of $\kappa$ is 0 or the characteristic of $\kappa$ is positive and $\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)$ $\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-K_{X}\right)\right.$, respectively ) is globally generated, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{c_{2,1^{n-2}}}{c_{1^{n}}}, \frac{c_{2,2,1^{n-4}}}{c_{1^{n}}}, \cdots, \frac{c_{n}}{c_{1^{n}}}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{p(n)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is contained in a convex polyhedron in $\mathbb{A}^{p(n)}$ independent of $X$, where $p(n)$ is the partition number and the elements in the parentheses arranged from small to big in terms of the alphabet order of the lower indices of the numerators.

In particular, we show that the Euler number $\chi_{\text {top }}(X)$ and Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf $\chi\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ can be controlled linearly by $K_{X}^{n}$. More precisely, there exist constants $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}$ and $d_{4}$, which do not depend on $X$ but only on $n$, such that

$$
d_{1} K_{X}^{n} \leq \chi_{\text {top }}(X) \leq d_{2} K_{X}^{n}
$$

and

$$
d_{3} K_{X}^{n} \leq \chi\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right) \leq d_{4} K_{X}^{n}
$$

Our results can also infer the classical boundedness result for dimension 2 and Chang-Lopez's result for dimension 3 easily without using the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality. Furthermore, we can deduce a bunch of inequalities of Chern classes not only Chern numbers.

Remark 1.1. Fulton-Lazarsfeld, Demailly-Peternell-Schneider, and CataneseSchneider's results can give many inequalities of Chern classes. Moreover, combining their results and Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequalities (for characteristic 0 ), one gets immediately bounds for $\frac{c_{2,1 n-2}}{c_{1} n}$, which can also start our induction in the proof of the main thoerem (see Theorem 3.7). However, their methods depend on the characteristic 0 and Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality. Our method is totally different and doesn't rely on Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality. We can deal with any characteristic of the algebraic closed field simultaneously.

In Section 2, we introduce Schubert cycles and Schubert classes of Grassmannian. Pieri's formula and Giambelli's formula are also mentioned in this section for Schubert calculus later. In Section 3, we recall Fujita conjecture and known relevant very ampleness results in any characteristic first. Then we solve our main theorem by estimating Chern classes from Schubert calculus and the help of Zak's theorem. In Section 4, we produce a new algorithm to give a bunch of inequalities in terms of all the Chern classes $c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{n}$ by pulling back the Schubert classes in the Chow group of the Grassmannian under the Gauss map.

## 2. Schubert cycles and Schubert classes of Grassmannian

We will recall the basic definition Schubert cycles and classes of the Chow group of $G(n, k)$, the Grassmannian of k-dimensional subspaces in an n-dimensional vector space $V$, and analyze their intersections, a subject that goes by the name of the Schubert calculus. Of course we may also consider $G(n, k)$ in its projective guise as $\mathbb{G}(n-1, k-1)$, the Grassmannian of projective $(k-1)$-planes in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. We recommend excellent books [G-H] and $[\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{H}]$ for details.

Schubert cycles are defined in terms of a chosen complete flag $\mathcal{V}$ in $V$, i.e., a nested sequence of subspaces

$$
0 \subset V_{1} \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-1} \subset V_{n}=V
$$

with $\operatorname{dim} V_{i}=i$. The Schubert cycles are indexed by sequences $\vec{a}=$ $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots a_{k}\right)$ of integers with

$$
n-k \geq a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \cdots \geq a_{k} \geq 0
$$

We define $|\vec{a}|:=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}$ and $l(\vec{a}):=k$.
For such a sequence $\vec{a}$, we define the Schubert cycle $\Sigma_{\vec{a}}(\mathcal{V}) \subset G(n, k)$ to be the closed subset

$$
\Sigma_{\vec{a}}(\mathcal{V})=\left\{\Lambda \in G(n, k) \mid \operatorname{dim}\left(V_{n-k+i-a_{i}} \cap \Lambda\right) \geq i \text { for all } i\right\} .
$$

We know that the class $\left[\Sigma_{\vec{a}}(\mathcal{V})\right] \in A(G(n, k))$ does not depend on the choice of the flag, since any two flags differ by an element of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$, where $A(G(n, k))$ is the Chow group of $G(n, k)$. So we shorten the notation to $\Sigma_{\vec{a}}$ and define Schubert classes

$$
\sigma_{\vec{a}}:=\left[\Sigma_{\vec{a}}\right] \in A(G(n, k)) .
$$

The following theorem shows that $A(G(n, k))$ is a free abelian group and that the classes $\sigma_{\vec{a}}$ form a basis.

Theorem 2.1. ([E-H] Corollary 4.7) The Schubert classes form a free basis for $A(G(n, k))$, and the intersection form

$$
A^{m}(G(n, k)) \times A^{\operatorname{dim} G(n, k)-m}(G(n, k)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

have the Schubert classes as dual bases.
To simplify notation, we generally suppress trailing zeros in the indices, writing $\sigma_{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{s}}$ in place of $\sigma_{\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{s}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)}$. Also, we use the shorthand $\sigma_{p^{r}}$ to denote $\sigma_{p, \cdots, p}$ with $r$ indices equal to $p$.

Let $\mathscr{V}:=G(n, k) \times V$ be the trivial vector bundle of rank $n$ on $G(n, k)$ whose fiber at every point is the vector space $V$. We write $S$ for the rank- $k$ subbundle of $\mathscr{V}$ whose fiber at a point $\Lambda \in G(n, k)$ is the subspace $\Lambda$ itself; that is,

$$
S_{[\Lambda]}=\Lambda \subset V=\mathscr{V}_{[\Lambda]} .
$$

$S$ is called the universal subbundle on $G(n, k)$; the quotient $Q=\mathscr{V} / S$ is called the universal quotient bundle,i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow \kappa^{n} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.2. (see [E-H] Sec. 5.6.2 Grassmannians or [G-H] Sec. 3.3)

$$
c_{p} S=(-1)^{p} \sigma_{1^{p}}
$$

Next we will talk about the intersection of Schubert classes. One situation in which we can give a simple formula for the product of Schubert classes is when one of the classes has the special form $\sigma_{b}$ with integer $b$. Such classes are called special Schubert classes.

Proposition 2.3. (Pieri's Formula) For any Schubert class $\sigma_{\vec{a}}$ and any integer $b$,

$$
\sigma_{b} \sigma_{\vec{a}}=\sum_{\substack{|\vec{c}|=\vec{a} \mid+b \\ a_{i} \leq c_{i} i a_{i-1}, v v_{i}}} \sigma_{\vec{c}}
$$

Pieri's formula tells us how to intersect an arbitrary Schubert class with one of the special Schubert classes $\sigma_{b}$ with integer $b$. Giambelli's formula is complementary, in that it tells us how to express an arbitrary Schubert class in terms of special ones; the two together give us a way of calculating the product of two arbitrary Schubert classes.

Proposition 2.4. (Giambelli's Formula)

$$
\sigma_{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{q}}=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
\sigma_{a_{1}} & \sigma_{a_{1}+1} & \sigma_{a_{1}+2} & \cdots & \sigma_{a_{1}+q-1}  \tag{2.3}\\
\sigma_{a_{2}-1} & \sigma_{a_{2}} & \sigma_{a_{2}+1} & \cdots & \sigma_{a_{2}+q-2} \\
\sigma_{a_{3}-2} & \sigma_{a_{3}-1} & \sigma_{a_{3}} & \cdots & \sigma_{a_{3}+q-3} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sigma_{a_{q}-q+1} & \sigma_{a_{q}-q+2} & \sigma_{a_{q}-q+3} & \cdots & \sigma_{a_{q}}
\end{array}\right|
$$

## 3. A linear bound on the Chern ratios

Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective variety of dimension $n(n \geq 2)$ over an algebraic closed field $\kappa$ with any characteristic. Suppose $K_{X}$ or $-K_{X}$ is ample and $m K_{X}$ is very ample ( $m$ can be negative if $-K_{X}$ is ample, i.e. $X$ is Fano).

When $X$ is a complex surface and $L$ is an ample line bundle on $X$, Reider ([Rei]) showed that $K_{X}+3 L$ is always generated by global sections and $K_{X}+4 L$ very ample. Around the same period, Fujita ([Fuj]) raised the following interesting conjecture.

Fujita's Conjecture: Let $X$ be a smooth n-dimensional complex projective algebraic variety and let $L$ be an ample divisor on X.
(1) For $t \geq n+1, t L+K_{X}$ is base point free.
(2) For $t \geq n+2, t L+K_{X}$ is very ample.

For the very ampleness conjecture, one of the first results proved in dimension $n \geq 3$ is the very ampleness of $2 K_{X}+12 n^{n} L$, using an analytic method based on the solution of a Monge-Ampère equation by Demailly (see [Dem1]). Other related works are ([Dem2], [E-L-N], [Siu1], [Siu2], [Siu3], [Yeu]) to improve the effective bound.

Since those proofs rely on the Kodaira Vanishing Theorem and its generalizations, it seems to us that we don't have such results for positive characteristic. However, Smith proved another version of the Fujita conjecture in arbitrary characteristic if $L$ is ample and generated by global sections via tight closure theory (see [Smi1], [Smi2]). Later, Keeler used the method of positive characteristic to show another version of Fujita's Conjecture (see [Kee]).

Theorem 3.1. (see [Kee], Theorem 1.1) Let $X$ be a projective scheme of pure dimension $n$, smooth over a field $\kappa$ of arbitrary characteristic. Let $L$ be an ample, globally generated line bundle and let $H$ be an ample line bundle. Then
(1) $K_{X}+n L+H$ is base point free.
(2) $K_{X}+(n+1) L+H$ is very ample.

We will use the results of Fujita's very ampleness conjecture to get a bound which depends only on the dimension $n$ for the Chern ratios and will use Van de Ven's idea first (cf. [Hun] Introduction).

Assume $i: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the canonical embedding defined by the linear system $\left|m K_{X}\right|$ (i.e. $m K_{X}=i^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{v}}(1)$ ). Let $\gamma$ be the Gauss map:

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma: & X  \tag{3.4}\\
x & \longmapsto\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}, \mathbb{P}^{n}\right)=G(N+1, n+1) \\
& \longmapsto T_{X, x},
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{X, x}$ is the tangent space to $X$ at $x$. There is an usual bundle sequence on $G(N+1, n+1)$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow \kappa^{N+1} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0
$$

where $S$ is the universal bundle (see [G-H] Chapter I or [E-H] Chapter 3), which pulls back to an exact sequence on $X$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \gamma^{*} S \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \kappa^{N+1} \rightarrow \gamma^{*} Q \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand we have the Euler exact sequence on $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}^{N+1} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1) \rightarrow 0
$$

which pulls back to an exact sequence on $X$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow i^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1) \rightarrow i^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}^{N+1} \rightarrow i^{*} T_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover we have the twisted adjunction sequence on $X$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow T_{X}(-1) \rightarrow i^{*} T_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1) \rightarrow N_{X / \mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

These three sequences (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) fit together in a diagram


By the snake lemma, we have the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow i^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1) \rightarrow \gamma^{*} S \rightarrow T_{X}(-1) \rightarrow 0
$$

i.e.

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right) \rightarrow \gamma^{*} S \rightarrow T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)=c\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right) c\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $E$ be a vector bundle of rank $r$ and $L$ be a line bundle on a scheme $X$ over an algebraic closed field $k$. Then for all $p \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{p}(E \otimes L)=\sum_{i=0}^{p}\binom{r-i}{p-i} c_{i}(E) c_{1}(L)^{p-i} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above lemma, we have the following result.

## Lemma 3.3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)=\sum_{p=0}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{p}\binom{n-i}{p-i} m^{p-i} c_{i} c_{1}^{p-i}\right. \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we will prove our main theorem. The following two lemmas are needed in the proof.
Lemma 3.4. Let $D_{0}=1$, and for any positive integer $n$ let

$$
D_{n}=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & \cdots & a_{n} \\
1 & a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{n-1} \\
& 1 & a_{1} & \cdots & a_{n-2} \\
0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & & 1 & a_{1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

then one has

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i} D_{i} a_{n-i}=0
$$

here $a_{0}=1$.
Proof. Using the expansion $D_{n}$ along the first row, one sees that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{n} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{1+i} a_{i} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & a_{1} & \cdots & a_{i-2} & a_{i} & a_{i+1} & \cdots & a_{n-1} \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
& & 1 & a_{1} & a_{3} & a_{4} & \cdots & a_{n-i+2} \\
& & & 1 & a_{2} & a_{3} & \cdots & a_{n-i+1} \\
& & & a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{n-i} \\
0 & & & & & a_{1} & \cdots & a_{n-i-1} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{1+i} a_{i} D_{n-i} .
\end{array}\right. \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i} D_{i} a_{n-i}=0
$$

Q.E.D.

We say cycles $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ satisfying $\delta_{1} \leq \delta_{2}$ if and only if $\delta_{2}-\delta_{1}$ is a nonnegative cycle.
Lemma 3.5. $\sigma_{1^{t}} \leq \sigma_{1}^{t}$, where $t$ is a positive integer.
Proof. By Pieri's Formula and induction on $t$, we have

$$
\sigma_{1^{t}} \leq \sigma_{1^{t-1}} \sigma_{1} \leq \sigma_{1}^{t} .
$$

Q.E.D.

The crucial idea for proving the main theorem is pulling back effective Schubert classes of the Chow group of the Grassmannian under the Gauss map after Schubert calculating in the Grassmannian. So we need to guarantee the intersection theory of Schubert cycles can be kept under the Guass map which is true by Zak's theorem.

Theorem 3.6. ([Zak], Corollary 2.8) Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective variety of dimension $n$ and $X \neq \mathbb{P}^{n}$ over an algebraically closed field $\kappa$. Then the Gauss map is finite. If in addition the characteristic of $\kappa$ is 0 , then the Gauss map is the normalization morphism.

Let $n$ be a positive integer. Denote $p(n)$ to be the partition number of $n$, i.e. the number of the way to express $n$ as the summation of positive integers without considering the orders of them. We can define alphabet order of all vectors as follows. Given any two vectors $\vec{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{r}\right)$ and $\vec{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{s}\right)$ such that $a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \cdots \geq a_{r}>0$ and $b_{1} \geq b_{2} \geq$ $\cdots \geq b_{s}>0$. Suppose $r=s$ otherwise we just put 0 's after the short one such that $l(\vec{a})=l(\vec{b})$. If $a_{1}>b_{1}$ then we denote $\vec{a}>\vec{b}$. Otherwise if $a_{1}=b_{1}$, then we compare $a_{2}$ and $b_{2}$. Without loss of generality, suppose $a_{2}>b_{2}$ then we denote $\vec{a}>\vec{b}$. Otherwise if $a_{1}=b_{1}$ and $a_{2}=b_{2}$, then we compare $a_{3}$ and $b_{3}$ and keep going. So we can compare the order of any two vectors $\vec{a}$ and $\vec{b}$.

Suppose $a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \cdots \geq a_{r}>0$. Denote $c_{\vec{a}}=c_{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{r}}:=c_{a_{1}} c_{a_{2}} \cdots c_{a_{r}}$, $c_{\vec{a}} S=c_{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{r}} S:=c_{a_{1}} S c_{a_{2}} S \cdots c_{a_{r}} S$ and $c_{i}^{t} S:=\left(c_{i} S\right)^{t}$.
Theorem 3.7. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective variety of dimension $n$ over an algebraically closed field $\kappa$ with any characteristic. Suppose $K_{X}$ (or $-K_{X}$ ) is ample. If the characteristic of $\kappa$ is 0 or the characteristic of $\kappa$ is positive and $\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-K_{X}\right)\right.$, respectively $)$ is globally generated, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{c_{2,1^{n-2}}}{c_{1^{n}}}, \frac{c_{2,2,1^{n-4}}}{c_{1^{n}}}, \cdots, \frac{c_{n}}{c_{1^{n}}}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{p(n)} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is contained in a convex polyhedron in $\mathbb{A}^{p(n)}$ independent of $X$, where the elements in the parentheses are arranged from small to big in terms of the alphabet order of the lower indices of the numerators.

Proof. Assume $X \neq \mathbb{P}^{n}$ without loss of generality, because finite objects will not affect the result. Suppose $m K_{X}$ is very ample ( $m$ can be negative if $-K_{X}$ is ample, i.e. $X$ is Fano), where $m$ only depends on $n$ by the known results of Fujita's very ampleness conjecture. By Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq(-1)^{n} c_{\vec{a}} S=(-1)^{n} c_{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{r}} S \leq(-1)^{n} c_{1^{n}} S, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\vec{a}$ with $a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \cdots \geq a_{r}>0$ and $|\vec{a}|=\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i}=n$. Let $\gamma$ be the Gauss map defined in (3.4). From Zak's Theorem 3.6, $\gamma$ is finite. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq(-1)^{n} c_{\vec{a}}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) \leq(-1)^{n}\left(c_{1^{n}}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We only need to show that each element in the $q$-th coordinate is bounded independent of $X$. We use induction on $q$. For $q=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq(-1)^{n} c_{2,1^{n-2}}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) \leq(-1)^{n}\left(c_{1^{n}}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Form (3.8) and Lemma3.3, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)=  \tag{3.15}\\
=c_{1}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+m c_{1} \\
=(n m+1) c_{1}+m c_{1} \\
=((n+1) m+1) c_{1} .  \tag{3.16}\\
c_{2}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)=c_{2}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+m c_{1} c_{1}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right) \\
=\left(\frac{1}{2} n(n-1) m^{2}+(n-1) m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}+m c_{1}(n m+1) c_{1} \\
=\left(\frac{1}{2} n(n+1) m^{2}+n m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq(-1)^{n}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} n(n+1) m^{2}+n m\right) c_{1}^{2}\right. & \left.+c_{2}\right)((n+1) m+1)^{n-2} c_{1}^{n-2} \\
& \leq(-1)^{n}((n+1) m+1)^{n} c_{1}^{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\frac{c_{2,1 n^{-2}}}{c_{1 n}}$ is bounded independent of $X$, i.e., $q=1$ is correct.
Now for any $\vec{a}$ with $a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \cdots \geq a_{r}>0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i}=n$, by Lemma 3.3 and (3.8), one sees

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{p}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{x}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{p}\binom{n-i}{p-i} m^{p-i} c_{i} c_{1}^{p-i} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{p}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)=c_{p}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+c_{p-1}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right) m c_{1} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{p}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{p}\binom{n-i}{p-i} m^{p-i} c_{i} c_{1}^{p-i}+\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\binom{n-i}{p-1-i} m^{p-i} c_{i} c_{1}^{p-i} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.13), it follows

$$
0 \leq(-1)^{n} c_{\vec{a}}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) \leq(-1)^{n}((n+1) m+1)^{n} c_{1}^{n} .
$$

We can see that the biggest lower index of Chern class in the left hand side of (3.19) is $\vec{a}$, so we can control the value of $\frac{c_{\vec{a}}}{c_{1}{ }^{n}}$ in terms of $\frac{c_{\vec{b}}}{c_{1} n}$, for every $\vec{b}<\vec{a}$. By induction, each $\frac{c_{\vec{b}}}{c_{1^{n}}}$ is independent of $X$, so we are done.
Q.E.D.

By the proof of the above theorem, we can have the following result easily.

Corollary 3.8. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective variety of dimension $n$ over an algebraically closed field $\kappa$ with any characteristic. Suppose $K_{X}$ (or $\left.-K_{X}\right)$ is ample. If the characteristic of $\kappa$ is 0 or the characteristic of $\kappa$ is positive and $\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-K_{X}\right)\right.$, respectively) is globally generated, then the Euler number $\chi_{\text {top }}(X)$ and Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf $\chi\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ quotient by $K_{X}^{n}$ is bounded, i.e. there exist constants $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}$ and $d_{4}$, which do not depend on $X$ but only on $n$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1} K_{X}^{n} \leq \chi_{\text {top }}(X) \leq d_{2} K_{X}^{n} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{3} K_{X}^{n} \leq \chi\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right) \leq d_{4} K_{X}^{n} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\chi_{\text {top }}(X)=c_{n}, 3.20$ holds by Theorem 3.7.
For (3.21), it is direct result from Theorem 3.7 and Grothendieck-Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem since the Todd classs of $X$ can be express as the linear combination of Chern classes.
Q.E.D.

## 4. equalities of Chern classes

Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective $n$-fold ( $n \geq 2$ ) of Fano or of general type with ample canonical bundle $K_{X}$ over an algebraically closed field $\kappa$ of any characteristic. We will produce a new method to give a bunch of inequalities in terms of all the Chern classes $c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{n}$ by pulling back Schubert classes in the Grassmannian under Gauss map. Hold the notations in Section 2 and 3.

For nonsingular surface ( $n=2$ ):
By (3.8) and Lemma3.3, we have

$$
c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)=c_{1}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+m c_{1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(2 m+1) c_{1}+m c_{1} \\
& =(3 m+1) c_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{2}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) & =c_{2}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+m c_{1} c_{1}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(m^{2}+m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}+m c_{1}(2 m+1) c_{1} \\
& =\left(3 m^{2}+2 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Proposition 2.2, it follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
-c_{1} S=\sigma_{1}, \\
c_{2} S=\sigma_{1,1}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{1} & \sigma_{2} \\
1 & \sigma_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\sigma_{1}^{2}-\sigma_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
0 \leq c_{2} S=\sigma_{1,1}=\sigma_{1}^{2}-\sigma_{2} \leq \sigma_{1}^{2}=\left(c_{1} S\right)^{2}
$$

Thank for Theorem 3.6, one sees

$$
0 \leq c_{2}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) \leq\left(c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)\right)^{2}
$$

i.e.

$$
0 \leq\left(3 m^{2}+2 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2} \leq(3 m+1)^{2} c_{1}^{2}
$$

i.e.

$$
-\left(3 m^{2}+2 m\right) c_{1}^{2} \leq c_{2} \leq\left(6 m^{2}+4 m+1\right) c_{1}^{2}
$$

Remark 4.1. From Bombieri's ([Bom]) or Reider's ([Rei]) result, we know that if characteristic of the field $\kappa$ is 0 and $K_{X}$ is ample, then $5 K_{X}$ is very ample. If characteristic of the field $\kappa$ is positive, by Ekedahl's result ([Eke] or cf. [Ca-Fr]), then we also have $5 K_{X}$ is very ample. So the result is not new. However, if $K_{X}$ is very ample, we have a uniform formula

$$
-5 c_{1}^{2} \leq c_{2} \leq 11 c_{1}^{2}
$$

independent of the characteristic of $\kappa$.
For nonsingular 3-fold $(n=3)$ :
By (3.8) and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) & =c_{1}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+m c_{1} \\
& =(3 m+1) c_{1}+m c_{1} \\
& =(4 m+1) c_{1}, \\
c_{2}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) & =c_{2}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+m c_{1} c_{1}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(3 m^{2}+2 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}+m c_{1}(3 m+1) c_{1} \\
& =\left(6 m^{2}+3 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{3}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) & =c_{3}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+m c_{1} c_{2}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(4 m^{3}+3 m^{2}\right) c_{1}^{3}+2 m c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3} \\
& =m^{2}(4 m+3) c_{1}^{3}+2 m c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Proposition 2.2, one obtains

$$
\begin{gathered}
-c_{1} S=\sigma_{1}, \\
c_{2} S=\sigma_{1,1}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{1} & \sigma_{2} \\
1 & \sigma_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\sigma_{1}^{2}-\sigma_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
-c_{3} S=\sigma_{1,1,1}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{1} & \sigma_{2} & \sigma_{3} \\
1 & \sigma_{1} & \sigma_{2} \\
0 & 1 & \sigma_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\sigma_{1}^{3}+\sigma_{3}-2 \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}
$$

So

$$
-c_{1} S c_{2} S=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{1,1}=\sigma_{1}^{3}-\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \leq \sigma_{1}^{3}=-\left(c_{1} S\right)^{3}
$$

By Zak's theorem, we can get an inequality in terms of $c_{1} c_{2}$ and $c_{1}^{3}$ :

$$
c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) c_{2}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) \geq\left(c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)\right)^{3}
$$

i.e.,

$$
(4 m+1) c_{1}\left(\left(6 m^{2}+3 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}\right) \geq(4 m+1)^{3} c_{1}^{3}
$$

i.e.

$$
(4 m+1) c_{1} c_{2} \geq(4 m+1)\left(10 m^{2}+5 m+1\right) c_{1}^{3} .
$$

Moreover,

$$
-c_{1} S c_{2} S=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{1,1}=\sigma_{2,1}+\sigma_{1,1,1} \geq \sigma_{1,1,1}=-c_{3} S \geq 0
$$

So

$$
c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) c_{2}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) \leq c_{3}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) \leq 0
$$

i.e.,

$$
(4 m+1) c_{1}\left(\left(6 m^{2}+3 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}\right) \leq m^{2}(4 m+3) c_{1}^{3}+2 m c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3} \leq 0,
$$

i.e.

$$
m\left(20 m^{2}+15 m+3\right) c_{1}^{3}+(2 m+1) c_{1} c_{2} \leq c_{3} \leq-\left(m^{2}(4 m+3) c_{1}^{3}+2 m c_{1} c_{2}\right)
$$

Remark 4.2. The right hand side of the above inequality is deduced by Hunt in Section 1 of [Hun] by using Gauss-Bonnet Theorem I (see [G-H], Chapter 3.3). But the left hand side of the above inequality is new.

## For nonsingular 4-folds:

By (3.8) and Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)= & 1+(4 m+1) c_{1}+\left(\left(6 m^{2}+3 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}\right) \\
& +\left(\left(4 m^{3}+3 m^{2}\right) c_{1}^{3}+2 m c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3}\right) \\
& +\left(\left(m^{4}+m^{3}\right) c_{1}^{4}+m^{2} c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+m c_{1} c_{3}+c_{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)= & c_{1}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+m c_{1} \\
= & (4 m+1) c_{1}+m c_{1} \\
= & (5 m+1) c_{1}, \\
c_{2}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)= & c_{2}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+m c_{1} c_{1}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(6 m^{2}+3 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}+m c_{1}(4 m+1) c_{1} \\
= & \left(10 m^{2}+4 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}, \\
c_{3}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)= & c_{3}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+m c_{1} c_{2}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(4 m^{3}+3 m^{2}\right) c_{1}^{3}+2 m c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3} \\
& +m c_{1}\left(\left(6 m^{2}+3 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}\right) \\
= & \left(10 m^{3}+6 m^{2}\right) c_{1}^{3}+3 m c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{4}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)= & c_{4}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+m c_{1} c_{3}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(m^{4}+m^{3}\right) c_{1}^{4}+m^{2} c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+m c_{1} c_{3}+c_{4} \\
& +m c_{1}\left(\left(4 m^{3}+3 m^{2}\right) c_{1}^{3}+2 m c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3}\right) \\
= & \left(5 m^{4}+4 m^{3}\right) c_{1}^{4}+3 m^{2} c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+2 m c_{1} c_{3}+c_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Proposition 2.2, it follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
-c_{1} S=\sigma_{1}, \\
c_{2} S=\sigma_{1,1}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{1} & \sigma_{2} \\
1 & \sigma_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\sigma_{1}^{2}-\sigma_{2}, \\
-c_{3} S=\sigma_{1,1,1}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{1} & \sigma_{2} & \sigma_{3} \\
1 & \sigma_{1} & \sigma_{2} \\
0 & 1 & \sigma_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\sigma_{1}^{3}+\sigma_{3}-2 \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
c_{4} S=\sigma_{1,1,1,1}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\sigma_{1} & \sigma_{2} & \sigma_{3} & \sigma_{4} \\
1 & \sigma_{1} & \sigma_{2} & \sigma_{3} \\
0 & 1 & \sigma_{1} & \sigma_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \sigma_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\sigma_{1}^{4}-3 \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}+2 \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}+\sigma_{2}^{2}-\sigma_{4}
$$

Similarly, we can have several inequalities.
(1) We can get an inequality in terms of $c_{1}^{2} c_{2}$ and $c_{1}^{4}$ :

$$
0 \leq\left(c_{1} S\right)^{2} c_{2} S=\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1,1}=\sigma_{1}^{4}-\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2} \leq \sigma_{1}^{4}=\left(c_{1} S\right)^{4}
$$

so

$$
0 \leq\left(c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)\right)^{2} c_{2}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) \leq\left(c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)\right)^{4},
$$

i.e.,

$$
0 \leq(5 m+1)^{2} c_{1}^{2}\left(\left(10 m^{2}+4 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}\right) \leq(5 m+1)^{4} c_{1}^{4},
$$

i.e.,

$$
-(5 m+2) c_{1}^{4} \leq c_{1}^{2} c_{2} \leq\left(15 m^{2}+6 m+1\right) c_{1}^{4}
$$

(2) We can also get an inequality in terms of $c_{1}^{2} c_{2}, c_{1} c_{3}$ and $c_{1}^{4}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq c_{1} S c_{3} S & =\sigma_{1} \sigma_{1,1,1} \\
& =\sigma_{1}^{4}+\sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}-2 \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2} \\
& =\sigma_{1}^{4}+\sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}-2 \sigma_{1}\left(\sigma_{3}+\sigma_{2,1}\right) \\
& =\sigma_{1}^{4}-\sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}-2 \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2,1} \leq \sigma_{1}^{4}=\left(c_{1} S\right)^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
0 \leq c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) c_{3}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) \leq\left(c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)\right)^{4}
$$

i.e.

$$
0 \leq(5 m+1) c_{1}\left(\left(10 m^{3}+6 m^{2}\right) c_{1}^{3}+3 m c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3}\right) \leq(5 m+1)^{4} c_{1}^{4}
$$

i.e.

$$
-2 m^{2}(5 m+3) c_{1}^{4}-3 m c_{1}^{2} c_{2} \leq c_{1} c_{3} \leq\left(115 m^{3}+69 m^{2}+15 m+1\right) c_{1}^{4}-3 m c_{1}^{2} c_{2}
$$

(3) We can also get an inequality in terms of $c_{1}^{2} c_{2}, c_{2}^{2}$ and $c_{1}^{4}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq\left(c_{2} S\right)^{2} & =\sigma_{1,1}^{2} \\
& =\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}-\sigma_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sigma_{1}^{4}-2 \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2} \\
& =\sigma_{1}^{4}-2\left(\sigma_{1,1}+\sigma_{2}\right) \sigma_{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2} \\
& =\sigma_{1}^{4}-2 \sigma_{1,1}-\sigma_{2}^{2} \leq \sigma_{1}^{4}=\left(c_{1} S\right)^{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
0 \leq\left(c_{2}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)\right)^{2} \leq\left(c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)\right)^{4},
$$

i.e.

$$
0 \leq\left(\left(10 m^{2}+4 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}\right)^{2} \leq(5 m+1)^{4} c_{1}^{4}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -4 m^{2}(5 m+2)^{2} c_{1}^{4}-4 m(5 m+2) c_{1}^{2} c_{2} \leq c_{2}^{2} \\
\leq & \left((5 m+1)^{4}-4 m^{2}(5 m+2)^{2}\right) c_{1}^{4}-4 m(5 m+2) c_{1}^{2} c_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) We can also get an inequality in terms of $c_{1}^{2} c_{2}, c_{1} c_{3}, c_{4}$ and $c_{1}^{4}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq c_{4} S= & \sigma_{1,1,1,1} \\
= & \sigma_{1}^{4}-3 \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}+2 \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}+\sigma_{2}^{2}-\sigma_{4} \\
= & \sigma_{1}^{4}-2\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}+\sigma_{2,1} \sigma_{1}\right)-\left(\sigma_{2}^{2}+\sigma_{1,1} \sigma_{2}\right) \\
& +2 \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}+\sigma_{2}^{2}-\sigma_{4} \\
= & \sigma_{1}^{4}-2 \sigma_{2,1} \sigma_{1}-\sigma_{1,1} \sigma_{2}-\sigma_{4} \leq \sigma_{1}^{4}=\left(c_{1} S\right)^{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
0 \leq c_{4}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) \leq\left(c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)\right)^{4},
$$

i.e.,

$$
0 \leq\left(5 m^{4}+4 m^{3}\right) c_{1}^{4}+3 m^{2} c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+2 m c_{1} c_{3}+c_{4} \leq(5 m+1)^{4} c_{1}^{4},
$$

i.e.,

$$
-\left(5 m^{4}+4 m^{3}\right) c_{1}^{4} \leq m^{2} c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+2 m c_{1} c_{3}+c_{4} \leq\left((5 m+1)^{4}-\left(5 m^{4}+4 m^{3}\right)\right) c_{1}^{4}
$$

(5) We can also get an inequality in terms of $c_{1}^{2} c_{2}, c_{2}^{2}$ and $c_{1}^{4}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(c_{1} S\right)^{2} c_{2} S & =\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1,1} \\
& =\sigma_{1}^{4}-\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2} \\
& =\sigma_{1}^{4}-2 \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}+\left(\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{1,1}\right) \sigma_{2} \\
& \geq \sigma_{1}^{4}-2 \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2} \\
& =\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}-\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}=\left(c_{2} S\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\left(c_{2}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)\right)^{2} \leq\left(c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)\right)^{2} c_{2}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right),
$$

i.e.

$$
\left(\left(10 m^{2}+4 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}\right)^{2} \leq(5 m+1)^{2} c_{1}^{2}\left(\left(10 m^{2}+4 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}\right),
$$

i.e.

$$
c_{2}^{2} \leq 2 m(5 m+2)\left(15 m^{2}+6 m+1\right) c_{1}^{4}+\left(5 m^{2}+2 m+1\right) c_{1}^{2} c_{2}
$$

(6) We can also get an inequality in terms of $c_{1}^{2} c_{2}, c_{2}^{2}, c_{1} c_{3}$ and $c_{1}^{4}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(c_{2} S\right)^{2}-c_{1} S c_{3} S & =\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}-\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}-\sigma_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}^{3}+\sigma_{3}-2 \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\right) \\
& =\sigma_{2}^{2}-\sigma_{1} \sigma_{3} \\
& =\left(\sigma_{4}+\sigma_{3,1}+\sigma_{2,2}\right)-\left(\sigma_{4}+\sigma_{3,1}\right) \\
& =\sigma_{2,2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

SO

$$
c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) c_{3}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) \leq\left(c_{2}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)\right)^{2}
$$

i.e.

$$
(5 m+1) c_{1}\left(\left(10 m^{3}+6 m^{2}\right) c_{1}^{3}+3 m c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3}\right) \leq\left(\left(10 m^{2}+4 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

i.e.

$$
c_{1} c_{3} \leq 10 m^{2}\left(5 m^{2}+4 m+1\right) c_{1}^{4}+5 m(4 m+1) c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+c_{2}^{2}
$$

(7) We can also get an inequality in terms of $c_{1}^{2} c_{2}, c_{4}, c_{1} c_{3}$ and $c_{1}^{4}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1} S c_{3} S-c_{4} S= & \sigma_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}^{3}+\sigma_{3}-2 \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\right) \\
& -\left(\sigma_{1}^{4}-3 \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}+2 \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}+\sigma_{2}^{2}-\sigma_{4}\right) \\
= & \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}-\sigma_{2}^{2}+\sigma_{4} \\
= & \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2,1}+\sigma_{4}-\left(\sigma_{4}+\sigma_{3,1}+\sigma_{2,2}\right) \\
= & \sigma_{2,1,1} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
c_{4}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) \leq c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right) c_{3}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right),
$$

i.e.,
$\left(5 m^{4}+4 m^{3}\right) c_{1}^{4}+3 m^{2} c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+2 m c_{1} c_{3}+c_{4} \leq(5 m+1) c_{1}\left(\left(10 m^{3}+6 m^{2}\right) c_{1}^{3}+3 m c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3}\right)$, i.e.

$$
c_{4} \leq 3 m^{2}\left(15 m^{2}+12 m+2\right) c_{1}^{4}+3 m(4 m+1) c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+(3 m+1) c_{1} c_{3} .
$$

## For general dimension $n$ :

Let $c_{0} S=\sigma_{0}=1$, by Lemma 3.4. Giambelli's formula and Proposition 2.2, one obtains

$$
c_{n} S \sigma_{0}+c_{n-1} S \sigma_{1}+c_{n-2} S \sigma_{2}+\cdots+c_{1} S \sigma_{n-1}+c_{0} S \sigma_{n}=0
$$

for any positive integer $n$. This implies

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{i} S x^{i} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{i} x^{i}=1+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{j} c_{i} S \sigma_{j-i}\right) x^{j}=1
$$

where $x$ is an indeterminate. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{i} x^{i} & =\frac{1}{1+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_{i} S x^{i}} \\
& =1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_{i} S x^{i}\right)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{i_{1}+\ldots+i_{i}=m \\
i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k} \geq 1}} c_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k}} S x^{m}\right) \\
& =1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left((-1)^{k} \sum_{\substack{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{i}=m \\
i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k} \geq 1}} c_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k}} S\right) x^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, one sees

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{m} & =\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left((-1)^{k} \sum_{\substack{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{k}=m \\
i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k} \geq 1}} c_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k}} S\right)  \tag{4.22}\\
& =\sum_{\substack{j_{1}+2 j_{j}+\cdots+m j_{m}=m \\
j_{1}, \cdots, j_{m} \geq 0}}(-1)^{j_{1}+\cdots+j_{m}} \frac{\left(j_{1}+\cdots+j_{m}\right)!}{j_{1}!\cdots j_{m}!} c_{1^{j_{1}}} S \cdots c_{m^{j m}} S .
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
-c_{1} S & =\sigma_{1}  \tag{4.23}\\
c_{1}^{2} S-c_{2} S & =\sigma_{2}  \tag{4.24}\\
-c_{1}^{3} S+2 c_{1} S c_{2} S-c_{3} S & =\sigma_{3}  \tag{4.25}\\
c_{1}^{4} S-3 c_{1}^{2} S c_{2} S+2 c_{1} S c_{3} S+c_{2}^{2} S-c_{4} S & =\sigma_{4} \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

We can have a bunch of inequalities of Chern classes.
Step 1: Express $n$ as the summation of positive integers without considering the orders of them, say $a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \cdots \geq a_{r}>0$, such that $n \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i}$. Let $\vec{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{r}\right)$.

Step 2: By Giambelli's Formula, write $\sigma_{\vec{a}}$ in terms of $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \cdots, \sigma_{n}$.
Step 3: By (4.22), express $\sigma_{\vec{a}}$ in terms of $c_{1} S, \cdots, c_{n} S$.
Step 4: Since $\sigma_{\vec{a}}>0$, by Zak's Theorem 3.6, pull back of the $\sigma_{\vec{a}}$ under Gauss map $\gamma$, we can express $\gamma^{*}\left(\sigma_{\vec{a}}\right)$ in terms of $\gamma^{*}\left(c_{1} S\right), \cdots, \gamma^{*}\left(c_{n} S\right)$ which is great than or equal to 0 .

Stpe 5: Express $\gamma^{*}\left(c_{1} S\right), \cdots, \gamma^{*}\left(c_{n} S\right)$ in terms of $c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{n}$ by (3.8) and Lemma 3.3 and get a inequality finally.

Example 4.1. Suppose $n=5$.
Step 1: Consider $\vec{a}=(3,2)$;
Step 2: Calculate

$$
\sigma_{3,2}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{3} & \sigma_{4} \\
\sigma_{1} & \sigma_{2}
\end{array}\right|=\sigma_{3} \sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1} \sigma_{4}
$$

Step 3: We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \sigma_{3,2}= & \left(-c_{1}^{3} S+2 c_{1} S c_{2} S-c_{3} S\right)\left(c_{1}^{2} S-c_{2} S\right) \\
& +c_{1} S\left(c_{1}^{4} S-3 c_{1}^{2} S c_{2} S+2 c_{1} S c_{3} S+c_{2}^{2} S-c_{4} S\right) \\
= & c_{1}^{2} S c_{3} S-c_{1} S c_{2}^{2} S+c_{3} S c_{2} S-c_{1} S c_{4} S
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4:
$\left(\gamma^{*}\left(c_{1} S\right)\right)^{2} \gamma^{*}\left(c_{3} S\right)-\gamma^{*}\left(c_{1} S\right)\left(\gamma^{*}\left(c_{2} S\right)\right)^{2}+\gamma^{*}\left(c_{3} S\right) \gamma^{*}\left(c_{2} S\right)-\gamma^{*}\left(c_{1} S\right) \gamma^{*}\left(c_{4} S\right) \geq 0$.
Step 5: By (3.8) and Lemma 3.3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)= & c_{1}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+m c_{1} \\
= & (5 m+1) c_{1}+m c_{1} \\
= & (6 m+1) c_{1}, \\
c_{2}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)= & c_{2}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+m c_{1} c_{1}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(10 m^{2}+4 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}+m c_{1}(5 m+1) c_{1} \\
= & \left(15 m^{2}+5 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}, \\
c_{3}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)= & c_{3}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+m c_{1} c_{2}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(10 m^{3}+6 m^{2}\right) c_{1}^{3}+3 m c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3} \\
& +m c_{1}\left(\left(10 m^{2}+4 m\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}\right) \\
= & \left(20 m^{3}+10 m^{2}\right) c_{1}^{3}+4 m c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{4}\left(\gamma^{*} S\right)= & c_{4}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right)+m c_{1} c_{3}\left(T_{X}\left(-m K_{X}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(5 m^{4}+4 m^{3}\right) c_{1}^{4}+3 m^{2} c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+2 m c_{1} c_{3}+c_{4} \\
& +m c_{1}\left(\left(10 m^{3}+6 m^{2}\right) c_{1}^{3}+3 m c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3}\right) \\
= & \left(15 m^{4}+10 m^{3}\right) c_{1}^{4}+6 m^{2} c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+3 m c_{1} c_{3}+c_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(420 m^{5}\right. & \left.+350 m^{4}+120 m^{3}+15 m^{2}\right) c_{1}^{5}+\left(8 m^{3}-18 m^{2}-6 m\right) c_{1}^{3} c_{2} \\
& +\left(33 m^{2}+14 m+1\right) c_{1}^{2} c_{3}-(2 m+1) c_{1} c_{2}^{2} \\
& -(6 m+1) c_{1} c_{4}+c_{2} c_{3} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $K_{X}$ is very ample, i.e. $m=1$, them we have

$$
-905 c_{1}^{5}-16 c_{1}^{3} c_{2}+48 c_{1}^{2} c_{3}-3 c_{1} c_{2}^{2}-7 c_{1} c_{4}+c_{2} c_{3} \geq 0
$$

Remark 4.3. For fixed dimension $n$, the number of the inequalities of Chern classes is $\sum_{i=2}^{n} p(n)$, where $p(n)$ is the partition number of $n$. Partitions can be graphically visualized with Young diagrams or Ferrers diagrams. They occur in a number of branches of mathematics and physics, including the study of symmetric polynomials, the symmetric group and in group representation theory in general. It is known that (cf. [And]) an asymptotic expression for $p(n)$ is given by

$$
p(n) \sim \frac{1}{4 n \sqrt{3}} \exp \left(\pi \sqrt{\frac{2 n}{3}}\right) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$
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