

# CONCORDANCE INVARIANTS OF DOUBLED KNOTS AND BLOWING UP

SE-GOO KIM AND KWAN YONG LEE

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\nu$  be either the Ozsváth–Szabó  $\tau$ -invariant or the Rasmussen  $s$ -invariant, suitably normalized. For a knot  $K$ , Livingston and Naik defined the invariant  $t_\nu(K)$  to be the minimum of  $k$  for which  $\nu$  of the  $k$ -twisted positive Whitehead double of  $K$  vanishes. They proved that  $t_\nu(K)$  is bounded above by  $-TB(-K)$ , where  $TB$  is the maximal Thurston–Bennequin number. We use a blowing up process to find a crossing change formula and a new upper bound for  $t_\nu$  in terms of the unknotting number. As an application, we present infinitely many knots  $K$  such that the difference between Livingston–Naik’s upper bound  $-TB(-K)$  and  $t_\nu(K)$  can be arbitrarily large.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\nu$  be an integer valued function on knots in the 3-sphere  $S^3$  satisfying the following: For all knots  $K$  and  $J$  and for all torus knots  $T_{p,q}$  with  $p, q > 0$ ,

- (1)  $\nu(K \# J) = \nu(K) + \nu(J)$ ,
- (2)  $|\nu(K)| \leq g_4(K)$ ,
- (3)  $\nu(T_{p,q}) = (p-1)(q-1)/2$ ,

where  $g_4(K)$  is the smooth 4-ball genus of  $K$ . If two knots  $K$  and  $J$  are smoothly concordant, then  $K \# -J$  is a slice knot, equivalently,  $g_4(K \# -J) = 0$ . Property (2) implies that  $|\nu(K \# -J)| \leq 0$  and (1) then implies that  $\nu(K) = \nu(J)$ . We conclude that  $\nu$  is a smooth concordance invariant. Now (1) implies that  $\nu$  is a homomorphism from the smooth concordance group of knots,  $\mathcal{C}$ , into  $\mathbb{Z}$ . This  $\nu$  can be either of the  $\tau$ -invariant of Ozsváth–Szabó [12] and Rasmussen defined from Heegaard Floer homology and negative one half the  $s$ -invariant of Rasmussen [14].

Let  $D_+(K, k)$  be the  $k$ -twisted positive Whitehead double of a knot  $K$ , which is depicted in Figure 1. Livingston and Naik [10] proved that, for every knot  $K$ , there is an integer  $t_\nu(K)$  such that  $\nu(D_+(K, k)) = 1$  for  $k < t_\nu(K)$  and  $\nu(D_+(K, k)) = 0$  for  $k \geq t_\nu(K)$ . This gives rise to a knot concordance invariant  $t_\nu$  associated with each knot concordance invariant  $\nu$  satisfying (1)–(3) since two concordant knots have concordant  $k$ -twisted positive Whitehead doubles for each  $k$ . Notice that the definition for  $t_K$  given by Livingston and Naik is equal to  $t_\nu(K) - 1$ . We follow the definition of Hedden [4]. This  $t_\nu$  invariant has been studied in [4, 10, 13]

Livingston and Naik [10, Theorem 2] found bounds for  $t_\nu$  as follows:


**Theorem 1.1** (Livingston–Naik). *The invariant  $t_\nu$  satisfies the inequality*

$$TB(K) < t_\nu(K) \leq -TB(-K)$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M25; Secondary 57N70.

This work is supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2015R1D1A1A01058384).

FIGURE 1.  $D_+(K, k)$ . Here  $w$  is the writhe of  $K$ .

for every knot  $K$ , where  $TB$  stands for the maximal Thurston–Bennequin number.

We say that the invariant  $\nu$  is *nonnegative in blowing up +1* or *BU nonnegative* if  $\nu(K) \geq 0$  for every knot  $K$  that can be changed to a slice knot by a finite sequence of blowing up +1's on zero linked unknots. A definition of blowing up +1 is given in Section 2. Combining the work of Cochran–Gompf [1] with the results of Ozsváth–Szabó [12] and Kronheimer–Mrowka [6], we can see that  $\tau$  and  $-s/2$  are BU nonnegative invariants. See Theorem 2.2.

We prove a crossing change formula for  $t_\nu$ :

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\nu$  be a BU nonnegative integer valued knot concordance invariant satisfying (1)–(3). If  $K_-$  is the knot obtained from a knot  $K_+$  by changing a crossing from positive to negative,*

$$t_\nu(K_-) \leq t_\nu(K_+) \leq t_\nu(K_-) + 4.$$

As applications, we have

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $\nu$  be a BU nonnegative integer valued knot concordance invariant satisfying (1)–(3).*

(a) *If a diagram of a knot  $K$  has  $p$  positive crossings and  $n$  negative crossings whose crossing changes convert  $K$  into a slice knot, then*

$$-4n \leq t_\nu(K) \leq 4p.$$

(b) *There are infinitely many linearly independent concordance classes of knots  $K$  such that  $t_\nu(K) \leq 4$  and  $-TB(-K)$  can be arbitrarily large.*

This shows that, if  $\nu$  is either  $\tau$  or  $-s/2$ , our upper bound for  $t_\nu$  is much better than that of Livingston and Naik for infinitely many knots.

## 2. BLOWING UP

We say that a knot  $J$  is constructed from a knot  $K$  by a *blowing up +1* if a diagram of  $J$  is obtained from a diagram of  $K$  by giving a right-handed full twist on a bunch of strings as shown in Figure 2(a). On the other hand, if the full twist is left-handed, this process is called a *blowing up -1*.

A blowing up +1 converting  $K$  into  $J$  yields a manifold pair  $(W, A)$ , where  $W$  is a twice punctured  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  and  $A$  is an annulus, with boundary  $\partial(W, A) = (-S^3, -J) \sqcup (S^3, K)$ , as described in Figure 2(b): Begin with  $(S^3 \times I, J \times I)$ , where  $I = [0, 1]$ , choose an unknot  $U$  with framing +1 around a bunch of strings of  $J$  in  $S^3 \times 1$ , attach a 2-handle along  $U$ , and slide the strings of  $J$  over  $U$  to get  $K$  that is split




FIGURE 2.

from  $U$ . Here, if the linking number of  $J$  with  $U$  is zero as in Figure 2, we call it a blowing up +1 on a zero linked unknot  $U$ . See [3] for more details.

Cochran and Gompf [1, Observation 2.3] showed that a blowing up +1 operation on a zero linked unknot yields an annulus  $A$  smoothly properly embedded in the twice punctured  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ , denoted  $W$ , in such a way that the relative homology  $[A, \partial A]$  is trivial in  $H_2(W, \partial W)$ . Furthermore, if  $J$  is a slice knot, then  $J$  bounds a 2-disk smoothly properly embedded in a 4-ball, which can be glued to a boundary of  $(W, A)$  to produce a once punctured  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  with a smoothly properly embedded disk. We summarize:

**Theorem 2.1** (Cochran–Gompf). *If a knot  $K$  can be changed to a slice knot by a finite sequence of blowing up +1's on zero linked unknots, then there is a once punctured  $\#_n \mathbb{CP}^2$ , say  $W$ , and a 2-disk  $D$  smoothly properly embedded in  $W$  such that  $\partial D = K$  and  $[D, \partial D]$  is trivial in  $H_2(W, \partial W)$ . Here,  $\#_n \mathbb{CP}^2$  denotes the connected sum of  $n$  copies of  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ .*

The conclusion of Theorem 2.1 has played an important role in studying knot concordance invariants. It together with the works of Ozsváth–Szabó [12, Theorem 1.1] and Kronheimer–Mrowka [6, Corollary 1.1] implies the following:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Both  $\tau$  and  $-s/2$  are BU nonnegative.*

We remark that the conclusion of Theorem 2.1 also implies that  $K$  is 0-positive in the sense of Cochran–Harvey–Horn [2]. They showed that more knot concordance invariants are BU nonnegative, though they do not satisfy condition (3).

Before closing this section, we prove a property of BU nonnegative knot invariants:

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\nu$  be a BU nonnegative integer valued knot concordance invariant satisfying (1) and (2). If a knot  $K$  can be changed to a knot  $J$  by a finite sequence of blowing up +1's on zero linked unknots, then  $\nu(K) \geq \nu(J)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $K$  can be changed to a knot  $J$  by a finite sequence of blowing up +1's on zero linked unknots  $U_1, \dots, U_n$ . We may assume that  $-J$  of  $K \# -J$  is contained in a small 3-ball that does not intersect any of  $U_1, \dots, U_n$ . Then the same sequence of unknots  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  can be used for blowing up +1's to convert  $K \# -J$  into the slice knot  $J \# -J$ . Since  $\nu$  is BU nonnegative,  $\nu(K \# -J) \geq 0$  or  $\nu(K) - \nu(J) \geq 0$ . So,  $\nu(K) \geq \nu(J)$ .  $\square$





FIGURE 3. Crossing change by blowing up

FIGURE 4. Blowing up +1 of  $D_+(K_+, k)$ . Here  $w' = w - 2$ .

### 3. CROSSING CHANGE FORMULA

We first observe a lemma:

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $K_-$  is the knot obtained from a knot  $K_+$  by changing a crossing from positive to negative, then, for each integer  $k$ , a blowing up +1 on a zero linked unknot converts  $D_+(K_+, k)$  into  $D_+(K_-, k)$ , and another blowing up +1 on a zero linked unknot converts  $D_+(K_-, k - 4)$  into  $D_+(K_+, k)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $K_+$  has writhe number  $w$ , then  $K_-$  has writhe number  $w - 2$ . Let  $c$  be the positive crossing whose change converts  $K_+$  into  $K_-$ . The crossing change of  $c$  can be described as either blowing up +1 or -1 on an unknot as in Figure 3.

The  $k$ -twisted positive Whitehead double of  $K_+$ ,  $D_+(K_+, k)$ , is constructed by removing a tubular neighborhood  $N$  of  $K_+$  and attaching a solid torus containing the Whitehead knot back in with  $k$  twists. Let  $U$  be the unknot near  $c$  as in Figure 3(a), on which a blowing up process of changing  $c$  takes place. If  $N$  is taken sufficiently thin so that it does not meet  $U$ , the unknot  $U$  has linking number zero with  $D_+(K_+, k)$  and we can apply a blowing up +1 operation on  $U$  of Figure 2(a). This gives a full twist of 4 strands, causing the effect of converting  $K_+$  into  $K_-$  and adding two additional positive twists on the Whitehead double, as depicted in Figure 4. Since  $K_-$  has writhe number  $w - 2$ , we see that the resulting knot is  $D_+(K_-, k)$ . This shows that  $D_+(K_+, k)$  can be changed to  $D_+(K_-, k)$  by a blowing up +1 on a zero linked unknot.




FIGURE 5. Blowing up  $-1$  of  $D_+(K_+, k)$ . Here  $w' = w - 2$  and  $k' = k - 4$ .

Likewise, applying a blowing up  $-1$  as in Figure 5, we see  $D_+(K_+, k)$  can be converted into  $D_+(K_-, k - 4)$  by a blowing up  $-1$  on a zero linked unknot. Since a blowing up  $-1$  is the reverse procedure of a blowing up  $+1$ , the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Now we prove the crossing change formula for Livingston–Naik’s invariant. Since  $\nu$  is BU nonnegative, by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\nu(D_+(K_+, k)) \geq \nu(D_+(K_-, k)).$$

For  $k < t_\nu(K_-)$ ,  $\nu(D_+(K_-, k)) = 1$  and hence  $\nu(D_+(K_+, k)) = 1$  since  $\nu(D_+(K_+, k))$  is 0 or 1 by [10, Theorem 2]. So  $t_\nu(K_-) \leq t_\nu(K_+)$ .

On the other hand, Lemma 3.1 tells us that

$$\nu(D_+(K_+, k)) \leq \nu(D_+(K_-, k - 4)).$$

For  $k \geq t_\nu(K_-) + 4$ ,  $\nu(D_+(K_-, k - 4)) = 0$  and hence  $\nu(D_+(K_+, k)) = 0$ , which implies  $t_\nu(K_+) \leq t_\nu(K_-) + 4$ , as desired.

#### 4. SLICING NUMBERS

**Proof of part (a) of Corollary 1.3.** Suppose that a knot  $K$  can be converted into a slice knot  $J$  by changing  $p$  positive crossings and  $n$  negative crossings. Since  $t_\nu(J) = 0$ , applying the crossing change formula repeatedly, it is easy to see that

$$-4n \leq t_\nu(K) \leq 4p.$$

**Signed slicing numbers.** Let  $K$  be a knot. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of ordered pairs of nonnegative integers  $(p, n)$  for which a slice knot can be obtained by changing  $p$  positive crossings and  $n$  negative crossings of  $K$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_+$  and  $\mathcal{S}_-$  be the projection of  $\mathcal{S}$  in the first and second coordinates, respectively. Define  $u_s^\pm(K)$  to be the minimum of  $\mathcal{S}_\pm$ , respectively. Then part (a) of Corollary 1.3 tells us that

$$-4u_s^-(K) \leq t_\nu(K) \leq 4u_s^+(K).$$

From this, we have the following observations. The *slicing number* of a knot  $K$ ,  $u_s(K)$ , is defined to be the minimum number of crossing changes which convert  $K$  into a slice knot. Note that  $u_s^\pm(K) \leq u_s(K)$ . Livingston [9] defined the invariant  $U_s(K)$  by the minimum of the maximum of  $(p, n)$ , where  $(p, n)$  runs through all elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Note that  $\min\{u_s^+(K), u_s^-(K)\} \leq U_s(K)$ . So,  $t_\nu$  gives a bound for  $U_s(K)$  and  $u_s(K)$ .



FIGURE 6. Checkerboard coloring

## 5. ALTERNATING KNOTS WITH UNKNOTTING NUMBER ONE

Ng [11] showed that the maximal Thurston–Bennequin number  $TB(L)$  of a non-split alternating link  $L$  is determined by its Jones Polynomial  $V_L(t)$  and its classical signature  $\sigma(L)$ . Here, we use the convention  $\sigma(T_{2,3}) = -2$ , which is different from that of Ng.

**Theorem 5.1** (Ng). *If  $L$  is a nonsplit alternating link, then*

$$TB(L) = m(L) - \sigma(L)/2 - 1,$$

where  $m(L)$  is the minimum  $t$ -degree of  $V_L(t)$ .

The quantities  $m(L)$  and  $\sigma(L)$  can be computed easily from a connected, reduced, alternating diagram  $D$  of  $L$ . Suppose that  $D$  has  $n$  crossings and writhe number  $w$ . Let  $\langle D \rangle$  be the Kauffman bracket polynomial in an indeterminate  $A$ . We give a checkerboard coloring to the regions of  $S^2$  divided by the diagram  $D$ . Since  $D$  is connected and alternating, it is possible to color them so that the regions incident to each crossing look like Figure 6. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be the numbers of unshaded and shaded connected regions, respectively.

Kauffman [5] showed that, if  $D$  is connected and alternating, the bracket polynomial  $\langle D \rangle$  has the maximum degree  $n + 2X - 2$  and the minimum degree  $-n - 2Y + 2$ . Since the Jones polynomial  $V_L(t)$  is equal to  $(-A)^{-3w} \langle D \rangle$  when  $A$  is replaced by  $t^{-1/4}$ , we see that the minimum  $t$ -degree  $m(L)$  of  $V_L(t)$  is equal to  $m(L) = (3w - n - 2X + 2)/4$ .

The signature  $\sigma(L)$  of  $L$  is  $s_0 - n_+ - 1$ , where  $n_+$  is the number of positive crossings of  $D$  and  $s_0$  is the number of circles in the state obtained by smoothing all crossings of  $D$  so that all the shaded regions become connected. This formula appears in several papers, including [7, Proposition 3.11]. It is easy to see that  $s_0 = X$ , as stated in [5, Proof of 2.9]. So  $\sigma(L) = X - n_+ - 1$ .


Combining the above two identities and noting  $w = 2n_+ - n$ , we get the following simple formula for  $TB(L)$ :

**Corollary 5.2.** *If a link  $L$  has a connected, reduced, alternating diagram  $D$ , then*

$$TB(L) = w - X,$$

where  $w$  is the writhe of  $D$  and  $X$  is the number of unshaded regions in the checkerboard coloring as in Figure 6.

**Proof of part (b) of Corollary 1.3.** Let  $K_{2n+1}$  be the knot which has the diagram in Figure 7. Notice that  $K_{2n+1}$  is isotopic to the  $(-n)$ -twisted double of the unknot  $U$ ,  $D_+(U, -n)$ . It is alternating and has unknotting number one. One positive crossing change located at the top in the diagram is sufficient for  $K_{2n+1}$  to be unknotted. By Corollary 1.3(a), we have  $t_\nu(K_{2n+1}) \leq 4$ .

FIGURE 7. Knot  $K_{2n+1}$  that is isotopic to  $D_+(U, -n)$ 

On the other hand, it is easy to see  $w(-K_{2n+1}) = -w(K_{2n+1}) = -2n - 1$  and  $X(-K_{2n+1}) = Y(K_{2n+1}) = 3$ . So, by Corollary 5.2, we have  $-TB(-K_{2n+1}) = 2n + 4$ .

Levine [8] proved that  $D_+(U, -n)$ ,  $n > 0$ , represent linearly independent classes in the algebraic concordance group, and hence in the knot concordance group  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank the referee for pointing out errors and for helpful suggestions regarding the exposition, which significantly improve the readability.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T D Cochran and R E Gompf. Applications of Donaldson's theorems to classical knot concordance, homology 3-spheres and property P. *Topology*, 27(4):495–512, 1988.
- [2] T D Cochran, S Harvey, and P Horn. Filtering smooth concordance classes of topologically slice knots. *Geom. Topol.*, 17(4):2103–2162, 2013.
- [3] R E Gompf and A I Stipsicz. *4-manifolds and Kirby calculus*, volume 20. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1999.
- [4] M Hedden. Knot Floer homology of Whitehead doubles. *Geom. Topol.*, 11(4):2277–2338, 2007.
- [5] L H Kauffman. State models and the Jones polynomial. *Topology*, 26(3):395–407, 1987.
- [6] P B Kronheimer and T S Mrowka. Gauge theory and Rasmussen's invariant. *J. Topol.*, 6(3):659–674, 2013.
- [7] E S Lee. An endomorphism of the Khovanov invariant. *Adv. Math.*, 197(2):554–586, 2005.
- [8] J Levine. Invariants of knot cobordism. *Invent. Math.*, 8:98–110, 1969.
- [9] C Livingston. The slicing number of a knot. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 2(2):1051–1060, 2002.
- [10] C Livingston and S Naik. Ozsváth–Szabó and Rasmussen invariants of doubled knots. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 6(2):651–657, 2006.
- [11] L Ng. A Legendrian Thurston–Bennequin bound from Khovanov homology. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 5:1637–1653, 2005.
- [12] P Ozsváth and Z Szabó. Knot Floer homology and the four-ball genus. *Geom. Topol.*, 7:615–639, 2003.
- [13] J Park. Inequality on  $t_\nu(K)$  defined by Livingston and Naik and its applications. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 145(2):889–891, 2017.
- [14] J Rasmussen. Khovanov homology and the slice genus. *Invent. Math.*, 182(2):419–447, 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR BASIC SCIENCES, KYUNG HEE UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 02447, KOREA