

A RESULT ON THE EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF STATIONARY SOLUTIONS FOR A BIOCONVECTIVE FLOW MODEL

ANÍBAL CORONEL[†], LUIS FRIZ[†], IAN HESS[†], AND ALEX TELLO[†]

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for the boundary value problem modelling the stationary case of the bioconvective flow problem introduced by Tuval et. al. (2005, *PNAS* 102, 2277–2282). We derive some appropriate a priori estimates for the weak solution, which implies the existence, by application of Gossez theorem, and the uniqueness by standard methodology of comparison of two arbitrary solutions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The bioconvection is an important process in the biological treatment and in the life of some microorganisms. In a broad sense, the bioconvection is originated by the concentration of upward swimming microorganisms in a culture fluid. It is well known that, under some physical assumptions, the process can be described by a mathematical models which are called bioconvective flow models. The first model of this kind was derived by Y. Moribe [8] and independently by M. Levandodovsky, W. S. Hunter and E. A. Spiegel [12] (see also [9] for the mathematical analysis). In that models the unknowns are the velocity of the fluid, the pressure of the fluid and the local concentration of microorganisms. More recently, Tuval et. al [14] have bee introduced a new bioconvective flow model considering also as an unknown variable the oxygen concentration. Some advances in mathematical analysis and some numerical results of this new model are presented in [7] and [11], respectively.

In this note, we are interested with the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the stationary problem associated to bioconvective system given in [14] when the physical domain is a three-dimensional chamber [11] (a parallelepiped). Thus, the stationary bioconvective flow problem to be analyzed is formulated as follows. Given the external force \mathbf{F} , the source functions f_n, f_c and the dimensionless function r find the velocity of the fluid $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^t$, the fluid pressure p , the local concentration of bacteria n and the local concentration of oxygen c satisfying the boundary value problem

$$-S_c \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + S_c \nabla p = \gamma S_c n \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{F}, \quad \text{in } \Omega := \prod_{i=1}^3 [0, L_i], \quad (1.1)$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

$$-\Delta n + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) n + \chi \operatorname{div}(n r(c) \nabla c) = f_n, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.3)$$

$$-\delta \Delta c + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) c + \beta r(c) n = f_c, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\nabla c \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} = \nabla n \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} = 0, \quad \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_L \ (x_3 = 0), \quad (1.5)$$

$$\chi n r(c) \nabla c \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} - \nabla n \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} = 0, \quad \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_U := \partial\Omega - \partial\Omega_L. \quad (1.6)$$

Here $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is the unit external normal to $\partial\Omega$; $\mathbf{g} = (0, 0, -g)$ is the gravity with g the acceleration of gravity constant; and $S_c, \gamma, \alpha, \delta$ and β are some physical parameters defined as follows

$$S_c = \frac{\eta}{D_n \rho}, \quad \gamma = \frac{V_b n_r (\rho_b - \rho) L^3}{\eta D_n}, \quad \chi = \frac{\bar{\chi} c_{air}}{D_n}, \quad \delta = \frac{D_c}{D_n}, \quad \beta = \frac{k n_r L^2}{c_{air} D_n},$$

Date: March 14, 2022.

Key words and phrases. bioconvection problem, chemotaxis fluid coupling, oxigentaxis.

[†] GMA, Departamento de Ciencias Básicas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad del Bío-Bío, Campus Fernando May, Chillán, Chile.

with η the fluid viscosity, D_n the diffusion constant for bacteria, D_c the diffusion constant for oxygen, ρ the fluid density, ρ_b the bacterial density, $V_b > 0$ the bacterial volume, n_r a characteristic cell density, L a characteristic length, χ the chemotactic sensitivity, c_{air} the oxygen concentration above the fluid and k is the oxygen consumption rate.

We consider the standard notation of the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces which are used in the analysis of Navier-Stokes and related equations of fluid mechanics, see [1, 3, 5, 10, 13] for details of specific definitions. In particular, we use the following rather common spaces notation

$$H^m(\Omega) = W^{m,2}(\Omega), \quad \tilde{H}^1(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in H^1(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} f d\mathbf{x} = 0 \right\}, \quad H_0^1(\Omega) = \overline{\mathbf{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\Omega)}},$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega) = \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in (C_0^\infty(\Omega))^3 : \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v}) = 0 \right\}, \quad \mathbf{V} = \overline{\mathbf{C}_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}},$$

where $\overline{A}^{\|\cdot\|_B}$ denotes the completion of A in B . Also, we consider the notation for the applications $a_0 : \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $a : H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $b_0 : \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $b : \mathbf{V} \times H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which are defined as follows

$$a_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{v}), \quad a(\phi, \psi) = (\nabla \phi, \nabla \psi), \quad b_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}), \quad b(\mathbf{u}, \phi, \psi) = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi, \psi),$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) is the standard inner product in $L^2(\Omega)$ or $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$. It is well known that a_0 and a are bilinear coercive forms, b_0 and b are well defined trilinear forms with the following properties:

$$b_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = -b_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}), \quad b(\mathbf{u}, \phi, \psi) = -b(\mathbf{u}, \psi, \phi), \quad b_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad b(\mathbf{u}, \phi, \phi) = 0, \quad (1.7)$$

for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}$ and $\psi, \phi \in H^1(\Omega)$. Moreover, we need to introduce some notation related with some useful Sobolev inequalities and estimates for b and b_0 . There exists $C_{poi} > 0$, $C_{tr} > 0$ and C_1 depending only on Ω such that

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq C_{poi} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{V}}, \quad \|c\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_{poi} \|c\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)}, \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\partial\Omega)} \leq C_{tr} \|\varphi\|_{W^{1,1}(\Omega)},$$

$$|b_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})| \leq C_1 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{V}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{V}} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{V}}, \quad |b(\mathbf{u}, c, n)| \leq C_1 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{V}} \|c\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \|n\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)},$$

for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}$, $c, n \in \tilde{H}^1(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$. For details on Poincaré and trace inequalities we refer to [3] and for the estimates of b_0 and b consult [13].

The main result of the paper is the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for (1.1)-(1.6). Indeed, let us introduce some appropriate notation

$$\Theta_1 := \frac{1 - C_{tr}}{1 - C_{tr} - 2\chi \|r\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} C_{tr} C_{poi}}, \quad \Theta_2 := \frac{1 - C_{tr}}{1 - C_{tr} - C_{tr} C_{poi}}, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\Gamma_0 = \frac{|\Omega| \Theta_1 C_{poi}}{|\Omega| - \chi \beta \alpha_1 \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2 C_{poi}^2 \Theta_1 \Theta_2} \left[\frac{\chi \alpha_1 \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2 \Theta_2}{\delta |\Omega|} \|f_c\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|f_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right], \quad (1.9)$$

$$\Gamma_1 = \frac{\gamma S_c g C_{poi}}{S_c - C_1 C_{poi} (\gamma g \Gamma_0 + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)})}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \frac{1 - C_{tr}}{1 - 2\|r\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} (1 - C_{tr} + C_{tr} C_{poi})}, \quad (1.10)$$

$$\Gamma_3 = \frac{1 - C_{tr}}{\delta (1 - C_{tr} - C_{tr} C_{poi}) - (C_1)^3 \|r\|_{Lip(\mathbb{R})} \Gamma_0}, \quad (1.11)$$

such that the result is precised as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let us consider that $f_c, f_b \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$ and \bar{n} , the average of n on Ω , are given. Also consider the notation (1.8)-(1.11). If we assume that, the following assumptions*

$$r \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad 1 - C_{tr} > C_{tr} C_{poi} \max\{2\chi \|r\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, 1\}, \quad 1 > \chi \beta \bar{n} \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2 C_{poi}^2 \Theta_1 \Theta_2, \quad (1.12)$$

are satisfied, there is $(\mathbf{u}, p, n, c) \in \mathbf{V} \times H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$ satisfying (1.1)-(1.6). Moreover, if we consider that additionally $r \in Lip(\mathbb{R})$ and the following inequalities

$$S_c - C_1 C_{poi} (\gamma g \Gamma_0 + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}) > 0, \quad \delta (1 - C_{tr} - C_{tr} C_{poi}) - (C_1)^3 \|r\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \Gamma_0 > 0, \quad (1.13)$$

$$C_1 \|r\|_{\text{Lip}(\mathbb{R})} \Gamma_0 < 1, \quad \Pi = \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 \left\{ C_1 \Gamma_0 + \frac{\|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} C \Gamma_3 \Theta_2 C_{poi}}{\delta (1 - C_1 \|r\|_{\text{Lip}(\mathbb{R})} \Gamma_0)} \left[\beta C_{poi} \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \Gamma_0 + \|f_c\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right] \right\} < 1, \quad (1.14)$$

are satisfied, the weak solution is unique.

A similar results are derived in [2,4] in the case of bioconvection problem when the concentration of oxygen is assumed to be constant. In the case of [2] the proof is based on the application of Galerkin approximation and in [4] on the application of Gossez theorem. Moreover, other related results are given in [7,9]. In particular, in [7] a well detailed discussion of some particular models derived from (1.1)-(1.6) is given.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

2.1. Variational formulation. By the standard arguments the variational formulation of (1.1)-(1.6) is given by

$$\text{Find } (\mathbf{u}, n, c) \in \mathbf{V} \times H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \text{ such that} \quad (2.1)$$

$$S_c a_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + b_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \gamma S_c(n \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{v}) + (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$a(n, \phi) + b(\mathbf{u}, n, \phi) = \chi(n r(c) \nabla c, \nabla \phi) + (f_n, \phi), \quad \forall \phi \in H^1(\Omega), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\delta a(c, \varphi) + b(\mathbf{u}, c, \varphi) = -\beta(r(c) n, \varphi) + \delta \int_{\partial \Omega_U} \nabla c \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \varphi dS + (f_c, \varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1(\Omega). \quad (2.4)$$

We notice that if $f_c = f_n = 0$ and \mathbf{u}_0 is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) with $n = 0$, we have that $(\mathbf{u}_0, 0, 0)$ is a solution of (2.1)-(2.4). However, $(\mathbf{u}_0, 0, 0)$ does not describe the bioconvective flow problem and we need to study the variational problem when the total local concentration of bacteria and the total local concentration of oxygen are some given strictly positive constants, i. e. $\int_{\Omega} n_{\alpha} d\mathbf{x} = \alpha_1 > 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} c_{\alpha} d\mathbf{x} = \alpha_2 > 0$. Thus, by considering the change of variable $\hat{n}_{\alpha} = n_{\alpha} - \alpha_1 |\Omega|^{-1}$ and $\hat{c}_{\alpha} = c_{\alpha} - \alpha_2 |\Omega|^{-1}$, we can rewrite (2.1)-(2.4) as follows

$$\text{Given } \boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_2, \alpha_2) \in]0, 1] \times]0, 1] \text{ find } (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}, \hat{n}_{\alpha}, \hat{c}_{\alpha}) \in \mathbf{V} \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega) \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega) : \quad (2.5)$$

$$S_c a_0(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{v}) + b_0(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{u}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{v}) = \gamma S_c(\hat{n}_{\alpha} \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{v}) + (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{v}), \quad (2.6)$$

$$a(\hat{n}_{\alpha}, \phi) + b(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}, \hat{n}_{\alpha}, \phi) = \chi \left(\left(\hat{n}_{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha_1}{|\Omega|} \right) r \left(\hat{c}_{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha_2}{|\Omega|} \right) \nabla \hat{c}_{\alpha}, \nabla \phi \right) + (f_n, \phi), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\delta a(\hat{c}_{\alpha}, \varphi) + b(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}, \hat{c}_{\alpha}, \varphi) = -\beta \left(r \left(\hat{c}_{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha_2}{|\Omega|} \right) \left(\hat{n}_{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha_1}{|\Omega|} \right), \varphi \right) + \delta \int_{\partial \Omega_U} \nabla \hat{c}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \varphi dS + (f_c, \varphi), \quad (2.8)$$

$$\text{for all } (\mathbf{v}, \phi, \varphi) \in \mathbf{V} \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega) \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega). \quad (2.9)$$

2.2. Some a priori estimates for $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}, \hat{n}_{\alpha}$ and \hat{c}_{α} .

Proposition 2.1. *Consider that the hypotheses for existence result in Theorem 1.1 are satisfied. If we assume that $(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}, \hat{n}_{\alpha}, \hat{c}_{\alpha})$ is a solution of (2.5)-(2.9), then $\|\hat{n}_{\alpha}\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \Gamma_0$ with Γ_0 defined on (1.9) and also are valid the following estimates*

$$\|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}\|_{\mathbf{V}} \leq C_{poi} \left(\gamma g \Gamma_0 + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \right), \quad \|\hat{c}_{\alpha}\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\Theta_2 C_{poi}}{\delta} \left[\beta C_{poi} \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \Gamma_0 + \|f_c\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right]. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. In order to prove the estimates, we select the test functions $(\mathbf{v}, \phi, \varphi) = (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}, \hat{n}_{\alpha}, \hat{c}_{\alpha})$ in (2.6)-(2.8). From (2.6) and (1.7) we deduce that

$$\|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}\|_{\mathbf{V}} \leq \gamma g C_{poi}^2 \|\hat{n}_{\alpha}\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} + (S_c)^{-1} C_{poi} \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}. \quad (2.11)$$

Now, by the trace inequality and integration by parts, we have that

$$\int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla \hat{n}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \hat{n}_{\alpha}| dS \leq C_{tr} \|\hat{n}_{\alpha} \nabla \hat{n}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}\|_{W^{1,1}(\Omega)} \leq C_{tr} C_{poi} \|\hat{n}_{\alpha}\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 + C_{tr} \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla \hat{n}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \hat{n}_{\alpha}| dS,$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla \hat{n}_\alpha \cdot \nu \hat{n}_\alpha| dS \leq \frac{C_{tr} C_{poi}}{1 - C_{tr}} \|\hat{n}_\alpha\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)}^2. \quad (2.12)$$

Here, we have used the fact that $1 - C_{tr} > 0$, by a consequence of the assumption (1.12). Then, by integration by parts we get the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\hat{n}_\alpha r \left(\hat{c}_\alpha + \frac{\alpha_2}{|\Omega|} \right) \nabla \hat{c}_\alpha, \nabla \hat{n}_\alpha \right) &= \left(\nabla \left[\int_0^{\hat{c}_\alpha} r \left(m + \frac{\alpha_2}{|\Omega|} \right) dm \right], \nabla \left(\frac{\hat{n}_\alpha^2}{2} \right) \right) \\ &= - \left(\int_0^{\hat{c}_\alpha} r \left(m + \frac{\alpha_2}{|\Omega|} \right) dm, \Delta \left(\frac{\hat{n}_\alpha^2}{2} \right) \right) + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[\int_0^{\hat{c}_\alpha} r \left(m + \frac{\alpha_2}{|\Omega|} \right) dm \right] \nabla \left(\frac{\hat{n}_\alpha^2}{2} \right) \cdot \nu dS \\ &\leq 2 \|r\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\hat{n}_\alpha \nabla \hat{n}_\alpha \cdot \nu| dS \leq \frac{2 \|r\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} C_{tr} C_{poi}}{1 - C_{tr}} \|\hat{n}_\alpha\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

From (2.7), using the properties (1.7) and the inequality (2.13), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{n}_\alpha\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 &= \chi \left(\hat{n}_\alpha r \left(\hat{c}_\alpha + \frac{\alpha_2}{|\Omega|} \right) \nabla \hat{c}_\alpha, \nabla \hat{n}_\alpha \right) + \frac{\chi \alpha_1}{|\Omega|} \left(r \left(\hat{c}_\alpha + \frac{\alpha_2}{|\Omega|} \right) \nabla \hat{c}_\alpha, \nabla \hat{n}_\alpha \right) + (f_n, \phi) \\ &\leq \frac{2\chi \|r\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} C_{tr} C_{poi}}{1 - C_{tr}} \|\hat{n}_\alpha\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\chi \alpha_1}{|\Omega|} \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\hat{c}_\alpha\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \|\hat{n}_\alpha\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} + C_{poi} \|f_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\hat{n}_\alpha\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently, we get the following estimate for \hat{n}_α

$$\|\hat{n}_\alpha\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \Theta_1 \left[\frac{\chi \alpha_1}{|\Omega|} \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\hat{c}_\alpha\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} + C_{poi} \|f_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right], \quad (2.14)$$

with Θ_1 is defined in (1.8). Similarly, from (2.8) and (2.12) with \hat{c}_α instead of \hat{n}_α , we deduce that

$$\|\hat{c}_\alpha\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\Theta_2 C_{poi}}{\delta} \left[\beta C_{poi} \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\hat{n}_\alpha\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} + \|f_c\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right], \quad (2.15)$$

where Θ_2 is given in (1.8). Now, replacing the estimate (2.15) in (2.14) and applying (1.12), we deduce the existence of Γ_0 defined in (1.9) such that $\|\hat{n}_\alpha\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \Gamma_0$. We notice that the second and third relation in (1.12) implies that $\Theta_i > 1, i = 1, 2$, and $|\Omega| > \chi \beta \alpha_1 \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2 C_{poi}^2 \Theta_1 \Theta_2$, respectively, i.e. $\Gamma > 0$ under (1.12). Moreover, from (2.11) and (2.14), we deduce the estimates given in (2.10) for $\|\mathbf{u}_\alpha\|_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $\|\hat{c}_\alpha\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)}$, concluding the proof of the Proposition. \square

2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. To prove the existence, we can apply the Gossez theorem [5, 6]. Indeed, if we define the mapping $G : \mathbf{V} \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega) \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega) \rightarrow (\mathbf{V} \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega) \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega))'$ by the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle G(\mathbf{u}, n, c), (\mathbf{v}, \phi, \varphi) \rangle\rangle &= \lambda_1 \left\{ S_c a_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + b_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) - \gamma S_c(n\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{v}) \right\} \\ &+ \lambda_2 \left\{ a(n, \phi) + b(\mathbf{u}, n, \phi) - \chi \left(\left(n + \frac{\alpha_1}{|\Omega|} \right) r \left(c + \frac{\alpha_2}{|\Omega|} \right) \nabla c, \nabla \phi \right) - (f_n, \phi) \right\} \\ &+ \lambda_3 \left\{ \delta a(c, \varphi) + b(\mathbf{u}, c, \varphi) + \beta \left(r \left(c + \frac{\alpha_2}{|\Omega|} \right) \left(n + \frac{\alpha_1}{|\Omega|} \right), \varphi \right) - \delta \int_{\partial\Omega_U} \nabla c \cdot \nu \varphi dS - (f_c, \varphi) \right\}, \\ &\forall (\mathbf{u}, n, c), (\mathbf{v}, \phi, \varphi) \in \mathbf{V} \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega) \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

with $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ denoting the duality pairing between $\mathbf{V} \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega) \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega)$ and $(\mathbf{V} \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega) \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega))'$ and λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 are positive fixed constant. From (1.7), (1.8) and (2.13), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle G(\mathbf{u}, n, c), (\mathbf{u}, n, c) \rangle\rangle &\geq \left\{ \lambda_1 S_c \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{V}}^2 - \lambda_1 \gamma S_c g(C_{poi})^2 \|n\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{V}} + \frac{\lambda_2}{3\Theta_1} \|n\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \frac{\lambda_2}{3\Theta_1} \|n\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{\lambda_2 \chi \alpha_1}{|\Omega|} \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|c\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \|n\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} + \frac{\lambda_3 \delta}{2\Theta_2} \|c\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left\{ \frac{\lambda_3 \delta}{2\Theta_2} \|c\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 - \lambda_3 \beta (C_{poi})^2 \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|c\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \|n\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} + \frac{\lambda_2}{3\Theta_1} \|n\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 \right\} \\
& - C_{poi} \left\{ \lambda_1 \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{V}} + \lambda_2 \|f_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|n\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} + \lambda_3 \|f_c\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|c\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \right\} := \Upsilon_1 + \Upsilon_2 - \Upsilon_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, selecting $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ and r such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 & < \frac{4\lambda_2}{3\Theta_1 \gamma^2 g^2 S_c (C_{poi})^4}, & \lambda_2 & < \frac{4\delta |\Omega|^2 \lambda_3}{6\Theta_1 \Theta_2 (\chi \alpha_1 \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})})^2}, \\
\lambda_3 & < \frac{4\delta \lambda_2}{6\Theta_1 \Theta_2 (\beta (C_{poi})^2 \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})})^2} & r & < \frac{\Upsilon_1 + \Upsilon_2}{C_{poi} (\lambda_1 \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \lambda_2 \|f_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda_3 \|f_c\|_{L^2(\Omega)})},
\end{aligned}$$

we can prove that $\langle\langle G(\mathbf{u}, n, c), (\mathbf{u}, n, c) \rangle\rangle$ is positive for all $(\mathbf{u}, n, c) \in \mathbf{V} \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega) \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega)$ such that $\|(\mathbf{u}, n, c)\|_{\mathbf{V} \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega) \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} = r$. Moreover, we notice that, it is straightforward to deduce that G is continuous between the weak topologies of $\mathbf{V} \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega) \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega)$ and $(\mathbf{V} \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega) \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega))'$. Thus, there is $(\mathbf{u}, n, c) \in \bar{B}_r(0) \subset \mathbf{V} \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega) \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega)$ such that $\langle\langle G(\mathbf{u}, n, c), (\mathbf{u}, n, c) \rangle\rangle = 0$, concluding the proof of existence.

To prove the uniqueness we consider that there is two solutions (\mathbf{u}^i, n^i, c^i) , $i = 1, 2$ satisfying (2.6)-(2.8). Then, subtracting, selecting the test functions $(\mathbf{v}, \phi, \varphi) = (\mathbf{u}^1 - \mathbf{u}^2, n^1 - n^2, c^1 - c^2)$, using (1.7), (1.12), (1.13) and applying the Proposition 2.1, we get

$$\|\mathbf{u}^1 - \mathbf{u}^2\|_{\mathbf{V}} \leq \Gamma_1 \|n^1 - n^2\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)}, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\|n^1 - n^2\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \Gamma_2 \left[C_1 \|\mathbf{u}^1 - \mathbf{u}^2\|_{\mathbf{V}} \|n^1\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} + \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|c^1 - c^2\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \right], \quad (2.17)$$

$$\|c^1 - c^2\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq C_1 \Gamma_3 \left[\|\mathbf{u}^1 - \mathbf{u}^2\|_{\mathbf{V}} \|c^2\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} + (C_1)^2 \|r\|_{\text{Lip}(\mathbb{R})} \|n^1\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \|c^1 - c^2\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \right], \quad (2.18)$$

with Γ_i defined on (1.9)-(1.11). From (2.18), Proposition 2.1 and the first inequality in (1.14) we have that

$$\|c^1 - c^2\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_1 \Gamma_3 \Theta_2 C_{poi}}{\delta(1 - (C_1)^2 \|r\|_{\text{Lip}(\mathbb{R})} \Gamma_0)} \left[\beta C_{poi} \|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \Gamma_0 + \|f_c\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right] \|\mathbf{u}^1 - \mathbf{u}^2\|_{\mathbf{V}}. \quad (2.19)$$

Then, replacing (2.19) in (2.17), using the Proposition 2.1 to estimate $\|n^1\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)}$ we obtain the following bound $\|n^1 - n^2\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \Pi(\Gamma_1)^{-1} \|\mathbf{u}^1 - \mathbf{u}^2\|_{\mathbf{V}}$ with Π defined on (1.14). Now, using this estimate in (2.16), we get that $\|\mathbf{u}^1 - \mathbf{u}^2\|_{\mathbf{V}} \leq \Pi \|\mathbf{u}^1 - \mathbf{u}^2\|_{\mathbf{V}}$. Thus using the fact that $\Pi \leq 1$ we deduce that $\mathbf{u}^1 = \mathbf{u}^2$ on \mathbf{V} , which also implies that $n^1 = n^2$ and $c^1 = c^2$ on $\tilde{H}^1(\Omega)$, concluding the uniqueness proof.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thanks for the support of project DIUBB 172409 GI/C at Universidad del Bío-Bío, Chile. AT and IH thanks thanks for the support of Conicyt-Chile through the grants program “Becas de Doctorado”.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Adams. *Sobolev spaces*. Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] J. L. Boldrini, M. A. Rojas-Medar, and M. D. Rojas-Medar, Existence and uniqueness of stationary solutions to bioconvective flow equations. *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 2013, No. 110, 15 pp.
- [3] F. Boyer, P. Fabrie. *Mathematical tools for the study of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations and related models*. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 183. Springer, New York, 2013
- [4] A. Căpăţină, R. Stavre. A control problem in bioconvective flow. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 37 (1997), no. 4, 585–595.
- [5] H. I. Ene and D. Poliřevski, *Thermal flow in porous media*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Dordrecht, Holland, 1987
- [6] J.-P. Gossez, Remarques sur les opérateurs monotones. (French) *Acad. Roy. Belg. Bull. Cl. Sci.* (5)52 (1966), 1073–1077.
- [7] J. G. Liu, A. Lorz. A coupled chemotaxis-fluid model: global existence. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 28 (2011), no. 5, 643–652.

- [8] Y. Moribe, On the bioconvection of *Tetrahymena pyriformis*, Master's thesis (in Japanese), Osaka University, 1973.
- [9] Y. Kan-on, K. Narukawa, Y. Teramoto, On the equations of bioconvective flow. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 32 (1992), no. 1, 135–153.
- [10] O. Ladyzhenskaya. *The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow*. Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
- [11] H. G. Lee, J. Kim, Numerical investigation of falling bacterial plumes caused by bioconvection in a three-dimensional chamber, *European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids*, Volume 52, 2015, Pages 120–130,
- [12] M. Levandowsky, W. S. Hunter and E. A. Spiegel, Amathematical model of pattern formation by swimming microorganisms, *J. Protozoology* 22 (1975), 296-306.
- [13] R. Temam. *Navier-Stokes equations. Theory and numerical analysis*. Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1977.
- [14] I. Tuval, L. Cisneros, Ch. Dombrowski, Ch. W. Wolgemuth, J. O. Kessler, R. E. Goldstein, Bacterial swimming and oxygen transport near contact lines. *PNAS* 102 (2005), 2277–2282

E-mail address: acoronel@ubiobio.cl, lfriz@ubiobio.cl, ihess@alumnos.ubiobio.cl, alextello21@gmail.com