

EXPLICIT LINEAR PFAFFIAN REPRESENTATIONS OF PLANE CURVES UP TO DEGREE 5

DAVID OSCARI

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with 1. We prove that every homogeneous polynomial $f(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ in $R[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ up to degree 5 admits a linear Pfaffian R -representation. We believe that conceptually we give the shortest self-contained proof possible: we exhibit explicitly such a representation. In this sense, we generalize (up to degree 5) a result due to A. Beauville [Bea] about the existence of Pfaffian representations for any smooth plane curve of any degree.

1. INTRODUCTION

This note can be considered as a derivation of [LO], in collaboration with J. Lauret, where we explore nonsingular 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_1 \oplus [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}]$ over \mathbb{R} in several directions, among them we consider the problem of existence and non-existence of canonical inner products (Einstein nilsolitons) for such algebras of type $(p, q) = (3, 8)$, i.e. $\dim[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] = p$ and $\dim \mathfrak{n}_1 = q$. In *loc. cit.*, we exhibit explicit continuous families of pairwise non-isomorphic nonsingular algebras.

To determine if two given 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras are isomorphic or not is a hard problem. For that, we associate to each real 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra of type $(p, q) = (3, 8)$ its *Paffian form* (homogeneous polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$ of degree 4) which has been a very useful tool to distinguish these algebras up to isomorphism.

A question that arises naturally in this context is the following. Inversely, for a given homogeneous polynomial f in $\mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$ of degree 4, to find, if it exists, a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} of type $(3, 8)$ such that its Pfaffian form is $f(x, y, z)$.

Indeed, this is a theoretical-Lie algebra version of a classical problem:

- Let R be a commutative ring with 1. For a given homogeneous polynomial $f(x_0, \dots, x_n)$
- (*) in $R[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ of degree d , determine if there exists a linear Pfaffian R -representation of $f(x_0, \dots, x_n)$.

Let us recall that a linear Pfaffian R -representation of a homogeneous polynomial f in $R[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ of degree d is a $2d \times 2d$ skew-symmetric matrix $M = [m_{ij}]$ such that $\det(M) = f(x_0, \dots, x_n)^2$ where the entries m_{ij} are linear forms in $R[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ (see Section 2 for more precise definitions).

In the case $n = 2$, Beauville showed, among others, that (*) has solution for any smooth plane curve in $\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ of degree $d \geq 2$ [Bea, Proposition 5.1], where \mathbb{K} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Buckley and Kőrsir [BK] parametrise all linear Pfaffian representations of a plane curve. Two Pfaffian \mathbb{K} -representations M and M' are equivalent if there exists $X \in \mathrm{GL}_{2d}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $M' = XMX^t$. In [B] the elementary transformations of linear Pfaffian representations are considered, proving that every two Pfaffian representations of a plane curve $f(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ of degree d can be bridged by a finite sequence of elementary transformations.

This research was partially supported by grants from CONICET, FonCyT (Argentina) and SeCyT (Universidad Nacional de Córdoba).

In the case $n = 3$, Beauville showed that every smooth cubic surface admits a linear Pfaffian representation [Bea, Proposition 7.6(a)]. Then in [FM] is proved that every cubic surface admits such a linear Pfaffian representation.

The fact that a *general* surface of degree d admits a linear Pfaffian representation if and only if $d \leq 15$ had been proved by Beauville-Schreyer [Bea, Proposition 7.6(b)]. When the degree $d = 4$ in [CKM] the previous result is generalized: every smooth quartic surface admits a linear Pfaffian representation. Faenzi [F] proved that a general surface of degree d admits a almost quadratic Pfaffian representation if and only if $d \leq 15$. In [CF] the Pfaffian representations with entries almost linear of general surfaces are considered.

The author was motivated by the scarcity of explicit Pfaffian representations in the literature.

Independently, Tanturri and Han were interested on the explicit construction of linear Pfaffian representations for cubic surfaces. In [T] an algorithm is provided whose inputs are a cubic surface $f(x, y, z, t)$ in $\mathbb{K}[x, y, z, t]$ and a zero \mathbf{a} in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^3$ and whose output is a linear Pfaffian \mathbb{K} -representation of f , under assumptions on f and \mathbf{a} , and where \mathbb{K} is a field of characteristic zero, not necessarily algebraically closed. In [H] first one chooses an inscribed pentahedron and, then one needs to find the unique Pfaffian bundle related to that pentahedron.

We focus on the case $n = 2$ and degrees $d = 2, 3, 4$, and 5 . Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let R be a commutative ring with 1. Every homogeneous polynomial $f(x, y, z)$ in $R[x, y, z]$ of degree ≤ 5 admits a linear Pfaffian R -representation.*

Section 2 is devoted to define precisely the Pfaffian of a skew-symmetric matrix and a linear Pfaffian representation, and for completeness we solve the problem $(*)$ for degrees $d \leq 3$ (Example 2.2). Finally, in Section 3 we give explicit linear Pfaffian representations for arbitrary forms of degree 4 and 5.

Acknowledgements. I am very grateful to Agustín García Iglesias for providing useful comments on a draft version of this article and for suggest the Definition 3.1. I wish to thank David Eisenbud for responding to my inquiries about the main result.

2. PFAFFIAN AND LINEAR PFAFFIAN REPRESENTATIONS

There are several equivalent definitions of the Pfaffian of a skew-symmetric matrix. In our computations with Maple™, we have used a Laplace-type expansion. For other definitions of Pfaffian and its properties, we refer the reader to [DW], [FP, Appendix D]. For a history of the Pfaffians we recommend [K].

Definition 2.1 (Expansion along row 1). The *Pfaffian* $\text{Pf}(A)$ of a $2d \times 2d$ skew-symmetric matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ with entries in a commutative ring R with 1 is defined recursively as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pf}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} \\ -a_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) &:= a_{12} && \text{if } d = 1, \\ \text{Pf}(A) &:= \sum_{j=2}^{2d} (-1)^j \cdot a_{1j} \cdot \text{Pf}\left(A^{[1,j]}\right) && \text{if } d \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

where $A^{[1,j]}$ is the $(2d-2) \times (2d-2)$ -matrix obtained from A by deleting both the $(1, j)$ -th rows and $(1, j)$ -th columns.

Example 2.2. Fix $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_{10} \in R$. Let $M_2 = x[a_{ij}] + y[b_{ij}] + z[c_{ij}]$ and $M_3 = x[\tilde{a}_{ij}] + y[\tilde{b}_{ij}] + z[\tilde{c}_{ij}]$ be the 4×4 skew-symmetric matrix, respectively the 6×6 skew-symmetric matrix, where

$$(1) \quad [a_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \Theta_4 & \Theta_5 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & \Theta_1 \\ * & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [b_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \Theta_2 & \Theta_6 \\ & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ * & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [c_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \Theta_3 \\ & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ * & & & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(2) \quad [\tilde{a}_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Theta_1 & \Theta_{10} & 0 & \Theta_6 & \Theta_5 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & & & & 0 & -1 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [\tilde{b}_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Theta_4 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \Theta_2 \\ & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ * & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [\tilde{c}_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \Theta_9 & 1 & \Theta_7 & \Theta_8 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 & 0 & \Theta_3 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Applying Definition 2.1, we obtain

$$\text{Pf}(M_2) = \Theta_1 x^2 + \Theta_2 y^2 + \Theta_3 z^2 + \Theta_4 xy + \Theta_5 xz + \Theta_6 yz,$$

$$\text{Pf}(M_3) = \Theta_1 x^3 + \Theta_2 y^3 + \Theta_3 z^3 + \Theta_4 x^2y + \Theta_5 xy^2 + \Theta_6 x^2z + \Theta_7 xz^2 + \Theta_8 y^2z + \Theta_9 yz^2 + \Theta_{10} xyz.$$

2.1. Linear Pfaffian representation.

Definition 2.3. Let R be a commutative ring with 1 and let $f(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ be a homogeneous polynomial in $R[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ of degree d . Then f admits a *linear Pfaffian R -representation* if there exist $2d \times 2d$ skew-symmetric matrices A_0, \dots, A_n with entries in R such that

$$\text{Pf}(x_0 A_0 + \dots + x_n A_n) = f(x_0, \dots, x_n).$$

Such a matrix $M = x_0 A_0 + \dots + x_n A_n$ is said to be a linear Pfaffian R -representation of f .

Remark 2.4. Example 2.2 shows that any form $f(x, y, z)$ in $R[x, y, z]$ of degree $d \leq 3$ admits a linear Pfaffian R -representation. M_2 and M_3 are *explicit* linear Pfaffian R -representations.

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1.1

3.1. Restricted search. Notice that in the Example 2.2 the linear Pfaffian R -representations M_2 and M_3 are very simple: They only have 0's, ± 1 's and the coefficients of an arbitrary homogeneous polynomial. With this in mind we introduce:

Definition 3.1. A *nice* representation $M = x[a_{ij}] + y[b_{ij}] + z[c_{ij}]$ of $f(x, y, z)$ is a Pfaffian R -representation such that:

- $a_{ij}, b_{ij}, c_{ij} \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \cup \{\pm \text{coefficients of } f(x, y, z) \text{ of degree } d\}$.
- For each coefficient Θ_i of $f(x, y, z)$, there exists a unique entry $e_{ij} \in \{a_{ij}, b_{ij}, c_{ij}\}$ such that $e_{ij} = \pm \Theta_i$.

For degrees $d \leq 4$, we get a *nice* global representation. We also find a global representation for degree 5 (eqns. (4) and (5)), but it is not *nice*. We do not know if such a *nice* global representation always exists.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We must prove that for any

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y, z) &= \Theta_1 x^4 + \Theta_2 y^4 + \Theta_3 z^4 + \Theta_4 x^3y + \Theta_5 x^2y^2 + \Theta_6 xy^3 + \Theta_7 x^3z + \Theta_8 x^2z^2 + \\ &\quad + \Theta_9 xz^3 + \Theta_{10} y^3z + \Theta_{11} y^2z^2 + \Theta_{12} yz^3 + \Theta_{13} x^2yz + \Theta_{14} xy^2z + \Theta_{15} xyz^2, \\ g(x, y, z) &= \Phi_1 x^5 + \Phi_2 y^5 + \Phi_3 z^5 + \Phi_4 x^4y + \Phi_5 x^3y^2 + \Phi_6 x^2y^3 + \Phi_7 xy^4 + \\ &\quad + \Phi_8 x^4z + \Phi_9 x^3z^2 + \Phi_{10} x^2z^3 + \Phi_{11} xz^4 + \Phi_{12} y^4z + \Phi_{13} y^3z^2 + \Phi_{14} y^2z^3 + \\ &\quad + \Phi_{15} yz^4 + \Phi_{16} x^3yz + \Phi_{17} xy^3z + \Phi_{18} xyz^3 + \Phi_{19} x^2y^2z + \Phi_{20} x^2yz^2 + \Phi_{21} xy^2z^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_{15}, \Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_{21} \in R$, there exist 8×8 skew-symmetric matrices $[a_{ij}]$, $[b_{ij}]$ and $[c_{ij}]$, respectively, and 10×10 skew-symmetric matrices $[\tilde{a}_{ij}]$, $[\tilde{b}_{ij}]$, $[\tilde{c}_{ij}]$ with entries in R such that

$$\begin{aligned} Pf(x[a_{ij}] + y[b_{ij}] + z[c_{ij}]) &= f(x, y, z), \\ Pf(x[\tilde{a}_{ij}] + y[\tilde{b}_{ij}] + z[\tilde{c}_{ij}]) &= g(x, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

Let $M_f = x[a_{ij}] + y[b_{ij}] + z[c_{ij}]$ be the 8×8 skew-symmetric matrix, where

$$(3) \quad [a_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Theta_1 & \Theta_6 & 0 & \Theta_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 1 & \Theta_{15} & 0 & 0 & \Theta_9 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 & \Theta_8 \\ & * & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & 0 & -1 \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}, [b_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \Theta_2 & 0 & \Theta_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \Theta_{14} \\ & & 0 & 0 & \Theta_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & * & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}, [c_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Theta_7 & \Theta_{10} & 0 & \Theta_{13} & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 & \Theta_{12} & 0 & 0 & \Theta_3 \\ & & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & * & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using Definition 2.1, by a straightforward computation, one gets that $\text{Pf}(M_f) = f(x, y, z)$.

It remains to find some linear Pfaffian R -representation of $g(x, y, z)$.

Let $M_g = [\tilde{a}_{ij}] + y[\tilde{b}_{ij}] + z[\tilde{c}_{ij}]$ be the 10×10 skew-symmetric matrix, where

$$(4) \quad [\tilde{a}_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Phi_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & a_{2,3} & 0 & \Phi_7 & 0 & \Phi_5 & -\Phi_9 & a_{2,9} & 0 \\ & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{3,9} & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & * & & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}, [\tilde{b}_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Phi_4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & b_{2,3} & 0 & \Phi_2 & 0 & \Phi_6 & 0 & b_{2,9} & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Phi_{15} & b_{3,9} & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 & 0 & b_{6,8} & b_{6,9} & 1 \\ & * & & & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(5) \quad [\tilde{c}_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Phi_8 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & c_{2,3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Phi_3 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\Phi_{10} & 0 \\ & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & & & & & & & 0 & -\Phi_{11} & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and where

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{2,3} &= -2\Phi_6\Phi_5\Phi_3 - \Phi_6\Phi_{18} - \Phi_5\Phi_{14} + 1 - \Phi_{17}, \\
a_{2,9} &= -\Phi_6\Phi_5\Phi_{11} - \Phi_{15}\Phi_5^2 - \Phi_5\Phi_{21} + \Phi_{16}, \\
a_{3,9} &= -\Phi_5\Phi_3 - \Phi_{18}, \\
b_{2,3} &= -\Phi_6^2\Phi_3 - \Phi_{12} - \Phi_6\Phi_{14}, \\
b_{2,9} &= \Phi_5^2\Phi_3 + \Phi_5\Phi_{18} - \Phi_6^2\Phi_{11} - \Phi_6\Phi_{15}\Phi_5 - \Phi_6\Phi_{21} - \Phi_9 + \Phi_{19}, \\
b_{3,9} &= -\Phi_6\Phi_3 - \Phi_{14}, \\
b_{6,8} &= \Phi_6\Phi_{11} + \Phi_{15}\Phi_5 + \Phi_{21}, \\
b_{6,9} &= -\Phi_5\Phi_{11} - 1 - \Phi_{20}, \\
c_{2,3} &= -\Phi_6\Phi_{15} - \Phi_{13}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get that $\text{Pf}(M_g) = g(x, y, z)$, which finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bea] A. BEAUVILLE. Determinantal hypersurfaces, *Mich. Math. J.* **48** (1) (2000), 39–64.
- [B] A. BUCKLEY. Elementary transformations of Pfaffian representations of plane curves, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **443** (4) (2010), 758–780.
- [BK] A. BUCKLEY, T. KOŠIR. Plane Curves as Pfaffians, *Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (5) **10** (2) (2011), 363–388.
- [CF] L. CHIANTINI AND D. FAENZI. On general surfaces defined by an almost linear Pfaffian. *Geom. Dedicata* 142:91–107, 2009.
- [CKM] E. COSKUN, R. S. KULKARNI, AND Y. MUSTOFA. Pfaffian quartic surfaces and representations of Clifford algebras. *Documenta Math.* **17**, 91–107 (2012).
- [DW] A. W. M. DRESS, W. WENZEL. A simple proof of an identity concerning pfaffians of skew symmetric matrices, *Adv. in Math.* **112**, 120–134 (1995).
- [F] D. FAENZI. A remark on Pfaffian surfaces and aCM bundles. In *Vector bundles and low codimensional subvarieties: state of the art and recent developments*, volume 21 of *Quad. Mat.*, pages 209–217. Dept. Math., Seconda Univ. Napoli, Caserta, 2007.
- [FM] M. L. FANIA AND E. MEZZETTI. On the Hilbert scheme of Palatini threefolds. *Adv. Geom.*, **2**(4):371–389, 2002.
- [FP] W. FULTON AND P. PRAGACZ. Shubert varieties and degeneracy loci. Lecture notes in Mathematics **1689**, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [H] F. HAN. Pfaffian bundles on cubic surfaces and configurations of planes *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, **278**(1):363–383, 2014.
- [K] D. E. KNUTH. Overlapping Pfaffians, *Electron. J. Combin.* **3**, 1–13 (1996).
- [LO] J. LAURET, D. OSCARI. On non-singular 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras, *Math. Res. Lett.* **21**, 553–583 (2014).
- [T] F. TANTURRI. Pfaffian representation of cubic surfaces, *Geom. Dedicata* **168**, 69–86 (2014).

FAMAF AND CIEM, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA, CÓRDOBA, ARGENTINA
E-mail address: oscari@famaf.unc.edu.ar