arXiv:1712.03700v2 [math.RT] 26 Nov 2018

Geometric construction of Gelfand–Tsetlin modules over simple Lie algebras

Vyacheslav Futorny, Libor Křižka

Abstract

In the present paper we describe a new class of Gelfand–Tsetlin modules for an arbitrary complex simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and give their geometric realization as the space of 'δ-functions' on the flag manifold G/B supported at the 1-dimensional submanifold. When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ (or $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$) these modules form a subclass of Gelfand-Tsetlin modules with infinite dimensional weight subspaces. We discuss their properties and describe the simplicity criterion for these modules in the case of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{C})$.

Keywords: Verma module, Gelfand–Tsetlin module, tensor category.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B10, 17B20.

Contents

Introduction **1**

1 Gelfand–Tsetlin modules **3**
1.1 Harish-Chandra modules and Gelfand–Tsetlin modules 3
1.2 θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin modules 4

2 Geometric construction of θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin modules **5**
2.1 Geometric realization of Verma modules 5
2.2 Geometric realization of θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin modules 7
2.3 Gelfand–Tsetlin modules for $\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{C})$ 16

Appendix A Generalized eigenspace decomposition **19**

Introduction

A study of simple *weight* modules for a complex reductive finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a classical problem in representation theory. Such modules have the diagonalizable action of a certain Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. However, a complete classification of simple weight modules is only known for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ when the result is obvious. A classification of simple $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$-modules was obtained in [1] and a classification of simple weight modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces for any $\mathfrak{g}$ was described in [5] and [18]. A larger class of weight modules containing all modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces was extensively studied by many authors during several decades for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of type A. The corresponding modules are called *Gelfand–Tsetlin modules*. They are defined in a similar manner as the weight modules but instead of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ one uses a certain maximal commutative subalgebra Γ of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$, called the *Gelfand–Tsetlin subalgebra*, see [3]. Let us recall that Gelfand–Tsetlin modules are connected with the study of Gelfand–Tsetlin integrable systems [14], [17], [13], [2]. Properties and explicit constructions of Gelfand–Tsetlin modules for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of type A was studied in [4], [3], [19], [20], [12], [6], [7], [9], [8], [11], [24], [22], [23], [21] among the others.

Allowing only some generators of the Gelfand–Tsetlin subalgebra to have torsion on simple $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})$-modules leads to *partial Gelfand–Tsetlin modules* for $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})$ which were studied in [10]. These modules decompose into the direct sum of Γ-submodules parameterized by prime ideals of the Gelfand–Tsetlin subalgebra Γ.

In the present paper, we study a special class of Gelfand–Tsetlin modules for $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ and their analogues for an arbitrary complex simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{h}$. The Beilinson–Bernstein correspondence provides a geometric realization of Verma modules $M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. By this correspondence, to the Verma module $M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ we associate the vector space of 'δ-functions' on the flag manifold G/B supported at the point eB. From this point of view, we introduce a family of Gelfand–Tsetlin modules $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ as the vector space of 'δ-functions' on the flag manifold G/B supported at the 1-dimensional submanifold going through the point eB. Modules $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ provide first examples of Gelfand-Tsetlin modules for an arbitrary simple finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to certain commutative subalgebra Γ of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

We will not discuss this geometric approach in details, instead we describe everything from the algebraic point of view. However, geometry serves as a motivation for the purely algebraic construction of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ considered in this paper.

Verma module	Gelfand–Tsetlin module
$M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$	$W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$
weights $\lambda - Q_+$	weights $\lambda - Q$
infinitesimal character $\chi_{\lambda+\rho}$	infinitesimal character $\chi_{\lambda+\rho}$
cyclic module	cyclic module
weight $\mathfrak{h}$-module with finite-dimensional weight spaces	weight $\mathfrak{h}$-module with infinite-dimensional weight spaces
θ-Gelfand-Tsetlin module with finite Γ_θ-multiplicities	θ-Gelfand-Tsetlin module with finite Γ_θ-multiplicities

Table 1: Comparison of Verma modules and Gelfand–Tsetlin modules

The content of our article goes as follows. In Section 1, we recall a general notion of Harish-Chandra modules and define a class of partial Gelfand–Tsetlin modules for an arbitrary complex simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We also introduce various tensor categories of partial Gelfand–Tsetlin modules. In Section 2, we use the geometric realization of Verma modules $M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ described in [16] to obtain a geometric realization of a new family of Γ_θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin modules $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ called θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin modules. We also discuss their properties, which are summarized in Table 1. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra with a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and the corresponding triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \overline{\mathfrak{n}} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$. Let θ be the maximal root in Δ^+ and $\Delta_\theta^+ = \Delta^+ \setminus \{\theta\}$. If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, then the vector space $\mathbb{C}[\partial_{x_\alpha}, \alpha \in \Delta_\theta^+, x_\theta]$ has the structure of a cyclic θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin $\mathfrak{g}$-module and every element $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ acts as the following differential operator*

$$a \mapsto -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \left[\frac{\operatorname{ad}(u(x))e^{\operatorname{ad}(u(x))}}{e^{\operatorname{ad}(u(x))}-\operatorname{id}}\,(e^{-\operatorname{ad}(u(x))}a)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda+2\rho)((e^{-\operatorname{ad}(u(x))}a)_{\mathfrak{h}}),$$

where $[a]_\alpha$ denotes the α-th coordinate of $a \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ with respect to a root basis $\{f_\alpha;\ \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$, $x = \{x_\alpha;\ \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ are the corresponding linear coordinate functions on $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $u(x) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} x_\alpha f_\alpha$.

Further $a_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}$ *and* $a_{\mathfrak{h}}$ *are* $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$*-part and* $\mathfrak{h}$*-part of* $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ *with respect to the trinagular decomposition* $\mathfrak{g} = \overline{\mathfrak{n}} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$.

Furthermore, we establish the simplicity criterion for $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ in the case of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{C})$ (Theorem 2.16). Let us note that the notions of Gelfand–Tsetlin modules and θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin modules coincide for $\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{C})$. All such simple modules were classified in [6] (see also [9]). We also describe a relation of Gelfand–Tsetlin modules $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ to twisted Verma modules $M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)^{w}$. For the reader's convenience, we remind in Appendix A several important facts concerning the generalized eigenspace decomposition.

Throughout the article, we use the standard notation $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0$ for the set of integers, the set of natural numbers and the set of natural numbers together with zero, respectively.

1 Gelfand–Tsetlin modules

In this section we introduce a general notion of Harish-Chandra modules and then focus on a particular class of partial Gelfand–Tsetlin modules and their analogues for an arbitrary complex simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra.

1.1 Harish-Chandra modules and Gelfand–Tsetlin modules

For a commutative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra Γ we denote by $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma,\mathbb{C})$ the set of all characters of Γ, i.e. $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphisms from Γ to $\mathbb{C}$. Let us note that if Γ is finitely generated, then there is a natural identification between the set $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma,\mathbb{C})$ of all characters of Γ and the set $\operatorname{Specm}\Gamma$ of all maximal ideals of Γ, which corresponds to a complex algebraic variety.

Let M be a Γ-module. For each $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma,\mathbb{C})$ we set

$$M_{\chi} = \{v \in M;\ (\exists k \in \mathbb{N})\,(\forall a \in \Gamma)\,(a-\chi(a))^{k}v = 0\} \tag{1.1}$$

and call it the Γ-weight space of M with weight χ. When $M_{\chi} \neq \{0\}$, we say that χ is a Γ-weight of M and the elements of M_{χ} are called Γ-weight vectors with weight χ. If a Γ-module M satisfies

$$M = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma,\mathbb{C})} M_{\chi}, \tag{1.2}$$

then we call M a Γ-weight module. The dimension of the vector space M_{χ} will be called the Γ-*multiplicity* of χ in M. We say that a left A-module M is a *Harish-Chandra module with respect to* Γ if M is a Γ-weight A-module.

Let A be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra and let Γ be a commutative $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebra of A. Following [3] we denote by $\mathcal{H}(A,\Gamma)$ the category of all Γ-weight A-modules and by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{fin}}(A,\Gamma)$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{H}(A,\Gamma)$ consisting of those Γ-weight A-modules M satisfying $\dim M_{\chi} < \infty$ for all $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma,\mathbb{C})$. In the case when the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra A is the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of a complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we will use the notation $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g},\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{g},\Gamma)$ instead of $\mathcal{H}(U(\mathfrak{g}),\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{fin}}f(U(\mathfrak{g}),\Gamma)$, respectively.

If Γ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ are commutative $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebras of A satisfying $\Gamma \subset \widetilde{\Gamma}$, then we have the following obvious inclusions

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{fin}}(A,\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{fin}}(A,\widetilde{\Gamma}) \subset \mathcal{H}(A,\widetilde{\Gamma}) \subset \mathcal{H}(A,\Gamma),$$

which are strict in general.

Finally, let us recall that a commutative $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebra Γ of A is a *Harish-Chandra subalgebra* if the Γ-bimodule $\Gamma a\Gamma$ is finitely generated both as left and as right Γ-module for all $a \in A$.

Example. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})$ and Γ is the Gelfand–Tsetlin subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ then objects of $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g},\Gamma)$ are the Gelfand–Tsetlin modules studied by many authors.

Let us note that the Γ-weight spaces in the case of the Gelfand–Tsetlin subalgebra Γ of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C}))$ are always finite-dimensional in simple $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})$-modules. This need not be the case for

other commutative $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebras of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C}))$. However, in all known to us cases the following is true. We state it as a conjecture in general.

Conjecture. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra. If $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g},\Gamma)$ is a simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module and $\dim M_\chi < \infty$ for some Γ-weight χ of M, then $M \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{g},\Gamma)$.

Remark. If M is a simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module such that $M_\chi \neq \{0\}$ for some $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma,\mathbb{C})$, then it is not clear (and probably not true in general) whether $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g},\Gamma)$.

1.2 θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin modules

In this section we introduce a class of partial Gelfand–Tsetlin modules for an arbitrary complex simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra.

Let us consider a complex simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote by Δ the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$, by Δ^+ a positive root system in Δ and by $\Pi \subset \Delta$ the set of simple roots. Further, we denote by θ the maximal root of $\mathfrak{g}$ (by definition, the highest weight of its adjoint representation) and by $h_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ the coroot corresponding to a root $\alpha \in \Delta$.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathfrak{s}_\theta$ be the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by an $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$-triple $(e_\theta, h_\theta, f_\theta)$ associated to the maximal root θ. Then we define the commutative $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebra Γ_θ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and by the center $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{s}_\theta)$ of $U(\mathfrak{s}_\theta)$.

The center $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{s}_\theta)$ of $U(\mathfrak{s}_\theta)$ is freely generated by the quadratic Casimir element Cas_θ defined by

$$\mathrm{Cas}_\theta = e_\theta f_\theta + f_\theta e_\theta + \tfrac{1}{2} h_\theta^2. \tag{1.3}$$

Hence, the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra Γ_θ is freely generated by the coroots h_α, $\alpha \in \Pi$, and by the Casimir element Cas_θ. As a consequence, we obtain that the complex algebraic variety corresponding to $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma_\theta,\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{Specm}\,\Gamma_\theta$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g})+1}$. In the next, we will focus on the category $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g},\Gamma_\theta)$. The objects of this category will be called *θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin modules*.

Proposition 1.2.

(i) The commutative $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebra Γ_θ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Harish-Chandra subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

(ii) Let M be a simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module such that $M_\chi \neq \{0\}$ for some $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma_\theta,\mathbb{C})$. Then we have $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g},\Gamma_\theta)$.

Proof. To prove the first statement it is sufficient to check it for the generators of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ be such a generator. We may assume that $a \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta$. If $\alpha = \pm\theta$, then we have $[\mathrm{Cas}_\theta, a] = 0$. For $\alpha \neq \pm\theta$, let us consider the $\mathfrak{s}_\theta$-module

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha,\theta} = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+j\theta}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha,\theta}$ is a simple finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{s}_\theta$-module, we obtain that $[\mathrm{Cas}_\theta, a] = c_\alpha a$, where $c_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, which together with $[h,a] = \alpha(h)a$ implies the first and also the second statement. □

We define the full subcategories $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,e}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,f}$ of the category of weight (with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$) $\mathfrak{g}$-modules consisting of those $\mathfrak{g}$-modules on which e_θ and f_θ is locally nilpotent, respectively. Also we set $\mathcal{I}_\theta = \mathcal{I}_{\theta,e} \cap \mathcal{I}_{\theta,f}$.

Proposition 1.3.

(i) The categories $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,e}$, $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,f}$ and $\mathcal{I}_\theta$ are full subcategories of $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g},\Gamma_\theta)$.

(ii) Let M be a simple weight $\mathfrak{g}$-module such that there exists an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a nonzero vector $v \in M$ satisfying $e_\theta^n v = 0$ (respectively, $f_\theta^n v = 0$). Then we have $M \in \mathcal{I}_{\theta,e}$ (respectively, $M \in \mathcal{I}_{\theta,f}$), and hence $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g},\Gamma_\theta)$.

Proof. If $M \in \mathcal{I}_{\theta,e}$, then Cas_θ is locally finite on M. This implies the first statement. The second statement follows from the simplicity of M and item (i). $\square$

We will denote $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,e,\mathrm{fin}} = \mathcal{I}_{\theta,e} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{g}, \Gamma_\theta)$, and similarly $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,f,\mathrm{fin}}$, $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,\mathrm{fin}}$. Let Γ be a commutative $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ containing $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{s}_\theta)$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{g}, \Gamma_\theta) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{g}, \Gamma)$, we immediately have the following statement.

Corollary 1.4. The categories $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,e,\mathrm{fin}}$, $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,f,\mathrm{fin}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,\mathrm{fin}}$ are full subcategories of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{g}, \Gamma)$.

One wonders how large all these categories are. Clearly, $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,\mathrm{fin}}$ contains all finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-modules; $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,e,\mathrm{fin}}$ contains the whole category $\mathcal{O}$ and all parabolically induced $\mathfrak{g}$-modules when the Levi factor $\mathfrak{l}$ of the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ does not contain e_θ, f_θ and $e_\theta \in \mathfrak{u}$. Moreover, it was shown in [6] that certain simple non-parabolically induced Gelfand–Tsetlin $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$-modules with respect to the Gelfand–Tsetlin subalgebra belong to the categories $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,e,\mathrm{fin}}$, $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,f,\mathrm{fin}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,\mathrm{fin}}$. Such modules have infinite-dimensional weight spaces. Furthermore, using the parabolic induction from such simple Gelfand–Tsetlin $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$-modules one can construct simple $\mathfrak{g}$-modules respectively in categories $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,e}$, $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,f}$ and $\mathcal{I}_\theta$ for any $\mathfrak{g}$ of rank $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 3$ (in order to have $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C}) + \mathfrak{h}$ as a Levi factor of some parabolic subalgebra).

Let us note that $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, \Gamma_\theta)$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{g}, \Gamma_\theta)$, $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,e,\mathrm{fin}}$, $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,f,\mathrm{fin}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,\mathrm{fin}}$ are not tensor categories, except the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ when $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,e,\mathrm{fin}}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,f,\mathrm{fin}}$) consists of extensions of highest (respectively, lowest) weight $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and their directs sums and $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,\mathrm{fin}}$ consists of sums of finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. Nevertheless, we have the following statement as a consequence of the definitions.

Theorem 1.5. *The categories $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,e}$, $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,f}$ and $\mathcal{I}_\theta$ are tensor categories.*

2 Geometric construction of θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin modules

2.1 Geometric realization of Verma modules

Let us consider a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote by Δ the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$, by Δ^+ a positive root system in Δ and by $\Pi \subset \Delta$ the set of simple roots. The standard Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined through $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ with the nilradical $\mathfrak{n}$ and the opposite nilradical $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ given by

$$\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\mathfrak{n}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, we have a triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \overline{\mathfrak{n}} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n} \tag{2.2}$$

of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Furthermore, we define the height $\mathrm{ht}(\alpha)$ of $\alpha \in \Delta$ by

$$\mathrm{ht}(\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^r a_i \alpha_i) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i, \tag{2.3}$$

where $r = \mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$. If we denote $k = \mathrm{ht}(\theta)$, where θ is the maximal root of $\mathfrak{g}$ (by definition, the highest weight of its adjoint representation), then $\mathfrak{g}$ is a $|k|$-graded Lie algebra with respect to the grading given by $\mathfrak{g}_i = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta,\, \mathrm{ht}(\alpha) = i} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ for $0 \neq i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$. Moreover, we have

$$\overline{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \qquad \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_0, \qquad \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k \tag{2.4}$$

together with $\mathfrak{g}_{-k} = \mathfrak{g}_{-\theta}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_k = \mathfrak{g}_\theta$. We also set

$$Q = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathbb{Z}\alpha = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \qquad \text{and} \qquad Q_+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathbb{N}_0 \alpha = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{N}_0 \alpha_i \tag{2.5}$$

and call Q the root lattice. Let us note that for any positive root $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, we have $\theta - \alpha \in Q_+$. Furthermore, we introduce the notation Δ_θ^+ to stand for $\Delta^+ \setminus \{\theta\}$.

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we denote by $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ the 1-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{b}$ defined by

$$av = \lambda(a)v \tag{2.6}$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{h}$, $v \in \mathbb{C}_\lambda \simeq \mathbb{C}$ (as vector spaces) and trivially extended to a representation of $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then the Verma module $M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is the induced module

$$M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda) = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}_\lambda \equiv U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_\lambda \simeq U(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}_\lambda, \tag{2.7}$$

where the last isomorphism of $U(\overline{\mathfrak{n}})$-modules follows from Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem.

In the next, we shall need the action of the center $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ on Verma modules $M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Using (2.2) together with Poincaré–Birkhof–Witt theorem we obtain

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}\, U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\, \mathfrak{n}). \tag{2.8}$$

Let us consider the projection $p\colon U(\mathfrak{g}) \to U(\mathfrak{h})$ with respect to the direct sum decomposition (2.8) and the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra automorphism $f\colon U(\mathfrak{h}) \to U(\mathfrak{h})$ defined by

$$f(h) = h - \rho(h)1 \tag{2.9}$$

for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, where $\rho \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is the Weyl vector given through

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha. \tag{2.10}$$

We denote by $\gamma\colon \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \to U(\mathfrak{h})$ the restriction of $f \circ p$ to the center $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. The mapping $\gamma\colon \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \to U(\mathfrak{h})$ is a homomorphism of associative $\mathbb{C}$-algebras and does not depend on the choice of the positive root system Δ^+. It is called the Harish-Chandra homomorphism.

A $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism from $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ to $\mathbb{C}$ is called a central character. For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we define a central character $\chi_\lambda\colon \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\chi_\lambda(z) = (\gamma(z))(\lambda) \tag{2.11}$$

for $z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$, where we identify $U(\mathfrak{h}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{h})$ with the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of polynomial functions on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. The action of the center $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ on Verma modules $M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is then given by

$$zv = \chi_{\lambda+\rho}(z)v \tag{2.12}$$

for all $z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $v \in M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$.

We briefly describe the geometric realization of Verma modules given in [16]. This nice geometric realization enables us to construct new interesting simple modules for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\{f_\alpha;\, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ be a basis of the opposite nilradical $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$. We denote by $x = \{x_\alpha;\, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ the corresponding linear coordinate functions on $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ with respect to the basis $\{f_\alpha;\, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$. Then we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{n}}] \simeq \mathbb{C}[x]$ of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras, and the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of the vector space $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ is generated by $\{x_\alpha, \partial_{x_\alpha};\, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ together with the canonical commutation relations. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ there is a homomorphism

$$\pi_\lambda\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \tag{2.13}$$

of Lie algebras given through

$$\pi_\lambda(a) = -\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \left[\frac{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))e^{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}}{e^{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))} - \mathrm{id}} (e^{-\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}a)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}} \right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda + \rho)((e^{-\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}a)_{\mathfrak{h}}) \tag{2.14}$$

for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $[a]_\alpha$ denotes the α-th coordinate of $a \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ with respect to the basis $\{f_\alpha;\, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$, further $a_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}$ and $a_{\mathfrak{h}}$ are $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$-part and $\mathfrak{h}$-part of $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the trinagular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \overline{\mathfrak{n}} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, and finally the element $u(x) \in \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{n}}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$u(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} x_\alpha f_\alpha. \tag{2.15}$$

Let us note that $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{n}}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}$ has the natural structure of a Lie algebra. Hence, we have a well-defined $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $\mathrm{ad}(u(x))\colon \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{n}}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{n}}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}$.

In particular, we have

$$\pi_\lambda(a) = -\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \left[\frac{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}{e^{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))} - \mathrm{id}}\, a \right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} \tag{2.16}$$

for $a \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ and

$$\pi_\lambda(a) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} [\mathrm{ad}(u(x))a]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda + \rho)(a) \tag{2.17}$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{h}$. The Verma module $M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is then realized as $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{V}}$, where $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is the left ideal of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}$ defined by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{V}} = (x_\alpha,\, \alpha \in \Delta^+)$, see e.g. [16]. The $\mathfrak{g}$-module structure on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is given through the homomorphism $\pi_{\lambda+\rho}\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}$ of Lie algebras.

In the next, we change the left ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{V}}$ of the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}$ to produce a class of θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin modules. These $\mathfrak{g}$-modules will be the subject of our interest.

2.2 Geometric realization of θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin modules

We need to introduce a suitable basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\{e_\alpha;\, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ be a root basis of the nilradical $\mathfrak{n}$ and let $\{f_\alpha;\, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ be a root basis of the opposite nilradical $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$. If we denote by $h_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ the coroot corresponding to a root $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, then $\{h_\alpha;\, \alpha \in \Pi\}$ is a basis of the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$.

Let us note that the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\{e_\alpha, h_\alpha, f_\alpha\}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then the $\mathfrak{g}$-module $W^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\lambda)$ is defined by

$$W^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\lambda) \simeq \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{GT}} \simeq \mathbb{C}[\partial_{x_\alpha}, \alpha \in \Delta^+_\theta,\, x_\theta], \quad \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{GT}} = (x_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^+_\theta, \partial_{x_\theta}), \tag{2.18}$$

where the $\mathfrak{g}$-module structure on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{GT}}$ is given through the homomorphism $\pi_{\lambda+\rho}\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}$ of Lie algebras.

Remark. Although, the definition of $W^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ makes sense for any complex simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we exclude the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ the $\mathfrak{g}$-module $W^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to the contragredient Verma module $M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{b}}}(\lambda + 2\rho)^*$ for the opposite standard Borel subalgebra $\overline{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Let M be an $\mathfrak{h}$-module. For each $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we set

$$M_\mu = \{v \in M;\, (\forall h \in \mathfrak{h})\, hv = \mu(h)v\} \tag{2.19}$$

and call it the weight space of M with weight μ. When $M_\mu \neq \{0\}$, we say that μ is a weight of M and the elements of M_μ are called weight vectors with weight μ. If an $\mathfrak{h}$-module M satisfies

$$M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} M_\mu, \tag{2.20}$$

then we call M a weight module.

Therefore, the first natural question is whether $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is a weight module and how the action of the center $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ on $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ looks.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_\lambda(h) &= \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \alpha(h)x_\alpha\partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda+\rho)(h)\\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_\theta^+} \alpha(h)\partial_{x_\alpha}x_\alpha + \theta(h)x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta} + (\lambda-\rho+\theta)(h)\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Proof. For $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ we have

$$[u(x), h] = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} x_\alpha[f_\alpha, h] = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \alpha(h)x_\alpha f_\alpha,$$

which together with (2.17) enables us to write

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_\lambda(h) &= \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \alpha(h)x_\alpha\partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda+\rho)(h)\\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_\theta^+} \alpha(h)\partial_{x_\alpha}x_\alpha + \theta(h)x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta} + (\lambda-\rho+\theta)(h)\end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, where we used (2.10) in the second equality. □

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}^{\Delta^+}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{\Pi}$ the set of all functions from Δ^+ to $\mathbb{Z}$ and from Π to $\mathbb{Z}$, respectively. Since we have $\Pi \subset \Delta^+$, an element of $\mathbb{Z}^{\Pi}$ will be also regarded as an element of $\mathbb{Z}^{\Delta^+}$ extended by 0 on $\Delta^+ \setminus \Pi$. A similar notation is introduced for $\mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0^{\Pi}$.

For $a \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}$ we define by

$$w_{\lambda,a} = \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_\theta^+} \partial_{x_\alpha}^{a_\alpha} x_\theta^{a_\theta} \tag{2.22}$$

a vector in $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$. The subset $\{w_{\lambda,a};\, a \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}\}$ of $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ forms a basis of $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$. As $w_{\lambda,a}$ is a weight vector with weight

$$\mu_{\lambda,a} = -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_\theta^+} a_\alpha\alpha + a_\theta\theta + \lambda + \theta \tag{2.23}$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}$, as easily follows from (2.21), we obtain that $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is a weight module. However, we need a basis of weight spaces. Since any positive root $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ can be expressed as

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{\alpha,i}\alpha_i, \tag{2.24}$$

where $m_{\alpha,i} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ and $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r\}$, we define $t_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Delta^+}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Pi$ by

$$t_\theta(\beta) = \begin{cases} m_{\theta,i} & \text{for } \beta = \alpha_i,\\ 1 & \text{for } \beta = \theta,\\ 0 & \text{for } \beta \neq \theta \text{ and } \beta \notin \Pi \end{cases} \tag{2.25}$$

and

$$t_\alpha(\beta) = \begin{cases} -m_{\alpha,i} & \text{for } \beta = \alpha_i,\\ 1 & \text{for } \beta = \alpha,\\ 0 & \text{for } \beta \neq \alpha \text{ and } \beta \notin \Pi \end{cases} \tag{2.26}$$

for $\alpha \neq \theta$. Furthermore, we define subsets Λ_+ and Λ_+^θ of $\mathbb{Z}^{\Delta^+}$ by

$$\Lambda_+ = \{\textstyle\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+\setminus\Pi} n_\alpha t_\alpha;\ n_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Pi\} \tag{2.27}$$

and

$$\Lambda_+^\theta = \{\textstyle\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_\theta^+\setminus\Pi} n_\alpha t_\alpha;\ n_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_\theta^+ \setminus \Pi\}, \tag{2.28}$$

respectively.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Delta^+}$. Then $\mu_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{\lambda,b}$ if and only if

$$b = a + \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+\setminus\Pi} n_\alpha t_\alpha, \tag{2.29}$$

where $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Pi$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Delta^+}$. If $b = a + \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+\setminus\Pi} n_\alpha t_\alpha$, where $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^+\setminus\Pi$, then we have $\mu_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{\lambda,b}$. On the other hand, let us assume that $\mu_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{\lambda,b}$. Then we set $n_\alpha = b_\alpha - a_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Pi$ and define $c = a + \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+\setminus\Pi} n_\alpha t_\alpha$. Hence, we have $c_\alpha = a_\alpha + n_\alpha = b_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Pi$ and $c_{\alpha_i} = a_{\alpha_i} - \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_\theta^+\setminus\Pi} n_\alpha m_{\alpha,i} + n_\theta m_{\theta,i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Further, since $\mu_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{\lambda,b}$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\lambda,b} - \mu_{\lambda,a} &= \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_\theta^+} (a_\alpha - b_\alpha)\alpha - (a_\theta - b_\theta)\theta \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^r (a_{\alpha_i} - b_{\alpha_i})\alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_\theta^+\setminus\Pi} (a_\alpha - b_\alpha) m_{\alpha,i}\alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^r (a_\theta - b_\theta) m_{\theta,i}\alpha_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^r \Big(a_{\alpha_i} - b_{\alpha_i} + \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_\theta^+\setminus\Pi} (a_\alpha - b_\alpha) m_{\alpha,i} - (a_\theta - b_\theta) m_{\theta,i}\Big)\alpha_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^r \Big(a_{\alpha_i} - b_{\alpha_i} - \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_\theta^+\setminus\Pi} n_\alpha m_{\alpha,i} + n_\theta m_{\theta,i}\Big)\alpha_i = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.24). As the set $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ forms a basis of $\mathfrak{h}^*$, we get

$$a_{\alpha_i} - b_{\alpha_i} - \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_\theta^+\setminus\Pi} n_\alpha m_{\alpha,i} + n_\theta m_{\theta,i} = 0,$$

which implies that $c_{\alpha_i} = b_{\alpha_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Hence, we have $c_\alpha = b_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ and we are done. □

Proposition 2.5. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then the set of all weights of $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is $\{\mu_{\lambda,a};\ a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Pi\}$. Moreover, we have that $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is a weight $\mathfrak{h}$-module with infinite dimensional weight spaces and the subset

$$\{w_{\lambda,a+n_a t_\theta + t};\ t \in \Lambda_+,\ a + n_a t_\theta + t \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}\} \tag{2.30}$$

of $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_{\mu_{\lambda,a}}$ forms a basis of $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_{\mu_{\lambda,a}}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Pi$, where $n_a \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the smallest non-negative integer satisfying $a + n_a t_\theta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}$.

Proof. From the previous considerations we know that $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is a weight $\mathfrak{h}$-module and that all weighs are of the form $\mu_{\lambda,a}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Pi$ as follows from (2.23). Now, let us consider $a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Pi$. Since $\theta - \alpha \in Q_+$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, i.e. $m_{\theta,i} \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$, there exists the smallest non-negative integer $n_a \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $a + n_a t_\theta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}$. Moreover, we have $\mu_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{\lambda,a+n_a t_\theta}$, which implies that $\mu_{\lambda,a}$ is a weight of $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$, since $w_{\lambda,a+n_a t_\theta}$ is a weight vector with weight $\mu_{\lambda,a}$. Further, since $a + (n_a + n)t_\theta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}$ and $\mu_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{\lambda,a+(n_a+n)t_\theta}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we obtain

that $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_{\mu_{\lambda,a}}$ contains the subset $\{w_{\lambda,a+(n_a+n)t_\theta};\, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, which implies that the weight space $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_{\mu_{\lambda,a}}$ is infinite dimensional.

Let us assume that $w_{\lambda,b} \in W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_{\mu_{\lambda,a}}$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Pi}$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}$. Then we have $\mu_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{\lambda,b}$. By Lemma 2.4 we get

$$b = a + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Pi} n_\alpha t_\alpha,$$

where $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Pi$. Therefore, we have $b_\alpha = a_\alpha + n_\alpha = n_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Pi$ and $b_{\alpha_i} = a_{\alpha_i} + n_\theta m_{\theta,i} - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_\theta^+ \setminus \Pi} n_\alpha m_{\alpha,i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Since $b_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, we get $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Pi$ and

$$0 \leq a_{\alpha_i} + n_\theta m_{\theta,i} - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_\theta^+ \setminus \Pi} n_\alpha m_{\alpha,i} \leq a_{\alpha_i} + n_\theta m_{\theta,i}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Hence, we obtain that $n_\theta \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $a + n_\theta t_\theta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}$. If we denote by $n_a \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the smallest non-negative integer satisfying $a + n_a t_\theta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}$, then we may write n_θ in the form $n_\theta = n_a + n'_\theta$ for $n'_\theta \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This finishes the proof. □

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then we have*

$$zv = \chi_{\lambda+\rho}(z)v \tag{2.31}$$

for all $z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $v \in W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$.

Proof. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we show that

$$\pi_{\lambda+\rho}(z) = \chi_{\lambda+\rho}(z)$$

for all $z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$, which implies the required statement. For that reason, let us consider the Verma module $M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then we have $zv = \chi_{\lambda+\rho}(z)v$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $v \in M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$, which follows from (2.12). As the Verma module $M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is realized as $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{V}} \simeq \mathbb{C}[\partial_{x_\alpha},\, \alpha \in \Delta^+]$, where the $\mathfrak{g}$-module structure on $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is given through the homomorphism $\pi_{\lambda+\rho}\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of Lie algebras, we have

$$\pi_{\lambda+\rho}(z)v = \chi_{\lambda+\rho}(z)v$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_{x_\alpha},\, \alpha \in \Delta^+]$. Therefore, we have $\pi_{\lambda+\rho}(z) = \chi_{\lambda+\rho}(z)$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$. □

In the following, we give an explicit form of $\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Let us recall that the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\{e_\theta, h_\theta, f_\theta\}$ is denoted by s_θ.

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we introduce a linear mapping

$$\sigma_\lambda\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \tag{2.32}$$

by

$$\sigma_\lambda(a) = -\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \left[\frac{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))e^{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}}{e^{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))} - \mathrm{id}} \, (e^{-\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}a)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}} \right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda+\rho)((e^{-\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}a)_{\mathfrak{h}}) \tag{2.33}$$

for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, where

$$v(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_\theta^+} x_\alpha f_\alpha \tag{2.34}$$

and we use the same convention as in (2.14). In particular, we have

$$\sigma_\lambda(a) = -\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \left[\frac{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}{e^{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))} - \mathrm{id}} \, a \right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} \tag{2.35}$$

for $a \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ and

$$\sigma_\lambda(a) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} [\mathrm{ad}(v(x))a]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda+\rho)(a) \tag{2.36}$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Proposition 2.7. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then we have

$$\pi_\lambda(e_\alpha) = x_\theta \pi_\lambda([e_\alpha, f_\theta]) + \sigma_\lambda(e_\alpha) \tag{2.37}$$

for $\alpha \in \Delta_\theta^+$.

Proof. Let us assume that $\alpha \in \Delta_\theta^+$. Since $u(x) = v(x) + x_\theta f_\theta$ and $[v(x), x_\theta f_\theta] = 0$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}e_\alpha &= e^{-\mathrm{ad}(v(x))-\mathrm{ad}(x_\theta f_\theta)}e_\alpha = e^{-\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}e^{-\mathrm{ad}(x_\theta f_\theta)}e_\alpha \\ &= e^{-\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}(e_\alpha - \mathrm{ad}(x_\theta f_\theta)e_\alpha) = e^{-\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}(e_\theta + x_\theta[e_\alpha, f_\theta]), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(e^{-\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}e_\alpha)_{\mathfrak{h}} = (e^{-\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}e_\alpha)_{\mathfrak{h}} \tag{2.38}$$

and

$$(e^{-\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}e_\alpha)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}} = (e^{-\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}e_\alpha)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}} + x_\theta e^{-\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}[e_\alpha, f_\theta]. \tag{2.39}$$

Finally, using (2.14) we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\lambda(e_\alpha) &= -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \left[\frac{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))e^{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}}{e^{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}-\mathrm{id}}(e^{-\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}e_\alpha)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda+\rho)((e^{-\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}e_\alpha)_{\mathfrak{h}}) \\ &= -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \left[\frac{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))e^{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}}{e^{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}-\mathrm{id}}(e^{-\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}e_\alpha)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda+\rho)((e^{-\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}e_\alpha)_{\mathfrak{h}}) \\ &= -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \left[\frac{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))e^{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}}{e^{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}-\mathrm{id}}(e^{-\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}e_\alpha)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda+\rho)((e^{-\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}e_\alpha)_{\mathfrak{h}}) \\ &\quad -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} x_\theta \left[\frac{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))e^{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}}{e^{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}-\mathrm{id}}e^{-\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}[e_\alpha, f_\theta]\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

were we used $[u(x), a] = [v(x), a]$ for all $a \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ in the second equality and the formulas (2.38) and (2.39) in the last equality. Therefore, we get

$$\pi_\lambda(e_\alpha) = -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} x_\theta \left[\frac{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}{e^{\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}-\mathrm{id}}[e_\alpha, f_\theta]\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + \sigma_\lambda(e_\alpha) = x_\theta\pi_\lambda([e_\alpha, f_\theta]) + \sigma_\lambda(e_\alpha).$$

This finishes the proof. □

Proposition 2.8. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\lambda(f_\theta) &= -\partial_{x_\theta}, \\ \pi_\lambda(h_\theta) &= \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \alpha(h_\theta)x_\alpha\partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda+\rho)(h_\theta), \\ \pi_\lambda(e_\theta) &= x_\theta(\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) - x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta}) + \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta), \end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Proof. Since $f_\theta \in \mathfrak{z}(\overline{\mathfrak{n}})$, we have $[u(x), f_\theta] = 0$. Hence, from (2.16) we obtain

$$\pi_\lambda(f_\theta) = -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} [f_\theta]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} = -\partial_{x_\theta}.$$

Further, we have

$$[u(x), h_\theta] = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} x_\alpha [f_\alpha, h_\theta] = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \alpha(h_\theta) x_\alpha f_\alpha,$$

which together with (2.17) gives us

$$\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} [u(x), h_\theta]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda+\rho)(h_\theta) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \alpha(h_\theta) x_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda+\rho)(h_\theta).$$

Since $u(x) = v(x) + x_\theta f_\theta$ and $[v(x), x_\theta f_\theta] = 0$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\operatorname{ad}(u(x))} e_\theta &= e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))-\operatorname{ad}(x_\theta f_\theta)} e_\theta = e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} e^{-\operatorname{ad}(x_\theta f_\theta)} e_\theta \\ &= e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))}(e_\theta - \operatorname{ad}(x_\theta f_\theta) e_\theta + \tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad}(x_\theta f_\theta)^2 e_\theta) = e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))}(e_\theta + x_\theta h_\theta - x_\theta^2 f_\theta), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(e^{-\operatorname{ad}(u(x))} e_\theta)_{\mathfrak{h}} = (e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} e_\theta)_{\mathfrak{h}} + x_\theta h_\theta \tag{2.41}$$

and

$$(e^{-\operatorname{ad}(u(x))} e_\theta)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}} = (e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} e_\theta)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}} + x_\theta (e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} h_\theta)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}} - x_\theta^2 f_\theta. \tag{2.42}$$

Finally, using (2.14) we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\lambda(e_\theta) &= -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \left[\frac{\operatorname{ad}(u(x)) e^{\operatorname{ad}(u(x))}}{e^{\operatorname{ad}(u(x))} - \mathrm{id}} (e^{-\operatorname{ad}(u(x))} e_\theta)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda+\rho)((e^{-\operatorname{ad}(u(x))} e_\theta)_{\mathfrak{h}}) \\ &= -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \left[\frac{\operatorname{ad}(v(x)) e^{\operatorname{ad}(v(x))}}{e^{\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} - \mathrm{id}} (e^{-\operatorname{ad}(u(x))} e_\theta)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda+\rho)((e^{-\operatorname{ad}(u(x))} e_\theta)_{\mathfrak{h}}) \\ &= -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \left[\frac{\operatorname{ad}(v(x)) e^{\operatorname{ad}(v(x))}}{e^{\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} - \mathrm{id}} (e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} e_\theta)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda+\rho)((e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} e_\theta)_{\mathfrak{h}}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} x_\theta \left[\frac{\operatorname{ad}(v(x)) e^{\operatorname{ad}(v(x))}}{e^{\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} - \mathrm{id}} (e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} h_\theta)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} x_\theta^2 \left[\frac{\operatorname{ad}(v(x)) e^{\operatorname{ad}(v(x))}}{e^{\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} - \mathrm{id}} f_\theta\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} \\ &\quad + x_\theta(\lambda+\rho)(h_\theta), \end{aligned}$$

were we used $[u(x), a] = [v(x), a]$ for all $a \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ in the second equality and the formulas (2.41) and (2.42) in the last equality. Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\lambda(e_\theta) &= -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} x_\theta \left[\frac{\operatorname{ad}(v(x)) e^{\operatorname{ad}(v(x))}}{e^{\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} - \mathrm{id}} (e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} h_\theta)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} x_\theta^2 [f_\theta]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} \\ &\quad + x_\theta(\lambda+\rho)(h_\theta) + \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta) \\ &= -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} x_\theta \left[\frac{\operatorname{ad}(v(x)) e^{\operatorname{ad}(v(x))}}{e^{\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} - \mathrm{id}} (e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} h_\theta)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + x_\theta^2 \partial_{x_\theta} \\ &\quad + x_\theta(\lambda+\rho)(h_\theta) + \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Further, since we have $(e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} h_\theta)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}} = (e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} - \mathrm{id}) h_\theta$, we may write

$$\pi_\lambda(e_\theta) = -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} x_\theta \left[\frac{\operatorname{ad}(v(x)) e^{\operatorname{ad}(v(x))}}{e^{\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} - \mathrm{id}} (e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))} - \mathrm{id}) h_\theta\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + x_\theta^2 \partial_{x_\theta}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ x_\theta(\lambda+\rho)(h_\theta) + \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)\\
&= \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} x_\theta[\mathrm{ad}(v(x))h_\theta]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + x_\theta^2\partial_{x_\theta} + x_\theta(\lambda+\rho)(h_\theta) + \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)\\
&= \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} x_\theta[u(x),h_\theta]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} - x_\theta^2\partial_{x_\theta} + x_\theta(\lambda+\rho)(h_\theta) + \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)\\
&= x_\theta(\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) - x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta}) + \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta).
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the proof. □

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\lambda\in\mathfrak{h}^*$. Then $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is a cyclic $\mathfrak{g}$-module.*

Proof. Since the polynomials $[(e^{-\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}e_\theta)_{\mathfrak{h}}]_\alpha$ and $[(e^{-\mathrm{ad}(v(x))}e_\theta)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}]_\alpha$ do not contain the constant and linear term for all $\alpha\in\Delta^+$, we obtain, using (2.33), that $\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(e_\theta)x_\theta^n = 0$ for $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\pi_{\lambda+\rho}(e_\theta)x_\theta^n = x_\theta(\pi_{\lambda+\rho}(h_\theta) - x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta})x_\theta^n = x_\theta(x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta} + (\lambda+\theta)(h_\theta))x_\theta^n = (\lambda(h_\theta)+n+2)x_\theta^{n+1},\\
&\pi_{\lambda+\rho}(h_\theta)x_\theta^n = (2x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta} + (\lambda+\theta)(h_\theta))x_\theta^n = (\lambda(h_\theta)+2+2n)x_\theta^n,\\
&\pi_{\lambda+\rho}(f_\theta)x_\theta^n = -nx_\theta^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$, where we used (2.21), which gives us $\mathbb{C}[x_\theta] = U(\mathfrak{s}_\theta)1$ provided $\lambda(h_\theta)+2\notin-\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathbb{C}[x_\theta] = U(\mathfrak{s}_\theta)x_\theta^{-\lambda(h_\theta)-1}$ if $\lambda(h_\theta)+2\in-\mathbb{N}_0$.

From (2.16) and the identity

$$
\frac{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}{e^{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}-\mathrm{id}}\,a = a + \frac{\mathrm{ad}(u(x)) - e^{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}+\mathrm{id}}{e^{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}-\mathrm{id}}\,a
$$

for all $a\in\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$, we obtain

$$
\pi_{\lambda+\rho}(f_\alpha) = -\partial_{x_\alpha} + \pi'_{\lambda+\rho}(f_\alpha)
$$

for $\alpha\in\Delta^+$, where

$$
\pi'_{\lambda+\rho}(a) = -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+}\left[\frac{\mathrm{ad}(u(x)) - e^{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}+\mathrm{id}}{e^{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}-\mathrm{id}}\,a\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha}.
$$

Further, for $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ we denote by $F_kW_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ the vector subspace of $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ consisting of all polynomials of degree at most k in the variables ∂_{x_α}, $\alpha\in\Delta_\theta^+$. Hence, we have an increasing filtration $\{F_kW_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ on $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$. As the polynomial

$$
\left[\frac{\mathrm{ad}(u(x)) - e^{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}+\mathrm{id}}{e^{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}-\mathrm{id}}\,a\right]_\alpha
$$

does not contain the constant term and does not depend on x_θ for all $\alpha\in\Delta^+$ and $a\in\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$, we get

$$
\pi'_{\lambda+\rho}(f_\alpha)(F_kW_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)) \subset F_kW_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)
$$

for $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha\in\Delta^+$. Therefore, we have

$$
\pi_{\lambda+\rho}(f_\alpha)(F_kW_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda))/F_kW_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda) = \partial_{x_\alpha}F_kW_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)/F_kW_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)
$$

for $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha\in\Delta^+$, which together with $F_0W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}[x_\theta]$ gives us that $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is generated by $\mathbb{C}[x_\theta]$. As $\mathbb{C}[x_\theta]$ is generated by one vector, we get that $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is a cyclic $\mathfrak{g}$-module. □

Proposition 2.10. Let $\lambda\in\mathfrak{h}^*$. Then the Casimir operator $\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)$ has the form

$$
\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta) = \pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_s + \pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_n, \tag{2.43}
$$

where

$$\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_s = \tfrac{1}{2}\sigma_\lambda(h_\theta)(\sigma_\lambda(h_\theta)-2) \tag{2.44}$$

is a semisimple operator and

$$\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_n = 2\sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)\sigma_\lambda(f_\theta) \tag{2.45}$$

is a locally nilpotent operator. Moreover, the weight vector $w_{\lambda,a+(n_a+n_\theta)t_\theta+t}$ with weight $\mu_{\lambda,a}$ for $a\in\mathbb{Z}^\Pi$, $n_\theta\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $t\in\Lambda_+^\theta$ is an eigenvector of $\pi_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_s$ with eigenvalue

$$c_{\lambda,a,n_\theta} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\mu_{\lambda,a}(h_\theta)-2(n_a+n_\theta))(\mu_{\lambda,a}(h_\theta)-2(n_a+n_\theta+1)) \tag{2.46}$$

provided $a+(n_a+n_\theta)t_\theta+t\in\mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}$, where $n_a\in\mathbb{N}_0$ is the smallest non-negative integer satisfying $a+n_at_\theta\in\mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}$.

Proof. As $\mathrm{Cas}_\theta = e_\theta f_\theta + f_\theta e_\theta + \frac{1}{2}h_\theta^2 = 2f_\theta e_\theta + \frac{1}{2}h_\theta(h_\theta+2)$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta) &= 2\pi_\lambda(f_\theta)\pi_\lambda(e_\theta) + \tfrac{1}{2}\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)(\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)+2)\\ &= -2\partial_{x_\theta}(x_\theta(\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)-x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta})+\sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)) + \tfrac{1}{2}\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)(\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)+2)\\ &= -2(x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta}+1)(\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)-x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta}) - 2\partial_{x_\theta}\sigma_\lambda(e_\theta) + \tfrac{1}{2}\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)(\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)+2),\end{aligned}$$

where we used Proposition 2.8. If we denote

$$\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_s = -2(x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta}+1)(\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)-x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta}) + \tfrac{1}{2}\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)(\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)+2)$$

and

$$\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_n = -2\partial_{x_\theta}\sigma_\lambda(e_\theta),$$

we obtain $\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta) = \pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_s + \pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_n$. Further, we have

$$\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_n = -2\partial_{x_\theta}\sigma_\lambda(e_\theta) = -2\sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)\partial_{x_\theta} = 2\sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)\sigma_\lambda(f_\theta)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_s &= -2(x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta}+1)(\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)-x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta}) + \tfrac{1}{2}\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)(\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)+2)\\ &= -2(x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta}+1)(\sigma_\lambda(h_\theta)+x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta}) + \tfrac{1}{2}(\sigma_\lambda(h_\theta)+2x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta})(\sigma_\lambda(h_\theta)+2x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta}+2)\\ &= -2\sigma_\lambda(h_\theta) + \tfrac{1}{2}\sigma_\lambda(h_\theta)(\sigma_\lambda(h_\theta+2) = \tfrac{1}{2}\sigma_\lambda(h_\theta)(\sigma_\lambda(h_\theta)-2),\end{aligned}$$

where we used $\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) = \sigma_\lambda(h_\theta) + 2x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta}$, which easily follows from (2.36) and Proposition 2.8.

Now, let $a\in\mathbb{Z}^\Pi$. Then the weight vector $w_{\lambda-\rho,a+(n_a+n_\theta)t_\theta+t}$ with weight $\mu_{\lambda-\rho,a}$ for $n_\theta\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $t\in\Lambda_+^\theta$ is an eigenvector of $\sigma_\lambda(h_\theta)$ and therefore also of $\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_s$ if $a+(n_a+n_\theta)t_\theta+t\in\mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}$, since we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_\lambda(h_\theta)w_{\lambda-\rho,a+(n_a+n_\theta)t_\theta+t} &= (\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)-2x_\theta\partial_{x_\theta})w_{\lambda-\rho,a+(n_a+n_\theta)t_\theta+t}\\ &= (\mu_{\lambda-\rho,a}(h_\theta)-2(n_a+n_\theta))w_{\lambda-\rho,a+(n_a+n_\theta)t_\theta+t}.\end{aligned}$$

As $\{w_{\lambda-\rho,a+(n_a+n_\theta)t_\theta+t};\ a\in\mathbb{Z}^\Pi,\ n_\theta\in\mathbb{N}_0,\ t\in\Lambda_+^\theta,\ a+(n_a+n_\theta)t_\theta+t\in\mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}\}$ forms a basis of $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda-\rho)$, we get that $\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_s$ is a semisimple operator.

Since $\sigma_\lambda(f_\theta)$ is obviously a locally nilpotent operator and $\sigma_\lambda(f_\theta)\sigma_\lambda(e_\theta) = \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)\sigma_\lambda(f_\theta)$, we obtain that $\pi_\lambda(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_n$ is also a locally nilpotent operator. □

The main disadvantage of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda\in\mathfrak{h}^*$, in comparison with objects of the category $\mathcal{O}$, is infinite dimensional weight spaces. However, we can enlarge the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ to a commutative subalgebra Γ_θ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ in such a way that $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda\in\mathfrak{h}^*$ is a θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin module, i.e. $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)\in\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g},\Gamma_\theta)$.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then the $\mathfrak{g}$-module $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is a θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin module with finite Γ_θ-multiplicities, i.e. $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{g}, \Gamma_\theta)$.*

Proof. To prove that Γ_θ-weight spaces are finite-dimensional we apply Theorem A.2. For that reason, let us introduce an $\mathbb{N}_0$-grading on $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$. For $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we denote by $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_r$ the vector subspace of $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ consisting of all homogenous polynomials of degree r in the variables ∂_{x_α}, $\alpha \in \Delta_\theta^+$, and x_θ. Moreover, we have $\dim W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_r < \infty$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since the polynomials $[(e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))}e_\theta)_{\mathfrak{h}}]_\alpha$ and $[(e^{-\operatorname{ad}(v(x))}e_\theta)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}]_\alpha$ do not contain the constant and linear term for all $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, we obtain, using (2.33), that

$$\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(e_\theta)(F_r W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)) \subset F_{r-1} W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$$

for $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, which together with

$$\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h_\theta)(W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_r) \subset W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_r \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(f_\theta)(W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_r) \subset W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_{r-1}$$

for $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, according to Proposition 2.10, gives us

$$\pi_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_s(W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_r) \subset W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_r, \qquad \pi_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_s(F_r W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)) \subset F_{r-2} W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Therefore, the assumptions of Theorem A.2 are satisfied.

Let $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma_\theta, \mathbb{C})$ be a Γ_θ-weight of $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$. Let us denote $\mu = \chi_{|\mathfrak{h}}$ and $c = \chi(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)$. Then $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is an $\mathfrak{h}$-weight of $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ and we have $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_\chi \subset W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_\mu$. By Proposition 2.5 we obtain that there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Pi$ such that $\mu = \mu_{\lambda,a}$. The $\mathbb{N}_0$-grading on $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ induces the $\mathbb{N}_0$-grading on $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_{\mu_a}$ defined by $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_{\mu_a,r} = W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_{\mu_a} \cap W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence, by using Theorem A.2 for the linear mapping $\pi_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)$ on the vector subspace $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_{\mu_a}$, we get that eigenvalues of $\pi_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)$ on $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_{\mu_a}$ are the same as eigenvalues of $\pi_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_s$ on $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_{\mu_a}$, and moreover the dimensions of generalized eigenspaces corresponding to the same eigenvalue are equal. Hence, by Proposition 2.10 there exists $n_\theta \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $c = c_{\lambda,a,n_\theta}$. Further, from Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 2.10 follows that the set

$$\{w_{\lambda,a+(n_a+n_\theta)t_\theta+t};\, n_\theta \in \mathbb{N}_0,\, t \in \Lambda_+^\theta,\, a + (n_a+n_\theta)t_\theta + t \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}\}$$

forms a basis of $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_{\mu_a}$ and $w_{\lambda,a+(n_a+n_\theta)t_\theta+t}$ is an eigenvectors of $\pi_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathrm{Cas}_\theta)_s$ with eigenvalue c_{λ,a,n_θ}. As we have $c_{\lambda,a,n_\theta} = c_{\lambda,a,n'_\theta}$ for $n_\theta, n'_\theta \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if $n'_\theta = n_\theta$ or $n'_\theta = \mu_{\lambda,a}(h_\theta) - 2n_a - n_\theta - 1$ provided $\mu_{\lambda,a}(h_\theta) - 2n_a - n_\theta - 1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\dim W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)_\chi &= \natural\{a + (n_a+n_\theta)t_\theta + t;\, t \in \Lambda_+^\theta,\; a + (n_a+n_\theta)t_\theta + t \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}\} \\ &\quad + \natural\{a + (\mu_{\lambda,a}(h_\theta) - n_a - n_\theta - 1)t_\theta + t;\, t \in \Lambda_+^\theta,\, a + (\mu_{\lambda,a}(h_\theta) - n_a - n_\theta - 1)t_\theta + t \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta^+}\},\end{aligned}$$

which is obviously a finite number. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.12. The $\mathfrak{g}$-module $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ belongs to the category $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,f,\mathrm{fin}}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Corollary 2.13. Let Γ be any commutative subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ containing Γ_θ. Then the $\mathfrak{g}$-module $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ belongs to the category $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{fin}}(U(\mathfrak{g}), \Gamma)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Hence, the θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is a Γ-weight module for any commutative Γ containing Γ_θ. In particular, if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ (or $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$) and Γ is a Gelfand-Tsetlin subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ containing Cas_θ then $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is a Gelfand-Tsetlin module (with respect to a particular choice of a Gelfand-Tsetlin subalgebra) [3] (in this case the chain of $\mathfrak{sl}(m)$-subalgebras contains $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ associated to the root θ). Therefore, the $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ can be viewed as examples of Gelfand-Tsetlin modules for an arbitrary simple finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to any Γ containing Γ_θ.

By duality we can similarly construct certain θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin modules in the category $\mathcal{I}_{\theta,e,\mathrm{fin}}$. A comparison of the basic characteristics of Verma modules and Gelfand–Tsetlin modules is given in Table 1. Their realization is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.14. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then the $\mathfrak{g}$-module*

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{GT}} \simeq \mathbb{C}[\partial_{x_\alpha}, \alpha \in \Delta^+_\theta,\, x_\theta], \quad \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{GT}} = (x_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^+_\theta, \partial_{x_\theta}), \tag{2.47}$$

where the $\mathfrak{g}$-module structure on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{GT}}$ is given through the homomorphism $\pi_{\lambda+\rho}\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}$ of Lie algebras with

$$\pi_\lambda(a) = -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \left[\frac{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))e^{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}}{e^{\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}-\mathrm{id}}\,(e^{-\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}a)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}\right]_\alpha \partial_{x_\alpha} + (\lambda+\rho)((e^{-\mathrm{ad}(u(x))}a)_{\mathfrak{h}}) \tag{2.48}$$

for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, is a weight, cyclic θ-Gelfand–Tsetlin module with finite Γ_θ-multiplicities.

2.3 Gelfand–Tsetlin modules for $\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{C})$

In this section, we will focus more closely on the Gelfand–Tsetlin modules $W^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{b}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ over the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{C})$. In particular, we describe the irreducibility condition for these modules. We use the notation introduced in the previous section.

Let us consider the complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{C})$. A Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by diagonal matrices

$$\mathfrak{h} = \{\mathrm{diag}(a_1,a_2,a_3);\, a_1,a_2,a_3 \in \mathbb{C},\ a_1+a_2+a_3 = 0\}. \tag{2.49}$$

For $i = 1,2,3$ we define $\varepsilon_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ by $\varepsilon_i(\mathrm{diag}(a_1,a_2,a_3)) = a_i$. The root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$ is $\Delta = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j;\, 1 \le i \ne j \le 3\}$. A positive root system in Δ is $\Delta^+ = \{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3\}$ and the set of simple roots is $\Pi = \{\alpha_1,\alpha_2\}$ with $\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2$ and $\alpha_2 = \varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3$. The remaining positive root $\alpha_1+\alpha_2 = \varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3$ is the maximal root θ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let us introduce a root basis of the niradical $\mathfrak{n}$ by

$$e_{\alpha_1} = \begin{pmatrix}0&1&0\\0&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}, \qquad e_\theta = \begin{pmatrix}0&0&1\\0&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}, \qquad e_{\alpha_2} = \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&1\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$$

and a root basis of the opposite nilradical $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ through

$$f_{\alpha_1} = \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\1&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}, \qquad f_\theta = \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&0\\1&0&0\end{pmatrix}, \qquad f_{\alpha_2} = \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&0\\0&1&0\end{pmatrix}.$$

The coroots corresponding to the positive roots are given by

$$h_{\alpha_1} = \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&-1&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}, \qquad h_\theta = \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&0&0\\0&0&-1\end{pmatrix}, \qquad h_{\alpha_2} = \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&-1\end{pmatrix}.$$

These generators fulfill, among others, the commutation relations $[e_{\alpha_1}, e_{\alpha_2}] = e_\theta$ and $[f_{\alpha_2}, f_{\alpha_1}] = f_\theta$. Moreover, we have that $(e_\theta, h_\theta, f_\theta)$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$-triple.

Let us recall that $\{x_{\alpha_1}, x_{\alpha_2}, x_\theta\}$ are the linear coordinate functions on $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ with respect to the basis $\{f_{\alpha_1}, f_{\alpha_2}, f_\theta\}$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ and that the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ is generated by $\{x_{\alpha_1}, \partial_{x_{\alpha_1}}, x_{\alpha_2}, \partial_{x_{\alpha_2}}, x_\theta, \partial_{x_\theta}\}$ together with the canonical commutation relations. For clarity, we denote $x = x_{\alpha_1}$, $y = x_{\alpha_2}$ and $z = x_\theta$.

Theorem 2.15. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then the homomorphism $\pi_\lambda\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}$ of Lie algebras is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_\lambda(f_{\alpha_1}) &= -\partial_x + \tfrac{1}{2}y\partial_z,\\
\pi_\lambda(f_{\alpha_2}) &= -\partial_y - \tfrac{1}{2}x\partial_z,\\
\pi_\lambda(f_\theta) &= -\partial_z,
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_\lambda(e_{\alpha_1}) &= z(\partial_y + \tfrac{1}{2}x\partial_z) + x(x\partial_x - \tfrac{1}{2}y\partial_y + \lambda_1 + 1) + \tfrac{1}{4}x^2y\partial_z,\\
\pi_\lambda(e_{\alpha_2}) &= z(-\partial_x + \tfrac{1}{2}y\partial_z) + y(y\partial_y - \tfrac{1}{2}x\partial_x + \lambda_2 + 1) - \tfrac{1}{4}xy^2\partial_z,\\
\pi_\lambda(e_\theta) &= z(x\partial_x + y\partial_y + z\partial_z + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2) + \tfrac{1}{2}xy(x\partial_x - y\partial_y + \lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + \tfrac{1}{4}x^2y^2\partial_z,\\
\pi_\lambda(h_{\alpha_1}) &= 2x\partial_x - y\partial_y + z\partial_z + \lambda_1 + 1,\\
\pi_\lambda(h_{\alpha_2}) &= -x\partial_x + 2y\partial_y + z\partial_z + \lambda_2 + 1,\\
\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) &= x\partial_x + y\partial_y + 2z\partial_z + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda_1 = \lambda(h_{\alpha_1})$ *and* $\lambda_2 = \lambda(h_{\alpha_2})$.

Proof. It follows immediately by a straightforward computation from (2.14). □

The homomorphism $\pi_\lambda\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of Lie algebras enables us to construct a wide class of interesting $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. The easiest way is to take a left ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}$ has the $\mathfrak{g}$-module structure through the homomorphism $\pi_\lambda\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

In the previous section, we considered only the two simplest possible left ideals of the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$. The first one $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{V}} = (x, y, z)$ leads to the Verma modules $M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{V}}$, while the second one $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{GT}} = (x, y, \partial_z)$ leads to the Gelfand–Tsetlin modules $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{GT}}$. However, we have much more options, where some of them lead to the so called twisted Verma modules $M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)^w$ labeled by the elements $w \in W$ of the Weyl group W of $\mathfrak{g}$, see [15].

The twisted Verma modules $M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)^w$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $w \in W$ are realized as $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_w$, where $\mathcal{I}_w$ is the left ideal of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{I}_w = (x_\alpha,\, \alpha \in w^{-1}(\Delta^+) \cap \Delta^+,\ \partial_{x_\alpha},\, \alpha \in w^{-1}(-\Delta^+) \cap \Delta^+) \tag{2.50}
$$

and the $\mathfrak{g}$-module structure on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_w$ is given through the homomorphism $\pi_{\lambda+\rho}^w\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of Lie algebras defined by

$$
\pi_{\lambda+\rho}^w = \pi_{w^{-1}(\lambda+\rho)} \circ \mathrm{Ad}(\dot{w}^{-1}), \tag{2.51}
$$

where w^{-1} acts in the standard way and $\dot{w}$ is a representative of w in the Lie group $G = \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$. The list of all possibilities looks as follows:

1) $w = e$, $\mathcal{I}_e = (x, y, z)$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/I_e \simeq \mathbb{C}[\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z]$;

2) $w = s_1$, $\mathcal{I}_{s_1} = (\partial_x, y, z)$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_{s_1} \simeq \mathbb{C}[x, \partial_y, \partial_z]$;

3) $w = s_2$, $\mathcal{I}_{s_2} = (x, \partial_y, z)$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_{s_2} \simeq \mathbb{C}[\partial_x, y, \partial_z]$;

4) $w = s_1s_2$, $\mathcal{I}_{s_1s_2} = (x, \partial_y, \partial_z)$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_{s_1s_2} \simeq \mathbb{C}[\partial_x, y, z]$;

5) $w = s_2s_1$, $\mathcal{I}_{s_2s_1} = (\partial_x, y, \partial_z)$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_{s_2s_1} \simeq \mathbb{C}[x, \partial_y, z]$;

6) $w = s_1s_2s_1$, $\mathcal{I}_{s_1s_2s_1} = (\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z)$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_{s_1s_2s_1} \simeq \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$.

The elements s_1 and s_2 of the Weyl group W denote the reflections about the hyperplanes perpendicular to α_1 and α_2, respectively.

In fact, we have another two possible left ideals of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$, which look as follows:

7) $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{GT}} = (x, y, \partial_z)$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{GT}} \simeq \mathbb{C}[\partial_x, \partial_y, z]$;

8) $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{GT}^*} = (\partial_x, \partial_y, z)$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{GT}^*} \simeq \mathbb{C}[x, y, \partial_z]$.

Hence, we have the complete list of left ideals of the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of the given type, where we consider either x_α or ∂_{x_α} for each $\alpha \in \Delta^+$.

Theorem 2.16. *Let* $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. *Then* $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ *is a simple* $\mathfrak{g}$*-module if and only if* $\lambda(h_{\alpha_1}), \lambda(h_{\alpha_2}) \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ *and* $\lambda(h_\theta) \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let M be a nonzero $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule of $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then M is a weight $\mathfrak{h}$-module, since $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda - \rho)$ is a weight $\mathfrak{h}$-module. Moreover, we have $\pi_\lambda(f_\theta) = -\partial_z$, which implies that M

contains a nonzero weight vector v satisfying $\partial_z v = 0$. Hence, the weight vector v is of the form $v = \partial_x^a \partial_y^b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Further, by Proposition 2.8 and Proposition 2.7 we have

$$\pi_\lambda(e_\theta) = z(\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) - z\partial_z) + \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)$$

and

$$\pi_\lambda(e_\alpha) = z\pi_\lambda([e_\alpha, f_\theta]) + \sigma_\lambda(e_\alpha)$$

for $\alpha \in \Delta_\theta^+$. As we have $[\pi_\lambda(h_\theta), z] = 2z$, we may write

$$(\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) - 2)\pi_\lambda(e_\alpha) = z\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)\pi_\lambda([e_\alpha, f_\theta]) + (\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) - 2)\sigma_\lambda(e_\alpha)$$

and

$$\pi_\lambda(e_\theta)\pi_\lambda([e_\alpha, f_\theta]) = z(\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) - z\partial_z)\pi_\lambda([e_\alpha, f_\theta]) + \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)\pi_\lambda([e_\alpha, f_\theta])$$

for $\alpha \in \Delta_\theta^+$. Since $[\pi_\lambda(f_\theta), \pi_\lambda([e_\alpha, f_\theta])] = 0$ for $\alpha \in \Delta_\theta^+$ and $\partial_z v = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) - 2)\pi_\lambda(e_\alpha)v &= z\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)\pi_\lambda([e_\alpha, f_\theta])v + (\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) - 2)\sigma_\lambda(e_\alpha)v,\\ \pi_\lambda(e_\theta)\pi_\lambda([e_\alpha, f_\theta])v &= z\pi_\lambda(h_\theta)\pi_\lambda([e_\alpha, f_\theta])v + \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)\pi_\lambda([e_\alpha, f_\theta])v,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$((\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) - 2)\pi_\lambda(e_\alpha) - \pi_\lambda(e_\theta)\pi_\lambda([e_\alpha, f_\theta]))v = ((\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) - 2)\sigma_\lambda(e_\alpha) - \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)\pi_\lambda([e_\alpha, f_\theta]))v$$

for $\alpha \in \Delta_\theta^+$. If we denote

$$\begin{aligned}w_1 =&((\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) - 2)\sigma_\lambda(e_{\alpha_1}) - \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)\pi_\lambda([e_{\alpha_1}, f_\theta]))v,\\ w_2 =&((\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) - 2)\sigma_\lambda(e_{\alpha_2}) - \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)\pi_\lambda([e_{\alpha_2}, f_\theta]))v,\end{aligned}$$

then we have $w_1, w_2 \in M$ and $\pi_\lambda(f_\theta)w_1 = 0$, $\pi_\lambda(f_\theta)w_2 = 0$. We may write

$$\begin{aligned}w_1 &= \big((\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) - 2)\sigma_\lambda(e_{\alpha_1}) + \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)\pi_\lambda(f_{\alpha_2})\big)v\\ &= \big((x\partial_x + y\partial_y + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2)x(x\partial_x - \tfrac{1}{2}y\partial_y + \lambda_1 + 1) - \tfrac{1}{2}xy(x\partial_x - y\partial_y + \lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\partial_y\big)v\\ &= x(x\partial_x + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 1)(x\partial_x + \lambda_1 + 1)v = x(\partial_x x + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\partial_x x + \lambda_1)v\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}w_2 &= ((\pi_\lambda(h_\theta) - 2)\sigma_\lambda(e_{\alpha_2}) - \sigma_\lambda(e_\theta)\pi_\lambda(f_{\alpha_1}))v\\ &= \big((x\partial_x + y\partial_y + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2)y(y\partial_y - \tfrac{1}{2}x\partial_x + \lambda_2 + 1) + \tfrac{1}{2}xy(x\partial_x - y\partial_y + \lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\partial_x\big)v\\ &= y(y\partial_y + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 1)(y\partial_y + \lambda_2 + 1)v = y(\partial_y y + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\partial_y y + \lambda_2)v,\end{aligned}$$

where we used Theorem 2.15 and notation $\lambda_1 = \lambda(h_{\alpha_1})$ and $\lambda_2 = \lambda(h_{\alpha_2})$. Since $v = \partial_x^a \partial_y^b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we obtain

$$w_1 = -a(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - a)(\lambda_1 - a)\partial_x^{a-1}\partial_y^b \qquad \text{and} \qquad w_2 = -b(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - b)(\lambda_2 - b)\partial_x^a \partial_y^{b-1},$$

which implies that $a\partial_x^{a-1}\partial_y^b, b\partial_x^a\partial_y^{b-1} \in M$ provided $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \notin \mathbb{N}$. As a consequence, we get that $1 \in M$ if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \notin \mathbb{N}$. Since $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is generated by the vector $1 \in W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \notin -\mathbb{N}_0$, as follows from the proof of Theorem 2.9, we obtain that $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is a simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \notin \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Now, let us assume that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \in -\mathbb{N}_0$. Let M be the $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule of $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ generated by the vector $1 \in W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$. For a vector $v \in M$ we may write $v = \pi_\lambda(u)1$, where $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, which gives us $\pi_\lambda(e_\theta)v = \pi_\lambda([e_\theta, u])1 + \pi_\lambda(u)\pi_\lambda(e_\theta)1$. Since $\mathrm{ad}(e_\theta)$ is locally nilpotent on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\pi_\lambda(e_\theta)^{-\lambda_1-\lambda_2+1}1 = 0$, we obtain that $\pi_\lambda(e_\theta)$ is locally nilpotent on M. However, we have

$\pi_\lambda(e_\theta)^k z^{-\lambda_1-\lambda_2+1} = k! z^{-\lambda_1-\lambda_2+k+1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, which implies that $z^{-\lambda_1-\lambda_2+1} \notin M$. Hence, we get that $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is not a simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module.

Further, let us assume that $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a homomorphism

$$\varphi_\lambda \colon M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(s_1(\lambda+\rho)-\rho) \to M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$$

of Verma modules. As the Verma module $M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is realized as $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{V}} \simeq \mathbb{C}[\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z]$, where the $\mathfrak{g}$-module structure on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is given through the homomorphism $\pi_{\lambda+\rho} \colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of Lie algebras, we have

$$\pi_\lambda(a)\varphi_\lambda(v) = \varphi_\lambda(\pi_{s_1\lambda}(a)v)$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z]$ and $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, we have $\varphi_\lambda = (\partial_x + \frac{1}{2}y\partial_z)^{\lambda_1}$, as follows immediately from [15, Theorem 2.1], which gives us $\pi_\lambda(a) \circ \varphi_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda \circ \pi_{s_1\lambda}(a)$ in the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Therefore, we obtain a homomorphism

$$\psi_\lambda \colon W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(s_1(\lambda+\rho)-\rho) \to W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$$

of Gelfand–Tsetlin modules, such that $\psi_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda$ if we realize the Gelfand–Tsetlin module $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ as $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{GT}} \simeq \mathbb{C}[\partial_x, \partial_y, z]$. As ψ_λ is not surjective, we obtain that $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is not a simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module. By a similar argument, we prove it in the case $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ or $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists a homomorphism

$$\varphi_\lambda \colon M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(s_2(\lambda+\rho)-\rho) \to M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$$

of Verma modules with $\varphi_\lambda = (\partial_y - \frac{1}{2}y\partial_z)^{\lambda_2}$. Finally, if $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists a homomorphism

$$\varphi_\lambda \colon M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(s_1s_2s_1(\lambda+\rho)-\rho) \to M_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$$

of Verma modules with $\varphi_\lambda = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \big((\partial_x + \frac{1}{2}y\partial_z)(\partial_y - \frac{1}{2}x\partial_z) - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - k + 2j)\partial_z\big)$, where $k = -\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$.

Therefore, the Gelfand–Tsetlin module $W_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is a simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module if and only if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \notin \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. □

Appendix A Generalized eigenspace decomposition

For the reader's convenience, we summarize and prove several important facts concerning the generalized eigenspace decomposition.

Let V be a complex vector space and let $T \colon V \to V$ be a linear mapping. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we set

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V;\, (\exists k \in \mathbb{N})\,(T - \lambda\,\mathrm{id})^k v = 0\} \tag{A.1}$$

and call it the generalized eigenspace of T with eigenvalue λ. When $V_\lambda \neq \{0\}$, we say that λ is an eigenvalue of T and the elements of V_λ are called generalized eigenvectors with eigenvalue λ. Further, let us note that

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} V_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} V_\lambda, \tag{A.2}$$

however it does not hold, in general, that $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} V_\lambda$.

Let $V = \bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{N}_0} V_r$ be an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded complex vector space. Then we denote by $p_r \colon V \to V_r$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the canonical projection with respect to the direct sum decomposition. Furthermore, we define

$$F_k V = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{k} V_r \tag{A.3}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, which gives us an increasing filtration $\{F_k V\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ on V.

Lemma A.1. Let $V = \bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{N}_0} V_r$ be an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded complex vector space and let $\dim V_r < \infty$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Further, let $T\colon V \to V$ be a linear mapping satisfying

$$T(F_k V) \subset F_k V \tag{A.4}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then we have a decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} V_\lambda \tag{A.5}$$

called the generalized eigenspace decomposition of V with respect to T.

Proof. We already know that $\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} V_\lambda \subset V$. Let us assume that $v \in V$. Then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $v \in F_k V$. Since $T(F_k V) \subset F_k V$ and $\dim F_k V < \infty$, we have the generalized eigenspace decomposition of $F_k V$ with respect to T. Therefore, we obtain a decomposition $v = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} v_\lambda$, were $v_\lambda \in V_\lambda \cap F_k V$, which gives us the required statement. □

Theorem A.2. *Let $V = \bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{N}_0} V_r$ be an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded complex vector space and let $\dim V_r < \infty$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Further, let $T_s, T_n\colon V \to V$ be linear mappings satisfying*

$$T_s(V_r) \subset V_r \qquad \text{and} \qquad T_n(F_r V) \subset F_{r-1} V \tag{A.6}$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then there exists an isomorphism

$$\varphi\colon V \to V \tag{A.7}$$

of vector spaces such that

$$\varphi(V_\lambda^s) = V_\lambda^{s+n} \tag{A.8}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, where

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} V_\lambda^s \qquad \text{and} \qquad V = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} V_\lambda^{s+n} \tag{A.9}$$

are the generalized eigenspace decompositions of V with respect to T_s and $T_s + T_n$, respectively.

Proof. Since $T_s(F_k V) \subset F_k V$ and $(T_s + T_n)(F_k V) \subset F_k V$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, as follows immediately from (A.6), we obtain by Lemma A.1 the generalized eigenspace decompositions

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} V_\lambda^s \qquad \text{and} \qquad V = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} V_\lambda^{s+n}$$

of V with respect to T_s and $T_s + T_n$, respectively.

Now, we construct a linear mapping $\varphi\colon V \to V$. Since we have the direct sum decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{N}_0} \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} (V_r \cap V_\lambda^s),$$

it is enough to define a linear mapping φ on $V_r \cap V_\lambda^s$, which we shall denote by $\varphi_{r,\lambda}$, for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and then extend linearly to V. To define $\varphi_{r,\lambda}$, let $w \in V_r$ be a generalized eigenvector of T_s with eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. As $w \in F_r V$ and $(T_s + T_n)(F_r V) \subset F_r V$, we get a decomposition

$$w = \sum_{\mu\in\mathbb{C}} w_\mu^{s+n},$$

were $w_\mu^{s+n} \in F_r V \cap V_\mu^{s+n}$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Applying the projection $p_r\colon V \to V_r$ on the previous equation, we obtain

$$w = \sum_{\mu\in\mathbb{C}} p_r(w_\mu^{s+n}),$$

since $p_r(w) = w$. As $w_\mu^{s+n} \in F_rV \cap V_\mu^{s+n}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(T_s + T_n - \mu)^k w_\mu^{s+n} = 0$ and therefore we may write

$$0 = p_r((T_s + T_n - \mu)^k w_\mu^{s+n}) = p_r((T_s - \mu)^k w_\mu^{s+n}) = (T_s - \lambda)^k p_r(w_\mu^{s+n}),$$

where we used (A.6), which gives us that $p_r(w_\mu^{s+n})$ is a generalized eigenvector of T_s with eigenvalue μ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, the decomposition $w = \sum_{\mu\in\mathbb{C}} p_r(w_\mu^{s+n})$ implies that $p_r(w_\mu^{s+n}) = 0$ for $\mu \neq \lambda$ and $p_r(w_\lambda^{s+n}) = w$. Therefore, we may set

$$\varphi_{r,\lambda}(w) = w_\lambda^{s+n}$$

for all $w \in V_r \cap V_\lambda^s$. The previous construction ensures that $\varphi_{r,\lambda}\colon V_r \cap V_\lambda^s \to F_rV \cap V_\lambda^{s+n}$ is a linear mapping. Moreover, we have $\varphi(V_\lambda^s) \subset V_\lambda^{s+n}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Further, since $\varphi(F_rV) \subset F_rV$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we obtain a linear mapping

$$\mathrm{gr}_r\varphi\colon F_rV/F_{r-1}V \to F_rV/F_{r-1}V$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$. As $\mathrm{gr}_r\varphi = \mathrm{id}_{F_rV/F_{r-1}V}$ by construction, we get that $\varphi\colon V \to V$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces. □

Acknowledgments

V. F. is supported in part by CNPq (304467/2017-0) and by Fapesp (2014/09310-5); L. K. is supported by Capes (88887.137839/2017-00).

References

[1] Richard E. Block, *The irreducible representations of the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ *and of the Weyl algebra*, Adv. Math. **39** (1981), no. 1, 69–110.

[2] Mark Colarusso and Sam Evens, *The Gelfand-Zeitlin integrable system and K-orbits on the flag variety*, Symmetry: representation theory and its applications, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 257, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2014, pp. 85–119.

[3] Yuri A. Drozd, Vyacheslav Futorny, and Serge Ovsienko, *Harish-Chandra subalgebras and Gelfand-Zetlin modules*, Finite-dimensional algebras and related topics (Ottawa, ON, 1992), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 424, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1994, pp. 79–93.

[4] Yuri A. Drozd, Serge Ovsienko, and Vyacheslav Futorny, *On Gelfand-Zetlin modules*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. **26** (1991), 143–147.

[5] Suren L. Fernando, *Lie algebra modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **322** (1990), no. 2, 757–781.

[6] Vyacheslav Futorny, Dimitar Grantcharov, and Luis E. Ramirez, *On the classification of irreducible Gelfand–Tsetlin modules of* $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$, Recent Advances in Representation Theory, Quantum Groups, Algebraic Geometry, and Related Topics, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 623, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014, pp. 63–79.

[7] ———, *Irreducible generic Gelfand-Tsetlin modules of* $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, SIGMA **11** (2015), 018, 13 pages.

[8] ———, *New singular Gelfand-Tsetlin* $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$*-modules of index* 2, `arXiv:1606.03394` (2016), (to appear in Comm. Math. Phys.).

[9] ———, *Singular Gelfand-Tsetlin modules of* $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, Adv. Math. **290** (2016), 453–482.

[10] Vyacheslav Futorny, Serge Ovsienko, and Manuel Saorín, *Torsion theories induced from commutative subalgebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **215** (2011), no. 12, 2937–2948.

[11] Vyacheslav Futorny, Luis E. Ramírez, and Jian Zhang, *Combinatorial construction of Gelfand-Tsetlin modules for* $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, `arXiv:1611.07908` (2016).

[12] Mark I. Graev, *Infinite-dimensional representations of the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})$ *related to complex analogs of the Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns and general hupergeometric functions on the Lie group* $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$, Acta Appl. Math. **81** (2004), no. 1-3, 93–120.

[13] ———, *A continuous analogue of Gelfand-Tsetlin schemes and a realization of the principal series of irreducible unitary representations of the group* $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ *in the space of functions on the manifold of these schemes*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. **412** (2007), no. 2, 154–158.

[14] Victor Guillemin and Shlomo Sternberg, *The Gelfand-Cetlin system and quantization of the complex flag manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **52** (1983), no. 1, 106–128.

[15] Libor Křižka and Petr Somberg, *On the composition structure of the twisted Verma modules for* $\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{C})$, Arch. Math. **51** (2015), no. 5, 315–329.

[16] ———, *Algebraic analysis of scalar generalized Verma modules of Heisenberg parabolic type I:* A_n*-series*, Transform. Groups **22** (2017), no. 2, 403–451.

[17] Bertram Kostant and Nolan Wallach, *Gelfand-Zeitlin theory from the perspective of classical mechanics. I*, Studies in Lie theory, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 243, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006, pp. 319–364.

[18] Olivier Mathieu, *Classification of irreducible weight modules*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **50** (2000), no. 2, 537–592.

[19] Volodymyr Mazorchuk, *On categories of Gelfand-Zetlin modules*, Noncommutative structures in mathematics and physics (Kiev, 2000), NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., vol. 22, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001, pp. 299–307.

[20] Serge Ovsienko, *Finiteness statements for Gelfand-Zetlin modules*, Third International Algebraic Conference in the Ukraine, Natsional. Akad. Nauk. Ukraini, Inst. Mat., Kiev, 2002, pp. 323–338.

[21] Luis E. Ramírez and Pablo Zadunaisky, *Gelfand-Tsetlin modules over* $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})$ *with arbitrary characters*, `arXiv:1705.10731` (2017).

[22] Elizaveta Vishnyakova, *A geometric approach to* 1*-singular Gelfand–Tsetlin* $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*-modules*, `arXiv:1704.00170` (2017).

[23] ———, *Geometric approach to p-singular Gelfand-Tsetlin* $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*-modules*, `arXiv:1705.05793` (2017).

[24] Pablo Zadunaisky, *A new way to construct* 1*-singular Gelfand-Tsetlin modules*, Algebra Discrete Math. **23** (2017), no. 1, 180–193.

(V. Futorny) Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de Saõ Paulo, Caixa Postal 66281, Saõ Paulo, CEP 05315-970, Brasil
E-mail address: `futorny@ime.usp.br`

(L. Křižka) Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de Saõ Paulo, Caixa Postal 66281, Saõ Paulo, CEP 05315-970, Brasil
E-mail address: `krizka.libor@gmail.com`