arXiv:1712.03768v3 [math.AP] 12 Oct 2018

Dynamics of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation in the nonrelativistic limit, II

S. Pasquali *

March 15, 2022

Abstract

We study the the nonlinear Klein-Gordon (NLKG) equation on a manifold M in the nonrelativistic limit, namely as the speed of light c tends to infinity. In particular, we consider an order-r normalized approximation of NLKG (which corresponds to the NLS at order $r = 1$), and prove that when $M = \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, small radiation solutions of the order-r normalized equation approximate solutions of the NLKG up to times of order $\mathcal{O}(c^{2(r-1)})$.
Keywords: nonrelativistic limit, nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation
MSC2010: 37K55, 70H08, 70K45, 81Q05

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Statement of the Main Results **3**

3 Dispersive properties of the Klein-Gordon equation **5**

4 A Birkhoff Normal Form result **6**
4.1 The real nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation 9

5 Properties of the normal form equation **13**
5.1 Linear case 13
5.2 Well-posedness of higher order nonlinear Schrödinger equations with small data . 14
5.2.1 Time decay 17
5.2.2 Smoothing estimates 21
5.2.3 Strichartz estimates 25
5.2.4 Maximal function estimates 25
5.2.5 Proof of the local well-posedness 32

6 Long time approximation of radiation solutions **42**

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università degli Studi Roma Tre, Largo S. Leonardo Murialdo 1, 00146 Roma.
Email: spasquali@mat.uniroma3.it

1 Introduction

This paper is a continuation of [Pas17]. In these two papers the nonlinear Klein-Gordon (NLKG) equation in the nonrelativistic limit, namely as the speed of light c tends to infinity, is studied.

The nonrelativistic limit for the Klein-Gordon equation on $\mathbb{R}^d$ has been extensively studied over more than 30 years, and essentially all the known results only show convergence of the solutions of NLKG to the solutions of the approximate equation for times of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$. The typical statement ensures convergence locally uniformly in time. In a first series of results (see [Tsu84], [Naj90] and [Mac01]) it was shown that, if the initial data are in a certain smoothness class, then the solutions converge in a weaker topology to the solutions of the approximating equation. These are informally called "results with loss of smoothness". Although in this paper a longer time convergence is proved, this result also fills in this group.

Recently, Lu and Zhang in [LZ16] proved a result which concerns the NLKG with a quadratic nonlinearity. Here the problem is that the typical scale over which the standard approach allows to control the dynamics is $\mathcal{O}(c^{-1})$, while the dynamics of the approximating equation takes place over time scales of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$. In that work the authors are able to use a normal form transformation (in a spirit quite different from ours) in order to extend the time of validity of the approximation over the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time scale. We did not try to reproduce or extend that result.

In [Pas17] Birkhoff normal form methods were used in order to extend the approximation up to order $\mathcal{O}(1)$ to the NLKG equation on M, M being a compact smooth manifolds or $\mathbb{R}^d$; when $M = \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, the approximation of solutions of the *linear* KG equation with solutions of the linearized order-r normalized equation up to times of order $\mathcal{O}(c^{2(r-1)})$ is proved.

In this paper we prove a long-time approximation result for the dynamics of the NLKG: we consider the NLKG equation on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, and we prove that for $r > 1$ solutions of the order-r normalized equation approximate solutions of the NLKG equation up to times of order $\mathcal{O}(c^{2(r-1)})$.

The present paper and [Pas17] can be thought as examples in which techniques from canonical perturbation theory are used together with results from the theory of dispersive equations in order to understand the singular limit of some Hamiltonian PDEs. In this context, the nonrelativistic limit of the NLKG is a relevant example.

The issue of nonrelativistic limit has been studied also in the more general Maxwell-Klein-Gordon system ([BMS04], [MN03]), in the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system ([MN08], [MN10]), in the Hartree equation ([CO06]) and in the pseudo-relativistic NLS ([CS16]). However, all these results proved the convergence of the solutions *locally uniformly in time*; no information could be obtained about the convergence of solutions for longer (in the case of NLKG, that means c-dependent) timescales. On the other hand, in the recent [HKNR18], which studies the nonrelativistic limit of the Vlasov-Maxwell system, the authors were able to prove a stability result valid for times which are polynomial in terms of the speed of light for solutions which lie in a neighbourhood of stable equilibria of the system.

Another example of singular perturbation problem that has been studied with canonical perturbation theory is the problem of the continuous approximation of lattice dynamics (see e.g. [BP06]). In the framework of lattice dynamics, the approximation has been justified only for the typical time scale of averaging theorems, which corresponds to our $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time scale. Hopefully the methods developed in [Pas17] and in the present paper could allow to extend the time of validity of those results.

The paper is organized as follows. In sect. 2 we state the results of the paper, together with some examples and comments. In sect. 3 we show Strichartz estimates for the linear KG equation on $\mathbb{R}^d$. In sect. 4 we recall an abstract result from [Pas17]; next, in sect. 4.1 we apply the abstract theorem to the real NLKG equation, making some explicit computations of the normal form at the first and at the second step. In sect. 5 we study the properties of the normalized equation, namely its dispersive properties in the linear case and its well-posedness for solutions with small initial data in the nonlinear case. In sect. 6 we discuss the approximation for longer timescales: in particular, to deduce the latter we will exploit some dispersive properties of the KG equation reported in sect. 3.

Acknowledgments. This work is a revised and extended version of a part of the author's PhD thesis. The author would like to thank his supervisor for the PhD thesis Professor Dario Bambusi.

The author is supported by the ERC grant "HamPDEs".

2 Statement of the Main Results

The NLKG equation describes the motion of a spinless particle with mass $m > 0$. Consider first the real NLKG

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2mc^2}u_{tt} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta u + \frac{mc^2}{2}u + \lambda|u|^{2(l-1)}u = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where $c > 0$ is the speed of light, $\hbar > 0$ is the Planck constant, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $l \geq 2$, $c > 0$.

In the following $m = 1$, $\hbar = 1$. As anticipated above, one is interested in the behaviour of solutions as $c \to \infty$.

First it is convenient to reduce equation (2.1) to a first order system, by making the following symplectic change variables

$$\psi := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\left(\frac{\langle\nabla\rangle_c}{c}\right)^{1/2}u - i\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\right)^{1/2}v\right], \quad v = u_t/c^2,$$

where

$$\langle\nabla\rangle_c := (c^2 - \Delta)^{1/2}, \tag{2.2}$$

which reduces (2.1) to the form

$$-i\psi_t = c\langle\nabla\rangle_c\psi + \frac{\lambda}{2^l}\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\right)^{1/2}\left[\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\right)^{1/2}(\psi + \bar{\psi})\right]^{2l-1}, \tag{2.3}$$

which is hamiltonian with Hamiltonian function given by

$$H(\bar{\psi}, \psi) = \left\langle\bar{\psi}, c\langle\nabla\rangle_c\psi\right\rangle + \frac{\lambda}{2l}\int\left[\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\right)^{1/2}\frac{\psi + \bar{\psi}}{\sqrt{2}}\right]^{2l}\mathrm{dx}. \tag{2.4}$$

In the following the notation $a \lesssim b$ is used to mean: there exists a positive constant K that does not depend on c such that $a \leq Kb$.

Before discussing the approximation of the solutions of NLKG with NLS-type equations, we describe the general strategy we use to get them.

Remark that Eq. (2.1) is Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian function (2.4). If one divides the Hamiltonian by a factor c^2 (which corresponds to a rescaling of time) and expands in powers of c^{-2} it takes the form

$$\langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle + \frac{1}{c^2} P_c(\psi, \bar{\psi}) \tag{2.5}$$

with a suitable funtion P_c. One can notice that this Hamiltonian is a perturbation of $h_0 := \langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle$, which is the generator of the standard Gauge transform, and which in particular admits a flow that is periodic in time. Thus the idea is to exploit canonical perturbation theory in order to conjugate such a Hamiltonian system to a system in normal form, up to remainders of order $\mathcal{O}(c^{-2r})$, for any given $r \geq 1$.

The problem is that the perturbation P_c has a vector field which is small only as an operator extracting derivatives: hence, if one Taylor expands P_c and its vector field, the number of derivatives extracted at each order increases. This situation is typical in singular perturbation problems, and the price to pay to get a normal form is that the remainder of the perturbation turns out to be an operator that extracts a large number of derivatives.

In Sect. 4.1 the normal form equation is explicitly computed in the case $r = 2$, $l = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} -i\psi_t \;=\; & c^2\psi - \frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi + \frac{3}{4}\lambda|\psi|^2\psi \\ & + \frac{1}{c^2}\left[\frac{51}{8}\lambda^2|\psi|^4\psi + \frac{3}{16}\lambda\left(2|\psi|^2\Delta\psi + \psi^2\Delta\bar{\psi} + \Delta(|\psi|^2\bar{\psi})\right) - \frac{1}{8}\Delta^2\psi\right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

namely a singular perturbation of a Gauge-transformed NLS equation. If one, after a gauge transformation, only considers the first order terms, one has the NLS.

The standard way to exploit such a "singular" normal form is to use it just to construct some approximate solution of the original system, and then to apply Gronwall Lemma in order to estimate the difference with a true solution with the same initial datum (see for example [BCP02]).

This strategy works also here, but it only leads to a control of the solutions over times of order $\mathcal{O}(c^2)$. When scaled back to the physical time, this allows to justify the approximation of the solutions of NLKG by solutions of the NLS over time scales of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$, *on any manifold* admitting a Littlewood-Paley decomposition (such as Riemannian smooth compact manifolds, or $\mathbb{R}^d$; see the introduction of [Bou10] and section 2.1 of [BGT04] for the construction of Littlewood-Paley decomposition on compact manifolds).

A similar result has been obtained for the case $M = \mathbb{T}^d$ by Faou and Schratz [FS14], who aimed to construct numerical schemes which are robust in the nonrelativistic limit.

The idea one uses here in order to improve the time scale of the result is that of substituting Gronwall Lemma with a more sophisticated tool, namely dispersive estimates and the retarded Strichartz estimate. This can be done each time one can prove a dispersive or a Strichartz estimate for the linearization of equation (2.3) on the approximate solution, uniformly in c. Now we state our result for the approximation of small radiation solutions of the NLKG equation.

Theorem 2.1. *Consider* (2.3) *on* $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$. *Let* $r > 1$, *and fix* $k_1 \gg 1$. *Assume that* $l \geq 2$ *and* $r < \frac{d}{2}(l-1)$. *Then* $\exists\ k_0 = k_0(r) > 0$ *such that for any* $k \geq k_1$ *and for any* $\sigma > 0$ *the following holds: consider the solution* ψ_r *of the normalized equation* (6.1), *with initial datum* $\psi_{r,0} \in H^{k+k_0+\sigma+d/2}$. *Then there exist* $\alpha^* := \alpha^*(d,l,r) > 0$ *and there exists* $c^* := c^*(r,k) > 1$, *such that for any* $\alpha > \alpha^*$ *and for any* $c > c^*$, *if* $\psi_{r,0}$ *satisfies*

$$\|\psi_{r,0}\|_{H^{k+k_0+\sigma+d/2}} \lesssim c^{-\alpha},$$

then

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\psi(t)-\psi_r(t)\|_{H^k_x} \lesssim \frac{1}{c^2},\ \ T \lesssim c^{2(r-1)},$$

where $\psi(t)$ is the solution of (4.13) with initial datum $\psi_{r,0}$.

Remark 2.2. *The assumption of existence of ψ_r up to times of order $\mathcal{O}(c^{2(r-1)})$ is actually a delicate matter. Equation (2.6), for example, is a quasilinear perturbation of a fourth-order Schrödinger equation (4NLS). Even if we restrict to the case $r = 2$, the issues of global well-posedness and scattering for solutions with large initial data for Eq. (2.6) have not been solved. For solutions with small initial data, on the other hand, there are some papers dealing with the local well-posedness of 4NLS (see for example [HHW07]), and with global well-posedness and scattering of 4NLS (see [RWZ16]). In Sec. 5.2 we prove the local well-posedness for times of order $\mathcal{O}(c^{2(r-1)})$ for solutions of the order-r normalized equation with small initial data under the assumptions that $l \geq 2$ and $r < \frac{d}{2}(l-1)$.*

Remark 2.3. *Just to be explicit, we make some examples of Theorem 2.1. For $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ and a nonlinearity of order $2l$, we can justify the approximation of small radiation solutions up to times of order $\mathcal{O}(c^{2(r-1)})$, for $r < l-1$. For $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ and a nonlinearity of order $2l$, we can justify the approximation of small radiation solutions up to times of order $\mathcal{O}(c^{2(r-1)})$, for $r < \frac{3}{2}(l-1)$.*

On the other hand, when $\frac{d}{2}(l-1) \leq 2$, we cannot justify the approximation over long time scales: examples of such cases are the cubic NLKG in 2, 3 and 4 dimensions, or the quintic NLKG in 2 dimensions.

Before closing the subsection, we remark that the condition on r in Theorem 2.1 depends on the assumption under which we were able to prove a well-posedness result for the normalized equation, which in turn depends on the approach presented recently in [RWZ16]; we do not exclude that this technical condition could be improved.

3 Dispersive properties of the Klein-Gordon equation

We briefly recall some classical notion of Fourier analysis on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Recall the definition of the space of Schwartz (or rapidly decreasing) functions,

$$\mathcal{S} := \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}) |\ \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|x|^2)^{\alpha/2}|\partial^\beta f(x)| < +\infty,\ \ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d, \forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d\}.$$

In the following $\langle x\rangle := (1+|x|^2)^{1/2}$.
Now, for any $f \in \mathcal{S}$ the *Fourier transform* of f, $\mathcal{F}f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, is defined by the following formula

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) := (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle} \mathrm{dx},\ \ \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{d}},$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

At the beginning we will obtain Strichartz estimates for the linear equation

$$-i\,\psi_t \,=\, c\langle\nabla\rangle_c\,\psi,\ \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{3.1}$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $d \geq 2$. For any Schrödinger admissible couples (p,q) and (r,s), namely such that*

$$\begin{gathered} 2 \leq p, r \leq \infty, \\ 2 \leq q, s \leq \frac{2d}{d-2}, \\ \frac{2}{p} + \frac{d}{q} = \frac{d}{2}, \frac{2}{r} + \frac{d}{s} = \frac{d}{2}, \\ (p,q,d), (r,s,d) \neq (2, +\infty, 2), \end{gathered}$$

one has

$$\|\langle\nabla\rangle_c^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}\, e^{it\, c\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\, \psi_0\|_{L_t^p L_x^q} \lesssim c^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}\, \|\langle\nabla\rangle_c^{1/2}\psi_0\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\left\|\langle\nabla\rangle_c^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\, c\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\, F(s)\, \mathrm{ds}\right\|_{L_t^p L_x^q} \lesssim c^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r}-1}\, \|\langle\nabla\rangle_c^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+1} F\|_{L_t^{r'} L_x^{s'}}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. By a simple scaling argument, from the following result reported by D'Ancona-Fanelli in [DF08] for the operator $\langle\nabla\rangle := \langle\nabla\rangle_1$ (for more details see the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [Pas17]).

Lemma 3.2. *For all (p,q) Schrödinger-admissible exponents*

$$\|e^{i\tau\, \langle\nabla\rangle}\, \phi_0\|_{L_\tau^p\, W_y^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2},q}} = \|\langle\nabla\rangle^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}\, e^{it\, \langle\nabla\rangle}\, \phi_0\|_{L_\tau^p\, L_y^q} \leq \|\phi_0\|_{L_y^2}.$$

□

Remark 3.3. *By choosing $p = +\infty$ and $q = 2$, we get the following a priori estimate for finite energy solutions of* (3.1)*,*

$$\|c^{1/2}\langle\nabla\rangle_c^{1/2}\, e^{it\, c\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\, \psi_0\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \|c^{1/2}\langle\nabla\rangle_c^{1/2}\psi_0\|_{L^2}.$$

We also point out that, since the operators $\langle\nabla\rangle$ and $\langle\nabla\rangle_c$ commute, the above estimates in the spaces $L_t^p L_x^q$ extend to estimates in $L_t^p W_x^{k,q}$ for any $k \geq 0$.

4 A Birkhoff Normal Form result

Consider the scale of Banach spaces $W^{k,p}(M, \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n) \ni (\psi, \bar{\psi})$ ($k \geq 1$, $1 < p < +\infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) endowed by the standard symplectic form. Having fixed k and p, and $U_{k,p} \subset W^{k,p}$ open, we define the gradient of $H \in C^\infty(U_{k,p}, \mathbb{R})$ w.r.t. $\bar{\psi}$ as the unique function s.t.

$$\left\langle \nabla_{\bar{\psi}} H, \bar{h} \right\rangle = \mathrm{d}_{\bar{\psi}} \mathrm{H} \bar{\mathrm{h}}, \quad \forall \mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{k,p}},$$

so that the Hamiltonian vector field of a Hamiltonian function H is given by

$$X_H(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = (i\nabla_{\bar{\psi}} H,\ -i\nabla_\psi H).$$

The open ball of radius R and center 0 in $W^{k,p}$ will be denoted by $B_{k,p}(R)$.

Remark 4.1. *Let $k \geq 0$, $1 < p < +\infty$, we now introduce the Littlewood-Paley decomposition on the Sobolev space $W^{k,p} = W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (see [Tay11], Ch. 13.5).*

In order to do this, define the cutoff operators in $W^{k,p}$ in the following way: start with a smooth, radial nonnegative function $\phi_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi_0(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1/2$, and $\phi_0(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| \geq 1$; then define $\phi_1(\xi) := \phi_0(\xi/2) - \phi_0(\xi)$, and set

$$\phi_j(\xi) := \phi_1(2^{1-j}\xi), \;\; j \geq 2. \tag{4.1}$$

Then $(\phi_j)_{j\geq 0}$ is a partition of unity,

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} \phi_j(\xi) = 1.$$

Now, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $f \in W^{k,2}$, we can define $\phi_j(D)f$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi_j(D)f)(\xi) := \phi_j(\xi)\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi).$$

It is well known that for $p \in (1, +\infty)$ the map $\Phi : L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, l^2)$,

$$\Phi(f) := (\phi_j(D)f)_{j\in\mathbb{N}},$$

maps $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ isomorphically onto a closed subspace of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, l^2)$, and we have compatibility of norms ([Tay11], Ch. 13.5, (5.45)-(5.46)),

$$K_p'\|f\|_{L^p} \leq \|\Phi(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d,l^2)} := \left\| \left[\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} |\phi_j(D)f|^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \leq K_p\|f\|_{L^p},$$

and similarly for the $W^{k,p}$-norm, i.e. for any $k > 0$ and $p \in (1, +\infty)$

$$K_{k,p}'\|f\|_{W^{k,p}} \leq \left\| \left[\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} 2^{2jk}|\phi_j(D)f|^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \leq K_{k,p}\|f\|_{W^{k,p}}. \tag{4.2}$$

We then define the cutoff operator Π_N by

$$\Pi_N\psi := \sum_{j\leq N} \phi_j(D)\psi. \tag{4.3}$$

We point out that the Littlewood-Paley decomposition, along with equality (4.2), can be extended to compact manifolds (see [BGT04]), as well as to some particular non-compact manifolds (see [Bou10]).

Now we consider a Hamiltonian system of the form

$$H = h_0 + \epsilon\, h + \epsilon\, F, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is a parameter. We assume that

PER h_0 generates a linear periodic flow Φ^t with period 2π,

$$\Phi^{t+2\pi} = \Phi^t \;\; \forall t.$$

We also assume that Φ^t is analytic from $W^{k,p}$ to itself for any $k \geq 1$, and for any $p \in (1, +\infty)$;

INV for any $k \geq 1$, for any $p \in (1, +\infty)$, Φ^t leaves invariant the space $\Pi_j W^{k,p}$ for any $j \geq 0$. Furthermore, for any $j \geq 0$

$$\pi_j(D) \circ \Phi^t = \Phi^t \circ \pi_j(D);$$

NF h is in normal form, namely

$$h \circ \Phi^t = h.$$

Next we assume that both the Hamiltonian and the vector field of both h and F admit an asymptotic expansion in ϵ of the form

$$h \sim \sum_{j \geq 1} \epsilon^{j-1} h_j, \;\; F \sim \sum_{j \geq 1} \epsilon^{j-1} F_j, \tag{4.5}$$

$$X_h \sim \sum_{j \geq 1} \epsilon^{j-1} X_{h_j}, \;\; X_F \sim \sum_{j \geq 1} \epsilon^{j-1} X_{F_j}, \tag{4.6}$$

and that the following properties are satisfied

HVF There exists $R^* > 0$ such that for any $j \geq 1$

- X_{h_j} is analytic from $B_{k+2j,p}(R^*)$ to $W^{k,p}$;
- X_{F_j} is analytic from $B_{k+2(j-1),p}(R^*)$ to $W^{k,p}$.

Moreover, for any $r \geq 1$ we have that

- $X_{h - \sum_{j=1}^r \epsilon^{j-1} h_j}$ is analytic from $B_{k+2(r+1),p}(R^*)$ to $W^{k,p}$;
- $X_{F - \sum_{j=1}^r \epsilon^{j-1} F_j}$ is analytic from $B_{k+2r,p}(R^*)$ to $W^{k,p}$.

In [Pas17] we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 (see Theorem 4.3 in [Pas17])**.** *Fix $r \geq 1$, $R > 0$, $k_1 \gg 1$, $1 < p < +\infty$. Consider* (4.4)*, and assume PER, INV , NF and HVF. Then $\exists\, k_0 = k_0(r) > 0$ with the following properties: for any $k \geq k_1$ there exists $\epsilon_{r,k,p} \ll 1$ such that for any $\epsilon < \epsilon_{r,k,p}$ there exists $\mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{(r)} : B_{k,p}(R) \to B_{k,p}(2R)$ analytic canonical transformation such that*

$$H_r := H \circ \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{(r)} = h_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \epsilon^j \mathcal{Z}_j + \epsilon^{r+1} \mathcal{R}^{(r)},$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_j$ are in normal form, namely

$$\{\mathcal{Z}_j, h_0\} = 0, \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\sup_{B_{k+k_0,p}(R)} \|X_{\mathcal{Z}_j}\|_{W^{k,p}} \leq C_{k,p},$$

$$\sup_{B_{k+k_0,p}(R)} \|X_{\mathcal{R}^{(r)}}\|_{W^{k,p}} \leq C_{k,p}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\sup_{B_{k,p}(R)} \|\mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{(r)} - id\|_{W^{k,p}} \leq C_{k,p}\, \epsilon. \tag{4.9}$$

In particular, we have that

$$\mathcal{Z}_1(\psi,\bar\psi) = h_1(\psi,\bar\psi) + \langle F_1\rangle(\psi,\bar\psi),$$

where $\langle F_1\rangle(\psi,\bar\psi) := \int_0^{2\pi} F_1\circ\Phi^t(\psi,\bar\psi)\frac{\mathrm{dt}}{2\pi}$.

4.1 The real nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation

We first consider the Hamiltonian of the real non-linear Klein-Gordon equation with power-type nonlinearity on a smooth manifold M (M is such the Littlewood-Paley decomposition is well-defined; take, for example, a smooth compact manifold, or $\mathbb{R}^d$). The Hamiltonian is of the form

$$H(u,v) = \frac{c^2}{2}\langle v,v\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\left\langle u,\langle\nabla\rangle_c^2 u\right\rangle \;+\; \lambda\int\frac{u^{2l}}{2l}, \tag{4.10}$$

where $\langle\nabla\rangle_c := (c^2-\Delta)^{1/2}$, $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$, $l\geq 2$.
If we introduce the complex-valued variable

$$\psi := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\left(\frac{\langle\nabla\rangle_c}{c}\right)^{1/2} u - i\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\right)^{1/2} v\right], \tag{4.11}$$

(the corresponding symplectic 2-form becomes $i\mathrm{d}\psi\wedge\mathrm{d}\bar\psi$), the Hamiltonian (4.10) in the coordinates $(\psi,\bar\psi)$ is

$$H(\bar\psi,\psi) = \left\langle\bar\psi, c\langle\nabla\rangle_c\psi\right\rangle + \frac{\lambda}{2l}\int\left[\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\right)^{1/2}\frac{\psi+\bar\psi}{\sqrt{2}}\right]^{2l}\mathrm{dx}. \tag{4.12}$$

If we rescale the time by a factor c^2, the Hamiltonian takes the form (4.4), with $\epsilon=\frac{1}{c^2}$, and

$$H(\psi,\bar\psi) = h_0(\psi,\bar\psi) + \epsilon\, h(\psi,\bar\psi) + \epsilon\, F(\psi,\bar\psi), \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$h_0(\psi,\bar\psi) = \left\langle\bar\psi,\psi\right\rangle, \tag{4.14}$$

$$h(\psi,\bar\psi) = \left\langle\bar\psi,\left(c\langle\nabla\rangle_c - c^2\right)\psi\right\rangle \sim \sum_{j\geq 1}\epsilon^{j-1}\;\left\langle\bar\psi, a_j\Delta^j\psi\right\rangle =: \sum_{j\geq 1}\epsilon^{j-1}h_j(\psi,\bar\psi), \tag{4.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(\psi,\bar\psi) &= \frac{\lambda}{2^{l+1}l}\int\left[\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\right)^{1/2}(\psi+\bar\psi)\right]^{2l}\mathrm{dx} \qquad (4.16)\\ &\sim \frac{\lambda}{2^{l+1}l}\int(\psi+\bar\psi)^{2l}\mathrm{dx}\\ &\quad+\epsilon b_2\int\left[(\psi+\bar\psi)^{2l-1}\Delta(\psi+\bar\psi)+\ldots+(\psi+\bar\psi)\Delta((\psi+\bar\psi)^{2l-1})\right]\mathrm{dx}\\ &\quad+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\\ &=: \sum_{j\geq 1}\epsilon^{j-1}F_j(\psi,\bar\psi), \qquad (4.17)\end{aligned}$$

where $(a_j)_{j\geq 1}$ and $(b_j)_{j\geq 1}$ are real coefficients, and $F_j(\psi,\bar\psi)$ is a polynomial function of the variables ψ and $\bar\psi$ (along with their derivatives) and which admits a bounded vector field from a neighborhood of the origin in $W^{k+2(j-1),p}$ to $W^{k,p}$ for any $1<p<+\infty$.

This description clearly fits the scheme treated in the previous section, and one can easily check that assumptions PER, NF and HVF are satisfied. Therefore we can apply Theorem 4.2 to the Hamiltonian (4.13).

Remark 4.3. *About the normal forms obtained by applying Theorem 4.2, we remark that in the first step (case $r=1$ in the statement of the Theorem) the homological equation we get is of the form*

$$\{\chi_1,h_0\}+F_1=\langle F_1\rangle\,, \tag{4.18}$$

where $F_1(\psi,\bar\psi)=\frac{\lambda}{2^{l+1}l}\int(\psi+\bar\psi)^{2l}\mathrm{dx}$. Hence the transformed Hamiltonian is of the form

$$H_1(\psi,\bar\psi)=h_0(\psi,\bar\psi)+\frac{1}{c^2}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\bar\psi,\Delta\psi\right\rangle+\langle F_1\rangle(\psi,\bar\psi)\right]+\frac{1}{c^4}\mathcal{R}^{(1)}(\psi,\bar\psi), \tag{4.19}$$

where

$$\langle F_1\rangle(\psi,\bar\psi)=\frac{\lambda}{2^{l+1}l}\binom{2l}{l}\int|\psi|^{2l}\,\mathrm{dx}. \tag{4.20}$$

If we neglect the remainder and we derive the corresponding equation of motion for the system, we get

$$-i\psi_t\ =\psi+\frac{1}{c^2}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi+\frac{\lambda}{2^{l+1}}\binom{2l}{l}|\psi|^{2(l-1)}\psi\right], \tag{4.21}$$

which is the NLS, and the Hamiltonian which generates the canonical transformation is given by

$$\chi_1(\psi,\bar\psi)=\frac{\lambda}{2^{l+1}l}\sum_{\substack{j=0,\dots,2l\\ j\neq l}}\frac{1}{i\,2(l-j)}\binom{2l}{j}\int\psi^{2l-j}\bar\psi^{j}\mathrm{dx}. \tag{4.22}$$

Such computations already appeared in [Pas17].

Remark 4.4. *Now we iterate the construction by passing to the case $r=2$.*

If we neglect the remainder of order c^{-6}, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}H\circ\mathcal{T}^{(1)}&=h_0+\frac{1}{c^2}h_1+\frac{1}{c^4}\{\chi_1,h_1\}+\frac{1}{c^4}h_2+\\&\quad+\frac{1}{c^2}\langle F_1\rangle+\frac{1}{c^4}\{\chi_1,F_1\}+\frac{1}{2c^4}\{\chi_1,\{\chi_1,h_0\}\}+\frac{1}{c^4}F_2 \qquad (4.23)\\&=h_0+\frac{1}{c^2}\left[h_1+\langle F_1\rangle\right]+\frac{1}{c^4}\left[\{\chi_1,h_1\}+h_2+\{\chi_1,F_1\}+\frac{1}{2}\{\chi_1,\langle F_1\rangle-F_1\}+F_2\right], \qquad (4.24)\end{aligned}$$

where $h_1(\psi,\bar\psi)=-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\bar\psi,\Delta\psi\right\rangle$, and χ_1 is of the form (4.22).

Now we compute the terms of order $\frac{1}{c^4}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\chi_1, h_1\} = \mathrm{d}\chi_1 \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}_1} &= \frac{\partial \chi_1}{\partial \psi} \cdot \mathrm{i}\frac{\partial \mathrm{h}_1}{\partial \bar{\psi}} - \mathrm{i}\frac{\partial \chi_1}{\bar{\psi}}\frac{\partial \mathrm{h}_1}{\partial \psi} \\
&= -\frac{\lambda}{2^{l+3}l} \int \left[\sum_{\substack{j=0,\dots,2l-1 \\ j\neq l}} \frac{1}{l-j}\binom{2l}{j}(2l-j)\psi^{2l-j-1}\bar{\psi}^j \right] \Delta\psi \,\mathrm{dx} \\
&+ \frac{\lambda}{2^{l+3}l} \int \left[\sum_{\substack{j=1,\dots,2l \\ j\neq l}} \frac{1}{l-j}\binom{2l}{j} j\psi^{2l-j}\bar{\psi}^{j-1} \right] \Delta\bar{\psi} \,\mathrm{dx} \\
&= -\frac{\lambda}{2^{l+3}l} \int \Delta\psi\, \psi^{2l-1} + \Delta\bar{\psi}\, \bar{\psi}^{2l-1} \,\mathrm{dx} \\
&- \frac{\lambda}{2^{l+3}l} \int \sum_{\substack{j=1,\dots,2l-1 \\ j\neq l}} \frac{1}{l-j}\binom{2l}{j} \int (2l-j)\psi^{2l-j-1}\bar{\psi}^j\, \Delta\psi - j\psi^{2l-j}\bar{\psi}^{j-1}\, \Delta\bar{\psi} \,\mathrm{dx}, \quad (4.25)
\end{aligned}$$

and since $j \neq l$ in the sum we have that

$$\langle \{\chi_1, h_1\} \rangle = 0. \quad (4.26)$$

Next,

$$h_2 = -\frac{1}{8} \left\langle \bar{\psi}, \Delta^2 \psi \right\rangle, \quad (4.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\chi_1, F_1\} \\
&= \frac{\lambda^2}{2^{2l+3}l^2} \int \left[\sum_{\substack{j=0,\dots,2l-1 \\ j\neq l}} \frac{1}{l-j}\binom{2l}{j}(2l-j)\psi^{2l-j-1}\bar{\psi}^j \right] \left[\sum_{h=1}^{2l} \binom{2l}{h} h\psi^{2l-h}\bar{\psi}^{h-1} \right] \mathrm{dx} \\
&- \frac{\lambda^2}{2^{2l+3}l^2} \int \left[\sum_{\substack{j=1,\dots,2l \\ j\neq l}} \frac{1}{l-j}\binom{2l}{j} j\psi^{l-j}\bar{\psi}^{j-1} \right] \left[\sum_{h=0}^{2l-1} \binom{2l}{h}(2l-h)\psi^{2l-h-1}\bar{\psi}^h \right] \mathrm{dx}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\lambda^2}{2^{2l+3}l^2} \sum_{\substack{j,h=1,\dots,2l-1 \\ j\neq l}} \frac{1}{l-j}\binom{2l}{j}\binom{2l}{h}[(2l-j)h - j(2l-h)] \int \psi^{4l-j-h-1}\bar{\psi}^{j+h-1}\,\mathrm{dx} \\
&+ \frac{\lambda^2}{2^{2l+3}l^2}\, 2\int \psi^{2l-1}\left[\sum_{h=1}^{2l}\binom{2l}{h} h\psi^{2l-h}\bar{\psi}^{h-1}\right]\,\mathrm{dx} \\
&+ \frac{\lambda^2}{2^{2l+3}l^2}\, 2l\int \left[\sum_{\substack{j=0,\dots,2l-1 \\ j\neq l}} \frac{1}{l-j}\binom{2l}{j}(2l-j)\psi^{2l-j-1}\bar{\psi}^{j}\right]\bar{\psi}^{2l-1}\,\mathrm{dx} \\
&+ \frac{\lambda^2}{2^{2l+3}l^2}\, 2\int \bar{\psi}^{2l-1}\left[\sum_{h=0}^{2l-1}\binom{2l}{h}(2l-h)\psi^{2l-h-1}\bar{\psi}^{h}\right]\,\mathrm{dx} \\
&- \frac{\lambda^2}{2^{2l+3}l^2}\, 2l\int \left[\sum_{\substack{j=1,\dots,2l \\ j\neq l}} \frac{1}{l-j}\binom{2l}{j} j\psi^{2l-j}\bar{\psi}^{j-1}\right]\psi^{2l-1}\,\mathrm{dx}, \qquad (4.28)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\langle\{\chi_1, F_1\}\rangle = \lambda^2 K(l)\int |\psi|^{2(2l-1)}\,\mathrm{dx}, \qquad (4.29)
$$

$$
K(l) := \frac{1}{2^{2l+3}l^2}\left\{\left(\sum_{\substack{j,h=1,\dots,2l-1 \\ j\neq l \\ j+h=2l}} \frac{1}{l-j}\binom{2l}{j}\binom{2l}{h}[(2l-j)h - j(2l-h)]\right) + 16l\right\}, \qquad (4.30)
$$

where $K(l) > 0$ by the conditions on j and h in the sum.

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{\chi_1, \langle F_1\rangle\} \\
&= \frac{\lambda^2}{2^{2l+3}l^2}\binom{2l}{l}\int \sum_{\substack{j=0,\dots,2l-1 \\ j\neq l}} \frac{1}{l-j}\binom{2l}{j}(2l-j)l\,\psi^{2l-j-1}\bar{\psi}^{j}\psi^{l}\bar{\psi}^{l-1}\,\mathrm{dx} \\
&- \frac{\lambda^2}{2^{2l+3}l^2}\binom{2l}{l}\int \sum_{\substack{j=1,\dots,2l \\ j\neq l}} \frac{1}{l-j}\binom{2l}{j} jl\,\psi^{2l-j}\bar{\psi}^{j-1}\psi^{l-1}\bar{\psi}^{l}\,\mathrm{dx} \\
&= \frac{\lambda^2}{2^{2l+3}l^2}\binom{2l}{l}\left[\binom{2l}{l} 2\int \psi^{3l-1}\bar{\psi}^{l-1} + \psi^{l-1}\bar{\psi}^{3l-1}\,\mathrm{dx} + \sum_{\substack{j=1,\dots,2l-1 \\ j\neq l}} 2\mathrm{l}\binom{2\mathrm{l}}{\mathrm{j}}\int \psi^{3\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{j}-1}\bar{\psi}^{\mathrm{j}+\mathrm{l}-1}\,\mathrm{dx}\right], \qquad (4.31)
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $j \neq l$ in the sum we have that

$$
\langle\{\chi_1, \langle F_1\rangle\}\rangle = 0. \qquad (4.32)
$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &= \frac{\lambda}{2^{l+3}l}\, 2l \int (\psi+\bar\psi)^{2l-1}\, \Delta(\psi+\bar\psi)\, \mathrm{dx} \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2^{l+2}} \sum_{j=0}^{2l-1} \binom{2l-1}{j} \int \psi^{2l-j-1}\bar\psi^{j}(\Delta\psi+\Delta\bar\psi)\, \mathrm{dx}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F_2\rangle &= \frac{\lambda}{2^{l+2}} \int \binom{2l-1}{l}\psi^{l-1}\bar\psi^{l}\Delta\psi + \binom{2l-1}{l-1}\psi^{l}\bar\psi^{l-1}\Delta\bar\psi\, \mathrm{dx} \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2^{l+2}}\binom{2l-1}{l} \int |\psi|^{2(l-1)}(\bar\psi\Delta\psi+\psi\Delta\bar\psi)\, \mathrm{dx} \end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

Hence, up to a remainder of order $O\left(\frac{1}{c^6}\right)$*, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 = h_0 &+ \frac{1}{c^2}\int \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\bar\psi,\Delta\psi\right\rangle + \frac{\lambda}{2^{l+1}l}\binom{2l}{l}|\psi|^{2l}\right]\, \mathrm{dx} \\ &+ \frac{1}{c^4}\int \left[\lambda^2 K(l)|\psi|^{2(2l-1)} + \frac{\lambda}{2^{l+2}}\binom{2l-1}{l}|\psi|^{2(l-1)}(\bar\psi\Delta\psi+\psi\Delta\bar\psi) - \frac{1}{8}\left\langle\bar\psi,\Delta^2\psi\right\rangle\right]\, \mathrm{dx}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

which, by neglecting h_0 *(that yields only a gauge factor) and by rescaling the time, leads to the following equations of motion*

$$\begin{aligned} -i\psi_t = &-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi + \frac{\lambda}{2^{l+1}}\binom{2l}{l}|\psi|^{2(l-1)}\psi + \frac{1}{c^2}\left[-\frac{1}{8}\Delta^2\psi + \lambda^2 K(l)\,(2l-1)|\psi|^{4(l-1)}\psi\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{c^2}\left[\frac{\lambda}{2^{l+2}}\binom{2l-1}{l}\left(l|\psi|^{2(l-1)}\,\Delta\psi + (l-1)|\psi|^{2(l-2)}\psi^2\Delta\bar\psi + \Delta(|\psi|^{2(l-1)}\bar\psi)\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

which for example in the case of a cubic nonlinearity ($l=2$) *reads*

$$\begin{aligned} -i\psi_t \;=\; &-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi + \frac{3}{4}\lambda|\psi|^2\psi \\ &+ \frac{1}{c^2}\left[\frac{51}{8}\lambda^2|\psi|^4\psi + \frac{3}{16}\lambda\left(2|\psi|^2\,\Delta\psi + \psi^2\Delta\bar\psi + \Delta(|\psi|^2\bar\psi)\right) - \frac{1}{8}\Delta^2\psi\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Eq. (4.37) *is the nonlinear analogue of a linear higher-order Schrödinger equation that appears in [CM12] and [CLM15] in the context of semi-relativistic equations.*

5 Properties of the normal form equation

5.1 Linear case

Now let $r \geq 1$, $d \geq 2$. In [CM12] and [CLM15] the authors proved that the linearized normal form system, namely the one that corresponds (up to a rescaling of time by a factor c^2) to

$$\begin{aligned} -i\dot\psi_r &= X_{h_0+\sum_{j=1}^{r}\epsilon^j h_j}(\psi_r), \\ \psi_r(0) &= \psi_0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

admits a unique solution in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})H^{k+k_0}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (this is a simple application of the properties of the Fourier transform), and by a perturbative argument they also proved the global existence also for the higher oder Schrödinger equation with a bounded time-independent potential.

Moreover, by following the arguments of Theorem 4.1 in [KAY12] and Lemma 4.3 in [CLM15] one obtains the following dispersive estimates and local-in-time Strichartz estimates for solutions of the linearized normal form equation (5.1).

Proposition 5.1. *Let $r \geq 1$ and $d \geq 2$, and denote by $\mathcal{U}_r(t)$ the evolution operator of (5.1) at the time $c^2 t$ ($c \geq 1$, $t > 0$). Then one has the following local-in-time dispersive estimate*

$$\|\mathcal{U}_r(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)\to L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim c^{d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)}|t|^{-d/(2r)}, \;\; 0 < |t| \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}. \tag{5.2}$$

On the other hand, $\mathcal{U}_r(t)$ is unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
Now introduce the following set of admissible exponent pairs:

$$\Delta_r := \{(p,q) : (1/p, 1/q) \text{ lies in the closed quadrilateral } ABCD\}, \tag{5.3}$$

where

$$A = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \;\; B = \left(1, \frac{1}{\tau_r}\right), \;\; C = (1,0), \;\; D = \left(\frac{1}{\tau_r'}, 0\right), \;\; \tau_r = \frac{2r-1}{r-1}, \;\; \frac{1}{\tau_r} + \frac{1}{\tau_r'} = 1.$$

Then for any $(p,q) \in \Delta_r \setminus \{(2,2), (1,\tau_r), (\tau_r', \infty)\}$

$$\|\mathcal{U}_r(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)\to L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim c^{d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)}|t|^{-\frac{d}{2r}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}, \;\; 0 < |t| \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}, \tag{5.4}$$

Let $r \geq 1$ and $d \geq 2$: in the following lemma (p,q) is called an order-r admissible pair when $2 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ for $r \geq 2$ ($2 \leq q \leq 2d/(d-2)$ for $r = 1$), and

$$\frac{2}{p} + \frac{d}{rq} = \frac{d}{2r}. \tag{5.5}$$

Proposition 5.2. *Let $r \geq 1$ and $d \geq 2$, and denote by $\mathcal{U}_r(t)$ the evolution operator of (5.1) at the time $c^2 t$ ($c \geq 1$, $t > 0$). Let (p,q) and (a,b) be order-r admissible pairs, then for any $T \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}$*

$$\|\mathcal{U}_r(t)\phi_0\|_{L^p([0,T])L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim c^{d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\|\phi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = c^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)\frac{2r}{p}}\|\phi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{5.6}$$

$$\left\|\int_0^t \mathcal{U}_r(t-\tau)\phi(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right\|_{L^p([0,T])L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim c^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)2r\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{a}\right)}\|\phi\|_{L^{a'}([0,T])L^{b'}(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{5.7}$$

5.2 Well-posedness of higher order nonlinear Schrödinger equations with small data

Here we discuss the local well-posedness of

$$-i\psi_t = A_{c,r}\psi + P((\partial_x^\alpha \psi)_{|\alpha|\leq 2(r-1)}, (\partial_x^\alpha \bar{\psi})_{|\alpha|\leq 2(r-1)}), \;\; t \in I, \; x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{5.8}$$

$$\psi(0,x) = \psi_0(x), \tag{5.9}$$

where $r \geq 2$, $I := [0,T]$, $T > 0$,

$$A_{c,r} = c^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\Delta^j}{c^{2(j-1)}}, \;\; c \geq 1,$$

and P is an analytic function at the origin of the form

$$P(z) = \sum_{m+1 \leq |\beta| < M} a_\beta z^\beta, \ \ |a_\beta| \leq K^{|\beta|}, \ |z| \ll 1, \tag{5.10}$$

where $M > m \geq 2$, $m, M \in \mathbb{N}$.

We will exploit this result during the proof of Theorem 2.1. We will adapt an argument of [RWZ16] in order to show the local well-posedness of Eq. for data with small norm in the so-called modulation spaces.

Modulation spaces $M^s_{p,q}$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p, q < +\infty$) were introduced by Feichtinger, and they can be seen as a variant of Besov spaces, in the sense that they allow to perform a frequency decomposition of operators, and to study their properties with respect to lower and higher frequencies. This spaces were recently used in order to prove global well-posedness and scattering for small data for nonlinear dispersive PDEs, especially in the case of derivative nonlinearities (see for example [WH07], [WHH09] and [RWZ16]). We refer to [RSW12] for a survey about modulation spaces and nonlinear evolution equations.

We define the norm on modulation spaces via the following decomposition: let $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that

$$supp(\sigma) \subset [-3/4, 3/4]^d,$$

and consider a function sequence $(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ satysfying

$$\sigma_k(\cdot) = \sigma(\cdot - k), \tag{5.11}$$

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sigma_k(\xi) = 1, \ \ \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{5.12}$$

Denote by

$$\mathcal{Y}_d := \{(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} : (\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \text{satisfies} (5.11) - (5.12)\}.$$

Let $(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathcal{Y}_d$, and define the frequency-uniform decomposition operators

$$\square_k := \mathcal{F}^{-1} \sigma_k \mathcal{F}, \tag{5.13}$$

where by $\mathcal{F}$ we denote the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^d$, then we define the modulation spaces $M^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ via the following norm,

$$\|f\|_{M^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle k \rangle^{sq} \|\square_k f\|_p^q \right)^{1/q}, \ \ s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p, q < +\infty. \tag{5.14}$$

Actually, in our application we will always be interested in the spaces $M^s_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p > 1$. We just mention some properties of modulation spaces.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $s, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p, p_1, p_2 < +\infty$.*

1. *$M^s_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Banach space;*

2. *$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset M^s_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$;*

3. $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *is dense in* $M^s_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$;

4. *if* $s_2 \le s_1$ *and* $p_1 \le p_2$, *then* $M^{s_1}_{p_1,1} \subseteq M^{s_2}_{p_2,1}$;

5. $M^0_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$;

6. *let* $\tau(p) = max\,(0, d(1-1/p), d/p)$ *and* $s_1 > s_2 + \tau(p)$, *then* $W^{s_1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset M^{s_2}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$;

7. *let* $s_1 \ge s_2$, *then* $M^{s_1}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset W^{s_2,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The last two properties are not trivial, and have been proved in [KS11].

We also introduce other spaces which are often used in this context: the anisotropic Lebesgue space $L^{p_1,p_2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}$,

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_1,p_2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} := \Big\| \|f\|_{L^{p_2}_{x_1,\dots,x_{i-1},x_{i+1},\dots,x_d,t}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}\times I)} \Big\|_{L^{p_1}_{x_i}(\mathbb{R})},$$

and, for any Banach space X, the spaces $l^{1,s}_\square(X)$ and $l^{1,s}_{\square,i}(X)$,

$$\|f\|_{l^{1,s}_\square(X)} := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle k\rangle^s \, \|\square_k f\|_X, \tag{5.15}$$

$$\|f\|_{l^{1,s}_{\square,i,c}(X)} := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d_i} \langle k\rangle^s \, \|\square_k f\|_X, \;\; \mathbb{Z}^d_i := \{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d : |k_i| = \max_{1\le j\le d} |k_j|, |k_i| > c\}. \tag{5.16}$$

For simplicity, we write $l^1_\square(X) = l^{1,0}_\square(X)$ and $M^s_{p,1} = M^s_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let* $d \ge 2$, $m \ge 2$, $m > 4r/d$ *and* $s > 2(r-1) + 1/m$.

(i) There exist $c_0 > 1$ *and* $\delta_0 = \delta_0(d,m,r) > 0$ *such that for any* $c \ge c_0$, *for any* $\delta > \delta_0$ *and for any* $\psi_0 \in M^s_{2,1}$ *with* $\|\psi_0\|_{M^s_{2,1}} \le c^{-\delta}$ *the equation* (5.8) *admits a unique solution* $\psi \in C(I, M^s_{2,1}) \cap D$, *where* $T = T(\|\psi_0\|_{M^s_{2,1}}) = \mathcal{O}(c^{2(r-1)})$, *and*

$$\|\psi\|_D = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{2(r-1)} \sum_{i,l=1}^{d} \|\partial^\alpha_{x_l}\psi\|_{l^{1,s-r+1/2}_{\square,i,c}(L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}) \cap l^{1,s}_\square(L^{m,\infty}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}) \cap l^{1,s+1/m}_\square(L^\infty_t L^2_x \cap L^{2+m}_{t,x})} \lesssim c^{-\delta}. \tag{5.17}$$

(ii) Moreover, if $s \ge s_0(d) := d + 2 + \frac{1}{2}$, *then there exists* $\delta_1 = \delta_1(d,m,r) > 0$ *such that for any* $c \ge c_0$, *for any* $\delta > \delta_1$ *and for any* $\psi_0 \in M^s_{2,1}$ *with* $\|\psi_0\|_{M^s_{2,1}} \le c^{-\delta}$ *the equation* (5.8) *admits a unique solution* $\psi \in C(I, H^s)$, *where* $T = T(\|\psi_0\|_{M^s_{2,1}}) = \mathcal{O}(c^{2(r-1)})$, *and*

$$\|\psi(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim c^{-\delta}, \;\; |t| \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}. \tag{5.18}$$

From the above Proposition and from the embedding $H^{s+\sigma+d/2} \subset M^s_{2,1}$ for any $\sigma > 0$ we can deduce

Corollary 5.5. *Let $d \geq 2$, $l \geq 2$, $r < \frac{d}{2}(l-1)$ and $s > 2(r-1) + \frac{1}{2(l-1)}$. Then there exist $c_0 > 1$, $\delta_0 = \delta_0(d,l,r) > 0$ and $\delta_1 = \delta_1(d,l,r) > 0$ such that for any $c \geq c_0$, for any $\delta > \max(\delta_0, \delta_1)$, for any $\sigma > 0$ and for any $\psi_0 \in H^{s+\sigma+d/2}$ with $\|\psi_0\|_{H^{s+\sigma+d/2}} \leq c^{-\delta}$ the normal form equation for (4.10) admits a unique solution $\psi \in C([0,T], H^{s+\sigma+d/2}) \cap D$, where $T = T(\|\psi_0\|_{H^{s+\sigma+d/2}}) = \mathcal{O}(c^{2(r-1)})$, and (5.17) holds. Furthermore, we have that $\psi \in L^\infty(I)H^{s+\sigma+d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and*

$$\|\psi(t)\|_{H^{s+\sigma+d/2}} \lesssim c^{-\delta}, \ \ |t| \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}. \tag{5.19}$$

Since the nonlinearity in Eq. (5.8) involves derivatives, this could cause a loss of derivatives as long as we rely only on energy estimates, on dispersive estimates or on Strichartz estimates. In order to overcome such a problem, we will study the time decay of the operator $\mathcal{U}_r(t) := e^{itA_{c,r}}$, its local smoothing property, Strichartz estimates with $\square_k$-decomposition and maximal function estimates in the framework of frequency-uniform localization.

The rest of this subsection is devoted to the proof of Proposition 5.4. For convenience, we will always use the following function sequence $(\sigma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ to define modulation spaces.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $(\eta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{Y}_1$, and assume that supp$(\eta_k) \subset [k-2/3, k+2/3]$. Consider*

$$\sigma_k(\xi) := \eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)\cdots\eta_{k_d}(\xi_d), \ k = (k_1,\ldots,k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \tag{5.20}$$

then $(\sigma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathcal{Y}_d$.

For convenience, we also write

$$\tilde{\sigma}_k = \sum_{\|l\|_\infty \leq 1} \sigma_{k+l}, \ \tilde{\square}_k = \sum_{\|l\|_\infty \leq 1} \square_{k+l}, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \tag{5.21}$$

and one can check that

$$\tilde{\sigma}_k\sigma_k = \sigma_k, \ \tilde{\square}_k \circ \square_k = \square_k, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{5.22}$$

We also write $\mathcal{A}_r f(t,x) := \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_r(t-\tau)f(\tau,x)\mathrm{d}\tau$.

5.2.1 Time decay

Now, the time-decay of the operator $\mathcal{U}_r(t)$ is known (see (5.2)), but now we are interested in its frequency-localized version, and we want to consider lower, medium and higher frequency separately. For simplicity we discuss the case $r = 2$, and we defer to the the end of this section a remark about the case $r > 2$. So, consider

$$\mathcal{U}_2(t) = e^{itA_{c,2}} = e^{ic^2t}\ \mathcal{F}^{-1}e^{it(|\xi|^2 - \frac{|\xi|^4}{c^2})}\mathcal{F},$$

and write $\epsilon = c^{-2}$. It is known that the time decay of $\mathcal{U}_2(t)$ is determined by the critical points of $P_2(|\xi|) = |\xi|^2 - \epsilon|\xi|^4$. Notice that $P_2'(R) = 4R(\epsilon^{1/2}R + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})(\epsilon^{1/2}R - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$, the singular points of P_2 are $\xi = 0$ and the points of the sphere $\xi = (2\epsilon)^{-1/2}$. To handle these points, we exploit Littlewood-Paley decomposition, Van der Corput lemma and some properties of the Fourier transform of radial functions.

Indeed, it is known that the Fourier transform of a radial function f is radial,

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = 2\pi\int_0^\infty f(R)R^{d-1}(R|\xi|)^{-(d-2)/2}J_{\frac{d-2}{2}}(R|\xi|)dR,$$

where J_m is the order m Bessel function,

$$J_m(R) = \frac{(R/2)^m}{\Gamma(m+1/2)\pi^{1/2}} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{iRt}(1-t^2)^{m-1/2}dt, \;\; m > -1/2.$$

By following the computations in [RWZ16] we obtain that

$$\mathcal{F}f(s) = K_d\pi \int_0^\infty f(R)R^{d-1}e^{-iRs}\bar{h}(Rs)\mathrm{dR} + \mathrm{K_d}\pi \int_0^\infty \mathrm{f(R)R^{d-1}e^{iRs}h(Rs)dR}, \;\; \mathrm{K_d} > 0, \tag{5.23}$$

$$|h^{(k)}(R)| \leq K_d(1+R)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}-k}, \;\; \forall k \geq 0. \tag{5.24}$$

Now we make a Littlewood-Paley decomposition of the frequencies: choose ρ a smooth cut-off function equal to 1 in the unit ball and equal to 0 outside the ball of radius 2, write $\phi_0 = \rho(\cdot) - \rho(2\cdot)$, $\phi_j(\cdot) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi_0(2^{-j}\cdot)\mathcal{F}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and consider

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0 &= \sum_{|j|\leq K} \phi_j(D)\mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0 + \sum_{j<-K} \phi_j(D)\mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0 + \sum_{j>K} \phi_j(D)\mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0 \\ &=: P_= \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0 + P_< \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0 + P_> \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0,\end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

where

$$K := K(\epsilon) \;=\; 10 - \frac{1}{2}\lceil \log_2 \epsilon \rceil. \tag{5.26}$$

Notice that the singular point $R = 0$ is in the support set of $\mathcal{F}(P_= \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0)$. Roughly speaking, if $j < -K$, the dominant term in $P_2(R)$ is R^2, while if $j > K$ the dominant term in $P_2(R)$ is ϵR^4; hence, by (5.2)

$$\|P_< \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |t|^{-d/2}\|\psi_0\|_{L^1}, \tag{5.27}$$

$$\|P_> \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim c^{d/2}|t|^{-d/4}\|\psi_0\|_{L^1}, \; 0 < |t| \lesssim c^2. \tag{5.28}$$

The time decay estimate for $P_= \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0$ is more difficult, since $P_2(R)$ has a singular point in $R = R_1 := (2\epsilon)^{-1/2}$, which corresponds to the sphere $|\xi| = R_1$ in the support set of $\mathcal{F}(P_= \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0)$. We notice that also the point that satisfies $P_2''(R) = 0$, $R = (6\epsilon)^{-1/2}$, corresponds to a sphere $\xi = R_2$ contained in the support set of $\mathcal{F}(P_= \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0)$; we shall use this fact later.

In order to handle the singular point R_1, we perform another decomposition around the sphere $|\xi| = R_1$. Denote $\tilde{\rho}(\cdot) = \rho(2^{-K}\cdot) - \rho(2^{(K+1)}\cdot)$, then $P_= = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\tilde{\rho}\mathcal{F}$; write $P_k = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi_k(|\xi| - R_1)\mathcal{F}$, we get

$$\sum_{|j|\leq K} \phi_j(D)\mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0 = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} P_= P_k \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0 \tag{5.29}$$

By Young's inequality

$$\|P_= P_k \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\tilde{\rho}\phi_k(|\xi| - R_1)e^{-itP_2(|\xi|)}\right)\|_{L^\infty}\|\psi_0\|_{L^1}. \tag{5.30}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\tilde{\rho}\phi_k(|\xi|-R_1)e^{-itP_2(|\xi|)}\right)\\
&\overset{(5.23)}{=} K_d\pi\int_0^\infty R^{d-1}\tilde{\rho}(R)\phi_k(R-R_1)e^{-itP_2(R)-iR|x|}\bar{h}(R|x|)\mathrm{dR}\\
&\quad + K_d\pi\int_0^\infty R^{d-1}\tilde{\rho}(R)\phi_k(R-R_1)e^{-itP_2(R)+iR|x|}h(R|x|)\mathrm{dR}\\
&=: A_k(|x|)+B_k(|x|).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate $A_k(s)$ we rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned}
A_k(s) &= K_d\pi\left(\int_{R_1}^\infty+\int_0^{R_1}\right)R^{d-1}\tilde{\rho}(R)\phi_k(R-R_1)e^{-itP_2(R)-iRs}\bar{h}(Rs)\mathrm{dR} &(5.31)\\
&=: A_k^{(1)}(s)+A_k^{(2)}(s). &(5.32)
\end{aligned}$$

We begin by estimating $A_k^{(1)}$: notice that $A_k^{(1)}(s)$ for $k>K+2$, hence we can assume that $k\le K+2$. By a change of variables we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A_k^{(1)}(s) &\overset{R=R_1+2^k\sigma}{=} 2^kK_d\pi e^{-iR_1s}\int_{1/2}^2 F(\sigma)e^{it2^{2k}\tilde{P}_2(\sigma)}\mathrm{d}\sigma,\\
F(\sigma) &:= (R_1+2^k\sigma)^{d-1}\tilde{\rho}(R_1+2^k\sigma)\phi_0(\sigma)\bar{h}((R_1+2^k\sigma)s),\\
\tilde{P}_2(\sigma) &:= (2^{2k}t)^{-1}(tP_2(R_1+2^k\sigma)-2^k\sigma s).
\end{aligned}$$

One can check that

$$|\tilde{P_2}'(\sigma)| = \left|4(R_1+2^k\sigma)(2R_1+2^k\sigma)\sigma\epsilon-\frac{s}{t2^k}\right|.$$

Let $s\gg 1$; if $s\ll 2^kt/\epsilon$, then

$$|F^{(m)}(\sigma)|\lesssim 1,\ \ \forall m\ge 1,\ \ |\tilde{P_2}'(\sigma)|\lesssim\epsilon,\ |\tilde{P_2}''(\sigma)|\ \ \lesssim\epsilon^{1/2},\ |\tilde{P_2}'''(\sigma)|\lesssim\epsilon,\ |\tilde{P_2}^{(m)}(\sigma)|\ \overset{\epsilon\le 1}{\lesssim} 1,\ \forall m\ge 4$$

while for $s\gg 2^kt/\epsilon$

$$|F^{(m)}(\sigma)|\lesssim 1,\ \ \forall m\ge 1,\ \ |\tilde{P_2}^{(m)}(\sigma)|\overset{\epsilon\le 1}{\lesssim} 1,\ \forall m\ge 1.$$

Integrating by parts we get

$$A_k^{(1)}(s) = 2^k(2^{2k}t)^{-N}K_d\pi e^{iR_1s}\int_{1/2}^2 e^{it2^{2k}\tilde{P}_2(\sigma)}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{P_2}'(\sigma)}\cdots\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{P_2}'(\sigma)}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}\left(\frac{F(\sigma)}{\tilde{P_2}'(\sigma)}\right)\right)\right)\mathrm{d}\sigma.$$

Therefore

$$|A_k^{(1)}(s)|\lesssim 2^k(2^{2k}t)^{-N}. \tag{5.33}$$

If $s\sim 2^kt/\epsilon$, we apply Van der Corput Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_k^{(1)}(s)| &\lesssim 2^k(2^{2k}t)^{-1/2}\int_{1/2}^2|\partial_\sigma F(\sigma)|\mathrm{d}\sigma\\
&\overset{(5.24)}{\lesssim} 2^k(2^{2k}t)^{-1/2}s^{-(d-1)/2}\lesssim 2^k(2^{2k}t)^{-d/2}\epsilon^{(d-1)/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we can check that $|A_k^{(1)}(s)| \lesssim 2^k$; hence, for $s \gg 1$

$$|A_k^{(1)}(s)| \overset{\epsilon\leq 1}{\lesssim} 2^k \min(1, (2^{2k}t)^{-d/2}). \tag{5.34}$$

If $s \lesssim 1$, we rewrite $A_k^{(1)}$ in the following form

$$A_k^{(1)}(s) = 2^k K_d \pi e^{-iR_1 s} \int_{1/2}^{2} F_1(\sigma) e^{itP_2(R_1+2^k\sigma)} \mathrm{d}\sigma,$$
$$F_1(\sigma) := (R_1 + 2^k\sigma)^{d-1} \tilde{\rho}(R_1 + 2^k\sigma)\phi_0(\sigma)\bar{h}((R_1 + 2^k\sigma)s) e^{-i2^k\sigma s}.$$

Again integrating by parts, we obtain

$$|A_k^{(1)}(s)| \lesssim 2^k \min(1, (2^{2k}t)^{-d/2}). \tag{5.35}$$
$$\tag{5.36}$$

Now we estimate $A_k^{(2)}$. We notice that $R_2 \in \mathrm{supp}(\phi_k(R_1 - \cdot))$ if and only if $k \in \{-2, -1\}$; when $k \notin \{-2, -1\}$ one can repeat the above argument and show that

$$|A_k^{(2)}(s)| \lesssim 2^k \min(1, (2^{2k}t)^{-d/2}). \tag{5.37}$$

Let $k \in \{-2, -1\}$. If $s \ll t$ or $s \gg t$ we have by integration by parts that

$$|A_k^{(2)}(s)| \lesssim \min(1, t^{-N}), \ \ \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

On the other hand, if $s \sim t$ we can use Van der Corput Lemma and obtain

$$|A_k^{(2)}(s)| \lesssim t^{-1/3} s^{-(d-1)/2} \lesssim t^{-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{6}}.$$

Therefore, for $k \in \{-2, -1\}$ we have

$$|A_k^{(2)}(s)| \lesssim \min(1, t^{-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{6}}). \tag{5.38}$$

Combining (5.37) and (5.38) we can deduce that

$$|A_k^{(2)}(s)| \lesssim 2^k \min(1, (2^{2k}t)^{-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{6}}). \tag{5.39}$$

If we sum up all the A_k for $k \leq K + 2$ we finally conclude that for any $d \geq 2$

$$\|P_{=}\mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim c \min(|t|^{-d/2}, |t|^{-d/2+1/6})\|\psi_0\|_{L^1}. \tag{5.40}$$

Remark 5.7. *In the general case $r > 2$, we have to determine critical points for the polynomial*

$$P_r(R) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{j+1} \epsilon^{j-1} R^{2j}, \tag{5.41}$$

namely the roots of the polynomial

$$P_r'(R) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{j+1} \epsilon^{j-1} 2jR^{2j-1} = R\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{j+1} \epsilon^{j-1} 2jR^{2(j-1)}\right). \tag{5.42}$$

Besides the trivial value $R = 0$, which we deal as in the case $r = 2$, one should rely on lower and upper bounds to determine the other (if any) real roots. For a lower bound, we rely on a well-known corollary of Rouché theorem from complex analysis, and we obtain that the other roots satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} R &\geq \frac{2}{\max\left(2, \sum_{j=1}^{r} 2j\epsilon^{j-1}\right)} \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\max\left(2, 2r\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}\epsilon^{j}\right)} \\ &\overset{\epsilon\leq 1/2}{\geq} \frac{2}{\max(2, 4r\epsilon)} \overset{\epsilon\ll 1/(2r)}{\geq} 1. \end{aligned}$$

For what concerns an upper bound, we exploit an old result by Fujiwara ([Fuj16]), and we get that the roots satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} R &\leq \max_{1\leq j\leq r-1}\left(2(r-1)\frac{2j\epsilon^{j-1}}{2r\epsilon^{r-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2(j-1)}} \\ &\leq 2(r-1)\max_{1\leq j\leq r-1}\left(\frac{j}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2(j-1)}}\epsilon^{\frac{j-r}{2(j-1)}} \\ &\overset{\epsilon\leq 1}{\leq} K_r\epsilon^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for some $K_r > 0$.

Hence, in the case $r > 2$, if ϵ sufficiently small (depending on r), then the polynomial P_r' has critical points (apart from 0) which have modulus between 1 and $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1/2})$ (a similar argument works also for the polynomial P_r''), and this affects the medium-frequency decay of $\mathcal{U}_r(t)$. In any case, we can deal with this problem as in the case $r = 2$, and we get

$$\|P_{<}\,\mathcal{U}_r(t)\psi_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |t|^{-d/2}\|\psi_0\|_{L^1}, \tag{5.43}$$

$$\|P_{=}\,\mathcal{U}_r(t)\psi_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim c\min(|t|^{-d/2}, |t|^{-d/2+1/6})\|\psi_0\|_{L^1}, \tag{5.44}$$

$$\|P_{>}\,\mathcal{U}_r(t)\psi_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim c^{d/2}|t|^{-\frac{d}{2r}}\|\psi_0\|_{L^1},\ 0 < |t| \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}. \tag{5.45}$$

5.2.2 Smoothing estimates

As already pointed out, one needs smoothing estimates to ensure the well-posedness of Eq. (5.8) because of the presence of derivatives in the nonlinearity. Again, we first consider the case $r = 2$, and then we mention the results for $r > 2$.

Proposition 5.8. *For any $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k_i| = |k|_\infty$ and $|k_i| \gtrsim c$*

$$\left\|\Box_k D_{x_i}^{3/2}\mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0\right\|_{L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c\|\Box_k\psi_0\|_{L^2}. \tag{5.46}$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $i = 1$. For convenience, we write $\bar{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\Box_k D_{x_i}^{3/2}\mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0\right\|_{L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} &= \left\|\int \sigma_k(\xi)|\xi_1|^{3/2}e^{itP_2(|\xi|)}\mathcal{F}(\psi_0)(\xi)e^{ix_1\xi_1}\mathrm{d}\xi_1\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{\bar{\xi},t}} \\ &\lesssim \left\|\int \eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)|\xi_1|^{3/2}e^{itP_2(|\xi|)}\mathcal{F}(\psi_0)(\xi)e^{ix_1\xi_1}\mathrm{d}\xi_1\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{\bar{\xi},t}} =: L. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate L: if $k_1 \gtrsim c$, then $\xi_1 > 0$ for $\xi \in \mathrm{supp}(\eta_{k_1})$. Hence, by changing variable, $\theta = P_2(|\xi|)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} L &\lesssim \left\| \int \eta_{k_1}(\xi_1(\theta))\xi_1(\theta)^{3/2} e^{it\theta} \mathcal{F}(\psi_0)(\xi(\theta)) e^{ix_1\xi_1(\theta)} \, \frac{1}{2}\xi_1^{-1}(\theta) \left(2\frac{|\xi|^2}{c^2} - 1\right)^{-1} \right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{\xi,t}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \eta_{k_1}(\xi_1(\theta))\xi_1(\theta)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}(\psi_0)(\xi(\theta)) \left(2\frac{|\xi|^2}{c^2} - 1\right)^{-1} \right\|_{L^2_\theta L^2_\xi} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)\xi_1^{1/2} \mathcal{F}(\psi_0)(\xi) \left(2\frac{|\xi|^2}{c^2} - 1\right)^{-1} \left(2\frac{|\xi|^2}{c^2} - 1\right)^{1/2} \xi_1^{1/2} \right\|_{L^2_\xi} \\ &= \left\| \eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)\xi_1 \mathcal{F}(\psi_0)(\xi) \left(2\frac{|\xi|^2}{c^2} - 1\right)^{-1/2} \right\|_{L^2_\xi} \lesssim c\|\psi_0\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof for the case $k_1 \lesssim -c$ is similar. □

By duality we have the following

Proposition 5.9. *For any $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k_i| = |k|_\infty$ and $|k_i| \gtrsim c$*

$$\left\| \Box_k \partial^2_{x_i} \mathcal{A}_2 f \right\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim c\|\Box_k D_i^{1/2} f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}. \tag{5.47}$$

Now consider the inhomogeneous Cauchy problem

$$-i\psi_t = A_{c,2}\psi + f(t,x), \quad \psi(0,x) = 0. \tag{5.48}$$

Proposition 5.10. *For any $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k_i| = |k|_\infty$ and $|k_i| \gtrsim c$*

$$\left\| \Box_k \partial^2_{x_i} \psi \right\|_{L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}. \tag{5.49}$$

Proof. It suffices to consider $i = 1$. We write

$$\psi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi} \frac{1}{\tau - c^2 - P_2(|\xi|)} (\mathcal{F}_{t,x} f)(\tau, \xi).$$

We have

$$\partial^2_{x_i}\psi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi} \frac{\xi_1^2}{P_2(|\xi|) + c^2 - \tau} \mathcal{F}_{t,x} f. \tag{5.50}$$

We want to show that

$$\left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi} \frac{\eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)\xi_1^2}{P_2(|\xi|) + c^2 - \tau} \mathcal{F}_{t,x} f \right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{\xi,t}} \lesssim \left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1} \eta_{k_1}(\xi_1) \mathcal{F}_{x_1} f \right\|_{L^1_{x_1} L^2_{\xi,t}},$$

which, by Young's inequality, is equivalent to show that

$$\sup_{x_1,\tau,\xi_j \ (j\neq 1)} \left| \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1} \frac{\sigma_k(\xi)\xi_1^2}{P_2(|\xi|) + c^2 - \tau} \right| \lesssim 1. \tag{5.51}$$

We prove (5.51): first, notice that when $|k_1| = |k|_\infty$, then $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi|_\infty$ for $\xi \in \mathrm{supp}(\sigma_k)$. We split the argument according to the cases $\tau - c^2 > 0$ and $\tau - c^2 \leq 0$. In the case $\tau - c^2 > 0$

$$\sup_{x_1,\tau,\xi_j\ (j\neq 1)} \left| \mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1} \frac{\sigma_k(\xi)\xi_1^2}{P_2(|\xi|) + c^2 - \tau} \right| \lesssim \left| \int_{k_1-3/4}^{k_1+3/4} \frac{c^2}{\xi_1^2} \mathrm{d}\xi_1 \right| \overset{|k_1|\gtrsim c}{\lesssim} 1.$$

When $\tau - c^2 \leq 0$ we set $\tau_2 := \tau_2(c) = c\left(\sqrt{\frac{5}{4} - \frac{\tau}{c^2}} - \frac{1}{2}\right) > 0$, in order to write

$$P_2(|\xi|) + c^2 - \tau = \left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{c} + \tau_2\right)\left(-\frac{|\xi|^2}{c} + \tau_2 + c\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1} \frac{\sigma_k(\xi)\xi_1^2}{P_2(|\xi|) + c^2 - \tau} &= \mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1} \frac{\sigma_k(\xi)\xi_1^2}{\left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{c} + \tau_2\right)\left(-\frac{|\xi|^2}{c} + \tau_2 + c\right)} \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1} \frac{\sigma_k(\xi)\xi_1^2}{\left(\frac{\xi_1^2}{c} + \frac{|\bar{\xi}|^2}{c} + \tau_2\right)\left(-\frac{\xi_1^2}{c} - \frac{|\bar{\xi}|^2}{c} + \tau_2 + c\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.52}$$

When $|\bar{\xi}|^2 \geq c(\tau_2 + c)$, we can treat the problem as before.

Next, we consider the case $|\bar{\xi}|^2 < c(\tau_2 + c)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 &:= A(\bar{\xi}, \tau, c)^2 = \frac{|\bar{\xi}|^2}{c} + \tau_2, \\ B^2 &:= B(\bar{\xi}, \tau, c)^2 = -\left(\frac{|\bar{\xi}|^2}{c} - \tau_2 - c\right), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1} \frac{\eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)\xi_1^2}{\left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{c} + \tau_2\right)\left(-\frac{|\xi|^2}{c} + \tau_2 + c\right)} \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1} \frac{\xi_1}{\frac{\xi_1^2}{c} + A^2} \frac{\xi_1}{B^2 - \frac{\xi_1^2}{c}} \eta_{k_1}(\xi_1) \\ &= \frac{c^{1/2}}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1} \frac{\xi_1}{\frac{\xi_1^2}{c} + A^2} \left(\frac{1}{B - \frac{\xi_1}{c^{1/2}}} - \frac{1}{B + \frac{\xi_1}{c^{1/2}}}\right) \eta_{k_1}(\xi_1) \\ &=: I + II. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate only I, as the argument of II is similar. First we write

$$I = -\frac{c}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1} \frac{\eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)}{\frac{\xi_1^2}{c} + A^2} + \frac{c}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1} \frac{\eta_{k_1}(\xi_1) B}{(B - \frac{\xi_1}{c^{1/2}})(\frac{\xi_1^2}{c} + A^2)} := I_1 + I_2.$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1}(1/\xi_1)$ is the function $sgn(\xi_1)$, we have that I_1 is bounded uniformly with respect to c. For I_2, it suffices to show

$$cB \sup_{x_1} \left| \mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1} \frac{1}{(B - \frac{\xi_1}{c^{1/2}})(\frac{\xi_1^2}{c} + A^2)} \right| \lesssim 1.$$

Since $|\mathcal{F}(e^{-|\cdot|})(\xi)| \lesssim \frac{1}{1+|\xi|^2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} cB\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1}\frac{1}{(B-\frac{\xi_1}{c^{1/2}})(\frac{\xi_1^2}{c}+A^2)}\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}} &\lesssim cB\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1}\frac{1}{B-\frac{\xi_1}{c^{1/2}}}\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}}\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1}\frac{1}{\frac{\xi_1^2}{c}+A^2}\right\|_{L^1_{x_1}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{c^2B}{A^2}\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1}\frac{1}{B-\frac{\xi_1}{c^{1/2}}}\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}}\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1}\frac{1}{\xi_1^2A^{-2}+1}\right\|_{L^1_{x_1}} \\ &\lesssim B\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1}\frac{1}{B-\frac{\xi_1}{c^{1/2}}}\right\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}}\cdot\frac{c^2}{A^2}\left\|Ae^{-A|x_1|}\right\|_{L^1_{x_1}} \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we observe that in general the solution ψ of (5.48) may not vanish at $t=0$. However, by Parseval identity

$$\psi(0,x)=\psi(t,x)_{|t=0}=K\int_I \mathcal{U}_2(s)\mathcal{F}(f)(s,x)\mathrm{ds},$$

for some $K>0$, and if we combine it with (5.47), we have that $\Box_k\mathcal{U}_2(t)\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}_1}^2\psi(0,\mathrm{x})\in \mathrm{L}^2$. Hence, by (5.46)

$$\tilde{\psi}(t):=\psi(t)-\mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi(0,\cdot)=i\int_I \mathcal{U}_2(t-\tau)f(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau \tag{5.53}$$

is the solution of (5.48), and it satisfies (5.49). □

Lemma 5.11. *For any $\sigma\in\mathbb{R}$ and $k\in\mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k_i|\geq 4$,*

$$\|\Box_k D_{x_i}^\sigma\psi\|_{L^{p_1,p_2}_{x_1;(x_j)_{j\neq 1},t}}\lesssim \langle k_i\rangle^\sigma\|\Box_k\psi\|_{L^{p_1,p_2}_{x_1;(x_j)_{j\neq 1},t}}. \tag{5.54}$$

If we replace $D_{x_i}^\sigma$ by $\partial_{x_i}^\sigma$, the above inequality holds for all $k\in\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [WHH09]. One can check that both sides of (5.54) are equivalent for $|k_i|\geq 4$. □

By combining (5.49), (5.47) and (5.54) we obtain

Proposition 5.12. *For any $k=(k_1,\ldots,k_d)\in\mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k_i|=|k|_\infty\gtrsim c$ we have*

$$\left\|\Box_k\partial_{x_i}^2\mathcal{A}_2 f\right\|_{L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}\lesssim\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}, \tag{5.55}$$

$$\left\|\Box_k\partial_{x_i}^2\mathcal{A}_2 f\right\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\lesssim c\,\langle|k_i|\rangle^{1/2}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}. \tag{5.56}$$

Remark 5.13. *For the case $r>2$ we replace* (5.46), (5.47), (5.49), (5.55) *and* (5.56) *with*

$$\left\|\Box_k D_{x_i}^{r-1/2}\mathcal{U}_r(t)\psi_0\right\|_{L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}\lesssim c^{r-1}\|\Box_k\psi_0\|_{L^2}, \tag{5.57}$$

$$\left\|\Box_k\partial_{x_i}^r\mathcal{A}_r f\right\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\lesssim c^{r-1}\|\Box_k D_i^{1/2}f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}, \tag{5.58}$$

$$\left\|\Box_k\partial_{x_i}^{2(r-1)}\psi\right\|_{L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}\lesssim\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}, \tag{5.59}$$

$$\left\|\Box_k\partial_{x_i}^{2(r-1)}\mathcal{A}_r f\right\|_{L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}\lesssim\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}, \tag{5.60}$$

$$\left\|\Box_k\partial_{x_i}^{2(r-1)}\mathcal{A}_r f\right\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\lesssim c^{r-1}\,\langle|k_i|\rangle^{r-3/2}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}. \tag{5.61}$$

Remark 5.14. *We point out the fact that we have worked out smoothing estimates only in the higher frequencies. As in [RWZ16], only these smoothing estimates are needed in order to discuss the well-posedness of* (5.8).

5.2.3 Strichartz estimates

By exploiting (5.6) we can deduce Strichartz estimates for solutions of (5.8) combined with $\Box_k$-decomposition operators.

Proposition 5.15. *Let $r \geq 1$, $d \geq 2$, $c \geq 1$, $t > 0$. Let (p, q) and (a, b) be order-r admissible pairs. Then for any $0 < T \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}$ and for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k| \gtrsim K$ ($K = K(c)$ is defined in* (5.26)*)*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Box_k \mathcal{U}_r(t)\phi_0\|_{L^p([0,T])L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\lesssim c^{d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} \|\Box_k \phi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &= c^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)\frac{2r}{p}} \|\Box_k \phi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.62}$$

$$\left\| \Box_k \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_r(t-\tau)\phi(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau \right\|_{L^p([0,T])L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim c^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)2r\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{a}\right)} \|\Box_k \phi\|_{L^{a'}([0,T])L^{b'}(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{5.63}$$

Furthermore, by (5.2) we have that

$$\|\Box_k \mathcal{U}_r(t)\|_{L^1 \to L^\infty} \lesssim c^{d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)} \langle t \rangle^{-d/(2r)}, \ 0 < |t| \lesssim c^{2(r-1)},$$

and by following closely the argument in Section 5 of [WH07] we can deduce

Proposition 5.16. *Let $r \geq 1$, $d \geq 2$, $c \geq 1$. Let (p, q) be a Schrödinger admissible pair, then*

$$\|\mathcal{U}_r(t)\psi_0\|_{l^{1,s}_{\Box}(L^p_t([0,T])L^q_x)} \lesssim c^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)\frac{2r}{p}} \|\psi_0\|_{M^s_{2,1}}, \ 0 < T \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}, \tag{5.64}$$

$$\|\mathcal{A}_r f\|_{l^{1,s}_{\Box}(L^p_t([0,T])L^q_x) \cap l^{1,s}_{\Box}(L^\infty_t([0,T])L^2_x)} \lesssim c^{\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)\frac{4r}{p}} \|f\|_{l^{1,s}_{\Box}(L^{p'}([0,T])L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \tag{5.65}$$

5.2.4 Maximal function estimates

In this subsection we study the maximal function estimates for the semigroup $\mathcal{U}_r(t)$ and the integral operator $\int_0^t \mathcal{U}_r(t-\tau)\cdot \mathrm{d}\tau$ in anisotropic Lebesgue spaces. To do this, we will need the time decay properties proved in Sec. 5.2.1. As always, we first prove results for the case $r = 2$, and then we write the modification for the general case.

Lemma 5.17. *1. Let $q \geq 2$, $\frac{8}{d} < q \leq +\infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k| \gtrsim K(c)$, then*

$$\|\Box_k \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c^{d/2} \langle k \rangle^{1/q} \|\Box_k \psi_0\|_{L^2}, \ 0 < |t| \lesssim c^2, \ \forall i = 1, \dots, d. \tag{5.66}$$

2. Let $q \geq 2$, $\frac{4}{d} < q \leq +\infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k| \lesssim K(c)$, then

$$\|\Box_k \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c \langle k \rangle^{1/q} \|\Box_k \psi_0\|_{L^2}, \ \forall i = 1, \dots, d. \tag{5.67}$$

Proof. Clearly it suffices to show the thesis for $i = 1$; recall that for any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we denote $\bar{x} = (x_2, \dots, x_d)$. By a standard $TT^\star$ argument, (5.66) is equivalent to

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle} e^{it(c^2 + P_2(|\xi|))} \sigma_k(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi \right\|_{L^{q/2,\infty}_{x_1;\bar{x},t}} \lesssim \langle k \rangle^{2/q}. \tag{5.68}$$

If $|k| \gtrsim K(c)$, then

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1} e^{it(c^2+P_2(|\xi|))}\sigma_k(\xi)\|_{L^\infty_x} \overset{(5.28)}{\lesssim} c^{d/2} \langle k\rangle^{-d} |t|^{-d/4},\ 0<|t|\lesssim c^2; \tag{5.69}$$

on the other hand

$$\|\Box_k \mathcal{U}_2(t)\mathcal{F}^{-1}\sigma_k\|_{L^\infty_{t,x}} \lesssim \|\Box_k \mathcal{U}_2(t)\mathcal{F}^{-1}\sigma_k\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim 1. \tag{5.70}$$

If we combine (5.69) and (5.70), we obtain

$$|\Box_k \mathcal{U}_2(t)\mathcal{F}^{-1}\sigma_k| \lesssim c^{d/2}(1+\langle k\rangle^4 |t|)^{-d/4},\ 0<|t|\lesssim c^2. \tag{5.71}$$

Now, if $|x_1| \gtrsim 1+|t|\langle k\rangle^5$, by integrating by parts we get

$$|\Box_k \mathcal{U}_2(t)\mathcal{F}^{-1}\sigma_k| \lesssim c^{d/2}\langle x_1\rangle^{-2}. \tag{5.72}$$

If $|x_1| \lesssim 1+|t|\langle k\rangle^5$, by (5.71) we can deduce

$$|\Box_k \mathcal{U}_2(t)\mathcal{F}^{-1}\sigma_k| \lesssim c^{d/2}(1+|x_1|\langle k\rangle^{-1})^{-d/4} \tag{5.73}$$

Combining (5.72) and (5.73) we have

$$\sup_{\bar{x},t} |\Box_k \mathcal{U}_2(t)\mathcal{F}^{-1}\sigma_k| \lesssim c^{d/2}\langle x_1\rangle^{-2} + c^{d/2}(1+|x_1|\langle k\rangle^{-1})^{-d/4}, \tag{5.74}$$

from which, by taking the $L^{q/2}_{x_1}$ norm on both sides, we obtain (5.68). The proof for the case $|k| \lesssim K(c)$ is similar. □

Lemma 5.18. *Let $q \geq 2$, $\frac{8}{d} < q \leq +\infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k_i| \gtrsim K(c)^2$, then*

$$\|\Box_k \mathcal{A}_2 f\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c^{d/2}\langle k_i\rangle^{-3/2+1/q} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}},\ 0<|t|\lesssim c^2,\ \forall i=1,\dots,d. \tag{5.75}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the case $i=1$. Recall that the solution of (5.48) is of the form

$$\psi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi}\frac{1}{c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau}\mathcal{F}_{t,x} f,$$

hence its frequency localization can be written as

$$\Box_k \psi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi}\frac{1}{c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau}(\mathcal{F}_{t,x}\Box_k f)(\tau,\xi).$$

For convenience, we introduce the following regions

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_1 &= \{\tau - c^2 \leq -c^2/4\},\\
\mathbb{E}_2 &= \left\{-c^2/4 \leq \tau - c^2 \leq |\bar{\xi}|^2\left(-\frac{|\bar{\xi}|^2}{c^2}+1\right)\right\},\\
\mathbb{E}_3 &= \left\{\tau - c^2 \geq |\bar{\xi}|^2\left(-\frac{|\bar{\xi}|^2}{c^2}+1\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

and we make the following decomposition

$$c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau=\begin{cases}\left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{c}+\tau_2(c,\tau)\right)\left(-\frac{\xi_1}{c^{1/2}}+a\right)\left(\frac{\xi_1}{c^{1/2}}+a\right) & (\bar{\xi},\tau)\in\mathbb{E}_1,\\ \left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{c}+\tau_2(c,\tau)\right)\left(-\frac{|\xi|^2}{c}+\tau_2(c,\tau)+c\right), & (\bar{\xi},\tau)\in\mathbb{E}_2,\\ -\left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{c}-\frac{c}{2}\right)^2+\left(\frac{5}{4}c^2-\tau\right), & (\bar{\xi},\tau)\in\mathbb{E}_3,\end{cases}\tag{5.76}$$

where $a=a(c,\bar{\xi},\tau):=(\tau_2(c,\tau)-|\bar{\xi}|^2/c+c)^{1/2}$. We denote

$$\Box_k\,\psi_i=\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi}\frac{\chi_{\mathbb{E}_i}(\bar{\xi},\tau)}{c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau}(\mathcal{F}_{t,x}\Box_k\,f)(\tau,\xi),\ i=1,2,3.$$

First, we estimate $\Box_k\,\psi_1$. Set $\tilde{\eta}_{k_1}(\xi_1)=\sum_{|l|\le 10}\eta_{k_1+l}(\xi_1)$. First we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\chi_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\bar{\xi},\tau)}{c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau}&=\frac{\chi_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\bar{\xi},\tau)}{(2\tau_2(c,\tau)+c)\left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{c}+\tau_2(c,\tau)\right)}\\&\quad+\frac{\chi_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\bar{\xi},\tau)}{2a(2\tau_2(c,\tau)+c)}\left(\frac{1}{-\frac{\xi_1}{c^{1/2}}+a}+\frac{1}{\frac{\xi_1}{c^{1/2}}+a}\right)\\&=:\sum_{j=1}^{3}A_j(c,\xi,\tau).\end{aligned}$$

According to the above decomposition, we can rewrite $\Box_k\,\psi_1$ as

$$\begin{aligned}&\Box_k\,\psi_1\\&=\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi}\frac{\chi_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\bar{\xi},\tau)\tilde{\eta}_{k_1}(ac^{1/2})}{c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau}(\mathcal{F}_{t,x}\Box_k\,f)(\tau,\xi)+\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi}\frac{\chi_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\bar{\xi},\tau)(1-\tilde{\eta}_{k_1}(ac^{1/2}))}{c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau}(\mathcal{F}_{t,x}\Box_k\,f)(\tau,\xi)\\&=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi}\chi_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\bar{\xi},\tau)A_j(\xi,\tau)\tilde{\eta}_{k_1}(ac^{1/2})(\mathcal{F}_{t,x}\Box_k\,f)(\tau,\xi)\\&\quad+\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi}\frac{\chi_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\bar{\xi},\tau)(1-\tilde{\eta}_{k_1}(ac^{1/2}))}{c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau}(\mathcal{F}_{t,x}\Box_k\,f)(\tau,\xi)\\&=:I+II+III+IV.\end{aligned}$$

Case $k_1\gtrsim K(c)^2$: first, we estimate II. Let $\tilde{\sigma}_k$ be as in (5.21), then

$$II=\int_{I\times\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{e^{it\tau+i\langle\bar{x},\bar{\xi}\rangle}\chi_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\bar{\xi},\tau)}{2a(2\tau_2(c,\tau)+c)}\tilde{\sigma}_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\xi})\tilde{\eta}_{k_1}(ac^{1/2})\widehat{\Box_k f(y_1,\cdot)}(\bar{\xi},\tau)c^{1/2}e^{i(x_1-y_1)ac^{1/2}}\,sgn(x_1-y_1)\mathrm{d}\bar{\xi}\mathrm{d}y_1\mathrm{d}\tau.$$

By changing variable, $\xi_1=c^{1/2}a(c,\bar{\xi},\tau)$, and by setting $\tilde{\rho}_k(\xi)=\tilde{\sigma}_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\xi})\tilde{\eta}_{k_1}(\xi_1)$, we obtain

$$|II|\lesssim\left|\int \mathrm{d}y_1\ \mathrm{sgn}(x_1-y_1)\int \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t(c^2+P_2(|\xi|))}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(x_1-y_1)\xi_1+\mathrm{i}\langle\bar{x},\bar{\xi}\rangle}\tilde{\rho}_k(\xi)\widehat{\Box_k f(y_1,\cdot)}(c^2+P_2(|\xi|),\tau)\mathrm{d}\xi\right|,$$

and by applying (5.66) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|II\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_1;\bar{x},t}} &\lesssim \int \mathrm{dy_1} \left\| \int \mathrm{e^{it(c^2+P_2(|\xi|))} e^{i(x_1-y_1)\xi_1+i\langle \bar{x},\bar{\xi}\rangle}} \tilde{\rho}_k(\xi) \widehat{\Box_k f(y_1,\cdot)}(c^2+P_2(|\xi|),\tau) d\xi \right\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_1;\bar{x},t}} \\ &\lesssim c^{d/2} \langle k_1 \rangle^{1/q} \int \|\tilde{\rho}_k(\xi) \widehat{\Box_k f(y_1,\cdot)}(c^2+P_2(|\xi|),\tau)\|_{L^2_\xi} dy_1 \\ &\overset{(5.47),(5.54)}{\lesssim} c\, c^{d/2} \langle k_1 \rangle^{1/q-3/2} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_1;\bar{x},t}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.77}$$

Since $k_1 > 0$, III has the same upper bound as in (5.77).

Now we estimate IV: first notice that

$$IV = \int \mathrm{dy_1} \int \mathrm{e^{it\tau+i\langle \bar{x},\bar{\xi}\rangle}} \tilde{\sigma}_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\xi}) \widehat{\Box_k f(y_1,\cdot)}(\bar{\xi},\tau)\ \mathrm{K(x_1-y_1,a,\bar{\xi})} d\bar{\xi},$$

$$K(x_1,a,\bar{\xi}) = \chi_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\bar{\xi},\tau)(1-\tilde{\eta}_{k_1}(ac^{1/2})) \int \frac{\sum_{|l|\le 1} \eta_{k_1+l}(\xi_1) e^{ix_1\xi_1}}{c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau} d\xi_1.$$

By Young's inequality for convolutions, Hölder's inequality and Minkowski's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|IV\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_1;\bar{x},t}} &\le \left\| \int \|\tilde{\sigma}_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\xi}) \widehat{\Box_k f(y_1,\cdot)}(\bar{\xi},\tau)\ K(x_1-y_1,a,\bar{\xi})\|_{L^1_{\bar{\xi},\tau}} dy_1 \right\|_{L^q_{x_1}} \\ &\le \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_1;\bar{x},t}} \|\tilde{\sigma}_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\xi}) K(x_1,a,\bar{\xi})\|_{L^{q,2}_{x_1;\bar{\xi},\tau}} \\ &\lesssim \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_1;\bar{x},t}} \|\tilde{\sigma}_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\xi}) K(x_1,a,\bar{\xi})\|_{L^\infty_{\bar{\xi}} L^2_\tau L^q_{x_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts it follows that

$$\|\tilde{\sigma}_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\xi}) K(x_1,a,\xi)\|_{L^\infty_{\bar{\xi}} L^2_\tau L^q_{x_1}} \lesssim \sup_{|\xi-k|_\infty \le 3} \sum_{j=0}^{1} \|\chi_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\bar{\xi},\tau)(1-\tilde{\eta}_{k_1}(ac^{1/2})) \partial^j_{\xi_1} (c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau)^{-1}\|_{L^2_\tau}. \tag{5.78}$$

Noticing that $|\xi - ac^{1/2}| \ge c^{1/2} \ge 1$ in the support set of $(1-\tilde{\eta}_{k_1}(ac^{1/2}))\chi_{|\xi_1-k_1|\le 3} \partial^j_{\xi_1}(c^2 + P_2(|\xi|)-\tau)^{-1}$ we can deduce from (5.76) that there is no singularity if we integrate (5.78), and this gives

$$\|\tilde{\sigma}_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\xi}) K(x_1,a,\bar{\xi})\|_{L^\infty_{\bar{\xi}} L^2_\tau L^q_{x_1}} \lesssim c^{1/2} |k_1|^{-3/2}.$$

Now we estimate I: we begin by setting

$$J(x_1,a,\bar{\xi}) = \chi_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\bar{\xi},\tau) \tilde{\eta}_{k_1}(ac^{1/2}) \int \frac{\sum_{|l|\le 1} \eta_{k+l}(\xi_1) e^{ix_1\xi_1}}{(2\tau_2(c,\tau)+c)\left(\frac{|xi|^2}{c}+\tau_2(c,\tau)\right)} d\xi_1. \tag{5.79}$$

One can check that

$$I = \int \mathrm{dy_1} \int \mathrm{e^{it\tau+i\langle \bar{x},\bar{\xi}\rangle}} \tilde{\sigma}_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\xi}) \widehat{\Box_k f(y_1,\cdot)}(\bar{\xi},\tau) \mathrm{J(x_1-y_1,a,\bar{\xi})} d\bar{\xi} d\tau.$$

Similar to the estimate of IV, by Young's, Hölder's and Minkowski's inequalities we obtain

$$\|I\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_1;\bar{x},t}} \lesssim c^{1/2} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_1;\bar{x},t}} \|\tilde{\sigma}_k(\bar{\xi}) J(x_1,a,\bar{\xi})\|_{L^\infty_{\bar{\xi}} L^2_\tau L^q_{x_1}}.$$

By integration by parts we get

$$|J(x_1,a,\xi)| \lesssim \frac{\chi_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\bar{\xi},\tau)\tilde{\eta}_{k_1}(ac^{1/2})}{(2\tau_2(c,\tau)+c)(1+|x_1|)} \sum_{j=0}^{1} \int_{|\xi_1-k_1|\leq 3} \left| \partial_{\xi_1}^j \left(\frac{|xi|^2}{c} + \tau_2(c,\tau) \right)^{-1} \right| \mathrm{d}\xi_1.$$

Therefore

$$\|\tilde{\sigma}_k(\bar{\xi})J(x_1,a,\bar{\xi})\|_{L^\infty_{\bar{\xi}}L^2_\tau L^q_{x_1}} \lesssim \sup_{|\xi-k|_\infty\leq 3} \sum_{j=0}^{1} \left\| \frac{\chi_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\bar{\xi},\tau)\tilde{\eta}_{k_1}(ac^{1/2})}{(2\tau_2(c,\tau)+c)(1+|x_1|)} \sum_{j=0}^{1} \left| \partial_{\xi_1}^j \left(\frac{|xi|^2}{c} + \tau_2(c,\tau) \right)^{-1} \right| \right\|_{L^2_\tau}, \tag{5.80}$$

and noticing that $|ac^{1/2}-k_1|\leq 20$ in the support set of $\tilde{\eta}_{k_1}(ac^{1/2})$, we can deduce that $2\tau_2(c,\tau)+c\gtrsim k_1^2$, and finally we obtain

$$\|\tilde{\sigma}_k(\bar{\xi})J(x_1,a,\bar{\xi})\|_{L^\infty_{\bar{\xi}}L^2_\tau L^q_{x_1}} \lesssim |k_1|^{-2}. \tag{5.81}$$

The proof for the case $k\lesssim -K(c)^2$ is similar. Furthermore, in the estimate of $\Box_k\psi_2$ and $\Box_k\psi_3$ we can check that there is no singularity in $(c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau)^{-1}$ for $|\xi_1|\geq c^{1/2}$ and $(\bar{\xi},\tau)\in\mathbb{E}_2\cup\mathbb{E}_3$. Hence, one can argue as in (5.79)-(5.81) and conclude. □

In the last Lemma we proved that $\Box_k\mathcal{A}_2 : L^{1,2}_{x_1,(x_j)_{j\neq 2},t} \to L^{2,\infty}_{x_1,(x_j)_{j\neq 2},t}$. In the next Lemma we show that $\Box_k\mathcal{A}_2 : L^{1,2}_{x_2,(x_j)_{j\neq 1},t} \to L^{2,\infty}_{x_1,(x_j)_{j\neq 2},t}$.

Lemma 5.19. *Let $q\geq 2$, $\frac{8}{d}<q\leq+\infty$, $k\in\mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k_i|\gtrsim c$ and $h,i\in\{1,\dots,d\}$ with $h\neq i$, then*

$$\|\Box_k\,\mathcal{A}_2 f\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_h;(x_j)_{j\neq h},t}} \lesssim c^{1+d/2}\,\langle k_i\rangle^{-3/2+1/q}\,\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}},\ 0<|t|\lesssim c^2. \tag{5.82}$$

Proof. It clearly suffices to consider the case $h=1$, $i=2$ and $k_2\gtrsim c$. The proof goes along the same line of that of (5.75), and we will only prove in detail the parts that are different. For convenience, we denote $\tilde{\xi}=(\xi_1,\xi_3,\dots,\xi_d)$. We introduce the following regions

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{F}_1 &= \{\tau-c^2\leq -c^2/4\},\\
\mathbb{F}_2 &= \left\{ -c^2/4\leq \tau-c^2\leq |\tilde{\xi}|^2\left(-\frac{|\tilde{\xi}|^2}{c^2}+1\right)\right\},\\
\mathbb{F}_3 &= \left\{ \tau-c^2\geq |\tilde{\xi}|^2\left(-\frac{|\tilde{\xi}|^2}{c^2}+1\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

and we make the following decomposition

$$c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{c}+\tau_2(c,\tau)\right)\left(-\frac{\xi_2}{c^{1/2}}+a\right)\left(\frac{\xi_2}{c^{1/2}}+a\right) & (\tilde{\xi},\tau)\in\mathbb{F}_1,\\ \left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{c}+\tau_2(c,\tau)\right)\left(-\frac{|\xi|^2}{c}+\tau_2(c,\tau)+c\right), & (\tilde{\xi},\tau)\in\mathbb{F}_2,\\ -\left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{c}-\frac{c}{2}\right)^2+\left(\frac{5}{4}c^2-\tau\right), & (\tilde{\xi},\tau)\in\mathbb{F}_3, \end{cases} \tag{5.83}$$

where $b=b(c,\tilde{\xi},\tau):=(\tau_2(c,\tau)-|\tilde{\xi}|^2/c+c)^{1/2}$, $\tau_2(c,\tau)=c\left(\sqrt{\frac{5}{4}-\frac{\tau}{c^2}}-\frac{1}{2}\right)$. We denote

$$\Box_k\,\tilde{\psi}_i = \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi}\frac{\chi_{\mathbb{F}_i}(\tilde{\xi},\tau)}{c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau}(\mathcal{F}_{t,x}\Box_k\,f)(\tau,\xi),\ i=1,2,3.$$

We estimate $\Box_k \tilde{\psi}_1$, since by definition of the regions $\mathbb{F}_i$ the estimate of the other terms follow more easily, like in the last Lemma.

Set $\tilde{\eta}_{k_2}(\xi_2) = \sum_{|l|\leq 10} \eta_{k_2+l}(\xi_2)$. First we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\chi_{\mathbb{F}_1}(\tilde{\xi},\tau)}{c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau} &= \frac{\chi_{\mathbb{F}_1}(\tilde{\xi},\tau)}{(2\tau_2(c,\tau)+c)\left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{c}+\tau_2(c,\tau)\right)} \\ &\quad + \frac{\chi_{\mathbb{F}_1}(\tilde{\xi},\tau)}{2b(2\tau_2(c,\tau)+c)}\left(\frac{1}{-\frac{\xi_1}{c^{1/2}}+b}+\frac{1}{\frac{\xi_1}{c^{1/2}}+b}\right) \\ &=: \sum_{j=1}^{3} B_j(c,\xi,\tau).\end{aligned}$$

According to the above decomposition, we can rewrite $\Box_k \psi_1$ as

$$\begin{aligned}&\Box_k \tilde{\psi}_1 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi}\chi_{\mathbb{F}_1}(\tilde{\xi},\tau)B_j(\xi,\tau)\tilde{\eta}_{k_2}(bc^{1/2})(\mathcal{F}_{t,x}\Box_k f)(\tau,\xi) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi}\frac{\chi_{\mathbb{F}_1}(\tilde{\xi},\tau)(1-\tilde{\eta}_{k_2}(bc^{1/2}))}{c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau}(\mathcal{F}_{t,x}\Box_k f)(\tau,\xi) \\ &=: I + II + III + IV.\end{aligned}$$

The estimates of II and III follow in the same way as for (5.75) by exchanging the roles of ξ_1 and ξ_2. Now we estimate I: set

$$m(\xi,\tau) = \frac{\chi_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\tilde{\xi},\tau)\tilde{\sigma}_{\bar{k}}(\tilde{\xi})\tilde{\eta}_{k_2}(bc^{1/2}}{2b(2\tau_2(c,\tau)+c)}, \tag{5.84}$$

and notice that $2\tau_2(c,\tau)+c \gtrsim k_2^2$ in the support set of m; hence, for sufficiently large c, we have

$$m(\xi,\tau) \lesssim \frac{\chi_{\mathbb{F}_1}\tilde{\sigma}_k(\xi)}{k_2^4},$$

and therefore

$$\|m\|_{L^1_{\xi_2}L^2_{\xi_3,\dots,\xi_d,\tau}L^{2q/(q-2)}_{\xi_1}} \lesssim |k_2|^{-2}. \tag{5.85}$$

Now, since by Young's, Hölder's and Minkowski's inequalities we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi,\tau}m(\xi,\tau)(\mathcal{F}_{t,x}\Box_k f)\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_1;\bar{x},t}} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi,\tau}m(\xi,\tau)(\mathcal{F}_{t,x}\Box_k f)\|_{L^q_{x_1}L^1_{\tilde{\xi},\tau}} \\ &\lesssim \|m(\xi,\tau)(\mathcal{F}_{t,x}\Box_k f)\|_{L^1_{\tilde{\xi},\tau}L^{q'}_{\xi_1}} \\ &\lesssim \|m\|_{L^1_{\xi_2}L^2_{\xi_3,\dots,\xi_d,\tau}L^{2q/(q-2)}_{\xi_1}}\|\mathcal{F}_{t,x}\Box_k f\|_{L^\infty_{\xi_2}L^2_{(\xi_j)_{j\neq 2},\tau}} \\ &\lesssim \|m\|_{L^1_{\xi_2}L^2_{\xi_3,\dots,\xi_d,\tau}L^{2q/(q-2)}_{\xi_1}}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_2}L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq 2},t}},\end{aligned} \tag{5.86}$$

we can deduce that

$$\|I\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_1;\bar{x},t}} \lesssim |k_2|^{-2}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq 2},t}}. \tag{5.87}$$

Now we estimate IV: set

$$m_k(\xi,\tau) := \frac{\chi_{\mathbb{F}_3}(\tilde{\xi},\tau)\tilde{\sigma}_k(\xi)(1-\tilde{\eta}_{k_2}(b))}{c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau},\ \mathcal{M}_k(f) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi} m_k(\xi,\tau)(\mathcal{F}_{t,x} f), \tag{5.88}$$

$$\tag{5.89}$$

and notice that $\mathcal{M}_k(f)$ is the solution of the inhomogeneous equation

$$-i\psi_t = A_{c,2}\psi - \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi} m_k(\xi,\tau)(c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau)(\mathcal{F}_{t,x} f).$$

Applying (5.56) (recall that $k_2 \gtrsim c$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{M}_k(f)\|_{L^\infty_{x,t}} &\lesssim c^{3/2}\|\mathcal{M}_k(f)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\\ &\lesssim c^{d/2} c|k_2|^{-3/2}\|f\|_{L^1_{x_2}L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq 2},t}}\\ &= c^{\frac{d}{2}+1}|k_2|^{-3/2}\|f\|_{L^1_{x_2}L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq 2},t}}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.90}$$

Next, for $(\xi,\tau)\in \mathrm{supp}(m_k)$,

$$|c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau| \overset{(\tilde{\xi},\tau)\in\mathbb{F}_3}{\gtrsim} c^{-1}\langle k\rangle^2 |k_2|. \tag{5.91}$$

By the definition of b we have that for $\frac{|\tilde{\xi}|}{c} \lesssim \frac{1}{2}(c+\tau_2(c,\tau))$

$$|c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau| \gtrsim (c+\tau_2(c,\tau))^{3/2},\ (\xi,\tau)\in\mathrm{supp}(m_k), \tag{5.92}$$

while for $\frac{|\tilde{\xi}|}{c} \gtrsim \frac{1}{2}(c+\tau_2(c,\tau))$ we can exploit the fact that $|k|_\infty = |k_2| \gtrsim c$ to obtain again that

$$|c^2+P_2(|\xi|)-\tau| \gtrsim (c+\tau_2(c,\tau))^{3/2},\ (\xi,\tau)\in\mathrm{supp}(m_k), \tag{5.93}$$

and by combining (5.88) with (5.92)-(5.93) we obtain

$$m_k(\xi,\tau) \lesssim c\frac{\chi_{\tau\geq\frac{3}{4}c^2}\tilde{\sigma}_k(\xi)}{(|k_2|^2+c+\tau_2(c,\tau))^{3/2}}, \tag{5.94}$$

which gives

$$\|m_k\|_{L^1_{\xi_2}L^2_{\xi_3,\dots,\xi_d,\tau}L^\infty_{\xi_1}} \lesssim c|k_2|^{-1}. \tag{5.95}$$

Therefore, from(5.88) and (5.86) we can deduce

$$\|\mathcal{M}_k(f)\|_{L^{2,\infty}_{x_1;\bar{x},t}} \lesssim c|k_2|^{-1}\|f\|_{L^1_{x_2}L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq 2},t}}. \tag{5.96}$$

For any $q\geq 2$ we obtain by interpolation between (5.90) and (5.96)

$$\|\mathcal{M}_k(f)\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_1;\bar{x},t}} \lesssim c^{1+d\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right)}|k_2|^{-3/2+1/q}\|f\|_{L^1_{x_2}L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq 2},t}}, \tag{5.97}$$

and replacing f by $\Box_k f$ in (5.97), we finally obtain

$$\|IV\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_1;\bar{x},t}} \lesssim c^{1+d\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right)}|k_2|^{-3/2+1/q}\|f\|_{L^1_{x_2}L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq 2},t}}.$$

□

If we collect (5.75) and (5.82), we can deduce

Lemma 5.20. *Let $q \geq 2$, $\frac{8}{d} < q \leq +\infty$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k_i| \gtrsim c$ and $h, i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, then*

$$\|\Box_k \, \partial_{x_i}^2 \mathcal{A}_2 f\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_h;(x_j)_{j\neq h},t}} \lesssim c^{1+d/2} \, \langle k_i \rangle^{1/2+1/q} \, \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}, \; 0 < |t| \lesssim c^2. \tag{5.98}$$

Remark 5.21. *In the general case $r > 2$ we have*

1. *Let $q \geq 2$, $\frac{4r}{d} < q \leq +\infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k| \gtrsim K(c)$, then*

$$\|\Box_k \, \mathcal{U}_r(t)\psi_0\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c^{d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)} \, \langle k \rangle^{1/q} \, \|\Box_k \psi_0\|_{L^2}, \; 0 < |t| \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}, \; \forall i = 1, \dots, d. \tag{5.99}$$

2. *Let $q \geq 2$, $\frac{4}{d} < q \leq +\infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k| \lesssim K(c)$, then*

$$\|\Box_k \, \mathcal{U}_r(t)\psi_0\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c \, \langle k \rangle^{1/q} \, \|\Box_k \psi_0\|_{L^2}, \; \forall i = 1, \dots, d. \tag{5.100}$$

3. *Let $q \geq 2$, $\frac{4r}{d} < q \leq +\infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k_i| \gtrsim K(c)^2$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, then*

$$\|\Box_k \, \mathcal{A}_r f\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c^{r-1+d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)} \, \langle k_i \rangle^{-r+1/2+1/q} \, \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}, \; 0 < |t| \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}. \tag{5.101}$$

4. *Let $q \geq 2$, $\frac{4r}{d} < q \leq +\infty$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k_i| \gtrsim c$ and $h, i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ with $h \neq i$, then*

$$\|\Box_k \, \mathcal{A}_r f\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_h;(x_j)_{j\neq h},t}} \lesssim c^{r-1+d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)} \, \langle k_i \rangle^{-r+1/2+1/q} \, \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}, \; 0 < |t| \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}. \tag{5.102}$$

5. *Let $q \geq 2$, $\frac{4r}{d} < q \leq +\infty$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k_i| \gtrsim c$ and $h, i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, then*

$$\|\Box_k \, \partial_{x_i}^{2(r-1)} \mathcal{A}_r f\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_h;(x_j)_{j\neq h},t}} \lesssim c^{r-1+d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)} \, \langle k_i \rangle^{r-3/2+1/q} \, \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}, \; 0 < |t| \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}. \tag{5.103}$$

5.2.5 Proof of the local well-posedness

In this subsection we use smoothing estimates, Strichartz estimates and maximal funtion estimates in order to prove Proposition 5.4. In order to do so, it seems necessary to estimate norms in which partial derivatives and anisotropic Lebesgue spaces have different directions, for example $\|\partial_{x_1}^2 \Box_k \, \mathcal{A} f\|_{L^{2,\infty}_{x_2;(x_j)_{j\neq 2},t}}$ with $|k|_\infty = |k_3|$. As usual, we show results for the case $r = 2$, and then we point out the modifications for the case $r > 2$.

Lemma 5.22. *Let $i, l, m \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $1 \leq p, q, \leq +\infty$. Assume that $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d)$ with $|k|_\infty = |k_m| \gtrsim c$, then*

$$\|\Box_k \, \partial_{x_l}^2 f\|_{L^{p,q}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim \|\Box_k \, \partial_{x_m}^2 f\|_{L^{p,q}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}. \tag{5.104}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Box_k\,\partial_{x_l}^2 f\|_{L^{p,q}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} &\lesssim \sum_{|h_l|_\infty,|h_m|_\infty\leq 1}\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi_l,\xi_m}\left(\left(\frac{\xi_l}{\xi_m}\right)^2\eta_{k_l+h_l}(\xi_l)\eta_{k_m+l_m}(\xi_m)\right)\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}\\ &\quad\times\|\Box_k\,\partial_{x_m}^2 f\|_{L^{p,q}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}\\ &\lesssim \|\Box_k\,\partial_{x_m}^2 f\|_{L^{p,q}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.23. *1. Let (a,b) be order-2 admissible, $i\in\{1,\dots,d\}$, $q\geq 2$, $\frac{8}{d}<q<+\infty$ and $k\in\mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k|_\infty\gtrsim K(c)$, then*

$$\|\Box_k\,\partial_{x_i}^\alpha\mathcal{A}_2 f\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}\lesssim c^{\frac{d}{2}+\frac{2}{a}}\langle|k|_\infty\rangle^{\alpha+1/q}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{a'}_t L^{b'}_x},\ \ 0<|t|\lesssim c^2. \tag{5.105}$$

2. Let (a,b) be Schrödinger admissible, $i\in\{1,\dots,d\}$, then

$$\|\Box_k\partial_{x_i}^2\mathcal{A}_2 f\|_{L^a_t L^b_x}\lesssim c^{1+4/p}\langle|k|_\infty\rangle^{1/2}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}},\ 0<|t|\lesssim c^2 \tag{5.106}$$

$$\|\Box_k\partial_{x_i}^2\mathcal{A}_2 f\|_{L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}\lesssim c^{1+4/p}\langle|k|_\infty\rangle^{1/2}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{a'}_t L^{b'}_x},\ 0<|t|\lesssim c^2. \tag{5.107}$$

Proof. Denote

$$\mathcal{L}_k(f,\psi)=\int\left(\Box_k\int\mathcal{U}_2(t-\tau)f(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau,\psi(\mathrm{t})\right)\mathrm{dt}.$$

By dualiy and the maximal function estimate (5.66)

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{L}_k(f,\psi)|&\leq\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{q'}_{x_1}L^1_{\bar{x},t}}\sum_{|l|_\infty\leq 1}\left\|\Box_{k+l}\int\mathcal{U}_2(t-\tau)\psi(t)\mathrm{dt}\right\|_{L^q_{x_1}L^\infty_{\bar{x},t}}\\ &\leq\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{q'}_{x_1}L^1_{\bar{x},t}}\sum_{|l|_\infty\leq 1}\int\|\Box_{k+l}\mathcal{U}_2(t-\tau)\psi(t)\mathrm{dt}\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{q}}_{\bar{\mathrm{x}}_1}\mathrm{L}^\infty_{\bar{\mathrm{x}},\mathrm{t}}}\\ &\overset{(5.66)}{\leq} c^{d/2}\langle k\rangle^{1/q}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{q'}_{x_1}L^1_{\bar{x},t}}\|\psi\|_{L^1_t L^2_x},\end{aligned}$$

so by duality we obtain

$$\left\|\Box_k\int\mathcal{U}_2(t-\tau)f(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\lesssim c^{d/2}\langle k\rangle^{1/q}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{q'}_{x_1}L^1_{\bar{x},t}}. \tag{5.108}$$

Therefore, by duality, Strichartz estimates (5.63) and (5.108)

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{L}_k(f,\psi)|&\leq\left\|\Box_k\int\mathcal{U}_2(-\tau)f(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right\|_{L^2_x}\left\|\Box_k\int\mathcal{U}_2(-t)\psi(t)\mathrm{dt}\right\|_{L^2_x}\\ &\lesssim c^{d/2}\langle k\rangle^{1/q}\|f\|_{L^{q'}_{x_1}L^1_{\bar{x},t}}\,c^{(1-1/r)2r/a}\|\Box_k\psi\|_{L^{a'}_t L^{b'}_x},\end{aligned} \tag{5.109}$$

which implies (5.105) for $q>2$ or $a>2$. In the case $a=q=2$, (5.105) can be directly deduced from (5.66). Furthermore, by (5.65), (5.54) and (5.56) we get

$$\mathcal{L}_k(\partial_{x_i}^2 f,\psi)\lesssim c^{1+4/p}\langle|k|_\infty\rangle^{1/2}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}\,c^{4/p}\|\psi\|_{L^{a'}_t L^{b'}_x}, \tag{5.110}$$

and we can deduce (5.106); by exchanging f and ψ, we get (5.107). □

We now summarize the results we will use in order to prove the local well-posedness of (5.8): we omit the proof, it follows from the results of the previous subsections, together with (5.104).

Proposition 5.24. *Let $d \geq 2$, $8/d \leq p < +\infty$, $2 \leq q < +\infty$, $q > 8/d$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k|_\infty = |k_i| \gtrsim c$, $h, i, l \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Then*

$$\left\| \Box_k D_{x_i}^{3/2} \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0 \right\|_{L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c \|\Box_k \psi_0\|_{L^2}, \tag{5.111}$$

$$\|\Box_k \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c^{d/2} \langle k \rangle^{1/q} \|\Box_k \psi_0\|_{L^2},\ 0 < |t| \lesssim c^2, \tag{5.112}$$

$$\|\Box_k \mathcal{U}_2(t)\phi_0\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x \cap L^{2+p}_x} \lesssim c^{\frac{4}{p(p+2)}} \|\Box_k \phi_0\|_{L^2},\ 0 < |t| \lesssim c^2 \tag{5.113}$$

$$\|\Box_k \partial^2_{x_l} \mathcal{A}_2 f\|_{L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}, \tag{5.114}$$

$$\|\Box_k \partial^2_{x_l} \mathcal{A}_2 f\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_h;(x_j)_{j\neq h},t}} \lesssim c^{1+d/2} \langle k_i \rangle^{1/2+1/q} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}},\ 0 < |t| \lesssim c^2, \tag{5.115}$$

$$\|\Box_k \partial^2_{x_l} \mathcal{A}_2 f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x \cap L^{2+p}_{t,x}} \lesssim c^{1+\frac{4}{p(p+2)}} \langle k_i \rangle^{1/2} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}},\ 0 < |t| \lesssim c^2 \tag{5.116}$$

$$\|\Box_k \partial^2_{x_l} \mathcal{A}_2 f\|_{L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c^{1+\frac{4}{p(p+2)}} \langle k_i \rangle^{1/2} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{(2+p)/(1+p)}_{t,x}},\ 0 < |t| \lesssim c^2, \tag{5.117}$$

$$\|\Box_k\ \partial^2_{x_l} \mathcal{A}_2 f\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c^{\frac{d}{2}+\frac{2}{p+2}+\frac{4}{p(p+2)}} \langle k_i \rangle^{2+1/q} \|\Box_k\, f\|_{L^{(2+p)/(1+p)}_{t,x}},\ \ 0 < |t| \lesssim c^2, \tag{5.118}$$

$$\|\Box_k\ \mathcal{A}_2 f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x \cap L^{2+p}_{t,x}} \lesssim c^{\frac{8}{p(p+2)}} \|\Box_k\, f\|_{L^{(2+p)/(1+p)}_{t,x}}. \tag{5.119}$$

For the case $r > 2$ we have the following results

Remark 5.25. *1. Let (a, b) be order-r admissible, $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $q \geq 2$, $\frac{4r}{d} < q < +\infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|k|_\infty \gtrsim K(c)$, then*

$$\|\Box_k\ \partial^\alpha_{x_i} \mathcal{A}_r f\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c^{d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)+\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)\frac{2r}{a}} \langle |k|_\infty \rangle^{\alpha+1/q} \|\Box_k\, f\|_{L^{a'}_t L^{b'}_x},\ \ 0 < |t| \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}. \tag{5.120}$$

2. Let (a, b) be Schrödinger admissible, $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, then

$$\|\Box_k \partial^{2(r-1)}_{x_i} \mathcal{A}_r f\|_{L^a_t L^b_x} \lesssim c^{r-1+2r/a} \langle |k|_\infty \rangle^{r-3/2} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}},\ \ 0 < |t| \lesssim c^{2(r-1)} \tag{5.121}$$

$$\|\Box_k \partial^{2(r-1)}_{x_i} \mathcal{A}_2 f\|_{L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c^{r-1+2r/a} \langle |k|_\infty \rangle^{r-3/2} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{a'}_t L^{b'}_x},\ \ 0 < |t| \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}. \tag{5.122}$$

Proposition 5.26. *Let $d \geq 2$, $4r/d \leq p < +\infty$, $2 \leq q < +\infty$, $q > 4r/d$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with*

$|k|_\infty = |k_i| \gtrsim c$, $h,i,l \in \{1,\dots,d\}$. *Then*

$$\Big\|\Box_k D_{x_i}^{r-1/2}\mathcal{U}_r(t)\psi_0\Big\|_{L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c^{r-1}\|\Box_k\psi_0\|_{L^2}, \tag{5.123}$$

$$\|\Box_k \mathcal{U}_r(t)\psi_0\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c^{d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)}\langle k\rangle^{1/q}\|\Box_k\psi_0\|_{L^2},\ 0<|t|\lesssim c^{2(r-1)}, \tag{5.124}$$

$$\|\Box_k\mathcal{U}_r(t)\phi_0\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x\cap L^{2(r-1)+p}_x} \lesssim c^{\frac{4(r-1)^2}{p(p+2(r-1))}}\|\Box_k\phi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)},\ 0<|t|\lesssim c^{2(r-1)} \tag{5.125}$$

$$\|\Box_k\partial_{x_l}^{2(r-1)}\mathcal{A}_r f\|_{L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim \|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}}, \tag{5.126}$$

$$\|\Box_k\,\partial_{x_l}^{2(r-1)}\mathcal{A}_r f\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_h;(x_j)_{j\neq h},t}} \lesssim c^{r-1+d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)}\langle k_i\rangle^{r-3/2+1/q}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}},\ 0<|t|\lesssim c^{2(r-1)}, \tag{5.127}$$

$$\|\Box_k\partial_{x_l}^{2(r-1)}\mathcal{A}_r f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x\cap L^{2(r-1)+p}_{t,x}} \lesssim c^{r-1+\frac{4(r-1)^2}{p(p+2(r-1))}}\langle k_i\rangle^{r-3/2}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{1,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}},\ 0<|t|\lesssim c^{2(r-1)} \tag{5.128}$$

$$\|\Box_k\partial_{x_l}^{2(r-1)}\mathcal{A}_r f\|_{L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c^{r-1+\frac{4(r-1)^2}{p(p+2(r-1))}}\langle k_i\rangle^{r-3/2}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{\frac{2(r-1)+p}{2r-1+p}}_{t,x}},\ 0<|t|\lesssim c^{2(r-1)}, \tag{5.129}$$

$$\|\Box_k\,\partial_{x_l}^{2(r-1)}\mathcal{A}_r f\|_{L^{q,\infty}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t}} \lesssim c^{\frac{d}{2}+\frac{2r}{p+2(r-1)}+\frac{4(r-1)^2}{p(p+2(r-1))}}\langle k_i\rangle^{2(r-1)+1/q}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{\frac{2(r-1)+p}{2r-1+p}}_{t,x}},$$
$$0<|t|\lesssim c^{2(r-1)}, \tag{5.130}$$

$$\|\Box_k\,\mathcal{A}_r f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x\cap L^{2(r-1)+p}_{t,x}} \lesssim c^{\frac{8(r-1)^2}{p(p+2(r-1))}}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^{\frac{2(r-1)+p}{2r-1+p}}_{t,x}}. \tag{5.131}$$

For convenience, we state some technical results related to nonlinear mapping estimates. For $i=1,\dots,d$ and $N\in\mathbb{N}$ we set

$$\mathbb{B}^{(N)}_{i,1} := \{(k^{(1)},\dots,k^{(N)})\in(\mathbb{Z}^d)^N : \max(|k_i^{(1)}|,\dots,|k_i^{(N)}|)\gtrsim c\},$$
$$\mathbb{B}^{(N)}_{i,2} := \{(k^{(1)},\dots,k^{(N)})\in(\mathbb{Z}^d)^N : \max(|k_i^{(1)}|,\dots,|k_i^{(N)}|)\lesssim c\}.$$

Lemma 5.27. *Let $s\geq 0$, $N\geq 3$, $i\in\{1,\dots,d\}$, then*

$$\Big\|\sum_{\mathbb{B}^{(N)}_{i,1}}\Box_{k^{(1)}}\psi_1\cdots\Box_{k^{(N)}}\psi_N\Big\|_{l^{1,s}_{\Box,i,c}(L^{1,2}_{x_1,(x_j)_{j\neq 2},t})}$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \|\psi_\alpha\|_{\cap_{h=1}^d l^{1,s}_{\Box,h,c}(L^{\infty,2}_{x_h,(x_j)_{j\neq h},t})}\prod_{\substack{\beta=1,\dots,d\\ \beta\neq\alpha}}\|\psi_\beta\|_{\cap_{h=1}^d l^1_\Box(L^{N-1\infty}_{x_h,(x_j)_{j\neq h},t})}. \tag{5.132}$$

Proof. See proof of Lemma 3.1 in [RWZ16]. □

Lemma 5.28. *Let $N\geq 1$ and $i\in\{1,\dots,d\}$, and assume that $1\leq p,q,p_1,q_1,\dots,p_N,q_N\leq+\infty$ satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{p_1}+\dots+\frac{1}{p_N},\ \frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{q_1}+\dots+\frac{1}{q_N},$$

then

$$\left\| \sum_{\mathbb{B}_{i,2}^{(N)}} \Box_{k^{(1)}} \psi_1 \cdots \Box_{k^{(N)}} \psi_N \right\|_{l^1_{\Box,i,c}(L_t^q L_x^p)} \lesssim c^d N^d \sum_{\mathbb{B}_{i,2}^{(N)}} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \|\Box_{k^{(i)}} \psi_i\|_{L_t^{q_i} L_x^{p_i}}. \tag{5.133}$$

Proof. See proof of Lemma 3.3 in [RWZ16]. □

Lemma 5.29. *Let $s \geq 0$, $N \geq 1$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, and assume that $1 \leq p, q, p_1, q_1, \ldots, p_N, q_N \leq +\infty$ satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{p_N}, \ \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{q_N},$$

then

$$\|\psi_1 \cdots \psi_N\|_{l^{1,s}_{\Box}(L_t^p L_x^q)} \lesssim N^d \prod_{i=1}^{N} \|\psi_i\|_{l^{1,s}_{\Box}(L_t^{p_i} L_x^{q_i})}. \tag{5.134}$$

Proof. See proof of Lemma 8.2 in [WH07]. □

Proof (Proposition 5.4, part (i), case $r = 2$). Since the nonlinearity contains terms of the form $(\partial_x^\alpha \psi)^\beta$ with $|\alpha| \leq 2$, $|\beta| \geq m + 1$, we introduce the space

$$D := \{\psi \in \mathcal{S}' : \|\psi\|_D := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \sum_{l=1}^{3} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \rho_l^{(i)}(\partial_{x_j}^\alpha \psi) \lesssim c^{-\delta_0}\},$$

where

$$\rho_1^{(i)}(\psi) := \|\psi\|_{l^{1,s-r+1/2+1/m}_{\Box,i,c}(L^{\infty,2}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t})},$$
$$\rho_2^{(i)}(\psi) := \|\psi\|_{l^{1,s}_{\Box}(L^{m,\infty}_{x_i;(x_j)_{j\neq i},t})},$$
$$\rho_3^{(i)}(\psi) := \|\psi\|_{l^{1,s+1/m}_{\Box}(L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L^{2+m}_{t,x})}.$$

and for some $\delta_0 > 0$ that we will choose later.

Since $\|\psi\|_D = \|\bar{\psi}\|_D$, without loss of generality we can assume that the nonlinearity contain only terms of the form

$$\psi^{\beta_0} (\partial_x^{\alpha_1} \psi)^{\beta_1} (\partial_x^{\alpha_2} \psi)^{\beta_2} =: \Psi_1 \ldots \Psi_R,$$

where $R := |\beta| = \beta_0 + |\beta_1| + |\beta_2|$, $|\alpha_i| = i$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

To prove the first part of Proposition 5.4 we will show that the map

$$\mathcal{F} : D \to D,$$
$$\psi(t) \mapsto \mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0 + i\mathcal{A}_2 P((\partial_x^\alpha \psi)_{|\alpha|\leq 2}, (\partial_x^\alpha \bar{\psi})_{|\alpha|\leq 2})$$

is a contraction mapping.

First, we have that by Proposition 5.24

$$\|\mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0\|_D \lesssim c^{\frac{d}{2}+\frac{4}{m(m+2)}}\|\psi_0\|_{M^{s+3+1/m}_{2,1}}.$$

Now, for the estimate of $\rho_1^{(i)}(\mathcal{A}_2\partial^\alpha_{x_j}F)$ $(i,j=1,\dots,d)$ it suffices to estimate $\rho_1^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}_2\partial^\alpha_{x_1}F)$: indeed, by (5.104)

$$\rho_1^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}_2\partial^\alpha_{x_2}F)\lesssim\rho_1^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}_2\partial^\alpha_{x_1}F).$$

Using frequency-uniform decomposition, we write

$$\Box_k(\Psi_1\cdots\Psi_R)=\sum_{\mathbb{B}^{(R)}_{1,1}}\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\,\Psi_1\cdots\Box_{k^{(R)}}\,\Psi_R)+\sum_{\mathbb{B}^{(R)}_{1,2}}\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\,\Psi_1\cdots\Box_{k^{(R)}}\,\Psi_R).$$

By exploiting (5.114) and (5.132) for the first sum and (5.117) and (5.134) for the second sum we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}_2\,\partial^\alpha_{x_1}(\Psi_1\cdots\Psi_R))&\lesssim\left\|\sum_{\mathbb{B}^{(R)}_{1,1}}\Box_{k^{(1)}}\,\Psi_1\cdots\Box_{k^{(R)}}\,\Psi_R\right\|_{l^{1,s-r+1/2+1/m}_{\Box,1,c}(L^{1,2}_{x_1,(x_j)_{j\neq1},t})}\\&\quad+c^{1+\frac{4}{R^2-1}}\left\|\sum_{\mathbb{B}^{(R)}_{1,1}}\Box_{k^{(1)}}\,\Psi_1\cdots\Box_{k^{(R)}}\,\Psi_R\right\|_{l^1_{\Box,1,c}(L^{\frac{R+1}{R}}_{t,x})}\\&\lesssim c^{1+\frac{4}{R^2-1}+d}\,R^d\|\psi\|_D^R.\end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate $\rho_2^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}_2(\Psi_1\cdots\Psi_R))$ and $\rho_3^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}_2(\Psi_1\cdots\Psi_R))$. By (5.119) and (5.118) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=2}^3\rho_j^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}_2(\Psi_1\cdots\Psi_R))&\lesssim c^{\frac{d}{2}+\frac{2}{m+2}+\frac{8}{m(m+2)}}\|\Psi_1\cdots\Psi_R\|_{l^{1,s+1/m}(L^{\frac{2+m}{1+m}}_{t,x})}\\&\overset{(5.134)}{\lesssim} c^{\frac{d}{2}+\frac{2}{m+2}+\frac{8}{m(m+2)}}R^d\|\psi\|_D^R.\end{aligned}$$

Then we consider $\rho_2^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}_2\,\partial^2_{x_1}(\Psi_1\cdots\Psi_R))$: we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_2^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}_2\,\partial^2_{x_1}(\Psi_1\cdots\Psi_R))&\lesssim\left(\sum_{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d\\|k|_\infty\gtrsim c}}+\sum_{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d\\|k|_\infty\lesssim c}}\right)\|\Box_k\,\mathcal{A}_2\,\partial^2_{x_1}(\Psi_1\cdots\Psi_R)\|_{L^{m,\infty}_{x_1;(x_j)_{j\neq1},t}}\\&=:III+IV.\end{aligned}$$

Again by (5.118) and (5.134) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}IV&\lesssim c^{\frac{d}{2}+\frac{2}{m+2}+\frac{4}{m(m+2)}}\|\Psi_1\cdots\Psi_R\|_{l^{1,s+1/m}(L^{\frac{2+m}{1+m}}_{t,x})}\\&\lesssim c^{\frac{d}{2}+\frac{2}{m+2}+\frac{4}{m(m+2)}}R^d\|\psi\|_D^R.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} III &\lesssim \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_1^d} + \cdots + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_d^d} \right) \|\Box_k \, \mathcal{A}_2 \, \partial_{x_1}^2 (\Psi_1 \cdots \Psi_R)\|_{L^{m,\infty}_{x_1;(x_j)_{j\neq 1},t}} \\ &=: G_1(\psi) + \cdots G_d(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

Using the frequency-uniform decomposition, (5.115), (5.132) and (5.133) we have that

$$G_i(\psi) \lesssim c^{1+3\frac{d}{2}} R^d \|\psi\|_D^R, \ i = 1, \dots, d,$$

therefore

$$III \lesssim c^{1+3\frac{d}{2}} R^d \|\psi\|_D^R,$$

Finally, we estimate $\rho_3^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}_2 \, \partial_{x_i}^2 (\Psi_1 \cdots \Psi_R))$. It suffices to consider the case $i = 1$: by (5.65) and (5.54) we have

$$\|\Box_k \, \mathcal{A}_2 \partial_{x_1}^2 f\|_{L_t^\infty L^2 \cap L_{t,x}^{2+m}} \lesssim c^{\frac{4}{m(m+2)}} \langle k_1 \rangle^2 \, \|\Box_k \, f\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2+m}{1+m}}},$$

and by (5.116) and (5.105) we obtain

$$\rho_3^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}_2 \, \partial_{x_i}^2 (\Psi_1 \cdots \Psi_R)) \lesssim c^{1+\frac{8}{m(m+2)}+\frac{d}{2}} R^d \|\psi\|_D^R.$$

Collecting all estimates, we have

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\psi)\|_D \lesssim c^{\frac{d}{2}+\frac{4}{m(m+2)}} \|\psi_0\|_{M_{2,1}^{s+3+1/m}} + c^{1+\frac{3d}{2}+\frac{2}{m+2}+\frac{8}{m(m+2)}} \sum_{m+1\leq R<M} c^{\frac{4}{R^2-1}} R^d \|\psi\|_D^R. \quad (5.135)$$

and for $c \geq 1$ sufficiently large we can conclude by a standard contraction mapping argument (see for example the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [CW90]), by choosing

$$\delta > \delta_0(d, m, 2) := \max\left(\frac{d}{2} + \frac{4}{m(m+2)}, \frac{1}{m} + \frac{3d}{2m} + \frac{2}{m(m+2)} + \frac{8}{m^2(m+2)} + \frac{4}{m^3} \right). \quad (5.136)$$

□

Remark 5.30. *By arguing in the same way for the general case $r > 2$ we end up with the condition*

$$\delta > \delta_0(d, m, r) := \max\left(d\left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) + \frac{4r}{m^2(m+2(r-1))}, \frac{r-1}{m} + \frac{3d}{2m} + \frac{2rm + 8(r-1)^2}{m^2(m+2(r-1))} + \frac{4(r-1)^2}{m^3} \right). \quad (5.137)$$

Remark 5.31. *The quantity $\delta_0(d, l, r)$ defined in Corollary 5.5 is actually the right-hand side of* (5.137) *with m replaced by $2(l-1)$.*

In order to prove the second part of Proposition 5.4 we will exploit another contraction mapping argument, like in the proof of Theorem 1 in [HHW07] (which in turn is based on the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [KPV93]). In the following, we denote by a $(Q_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ a fixed family of nonoverlapping cubes of size R such that $\mathbb{R}^d = \bigcup_\alpha Q_\alpha$.

Lemma 5.32. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $r \geq 2$, then the following estimates hold.*

- (Local smoothing, homogeneous case)

$$\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left(\int_{Q_\alpha}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|D_x^{r-1/2}\mathcal{U}_r(t)\psi_0(x)|^2\mathrm{dtdx}\right)^{1/2}\lesssim c^{r-1}R^{1/2}\|\psi_0\|_{L^2}, \tag{5.138}$$

$$\left\|D_x^r\int_I\mathcal{U}_r(t-\tau)\psi(\tau,\cdot)\mathrm{d}\tau\right\|_2\lesssim c^{r-1}R^{1/2}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left(\int_{Q_\alpha}\int_I|\psi(t,x)|^2\mathrm{dtdx}\right)^{1/2}; \tag{5.139}$$

- (Local smoothing, inhomogeneous case) *the solution of the inhomogeneous Cauchy problem*

$$-i\psi_t = A_{c,r}\psi + f(t,x),\ t\in I, x\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

such that $\psi_0\equiv 0$ satisfies

$$\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\|D_x^{2(r-1)}\psi\|_{L_x^2(Q_\alpha);L_t^2(I)}\lesssim c^{2(r-1)}\,RT^{1/(4d)}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\|f\|_{L_x^2(Q_\alpha);L_t^2(I)} \tag{5.140}$$

- (Maximal function estimate) *For any $s > d+\frac{1}{2}$ we have*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\sup_{|t|\lesssim c^{2(r-1)}}|\mathcal{U}_r(t)\psi_0(x)|^2\mathrm{dx}\right)^{1/2}\lesssim c^{d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)}\|\psi_0\|_{H^s}. \tag{5.141}$$

Proof (sketch). The proof in the case $r=2$ can be obtained simply by rescaling Lemma 3, Lemma 4, Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 of [HHW07]. The proof in the case $r>2$ can be obtained by considering the operator $\mathcal{U}_r(t)$ and $\mathcal{A}_r(t)$ instead of $\mathcal{U}_2(t)$ and $\mathcal{A}_2(t)$. □

Proof (Proposition 5.4, part (ii), case $r=2$). We will prove the result only for $s=s_0$, since the general case follows from commutator estimates. For simplicity, we only deal with the case

$$P((\partial_x^\alpha\psi)_{|\alpha|\leq 2},(\partial_x^\alpha\bar{\psi})_{|\alpha|\leq 2}) = \partial_{x_j}^2\psi\,\partial_{x_k}^2\psi\,\partial_{x_m}^2\psi.$$

More precisely, we fix a positive constant $\nu<1/3$, and we define the space Z_I^δ of all function $\phi: I\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{C}$ such that the following three conditions hold

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(I)H^{s_0}}\leq c^{-\delta}, \tag{5.142}$$

$$\sum_{|\beta|=s_0+1/2}\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left(\int_I\int_{Q_\alpha}|\partial_x^\beta\phi(t,x)|^2\mathrm{dxdt}\right)^{1/2}\leq T^\nu, \tag{5.143}$$

$$\left(\sup_{t\in I}\sup_{x\in Q_\alpha}|D_x^2\phi(t,x)|^2\right)^{1/2}\leq c^{-\delta}. \tag{5.144}$$

We want to show that the map

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{F}: Z_I^\delta\to Z_I^\delta,\\ &\quad\psi(t)\mapsto\mathcal{U}_2(t)\psi_0+i\mathcal{A}_2P((\partial_x^\alpha\psi)_{|\alpha|\leq 2},(\partial_x^\alpha\bar{\psi})_{|\alpha|\leq 2})\end{aligned}$$

is a contraction mapping.

We can observe that for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|\beta| = s_0 - \frac{3}{2}$

$$\partial_x^\beta(\partial_{x_j}^2\psi\,\partial_{x_k}^2\psi\,\partial_{x_m}^2\psi) = \partial_x^\beta\partial_{x_j}^2\psi\,\partial_{x_k}^2\psi\,\partial_{x_m}^2\psi + \partial_{x_j}^2\psi\,\partial_x^\beta\partial_{x_k}^2\psi\,\partial_{x_m}^2\psi + \partial_{x_j}^2\psi\,\partial_{x_k}^2\psi\,\partial_x^\beta\partial_{x_m}^2\psi + R((\partial_x^\gamma\psi)_{2\le|\gamma|\le s_0-1/2}).$$

Now, for any $\psi \in Z_I^\delta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{|\beta|=s_0+1/2}\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left(\int_I\int_{Q_\alpha}|\partial_x^\beta\psi(t,x)|^2\mathrm{dxdt}\right)^{1/2}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|\beta|=s_0+1/2}\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left(\int_I\int_{Q_\alpha}|\mathcal{U}_2(t)\partial_x^\beta\psi_0(x)|^2\mathrm{dxdt}\right)^{1/2}\\
&\quad+\sum_{|\beta|=s_0+1/2}\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left(\int_I\int_{Q_\alpha}\left|\int_0^t\mathcal{U}_2(t-\tau)\partial_x^\beta(\partial_{x_j}^2\psi\,\partial_{x_k}^2\psi\,\partial_{x_m}^2\psi)\mathrm{d}\tau\right|^2\mathrm{dxdt}\right)^{1/2}\\
&\overset{(5.138),(5.139)}{\lesssim} cT^{1/3}\|\psi_0\|_{H^{s_0}}+c^2T^{1/(4d)}\sum_{|\beta_0|=s_0-3/2}\sum_{j,k,m=1}^d\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\|\partial_x^\beta\partial_{x_j}^2\psi\,\partial_{x_k}^2\psi\,\partial_{x_m}^2\psi\|_{L_x^2(Q_\alpha;L_t^2(I))}\\
&\quad+c^2\int_0^T\|D_x^{1/2}R((\partial_x^\gamma\psi)_{2\le|\gamma|\le s_0-1/2})\|_{L^2}\mathrm{dt}\\
&\lesssim cT^{1/3}\|\psi_0\|_{H^{s_0}}+c^2T^{1/(4d)}\sum_{|\beta_0|=s_0+1/2}\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left(\int_I\int_{Q_\alpha}|\partial_x^\beta\psi|^2\mathrm{dxdt}\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sup_{t\in I}\sup_{x\in Q_\alpha}|D_x^2\psi|^2\right)\\
&\quad+c^2T\sup_{t\in I}\|\psi\|_{H^{s_0}}^3\\
&\lesssim c^{1-\delta}T^{1/3}+c^2T^{1/(4d)}T^\nu c^{-2\delta}+c^2Tc^{-3\delta}\\
&\le T^\nu,
\end{aligned}\tag{5.145}$$

where in the last inequality we have chosen $\delta \gg 1$ such that

$$c^{1-\delta}T^{-\nu+1/3}+c^{2(1-\delta)}T^{1/(4d)}+c^{2-3\delta}T^{1-\nu}\lesssim 1,\ \ T=\mathcal{O}(c^{2(r-1)}).\tag{5.146}$$

Next, we have that for any $\psi \in Z_I^\delta$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(I)H^{s_0}} \leq & \|\psi_0\|_{H^{s_0}} + \sup_{t\in I}\int_0^t \|\mathcal{U}_2(t-\tau)d^2_{x_j}\psi(\tau)\,\partial^2_{x_k}\psi(\tau)\,\partial^2_{x_m}\psi(\tau)\|_{L^2}\mathrm{d}\tau \\
& + \sup_{t\in I}\left\|D_x^{3/2}\int_0^t \mathcal{U}_2(t-\tau)D_x^{s_0-3/2}d^2_{x_j}\psi(\tau)\,\partial^2_{x_k}\psi(\tau)\,\partial^2_{x_m}\psi(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right\|_{L^2} \\
\overset{(5.139)}{\lesssim} & \|\psi_0\|_{H^{s_0}} + T\sup_{t\in I}\|\partial^2_{x_j}\psi(t)\,\partial^2_{x_k}\psi(t)\,\partial^2_{x_m}\psi(t)\|_{L^2} \\
& + c^{r-1}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left(\int_{Q_\alpha}\int_I |D_x^{s_0-3/2}(\partial^2_{x_j}\psi(t)\,\partial^2_{x_k}\psi(t)\,\partial^2_{x_m}\psi(t))|^2\mathrm{dtdx}\right)^{1/2} \\
\lesssim & \|\psi_0\|_{H^{s_0}} + T\sup_{t\in I}\|\psi\|^3_{H^{\frac{d}{3}+2}} \\
& + c\sum_{j,k,m=1}^d\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left(\int_{Q_\alpha}\int_I |D_x^{s_0-3/2}\partial^2_{x_j}\psi(t)\,\partial^2_{x_k}\psi(t)\,\partial^2_{x_m}\psi(t)|^2\mathrm{dtdx}\right)^{1/2} \\
& + c\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left(\int_{Q_\alpha}\int_I |R(D_x^\gamma\psi)_{2\leq|\gamma|\leq s_0-1/2}|^2\mathrm{dtdx}\right)^{1/2} \\
\lesssim & \|\psi_0\|_{H^{s_0}} + T\|\psi\|^3_{L^\infty(I)H^{\frac{d}{3}+2}} \\
& + c\sum_{|\beta|=s_0+1/2}\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left(\int_I\int_{Q_\alpha}|\partial_x^\beta\psi|^2\mathrm{dxdt}\right)^{1/2}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sup_{t\in I}\sup_{x\in Q_\alpha}|D_x^2\psi|^2 \\
& + cT^{1/2}\|\psi\|^3_{L^\infty(I)H^{s_0}} \\
\lesssim & \|\psi_0\|_{H^{s_0}} + (T+cT^{1/2})c^{-3\delta} + cT^\nu c^{-2\delta} \\
\lesssim & c^{-\delta},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.147}$$

where in the last inequality we have chosen $\delta \gg 1$ such that

$$(T+cT^{1/2})c^{-3\delta} + T^\nu c^{1-2\delta} \lesssim \frac{1}{2},\ \ T = \mathcal{O}(c^{2(r-1)}). \tag{5.148}$$

Then, we have that for any $\psi \in Z_I^\delta$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sup_{t\in I}\sup_{x\in Q_\alpha}|D_x^2\psi(t,x)|^2\right)^{1/2} \\
\overset{T\lesssim c^{2(r-1)},(5.141)}{\lesssim} & \|\psi_0\|_{H^{s_0}} + c^{d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)}T\|\psi\|^3_{L^\infty(I)H^{s_0}} \\
\lesssim & \|\psi_0\|_{H^{s_0}} + c^{d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)}Tc^{-3\delta} \\
\lesssim & c^{-\delta},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.149}$$

where in the last inequality we have chosen $\delta \gg 1$ such that

$$c^{d\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)-2\delta}T \lesssim 1,\ \ T = \mathcal{O}(c^{2(r-1)}). \tag{5.150}$$

Finally, if for any $\phi \in Z_I^\delta$ we set $\Lambda_T(\phi)$ as the maximum between the three following quantities,

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sup_{t\in I} \sup_{x\in Q_\alpha} |D_x^2\psi(t,x)|^2,$$

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(I)H^{s_0}},$$

$$c^{-\delta}T^{-\nu} \sum_{|\beta|=s_0+1/2} \sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \left(\int_I\int_{Q_\alpha} |\partial_x^\beta\phi(t,x)|^2 \mathrm{dxdt}\right)^{1/2},$$

we can observe that for any $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in Z_I^\delta$

$$\Lambda_T(\mathcal{F}(\phi_1) - \mathcal{F}(\phi_2)) \leq KT^\nu c^{-2\delta}\Lambda_T(\phi_1 - \phi_2),$$

where K is a positive constant which does not depend on c. Hence, if we choose $\delta \gg 1$ such that (5.148), (5.146), (5.150) and

$$KT^\nu c^{-2\delta} \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{5.151}$$

hold true, we can conclude. □

6 Long time approximation of radiation solutions

Now we want to exploit the result of the previous section in order to deduce some consequences about the dynamics of the NLKG equation (2.3) on $M = \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, in the nonrelativistic limit.

Consider the *simplified system*, that is the Hamiltonian H_r in the notations of Theorem 4.2, where we neglect the remainder:

$$H_{simp} := h_0 + \epsilon(h_1 + \langle F_1\rangle) + \sum_{j=2}^{r} \epsilon^j(h_j + Z_j).$$

We recall that in the case of the NLKG the simplified system is actually the NLS (given by $h_0 + \epsilon(h_1 + \langle F_1\rangle)$), plus higher-order normalized corrections. Now let ψ_r be a solution of

$$-i\,\dot{\psi}_r \;=\; X_{H_{simp}}(\psi_r), \tag{6.1}$$

then $\psi_a(t,x) := \mathcal{T}^{(r)}(\psi_r(c^2t,x))$ solves

$$\dot{\psi}_a = ic\langle\nabla\rangle_c\psi_a + \frac{\lambda}{2l}\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\right)^{1/2}\left[\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\right)^{1/2}\frac{\psi_a + \bar{\psi}_a}{\sqrt{2}}\right]^{2l-1} - \frac{1}{c^{2r}}X_{\mathcal{T}^{(r)}*\mathcal{R}^{(r)}}(\psi_a, \bar{\psi}_a), \tag{6.2}$$

that is, the NLKG plus a remainder of order c^{-2r} (in the following we will refer to equation (6.2) as *approximate equation*, and to ψ_a as the *approximate solution* of the original NLKG). We point out that the original NLKG and the approximate equation differ only by a remainder of order c^{-2r}, which is evaluated on the approximate solution. This fact is extremely important: indeed, if one can prove the smoothness of the approximate solution (which often is easier to check than the smoothness of the solution of the original equation), then the contribution of the remainder may be considered small in the nonrelativistic limit. This property is rather general, and has

been already applied in the framework of normal form theory (see for example [BCP02]).

Now let ψ be a solution of the NLKG equation (2.3) with initial datum ψ_0, and let $\delta := \psi - \psi_a$ be the error between the solution of the approximate equation and the original one. One can check that δ fulfills

$$\dot{\delta} = ic\langle\nabla\rangle_c\delta + [P(\psi_a+\delta, \bar{\psi}_a+\bar{\delta}) - P(\psi_a, \bar{\psi}_a)] + \frac{1}{c^{2r}} X_{\mathcal{T}^{(r)}*\mathcal{R}^{(r)}}(\psi_a(t), \bar{\psi}_a(t)),$$

where

$$P(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = \frac{\lambda}{2l}\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\right)^{1/2}\left[\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\right)^{1/2}\frac{\psi+\bar{\psi}}{\sqrt{2}}\right]^{2l-1}. \tag{6.3}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\delta} &= i\,c\langle\nabla\rangle_c\delta + dP(\psi_a(t))\delta + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^{2r}}\right);\\ \delta(t) &= e^{itc\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\delta_0 + \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)c\langle\nabla\rangle_c} dP(\psi_a(s))\delta(s)\mathrm{ds} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}^{2\mathrm{r}}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

By applying Gronwall inequality to (6.4) we can obtain an approximation result which is valid only locally uniformly in time, namely up to times of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$ (see Theorem 2.3 of [Pas17]).

Observe that the evolution of the error δ between the approximate solution ψ_a, namely the solution of (6.2), and the original solution ψ of (2.3) is described by

$$\dot{\delta}(t) = i\,c\langle\nabla\rangle_c\delta(t) + dP(\psi_a(t))\delta(t); \tag{6.5}$$

$$\delta(t) = e^{itc\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\delta_0 + \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)c\langle\nabla\rangle_c} dP(\psi_a(s))\delta(s)\mathrm{ds}, \tag{6.6}$$

up to a remainder which is small, if we assume the smoothness of ψ_a.

Now we study the evolution of the error for long (that means, c-dependent) time intervals.

We pursue such a program by a perturbative argument, considering a small radiation solution $\psi_r = \eta_{rad,r}$ of the normalized system (6.1) that exists up to times of order $\mathcal{O}(c^{2(r-1)})$, $r > 1$.

As an application of Proposition 3.1, we consider the following case. Fix $r > 1$, let $\sigma > 0$ and let $\psi_r = \eta_{rad}$ be a radiation solution of (6.1), namely such that

$$\eta_{rad,0} := \eta_{rad}(0) \in H^{k+k_0+\sigma+d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d), \tag{6.7}$$

where $k_0 > 0$ and $k \gg 1$ are the ones in Theorem 4.2.

Let $\delta(t)$ be a solution of (6.5); then by Duhamel formula

$$\delta(t) := \mathcal{U}(t,0)\delta_0 = e^{itc\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\delta_0 + \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)c\langle\nabla\rangle_c}\mathrm{dP}(\psi_\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{s}))\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{s},0)\delta_0\mathrm{ds}. \tag{6.8}$$

Now fix $T \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}$; we want to estimate the local-in-time norm in the space $L^\infty([0,T])H^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of the error $\delta(t)$.

By (3.2) we can estimate the first term. We can estimate the second term by (3.3): hence for any (p,q) Schrödinger-admissible exponents

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)c\langle\nabla\rangle_c} dP(\psi_a(s))\delta(s)\mathrm{ds} \right\|_{L_t^\infty([0,T])H_x^k} \\
&\lesssim c^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle\nabla\rangle_c^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{dP}(\psi_\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{t}))\delta(\mathrm{t})\|_{\mathrm{L_t^{p'}([0,T])W_x^{k,q'}}} \\
&\lesssim c^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle\nabla\rangle_c^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{dP}(\eta_\mathrm{rad}(\mathrm{c^2t}))\delta(\mathrm{t})\|_{\mathrm{L_t^{p'}([0,T])W_x^{k,q'}}} \\
&\quad + c^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle\nabla\rangle_c^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{2}} [\mathrm{dP}(\psi_\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{t})) - \mathrm{dP}(\eta_\mathrm{rad}(\mathrm{c^2t}))]\delta(\mathrm{t})\|_{\mathrm{L_t^{p'}([0,T])W_x^{k,q'}}} \\
&=: I_p + II_p,
\end{aligned}
$$

but recalling (6.3) one has that

$$
I_p \lesssim \frac{|\lambda|}{2^{l-1/2}(2l)(2l-1)} c^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \langle\nabla\rangle_c^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}} \left[\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c} \right)^{1/2} (\eta_{rad} + \bar{\eta}_{rad}) \right]^{2(l-1)} \delta(t) \right\|_{L_t^{p'}([0,T])W_x^{k,q'}}.
$$

Now fix a real number $\rho \in]0,1[$, and choose

$$
p = 2 + \rho, \tag{6.9}
$$

$$
q = \frac{2dp}{dp-4} = \frac{4d+2d\rho}{2d+d\rho-4} = 2 + \frac{8}{2d-4+d\rho}, \tag{6.10}
$$

we get (since $\|(c/\langle\nabla\rangle_c)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^{q'}\to L^{q'}} \leq 1$)

$$
I_2 \leq \frac{|\lambda|}{2^{l-1/2}(2l)(2l-1)} \left\| \left[\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c} \right)^{1/2} (\eta_{rad}(c^2t) + \bar{\eta}_{rad}(c^2t)) \right]^{2(l-1)} \delta(t) \right\|_{L_t^{2-\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}}([0,T])W_x^{k,q'}}.
$$

Now, since by Hölder inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \left[\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c} \right)^{1/2} (\eta_{rad}(c^2t) + \bar{\eta}_{rad}(c^2t)) \right]^{2(l-1)} \delta(t) \right\|_{L_t^{2-\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}}([0,T])W_x^{k,q'}} \\
&\leq \left\| \left[\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c} \right)^{1/2} (\eta_{rad}(c^2t) + \bar{\eta}_{rad}(c^2t)) \right]^{2(l-1)} \right\|_{L_t^{2-\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}}([0,T])W_x^{k,d(1+\rho/2)}} \|\delta(t)\|_{L_t^\infty([0,T])H_x^k},
\end{aligned}
$$

and by Sobolev product theorem (recall that $l \geq 2$, and that $k \gg 1$) we can deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \left[\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c} \right)^{1/2} (\eta_{rad}(c^2t) + \bar{\eta}_{rad}(c^2t)) \right]^{2(l-1)} \right\|_{L_t^{2-\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}}([0,T])W_x^{k,d(1+\rho/2)}} \\
&\leq \left[\int_0^T \left\| \left[\left(\frac{c}{\langle\nabla\rangle_c} \right)^{1/2} (\eta_{rad}(c^2t) + \bar{\eta}_{rad}(c^2t)) \right] \right\|_{W_x^{k,d(1+\rho/2)}}^{2(l-1)\left(2-\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}\right)} \mathrm{dt} \right]^{\frac{1}{\left(2-\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}\right)}} \\
&\leq \left\| \eta_{rad}(c^2t) + \bar{\eta}_{rad}(c^2t) \right\|_{L_t^{2(l-1)\left(2-\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}\right)}([0,T])W_x^{k,d(1+\rho/2)}}^{2(l-1)},
\end{aligned}
$$

but since by Proposition 5.3 we have that for any $\sigma > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} L_t^{2(l-1)\left(2-\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}\right)}([0,T])W_x^{k,d(1+\rho/2)} &\supseteq L_t^{2(l-1)\left(2-\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}\right)}([0,T])M^k_{d(1+\rho/2),1,x} \\ &\supseteq L_t^{2(l-1)\left(2-\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}\right)}([0,T])M^k_{2,1,x} \\ &\supseteq L_t^{2(l-1)\left(2-\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}\right)}([0,T])H_x^{k+\sigma+d/2} \\ &\supseteq L_t^{\infty}([0,T])H_x^{k+\sigma+d/2}, \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\|\eta_{rad}\|^{2(l-1)}_{L_t^{2(l-1)\left(2-\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}\right)}([0,T])W_x^{k,d(1+\rho/2)}} \lesssim T^{\frac{1+\rho}{2+\rho}}\|\eta_{rad}\|^{2(l-1)}_{L_t^{\infty}([0,T])H_x^{k+\sigma+d/2}}, \tag{6.11}$$

but by Corollary 5.5 the right-hand side of (6.11) is finite and does not depend on $c \geq 1$ for

$$\|\eta_{rad,0}\|_{H_x^{k+k_0+\sigma+d/2}} \lesssim c^{-\alpha}, \tag{6.12}$$

$$\alpha > \max\left(\delta_0(d,l,r), \delta_1(d,l,r), \frac{r-1}{l-1}\right) := \alpha^*(d,l,r). \tag{6.13}$$

where $c \geq c_0$ is sufficiently large, and where $\delta_0(d,l,r)$ and $\delta_1(d,l,r)$ are defined in Corollary 5.5.

Furthermore, via (4.9) one can show that there exists $c_{r,k} > 0$ sufficiently large such that for $c \geq c_{r,k}$ the term II_2 can be bounded by $\frac{1}{c^2}\, I_2$.

This means that we can estimate the $L^\infty([0,T])H^k$ norm of the error only for a small (with respect to c) radiation solution, which is the statement of Proposition 6.1.

To summarize, we get the following result.

Proposition 6.1. *Consider* (4.12) *on* $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$. *Let* $r > 1$, *and fix* $k_1 \gg 1$. *Assume that* $l \geq 2$ *and* $r < \frac{d}{2}(l-1)$. *Then* $\exists\ k_0 = k_0(r) > 0$ *such that for any* $k \geq k_1$ *and for any* $\sigma > 0$ *the following holds: consider the solution* η_{rad} *of* (6.1) *with initial datum* $\eta_{rad,0} \in H^{k+k_0+\sigma+d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *and call* δ *the difference between the solution of the approximate equation* (6.2) *and the original solution of the Hamilton equation for* (4.12). *Assume that* $\delta_0 := \delta(0)$ *satisfies*

$$\|\delta_0\|_{H_x^k} \lesssim \frac{1}{c^2}.$$

Then there exist $\alpha^* := \alpha^*(d,l,r) > 0$ *and there exists* $c^* := c^*(r,k) > 1$, *such that for any* $\alpha > \alpha^*$ *and for any* $c > c^*$, *if* $\eta_{rad,0}$ *satisfies*

$$\|\eta_{rad,0}\|_{H^{k+k_0+\sigma+d/2}} \lesssim c^{-\alpha},$$

then

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\delta(t)\|_{H_x^k} \lesssim \frac{1}{c^2}, \quad T \lesssim c^{2(r-1)}.$$

By exploiting (4.9) and Proposition 6.1, we obtain Theorem 2.1.

References

[BCP02] Dario Bambusi, Andrea Carati, and Antonio Ponno. The nonlinear Schrödinger equation as a resonant normal form. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series B*, 2(1):109–128, 2002.

[BGT04] Nicolas Burq, Pierre Gérard, and Nikolay Tzvetkov. Strichartz inequalities and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on compact manifolds. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 126(3):569–605, 2004.

[BMS04] Philippe Bechouche, Norbert J Mauser, and Sigmund Selberg. Nonrelativistic limit of Klein-Gordon-Maxwell to Schrödinger-Poisson. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 126(1):31–64, 2004.

[Bou10] Jean-Marc Bouclet. Littlewood-Paley decompositions on manifolds with ends. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 138(1):1–37, 2010.

[BP06] Dario Bambusi and Antonio Ponno. On metastability in FPU. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 264(2):539–561, 2006.

[CLM15] Rémi Carles, Wolfgang Lucha, and Emmanuel Moulay. Higher-order Schrödinger and Hartree–Fock equations. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 56(12):122301, 2015.

[CM12] Rémi Carles and Emmanuel Moulay. Higher order Schrödinger equations. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 45(39):395304, 2012.

[CO06] Yonggeun Cho and Tohru Ozawa. On the semirelativistic Hartree-type equation. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 38(4):1060–1074, 2006.

[CS16] Woocheol Choi and Jinmyoung Seok. Nonrelativistic limit of standing waves for pseudo-relativistic nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 57(2):021510, 2016.

[CW90] Thierry Cazenave and Fred B Weissler. The Cauchy problem for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in H^s. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 14(10):807–836, 1990.

[DF08] Piero D'Ancona and Luca Fanelli. Strichartz and smoothing estimates for dispersive equations with magnetic potentials. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 33(6):1082–1112, 2008.

[FS14] Erwan Faou and Katharina Schratz. Asymptotic preserving schemes for the Klein–Gordon equation in the non-relativistic limit regime. *Numerische Mathematik*, 126(3):441–469, 2014.

[Fuj16] Matsusaburô Fujiwara. Über die obere Schranke des absoluten Betrages der Wurzeln einer algebraischen Gleichung. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal, First Series*, 10:167–171, 1916.

[HHW07] Chengchun Hao, Ling Hsiao, and Baoxiang Wang. Well-posedness of Cauchy problem for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations in multi-dimensional spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 328(1):58–83, 2007.

[HKNR18] Daniel Han-Kwan, Toan T Nguyen, and Frederic Rousset. Long time estimates for the Vlasov-Maxwell system in the non-relativistic limit. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 363(2):389–434, 2018.

[KAY12] JinMyong Kim, Anton Arnold, and Xiaohua Yao. Global estimates of fundamental solutions for higher-order Schrödinger equations. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 168(2):253–266, 2012.

[KPV93] Carlos E. Kenig, Gustavo Ponce, and Luis Vega. Small solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare (C) Non Linear Analysis*, 10(3):255–288, 1993.

[KS11] Masaharu Kobayashi and Mitsuru Sugimoto. The inclusion relation between Sobolev and modulation spaces. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 260(11):3189–3208, 2011.

[LZ16] Yong Lu and Zhifei Zhang. Partially strong transparency conditions and a singular localization method in geometric optics. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 222(1):245–283, 2016.

[Mac01] Shuji Machihara. The nonrelativistic limit of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation. *FUNKCIALAJ EKVACIOJ SERIO INTERNACIA*, 44(2):243–252, 2001.

[MN03] Nader Masmoudi and Kenji Nakanishi. Nonrelativistic limit from Maxwell-Klein-Gordon and Maxwell-Dirac to Poisson-Schrödinger. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2003(13):697–734, 2003.

[MN08] Nader Masmoudi and Kenji Nakanishi. Energy convergence for singular limits of Zakharov type systems. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 172(3):535–583, 2008.

[MN10] Nader Masmoudi and Kenji Nakanishi. From the Klein–Gordon–Zakharov system to a singular nonlinear Schrödinger system. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare - Analyse Non Linéaire*, 27(4):1073–1096, 2010.

[Naj90] Branko Najman. The nonrelativistic limit of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 15(3):217–228, 1990.

[Pas17] Stefano Pasquali. Dynamics of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation in the nonrelativistic limit, I. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.01609*, 2017.

[RSW12] Michael Ruzhansky, Mitsuru Sugimoto, and Baoxiang Wang. Modulation spaces and nonlinear evolution equations. *Progress in Mathematics*, 301:267–283, 2012.

[RWZ16] Michael Ruzhansky, Baoxiang Wang, and Hua Zhang. Global well-posedness and scattering for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations with small data in modulation and Sobolev spaces. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 105(1):31–65, 2016.

[Tay11] ME Taylor. Partial differential equations III. Nonlinear equations. Second. vol. 117. *Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer, New York, pp. xxii*, 715, 2011.

[Tsu84] Masayoshi Tsutsumi. Nonrelativistic approximation of nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations in two space dimensions. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 8(6):637–643, 1984.

[WH07] Baoxiang Wang and Henryk Hudzik. The global Cauchy problem for the NLS and NLKG with small rough data. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 232(1):36–73, 2007.

[WHH09] Baoxiang Wang, Lijia Han, and Chunyan Huang. Global well-posedness and scattering for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with small rough data. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré - Analyse Non Linéaire*, 26(6):2253–2281, 2009.