

THREE DIMENSIONAL m -QUASI EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS WITH DEGENERATE RICCI TENSOR

JONGSU KIM AND JINWOO SHIN

ABSTRACT. In this article we give a classification of three dimensional m -quasi Einstein manifolds with two distinct Ricci-eigen values. Our study provides explicit description of local and complete metrics and potential functions. We also describe the associated warped product Einstein manifolds in detail.

For the proof we present a Codazzi tensor on any three dimensional m -quasi Einstein manifold and use geometric properties of the tensor which help to analyze the m -quasi Einstein equation effectively. A technical advance over preceding studies is made by resolving the case when the gradient ∇f of the potential function is not a Ricci-eigen vector field.

1. INTRODUCTION

An m -quasi Einstein (denoted by m -QE, below) manifold is a Riemannian manifold (M, g, f) with a smooth function f which satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad Rc + \nabla df - \frac{1}{m} df \otimes df = \lambda g$$

for a real constant λ , an integer $m > 1$ and Rc denotes the Ricci tensor of (M, g) . This definition is coined so that the warped product manifold $(M \times F, g_E := g + e^{-\frac{2f}{m}} g_F)$, with an m -dimensional Einstein manifold (F, g_F) , is Einstein with $Rc_{g_E} = \lambda g_E$. If f is constant, then g is Einstein and we call this space $(M, g, f = \text{const})$ *trivial*. One or two dimensional m -QE manifolds have been thoroughly understood early and presented in the section 9.J of [2]. An m -QE manifold is related to a gradient Ricci soliton, as the latter corresponds to the case $m = \infty$.

Recent progress in understanding gradient Ricci solitons further motivated the study of m -QE manifolds. When M is compact and $\lambda \leq 0$, (M, g, f)

Date: March 6, 2022.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C21, 53C25.

Key words and phrases. quasi Einstein metric, warped product Einstein metric, Codazzi tensor.

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning (NRF-2017R1A2B4004460).

is proved to be trivial [13, 11]. In dimension ≥ 3 various characterizations, triviality or rigidity have been studied under certain geometric conditions. Here we mention only those most related to our work. If (M, g) is complete locally conformally flat, then it is shown to be locally a warped product over an interval near a regular point of f , [7]. The condition of harmonic Weyl tensor on (M, g) was studied in [11] and [19], while half-conformally-flat condition in [5], certain Bach flat condition in [8, 18] and other rigidity results in [4, 20].

We note that not much is known about three dimensional (denoted by 3-d, below) m -QE manifolds, though some characterizations were known in certain cases, for instance when (M, g) is locally conformally flat as mentioned above, or homogeneous [1].

In this paper, we shall characterize 3-d m -QE manifolds with two distinct Ricci eigenvalues. Our work is a generalization of Theorem 9.119 in [2] and [16]. As locally conformally flat 3-d m -QE manifolds have degenerate Ricci tensor, one may view that our work also generalizes the 3-dimensional part of the work in [7]. We prove;

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g, f) be a 3-dimensional m -QE manifold with Ricci-eigen values $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. Then near each point in the open dense subset $\{x \mid \nabla f(x) \neq 0\}$ of M , there exist local coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) in which (g, f) can be one of the following; for constants $a \neq 0, \rho, \mu, k$ and functions $p := p(x_3)$, $\eta := \eta(x_1)$, $\tau := \tau(x_1)$ and $h := h(x_1)$,*

- (1) $g = dx_1^2 + \eta^2(p')^2 dx_2^2 + \eta^2 dx_3^2$ with $(p')^2 + \rho p^2 + \frac{2a}{m-1} p^{1-m} = \frac{\mu}{m-1}$ and $(\eta')^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2} \eta^2 = \rho$. And $f = -m \cdot \ln\{p \cdot \eta\}$.
- (2) $g = p^2 dx_1^2 + (p')^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$ with $(p')^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2} p^2 + \frac{2a}{m} p^{-m} = k$. And $f = -m \cdot \ln\{\tau \cdot p\}$ with $(\tau')^2 + k(\tau)^2 = \frac{\mu}{m-1}$.
- (3) $g = dx_1^2 + h(x_1)^2 \tilde{g}$, where \tilde{g} is a metric of constant curvature on a domain with x_2, x_3 coordinates. g is locally conformally flat.

In this article we do not aim to study the case (3) of locally conformally flat spaces. Indeed, this study includes Böhm's work [3] and may be still a big subject for research.

By Theorem 1.1 one actually understands 3-d m -QE manifolds with one or two distinct Ricci-eigen values; see [11] for a summary of m -QE manifolds which are also Einstein.

We present *complete* warped product Einstein manifolds associated to the m -QE manifolds of Theorem 1.1. Detailed descriptions are in Section 8.

Theorem 1.2. *Any complete warped product Einstein manifold (N, g_E) associated to a three dimensional m -QE manifold (M, g) with exactly two distinct Ricci eigenvalues on an open dense subset of M is one of the followings;*

for an m -dimensional complete Einstein manifold (F, g_F) with $Rc_{g_F} = \mu g_F$,

$$(i) \quad g_E = dx_1^2 + (\eta(x_1))^2 \{ (p'(x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + p^2 g_F \},$$

where $(\eta')^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2} \eta^2 = \rho$ and $(p')^2 + \rho p^2 + \frac{2a}{m-1} p^{1-m} = \frac{\mu}{m-1}$, in one of the three cases:

$$(i-1) \quad \lambda < 0, a > 0, \rho \leq 0,$$

$$(i-2) \quad \lambda < 0, a < 0, \rho < 0, \mu \leq (m+1)\rho\left(\frac{a}{\rho}\right)^{\frac{2}{m+1}}, p(0) > \left(\frac{a}{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{m+1}},$$

$$(i-3) \quad \lambda = \rho = 0, a > 0.$$

And g_E is on (a non-compact quotient of) $\mathbb{R}^3 \times F$.

$$(ii) \quad g_E = (p(x_3))^2 (dx_1^2 + \tau(x_1)^2 g_F) + (p')^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2,$$

where $(p')^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2} p^2 + \frac{2a}{m} p^{-m} = k$ and $(\tau')^2 + k(\tau)^2 = \frac{\mu}{m-1}$, in one of the two cases:

$$(ii-1) \quad a > 0, \lambda \leq 0,$$

$$(ii-2) \quad a < 0, \lambda < 0, p(0) > \left\{ \frac{a(m+2)}{\lambda} \right\}^{\frac{1}{m+1}}, \quad k \leq \frac{\lambda}{m} \left\{ \frac{a(m+2)}{\lambda} \right\}^{\frac{2}{m+1}}.$$

Depending on conditions, g_E can be on (a non-compact quotient of) $\mathbb{R}^3 \times F$, $\mathbb{S}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^2$, or \mathbb{R}^{m+3} .

(iii) $g_E = dx_1^2 + h(x_1)^2 \tilde{g} + e^{-\frac{2f}{m}} g_F$ where \tilde{g} is a Riemannian metric of constant curvature on a 2-dimensional domain with x_2, x_3 coordinates.

The starting point in proving Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 is to observe the existence of a Codazzi tensor \mathcal{C} of the form $\phi Rc + \psi g$ associated to the 3-d m -QE equation. If $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ are Ricci-eigenvalues with multiplicity 1 and 2 respectively, letting $\mu_i := \phi \lambda_i + \psi$, $i = 1, 2$, we have \mathcal{C} -eigenvalues $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ with the same eigenspaces as λ_1, λ_2 respectively. Then the two dimensional λ_2 -eigenspace of \mathcal{C} forms an integrable and umbilic distribution [9]. This provides a good coordinate system, in which we can express g nicely. Some arguments and computations then reduce the m -QE equation to solvable ordinary differential equations in possible cases.

When the metric is locally conformally flat, or more generally when it is of harmonic Weyl curvature or Bach-flat in higher dimension, the gradient ∇f of the potential function is readily a Ricci-eigen vector field. This fact crucially helps to resolve the m -QE equation in the aforementioned works. The same is true of the study for gradient Ricci solitons. It is harder to study the case when ∇f is not Ricci-eigen. So, a novelty of this article is to analyze the case when ∇w is not Ricci-eigen, at least in dimension three.

We expect that the method explored for three dimensional m -QE manifolds in this article can be applied to other geometric equations.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we present some properties of m -QE manifolds and find the wanted Codazzi tensor. Here by $w = e^{-\frac{f}{m}}$,

we transform m -QE manifold into (equivalent) $(\lambda, n+m)$ -Einstein manifold (M, g, w) satisfying (2.2). In section 3, we pursue a local description of a $(\lambda, 3+m)$ -Einstein metric with two distinct Ricci-eigenvalues until we have to divide into four cases, to be treated in the next four sections. For an *adapted* frame vector field E_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, we study in section 4 the case when ∇w is not a Ricci-eigen vector field and $E_1\mu_2 \neq 0$, in section 5 when ∇w is not Ricci-eigen and $E_1\mu_2 = 0$, in section 6 when ∇w is Ricci-eigen and $\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} = E_1$, and in section 7 when ∇w is Ricci-eigen and $\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} = E_3$. In section 8, we discuss the associated warped product Einstein manifolds, in particular complete ones, and finishes the proofs of two main theorems.

2. THREE DIMENSIONAL m -QE MANIFOLD

Let (M, g, f) be an n dimensional m -QE manifold. If we take $w = e^{-\frac{f}{m}}$ in (1.1), then we obtain the following equations which is called the $(\lambda, n+m)$ -Einstein equation [11];

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla dw = \frac{w}{m}(Rc - \lambda g).$$

Upon this transformation between w and f , it is natural to assume $w > 0$ on the interior of M and $w = 0$ on the boundary ∂M .

Although (1.1) and (2.2) are essentially equivalent, we prefer to use the latter for technical reason.

Lemma 2.1. [13], [11, (2.1)] and [4, (3.12)] *Let (M, g, w) be a $(\lambda, n+m)$ -Einstein manifold. It holds that*

(i) *There is a constant μ such that*

$$(2.3) \quad \mu = \frac{R + (m-n)\lambda}{m}w^2 + (m-1)|\nabla w|^2.$$

(ii) $\frac{w}{2(m-1)}\nabla R = -R(\nabla w, \cdot) + \frac{(n-1)\lambda - R}{m-1}g(\nabla w, \cdot).$

Note that the above (ii) comes from [4, (3.12)] by the relation $w = e^{-\frac{f}{m}}$. The constant μ is the Ricci curvature of the fiber F of the warped product Einstein metric over M .

We shall find a Codazzi tensor associated to a $(\lambda, 3+m)$ -Einstein manifold. This kind of Codazzi tensors is already found in 3-d static spaces [10, Example 5] and 3-d gradient Ricci solitons [6].

Lemma 2.2. *For a $(\lambda, 3+m)$ -Einstein manifold (M, g, w) ,*

$$(2.4) \quad C = w^{m+1}Rc + \frac{w^{m+1}}{2(m-1)}(2\lambda - mR)g$$

is a Codazzi tensor.

Proof. The equation (2.2) in dimension 3 gives

$$\nabla_i \nabla_j \nabla_k w = \nabla_i \left\{ \frac{w}{m} (R_{jk} - \lambda g_{jk}) \right\} = \frac{\nabla_i w}{m} (R_{jk} - \lambda g_{jk}) + \frac{w}{m} \nabla_i R_{jk}.$$

By Ricci identity,

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} -m R_{ijkl} \nabla_l w &= m \nabla_i \nabla_j \nabla_k w - m \nabla_j \nabla_i \nabla_k w \\ &= \nabla_i w R_{jk} - \nabla_j w R_{ik} - \nabla_i w \lambda g_{jk} + \nabla_j w \lambda g_{ik} + w (\nabla_i R_{jk} - \nabla_j R_{ik}). \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile in dimension 3,

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{ijkl} \nabla_l w &= -(R_{ik} \nabla_j w + R_{jl} g_{ik} \nabla_l w - R_{il} g_{jk} \nabla_l w - R_{jk} \nabla_i w) \\ &\quad + \frac{R}{2} (g_{ik} \nabla_j w - g_{jk} \nabla_i w) \end{aligned}$$

Adding (2.5) and $m \times (2.6)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (m+1) R_{jk} \nabla_i w - (m+1) R_{ik} \nabla_j w - m R_{jl} g_{ik} \nabla_l w + m R_{il} g_{jk} \nabla_l w \\ &\quad + w \nabla_i R_{jk} - w \nabla_j R_{ik} + (m \frac{R}{2} + \lambda) g_{ik} \nabla_j w - (m \frac{R}{2} + \lambda) g_{jk} \nabla_i w. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying this with w^m and putting $w^{m+1} \nabla_i R_{jk} + (m+1) w^m \nabla_i w R_{jk} = \nabla_i (w^{m+1} R_{jk})$, we get

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla_i (w^{m+1} R_{jk}) - \nabla_j (w^{m+1} R_{ik}) + m (R_{il} g_{jk} - R_{jl} g_{ik}) w^m \nabla_l w \\ &\quad - (m \frac{R}{2} + \lambda) g_{jk} w^m \nabla_i w + (m \frac{R}{2} + \lambda) g_{ik} w^m \nabla_j w. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have

$$\frac{-m}{2(m-1)} \nabla_i (R w^{m+1} g_{jk}) = m R_{il} g_{jk} w^m \nabla_l w - m \frac{R}{2} g_{jk} w^m \nabla_i w - \frac{2m}{m-1} \lambda g_{jk} w^m \nabla_i w.$$

With this, (2.7) becomes;

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\nabla_i (w^{m+1} R_{jk}) - \nabla_j (w^{m+1} R_{ik}) - \frac{m}{2(m-1)} \nabla_i (R w^{m+1} g_{jk}) \\ &+ \frac{m}{2(m-1)} \nabla_j (R w^{m+1} g_{ik}) + \frac{\lambda}{m-1} \nabla_i (w^{m+1} g_{jk}) - \frac{\lambda}{m-1} \nabla_j (w^{m+1} g_{ik}). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.8), \mathcal{C} is a Codazzi tensor. \square

Some properties of a Codazzi tensor are explained in [9] or [2, 16.11];

Lemma 2.3. *Let A be a Codazzi tensor on a Riemannian manifold M . In a connected open subset of M where the number of distinct eigenvalues of A_x , $x \in M$, is constant,*

(i) *Given distinct eigenfunctions λ, μ of A and local vector fields v, u_1, u_2 such that $Av = \lambda v$, $Au_i = \mu u_i$, it holds that*

$$v(\mu)g(u_1, u_2) = (\mu - \lambda) \langle \nabla_{u_1} u_2, v \rangle.$$

(ii) *For each eigenfunction λ , the λ -eigenspace distribution is integrable and its leaves are totally umbilic submanifolds of M .*

(iii) If λ -eigenspace V_λ has dimension bigger than one, the eigenfunction λ is constant along the leaves of V_λ .

(iv) Eigenspaces of A form mutually orthogonal differentiable distributions.

Lemma 2.4. [11] *Let (M, g, w) be a $(\lambda, n + m)$ -Einstein manifold. Then g and w are real analytic in harmonic coordinates where $w \neq 0$.*

So, if w is not a constant then $\{\nabla w \neq 0\}$ is open and dense in M . The eigenvalues of \mathcal{C} are real analytic in an open set where the number of distinct eigenvalues of \mathcal{C}_x stays constant and $w \neq 0$.

Finally we prove a basic Riemannian geometric property.

Lemma 2.5. *Let (U, g) be a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold with an orthonormal frame field E_1, E_2, E_3 such that the three distributions E_{ij} spanned pointwise by E_i and E_j for $i \neq j$ are integrable.*

Then for each point p_0 in U , there exists a neighborhood V of p_0 in U with coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) such that g can be written on V as

$$(2.9) \quad g = g_{11}dx_1^2 + g_{22}dx_2^2 + g_{33}dx_3^2,$$

where g_{ij} are smooth functions of x_1, x_2, x_3 . And $E_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{ii}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$.

Proof. The metric g can be written as $g = E_1^* \otimes E_1^* + E_2^* \otimes E_2^* + E_3^* \otimes E_3^*$, where $\{E_i^*\}, i = 1, 2, 3$, is the dual co-frame field of $\{E_i\}$.

As E_{23} is integrable, we apply the argument of Lemma 4.2 in [12] to $\{cE_1 \mid c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and E_{23} , and have a coordinate system y_1, y_2, y_3 near p_0 in which g is written as

$$(2.10) \quad g = g_{11}^y dy_1^2 + g_{22}^y dy_2^2 + g_{23}^y dy_2 \odot dy_3 + g_{33}^y dy_3^2,$$

and $E_1^* = \sqrt{g_{11}^y} dy_1$ and $E_2^* \otimes E_2^* + E_3^* \otimes E_3^* = g_{22}^y dy_2^2 + g_{23}^y dy_2 \odot dy_3 + g_{33}^y dy_3^2$. In this proof, g_{ij}^\bullet denotes a function.

Similarly, there is a coordinate system z_1, z_2, z_3 in which g is written as

$$(2.11) \quad g = g_{22}^z dz_2^2 + g_{11}^z dz_1^2 + g_{13}^z dz_1 \odot dz_3 + g_{33}^z dz_3^2,$$

and $E_2^* = \sqrt{g_{22}^z} dz_2$ and $E_1^* \otimes E_1^* + E_3^* \otimes E_3^* = g_{11}^z dz_1^2 + g_{13}^z dz_1 \odot dz_3 + g_{33}^z dz_3^2$.

There is also a coordinate system w_1, w_2, w_3 and

$$(2.12) \quad g = g_{33}^w dw_3^2 + g_{11}^w dw_1^2 + g_{12}^w dw_1 \odot dw_2 + g_{22}^w dw_2^2,$$

and $E_3^* = \sqrt{g_{33}^w} dw_3$ and $E_1^* \otimes E_1^* + E_2^* \otimes E_2^* = g_{11}^w dw_1^2 + g_{12}^w dw_1 \odot dw_2 + g_{22}^w dw_2^2$.

The functions y_1, z_2, w_3 form a coordinates system near p_0 as dy_1, dz_2, dw_3 are linearly independent. We have

$$g = E_1^* \otimes E_1^* + E_1^* \otimes E_1^* + E_1^* \otimes E_1^* = g_{11}^y dy_1^2 + g_{22}^z dz_2^2 + g_{33}^w dw_3^2.$$

We denote y_1, z_2, w_3 by x_1, x_2, x_3 respectively and then we can write (2.9). □

For the metric of the form (2.9) with $E_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{ii}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, one computes

$$(2.13) \quad \langle \nabla_{E_j} E_j, E_i \rangle = -\frac{\frac{\partial g_{jj}}{\partial x_i}}{2g_{jj}\sqrt{g_{ii}}} \text{ when } i \neq j.$$

3. TWO DISTINCT RICCI-EIGENVALUES: $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$.

We shall study a $(\lambda, 3+m)$ -Einstein manifold (M, g, w) with two distinct Ricci-eigenvalues, say $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. We can choose an orthonormal Ricci-eigen frame field $\{E_i\}$ in a neighborhood of each point in $\{\nabla w \neq 0\}$ such that $\lambda_1 = R(E_1, E_1) \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. When ∇w is Ricci-eigen, we may choose such $\{E_i\}$ so that $\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} = E_1$ or $\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} \in E_1^\perp$. In the latter case we may choose $E_3 = \frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}$. When ∇w is not Ricci-eigen, we can restrict $\{E_i\}$ as below;

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. If ∇w is not a Ricci-eigen vector field, then there exists an orthonormal Ricci-eigen frame field $\{E_i\}$ such that $\lambda_1 = R(E_1, E_1)$, $E_1 w \neq 0$, $E_3 w \neq 0$ but $E_2 w = 0$.*

Proof. Let E_1 be a unit Ricci-eigen vector field with $\lambda_1 = R(E_1, E_1)$. Set $F_1 := \frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}$. Let E_1^\perp be the 2-d distribution pointwise perpendicular to E_1 .

As $E_1 \neq \pm F_1$, E_1^\perp and F_1^\perp intersect along a one-dimensional subspace. So, we may choose E_2 to be in that one-dimensional subspace. Choose E_3 to be orthogonal to E_1 and E_2 . Then $E_2 w = g(E_2, \nabla w) = 0$. But $E_1 w \neq 0$ and $E_3 w \neq 0$; otherwise, ∇w will be Ricci-eigen. □

So, regardless of whether ∇w is Ricci-eigen or not, we can have $E_2 w = 0$. We shall call an orthonormal Ricci-eigen frame field $\{E_i\}$ to be *adapted* if $\lambda_1 = R(E_1, E_1) \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ and $E_2 w = 0$.

For the Codazzi tensor $\mathcal{C} = w^{m+1} R_{jk} - \frac{m}{2(m-1)} R w^{m+1} g_{jk} + \frac{1}{m-1} \lambda w^{m+1} g_{jk}$, the Ricci tensor Rc and \mathcal{C} have the same eigenspaces, and the eigen-functions μ_i of \mathcal{C} satisfy $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2 = \mu_3$.

Let E_{23} be the distribution spanned by E_2 and E_3 . By Lemma 2.3 (ii), E_{23} is integrable. Then there exists a coordinate neighborhood (x_1, x_2, x_3) so that

$$(3.14) \quad g = g_{11} dx_1^2 + g_{22} dx_2^2 + g_{23} dx_2 dx_3 + g_{33} dx_3^2, \text{ with } E_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{11}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1},$$

where g_{ij} are functions of x_1, x_2, x_3 and E_{23} is identical to the span of $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$.

By Lemma 2.3 (iii), the eigen-function μ_2 of \mathcal{C} corresponding to E_{23} is constant along a leaf L of E_{23} . So, for $i = 2, 3$,

$$(3.15) \quad E_i \mu_2 = E_i \left\{ w^{m+1} \lambda_2 - \frac{m}{2(m-1)} R w^{m+1} + \frac{1}{m-1} \lambda w^{m+1} \right\} = 0.$$

As L is umbilic by Lemma 2.3 (ii), the second fundamental form $h = \frac{H}{2} g^\sigma$, where H is the mean curvature of L and $g^\sigma := g|_L$. Henceforth, we shall often denote $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ by ∂_i . Denoting by ∇^σ the Levi-Civita connection of g^σ , the Codazzi-Mainardi equations give;

$$(\nabla_{\partial_i}^\sigma h)(\partial_j, \partial_k) - (\nabla_{\partial_j}^\sigma h)(\partial_i, \partial_k) = R(\partial_j, \partial_i, \partial_k, E_1)$$

for $i, j, k \geq 2$. Taking the trace of both sides in j, k , we get $\partial_i H = 0$ for $i = 2, 3$, [6]. We then have $E_i \langle \nabla_{E_2} E_2, E_1 \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} E_i H = 0$, $i=2,3$.

Lemma 2.3 (i) gives the following; for any distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $\mu_j \neq \mu_i$,

$$(3.16) \quad (\mu_j - \mu_i) \langle \nabla_{E_j} E_j, E_i \rangle = E_i \mu_j.$$

Thus for $i = 2, 3$,

$$(3.17) \quad 0 = E_i \langle \nabla_{E_2} E_2, E_1 \rangle = E_i \left(\frac{E_1 \mu_2}{\mu_2 - \mu_1} \right) = \frac{E_i E_1 \mu_2}{\mu_2 - \mu_1} + \frac{(E_1 \mu_2)(E_i \mu_1)}{(\mu_2 - \mu_1)^2}.$$

The third equality is by (3.15). As $\langle \nabla_{E_i} E_1, E_1 \rangle = 0$, $\nabla_{E_i} E_1$ is of the form $aE_2 + bE_3$ and so $\nabla_{E_i} E_1(\mu_2) = 0$. Then $E_i E_1 \mu_2 = (\nabla_{E_i} E_1 - \nabla_{E_1} E_i + E_1 E_i) \mu_2 = -(\nabla_{E_1} E_i) \mu_2$. We denote $\nabla_{E_i} E_j =: \Gamma_{ij}^k E_k$. Then eq. (3.17) can be expressed as follows.

$$(3.18) \quad (E_1 \mu_2) \{ E_i \mu_1 + \Gamma_{11}^i (\mu_2 - \mu_1) \} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 2, 3.$$

We can prove some technical formulas.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (M, g, w) be a $(\lambda, 3 + m)$ -Einstein manifold with Ricci-eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. Consider an adapted frame field $\{E_i\}$ in an open subset \mathcal{U} of $\{\nabla w \neq 0\}$. Then we have the followings:*

$$(3.19) \quad E_2 R = E_2 \lambda_1 = E_2 \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$(3.20) \quad E_3 \lambda_1 = \{2\lambda - (m+2)\lambda_1\} \frac{E_3 w}{w}$$

$$(3.21) \quad E_3 \lambda_2 = \left\{ \frac{m}{2} \lambda_1 + \lambda - (m+1)\lambda_2 \right\} \frac{E_3 w}{w}$$

$$(3.22) \quad E_1 \lambda_1 = (2\lambda - m\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2) \frac{E_1 w}{w} + H(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)$$

$$(3.23) \quad E_1 \lambda_2 = (\lambda - \lambda_2 - \frac{m}{2} \lambda_1) \frac{E_1 w}{w} - \frac{H}{2} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)$$

Proof. Lemma 2.3 (i) gives $\langle \nabla_{E_2} E_1, E_3 \rangle = -\langle E_1, \nabla_{E_2} E_3 \rangle = 0$. From (2.2),

$$0 = \nabla dw(E_2, E_1) = E_2 E_1 w - (\nabla_{E_2} E_1) w = E_2 E_1 w.$$

Similarly, we can get $E_2 E_3 w = 0$. Taking E_2 -derivative to (2.3), we get

$$\frac{w^2}{m} E_2 R + 2(m-1) \{ (E_2 E_1 w)(E_1 w) + (E_2 E_3 w)(E_3 w) \} = 0.$$

Thus we get $E_2 R = 0$. From (3.15) and $E_2 w = 0$,

$$0 = E_2 \mu_2 = E_2 \left\{ w^{m+1} \lambda_2 + \frac{w^{m+1}}{2(m-1)} (2\lambda - mR) \right\} = w^{m+1} (E_2 \lambda_2)$$

Thus $E_2 \lambda_2 = 0$ and this implies that $E_2 \lambda_1$ is also zero. Applying E_1 and E_3 to the formula (ii) of Lemma 2.1,

$$(3.24) \quad E_1 R = (4\lambda - 2m\lambda_1 - 4\lambda_2) \frac{E_1 w}{w},$$

$$(3.25) \quad E_3 R = (4\lambda - 2\lambda_1 - 2(m+1)\lambda_2) \frac{E_3 w}{w}.$$

$E_3 \mu_2$ is computed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = E_3 \mu_2 &= (m+1)w^m (E_3 w) \lambda_2 + w^{m+1} (E_3 \lambda_2) + \frac{m+1}{2(m-1)} w^m (E_3 w) (2\lambda - mR) \\ &\quad - \frac{m}{2(m-1)} w^{m+1} (E_3 R) \\ &= w^m (E_3 w) \left\{ (m+1)\lambda_2 - \frac{m}{2}\lambda_1 - \lambda \right\} + w^{m+1} (E_3 \lambda_2) \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is obtained from (3.25). Thus we get (3.21) and we can obtain (3.20) by comparing (3.21) and (3.25). Next, we compute $E_1 \mu_2$ in the same manner;

$$E_1 \mu_2 = w^m (E_1 w) (\lambda_2 + \frac{m}{2}\lambda_1 - \lambda) + w^{m+1} (E_1 \lambda_2).$$

From $\frac{E_1 \mu_2}{\mu_2 - \mu_1} = \frac{E_1 \mu_2}{w^{m+1}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} = -\frac{H}{2}$, we get (3.23) and then (3.22) follows from (3.24). \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let (M, g, w) be a $(\lambda, 3+m)$ -Einstein manifold with Ricci-eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. Suppose that ∇w is not a Ricci-eigen vector field. Consider an adapted frame fields $\{E_i\}$ in an open subset \mathcal{U} of $\{\nabla w \neq 0\}$. Then there exists locally a coordinate system x_1, x_2, x_3 in which*

$$(3.26) \quad g = g_{11}(x_1, x_3) dx_1^2 + g_{33}(x_1, x_3) k(x_2, x_3) dx_2^2 + g_{33}(x_1, x_3) dx_3^2,$$

for a function k , with $E_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{ii}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, where g_{ii} is the coefficient function of dx_i^2 . And for functions α, β ,

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{E_1} E_1 &= -\alpha E_3, & \nabla_{E_1} E_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{E_1} E_3 &= \alpha E_1, \\ \nabla_{E_2} E_1 &= \frac{H}{2} E_2, & \nabla_{E_2} E_2 &= -\frac{H}{2} E_1 + \beta E_3, & \nabla_{E_2} E_3 &= -\beta E_2, \\ \nabla_{E_3} E_1 &= \frac{H}{2} E_3, & \nabla_{E_3} E_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{E_3} E_3 &= -\frac{H}{2} E_1. \end{aligned}$$

The mean curvature H does not depend on x_2, x_3 . Ricci curvature components are as follows;

$$(3.28) \quad R_{11} := R(E_1, E_1) = -E_1 H + 2\alpha\beta - \frac{H^2}{2}. \quad R_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

$$R_{22} = R_{33} = -\frac{E_1 H}{2} - \frac{H^2}{2} + \alpha\beta + E_3\beta - \beta^2.$$

Futhermore, the followings hold;

$$(3.29) \quad E_3\alpha + \alpha^2 + \alpha\beta = 0, \quad E_2\alpha = 0, \quad E_1\beta + \frac{H}{2}(\alpha + \beta) = 0.$$

Proof. From $E_2 w = 0$ and (3.19), $E_2 \mu_1 = 0$. So, $\langle \nabla_{E_1} E_1, E_2 \rangle = 0$ from (3.16). The equation (2.2) gives

$$0 = \nabla dw(E_1, E_2) = E_1 E_2 w - (\nabla_{E_1} E_2) w = -\langle \nabla_{E_1} E_2, E_3 \rangle (E_3 w).$$

As ∇w is not Ricci-eigen and $E_2 w = 0$, we have $E_1 w \neq 0$ and $E_3 w \neq 0$. So, $\langle \nabla_{E_1} E_2, E_3 \rangle = 0$ and $\nabla_{E_1} E_2 = 0$. Now, $\nabla_{E_1} E_3 = \alpha E_1$ for a function α . As a leaf L of E_{23} is umbilic with $h = \frac{H}{2} g|_L$, $0 = h(E_2, E_3) = -\langle \nabla_{E_3} E_2, E_1 \rangle$. Then $0 = \nabla dw(E_3, E_2) = E_3 E_2 w - (\nabla_{E_3} E_2) w = -\langle \nabla_{E_3} E_2, E_3 \rangle (E_3 w)$. So, $\langle \nabla_{E_3} E_2, E_3 \rangle = 0$ and $\nabla_{E_3} E_2 = 0$. As $\langle \nabla_{E_3} E_3, E_1 \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} H$, $\nabla_{E_3} E_1 = \frac{H}{2} E_3$. Similarly, $\nabla_{E_2} E_1 = \frac{H}{2} E_2$. We can easily compute the rest of formulas in (3.27).

As $[E_1, E_2] = -\frac{H}{2} E_2$ and $[E_1, E_3] = \alpha E_1 - \frac{H}{2} E_3$, we find that E_{12}, E_{13}, E_{23} are all integrable.

By Lemma 2.5 there exists a new coordinate system, which we still denote by x_1, x_2, x_3 in which

$$(3.30) \quad g = g_{11}(x_1, x_3) dx_1^2 + g_{22}(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_2^2 + g_{33}(x_1, x_3) dx_3^2,$$

with $E_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{ii}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$; here g_{11} and g_{33} does not depend on x_2 , from $\langle \nabla_{E_1} E_1, E_2 \rangle = 0$, $\langle \nabla_{E_3} E_3, E_2 \rangle = 0$ and (2.13).

We compute Jacobi identity $[[E_1, E_2], E_3] + [[E_2, E_3], E_1] + [[E_3, E_1], E_2] = 0$ as follows; $(E_1\beta)E_2 + \frac{H}{2}(\alpha + \beta)E_2 + (E_2\alpha)E_1 = 0$, so we get $E_2\alpha = 0$, and $E_1\beta + \frac{H}{2}(\alpha + \beta) = 0$.

We compute some curvature components of g ;

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1221} &:= R(E_1, E_2, E_2, E_1) = -\frac{E_1 H}{2} + \alpha\beta - \frac{H^2}{4}, \\ R_{1331} &= -\frac{E_1 H}{2} - E_3\alpha - \alpha^2 - \frac{H^2}{4}, \\ R_{2332} &= -\frac{H^2}{4} + E_3\beta - \beta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Other $R_{ijkl} = 0$. By $R_{22} = R_{33}$, we get $R_{1221} = R_{1331}$ which is $E_3\alpha + \alpha^2 + \alpha\beta = 0$. From these, we get Ricci curvature formulas.

As $\frac{H}{2} = \langle \nabla_{E_2} E_1, E_2 \rangle = \frac{\partial_1 g_{22}}{2g_{22}\sqrt{g_{11}}}$ by (2.13) and $\frac{H}{2} = \langle \nabla_{E_3} E_1, E_3 \rangle = \frac{\partial_1 g_{33}}{2g_{33}\sqrt{g_{11}}}$, we get $\frac{\partial_1 g_{22}}{2g_{22}\sqrt{g_{11}}} = \frac{\partial_1 g_{33}}{2g_{33}\sqrt{g_{11}}}$. Then $g_{22} = g_{33}k(x_2, x_3)$ for a function k . From $E_i H = 0$ for $i = 2, 3$, we get $H = H(x_1)$. \square

In the (proofs of) lemmas below, c_i , $i = 2, 3, \dots$, will denote a function, mostly with x_1 variable only.

Lemma 3.4. *Under the hypothesis of Lemma 3.3, we further assume that $\alpha = \langle \nabla_{E_1} E_3, E_1 \rangle = 0$. Then there exists locally a coordinate system (x_1, x_2, x_3) in which*

$$(3.31) \quad g = dx_1^2 + e^{\int_c^{x_1} H(u_1) du_1} (q(x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + e^{\int_c^{x_1} H(u_1) du_1} dx_3^2$$

for a constant c , a function $q(x_3)$, $E_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$, $E_2 = \frac{1}{q} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_c^{x_1} H(u_1) du_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}$ and $E_3 = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_c^{x_1} H(u_1) du_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$. And $R(E_1, E_1) = -\partial_1 H - \frac{H^2}{2}$.

Proof. We start from (3.26). As $\alpha = 0$, by (2.13) $\partial_3 g_{11} = 0$. By replacing x_1 by a new variable, which we still denote by x_1 , we can replace $g_{11}(x_1) dx_1^2$ by dx_1^2 and we have

$$g = dx_1^2 + g_{33}(x_1, x_3) k(x_2, x_3) dx_2^2 + g_{33}(x_1, x_3) dx_3^2.$$

We remark that when we replace coordinates, it may affect statements proved in previous coordinates, but by checking through the unchangeable frame $\{E_i\}$, the statements still can be verified in new coordinates.

Now, by definition, $H(x_1) = 2g(E_3, \nabla_{E_3} E_1) = \partial_1 \ln g_{33}$ so that $g_{33} = h_2(x_3) e^{\int_c^{x_1} H(u_1) du_1}$ for a function h_2 and a constant c . We replace $h_2(x_3) dx_3^2$ by dx_3^2 , as done above, and write $(r(x_2, x_3))^2$ for $k(x_2, x_3) h_2(x_3)$ so that

$$(3.32) \quad g = dx_1^2 + e^{\int H(x_1)} (r(x_2, x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + e^{\int H(x_1)} dx_3^2$$

with $E_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$ and $E_3 = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int H(x_1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$. From (2.2) and $\alpha = 0$ we have $0 = \nabla dw(E_1, E_3) = E_1 E_3 w$, which gives $\partial_1 (e^{-\int \frac{H(x_1)}{2}} \partial_3 w) = 0$. From this

for a function q we get

$$(3.33) \quad \partial_3 w = e^{\int \frac{H(x_1)}{2}} q(x_3).$$

From (2.2), we also have $\nabla dw(E_2, E_2) = \nabla dw(E_3, E_3)$ which reduces to $-\langle \nabla_{E_2} E_2, E_3 \rangle E_3 w = E_3 E_3 w$. This equation gives $\frac{\partial_3 g_{22}}{2g_{22}\sqrt{g_{33}}} \partial_3 w = \partial_3 \left(\frac{\partial_3 w}{\sqrt{g_{33}}} \right) = \partial_3 q$, where g_{ii} means the coefficient of dx_i^2 in (3.32). From this we get $\frac{\partial_3 r}{r} = \frac{\partial_3 q}{q}$. Then $r(x_2, x_3) = q(x_3)p_1(x_2)$ for a function p_1 . Replacing $p_1^2(x_2)dx_2^2$ by dx_2^2 ,

$$g = dx_1^2 + e^{\int H(x_1)} (q(x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + e^{\int H(x_1)} dx_3^2.$$

From (3.28) we get R_{11} . \square

Lemma 3.5. *Under the hypothesis of Lemma 3.3, we assume that $\alpha \neq 0$. Then there exists locally a coordinate system (x_1, x_2, x_3) in which*

$$(3.34) \quad g = g_{11}(x_1, x_3)dx_1^2 + g_{33}(x_1, x_3)v(x_3)dx_2^2 + g_{33}(x_1, x_3)dx_3^2,$$

for a function v , with $E_1 = \frac{\partial_1}{\sqrt{g_{11}}}$, $E_2 = \frac{\partial_2}{\sqrt{g_{33}v}}$, $E_3 = \frac{\partial_3}{\sqrt{g_{33}}}$ and

$$(3.35) \quad \partial_3 g_{11} = c_3(x_1)g_{33}\sqrt{g_{11} \cdot v}.$$

for a function $c_3 \neq 0$.

Proof. We again start from (3.26). By (3.28), (3.29) and (3.19), $0 = \partial_2 R_{11} = \partial_2 \left\{ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{11}}} \partial_1 H \right\} + 2\alpha \partial_2 \beta = 2\alpha \partial_2 \beta$. As $\alpha \neq 0$, $\partial_2 \beta = 0$. From $\beta = \langle \nabla_{E_2} E_2, E_3 \rangle$ and (2.13), we get $-2\beta(x_1, x_3)\sqrt{g_{33}}(x_1, x_3) = \partial_3(\ln g_{22}) = \partial_3(\ln g_{33}(x_1, x_3) + \ln k(x_2, x_3))$.

Then $\partial_2 \partial_3(\ln k(x_2, x_3)) = 0$, so $k(x_2, x_3) = q(x_2)v(x_3)$ for functions v and q . Replacing $q(x_2)dx_2^2$ by dx_2^2 , we may write $g = g_{11}(x_1, x_3)dx_1^2 + g_{33}(x_1, x_3)v(x_3)dx_2^2 + g_{33}(x_1, x_3)dx_3^2$.

From (3.29) and (2.13), we get $0 = 2\sqrt{g_{33}}\left(\frac{E_3 \alpha}{\alpha} + \alpha + \beta\right) = (\partial_3 \ln(\alpha^2) + \partial_3 \ln g_{11} - \partial_3 \ln g_{22})$. We get $\partial_3 \left\{ \ln \frac{(\alpha^2 g_{11})}{g_{22}} \right\} = 0$ or equivalently $\partial_3 \left\{ \frac{(\partial_3 g_{11})^2}{g_{11} g_{22} g_{33}} \right\} = 0$. So, we get (3.35) for a function c_3 . As $\alpha \neq 0$, $\partial_3 g_{11} \neq 0$. So, $c_3 \neq 0$. \square

4. WHEN ∇w IS NOT A RICCI-EIGEN FIELD AND $E_1 \mu_2 \neq 0$.

For a $(\lambda, 3+m)$ -Einstein manifold (M, g, w) , we are assuming that $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$, ∇w is not a Ricci-eigen field. Then there are two possible cases by (3.18): for an adapted frame field $\{E_i\}$ of g in the form of (3.26),

- $E_3 \mu_1 - \alpha(\mu_2 - \mu_1) = 0$
- $E_1 \mu_2 = 0$

In this section, we consider the first case. To avoid redundant discussion, it is assumed that $E_1 \mu_2 \neq 0$. First we show that α must be zero.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (M, g, w) be a $(\lambda, 3 + m)$ -Einstein manifold with Ricci-eigen values $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. Suppose that ∇w is not a Ricci-eigen vector field and that $E_1\mu_2 \neq 0$ for an adapted field $\{E_i\}$ in an open subset \mathcal{U} of $\{\nabla w \neq 0\}$. Then $\alpha = \langle \nabla_{E_1} E_3, E_1 \rangle = 0$.*

Proof. We shall show that there is no metric with $\alpha \neq 0$. Suppose $\alpha \neq 0$. Then we may use g in the form of (3.34). From (2.2), we also have $\nabla dw(E_2, E_2) = \nabla dw(E_3, E_3)$ which reduces by (3.27) to $-\beta E_3 w = E_3 E_3 w$. Thus we have $\frac{\partial_3 g_{22}}{2g_{22}}(E_3 w) = \partial_3(E_3 w)$. By integrating, we obtain $E_3 w = c_4(x_1)\sqrt{g_{22}}$ for a function c_4 . As $g_{22} = v(x_3)g_{33}$, we get

$$(4.36) \quad \partial_3 w = c_4(x_1)g_{33}\sqrt{v}.$$

$E_3\mu_1 - \alpha(\mu_2 - \mu_1) = 0$ gives $\partial_3\mu_1 - \frac{\partial_3 g_{11}}{2g_{11}}(\mu_2 - \mu_1) = 0$. Since $\partial_3\mu_2 = 0$, we get $2\partial_3\{\ln(\mu_2 - \mu_1)\} + \partial_3(\ln g_{11}) = 0$. As we have $\partial_2\mu_1 = \partial_2\mu_2 = 0$ from (3.19), the integration of the above gives

$$(4.37) \quad (\mu_2 - \mu_1)\sqrt{g_{11}} = c_6(x_1)$$

for a function $c_6(x_1) \neq 0$. From (3.20) and (3.21), we have

$$(4.38) \quad E_3\{2\lambda - m\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2\} + (m+1)\frac{E_3 w}{w}(2\lambda - m\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2) = 0.$$

Then, $E_3[w^{m+1}(2\lambda - m\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2)] = 0$, so for a function $c_7(x_1)$ we get

$$(4.39) \quad w^{m+1}(2\lambda - m\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2) = c_7(x_1).$$

But $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1 + \frac{c_6}{\sqrt{g_{11}}}w^{-m-1}$ by (4.37). So we get

$$w^{m+1}\{2\lambda - (m+2)\lambda_1\} = c_7 + \frac{2c_6}{\sqrt{g_{11}}}.$$

Now (3.20) gives $E_3[w^{m+2}\{2\lambda - (m+2)\lambda_1\}] = 0$, so $w^{m+2}\{2\lambda - (m+2)\lambda_1\} = c_8(x_1)$ for a function c_8 . Therefore,

$$(4.40) \quad \frac{c_8}{w} = c_7 + \frac{2c_6}{\sqrt{g_{11}}}.$$

Taking ∂_3 -derivative, we get $\frac{c_8}{w^2}(\partial_3 w) = \frac{c_6}{g_{11}\sqrt{g_{11}}}(\partial_3 g_{11})$. Apply (3.35) and (4.36) to this, we get

$$(4.41) \quad \frac{c_4 c_8}{w^2} = \frac{c_3 c_6}{g_{11}}.$$

Taking square of (4.40), $(\frac{c_8}{w} - c_7)^2 = \frac{4c_6^2}{g_{11}}$. Recall that $c_3 c_6 \neq 0$. Put this into (4.41), we get $\frac{c_4 c_8}{w^2} = \frac{c_3}{4c_6}(\frac{c_8}{w} - c_7)^2$ so that $c_4 c_8 \frac{4c_6}{c_3} = (c_8 - c_7 w)^2$. As c_i 's in this formula depend only on x_1 , if $c_7 \neq 0$, then w is a function of x_1 only, which means that ∇w is a Ricci-eigen field, a contradiction. Thus $c_7 = 0$,

By (4.39) $2\lambda - m\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0$. But note that $\mu_2 = \frac{w^{m+1}}{2(m-1)}(2\lambda - 2\lambda_2 - m\lambda_1)$. So, $\mu_2 = 0$. This is a contradiction to the hypothesis $E_1\mu_2 \neq 0$. \square

Now we analyze (g, w) .

Lemma 4.2. *Let (M, g, w) be a $(\lambda, 3 + m)$ -Einstein manifold with Ricci-eigen values $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. Suppose that ∇w is not a Ricci-eigen vector field and that $E_1\mu_2 \neq 0$ for an adapted field $\{E_i\}$ in an open subset \mathcal{U} of $\{\nabla w \neq 0\}$.*

Then there exist local coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) in which (g, w) can be as follows:

$$(4.42) \quad g = dx_1^2 + (\eta(x_1))^2(p'(x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + (\eta(x_1))^2 dx_3^2$$

where $p(x_3)$ is a non-constant positive solution of

$$(4.43) \quad (p')^2 + \rho p^2 + \frac{2a}{m-1}p^{1-m} = \frac{\mu}{m-1}$$

for constants $a \neq 0$, ρ , μ and $\eta := \eta(x_1)$ is a non-constant positive solution of

$$(4.44) \quad (\eta')^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2}\eta^2 = \rho.$$

And the potential function $w = p(x_3)\eta(x_1)$. Conversely, any metric g and w in the above form satisfy that ∇w is not a Ricci-eigen vector field, $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$, $E_1\mu_2 \neq 0$ and (2.2).

Proof. As $E_1\mu_2 \neq 0$, we have $E_3\mu_1 - \alpha(\mu_2 - \mu_1) = 0$. As $\alpha = 0$ from Lemma 4.1, $E_3\mu_1 = 0$. Calculating this using Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} E_3\mu_1 &= (m+1)\lambda_1 w^m(E_3w) + w^{m+1}(E_3\lambda_1) - \frac{m}{2(m-1)}w^{m+1}(E_3R) \\ &\quad + \frac{m+1}{2(m-1)}w^m(E_3w)(2\lambda - mR) \\ &= \left(\lambda - \frac{m+2}{2}\lambda_1\right)w^m(E_3w) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain $\lambda_1 = \frac{2\lambda}{m+2}$. We may assume g as in (3.31). From the value of $\lambda_1 = R_{11}$ in Lemma 3.4, $H(x_1)$ satisfies $-\partial_1 H - \frac{H^2}{2} = \frac{2\lambda}{m+2}$. We set $H = 2\frac{\partial_1 \eta}{\eta}$ for a function $\eta(x_1)$ so that $e^{\int H(x_1)} = c^2 \cdot \eta^2(x_1)$ for a constant $c > 0$. And $\eta(x_1)$ satisfies

$$(4.45) \quad \partial_1 \partial_1 \eta + \frac{\lambda}{m+2}\eta = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (\partial_1 \eta)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2}\eta^2 = \rho \text{ for a constant } \rho.$$

From (3.31), the metric can be written as $g = dx_1^2 + \eta^2(x_1)q^2(x_3)c^2dx_2^2 + \eta^2(x_1)c^2dx_3^2$. Replacing $c^2dx_2^2$ by dx_2^2 and $c^2dx_3^2$ by dx_3^2 , we rewrite;

$$(4.46) \quad g = dx_1^2 + \eta^2(x_1)Q^2(x_3)dx_2^2 + \eta^2(x_1)dx_3^2.$$

As $E_1\lambda_1 = 0$, by (3.22),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = E_1\lambda_1 &= (2\lambda - m\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2) \frac{E_1w}{w} + H(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \\ &= \left(2\frac{E_1w}{w} - H\right) \left(\frac{2\lambda}{m+2} - \lambda_2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since we assume $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, we have

$$\frac{\partial_1\eta}{\eta} = \frac{H}{2} = \frac{E_1w}{w} = \frac{\partial_1w}{w}.$$

Thus $w = \eta(x_1)p(x_3)$ for a function p . Neither η nor p can be constant; otherwise ∇w becomes a Ricci-eigen field. From $\nabla dw(E_2, E_2) = \nabla dw(E_3, E_3)$ and (3.27), we again get $E_3E_3w = -\beta(E_3w)$. We denote ∂_3X by X' . Since $E_3 = \frac{\partial_3}{\eta}$, we get $\beta = -\frac{p''}{p\eta}$.

From (4.46), $\beta = g(\nabla_{E_2}E_2, E_3) = -\frac{Q'}{Q\eta}$, so we get $Q = Cp'$ for a constant C . Assigning the values obtained so far to $\nabla dw(E_3, E_3) = \frac{w}{m}(\lambda_2 - \lambda)$, (3.28) and (4.45) give

$$\frac{p''}{\eta} + \frac{\partial_1\eta}{\eta}(p\partial_1\eta) = \frac{p\eta}{m} \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{m+2} - \left(\frac{\partial_1\eta}{\eta}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{\eta^2} \frac{p'''}{p'} - \lambda \right\},$$

which reduces to

$$(4.47) \quad \frac{m+1}{m}\rho = -\frac{p''}{p} - \frac{1}{m} \frac{p'''}{p'}.$$

Multiplying $2mpp'$ and splitting pp'' -terms,

$$2\rho(m+1)pp' = -2p'p'' - 2pp''' - 2(m-1)p'p''.$$

Integrating, for a constant μ_0 we get

$$(4.48) \quad \mu_0 = \rho(m+1)p^2 + 2pp'' + (m-1)(p')^2.$$

Meanwhile, the constant μ of Lemma 2.1 is

$$\begin{aligned}\mu &= \frac{w^2}{m} \{R + (m-3)\lambda\} + (m-1)|\nabla w|^2 \\ &= \frac{p^2 \eta^2}{m} \left\{ \left(\frac{4\lambda}{m+2} - 2 \left(\frac{\partial_1 \eta}{\eta} \right)^2 - \frac{2}{\eta^2} \frac{p'''}{p'} \right) + (m-3)\lambda \right\} \\ &\quad + (m-1) \{p^2 (\partial_1 \eta)^2 + (p')^2\} \\ &= \frac{(m-2)(m+1)}{m} \rho p^2 - \frac{2}{m} p^2 \frac{p'''}{p'} + (m-1)(p')^2.\end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds by $(\partial_1 \eta)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2} \eta^2 = \rho$. Put (4.47) into the right hand side of the above equation, we can see that $\mu = \mu_0$.

Multiplying $p^{m-2} p'$ to (4.48) and integrating, we get

$$(4.49) \quad \frac{\mu}{m-1} p^{m-1} = \rho p^{m+1} + p^{m-1} (p')^2 + \frac{2a}{m-1}$$

for a constant a . We obtain (4.43). Differentiating we get

$$(4.50) \quad p'' + \rho p = a p^{-m}.$$

Now compute μ_2 using (4.45) and (4.50);

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_2 &= w^{m+1} \left\{ \lambda_2 + \frac{\lambda}{m-1} - \frac{m}{2(m-1)} R \right\} \\ &= \frac{p^{m+1} \eta^{m+1}}{m-1} \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{m+2} + \left(\frac{\partial_1 \eta}{\eta} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\eta^2} \frac{p'''}{p'} \right\} = \frac{m}{1-m} a \eta^{m-1}.\end{aligned}$$

As $E_1 \mu_2 \neq 0$, a cannot be zero.

We can easily check the converse part. □

Lemma 4.2 brings us to the Kobayashi's solutions to the ordinary differential equation [14, Equation (2.2)], which is identical to (4.43) if we match $n \leftrightarrow m+1$, $k \leftrightarrow \frac{\mu}{m-1}$ and $R \leftrightarrow m(m+1)\rho$. As $\eta > 0$ and $w = \eta p$ are positive in the open dense subset of the manifold under consideration, so is p . Therefore in solving (4.43), we may assume a positive initial value for p just as in Kobayashi's paper. In his study of equation, Kobayashi discussed complete conformally flat static spaces but did not mention on the completeness of metrics of the form $p'(x_3)^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$ that we have in (4.42). We want to sort out possible complete $(\lambda, 3+m)$ -Einstein manifolds under the hypothesis of Lemma 4.2. First we state;

Lemma 4.3. *If either $\lambda \geq 0$, or $\rho > 0$ and $\lambda < 0$, then (g, w) of Lemma 4.2 cannot be complete.*

Proof. The metric g in (4.42) can be viewed as a warped product metric $g = dx_1^2 + \eta^2 \tilde{g}$ where $\tilde{g} = (p'(x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$. If either $\lambda \geq 0$, or $\rho > 0$ and $\lambda < 0$, from (4.45) there exists $0 \leq t_0 < \infty$ such that $\eta(t_0) = 0$. Then g is smooth at t_0 only if \tilde{g} has positive constant curvature. Differentiating (4.50), the sectional curvature $k_{\tilde{g}}$ of \tilde{g} satisfies

$$k_{\tilde{g}} = -\frac{p'''}{p'} = ma \cdot p^{-m-1} + \frac{\lambda}{m+2}.$$

So, for \tilde{g} to have constant curvature, a must be zero, which is a contradiction. \square

For his equation (2.2) Kobayashi made a complete list of conditions for parameters and initial values which comprise (I) through (VI); see page 670 of [14]. To solve (4.43), we shall use that list under the match $n \leftrightarrow m+1$, $k \leftrightarrow \frac{\mu}{m-1}$ and $R \leftrightarrow m(m+1)\rho$.

In our case a cannot be zero and p cannot be a constant. And $\rho \leq 0$ and $\lambda < 0$ from Lemma 4.3. In his case (I), $p(x_3)$ becomes constant by his Proposition 2.2. In (III.1)~(III.3) cases;

Lemma 4.4. *If one of the conditions (III.1)~(III.3) is satisfied, then (g, w) of Lemma 4.2 cannot be complete.*

Proof. By proposition 2.4 in [14], under one of (III.1)~(III.3), $\lim_{s \rightarrow s_0} (p')^2 = \infty$ for some s_0 . By (4.43) either $\lim_{s \rightarrow s_0} p(s) = 0$ or $\lim_{s \rightarrow s_0} p(s) = \infty$. Then either the sectional curvature $R_{2332} = -\left(\frac{\partial_1 \eta}{\eta}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{\eta^2} \left(ma \cdot p^{-m-1} + \frac{\lambda}{m+2}\right)$ diverges or the potential function $w = p\eta$ diverges at a point of finite distance from a fixed point. So, we cannot get a complete metric. \square

So, we have a shorter list of conditions for possibly complete solutions:

$$(4.51) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{IV.1.} & a > 0, \rho \leq 0. \\ \text{IV.3.} & a < 0, \rho < 0, \mu \leq \kappa_0, p(0) > \rho_0. \end{array}$$

Here, when $a\rho > 0$, $\rho_0 = \left\{\frac{a}{\rho}\right\}^{1/(m+1)}$ and $\kappa_0 = (m+1)\rho\rho_0^2$.

The next two lemmas follow the line of argument made in Kobayashi's proposition 2.5.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that (IV.1) is satisfied and $\lambda < 0$. Then a positive solution p of (4.43) is defined on \mathbb{R} and $p'(x_3)$ has a unique root. And the space (g, w) of Lemma 4.2 is defined on \mathbb{R}^3 and complete.*

Proof. We may denote by $s := x_3$. Set $F(p) = \rho p^2 + \frac{2a}{m-1} p^{1-m}$. $F(p)$ is decreasing on $p > 0$ and $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} F(p) = +\infty$. As $\frac{\mu}{m-1} = (p')^2 + \rho p^2 + \frac{2a}{m-1} p^{1-m} \geq F(p)$, so $p > p_1$ for some $p_1 > 0$.

The equation $h'' + \rho h = \frac{a}{p_1^m}$ has a solution $h(s) = c_1 e^{\sqrt{-\rho}s} + c_2 e^{-\sqrt{-\rho}s} + \frac{a}{p_1^m \rho}$ when $\rho < 0$, and $h(s) = c_1 s + c_2 + \frac{as^2}{2p_1^m}$ when $\rho = 0$. h is defined on \mathbb{R} . Then from (4.50), $(p - h)'' + \rho(p - h) = \frac{a}{p^m} - \frac{a}{p_1^m} = a \frac{1}{p^m p_1^m} (p_1^m - p^m) \leq 0$. From $h'(0) = p'(0)$ and $h(0) = p(0)$, we have $p \leq h$. As $p \geq p_1 > 0$, we have p defined on \mathbb{R} .

Next, we can show $p'(s)$ has a unique root. The following simple argument is from [15, Lemma 3.4]. We have $p'' \geq \frac{a}{p^m}$ from (4.50). Assume $p' < 0$ everywhere, then $p(s) \leq p(0)$ for all $s \geq 0$. So $p'' \geq C$ for some positive constant C for $s > 0$. This implies $p'(s) > 0$ somewhere, a contradiction. Similarly, it is impossible to have $p'(s) > 0$ everywhere. Hence $p'(s) = 0$ for some s . Since $p'' > 0$, the root of $p'(s) = 0$ is unique. We may assume $p'(0) = 0$. Then p is an even function. So, $p'(s)$ is a smooth odd function and $p''(0) > 0$. We regard (x_3, x_2) as polar coordinates (r, θ) on \mathbb{R}^2 so that $dx_3^2 + (p'(x_3))^2 dx_2^2$ can be a smooth metric near $x_3 = 0$ where $x_2 \in [0, \frac{2\pi}{p''(0)}]$. As $\rho \leq 0$ and $\lambda < 0$, η can be some cosine hyperbolic function ($\rho < 0$) or an exponential function ($\rho = 0$) from (4.44), defined on \mathbb{R} . The metric $g = dx_1^2 + \eta^2(p')^2 dx_2^2 + \eta^2 dx_3^2$ on $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < x_3 < \infty, x_2 \in [0, \frac{2\pi}{p''(0)}]\}$ can be extended smoothly over the set $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq x_3 < \infty, x_2 \in [0, \frac{2\pi}{p''(0)}]\}$ which is \mathbb{R}^3 . The potential function $w = p(x_3)\eta(x_1)$ is also smooth positive on \mathbb{R}^3 . \square

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose $a < 0$, $\rho < 0$, $p(0) > \rho_0$ and $\lambda < 0$.*

If $\mu = \kappa_0$, then a positive solution p of (4.43) is defined on \mathbb{R} and $p'(s)$ does not have a root. And the space (g, w) of Lemma 4.2 is defined on \mathbb{R}^3 and complete.

If $\mu < \kappa_0$, then a positive solution p of (4.43) is defined on \mathbb{R} and $p'(s)$ has a unique root. And the space (g, w) of Lemma 4.2 is defined on \mathbb{R}^3 and complete.

Proof. Set $G(p) = \frac{\mu}{m-1} - \frac{2a}{m-1} p^{1-m} - \rho p^2$, which is increasing on $p > \rho_0$, decreasing on $0 < p < \rho_0$ and $G(\rho_0) = \frac{\mu}{m-1} - \frac{k_0}{m-1} \leq 0$. We have $p(0) > \rho_0$. In case $\mu < \kappa_0$, $G(\rho_0) < 0$. And $(p')^2 = G(p) \geq 0$ means that $p(s) > \rho_0$. In case $\mu = \kappa_0$, if $p(s_0) = \rho_0$ for some s_0 then $p'(s_0) = 0$. From (4.50) we see that $p(s) \equiv \rho_0$, a contradiction. We again have $p(s) > \rho_0 > 0$.

As in the second paragraph in the proof of Lemma 4.5 we can see that p is defined on \mathbb{R} and $p(s) > \rho_0$.

Suppose $\mu = \kappa_0$. If $p'(s_1) = 0$ for some s_1 , then $G(p(s_1)) = 0 = G(\rho_0)$. By the behaviour of G , $p(s_1) = \rho_0$, which is impossible. So, $p'(s)$ does not have a root. As $\rho < 0, \lambda < 0$, η can be some cosine hyperbolic function

from (4.44), defined on \mathbb{R} . The metric $g = dx_1^2 + \eta^2(p')^2 dx_2^2 + \eta^2 dx_3^2$ and $w = p(x_3)\eta(x_1)$ are complete on $\mathbb{R}^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Now suppose $\mu < \kappa_0$. Set $B(p) = -\frac{2a}{m-1}p^{1-m} - \rho p^2$. As $(p'(s))^2 = B(p(s)) + \frac{\mu}{m-1}$, we have $B(p(s)) \geq -\frac{\mu}{m-1} > -\frac{\kappa_0}{m-1} = B(\rho_0)$. $B(p)$ is increasing on $[\rho_0, \infty)$. Set $b = B|_{[\rho_0, \infty)}$. As $p(s) > \rho_0$, $p(s) \geq b^{-1}(-\frac{\mu}{m-1}) > \rho_0$. In the formula $p'' = ap^{-m} - \rho p$, the right hand side is a positive increasing function of p when $p \geq \rho_0$. So, $p''(s) \geq a\{b^{-1}(-\frac{\mu}{m-1})\}^{-m} - \rho b^{-1}(-\frac{\mu}{m-1}) := \tau_0 > a\rho_0^{-m} - \rho\rho_0 = 0$.

Now, following the argument in the proof of Lemma 4.5, one can show that $p'(s) = 0$ has a unique root, say 0, and that regarding (x_3, x_2) as polar coordinates (r, θ) on \mathbb{R}^2 , the metric $g = dx_1^2 + \eta^2(p')^2 dx_2^2 + \eta^2 dx_3^2$ can be defined on $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq x_3 < \infty, x_2 \in [0, \frac{2\pi}{p''(0)}]\}$ which is \mathbb{R}^3 . $w = p(x_3)\eta(x_1)$ is also smooth positive on \mathbb{R}^3 . □

Proposition 4.7. *Let (M, g, w) be a complete $(\lambda, 3+m)$ -Einstein manifold such that there are exactly two distinct Ricci-eigen values on an open dense subset and that ∇w is not a Ricci-eigen vector field and $E_1\mu_2 \neq 0$ for an adapted frame field $\{E_i\}$.*

Then in some coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) , (g, w) can be as follows:

$$(4.52) \quad g = dx_1^2 + (\eta(x_1))^2(p'(x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + (\eta(x_1))^2 dx_3^2$$

where $p(x_3)$ is a non-constant positive solution on \mathbb{R} of

$$(4.53) \quad (p')^2 + \rho p^2 + \frac{2a}{m-1}p^{1-m} = \frac{\mu}{m-1},$$

and $\eta(x_1)$ is a non-constant positive solution on \mathbb{R} of

$$(4.54) \quad (\eta')^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2}\eta^2 = \rho,$$

for constants $a \neq 0$, ρ and μ under one of the following conditions;

(i-1) $\lambda < 0$, $a > 0$, $\rho \leq 0$,

(i-2) $\lambda < 0$, $a < 0$, $\rho < 0$, $\mu \leq (m+1)\rho(\frac{a}{\rho})^{2/(m+1)}$, $p(0) > (\frac{a}{\rho})^{1/(m+1)}$.

And $w = p \cdot \eta$.

Conversely, any (g, w) as in the above is complete and satisfies $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$, $E_1\mu_2 \neq 0$ and (2.2).

5. WHEN ∇w IS NOT A RICCI-EIGEN FIELD AND $E_1\mu_2 = 0$.

In this section we treat the case when $E_1\mu_2 = 0$, as planned in the beginning of Section 4. Then μ_2 is constant from (3.15). We can have more information than Lemma 3.3. From (3.16) and $E_1\mu_2 = 0$, for $j = 2, 3$ we

get $\langle \nabla_{E_j} E_j, E_1 \rangle = 0$ and $H = 0$ in (3.27). Since a leaf L tangent to E_{23} is umbilic, L is totally geodesic.

We shall show that the subcase of $\alpha = \langle \nabla_{E_1} E_3, E_1 \rangle = 0$ cannot happen.

Lemma 5.1. *Let (M, g, w) be a $(\lambda, 3 + m)$ -Einstein manifold with Ricci-eigen values $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. Suppose that ∇w is not a Ricci-eigen vector field and that $E_1 \mu_2 = 0$ for an adapted field E_i in an open subset \mathcal{U} of $\{\nabla w \neq 0\}$. Then there is no solution with $\alpha = 0$.*

Proof. Assume $\alpha = 0$. We use $\langle \nabla_{E_j} E_j, E_1 \rangle = 0$, $j = 2, 3$, and (2.13) for the metric of Lemma 3.4 and can write

$$(5.55) \quad g = dx_1^2 + q^2(x_3)dx_2^2 + dx_3^2,$$

Use Lemma 3.3 to evaluate the equation (2.2) on (E_i, E_i) , $i = 1, 2$ and on (E_1, E_3) ;

$$(5.56) \quad \partial_1 \partial_1 w = -\frac{w}{m} \lambda,$$

$$(5.57) \quad \frac{q'}{q} \partial_3 w = -\frac{w}{m} \left(\frac{q''}{q} + \lambda \right),$$

$$(5.58) \quad \partial_1 \partial_3 w = 0.$$

(5.58) gives $w = w_1(x_1) + w_3(x_3)$. (5.56) then gives $\partial_1 \partial_1 w_1 = -\frac{w_1(x_1) + w_3(x_3)}{m} \lambda$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then $w_3(x_3)$ is a constant. Then ∇w is Ricci-eigen vector field, a contradiction to the hypothesis.

If $\lambda = 0$, then $\partial_1 \partial_1 w_1 = 0$. So, $w_1 = ax_1 + b$ for constants a, b . Note that $q'' \neq 0$ because g will have zero curvature if $q'' = 0$. (5.57) gives $m \frac{q' \partial_3 w_3}{q''} + w_1(x_1) + w_3 = 0$, where only w_1 depends on x_1 , so $w_1 = b$. Then ∇w is Ricci-eigen vector field, a contradiction to the hypothesis. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let (M, g, w) be a $(\lambda, 3 + m)$ -Einstein manifold with Ricci-eigen values $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. Suppose that ∇w is not a Ricci-eigen vector field and $E_1 \mu_2 = 0$ for an adapted field E_i in an open subset \mathcal{U} of $\{\nabla w \neq 0\}$.*

Then there exist local coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) near any point in \mathcal{U} where (g, w) can be follows:

$$g = (p(x_3))^2 dx_1^2 + (p')^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2,$$

for a non-constant positive function $p(x_3)$ satisfying

$$(5.59) \quad (p')^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2} p^2 + \frac{2a}{m} p^{-m} = k.$$

for constants $a \neq 0, k$. And $w = \tau(x_1)p(x_3)$, where $\tau(x_1)$ is a non-constant positive function satisfying $(\tau')^2 = -k \cdot \tau^2 + \frac{\mu}{m-1}$. Conversely, any (g, w) in the above form satisfies that ∇w is not a Ricci-eigen vector field, $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$, $E_1 \mu_2 = 0$ and (2.2).

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, we may assume $\alpha \neq 0$. For the metric in Lemma 3.5, $H = \frac{\partial_1 g_{33}}{g_{33}\sqrt{g_{11}}} = 0$, so $\partial_1 g_{33} = 0$. Replacing $g_{33}(x_3)dx_3^2$ by dx_3^2 , the metric can be written as

$$(5.60) \quad g = g_{11}(x_1, x_3)dx_1^2 + g_{22}(x_3)dx_2^2 + dx_3^2,$$

with $E_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{ii}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

(3.29) gives $\partial_3 \alpha + \alpha \beta + \alpha^2 = 0$. As $\alpha = \langle \nabla_{E_1} E_3, E_1 \rangle = \partial_3 \ln(\sqrt{g_{11}}) \neq 0$ and $\beta = -\partial_3 \ln(\sqrt{g_{22}})$, $\frac{\partial_3 \partial_3 \ln(\sqrt{g_{11}})}{\partial_3 \ln(\sqrt{g_{11}})} - \partial_3 \ln(\sqrt{g_{22}}) + \partial_3 \ln(\sqrt{g_{11}}) = 0$. Integrating, $\partial_3 \sqrt{g_{11}} = c_9(x_1)\sqrt{g_{22}}$ and $\sqrt{g_{11}} = c_9(x_1)(\int \sqrt{g_{22}}(x_3)dx_3 + c_{10}(x_1))$ for functions c_9 and c_{10} .

Replacing $c_9^2(x_1)dx_1^2$ by dx_1^2 and denoting $\int \sqrt{g_{22}}dx_3$ as $p(x_3)$,

$$(5.61) \quad g = \{p(x_3) + c_{10}(x_1)\}^2 dx_1^2 + p'(x_3)^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2.$$

The curvature components are computed from (3.28);

$$\begin{aligned} R_{11} &= R(E_1, E_1) = -2 \frac{p''}{p + c_{10}}, \quad R_{22} = R_{33} = -\frac{p'''}{p'} - \frac{p''}{p + c_{10}} \\ R &= -2 \frac{p'''}{p'} - \frac{4p''}{p + c_{10}} \end{aligned}$$

Use $\alpha = \frac{p'}{p + c_{10}}$, $\beta = -\frac{p''}{p'}$ and (3.27) to evaluate the equation (2.2) on (E_i, E_i) , $i = 1, 2, 3$ and on (E_1, E_3) , we obtain

$$(5.62) \quad \frac{\partial_1}{p + c_{10}} \left(\frac{\partial_1 w}{p + c_{10}} \right) + \frac{p'}{p + c_{10}} (\partial_3 w) = \frac{w}{m} \left(-2 \frac{p''}{p + c_{10}} - \lambda \right),$$

$$(5.63) \quad \frac{p''}{p'} (\partial_3 w) = \frac{w}{m} \left(-\frac{p'''}{p'} - \frac{p''}{p + c_{10}} - \lambda \right)$$

$$(5.64) \quad \partial_3 \partial_3 w = \frac{w}{m} \left(-\frac{p'''}{p'} - \frac{p''}{p + c_{10}} - \lambda \right).$$

$$(5.65) \quad \frac{1}{p + c_{10}} \partial_1 \partial_3 w - \frac{p'}{(p + c_{10})^2} (\partial_1 w) = 0.$$

(5.63) and (5.64) gives $\partial_3 \partial_3 w = \frac{p''}{p'} \partial_3 w$ so that $\partial_3 w = \tau(x_1) p'(x_3)$ and $w = \tau(x_1) \{p(x_3) + c_{12}(x_1)\}$ for a function $\tau \neq 0$. Then (5.64) yields

$$(5.66) \quad \frac{mp''}{p + c_{12}} = -\frac{p'''}{p'} - \frac{p''}{p + c_{10}} - \lambda.$$

Take ∂_1 to get $-\frac{mp''(\partial_1 c_{12})}{(p+c_{12})^2} = \frac{p''(\partial_1 c_{10})}{(p+c_{10})^2}$. Note that $p'' \neq 0$; otherwise $R_{11} = R_{22} = R_{33} = 0$. Then

$$(5.67) \quad -m(\partial_1 c_{12})(p^2 + 2pc_{10} + c_{10}^2) = (\partial_1 c_{10})(p^2 + 2pc_{12} + c_{12}^2).$$

Take ∂_3 , divide by p' and take ∂_3 again to get $-m(\partial_1 c_{12}) = (\partial_1 c_{10})$. If $\partial_1 c_{12} \neq 0$, then $c_{12} = c_{10}$ by (5.67). This will give a contradiction. So, c_{12} and c_{10} are both constants.

Put $w = \tau(x_1)\{p(x_3) + c_{12}\}$ into (5.65), we get $(\partial_1 \tau)(c_{10} - c_{12}) = 0$. Here $\partial_1 \tau \neq 0$; otherwise, $\partial_1 w = 0$, a contradiction. So, $c_{10} = c_{12}$. Then we can replace the function $p(x_3) + c_{10}$ by another function, still denoted by $p(x_3)$, so that the metric becomes;

$$(5.68) \quad g = \{p(x_3)\}^2 dx_1^2 + \{p'(x_3)\}^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2,$$

with $w = \tau(x_1)p(x_3)$. And we may put $c_{10} = c_{12} = 0$ in (5.62)~(5.65).

From (5.63) we get $\frac{p'''}{p'} + (m+1)\frac{p''}{p} + \lambda = 0$. Integrating this,

$$(5.69) \quad m(p')^2 + 2pp'' + \lambda p^2 = km$$

for a constant k . Multiply by $p^{m-1}p'$ to get $mp^{m-1}(p')^3 + 2p^m p' p'' + \lambda p^{m+1} p' = kmp^{m-1}p'$. Integrate to get $p^m(p')^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2}p^{m+2} = kp^m - \frac{2a}{m}$ for a constant a . Thus we obtain (5.59). Differentiate (5.59) to get

$$(5.70) \quad p'' + \frac{\lambda}{m+2}p = ap^{-m-1}.$$

And from (5.62), we get $m\frac{\partial_1 \partial_1 \tau}{\tau} = -2pp'' - \lambda p^2 - m(p')^2$. By (5.69), $\partial_1 \partial_1 \tau = -k\tau$. Integrating

$$(5.71) \quad (\tau')^2 = -k(\tau)^2 + \frac{\mu}{m-1}$$

for a constant μ . Note that τ is non-constant; otherwise ∇w becomes Ricci-eigen. It is easy to see that this μ is the same as the constant μ of Lemma 2.1.

If $a = 0$, then by (5.70) g is an Einstein metric with $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{2\lambda}{m+2}$. So a is not zero. \square

Note that (5.59) and (5.70) are also identical to the Kobayashi's equations (2.2) and (2.1) in [14], if we match $n \leftrightarrow m+2$, $\frac{R}{n-1} \leftrightarrow \lambda$.

As in Section 4, we look for conditions which can produce complete $(\lambda, 3+m)$ -Einstein manifolds under the hypothesis of Lemma 5.2. Among Kobayashi's list, the conditions I.1 and I.2 gives only constant solutions for p . The metric g of Lemma 5.2 can be shown to be incomplete under his (III.1)~(III.3) conditions, as in Lemma 4.4.

Since $a \neq 0$, we have the following list of three possible conditions:

$$\text{IV.1. } a > 0, \lambda \leq 0,$$

$$\text{IV.3. } a < 0, \lambda < 0, k \leq \kappa_0, p(0) > \rho_0,$$

$$\text{V. } a > 0, \lambda > 0, k > \kappa_0,$$

where $a\lambda > 0$, $\rho_0 = \left\{ \frac{a(m+2)}{\lambda} \right\}^{1/(m+2)}$ and $\kappa_0 = \frac{\lambda}{m} \left\{ \frac{a(m+2)}{\lambda} \right\}^{2/(m+2)}$.

The above three conditions are treated in Lemmas below. The proofs of Lemma 5.3 and 5.4 are similar to those of Lemma 4.5 and 4.6.

Lemma 5.3. *If $a > 0, \lambda \leq 0$, i.e. if (IV.1) is satisfied, then a positive solution p of (5.59) is defined on \mathbb{R} and $p'(s)$ has a unique root. And the space (g, w) of Lemma 5.2 is defined on \mathbb{R}^3 and complete.*

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose $a < 0, \lambda < 0, p(0) > \rho_0$.*

If $k = \kappa_0$, then a positive solution p of (5.59) is defined on \mathbb{R} and $p'(s)$ does not have a root. And the space (g, w) of Lemma 5.2 is defined on \mathbb{R}^3 and complete.

If $k < \kappa_0$, then a positive solution p of (5.59) is defined on \mathbb{R} and $p'(s)$ has a unique root. And the space (g, w) of Lemma 5.2 is defined on \mathbb{R}^3 and complete.

Lemma 5.5. *If $a > 0, \lambda > 0, k > \kappa_0$, i.e. if (V) is satisfied, then (g, w) of Lemma 5.2 is incomplete.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.6 in [14], a positive solution p of (5.59) is on \mathbb{R} , non-constant and periodic. The function $G(p) := k - \frac{\lambda}{m+2}p^2 - \frac{2a}{m}p^{-m}$ is decreasing on $p > \rho_0$, increasing on $0 < p < \rho_0$ and $G(\rho_0) = k - k_0 > 0$. For $p > 0$, $G(p) = 0$ has exactly two solutions, say $p_1 < p_2$. As $(p'(s))^2 = G(p(s))$, at any point s_0 with $p'(s_0) = 0$, we have $G(p(s_0)) = 0$. Then $p(s_0) = p_1$ or $p(s_0) = p_2$. These p_1, p_2 are the minimum and maximum of $p(s)$. There are $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $p(s_1) = p_1$ and $p(s_2) = p_2$ and (s_1, s_2) contains no root of p' . We should consider $g = p^2(x_3)dx_1^2 + (p')^2dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$ when $s_1 \leq x_3 \leq s_2$. If (g, w) is going to be complete, by section 1.4.1 of [17], we need; $p''(s_1) = -p''(s_2) \neq 0$. For this, (5.70) gives

$$(5.72) \quad \frac{\lambda}{m+2}(p_1 + p_2) = ap_1^{-m-1} + ap_2^{-m-1}$$

And (5.59) gives $\frac{\lambda}{m+2}p_i^2 + \frac{2a}{m}p_i^{-m} = k$ for $i = 1, 2$, from which we have

$$\frac{\lambda}{m+2}(p_1 + p_2) - \frac{2a}{mp_1p_2}(p_1^{-m+1} + p_1^{-m+2}p_2^{-1} + \cdots + p_2^{-m+1}) = 0. \text{ Then,}$$

$$\frac{\lambda}{m+2}(p_1 + p_2)p_1^{m+1}p_2^{m+1} - p_1p_2\frac{2a}{m}(p_1^{m-1} + p_1^{m-2}p_2 + \cdots + p_2^{m-1}) = 0.$$

From (5.72), $a(p_1^{m+1} + p_2^{m+1}) - p_1p_2\frac{2a}{m}(p_1^{m-1} + p_1^{m-2}p_2 + \cdots + p_2^{m-1}) = 0$.

So, $m(p_1^{m+1} + p_2^{m+1}) - 2(p_1^m p_2 + p_1^{m-1} p_2^2 + \cdots + p_1 p_2^m) = 0$.

Factorizing this, we get $(p_1 - p_2)^2 \{\sum_{k=1}^m k(m-k+1)p_1^{k-1}p_2^{m-k}\} = 0$. This is impossible as we require $p_1, p_2 > 0$ and $p_1 \neq p_2$. So, g cannot be complete near $x_3 = s_1$ and $x_3 = s_2$. \square

Proposition 5.6. *Let (M, g, w) be a complete $(\lambda, 3+m)$ -Einstein manifold such that there are exactly two distinct Ricci-eigen values on an open dense subset and that ∇w is not a Ricci-eigen vector field and $E_1\mu_2 = 0$ for an adapted frame field $\{E_i\}$.*

Then in some coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) , (g, w) can be as follows:

$$g = (p(x_3))^2 dx_1^2 + (p')^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2,$$

where $p(x_3)$ is a non-constant positive solution on \mathbb{R} of

$$(5.73) \quad (p')^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2} p^2 + \frac{2a}{m} p^{-m} = k.$$

for constants $a \neq 0, k$ under one of the following conditions;

(ii-1) $a > 0, \lambda \leq 0$,

(ii-2) $a < 0, \lambda < 0, p(0) > \rho_0, k \leq \kappa_0$.

And $w = \tau(x_1)p(x_3)$, where $\tau(x_1)$ is a non-constant positive function satisfying

$$(5.74) \quad (\tau')^2 = -k \cdot \tau^2 + \frac{\mu}{m-1}.$$

Conversely, any space (g, w) as in the above satisfies $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$, $E_1\mu_2 = 0$ and (2.2).

6. WHEN $\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} = E_1$

Now we shall study the case that ∇w is a Ricci-eigen vector field. In this section we assume that ∇w is parallel to E_1 for an adapted frame field $\{E_i\}$. We may set $\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} = E_1$. As $\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} = E_1$, we have $\nabla_{E_2} w = \nabla_{E_3} w = 0$. In the next section we treat the other case that ∇w is orthogonal to E_1 .

This section includes 3-d locally conformally flat (non-Einstein) m-quasi Einstein manifolds, as they have the property [7] that ∇w is Ricci-eigen, $\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} = E_1$ and $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. The next lemma can be proved by standard argument; see [7] or [12, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 6.1. *Let (M^3, g, w) be a $(\lambda, 3+m)$ -Einstein manifold with $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ on an open subset of $\{\nabla w \neq 0\}$. Let c be a regular value of w and $\Sigma_c = \{x \mid w(x) = c\}$. If $\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} = E_1$ for an adapted frame field $\{E_i\}$, then the followings hold.*

(i) R and $|\nabla w|^2$ are constant on a connected component of Σ_c .

(ii) There is a function s locally defined with $s(x) = \int \frac{dw}{|\nabla w|}$, so that

- $ds = \frac{dw}{|\nabla w|}$ and $E_1 = \nabla s$.
- (iii) $\nabla_{E_1} E_1 = 0$.
 - (iv) $R(E_1, E_1)$ and $R(E_2, E_2)$ are constant on a connected component of Σ_c , and so depend on the local variable s only.
 - (v) Near a point in Σ_c , the metric g can be written as
 $g = ds^2 + \sum_{i,j>1} g_{ij}(s, x_2, x_3) dx_i \otimes dx_j$, where x_2, x_3 is a local coordinates system on Σ_c .
 - (vi) $\nabla_{E_i} E_1 = \zeta(s) E_i, i = 2, 3$ with $\zeta(s) = \frac{w(\lambda_2 - \lambda)}{m|\nabla w|}$.

Proof. By assumption, for $i = 2, 3$, $R(\nabla w, E_i) = 0$ and Lemma 2.1 (ii) gives $E_i(R) = 0$. Equation (2.2) gives $E_i(|\nabla w|^2) = 0$. We can see $d(\frac{dw}{|\nabla w|}) = 0$. $\langle \nabla_{E_1} E_1, E_1 \rangle = 0$ is trivial. We can get $\langle \nabla_{E_1} E_1, E_i \rangle = \langle \nabla_{E_1}(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}), E_i \rangle = 0$ from (2.2). (i), (ii) and (iii) are proved. As ∇w and the level surfaces of w are perpendicular, we get (v).

Lemma 2.1 (ii) gives $\frac{w}{2(m-1)} E_1 R = -R(E_1, E_1) |\nabla w| + \frac{(n-1)\lambda - R}{m-1} |\nabla w|$. From this, $-\frac{w}{2|\nabla w|} E_1 R + 2\lambda = m\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2$, the left hand side of which is a function of s only, as is $E_1(R) = \frac{dR}{ds}$. Since $R = \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2$ is a function of s only, we have that λ_1 and λ_2 both depend on s only. We get (iv). (2.2) can be used to prove (vi). \square

Lemma 6.2. *Let (M, g, w) be a $(\lambda, 3+m)$ -Einstein manifold. Suppose that $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ and $\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} = E_1$ for an adapted frame field $\{E_i\}$, on an open subset U of $\{\nabla w \neq 0\}$.*

Then near any point of U , there exists local coordinates (s, x_2, x_3) such that $\nabla s = \frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}$ and g can be written as

$$(6.75) \quad g = ds^2 + h(s)^2 \tilde{g},$$

where $h := h(s)$ is a smooth function and \tilde{g} is (a pull-back of) a Riemannian metric of constant curvature on a 2-dimensional domain with x_2, x_3 coordinates. In particular, g is locally conformally flat.

Proof. For the metric g of Lemma 6.1 (v), one easily gets $E_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$. We write $\partial_1 := \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ and $\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, i = 2, 3$.

We consider the second fundamental form \tilde{h} of a leaf for E_{23} with respect to E_1 ; $\tilde{h}(u, u) = -\langle \nabla_u u, E_1 \rangle$. As the leaf is totally umbilic by Lemma 2.3 (ii), $\tilde{h}(u, u) = \eta \cdot g(u, u)$ for some function η and any u tangent to a leaf. Then, $\tilde{h}(E_2, E_2) = -\langle \nabla_{E_2} E_2, E_1 \rangle = \eta = \zeta$, which is a function of s only by Lemma 6.1 (vi).

For $i, j \in \{2, 3\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta g_{ij} &= \tilde{h}(\partial_i, \partial_j) = -\langle \nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle = -\langle \sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle \\ &= -\sum_k \langle \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} (\partial_i g_{lj} + \partial_j g_{li} - \partial_l g_{ij}) \partial_k, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} g_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

So, $\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} g_{ij} = \zeta g_{ij}$. Integrating it, for $i, j \in \{2, 3\}$, we get $g_{ij} = e^{C_{ij}} h(s)^2$. Here the function $h(s) > 0$ is independent of i, j and each function C_{ij} depends only on x_2, x_3 .

Now g can be written as $g = ds^2 + h(s)^2 \tilde{g}$, where \tilde{g} can be viewed as a Riemannian metric in a domain of (x_2, x_3) -plane.

From Gauss-Codazzi equation, $R^g = R^{\tilde{g}} + 2Ric^g(E_1, E_1) + \|h\|^2 - H^2$. As all others are constant on a hypersurface of w , so is $R^{\tilde{g}}$. Therefore each hypersurface has constant curvature. Thus \tilde{g} has constant curvature and g is locally conformally flat. \square

For the metric of (6.75), the equation (2.2) is equivalent to;

$$\begin{aligned}m \frac{w''}{w} &= -2 \frac{h''}{h} - \lambda, \\ m \frac{w'}{w} \frac{h'}{h} &= -\frac{h''}{h} - \left(\frac{h'}{h}\right)^2 + \frac{k}{h^2} - \lambda.\end{aligned}$$

where k is the sectional curvature of \tilde{g} .

7. WHEN $\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} = E_3$

We still assume ∇w is a Ricci-eigen vector field on a domain and $\lambda_1 = R(E_1, E_1) \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. We assume that ∇w is orthogonal to E_1 . We may choose E_2, E_3 so that $E_3 = \frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}$. Then $E_1 w = E_2 w = 0$. The next lemma can be proved similarly as Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 7.1. *Let (M^3, g, w) be a $(\lambda, 3 + m)$ -Einstein manifold. Assume $\lambda_1 = R(E_1, E_1) \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ and $E_3 = \frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}$ on an open subset of $\{\nabla w \neq 0\}$. Let c be a regular value of w and $\Sigma_c = \{x \mid w(x) = c\}$. Then the followings hold.*

- (i) R and $|\nabla w|^2$ are constant on a connected component of Σ_c .
- (ii) $\nabla_{E_3} E_3 = 0$.
- (iii) λ_1 and λ_2 are constant on a connected component of Σ_c .

Lemma 7.2. *Under the same hypothesis as Lemma 7.1, the followings hold;*

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{E_i} E_3 &= \zeta_i E_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \text{ with } \zeta_i = \frac{w}{m} \frac{R(E_i, E_i) - \lambda}{|\nabla w|}, \\ \nabla_{E_1} E_1 &= -\zeta_1 E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_1 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_2} E_2 &= -\zeta_2 E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_3} E_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{E_3} E_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{E_3} E_3 = 0, \\ [E_3, E_2] &= -\zeta_2 E_2, \quad [E_3, E_1] = -\zeta_1 E_1, \quad [E_2, E_1] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

ζ_1 and ζ_2 are constant on a connected component of Σ_c .

The three 2-d distributions E_{ij} spanned by E_i and E_j , $i \neq j$ are integrable.

Proof. Recall that for $j = 1, 2$, the eigenvalues of our Codazzi tensor \mathcal{C} are $\mu_j = w^{m+1}\lambda_j - \frac{m}{2(m-1)}Rw^{m+1} + \frac{1}{m-1}\lambda w^{m+1}$. By Lemma 7.1, $E_i(\lambda_j) = 0$ and $E_i(\mu_j) = 0$ for $i, j \leq 2$. By Lemma 2.3 (i) and $\mu_2 = \mu_3$, we have $\langle \nabla_{E_1} E_1, E_2 \rangle = 0$, $\langle \nabla_{E_2} E_2, E_1 \rangle = \langle \nabla_{E_3} E_3, E_1 \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \nabla_{E_3} E_2, E_1 \rangle = \langle \nabla_{E_2} E_3, E_1 \rangle = 0$.

Other formulas can be easily obtained from (2.2). The constancy of ζ_i on a connected component of Σ_c is from Lemma 7.1. Integrability of E_{ij} is from bracket formulas. \square

By Lemma 2.5, the metric g can be written as $g = g_{11}dx_1^2 + g_{22}dx_2^2 + g_{33}dx_3^2$, where g_{ij} are functions of (x_1, x_2, x_3) and $E_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{ii}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. By (2.13), $\nabla_{E_3} E_3 = 0$ tells us that $\partial_1 g_{33} = \partial_2 g_{33} = 0$. Thus we can replace $g_{33}dx_3^2$ by dx_3^2 . In this new coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) we write $\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. From $\langle \nabla_{E_2} E_2, E_1 \rangle = 0$, we get $\partial_1 g_{22} = 0$. Similarly, $\partial_2 g_{11} = 0$. We may write for some functions p and h ,

$$(7.76) \quad g = (p(x_1, x_3))^2 dx_1^2 + (h(x_2, x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2.$$

With $E_2 = \frac{1}{h(x_2, x_3)} \partial_2$, $E_3 = \partial_3$ and $E_1 = \frac{1}{p(x_1, x_3)} \partial_1$, we get $\zeta_1(x_3) = \langle \nabla_{E_1} E_3, E_1 \rangle = \frac{\partial_3 g_{11}}{2g_{11}} = \frac{\partial_3 p}{p}$ and $\zeta_2(x_3) = \langle \nabla_{E_2} E_3, E_2 \rangle = \frac{\partial_3 h}{h}$. Here ζ_i depend only on x_3 , because they are constant on a connected component of Σ_c which is tangent to the span of ∂_1 and ∂_2 .

Integrating them, we have $h(x_2, x_3) = h_1(x_2) \cdot h_2(x_3)$ and $p(x_1, x_3) = p_1(x_1) \cdot p_2(x_3)$ with positive functions h_1, h_2, p_1, p_2 .

Replacing $h_1^2 dx_2^2$ by dx_2^2 and $p_1^2 dx_1^2$ by dx_1^2 , we may write

$$(7.77) \quad g = p^2(x_3) dx_1^2 + h^2(x_3) dx_2^2 + dx_3^2,$$

with

$$(7.78) \quad \zeta_1(x_3) = \frac{d}{dx_3}(\ln p) \quad \zeta_2(x_3) = \frac{d}{dx_3}(\ln h).$$

The condition $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ is equivalent to $R_{2112} = R_{3113}$ where $R_{3113} = -\frac{p''}{p}$ and $R_{2112} = -\frac{p'h'}{ph}$. We get

$$(7.79) \quad \frac{p''}{p} = \frac{p'h'}{ph}.$$

Lemma 7.3. *For the metric in (7.77), if p is not a constant, then the metric g becomes*

$$g = (p(x_3))^2 dx_1^2 + (p'(x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2,$$

where p is a solution of $(p')^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2}p^2 + \frac{2a}{m}p^{-m} = 0$ for a constant $a \neq 0$. And the potential function $w = c_1 p$ for a constant $c_1 > 0$. The constant μ of (2.3) is zero. Conversely, any (g, w) as above satisfies $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$, $\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} = E_3$, $\mu = 0$ and (2.2).

The metric g is complete precisely when $a > 0$, $\lambda < 0$.

Proof. If p is not a constant, then we get $p' = Ch$ from (7.79). As $p' = Ch$, after replacing $\frac{x_2}{C}$ by new x_2 , the metric of (7.77) can be written as

$$(7.80) \quad g = (p(x_3))^2 dx_1^2 + (p'(x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2.$$

From now the proof will be similar to that of Lemma 5.2. Ricci curvature components are $R_{11} := R(E_1, E_1) = -2\frac{p''}{p}$, $R_{22} = R_{33} = -\frac{p''}{p} - \frac{p'''}{p'}$. Use Lemma 7.2 and (7.78) to get the nontrivial components of the equation $\nabla dw = \frac{w}{m}(Rc - \lambda g)$ as below.

$$(7.81) \quad \frac{p'}{p}w' = \frac{w}{m}(-2\frac{p''}{p} - \lambda)$$

$$(7.82) \quad \frac{p''}{p'}w' = \frac{w}{m}(-\frac{p''}{p} - \frac{p'''}{p'} - \lambda)$$

$$(7.83) \quad w'' = \frac{w}{m}(-\frac{p''}{p} - \frac{p'''}{p'} - \lambda)$$

By (7.82) and (7.83), $w'' = \frac{p''}{p'}w'$ and we get $w = c_1 p + b_1$ for some constants $c_1 \neq 0$ and b_1 . Then by (7.81),

$$(7.84) \quad mc_1(p')^2 + (c_1 p + b_1)(2p'' + \lambda p) = 0.$$

And (7.83) gives

$$(7.85) \quad c_1 m p'' + (c_1 p + b_1)(\frac{p''}{p} + \frac{p'''}{p'} + \lambda) = 0.$$

Differentiating (7.84) and comparing with (7.85), we get $b_1 p'(2p'' + \lambda p) = 0$. But if $2p'' + \lambda p = 0$, then $p' = 0$ by (7.84). Thus $b_1 = 0$.

Multiplying $p^{m-1}p'$ to (7.84) and integrating, $p^m(p')^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2}p^{m+2} + \frac{2a}{m} = 0$ for a constant a . And

$$(7.86) \quad (p')^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2}p^2 + \frac{2a}{m}p^{-m} = 0.$$

Using (7.86) the Ricci eigenvalues can be computed as $\lambda_1 = m(\frac{p'}{p})^2 + \lambda$, $\lambda_2 = -\frac{m^2}{2}(\frac{p'}{p})^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}(m-2)$. Assigning these values to (2.3), we get $\mu = 0$.

If $a = 0$ then one can get $R_{11} = R_{22}$, a contradiction. So, $a \neq 0$. Note that the above ODE is also identical to the Kobayahis's equation (2.2) in [14] if the correspondence is $k \leftrightarrow 0$, $n-2 \leftrightarrow m$, $\frac{R}{n-1} \leftrightarrow \lambda$. As $a \neq 0$ and $k = 0$,

among Kobayashi's list we get a shorter list of I.1, III.1, III.3 and IV.1. By a similar argument as in Sections 4, the conditions I.1, III.1 and III.3 do not hold a complete space. As in the proof of Lemma 4.5 we can show that the IV.1 case of $a > 0$, $\lambda \leq 0$ yields a complete space (g, w) . Actually $\lambda < 0$ from (7.86). \square

Lemma 7.4. *For the metric in (7.77), if p is a constant, then $\lambda = 0$ and the metric g becomes*

$$g = dx_1^2 + (w'(x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2,$$

and the potential function w satisfies $w = w(x_3)$ and

$$(7.87) \quad (w')^2 + \frac{2a}{m-1} w^{1-m} = \frac{\mu}{m-1}, \quad \text{for a constant } a \neq 0.$$

Conversely, any (g, w) as above satisfies $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$, $\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} = E_3$ and (2.2) with $\lambda = 0$.

The space (g, w) is complete precisely when $a > 0$, in which case $\mu > 0$.

Proof. If p is a constant, by (7.78) $\zeta_1 = 0$. And $R_{11} = 0$. By $\zeta_1 = \frac{w}{m|\nabla w|}(\lambda_1 - \lambda)$, we get $\lambda = 0$. From (7.77), g can be regarded as a product metric $g = dx_1^2 + \tilde{g}$ where $\tilde{g} = h(x_3)^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$.

From Lemma 7.2 and (7.78), the nontrivial components of the equation $\nabla dw = \frac{w}{m}(Rc - \lambda g)$ are as below.

$$(7.88) \quad w'' = -\frac{w}{m} \frac{h''}{h}$$

$$(7.89) \quad \frac{h'}{h} w' = -\frac{w}{m} \frac{h''}{h}$$

From the above, $w'' = \frac{h'}{h} w'$ and we get $h = cw'$ for a nonzero constant c . From (7.88) $mw'w'' + ww''' = 0$, which can be integrated to $w^m w'' = a$ for a constant a . Integrating this, for a constant μ_0 we get $(w')^2 + \frac{2a}{m-1} w^{1-m} = \frac{\mu_0}{m-1}$. From this and $R_{22} = R_{33} = -\frac{w'''}{w}$, one can see that μ_0 is the constant μ of (2.3). So we write;

$$(7.90) \quad (w')^2 + \frac{2a}{m-1} w^{1-m} = \frac{\mu}{m-1}.$$

And if $a = 0$, then $R_{11} = R_{22}$, a contradiction. So, $a \neq 0$.

After replacing cx_2 by new x_2 , the metric g can be written as $g = dx_1^2 + w'(x_3)^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$.

(7.90) is identical to the Kobayashi's equation (2.2) in [14] if the correspondence is $k \leftrightarrow \frac{\mu}{m-1}$, $n-2 \leftrightarrow m-1$, $R \leftrightarrow 0$. As $a \neq 0$ and $R = 0$, among

Kobayashi's list we get a shorter list of III.1 and IV.1. As in Lemma 4.4, the condition III.1 cannot hold a complete space.

By a similar argument as in Lemma 4.5 we can show that the IV.1 case of $a > 0$ yields a complete space (g, w) . In this case, $\mu > 0$ from (7.90). \square

We combine Lemma 7.3 and 7.4 and state

Proposition 7.5. *Let (M, g, w) be a $(\lambda, 3 + m)$ -Einstein manifold. Assume $E_3 = \frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}$ for an adapted frame field $\{E_i\}$ on an open subset of $\{\nabla w \neq 0\}$.*

Then there exist coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) locally in which the space (g, w) takes one of the following forms;

(i-3) $g = dx_1^2 + (w'(x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$ and the potential function $w = w(x_3)$ is a non-constant positive solution of $(w')^2 + \frac{2a}{m-1}w^{1-m} = \frac{\mu}{m-1}$ for a constant $a \neq 0$. The constant $\lambda = 0$. The space (g, w) is complete precisely when $a > 0$. In this complete case $\mu > 0$.

(ii-3) $g = (p(x_3))^2 dx_1^2 + (p'(x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$ where $p := p(x_3)$ is a non-constant positive solution of $(p')^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2}p^2 + \frac{2a}{m}p^{-m} = 0$ for a constant $a \neq 0$. And $w = c_1 p$ for a constant $c_1 > 0$. And $\mu = 0$. The space (g, w) is complete precisely when $a > 0$, $\lambda < 0$.

Conversely, any space (g, w) in (i-3) or (ii-3) satisfies $\lambda_1 = R(E_1, E_1) \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$, $\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} = E_3$ and (2.2).

8. WARPED PRODUCT EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS AND PROOFS OF THEOREMS

In this section we explain the warped product Einstein manifolds associated to the complete $(\lambda, 3 + m)$ -Einstein manifolds with $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. Recall that two dimensional complete $(\lambda, 2 + m)$ -Einstein manifolds and their warped product Einstein manifolds are described in 9.118 of Besse [2]. Some four dimensional work can be found in [19]. By comparing these, we find that all these works are closely related to Kobayashi's static spaces.

We discuss the complete warped product Einstein manifolds out of Proposition 4.7, 5.6 and 7.5.

8.1. Complete spaces out of Proposition 4.7. A complete $(\lambda, 3 + m)$ -Einstein manifold (g, w) in Proposition 4.7 is of the form

$g = dx_1^2 + (\eta(x_1))^2(p'(x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + (\eta(x_1))^2 dx_3^2$ and $w = \eta \cdot p$ satisfying (4.53) and (4.54). We have the associated warped product Einstein metric as follows; for a complete Einstein manifold (F, g_F) with $Rc_{g_F} = \mu g_F$,

$$(8.91) \quad g_E = dx_1^2 + (\eta(x_1))^2(p'(x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + p^2 g_F.$$

We observe that $g_0 := (p'(x_3))^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + p^2 g_F$ is an Einstein metric with $Rc_{g_0} = \rho(m+1)g_0$. In fact, the metric $dx_3^2 + p^2 g_F$ satisfying (4.53) is a static space with static potential function p' by Kobayashi's study [14], so g_0 is

Einstein. Now η satisfy (4.54), so one can reassure that $g_E = dx_1^2 + \eta^2 g_0$ is an Einstein metric with $Rc_{g_E} = \lambda g_E$.

To have a complete space, we are under one of the following cases;

(i-1) $\lambda < 0$, $a > 0$, $\rho \leq 0$

(i-2) $\lambda < 0$, $a < 0$, $\rho < 0$, $\mu \leq (m+1)\rho(\frac{a}{\rho})^{\frac{2}{m+1}}$, $p(0) > (\frac{a}{\rho})^{\frac{1}{m+1}}$.

By the proof of Lemma 4.5, under (i-1) g_E can be smoothly defined on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times F = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, z) \mid x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, x_2 \in [0, \frac{2\pi}{p'(0)}], x_3 \geq 0, z \in F\}$ where (x_3, x_2) is regarded as the polar coordinates of a plane. The condition (i-1) does not contain a restriction on the sign of μ .

By the proof of Lemma 4.6, under (i-2) with $\mu < \kappa_0 = (m+1)\rho(\frac{a}{\rho})^{2/(m+1)}$, g_E is on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times F$ where (x_3, x_2) , $x_3 \geq 0$, is the plane-polar coordinates. But under (i-2) with $\mu = \kappa_0$, g_E is on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times F$ where (x_1, x_2, x_3) is the standard coordinates of \mathbb{R}^3 .

8.2. Complete spaces out of Proposition 5.6. A complete $(\lambda, 3+m)$ -Einstein manifold (g, w) in Proposition 5.6 is of the form $g = p^2(x_3)dx_1^2 + (p')^2dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$ and $w = \tau(x_1)p(x_3)$, satisfying (5.73) and (5.74). We have the associated warped product Einstein metric as follows; for a complete Einstein manifold (F, g_F) with $Rc_{g_F} = \mu g_F$,

$$(8.92) \quad g_E = (p(x_3))^2(dx_1^2 + \tau(x_1)^2 g_F) + (p')^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2.$$

The metric $\tilde{g} := dx_1^2 + \tau^2 g_F$ is Einstein with $Rc_{\tilde{g}} = km\tilde{g}$ because of (5.74). Then by (5.73), $g_0 := p^2(x_3)(dx_1^2 + \tau^2 g_F) + dx_3^2$ is a static space with potential function p' ; see [14]. So one can reassure that $g_E = g_0 + (p')^2 dx_2^2$ is an Einstein metric with $Rc_{g_E} = \lambda g_E$.

To have a complete space, we are under one of the following cases;

(ii-1) $a > 0$, $\lambda \leq 0$

(ii-2) $a < 0$, $\lambda < 0$, $p(0) > \left\{ \frac{a(m+2)}{\lambda} \right\}^{\frac{1}{m+1}}$, $k \leq \kappa_0 = \frac{\lambda}{m} \left\{ \frac{a(m+2)}{\lambda} \right\}^{\frac{2}{m+1}}$.

We shall see that depending on conditions, the underlying manifold of g_E can vary: $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^{m+1}$, \mathbb{R}^{m+3} or $\mathbb{R}^3 \times F$.

Under (ii-1), as the above (i-1) case, (x_3, x_2) , $x_3 \geq 0$, is regarded as the plane-polar coordinates;

- If (ii-1) holds and $k > 0$, then $\mu > 0$ and we can write

$$g_E = p^2(dx_1^2 + \frac{\mu \sin^2(\sqrt{k}x_1)}{(m-1)k} g_F) + (p')^2 dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 \text{ with } Ric_{g_E} \leq 0. \text{ } g_F \text{ should}$$

be a round spherical metric and then $dx_1^2 + \frac{\mu \sin^2(\sqrt{k}x_1)}{(m-1)k} g_F$ should have positive constant curvature. So, g_E is defined on $\mathbb{S}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^2$. In particular, we have the Riemannian Schwarzschild Ricci flat metric when $Ric_{g_E} = 0$.

- If (ii-1) holds and $k = 0$, then $\mu > 0$ and $g_E = p^2(dx_1^2 + \frac{\mu}{m-1}x_1^2g_F) + (p')^2dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$ with $Ric_{g_E} < 0$. g_F should be a round spherical metric and then $dx_1^2 + \frac{\mu}{m-1}x_1^2g_F$ should have zero constant curvature. So, g_E is defined on \mathbb{R}^{m+3} .
- If (ii-1) holds and $k < 0$, then $\lambda < 0$. Depending on the sign of μ , we may write as below.

$$(8.93) \quad g_E = p^2(dx_1^2 + \frac{\mu \sinh^2(\sqrt{-k}x_1)}{(m-1)(-k)}g_F) + (p')^2dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{m+3} \text{ if } \mu > 0.$$

where g_F should be a round spherical metric with $Rc_{g_F} = -(m-1)kg_F$.

$$(8.94) \quad g_E = p^2(dx_1^2 + e^{\pm 2\sqrt{-k}x_1}g_F) + (p')^2dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^3 \times F, \text{ if } \mu = 0.$$

(8.95)

$$g_E = p^2(dx_1^2 + \frac{\mu \cosh^2(\sqrt{-k}x_1)}{(m-1)k}g_F) + (p')^2dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^3 \times F, \text{ if } \mu < 0.$$

- If (ii-2) holds, then as $k < 0$, τ can be defined on \mathbb{R} with any sign of μ . $Ric_{g_E} < 0$. The description of g_E would be similar to (8.93)~(8.95) although the behaviour of p and roles of x_2, x_3 can be different.

8.3. Complete spaces out of Proposition 7.5.

• A complete $(\lambda, 3+m)$ -Einstein manifold (g, w) in Proposition 7.5 (i-3) is of the form $g = dx_1^2 + (w'(x_3))^2dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$ with $(w')^2 + \frac{2a}{m-1}w^{1-m} = \frac{\mu}{m-1}$ with $a > 0$. Here $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu > 0$. Then $g_E = dx_1^2 + w'(x_3)^2dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + w(x_3)^2g_F$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times F$ with $Ric_F > 0$ and $Ric_{g_E} = 0$. This class contains $\mathbb{R} \times Sc$, where Sc denotes the Riemannian Schwarzschild metric. The case 9.118 (a) of [2] corresponds to this class.

• A complete $(\lambda, 3+m)$ -Einstein manifold (g, w) in Proposition 7.5 (ii-3) is of the form $g = (p(x_3))^2dx_1^2 + (p'(x_3))^2dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$ with $(p')^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m+2}p^2 + \frac{2a}{m}p^{-m} = 0$ when $a > 0$, $\lambda < 0$. And $w = c_1p$ for a constant $c_1 > 0$. And $\mu = 0$. Then $g_E = (p(x_3))^2(dx_1^2 + g_F) + (p'(x_3))^2dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times F$ with $Ric_F = 0$. $Ric_{g_E} < 0$. This can be viewed as the special case $k = \mu = 0$ of (8.92).

8.4. Proofs of Theorems. Here we finish the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 by summarizing most of the above discussions.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 7.5 (i-3) can be put together to yield Theorem 1.1 (1), while Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 7.5 (ii-3) yield (2). Lemma 6.2 gives (3). We returned to m-QE manifolds via the transformation $w = e^{-\frac{f}{m}}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Now we put together the discussions in subsections 8.1~8.3. Subsection 8.1 yields (i-1) and (i-2) in Theorem 1.2. The

first case in 8.3 yields (i-3). And 8.2 gives (ii-1) and (ii-2). The second case in 8.3 is just absorbed into (ii-1).

Under some conditions on constants λ, ρ, a, μ, k , one may get compact singular spaces of the form (1) or (2) in Theorem 1.1, but not smooth ones. Meanwhile, concerning (3) of Theorem 1.1, we recall Böhm's remarkable collection of compact warped product Einstein manifolds [3] of the form (iii) in Theorem 1.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] Barros, A., Ribeiro, E., Jr., Silva Filho, J.: Uniqueness of quasi-Einstein metrics on 3-dimensional homogeneous manifolds. *Differential Geom. Appl.* 35, 60-73 (2014)
- [2] Besse, A.L.: Einstein manifolds. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik*, 3 Folge, Band 10, Springer-Verlag (1987)
- [3] Böhm, C.: Inhomogeneous Einstein metrics on low-dimensional spheres and other low-dimensional spaces. *Invent. math.* 134, 145-176 (1998)
- [4] Case, J., Shu, Y.-J., Wei, G.: Rigidity of quasi-Einstein metrics. *Differential Geom. Appl.* 29, no. 1, 93-100 (2011)
- [5] Catino, G.: A note on four dimensional (anti-)self-dual quasi-Einstein manifolds. *Differential Geom. Appl.* 30, 660-664 (2012)
- [6] Catino, G., Mantegazza, C., Mazzieri, L.: A note on Codazzi tensors. *Math. Ann.* 362, No. 1-2, 629-638 (2015)
- [7] Catino, G., Mantegazza, C., Mazzieri, L., Rimoldi, M.: Locally conformally flat quasi-Einstein manifolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 675, 181-189 (2013)
- [8] Chen, Q., He, C.: On Bach-flat warped product Einstein manifolds. *Pacific J. Math.* 265, no.2, 313-326 (2013)
- [9] Derdziński, A.: Classification of Certain Compact Riemannian Manifolds with Harmonic Curvature and nonparallel Ricci Tensor. *Math. Z.* 172, no. 3, 273-280 (1980)
- [10] Derdziński, A., Shen, C.L.: Codazzi Tensor Fields, Curvature and Pontryagin Forms. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 47, no. 1, 15-26 (1983)
- [11] He, C., Petersen, P., Wylie, W.: On the classification of warped product Einstein metrics. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 20, no. 2, 271-311 (2012)
- [12] Kim, J.: On a classification of 4-d gradient Ricci solitons with harmonic Weyl curvature. *J. Geom. Anal.* 27, no. 2, 986-1012 (2017)
- [13] Kim, D.S., Kim, Y.H.: Compact Einstein warped product spaces with nonpositive scalar curvature. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131, no. 8, 2573-2576 (2003)
- [14] Kobayashi, O.: A differential equation arising from scalar curvature function. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 34, no. 4, 665-675 (1982)
- [15] Miao, P., Tam, L. F.: Einstein and conformally flat critical metrics of the volume functional. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 363, no. 6, 2907-2937 (2011)
- [16] Palais, R. S. (with Derdziński, A., Terng, C. L.): Warped products and Einstein Manifolds, *Proceedings of Differential Geometry Meeting, Münster* (1982), 44-47
- [17] Petersen, P.: *Riemannian Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 171, Springer-Verlag New York (2006)
- [18] Ranieri, M., Ribeiro, E., Jr.: Bach-flat noncompact steady quasi-Einstein manifolds, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 108, no. 5, 507-519 (2017)
- [19] Shin, J.: On the classification of 4-dimensional (m, ρ) -quasi-Einstein manifolds with harmonic Weyl curvature. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* 51, no. 4, 379-399 (2017)

- [20] Wang, L. F.: Rigid properties of quasi-Einstein metrics. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139, no. 10, 3679-3689 (2011)

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, SOGANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL, KOREA
E-mail address: `jskim@sogang.ac.kr`

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, SOGANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL, KOREA
E-mail address: `shinjin@sogang.ac.kr`