

ON THE STRUCTURE OF ALGEBRAIC COBORDISM

PAVEL SECHIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate the structure of algebraic cobordism of Levine-Morel as a module over the Lazard ring with the action of Landweber-Novikov and symmetric operations on it. We show that the associated graded groups of algebraic cobordism with respect to the topological filtration $\Omega_{(r)}^*(X)$ are unions of finitely presented \mathbb{L} -modules of very specific structure. Namely, these submodules possess a filtration such that the corresponding factors are either free or isomorphic to cyclic modules $\mathbb{L}/I(p, n)x$ where $\deg x \geq \frac{p^n-1}{p-1}$. As a corollary we prove the Syzygies Conjecture of Vishik on the existence of certain free \mathbb{L} -resolutions of $\Omega^*(X)$, and show that algebraic cobordism of a smooth surface can be described in terms of K_0 together with a topological filtration.

1. INTRODUCTION

Complex cobordism MU^* is an example of a generalized cohomology theory which contains much more information about the topological manifold than ordinary (aka singular) cohomology. For example, the Conner-Floyd theorem says that K -theory can be obtained as a factor of MU^* over a certain ideal. On the other hand, rational cobordism $MU^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are canonically isomorphic to singular cohomology with the coefficients in an infinitely generated polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2 \dots]$, and hence the 'new' information in MU^* is contained in its integral structure. The coefficient ring of MU^* is a polynomial ring in a countable number of variables $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2 \dots]$, and, thus, $MU^*(X)$ is a module over this ring for every space X . However, not every module over this ring can be realised in such a way, and one of the areas of research is a study of restrictions on $MU^*(X)$ as $MU^*(pt)$ -module.

One of the first insights into the structure of complex cobordism appeared when Landweber [La67] and Novikov [No67] independently have calculated all stable operations in complex cobordism MU^* (in fact, they worked with co-operations on complex bordism, but the groups of operations and co-operations turn out to be dual to each other). The algebra of these operations is now called the Landweber-Novikov algebra, and it is generated by the graded components of the so-called total Landweber-Novikov operation.

Landweber later has proved foundational results on the structure of any $MU^*(pt)$ -module on which Landweber-Novikov operations act ([La73a, La73b, La76]). Such algebraic objects can be identified with comodules over the Hopf algebroid $(MU_*, MU_*(MU))^1$. More precisely, any such comodule which is finitely presented as a module over MU_* possesses a finite filtration by comodules, such that its graded factors are isomorphic to $MU_*/I(p_i, n_i)$ where $I(p_i, n_i)$ is a certain ideal in MU_* corresponding to a prime number p_i and a natural number n_i . In particular, this allowed Landweber to find a big class of MU_* -modules, so-called *Landweber-exact* modules, s.t. for any such module K the group $\mathrm{Tor}_i^{MU^*}(MU^*(X), K)$ is zero for any CW-complex X and any $i > 0$, and $MU^* \otimes_{MU_*} K$ becomes a generalized cohomology theory.

¹Topologists tend to denote $MU^n(pt)$ as $MU_{-n} = MU_{-n}(pt)$ and we follow this convention.

Quillen was first to notice that the above structure on MU^* comes as a corollary to the fact that the ring of complex cobordism over a point is canonically isomorphic to the Lazard ring classifying formal group laws ([Qu69]). In particular, this allowed to reinterpret existing at the moment theory of Brown-Peterson cohomology BP^* as the universal p -typical oriented theory (where p is a prime number). That lead to the reduction of the study of the groups $MU^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to the study of $BP^*(X)$ which is structurally a simpler object.

The identification $MU_* \cong \mathbb{L}$ is only a part of the algebraic interpretation of the Hopf algebroid $(MU_*, MU_*(MU))$. The interpretation of $MU_*(MU)$, or in other words, of the Landweber-Novikov algebra, as realising strict isomorphisms between formal group laws allows to identify the category of comodules over $(MU_*, MU_*(MU))$ with the category of quasi-coherent sheaves over the stack of formal groups ([Na07]). This category is recognised as a good algebraic approximation² to the MU -local stable homotopy category, and the stack point of view introduces geometric intuition to its study.

However, Landweber-Novikov operations which played the main role in considerations above are stable operations. It was also Quillen who has introduced certain unstable operations in the picture ([Qu71]), which allowed him, for example, to prove that the graded group of complex cobordism of a CW-complex as a module over the Lazard ring is generated in non-negative degrees. Note that this result is intrinsically unstable as stable operations are 'independent' of the grading, and therefore can not give any restrictions on the grading of elements.

The search for unstable operations in cobordism was continued by many mathematicians, whose efforts were partially summarised by Boardman, Johnson and Wilson ([BJW95]). They provided an algebraic framework for modules over some algebra of unstable operations on BP^* in an analogy to the stable framework of comodules over the Hopf algebroid $(BP_*, BP_*(BP))$. They were able to prove that for a finite CW-complex the BP -module $BP^*(X)$ has a presentation with relations in positive degree, and has a filtration s.t. its factors are either BP or $BP/I(n_i)$ generated in degree greater or equal to $2\frac{p^{n_i}-1}{p-1} - 1$.

The book in which these results are stated was published in 1995, accidentally the same year when Voevodsky was announcing a strategy of the proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture in which he assumed existence of so-called motivic algebraic cobordism and motivated the search for the homotopy theory of algebraic varieties. Subsequent foundational work of Morel and Voevodsky on the \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy theory³ gave an opportunity to introduce many topological notions to the world of algebraic geometry. This process being started almost 20 years ago still carries on without any decline. In particular, motivic algebraic cobordism was introduced and successfully applied in the proof of the Milnor Conjecture.

The important feature of motivic homotopy theory is the existence of two different 'circles', and thus two suspensions, which leads to cohomology theories represented by spectra being bi-graded (and, generally, without periodicity with respect to any suspension). In particular, the motivic Thom spectra represents the motivic algebraic cobordism $MGL^{*,*}$, the infinite Grassmannian represents algebraic K-theory K_* (which is periodic with respect to a suitable suspension and thus has only one grading) and motivic Eilenberg-MacLane spaces represent motivic cohomology $H^{*,*}$. However, for many of the bi-graded cohomology theories there exist a part of them which is much more amenable to computations, and often carries a more geometric and direct description. These

²Note, however, that a slightly reformulated *Mahowald uncertainty principle* says that any algebraic approximation to stable homotopy theory must be infinitely far from correct.

³also known as motivic homotopy theory

are called small theories, as opposite to big theories. For example, the small theory of algebraic K-theory is K_0 , the small theory of motivic cohomology is the Chow ring $\oplus_n \mathrm{CH}^n = \oplus_n H^{2n,n}$.

The small theory $\oplus_n MGL^{2n,n}$ of motivic algebraic cobordism was developed in a seminal paper of Levine and Morel [LM07] and is denoted by $\Omega^* = \oplus_n \Omega^n$. It turns out that this theory carries many features of complex cobordism in topology. However, one has to remember that the algebraic grading of Ω^* corresponds to even grading of MU^* while cobordisms of odd degree have no clear interpretation in the world of algebraic varieties.

Algebraic cobordism with rational coefficients are isomorphic to Chow groups with coefficients in a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{Q} , and therefore are at least as hard to study as $\mathrm{CH}^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. However, integrally cobordism appear to contain much more information than Chow groups, as e.g. integral K-theory K_0 can be obtained from Ω^* purely algebraically. Current investigations of algebraic cobordism include following topics: calculations of algebraic cobordism of projective homogeneous varieties (e.g. [HK11, VY07, CPZ13]), study of different "new" oriented theories such as Morava K-theories obtained algebraically from algebraic cobordism ([PS14], [Se14], [S17]) as well as many others among which applications to Donaldson-Thomason theory ([LP09, Section 14]). Some of these applications are using information which is known about Ω^* as a module over the coefficient ring, and the goal of this paper is to obtain results on this structure.

The similarity between MU^* and Ω^* starts with the fact the ring of coefficients of algebraic cobordism of Levine-Morel can be canonically identified with the Lazard ring. The construction due to Panin and Smirnov ([PS04]) together with the fact that Ω^* is a universal oriented cohomology theory allow to define Landweber-Novikov operations on Ω^* . For a smooth variety this makes $\Omega^*(X)$ a $(MU_*, MU_* MU)$ -comodule, or, in other words, a quasi-coherent sheaf over the stack of formal group laws. However, one should keep in mind that even for a sufficiently nice variety X , such as a smooth quadric, the group $\Omega^*(X)$ may be not finitely generated as \mathbb{L} -module, and Landweber's structural results can not be directly applied as they demand certain finiteness.

The construction of unstable operations is not a simple problem in topology. It seems that constructing unstable operations in cohomology theories of algebraic varieties is even more complicated. For example, for quite a long time after the appearance of algebraic cobordism of Levine-Morel there were known no operations on them except for the stable Landweber-Novikov operations. Vishik later proved that they generate all stable operations. The first unstable operations, symmetric operations, were constructed by Vishik using an elaborate and elegant construction on Ω^* ([Vi07]). These operations proved to be more subtle than Landweber-Novikov operations with respect to 2-divisibility phenomena, and were applied to the questions of rationality of cycles and related issues.

The next major progress in development of operations on small theories was Vishik's theorem ([Vi12, Vi14]) which gives a classification of *all* operations between theories of the form $\Omega^* \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A$ (which are known as free theories). More precisely, it reduces the classification problem to a certain algebraic system of equations which depends solely on the formal group laws of theories involved. For example, this allowed Vishik to introduce integral Adams operations on Ω^* , symmetric operations for all primes and prove relations of the latter with Quillen-type Steenrod operations on Ω^* . The author also used Vishik's fundamental theorem to introduce Chern classes as operations from algebraic Morava K-theories to the so-called p^n -typical theories ([S17] and forthcoming papers). Symmetric operations and these Chern classes are not known to have analogues in topology, even though a similar classification result was obtained by Kashiwabara for operations between generalized cohomology theories on CW-complexes ([Ka94]). However, an important difference between Vishik's and Kashiwabara's results is that the latter requires certain additional properties

of generalised cohomology theories except from being oriented, and these are often not clear to be satisfied.

At the moment it seems that symmetric operations are the most fundamental of all operations introduced above. However, in the author's opinion they were not yet applied in the literature in their full strength. The partial goal of this paper is to mitigate this shortcoming and to obtain structural results of the algebraic cobordism as a module over the Lazard ring with their help.

In fact, the first application of symmetric operations to the structure of algebraic cobordism is due to Vishik, and is the theorem that as \mathbb{L} -module $\Omega^*(X)$ has relations generated in positive degrees. This is a similar statement to a topological result above, however Vishik was not aware of it⁴. Vishik's result has an important corollary (Prop. 2.21), that for any smooth variety X the module $\Omega^*(X)$ is a union of coherent comodules over (MU_*, MU_*MU) , which allows to apply Landweber's structural results in some situations.

We use symmetric operations to prove the following result, also similar to the known topological statement.

Theorem (Th. 3.13). *The associated graded groups of algebraic cobordism with respect to the topological filtration $\Omega_{(r)}^*(X)$ are unions of finitely presented \mathbb{L} -modules which have a filtration such that the corresponding factors are isomorphic as graded \mathbb{L} -modules to cyclic modules $\mathbb{L}y$, $\deg y \geq 0$, or $\mathbb{L}/I(p, n)x$, $\deg x \geq \frac{p^n-1}{p-1}$.*

Our interest in the subject of the structure of cobordism comes from the following conjecture formulated by Vishik. We prove it in Theorem 5.5.

Syzygies Conjecture for Algebraic Cobordism (Vishik, [Vi15, Conj. 4.5 p. 981]). *Let X be a smooth variety of dimension d . Then $\Omega^*(X)$ has a free \mathbb{L} -resolution whose j -th term has generators concentrated in codimensions between j and d .*

In particular, the cohomological \mathbb{L} -dimension of the \mathbb{L} -module $\Omega^(X)$ is less or equal to d .*

The fact that generators of $\Omega^*(X)$ lie in non-negative codimensions is due to Levine and Morel, and is the basis for the so-called generalized degree formula. As we have already mentioned Vishik has proved that the relations of $\Omega^*(X)$ as \mathbb{L} -module lie in positive codimensions with the use of symmetric operations in *op.cit.* In fact, it follows from this statement that $\Omega^*(X)$ is isomorphic as \mathbb{L} -module to $M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}^{\{>-d\}}} \mathbb{L}$ where $\mathbb{L}^{\{>-d\}}$ is a subring of \mathbb{L} generated by elements of degrees greater than $-d$ and M is a module over this ring which is non-canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}]$. One can deduce from this that $\Omega^*(X)$ has a projective resolution of length d so that the last assertion of the Conjecture is true.

Another application of the structural result above (Th. 3.13) is the description of the algebraic cobordism of a surface (the case of a curve was treated by Vishik in *op. cit.*). We also prove a similar statement on the structure of BP^* of a smooth variety of dimension less or equal to p (Th. 4.9).

Theorem (Th. 4.3). *Let S be a smooth surface. Then there exist the following exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^2(S) \otimes \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \tau^1 \Omega^*(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^1(S) \otimes \mathbb{L} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the extension of \mathbb{L} -modules is defined by an extension of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^2(S) \cong \tau^2 K_0(S) \rightarrow \tau^1 K_0(S) \rightarrow gr_\tau^1 K_0(S) \cong \mathrm{CH}^1(S) \rightarrow 0.$$

⁴Communicated to the author privately.

As there is a decomposition $\Omega^*(S) = \mathbb{L} \cdot 1 \oplus \tau^1 \Omega^*(S)$, this gives a description of algebraic cobordism of a smooth surface as a \mathbb{L} -module in terms of $K_0(S)$ together with a topological filtration on it.

We should note that at some point when working with symmetric operations the author has found a book by Boardman, Johnson and Wilson [BJW95] where some unstable operations on complex cobordism were considered. Surprisingly these operations satisfied several properties similar to that of symmetric operations. In fact, the author has read the statement about the filtration on topological BP -theory stated above in this book where it is proved with the use of those unstable operations ([Th. 21.12, *op.cit.*]). We do not claim here that those unstable operations are 'the same' as symmetric operations in any sense, and to prove the analogous statements in algebraic cobordism we use the construction of Vishik of symmetric operations.

Outline. In Section 2 we recall the basic facts about algebraic cobordism and operations on them. In particular, we show that for a smooth variety X the \mathbb{L} -module $\Omega^*(X)$ has a structure of $(MU_*, MU_*(MU))$ -comodule (Prop. 2.10) and use Vishik's results on \mathbb{L} -relations of Ω^* to show that $\Omega^*(X)$ is an ind-coherent \mathbb{L} -module (Prop. 2.21).

Section 3 contains the main technical result of the paper. We investigate the action of symmetric operations on the point (Section 3.2), and deduce from it that the restrictions on the structure of $BP^*(X)$ as BP -module (Prop. 3.9). Using Landweber's structural results we lift these results to Ω^* in Th. 3.13.

Section 4 is an application of the structural results to the algebraic cobordism of a surface and the BP -theory of varieties of dimension not greater than p .

Section 5 contains the proof of the Syzygies Conjecture of Vishik. It starts with a homological criterion in terms of graded groups $\mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathbb{L}}(\Omega^*(X), \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})$ for the conjecture to be satisfied (Prop. 5.1), and then structural results are applied to show that these homological conditions are fulfilled (Th. 5.5).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author has started to work on the structure of algebraic cobordism in perfect conditions of the Hausdorff Trimester Program "K-theory and Related Fields" at Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics in Bonn in May 2017. The author acknowledges the support by DFG-Forschergruppe 1920.

The author is extremely grateful to Alexander Vishik who has read drafts of this paper finding several mistakes and making a huge number of suggestions to improve the text.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Fix a base field F of characteristic zero.

2.1. Algebraic cobordism and Brown-Peterson cohomology. In this section we briefly recall the main properties of algebraic cobordism following [LM07].

Definition 2.1 ([LM07, Def. 1.1.2]). An *oriented cohomology theory* A^* is a presheaf of graded rings on the category of smooth quasi-projective varieties over F supplied with the data of push-forward maps for projective morphisms. Namely, for each projective morphism of smooth varieties $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of codimension d , a homomorphism of graded $A^*(X)$ -modules $f_*^A : A^*(X) \rightarrow A^{*+d}(Y)$ is defined.

The structure of push-forwards has to satisfy the following axioms (for precise statements see *ibid*): functoriality for compositions (A1), base change for transversal morphisms (A2), projection formula, projective bundle theorem (PB), \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariance (EH).

Each oriented theory A^* can be endowed with Chern classes of vector bundles c_i^A using the classical method due to Grothendieck. First Chern class allows to associate the formal group law $F_A \in A^*(\text{Spec } F)[[x, y]]$ to the theory A^* so that it satisfies the following equation for every pair of line bundles L_1, L_2 over a smooth variety X : $c_1^A(L_1 \otimes L_2) = F_A(c_1^A(L_1), c_1^A(L_2))$. Recall that the formal group laws (FGLs) over a ring A are in 1-to-1 correspondence with the ring morphisms from the Lazard ring \mathbb{L} to A . In particular, the construction above yields a morphism of graded rings $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow A^*(\text{Spec } F)$ for all oriented theories A^* .

Algebraic cobordism Ω^* is an oriented theory which to a smooth variety X associates a free abelian group generated by (classes of isomorphisms of) projective morphisms to X factored by classical cobordant relations with smooth fibres and by so-called double-point relations (see [LP09, 0.4] for details). In particular, $\Omega^*(\text{Spec } F)$ is generated by classes of smooth projective varieties over F . Apart from this, we will only use various universal properties of Ω^* described below.

Theorem 2.2 (Levine-Morel, [LM07, Th. 1.2.6]). *Algebraic cobordism Ω^* is the universal oriented theory, i.e. for any oriented theory A^* there exist a unique morphism of oriented theories $\Omega^* \rightarrow A^*$.*

Recall that a morphism of oriented theories $A^* \rightarrow B^*$ is a morphism of presheaves of graded rings which commutes with push-forward maps (and with pull-back maps by a definition of a presheaf).

Theorem 2.3 (Levine-Morel, [loc.cit., Th. 1.2.7]). *The ring of coefficients of Ω^* is canonically isomorphic to the Lazard ring \mathbb{L} and the formal group law F_Ω is the universal formal group law.*

The only examples of oriented theories that we will need are (graded) free theories [LM07, Rem. 2.4.14], i.e. $A^* := \Omega^* \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A$ where the map of graded rings $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow A$ corresponds to a formal group law over A , and the identification $\Omega^*(\text{Spec } F) \cong \mathbb{L}$ is hidden in the notation. Chow groups and Grothendieck group of vector bundles $K_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]$, $\deg \beta = -1$, can be obtained this way. The most important example of a free theory in this paper is the so-called *Brown-Peterson cohomology* which we now describe.

Let p be a prime number. There exists a notion of a p -typical formal group law [Ca67], so that a formal group law over a torsion-free $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra is p -typical if and only if its logarithm is of the form $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} l_i t^{p^i}$. There exist a universal p -typical formal group law defined over the graded ring $BP \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n, \dots]$ where $\deg v_i = 1 - p^i$. The corresponding free theory is called the *Brown-Peterson cohomology* and denoted as BP^* (p is not usually included in the notation).

The map $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow BP$ classifying the universal p -typical formal group law has a section localized at p : $BP \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{(p)}$ which sends v_j to a polynomial generator x_{p^j-1} of \mathbb{L} . In particular, the map $BP \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{(p)}$ is flat.

The result of Cartier saying that every formal group law over any $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra is canonically isomorphic to a p -typical formal group law (*op.cit.*) has the following well-known corollary for oriented theories.

Proposition 2.4 (cf. [Qu69, Th. 4], see also Prop. 2.15). *There exist a multiplicative projector on $\Omega^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ whose image is canonically identified with BP^* . In particular, any additive endo-operation on $\Omega^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ canonically restricts to an operation on BP^* .*

Moreover, as an \mathbb{L} -module $\Omega^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}(X)$ is isomorphic to $BP^*(X)[t_j, j \neq p^i - 1]$ where the structure of \mathbb{L} -module on the latter is defined via the map $\theta : \mathbb{L}_{(p)} \cong BP[t_j, j \neq p^i - 1]$.

2.2. Topological filtration on free theories and operations. Define the *topological filtration* (or sometimes referred to also as *codimensional filtration*) on $\Omega^*(X)$ by the formula

$$\tau^i \Omega^*(X) := \bigcup_{U \subset X : \text{codim}(X \setminus U) \geq i} \text{Ker } (\Omega^*(X) \rightarrow \Omega^*(U)).$$

It turns out that this filtration can be obtained using only the information of the structure of \mathbb{L} -module on $\Omega^*(X)$.

Proposition 2.5 (Levine-Morel, [LM07, Th. 4.5.7]).

$$\tau^i \Omega^n = \cup_{m \leq n-i} \mathbb{L}^m \Omega^{n-m}.$$

For every free theory A^* we will denote the graded sum of factors $\oplus_n \tau^r A^n / \tau^{r+1} A^n$ by $A_{(r)}^*$. Note that these are graded A -modules. For example, $\Omega_{(r)}^*$ plays a main role in this paper and several of the main results are formulated in terms of the structure of modules $\Omega_{(r)}^*$ over the Lazard ring. We would like to emphasise that information about these modules also gives (partial) information on the structure of Ω^* as a module over the Lazard ring due to Prop. 2.5.

Levine and Morel show that there exist a surjection of \mathbb{L} -modules [LM07, Cor. 4.5.8]

$$(1) \quad \mathrm{CH}^r(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\rho} \Omega_{(r)}^*(X),$$

and clearly this induces A -linear map $\mathrm{CH}^r(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A \xrightarrow{\rho_A} A_{(r)}^*(X)$ for any free theory A^* with the ring of coefficients A .

Any operation $\phi : A^* \rightarrow B^*$ (which preserves zero) between free theories preserves the topological filtration, and in certain circumstances (e.g. if it is additive) it induces an operation between corresponding graded factors $\phi_\tau : A_{(\bullet)}^* \rightarrow B_{(\bullet)}^*$. It can be shown using Vishik's Riemann-Roch theorem for regular embeddings and all operations ([Vi14, Prop. 5.19]) that the operation ϕ_τ can be 'lifted' to an operation on Chow groups so that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}^\bullet(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A & \xrightarrow[\phi_{\mathrm{CH}}]{} & \mathrm{CH}^\bullet(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B \\ \downarrow \rho_A & & \downarrow \rho_B \\ A_{(\bullet)}^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\phi_\tau} & B_{(\bullet)}^*(X). \end{array}$$

As there are not so many operations between Chow groups with coefficients, this description often simplifies the picture vastly. We will not go into details here, as in all cases when such a description is needed it will be provided separately (Props. 2.9, 2.18).

2.3. Multiplicative operations between oriented theories. We follow [PS04], [LM07] and [Vi12].

A *multiplicative operation* Φ from an oriented theory A^* to B^* is a functor $\Phi : A^* \rightarrow B^*$ of presheaves of rings on the category of smooth varieties over F . Every multiplicative operation Φ gives rise to a morphism of formal group laws $(A, F_A) \xrightarrow{\phi, \gamma_\Phi} (B, F_B)$ where $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ is the value of Φ on the point $\mathrm{Spec} F$, $\gamma_\Phi(t) \in B[[t]]$ is the series, s.t. for every line bundle L over any smooth variety X we have $\Phi(c_1^A(L)) = \gamma_\Phi(c_1^B(L))$. It follows that ϕ and γ_Φ satisfy the following equation: $\gamma_\Phi(F_B(x, y)) = \phi(F_A)(\gamma_\Phi(x), \gamma_\Phi(y))$.

If the series $\frac{\gamma_\Phi(t)}{t}$ is invertible, i.e. $\gamma_\Phi(t) = b_0 t + \dots$ where $b_0 \in B^\times$, then its inverse is called the *Todd genus* Td_Φ . The standard convention is that one might plug in vector bundle V over a smooth variety X in the Todd genus, so that $\mathrm{Td}_\Phi(V) = \prod_{i=1}^{\mathrm{rk}(V)} \mathrm{Td}_\Phi(\lambda_i^B)$ is an element of $B^{\mathrm{rk}(V)}(X)$ where λ_i^B are B -roots of V .

The following result which is a generalisation of the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem was proved by Panin and Smirnov before the algebraic cobordism of Levine-Morel appeared. However, it is not hard to check that free theories are examples of oriented theories as defined by Panin-Smirnov.

We will use this result for a calculation with the Quillen-type Steenrod operation on algebraic cobordism (Lemma 2.16).

Theorem 2.6 (Panin-Smirnov, [PS04, Th. 5.1.4]). *Let $\Phi : A^* \rightarrow B^*$ be a multiplicative operation between oriented theories, and assume that γ_Φ is invertible, i.e. the Todd genus Td_Φ is defined. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism of smooth varieties, $\alpha \in A^*(X)$.*

Then $f_^B(\Phi(\alpha) \text{Td}_\Phi(T_X)) = \Phi(f_*^A(\alpha)) \text{Td}_\Phi(T_Y)$.*

In particular, if $a \in A$ is $p_(1_X)$ for some smooth projective variety $p : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } F$, then $\Phi(a) = \phi(a) = p_*(\text{Td}_\Phi(T_X))$.*

The universality of algebraic cobordism allows to construct multiplicative operations from it in an efficient manner. The following will be used to describe Landweber-Novikov and Quillen-type Steenrod operations on algebraic cobordism.

Proposition 2.7 (Panin-Smirnov+Levine-Morel, see e.g. [Vi12, Th. 3.7]). *Let B^* be an oriented theory, let $\gamma = b_0 t + \dots$ be a series in $B[[t]]t$, and assume that b_0 is invertible in B .*

Then there exist a unique multiplicative operation $\Phi : \Omega^ \rightarrow B^*$ s.t. $\gamma_\Phi = \gamma$.*

In particular, this Proposition allows to define a multiplicative operation $H : \Omega^* \rightarrow \text{CH}^*[t_1, t_2, \dots]$ with $\gamma_H(x) = x + \sum_{i=1}^\infty t_i x^{i+1}$, $\deg t_i = -i$. Over a point this operation defines an inclusion of rings $\mathbb{L} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots]$ (corresponding to a Hurewicz map in the topology of MU), and the image of a class of variety $[X]$ is a polynomial whose coefficients are characteristic numbers of X .

Denote by $I(p)$ the ideal in $\Omega^*(\text{Spec } F) \cong \mathbb{L}$ generated by p and the classes of projective varieties whose all characteristic numbers are divisible by p . One can show that $I(p)$ is generated by elements x_{p^i-1} of degree $1 - p^i$, one for each $i \geq 1$. Moreover, the set of these generators x_{p^i-1} can be completed to a set of generators of \mathbb{L} . For $n : 1 \leq n \leq \infty$ denote by $I(p, n) = (p, x_{p-1}, \dots, x_{p^{n-1}-1})$ the subideal of $I(p)$ generated by the elements of dimension $\leq p^{n-1} - 1$, by $I(p, 1)$ denote (p) , and denote also $I(p, \infty) = I(p)$.

Note that the image of element x_{p^i-1} in BP is denoted by v_i , and v_0 often denotes $p \in BP$. These elements generate BP freely, i.e. $BP \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n, \dots]$, and the splitting of the projector to BP^* (Prop. 2.4) sends v_i to x_{p^i-1} in $\mathbb{L}_{(p)}$. We will denote by $I(n)$ ⁵ the ideal $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ in BP for $n \geq 1$, and by $I(\infty) = \cup_n I(n)$. Therefore, the map $BP \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{(p)}$ sends $I(n)$ to $I(p, n)$, and $I(n) \otimes_{BP} \mathbb{L}_{(p)} = I(p, n)$.

2.4. Landweber-Novikov operations on algebraic cobordism. The goal of this section is to recall some results on the structure of $(MU_*, MU_*(MU))$ -comodules and explain why they can be applied to the algebraic cobordism.

By definition free theories are in 1-to-1 correspondence with formal group laws. However, many of the formal group laws are isomorphic, and corresponding theories turn out to be isomorphic as presheaves of rings, or, in other words, there exist an invertible multiplicative operation between these theories. Let us explain this in more detail for the case of so-called strict isomorphisms.

A morphism $(\phi, \gamma) : (A, F_1) \rightarrow (A, F_2)$ between formal group laws over the ring A is called a *strict isomorphism* if $\phi = \text{id}$, and $\gamma \in t + A[[t]]t^2$. Given a strict isomorphism, the Panin-Smirnov reorientation of an oriented theory yields an invertible multiplicative operation from a presheaf of rings A^* to itself.

⁵ Thus, we have very abusing notations as e.g. $I(3)$ might refer to an ideal in \mathbb{L} as well as to an ideal in that BP which is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$ -algebra. We hope this does not lead to any misunderstandings throughout the paper, as it should always be clear whether we are working with Ω^* or BP^* .

Thus, from the point of view of *orientable* cohomology theories (which are presheaves of rings which can be endowed with the structure of push-forwards, but this structure is not fixed), the algebraic object which should appear in their classification is not a formal group law, but a formal group law up to an isomorphism, or at least up to a strict isomorphism.

FGLs over a ring form a set and are classified by the maps from the Lazard ring, however FGLs with a strict isomorphism (sIso) over a ring form a groupoid as one might compose strict isomorphisms. The algebraic structure which 'classifies groupoids' is called a Hopf algebroid ([Ra04, A1.1.1]), and, in particular, the groupoid (FGL, sIso) is classified by the Hopf algebroid $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}B)$ often denoted as $(MU_*, MU_*(MU))$ in the topological literature (see e.g. [Ra04, A2.1.16, 4.1.11]). Here $\mathbb{L}B = \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[b_1, b_2, \dots]$, $B = \mathbb{Z}[b_1, b_2, \dots]$.

The total Landweber-Novikov operation in algebraic cobordism is defined as the universal strict isomorphism, and thus specialises to all strict isomorphisms between any pair of free theories. This allows to define the structure of a right $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}B)$ -comodule on $\Omega^*(X)$ for every smooth variety X .

Definition 2.8. Let γ_{L-N} be the power series over the graded ring $B = \mathbb{Z}[b_1, b_2, \dots] \subset \mathbb{L}B$ given by the formula: $\gamma_{L-N}(t) = t + \sum_{i \geq 1} b_i t^{i+1}$.

The *total Landweber-Novikov operation* is the unique operation (see Prop. 2.7) corresponding to γ_{L-N} :

$$S_{L-N}^{tot} : \Omega^* \rightarrow \Omega^* \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}B.$$

This operation induces an operation on the graded algebraic cobordisms $\Omega_{(r)}^*$, and it can be easily described in terms of the cycles that generate this \mathbb{L} -module (see Section 2.2).

Proposition 2.9. *Let X be a smooth variety, and denote by z the image $\rho(Z)$ of some element $Z \in \text{CH}^r(X)$ in the group $\Omega_{(r)}^*$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{L}$. Then $S_{L-N}^{tot}(\lambda z) = S_{L-N}^{tot}(\lambda)z$ in $\Omega_{(r)}^*(X)$.*

Proof. As we are working modulo the $r+1$ -th part of the topological filtration we may assume that Z is represented by a smooth subvariety $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$. Then Riemann-Roch Theorem 2.6 shows that $S_{L-N}^{tot}(i_* 1_Z)$ equals $i_* 1_Z$ modulo the $r+1$ -th part of the topological filtration. The claim follows from the multiplicativity of S_{L-N}^{tot} . \square

It might seem that the following proposition is more or less tautological. This is partly true, but in the sketch of a proof we actually check that $\Omega^*(X)$ is a right graded comodule over the Hopf algebroid $(MU_*, MU_*(MU))$ via the identification of the latter with $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}B)$ made explicit in [Ra04, A2.1.16]. This will allow us to apply structural results of Landweber to algebraic cobordism below.

Proposition 2.10. *The total Landweber-Novikov operation defines on Ω^* the structure of presheaf of right graded comodules over the Hopf algebroid $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}B)$ on the category of smooth varieties.*

Proof. By definition a right graded $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}B)$ -comodule is a graded \mathbb{L} -module M together with a map $\psi : M \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}B$ of \mathbb{L} -modules where the structure of \mathbb{L} -module on $M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}B$ is defined via the map $S : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}B$ corresponding to the universal formal group law over \mathbb{L} twisted by the series $\gamma_{L-N}(t)$. Note that S is equal to the action of the total Landweber-Novikov operation over a point. The map ψ has to satisfy the following conditions: 1) (counitarity) $(\text{id}_M \otimes \epsilon) \circ \psi = \text{id}_M$ and 2) (coassociativity) $(\text{id}_M \otimes \Delta) \circ \psi = (\psi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{L}B}) \circ \psi$. Here ϵ and Δ denote two of the five structural maps of the Hopf algebroid.

Thus, in both 1) and 2) we need to check that two operations from Ω^* to Ω^* or to $\Omega^* \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}B \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}B$ are equal. Note that in both cases these operations are multiplicative, and therefore by Prop. 2.7 it is enough to check that the series defining corresponding morphisms of formal group laws are

the same. Note that the composition of morphisms of formal group laws $(A, F_A) \xrightarrow{(f, \gamma_f)} (B, F_B), (B, F_B) \xrightarrow{(g, \gamma_g)} (C, F_C)$ is defined by a pair $(g \circ f, g(\gamma_f)(\gamma_g))$.

For 1) it is clear, as $\epsilon : \mathbb{L}B \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ is defined on b_i as zero for all $i \geq 0$, and therefore $\epsilon(\gamma)(t) = t$.

For 2) we have to compare the series $(S \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}B})(\gamma_2)(\gamma_1(t)) = \sum_{j \geq 0} (\sum_{i \geq 0} b_i t^{i+1})^{j+1} \otimes b_j$ where $\gamma_i = j_i(\gamma)$, $i = 1, 2$, $j_1, j_2 : \mathbb{L}B \rightarrow \mathbb{L}B \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}B$ are $(\text{id} \otimes 1)$, $(1 \otimes \text{id})$, respectively, with the series $\Delta(\gamma(t))$. The morphism $\Delta : \mathbb{L}B \rightarrow \mathbb{L}B \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}B$ is defined by $\gamma(t) \mapsto \gamma_2(\gamma_1(t))$. Thus, the series defining $(\text{id}_M \otimes \Delta) \circ \psi$ is also $\gamma_2(\gamma_1(t))$ (note that the map S does not act on coefficients of the series γ_2). \square

In particular, $\Omega^*(\text{Spec } F) = \mathbb{L}$ is a $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}B)$ -comodule, and it is easy to see that its subcomodules are precisely ideals which are invariant w.r.to Landweber-Novikov operations. We will call such ideals *invariant* throughout the article.

The reason why we had to introduce the Hopf algebroid into the game is that the foundational results about the action of Landweber-Novikov operations in topology are written in this language. We will use mainly the following results.

Theorem 2.11 (Landweber).

- \mathbb{L}) [La73b, Th. 2.7] *If I is an invariant prime ideal in \mathbb{L} , then I is one of the ideals $0, I(p, n)$ for some prime number p , $1 \leq n \leq \infty$.*
- BP) [La76, Th. 2.2_{BP}] *If I is an invariant prime ideal in BP , then I is one of the ideals $0, I(n)$, $1 \leq n \leq \infty$.*

Theorem 2.12 (Landweber, cf. [La73b, Prop. 3.4]). *Let $I \subset BP$ be an invariant finitely generated ideal.*

Then the radical of I is an invariant finitely generated prime ideal in BP , i.e. one of the form $I(n)$ for some n .

Proof. Indeed, it is proved in the reference for the case $I \subset \mathbb{L}$ that I is an intersection of a finite number of invariant finitely generated primary ideals Q_i s.t. radicals $\sqrt{Q_i}$ are distinct invariant finitely generated prime ideals. The proof in the case of $I \subset BP$ is similar.

The claim now follows, since a radical of intersection is an intersection of radicals, and by the structure of the invariant prime ideals in BP (Th. 2.11, BP) their intersection is one of the ideals $0, I(n)$ for a finite n . \square

Corollary 2.13. *If $I \subset BP$ is an invariant finitely generated ideal s.t. $(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) = I(n) \subset I$ for a finite n , $v_n^i \notin I$ for all $i \geq 1$, then $I = I(n)$.*

Proof. The radical ideal of I is $I(m)$ which contains $I(n)$ and does not contain v_n , therefore it is $I(n)$, and therefore it is equal to I . \square

The following result is a stable version for the structure of cobordism as a module over the Lazard ring, we will prove a stronger and essentially unstable version in Th. 3.13.

Theorem 2.14 (Landweber, [La73a, Th. 3.3], [La76, Th. 2.2, 2.3]). *Let M be a graded comodule over $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}B)$ which is finitely presented as \mathbb{L} -module.*

Then M has a filtration $M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \dots \supset M_s = 0$ by $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}B)$ -comodules, s.t. for every i the \mathbb{L} -module M_i/M_{i+1} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{L}/I(p_i, n_i)$ or \mathbb{L} after a shift of grading where p_i is a prime number and n_i is a positive integer.

The following proposition is an algebraic version of Prop. 2.4.

Proposition 2.15. *Let M be a $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}B)$ -comodule.*

Then $M_{(p)} := M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}_{(p)}$ is isomorphic as \mathbb{L} -module to $(M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} BP) \otimes_{BP} \mathbb{L}_{(p)}$, where the map $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow BP$ corresponds to the universal p -typical group law, and the map $g : BP \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{(p)}$ corresponds to the p -typical formal group law over $\mathbb{L}_{(p)}$ which is strictly isomorphic to the universal formal group law (such map exists by a result of Cartier).

Proof. Let $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow A$ be two ring maps corresponding to formal group laws F_1, F_2 over A respectively, and suppose given a strict isomorphism from F_1 to F_2 over A . At first, we are going to show that for every $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}B)$ -comodule M there exist a canonical isomorphism $M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}, f_1} A \cong M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}, f_2} A$ of A -modules. (Since the Hopf algebroid $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}B)$ represents the groupoid of formal group laws with strict isomorphisms, this statement is expected, however, the proof, perhaps, is not so enlightening.)

If $\gamma \in A[[x]]$ is a strict isomorphism between F_1 and F_2 , then denote by $\phi_{f_2, \gamma} : \mathbb{L}B \rightarrow A$ a map of rings which sends b_i to the coefficient of t^{i+1} of $\gamma(t)$ for $i \geq 1$ and sends $\lambda \in \mathbb{L}$ to $f_2(\lambda)$. Then the map $M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}B \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \phi} M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}, f_2} A$ is a well-defined map of \mathbb{L} -modules. Note also that the composition $\mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{S} \mathbb{L}B \xrightarrow{\phi_{f_2, \gamma}} A$ corresponds to a formal group law over A isomorphic to F_2 via γ , i.e. to F_1 , and the composition is equal to f_1 .

We claim that there exist a unique A -linear map h_γ which fits into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\psi} & M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \phi_{f_2, \gamma} \\ M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}, f_1} A & \xrightarrow{h_\gamma} & M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}, f_2} A. \end{array}$$

The uniqueness is clear, since $h_\gamma(m \otimes a)$ has to be equal to $(\text{id} \otimes \phi_{f_2, \gamma})(\psi(m)) \cdot a$. We need to check that this formula is well defined, i.e. $h_\gamma(\lambda m \otimes a) = (\text{id} \otimes \phi_{f_2, \gamma})(\psi(\lambda m)) \cdot a$ is equal to $h_\gamma(m \otimes f_1(\lambda)a) = (\text{id} \otimes \phi_{f_2, \gamma})(\psi(m)) \cdot f_1(\lambda)a$. Recall that ψ is a morphism of \mathbb{L} -modules where the structure of the \mathbb{L} -module on $M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}B$ is defined via the map $S : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}B$, i.e. $\psi(\lambda m) = \psi(m) \cdot S(\lambda)$. The map $\text{id} \otimes \phi_{f_2, \gamma}$ sends $\psi(m) \cdot S(\lambda)$ to $(\text{id} \otimes \phi_{f_2, \gamma})(\psi(m)) \cdot (\phi_{f_2, \gamma} \circ S)(\lambda)$, and, thus, the claim follows since $\phi_{f_2, \gamma} \circ S : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow A$ is equal to f_1 .

We also claim that the map h_γ is functorial for compositions of strict isomorphisms of formal group laws, i.e. if $\eta \in A[[x]]$ defines a strict isomorphism between F_2 and F_3 , then $h_\eta \circ h_\gamma = h_{\gamma(\eta)} : M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}, f_1} A \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}, f_3} A$. Moreover, the identity isomorphism yields an identity morphism $h_x = \text{id}_{M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A}$. The last claim is straight-forward, since in this case the map $\mathbb{L}B \rightarrow A$ factors through the map $\mathbb{L}B \xrightarrow{\epsilon : b_i \mapsto 0} \mathbb{L}$ and $\mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{f_1 = f_2} A$, however, $(\text{id} \otimes \epsilon) \circ \psi : M \rightarrow M$ is the identity by the definition of a comodule.

Following the construction above the composition $h_\eta \circ h_\gamma$ can be computed as the composition

$$M \xrightarrow{\psi} M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}B \xrightarrow{\psi \otimes \text{id}} M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}B \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}B \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \phi_{f_3, \eta} \otimes \phi_{f_2, \gamma}} M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}, f_3} A.$$

By definition of a comodule we may replace the map $\psi \otimes \text{id}$ by $\text{id} \otimes \Delta$ above, and then the claim follows since the map $\phi_{f_3, \eta} \otimes \phi_{f_2, \gamma} \circ \Delta : \mathbb{L}B \rightarrow A$ is equal to $\phi_{f_3, \gamma(\eta)}$ more or less by definition of Δ .

Each map h_γ constructed above is an isomorphism, since each strict isomorphism of formal group laws also has an inverse strict isomorphism. Thus, an isomorphism $M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}, f_1} A \cong M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}, f_2} A$ of A -modules as claimed in the beginning of the proof is constructed.

We have two ring maps from \mathbb{L} to $\mathbb{L}_{(p)}$. One is the 'identical', corresponding to a universal formal group law, and the other is the composition $f_{p-ty p} : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow BP \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{(p)}$ corresponding to a universal p -typical formal group law (and depends on the choice of g). It follows from a theorem of Cartier that corresponding two formal group laws over $\mathbb{L}_{(p)}$ are strictly isomorphic, and therefore by discussion above we have an isomorphism $h : M_{(p)} \cong M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}, f_{p-ty p}} \mathbb{L}_{(p)}$. However, the latter module is easily identified with $(M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} BP) \otimes_{BP} \mathbb{L}_{(p)}$ by the definition of $f_{p-ty p}$. \square

2.5. Steenrod and Symmetric operations on algebraic cobordism and BP . Let us fix a set of integers $\bar{i} = \{i_j | 0 < j < p\}$ of all representatives of non-zero numbers modulo p . Denote also $\mathbf{i} = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} i_j$. Then by Prop. 2.7 there exist a multiplicative operation

$$St(\bar{i}) : \Omega^* \rightarrow \Omega^*[\mathbf{i}^{-1}][[t]][t^{-1}]$$

defined by the power series $\gamma_{St(\bar{i})}(x) = \gamma_{St}(x) = x \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (x + \Omega i_j \cdot \Omega t)$, which is called *the Quillen-type Steenrod operation*. We will often drop \bar{i} from the notation of St .

Note that $p \nmid \mathbf{i}$, and by Prop. 2.4 the operation St restricts to a multiplicative operation from BP^* to $BP^*[[t]][t^{-1}]$ which we also denote St .

The following lemma is a strengthening of a particular case of [Vi15, Prop. 3.1]. It will be needed in later sections.

Lemma 2.16. *For $v_n \in BP$, $n \geq 1$, we have the identity in $BP[[t]][t^{-1}]$:*

$$St(v_n) \equiv v_n t^{-(p-1)(p^n-1)} \pmod{I(n)}.$$

Proof. Let X be a smooth projective variety, which class in $BP = BP^*(\text{Spec } F)$ is equal to v_n . By the Riemann-Roch formula (Th. 2.6), we have $St(v_n) = \pi_*(\text{Td}_{St}(X))$ where $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ is the structural morphism.

Plugging γ_{St} in the definition of the Todd genus we obtain $\text{Td}_{St}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{x + \Omega[i_j] \cdot \Omega t}$, and $\text{Td}_{St}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{\dim X} \text{Td}_{St}(\lambda_i)$ where λ_i are the BP -roots of the tangent vector bundle of X . Thus, $\text{Td}_{St}(X)$ is the sum of symmetric polynomials in variables λ_i with coefficients in $BP[[t]][t^{-1}]$.

Let P be a symmetric homogeneous polynomial of λ_i , i.e. an element of $BP^{\deg P}(X)$.

- If $\deg P > p^n - 1$, then $P = 0$, as $\dim X = p^n - 1$, and $BP^{>\dim X}(X) = 0$.
- If $\deg P = p^n - 1$, then $\pi_* P$ is a characteristic number of X , and therefore it is divisible by p , in particular, $\pi_* P \in I(n)$.
- If $0 < \deg P < p^n - 1$, then $\pi_* P \in I(n)$, because all elements of degrees from $-p^n + 2$ to 1 in BP lie in $I(n)$.

Therefore, as π_* is BP -linear, π_* applied to positive degree part of $\text{Td}_{St}(X)$ lies in $I(n)$. Thus, $\pi_*(\text{Td}_{St}(X))$ modulo $I(n)$ is the same as the push-forward of 0-degree part of the $\text{Td}_{St}(X)$, i.e. $\pi_*(\prod_{i=1}^{p^n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{i_j t})$ which is equal to $\frac{1}{\mathbf{i}^{p^n-1}} v_n t^{-(p-1)(p^n-1)}$. As $\mathbf{i} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, and $(-1)^{p^n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, the claim follows. \square

Theorem 2.17 (Vishik, [Vi16, Th. 7.1]). *There exist a unique operation $\Phi(\bar{i}) : \Omega^* \rightarrow \Omega^*[\mathbf{i}^{-1}][t^{-1}]$, such that*

$$(2) \quad (\square^p - St(\bar{i}) - \frac{p \cdot \Omega t}{t} \Phi(\bar{i})) : \Omega^* \rightarrow \Omega^*[\mathbf{i}^{-1}][[t]]t.$$

It is convenient to use 'slices' of the symmetric operation $\Phi(\bar{i})$ defined as coefficient of monomial t^{-n} for some $n \geq 0$. We will denote this operation as $\Phi_{-n}(\bar{i}) = \Phi_{-n}$, and call these symmetric operations.

Even though, $\Phi(\bar{i})$ is not additive, it can be shown to restrict to the operation $\Omega_{(p)}^* \rightarrow \Omega_{(p)}^*[t^{-1}]$, and, thus, by Prop. 2.4 to $BP^* \rightarrow BP^*[t^{-1}]$ as well (see [Vi15, p. 977]). In subsequent sections we will work only with this p -local version of symmetric operations defined on BP^* denoting it, and its slices by the same letters.

Moreover, Φ restricts to the graded factors of the topological filtration of BP^* , and the action on the graded factors has a particularly easy description⁶

Proposition 2.18 (Vishik, [Vi16, Prop. 7.14]). *Let X be a smooth variety, $\lambda \in BP$, $r > 0$, $Z \in \text{CH}^r(X)$, and denote by z the image of Z in the group $BP_{(r)}^r$ under the map ρ_{BP} (see Section 2.2).*

Then the following identity holds in $BP_{(r)}^(X)[t^{-1}]$:*

$$\Phi(\lambda z) = \mathbf{i}^r \cdot t^{r(p-1)} \cdot \Phi_{\leq -r(p-1)}(\lambda) \cdot z.$$

Recall that Levine and Morel proved that generators of \mathbb{L} -module $\Omega_{(r)}^*(X)$ lie in degree r ([LM07, Cor. 4.5.8], see (1) above). It follows that $\Omega^*(X)$ has \mathbb{L} -generators in degrees between 0 and $\dim X$. One of the most fascinating applications of symmetric operations is the following result.

Theorem 2.19 (Vishik, [Vi15, Th. 4.1, 4.3]). *Let X be a smooth variety of dimension d . Then $\Omega^*(X)$ (or $\Omega_{(r)}^*(X)$ for some $r : 0 \leq r \leq d$) has a free presentation as \mathbb{L} -module $R \rightarrow F \rightarrow \Omega^*(X)$ (resp., $R \rightarrow F \rightarrow \Omega_{(r)}^*(X)$) where F is generated in degrees between 0 and d (resp., in degree r) and R is generated in degrees between 1 and d (resp., between 1 and r).*

Corollary 2.20. *Let X be a smooth variety, let A^* be a free theory.*

Then the map $\rho_A : \text{CH}^1(X) \otimes A \rightarrow A_{(1)}^(X)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Presheaf Ω^* is generically constant ([LM07, Def. 4.4.1, Cor. 4.4.3]), and, thus, the topological filtration on it splits in the first step: $\Omega^* = \mathbb{L} \oplus \tau^1 \Omega^*$. Tensoring by A over \mathbb{L} we obtain an isomorphism $\Omega_{(1)}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A = A_{(1)}^*$, since the canonical map $\tau^2 \Omega^* \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A \rightarrow \tau^2 A^*$ is a surjection. Therefore the claim for a free theory A^* follows by a base change from Ω^* .

Let us prove the statement for $A^* = \Omega^*$. The restriction of ρ to $\text{CH}^1(X)$ is injective, as there is a surjection $\Omega_{(1)}^*(X) \rightarrow \text{CH}^1(X)$ and its composition with ρ is the identity map. However, by Vishik's theorem the kernel of ρ is generated in degree 1, and therefore is zero. \square

2.6. Ind-coherence of algebraic cobordism over the Lazard ring. Let R be a ring. Recall that a R -module is called *coherent* if it is finitely generated and all its finitely generated submodules (including the whole module) are finitely presented. Let us say that a R -module is *ind-coherent* if it is a union of coherent modules, or, which is the same, if every finitely generated submodule is finitely presented.

Proposition 2.21. *Modules over the Lazard ring $\Omega^*(X)$, $\Omega_{(r)}^*(X)$ are ind-coherent \mathbb{L} -modules. The BP -version also holds: BP -modules $BP^*(X)$, $BP_{(r)}^*(X)$ are ind-coherent.*

In particular, the annihilator of any element of these modules is finitely generated.

Proof. To deal with all the cases simultaneously let $A = \oplus_{i \leq 0} A^i$ be a graded ring which is polynomial over the noetherian ring A^0 s.t. A^i is a free A^0 -module of finite rank. Denote by $A^{\geq -D}$ the

⁶ The fact that the symmetric operation induces an operation on the graded factor of the topological filtration is not straight-forward as the operation is not additive. However, its restriction to $BP_{(r)}^*$ for $r > 0$ turns out to be additive as follows from Vishik's description.

A^0 -subalgebra of A generated by elements in degrees greater or equal to $-D$. It follows from the assumptions on A that $A^{\geq -D}$ is a noetherian ring, and A is flat over $A^{\geq -D}$ for any $D \geq 0$. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \leq d} M^i$ be a graded module over A .

Assume that there exist a presentation of M : $A(R) \xrightarrow{f} A(G) \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where $A(G)$ is a free graded A -module generated by a set G in degrees between 0 and d , $A(R)$ is a free graded module generated by a set R in degrees between 1 and d . Our goal is to prove that any finitely generated submodule N of M is finitely presented, which then will prove the statement as the modules $\Omega^*(X)$ and alike have such presentations as above by Th. 2.19.

The following lemma will allow us to reduce everything to the noetherian world of $A^{\geq -D}$ -modules.

Lemma 2.22. *Let A be as above, and let K be a graded A -module.*

Assume that $K \otimes_A A/A^{<0}$ and $\text{Tor}_1^A(K, A/A^{<0})$ are concentrated in degrees between a and $a + D$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $D \geq 0$.

Then there exist a module \tilde{K} over the ring $A^{\geq -D}$ s.t. $K = \tilde{K} \otimes_{A^{\geq -D}} A$.

Proof of the Lemma. It follows from the assumptions that there exist a presentation of K : $A(R) \xrightarrow{f} A(F) \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$, where $A(R)$, $A(F)$ are free A -modules generated in degrees between a and $a + D$ by sets R and F .

Note that the morphism f is defined over $A^{\geq -D}$ as it sends a generator of relations R to an element which is a linear combination of generators of F with some coefficients. For this element to have degree between a and $a + D$ the coefficients need to have degree no less than $-D$.

Consider a module \tilde{K} defined over $A^{\geq -D}$ by a presentation $A^{\geq -D}(R) \xrightarrow{f} A^{\geq -D}(F) \rightarrow \tilde{K} \rightarrow 0$ with the same sets of generators and relations as K , and the morphism f defined in the same way. Tensoring this sequence by A over $A^{\geq -D}$ we obtain the presentation of K , and therefore $K = \tilde{K} \otimes_{A^{\geq -D}} A$. \square

Let N be a finitely generated submodule of M , consider an exact sequence of A -modules: $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$. Applying $\otimes_A A/A^{<0}$ to it we obtain the long exact sequence of A_0 -modules, from which we emphasise two pieces. First, from the exact sequence $M \otimes_A A/A^{<0} \rightarrow M/N \otimes_A A/A^{<0} \rightarrow 0$ it follows that $M/N \otimes_A A/A^{<0}$ is concentrated in degrees between 0 and d . Second, from the exact sequence $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, A/A^{<0}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(M/N, A/A^{<0}) \rightarrow N \otimes_A A/A^{<0}$ it follows that $\text{Tor}_1^A(M/N, A/A^{<0})$ is concentrated in degrees between $\min(m, 0)$ and d where m is the minimal degree of a finite set of generators of N .

Applying Lemma 2.22 we see that $M = \tilde{M} \otimes_{A^{\geq -D}} A$, $M/N = \tilde{M}/N \otimes_{A^{\geq -D}} A$ for some $D \geq 0$ and $A^{\geq -D}$ -modules \tilde{M} , \tilde{M}/N . We claim that the canonical morphism $M \rightarrow M/N$ also comes from the morphism $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}/N$ by the arguments of Lemma 2.22.

Denoting by \tilde{N} the kernel of $\tilde{\pi}$ it is easy to see that $N = \tilde{N} \otimes_{A^{\geq -D}} A$ as A is flat over $A^{\geq -D}$. As \tilde{N} is a finitely generated module over the noetherian ring, it is therefore finitely presented. Tensoring the finite presentation of \tilde{N} by A we get the finite presentation of N . \square

Remark 2.23. To compare the previous Corollary with results in Topology note that for a finite CW-complex X the \mathbb{L} -module $MU^*(X)$ is always finitely generated, and the fact that it is coherent was proved in [CS69, Th. 1.3].

In algebraic geometry the \mathbb{L} -module $\Omega^*(X)$ does not have to be finitely generated even for a geometrically cellular variety X (which is a notion presumably quite close to that of a CW-complex). More precisely, there are examples of quadrics for which CH^* is not a finitely generated abelian group, and therefore Ω^* is not a finitely generated \mathbb{L} -module.

Remark 2.24. Note that ind-coherent modules form a full abelian subcategory in the category of all modules, kernels and cokernels being computed in the category of all modules. Thus, whenever we have a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of smooth varieties, the kernel, image and cokernel of $f^* : \Omega^*(Y) \rightarrow \Omega^*(X)$ are ind-coherent modules which also are $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}B)$ -comodules.

In particular, if X is geometrically cellular variety, the \mathbb{L} -submodule of rational elements of a free finitely generated \mathbb{L} -module $\Omega^*(\bar{X})$ is coherent.

3. SYMMETRIC OPERATIONS AND THE STRUCTURE OF COBORDISM

The goal of this section is to use symmetric operations to prove structural results on $BP_{(r)}^*$ and $\Omega_{(r)}^*$ as BP - and \mathbb{L} -modules, respectively. Let us briefly explain how it is done.

The Landweber's structural result in the case of a coherent cyclic BP -module with the action of Landweber-Novikov operations (i.e. (BP, BP_*BP) -comodule) can be shown roughly as follows (cf. [BJW95, proof of Th. 20.11]). Denote by x the generator of this module, and without loss of generality assume that x is p -torsion, which is equivalent by Th. 2.12 to the claim that the module is not free. Then one proves that there exist $u \in BP$ s.t. $\text{Ann}(ux) = I(n)$ for some n and (ux) is invariant with respect to Landweber-Novikov operations. It allows to continue the process by induction considering at the next step the module $BP \cdot x/(u)x$, even though the question of finiteness of this process is not straight-forward since BP is not noetherian. We will explain the termination of this process in the case of our interest separately. In order to achieve such conditions on the element u , one chooses it to be equal to $p^{k_0}v_1^{k_1} \cdots v_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}$ so that $p^{k_0+1}x = p^{k_0}v_1^{k_1+1}x = \cdots = v_{n-1}ux = 0$. To find these numbers start with k_0 such that $p^{k_0+1}x = 0$ and $p^{k_0}x \neq 0$, then find k_1 s.t. $p^{k_0}v_1^{k_1+1}x = 0$, $p^{k_0}v_1^{k_1}x \neq 0$, and so on. One can show that this process stops using the coherence of the module, i.e. the fact that $\text{Ann}(x)$ is finitely generated. Thus, for some n we have $v_n^i \notin \text{Ann}(ux)$ for any $i \geq 0$, and by the classification of invariant ideals (Th. 2.11, Cor. 2.13) the annihilator of ux is, indeed, $I(n)$. The claim that (ux) is invariant under Landweber-Novikov operations follows from what we call linearity property. Namely, if one denotes by $J_{n-1}(u)$ the ideal $(p^{k_0+1}, p^{k_0}v_1^{k_1+1}, \dots, p^{k_0}v_1^{k_1} \cdots v_{n-2}^{k_{n-2}}v_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}+1})$ which is contained in the annihilator of x , then $S_{L-N}^{\text{tot}}(u) \equiv u \pmod{J_{n-1}(u)}$ (Prop. 3.2). By multiplicativity $S_{L-N}^{\text{tot}}(ux) = S_{L-N}^{\text{tot}}(u)S_{L-N}^{\text{tot}}(x) = S_{L-N}^{\text{tot}}(u)ax$ for some $a \in BP$. It follows that $S_{L-N}^{\text{tot}}(ux) = aux$, and the submodule $BP \cdot ux$ is invariant.

However, symmetric operations do not satisfy similar linearity properties in all gradings, which allows to use them to obtain structural results with some bounds on gradings. This is investigated in Section 3.2, where we prove that for specific m the operation Φ_m allows to divide some elements u by v_n , at least modulo the same ideal $J_{n-1}(u)$ mentioned above. We call this *non-linearity* of symmetric operations. On the other hand, symmetric operations Φ_{-m} satisfy linearity for sufficiently big m .

In Section 3.3 we define Φ -modules as cyclic BP -modules with an action of the symmetric operation and the total Landweber-Novikov operation modelling a cyclic submodule of $BP_{(r)}^*$ for some r (Def. 3.6). The non-linearity and linearity of symmetric operations allow to construct a filtration of a Φ -module by BP -modules s.t. the factors are of the form $BP/I(n_i)e_i$ with $\deg e_i \geq \frac{p^{n_i}-1}{p-1}$ (Prop. 3.9). More precisely, we apply the strategy explained above and start with an element z of degree r in $BP_{(r)}^*$. Then we can find a monomial $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}]$ s.t. $J_{n-1}(u) \subset \text{Ann}(z)$ and therefore $I(n)uz = 0$. If the non-linearity applies (which is the condition that $\deg(uz)$ is smaller than $\frac{p^n-1}{p-1}$), then $v_n^i(uz) \neq 0$, and it follows that $\text{Ann}(uz) = I(n)$. The linearity allows to show that the module generated by uz is invariant by symmetric operations, and thus one could consider the action of symmetric operations on $BP \cdot z/BP \cdot uz$. It is always the case that uz has positive

degree, and therefore the factor-module has less $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -generators in positive degrees. This allows the process to stop after finitely many steps.

In Section 3.4 we glue the results on $BP_{(r)}^*$ for different p to obtain a structural restriction on $\Omega_{(r)}^*$.

3.1. Linearity of Landweber-Novikov operations over a point. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_i \geq 0$, $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $u = p^{k_0} v_1^{k_1} \cdots v_n^{k_n} \in BP$ denote by $J_n(u)$ the ideal $(p^{k_0+1}, p^{k_0} v_1^{k_1+1}, \dots, p^{k_0} v_1^{k_1} \cdots v_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} v_n^{k_n+1})$. For example, $J_n(1) = (p, v_1, \dots, v_n) = I(n+1)$.

Lemma 3.1. *The restriction of the total Landweber-Novikov to BP^* acts on the coefficients as follows:*

$$S_{L-N}^{tot}(v_n) \equiv v_n \pmod{I(n)}.$$

In particular, $S_{L-N}^{tot}(v_n^k) \equiv v_n^k \pmod{J_n(v_n^k)}$ for any $k \geq 1$.

Proof. The first part can be shown analogous to the proof of Lemma 2.16, or by noting that $S_{L-N}(v_n) \in \oplus_{i=0}^{1-p^n} BP^i$, $S_{L-N}(v_n) \in I(n+1)$ since $I(n+1)$ is an invariant ideal, and elements of $I(n+1)$ of degree greater than $1-p^n$ are contained in $I(n)$.

For the second part note that $J_n(v_n^k) = (p, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n^{k+1})$ contains $I(n)$. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let $u = p^{k_0} v_1^{k_1} \cdots v_n^{k_n} \in BP$, then $S_{L-N}^{tot}(u) \equiv u \pmod{J_n(u)}$.*

Proof. By induction on n . For $n = 0$ we have $S_{L-N}^{tot}(u) = u$ by the additivity of S_{L-N}^{tot} .

By the induction assumption we have $S_{L-N}^{tot}(u) \equiv u \pmod{J_n(u)}$ for $u = p^{k_0} v_1^{k_1} \cdots v_n^{k_n}$, and by Lemma 3.1 we have $S_{L-N}^{tot}(v_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}}) \equiv v_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}} \pmod{J_{n+1}(v_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}})}$. As the operation S_{L-N}^{tot} is multiplicative we have $S_{L-N}^{tot}(uv_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}}) = S_{L-N}^{tot}(u)S_{L-N}^{tot}(v_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}})$, and, thus, for some $x \in J_n(u)$, $y \in J_{n+1}(v_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}})$ the following holds

$$S_{L-N}^{tot}(uv_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}}) = (u+x)(v_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}}+y) \equiv uv_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}} \pmod{J_{n+1}(uv_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}})},$$

the last equality being true since ideals $J_n(u)$ and $uJ_{n+1}(v_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}})$ are contained in $J_{n+1}(uv_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}}) = (J_n(u), uv_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}+1})$. □

3.2. Linearity and non-linearity of symmetric operations over a point. For any $\lambda \in BP$ the equation (2) defining the symmetric operation can be rewritten as

$$(3) \quad \lambda^p - St(\lambda) = {}^{t \leq 0} [p] \cdot \Phi(\lambda), \quad \text{where } [p] = \frac{p \cdot \Omega}{t}.$$

The following lemma will be useful in dealing with this equation. If $f \in R[[t]][t^{-1}]$ (or $R[[t]]$, or $R[t^{-1}]$), denote by $a_k(f)$ the coefficient of monomial t^k in f , and denote by $\deg f = \min\{k : a_k(f) \neq 0\}$ the minimal power of t appearing in f with a non-zero coefficient.

Lemma 3.3. *Let R be an integral domain, let $f(t) \in R[[t]][t^{-1}]$, $\mathfrak{p}(t) \in R[[t]]$, $\phi(t) \in R[t^{-1}]$. Assume that the following relation between f, \mathfrak{p}, ϕ holds:*

$$(4) \quad f(t) = {}^{t \leq 0} \mathfrak{p}(t)\phi(t).$$

Assume that $\deg f = k \leq 0$, and let $\deg \mathfrak{p} = m$.

Then $\deg \phi = k - m$, and $a_k(f) = a_m(\mathfrak{p})a_{k-m}(\phi)$.

Proof. As R has no zero-divisors $\deg(\mathbf{p}\phi) = \deg \phi + \deg \mathbf{p}$, and it has to equal $\deg f$ (even though the equality (4) is only true in non-positive degrees of t , we have $\deg f \leq 0$). Thus, comparing the coefficients of the minimal power of t appearing in the equation (4) we get $a_k(\mathbf{p}\phi) = a_m(\mathbf{p})a_{k-m}(\phi) = a_k(f)$. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $k \geq 0$.*

(1) *Let $i \geq 1$, then the following identity holds:*

$$\Phi_{(p-1)\deg(v_n^k v_{n+1}^i) - (p^{n+1}-1)}(v_n^k v_{n+1}^i) \equiv -v_n^k v_{n+1}^{i-1} \pmod{J_n(v_n^k)}.$$

(2) *Let $\lambda \in BP$, then for every $m < (p-1)\deg(v_n^k) - (p^n-1)$ we have*

$$\Phi_m(v_n^k \lambda) \equiv v_n^k \Phi_{m-(p-1)\deg(v_n^k)}(\lambda) \pmod{J_n(v_n^k)}.$$

Proof. Note that $J_n(v_n^k) = (I(n), v_n^{k+1})$.

Assume that $k \geq 1$. For specific values the equation (3) takes form $v_n^{kp} \lambda^p - St(v_n)^k St(\lambda) \equiv^{t \leq 0} [p] \Phi(v_n^k \lambda)$. By Lemma 2.16 we have $St(v_n) \equiv v_n t^{-(p-1)(p^n-1)} \pmod{I(n)}$. Thus, the equation above can be rewritten as

$$(\star) \quad v_n^{kp} \lambda^p - v_n^k t^{-k(p-1)(p^n-1)} St(\lambda) \equiv^{t \leq 0} [p] \Phi(v_n^k \lambda) \pmod{I(n)}.$$

Multiply the equation $\lambda^p - St(\lambda) \equiv^{t \leq 0} [p] \Phi(\lambda)$ by $-v_n^k$, and add to (\star) multiplied by $t^{k(p-1)(p^n-1)}$ to get

$$-v_n^k \lambda^p \equiv^{t \leq 0} [p](\Phi(v_n^k \lambda) t^{k(p-1)(p^n-1)} - v_n^k \Phi(\lambda)) \pmod{I(n)},$$

where we have ignored a monomial of positive degree in t in the left hand side.

It is easy to see that $\deg([p] \pmod{I(n)}) = p^n - 1$, and $a_{p^n-1}([p] \pmod{I(n)}) = v_n$. We can now apply Lemma 3.3 as $I(n)$ is a prime ideal and get that modulo $I(n)$ for $m < -k(p-1)(p^n-1) - (p^n-1)$ we have $\Phi_m(v_n^k \lambda) - v_n^k \Phi_{m+k(p-1)(p^n-1)}(\lambda) \equiv 0$, and that $v_n \Phi_{-(p^n-1)-k(p-1)(p^n-1)}(v_n^k \lambda) - v_n^{k+1} \Phi_{-p^n-1}(\lambda) \equiv -v_n^k \lambda^p$. The second part of the Lemma now follows as $I(n) \subset J_n(v_n^k)$, and if $k = 0$ then the claim is trivial.

Also take $\lambda = 1$ in the latter equation to get that for $k \geq 1$

$$(5) \quad \Phi_{-k(p-1)(p^n-1)-(p^n-1)}(v_n^k) \equiv -v_n^{k-1} \pmod{I(n)},$$

as $\Phi(1) = 0$ and $I(n)$ is a prime ideal. Now to obtain the first part of the Lemma (for $k \geq 0$) notice that $(p-1)\deg(v_n^k v_{n+1}^i) - (p^{n+1}-1) < (p-1)\deg(v_n^k) - (p^n-1)$, and using the second part we get that

$$\Phi_{(p-1)\deg(v_n^k v_{n+1}^i) - (p^{n+1}-1)}(v_n^k v_{n+1}^i) \equiv v_n^k \Phi_{(p-1)\deg(v_{n+1}^i) - (p^{n+1}-1)}(v_{n+1}^i) \pmod{J_n(v_n^k)}.$$

It suffices to prove that $\Phi_{(p-1)\deg(v_{n+1}^i) - (p^{n+1}-1)}(v_{n+1}^i) \equiv -v_{n+1}^{i-1} \pmod{I(n+1)}$, as $v_n^k I(n+1) \subset J_n(v_n^k)$. However, this is exactly the equation (5) with n substituted by $n+1$, and k substituted by i . \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $n \geq 1$, Let $k_j \geq 0$ for $j : 0 \leq j \leq n-1$, $m \leq 0$.*

Denote by $u = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} v_j^{k_j}$.

(1) *For any $i \geq 1$*

$$\Phi_{(p-1)\deg(uv_n^i) - (p^n-1)}(uv_n^i) \equiv -uv_n^{i-1} \pmod{J_{n-1}(u)}.$$

(2) If $m < (p-1)\deg(u) - (p^{n-1} - 1)$, then for any $\lambda \in BP$ we have

$$\Phi_m(u\lambda) \equiv u\Phi_{m-(p-1)\deg(u)}(\lambda) \pmod{J_{n-1}(u)}.$$

Proof. Let us prove the statement by induction on n .

Base of induction. If $n = 1$, then $u = p^{k_0}$, $J_0(u) = (p^{k_0+1})$, and the second claim is obvious as $\Phi_{<0}$ is additive. The first claim in this case is that

$$\Phi_{(p-1)\deg(v_1^i)-(p-1)}(p^{k_0}v_1^i) \equiv -p^{k_0}v_1^{i-1} \pmod{(p^{k_0+1})}.$$

This follows from additivity of $\Phi_{<0}$ and the equation (5).

Induction step. Assume that for u as in the assumptions of the proposition both claims (1), (2) are true. Let $\tilde{u} = uv_n^{k_n}$, then $J_n(\tilde{u}) = (J_{n-1}(u), uv_n^{k_n+1})$.

By induction assumption we know that $\Phi_m(uv_n^{k_n}\lambda) \equiv u\Phi_{m-(p-1)\deg(u)}(v_n^{k_n}\lambda) \pmod{J_{n-1}(u)}$ for every $\lambda \in BP$ and every $m < (p-1)\deg(u) - (p^{n-1} - 1)$. Clearly, for any $i \geq 1$ we have $(p-1)\deg(uv_n^{k_n}v_{n+1}^i) - (p^{n+1} - 1) < (p-1)\deg(u) - (p^{n-1} - 1)$, and therefore

$$\Phi_{(p-1)\deg(\tilde{u}v_{n+1}^i)-(p^{n+1}-1)}(\tilde{u}v_{n+1}^i) \equiv u\Phi_{(p-1)\deg(v_n^{k_n}v_{n+1}^i)-(p^{n+1}-1)}(v_n^{k_n}v_{n+1}^i) \pmod{J_{n-1}(u)}.$$

However, by Lemma 3.4, (1) we have $\Phi_{(p-1)\deg(v_n^{k_n}v_{n+1}^i)-(p^{n+1}-1)}(v_n^{k_n}v_{n+1}^i) \equiv -v_n^{k_n}v_{n+1}^{i-1} \pmod{J_n(v_n^{k_n})}$, and the claim (1) is proved, as $uJ_n(v_n^{k_n}) \subset J_n(\tilde{u})$ and $J_{n-1}(u) \subset J_n(\tilde{u})$.

To prove the claim (2) it suffices to show that for $m < (p-1)\deg(\tilde{u}) - (p^n - 1)$ we have

$$\Phi_{m-(p-1)\deg(u)}(v_n^{k_n}\lambda) \equiv v_n^{k_n}\Phi_{m-(p-1)\deg(u)-(p-1)\deg(v_n^{k_n})}(\lambda) \pmod{J_n(v_n^{k_n})}.$$

However, this is precisely the statement of Lemma 3.4, (2). \square

3.3. Structure of modules with the action of symmetric operations. In this section we give an algebraic description of a cyclic submodule of $BP_{(r)}^*$ with the action of symmetric and Landweber-Novikov operations. The results of previous section allow us to prove severe restrictions on such modules. Later we apply these results to the structure of $BP_{(r)}^*$.

Recall that there is a surjective BP -linear map $\rho_{BP} : \text{CH}^r(X) \otimes BP \rightarrow BP_{(r)}^*$ for any smooth quasi-projective variety X . Let z be an element of $\text{CH}^r(X)$ and denote by x its image $\rho_{BP}(z)$ in $BP_{(r)}^*(X)$. By Prop. 2.9, 2.18 symmetric operations and Landweber-Novikov operations act on x by formulas:

$$\Phi(\lambda x) = \mathbf{i}^r \cdot t^{r(p-1)} \cdot \Phi_{\leq -r(p-1)}(\lambda)x, \quad S_{L-N}^{tot}(\lambda x) = S_{L-N}^{tot}(\lambda)x.$$

Note that this action is linear in x , and the coefficient depends only on r and not on x or X . Recall also that $\Phi_{<0}$ is additive so in the formula above Φ acts additively for $r > 0$.

Definition 3.6. Let M be a graded cyclic coherent BP -module generated by an element x in degree $r > 0$. Denote by I the annihilator of x which is a finitely generated ideal in BP . Suppose we are given two additive maps $\Phi_M : BP/I \rightarrow BP/I[t^{-1}]$ and $S_M : BP/I \rightarrow BP/I[b_1, b_2, \dots]$.

We call (M, Φ_M, S_M) a Φ -module of degree r if for any $\lambda \in BP$ we have

$$\Phi_M(\lambda) \equiv \mathbf{i}^r \cdot t^{r(p-1)} \cdot \Phi_{\leq -r(p-1)}(\lambda) \pmod{I}, \quad S_M(\lambda) \equiv S_{L-N}^{tot}(\lambda) \pmod{I}.$$

In other words, Φ_M and S_M model an action of symmetric and Landweber-Novikov operations on M and we will use notation $\Phi(\lambda x) := \Phi_M(\lambda)x$ and $S_{L-N}^{tot}(\lambda x) = S_M(\lambda)x$. We will also often denote a Φ -module (M, Φ_M, S_M) by M since maps Φ_M, S_M are uniquely defined by the degree of the generator of M .

This definition is a rough approximation to a definition of a module over the algebra of operations in $BP_{(r)}^*$ generated by symmetric and Landweber-Novikov operations. This algebra has a complicated structure since one has to work with operations between different degrees 'separately'. However, as we will not need to investigate relations between compositions of operations we prefer an explicit definition 3.6.

From now on we will use the collective term *operations* to denote symmetric and Landweber-Novikov operations.

Lemma 3.7. *Let (M, Φ_M, S_M) be a Φ -module of degree r , and assume that the submodule generated by an element $ux =: y \in M$ is invariant under operations.*

Denote by $I := (x : BP \cdot y) = \{\lambda \in BP \mid \lambda x \in BP \cdot y\}$ the annihilator ideal of the generator of the module $M/BP \cdot y$. Then $(M/BP \cdot y, \Phi_M \bmod I, S_M \bmod I)$ is a Φ -module of degree r .

Proof. The module $M/BP \cdot y$ is coherent since coherent modules form an abelian category. We need to check that maps Φ_M, S_M factor through I . Let $\mu \in I$, then $\Phi_M(\mu) \in (I \bmod \text{Ann}_M x)$ since $\Phi(\mu x) \in BP[t^{-1}] \cdot y = I[t^{-1}] \cdot x$. Similarly, for S_M . \square

In general, for a smooth variety X the BP -module $BP_{(r)}^*(X)$ can be infinitely generated, but its finitely generated submodules are actually glued from Φ -modules of degree r .

Proposition 3.8. *Let $r > 0$ and let $Z \subset \text{CH}^r(X)$ be a finitely generated group, then the BP -module M generated by $\rho(Z)$ in $BP_{(r)}^*(X)$ (the map ρ is defined in Section 2.2) has a finite filtration s.t. its factors are Φ -modules of degree r .*

Proof. Note that if $Z' \subset Z$ is a subgroup, then its image inside $BP_{(r)}^*(X)$ is invariant with respect to operations.

Being finitely generated the group Z has a finite filtration, s.t. its factors are cyclic. This implies, that M has a finite filtration, s.t. its factors are cyclic modules generated in degree r with well-defined action of operations. Clearly, this action satisfies Def. 3.6. The coherence follows from Prop. 2.21. \square

Denote by $f(n) = \frac{p^n - 1}{p - 1}$ for $n > 0$.

Proposition 3.9 (cf. [BJW95, Cor. 21.9]). *Let M be a Φ -module of degree $r > 0$ generated by x .*

Then either $M \cong BP$, or there exist $n > 0$ and a BP -submodule $BP \cdot y \subset M$ which is invariant under operations, $\text{Ann}(y) = I(n)$ and $\deg y \geq f(n)$.

Proof. Let us construct $u = p^{k_0} v_1^{k_1} \dots v_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} \in BP$ so that $\text{Ann}(x) \supset J_{n-1}(u)$, $y := ux \neq 0$, and $\deg y \geq f(n)$.

Note that $\text{Ann}(x)$ is an invariant finitely generated ideal in BP , and if $p^{k_0+1}x \neq 0$ for every $k_0 \geq 0$, then by Cor. 2.13 we have $\text{Ann}(x) = 0$, and so $M \cong BP$ is a free module generated in degree $r > 0$. Thus, we may assume that $p^{k_0+1}x = 0$ and take $y_0 := p^{k_0}x \neq 0$ for some $k_0 \geq 0$.

We will continue by induction. Define y_n as $v_n^{k_n} y_{n-1}$ such that $v_n y_n = 0$, and $y_n \neq 0$. If $v_n^i y_{n-1} \neq 0$ for any $i \geq 0$, then the process stops and $y = y_{n-1}$. Note that by construction $\text{Ann}(x) \supset J_n(u_n)$ where $y_n = u_n x$. The following lemma shows that this induction process terminates.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $n > 0$ and assume that $u = p^{k_0} v_1^{k_1} \dots v_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} \in BP$ such that $\text{Ann}(x) \supset J_{n-1}(u)$, $y := ux \neq 0$ and $\deg y \leq pf(n)$.*

Then $v_n^i y \neq 0$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof. Assume the contrary, and choose $i \geq 1$ to be such that $v_n^{i-1}y \neq 0$, $v_n^i y = 0$.

Let us apply the symmetric operation to $v_n^i y$, which would have to result in zero. By the definition of a Φ -module we have $\Phi(v_n^i y) = \Phi(uv_n^i x) = \mathbf{i}^r \cdot t^{r(p-1)} \cdot \Phi_{\leq -r(p-1)}(uv_n^i) \cdot x$. By Prop. 3.5, (1) $\Phi_{(p-1)\deg(uv_n^i)-(p^n-1)}(uv_n^i) \equiv -uv_n^{i-1} \pmod{J_{n-1}(u)}$. Thus, if $-r(p-1) \geq (p-1)\deg(uv_n^i)-(p^n-1)$, then $\Phi_{(p-1)(r+\deg(uv_n^i))-(p^n-1)}(v_n^i u x) = \mathbf{i}^r v_n^{i-1} u x = \mathbf{i}^r v_n^{i-1} y$ as $J_{n-1}(u)x = 0$. If $v_n^i u x = v_n^i y$ were 0, then $\Phi(v_n^i u x)$ would be 0 which is a contradiction.

However, the inequality above can be rewritten as $(p-1)(r+\deg(uv_n^i)) \leq p^n-1$, and it is satisfied for all $i \geq 1$ if it is satisfied for $i = 1$. In this case this inequality is precisely the assumption of the Lemma. \square

Denote by $y = ux$ the final element of the process above, so that $v_n^i y \neq 0$ for all i . Let us show that the BP -submodule generated by y is stable under operations. We have $\Phi(\lambda y) = x \cdot \mathbf{i}^r \cdot t^{r(p-1)} \cdot \Phi_{\leq -r(p-1)}(u\lambda)$ for any $\lambda \in BP$. To apply Prop. 3.5, (2) we need that $-r(p-1) < (p-1)\deg(v_1^{k_1} \cdots v_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}) - (p^{n-1} - 1)$. This is $(p-1)\deg y > p^{n-1} - 1$, or $\deg y > f(n-1)$.

As we have $v_{n-1}y = 0$, then it follows from the proof of Lemma 3.10 that $\deg y > pf(n-1)$, i.e. $\deg y \geq f(n) = pf(n-1) + 1$. Clearly, $f(n) - 1 > f(n-1)$, and therefore for $m \leq -r(p-1)$ we have $\Phi_m(u\lambda)$ equal to $u\Phi_{m-(p-1)\deg u}(\lambda)$ modulo the ideal $J_{n-1}(u)$ by Prop. 3.5, (2). However, $J_{n-1}(u) \subset \text{Ann}(x)$, and therefore Φ_l sends λy to $\lambda' y$ for any $l \leq 0$.

We want to show now that the submodule generated by y is also invariant with respect to Landweber-Novikov operations. We have $S_{L-N}^{\text{tot}}(\lambda y) = S_{L-N}^{\text{tot}}(\lambda)S_{L-N}^{\text{tot}}(u)x$. However, $J_{n-1}(u) \subset \text{Ann}(x)$ and by Prop. 3.2 $S_{L-N}^{\text{tot}}(u) \equiv u \pmod{J_{n-1}(u)}$, so that $S_{L-N}^{\text{tot}}(\lambda y) = S_{L-N}^{\text{tot}}(\lambda)y$. This finishes the proof that a submodule generated by y is invariant under operations.

It also follows that $\text{Ann}(y)$ is an invariant ideal, s.t. $\text{Ann}(y) \supset I(n)$, $v_n^i \notin \text{Ann}(y)$ for all $i \geq 0$. It is finitely generated, as Φ -modules are coherent, and by Cor. 2.13 we obtain that $\text{Ann}(y) = I(n)$. \square

Proposition 3.11 (cf. [BJW95, Th. 21.12]). *Let M be a Φ -module of degree $r > 0$.*

Then either M is a free module or there exist a finite filtration of M : $M = M^0 \supset M^1 \supset \cdots \supset M^s = 0$ by BP -modules s.t. M^i/M^{i+1} is isomorphic to a BP -module $BP/I(n_i)e_i$ where $\deg e_i \geq f(n_i)$.

Proof. Applying inductively Prop. 3.9 and Lemma 3.7 we obtain such filtration, the only issue being its finiteness (and hence exhaustiveness). To see this note, that after each step of the induction process an element of positive degree is killed, and as $M^{\geq 0}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module, the process stops after finite number of steps due to the noetherian property of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. \square

Corollary 3.12. *Let X be a smooth variety, then for any $r \geq 0$ the BP -module $BP_{(r)}^*(X)$ is a union of finitely generated BP -modules which have a filtration s.t. its factors are cyclic modules $BP/I(n)x$ with the generator x s.t. $\deg x \geq f(n)$. (If $n = 0$, i.e. $I(n) = (0)$, the claim is that $\deg x \geq 0$.)*

Proof. It follows from Prop. 3.8 and Prop. 3.11. \square

3.4. Integral restrictions to the structure of cobordism. Let us now use Landweber's results on the structure of $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}B)$ -comodules (Th. 2.14) to state the integral version of Cor. 3.12.

Theorem 3.13. *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety over a field F . Then for any $r \geq 0$ the \mathbb{L} -module $\Omega_{(r)}^*(X)$ is a union of \mathbb{L} -modules which have a filtration, s.t. its factors are of the form $\mathbb{L}e_j$, $\deg e_j \geq 0$, or $\mathbb{L}/I(p_i, n_i) \cdot e_i$ where p_i is a prime number, $n_i \geq 1$, and $\deg e_i \geq \frac{p^{n_i}-1}{p-1}$.*

Proof. For $r = 0$ the module $\Omega_{(r)}^*(X)$ is free of rank 1, thus, the claim is satisfied, and in what follows we assume that $r > 0$.

Let Z be a finitely generated subgroup of $\mathrm{CH}^r(X)$, and denote by M the graded \mathbb{L} -module that is generated by $\rho(Z)$. Abelian group Z has a filtration $Z = Z_0 \supset Z_1 \supset \cdots \supset Z_s = 0$ s.t. its factors are either \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}/p_j for a prime p_j , and therefore the module M has a filtration $M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \cdots \supset M_s = 0$ s.t. M_j/M_{j+1} is a cyclic \mathbb{L} -module generated by the element x_j s.t. x_j is either non-torsion or $p_j x_j = 0$ for a prime p_j .

Note that the filtration is invariant under Landweber-Novikov operations due to Prop. 2.9, and thus M_j/M_{j+1} is a $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}B)$ -comodule, which is also coherent by Prop. 2.21 and Rem. 2.24.

Let us show that the module $N := M_j/M_{j+1}$ has a filtration as claimed in the theorem. If x_j is non-torsion, then this module is isomorphic to \mathbb{L} as the annihilator of x_j has to be an invariant ideal in \mathbb{L} and its radical (if not equal to 0) has to contain a prime number p by Th. 2.12 which is a contradiction. So we assume that $p x_j = 0$ for some prime p . Note that it makes N a $\mathbb{L}_{(p)}$ -module. It follows from Prop. 2.15 that $N \cong (N \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} BP) \otimes_{BP} \mathbb{L}_{(p)}$.

We claim that the module $N \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} BP$ is a Φ -module generated in degree r . Indeed, $M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} BP$ is a submodule of $BP_{(r)}^*(X)$ because BP is a Landweber-exact module over \mathbb{L} , and moreover it is generated by $\rho_{BP}(Z)$ as the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}^r(X) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \Omega_{(r)}^*(X) \\ & \searrow \rho_{BP} & \downarrow \\ & & BP_{(r)}^*(X) \end{array}$$

The induced filtration on $M \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} BP$ then equals to the filtration given by the ρ_{BP} -image of the filtration on Z . This filtration is clearly invariant under all operations, and therefore its factors being cyclic are Φ -modules. Therefore by Prop. 3.11 it has an expected filtration.

The map $BP \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{(p)}$ is a flat map, so that the graded factors of the induced filtration on N are of the form $BP/I(n) \otimes_{BP} \mathbb{L}_{(p)}$. As $I(n) \otimes_{BP} \mathbb{L}_{(p)} = I(p, n)$ this gives an expected filtration on $N = M_j/M_{j+1}$ and therefore M as well. \square

4. ALGEBRAIC COBORDISM AND BP-THEORY OF VARIETIES OF SMALL DIMENSION

Vishik has used Theorem 2.19 to show that for a smooth curve C there is an isomorphism $\Omega^*(C) = \mathbb{L} \oplus \mathrm{CH}^1(C) \otimes \mathbb{L}$ ([Vi15, Th. 4.4]). Here we continue this line of results with the case of algebraic cobordism of a smooth surface and BP -theory of a smooth variety of dimension not greater than p .

To state the results we will need the following Lemma and Definition. Let A, B be abelian groups, and let $L = \bigoplus_{i \leq 0} L^i$ be a graded ring which is flat over \mathbb{Z} . For an abelian group X denote by $X \otimes L(i)$ the graded L -module generated freely by X in degree i .

Lemma 4.1. $\mathrm{Ext}_{L^\bullet}^1(A \otimes L(i), B \otimes L(j)) = \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(A, B \otimes L^{i-j})$.

Proof. The L -module $A \otimes L(i)$ has a free resolution obtained from a free resolution of A by abelian groups. Calculating the Ext-groups using this resolution yields the claim. \square

Definition 4.2. Let C be a graded L -module which is an extension of a module $A \otimes L(i)$ by $B \otimes L(j)$ where $j \leq i$. Let v be an element L^{i-j} . We will say that C is *specified by an extension in* $\mathrm{Ext}^1(A, B)$ *via* v , if the extension defined by C in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(A, B \otimes L^{i-j})$ via Lemma 4.1) comes from the image of the map $\mathrm{Ext}^1(A, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(A, B \otimes L^{i-j})$ defined by v .

4.1. Algebraic cobordism of a surface. Recall that for any smooth variety X we have a decomposition of \mathbb{L} -modules $\Omega^* = \mathbb{L} \cdot 1_X \oplus \tau^1 \Omega^*$, and therefore a description of $\tau^1 \Omega^*$ as a \mathbb{L} -module yields a description of Ω^* as a \mathbb{L} -module.

Theorem 4.3. *Let S be a smooth surface. Then there exists the following exact sequence of \mathbb{L} -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^2(S) \otimes \mathbb{L}(2) \rightarrow \tau^1 \Omega^*(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^1(S) \otimes \mathbb{L}(1) \rightarrow 0,$$

and this extension of \mathbb{L} -modules is specified by an extension of abelian groups via $\beta \in \mathbb{L}^{-1}$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^2(S) \cong \tau^2 K_0(S) \rightarrow \tau^1 K_0(S) \rightarrow gr_\tau^1 K_0(S) \cong \mathrm{CH}^1(S) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. As explained in the proof of Prop. 2.21, $\Omega^*(S)$ as \mathbb{L} -module is free with respect to variables of degree less than -1, i.e. $\Omega^*(S) = CK_0^*(S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\beta]} \mathbb{L}$, where CK_0^* is the free theory defined by the multiplicative formal group law over the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$, $\deg \beta = -1$, and the map $\mathbb{Z}[\beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ is the section of the classifying map and sends β to the generator of \mathbb{L}^{-1} . The theory CK_0^* is called the *connective K-theory*.

Note that the canonical map $CK_0^2(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^2(S)$ is an isomorphism, as its kernel has to be divisible by β , which is impossible due to the dimensional reasons.

Lemma 4.4. *Let Y be a smooth variety. The map $\rho_{CK_0} : \mathrm{CH}^i(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \rightarrow \oplus_{n \leq i} CK_{0,(i)}^n(Y)$ is an isomorphism for $i : 0 \leq i \leq 2$.*

Proof. If $i = 0$, then there is a canonical section.

If $i = 1$, the statement is a corollary of Vishik's theorem (Cor. 2.20).

If $i = 2$, as the map ρ_{CK_0} is always surjective, it suffices to prove that for any non-zero element $x \in \mathrm{CH}^2(Y)$ elements $\beta^i \rho_{CK_0}(x)$ are not zero for any $i \geq 0$. Assume the contrary.

We claim that x is 2-primary torsion. Since every two formal group laws over the same \mathbb{Q} -algebra are strictly isomorphic, it follows that every two free theory with torsion-free coefficients become multiplicatively isomorphic after a change of coefficient to some \mathbb{Q} -algebra. Using this one can show that the kernel of the map ρ is torsion, and therefore x is torsion. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the order of x , and assume that $p \mid n$, $p \neq 2$. Then there exist a multiple of x , called y , such that $py = 0$. Clearly, $\rho_{BP}(y)$ is a non-zero element in $BP_{(2)}^2(Y)$ (where BP corresponds to a prime p). Note that as the map $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow BP$ is graded, it sends $\beta \in \mathbb{L}^{-1}$ to zero if $p \neq 2$. On the other hand, by Prop. 2.4 the module $\Omega_{(2)}^*(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring over $BP_{(2)}^*(Y)$ as \mathbb{L} -module. Clearly, β is mapped to a generator of this polynomial ring, and therefore $\beta^i y \neq 0$ for any $i \geq 1$.

If x is 2-primary torsion, then its image in $BP_{(2)}^2(Y) \cong^{\rho^{-1}} \mathrm{CH}^2(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ corresponding to prime 2 is non-zero and is annihilated by the multiplication on a power of v_1 (which is the image of β). Thus, x generates a non-zero Φ -module of degree 2 inside $BP_{(2)}^2(Y)$.

Consider the filtration on this Φ -module from Prop. 3.11. As $\deg x < f(2) = \frac{2^2-1}{2-1} = 3$, the highest factor of the filtration can only be $BP/I(1)$ where $I(1) = (2)$, i.e. this Φ -module is isomorphic to $BP/(2^s)$ for some $s > 0$, and $v_1^l x \neq 0$ for any $l \geq 0$. Contradiction. \square

It follows from this Lemma, and the isomorphism $\mathrm{CH}^* = CK_0^*/(\beta)$, that the following sequence of abelian groups is exact:

$$(6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^2(S)[\beta] \cong \tau^2 CK_0^*(S) \rightarrow \tau^1 CK_0^*(S) \rightarrow \tau^1 CK_0^*(S)/\tau^2 CK_0^*(S) \cong^{\rho^{-1}} \mathrm{CH}^1(S)[\beta] \rightarrow 0.$$

The first piece of the topological filtration on $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -module $CK^*(S)$ is split by a free $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -summand generated by 1. In other words, $CK_0^*(S) = \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \oplus \tau^1 CK_0^*(S)$.

The exact sequence (6) may be not split, but the extension it defines as of $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -modules is specified by an extension of abelian groups $\mathrm{CH}^1(S)$ and $\mathrm{CH}^2(S)$ via element β , see Lemma 4.1, which can be obtained by localizing at $\beta = 1$.

Tensoring the exact sequence (6) over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ by $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]/(\beta - 1)$ and noting that $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}[\beta]}$ between $\mathrm{CH}^1(S)[\beta]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]/(\beta - 1)$ is equal to zero we obtain the following:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^2(S) \rightarrow \tau^1 K_0(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^1(S) \rightarrow 0.$$

It is easy to check that the maps in this sequence are ρ_{K_0} which identify $\mathrm{CH}^i(S)$ with $gr_\tau^i K_0(S)$ for $i = 1, 2$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

4.2. BP-theory of varieties of small dimension. Recall that the structure of algebraic cobordism p -locally can be recovered from the structure of BP^* by Prop. 2.4. Thus, one can reformulate following results in terms of $\Omega^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ instead of BP^* .

Lemma 4.5. *Let Y be a smooth variety. The map $\rho_{BP} : \mathrm{CH}^i(Y) \otimes BP \rightarrow \oplus_{n \leq i} BP_{(i)}^n(Y)$ is an isomorphism for $i : 0 \leq i \leq p$.*

Proof. By Cor. 3.12 we know that the BP -module $BP_{(i)}^*(Y)$ has a filtration whose factors are either BP or $BP/I(1) = BP/(p)$ for $i < f(2) = p + 1$ generated in degree i (note that in the construction of the filtration in Prop. 3.9 the generator y of a submodule $BP/I(n)$ was obtained as a product of x with elements of $I(n)$). Since ρ_{BP} is injective in degree i and surjective in all degrees, it follows that it is an isomorphism for $i \leq p$. \square

Similar to the case of algebraic surface we can show that if $\dim X \leq p$ then the BP -module $BP^*(X)$ is equal to $CK(1)^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1]} BP$ where $CK(1)^*$ is a first Morava K-theory which we now define.

Proposition 4.6. *Let F be a formal group law over \mathbb{Q} defined by the logarithm $\log_{K(1)}(x) = \sum_{i=0} \frac{x^{p^i}}{p^i}$.*

Then F is strictly isomorphic to a multiplicative formal group law, and this isomorphism has coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Thus, the coefficients of F also lie in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

Proof. The strict isomorphism of formal group laws F_1, F_2 over \mathbb{Q} is unique, and is defined by the series $\log_{F_1} \circ \log_{F_2}^{-1}$. Thus, one needs to check that $\exp \circ \log_{K(1)}$ has coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. This series is known as the Artin-Hasse exponential and is known to be p -integral. \square

If a formal group law $F(x, y) = \sum a_{ij} x^i y^j$, $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ has $a_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i + j \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$, then the formal group law $F(x, y) = \sum a_{ij} v_1^{\frac{i+j-1}{p-1}} x^i y^j$ is a graded formal group law over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1]$, $\deg v_1 = 1 - p$. Moreover, if the logarithm of F is $\sum a_i x^{p^i}$, then the logarithm of the graded version is $\sum a_i v_1^{\frac{p^i-1}{p-1}} x^{p^i}$. This proves the correctness of the following definition.

Definition 4.7. Let $F_{CK(1)}$ be a formal group law over the graded ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1]$, $\deg v_1 = 1 - p$, defined by the logarithm $\log_{CK(1)}(x) = \sum_{i=0} v_1^{\frac{p^i-1}{p-1}} \frac{x^{p^i}}{p^i}$. The corresponding free theory $CK(1)^*$ is called *the first connective Morava K-theory*.

The free $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)$ -graded theory $K(1)^* = CK(1)^*/(v_1 - 1)$ is called *the first Morava K-theory*.

We will denote graded components of $K(1)^*$ by $K(1)^i$ where $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ meaning that $K(1)^i = K(1)^j$ if $i \equiv j \pmod{p-1}$. Thus, $K(1)^* = K(1)^1 \oplus K(1)^2 \oplus \dots \oplus K(1)^{p-1}$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let Y be a smooth variety.*

Then $\tau^i CK(1)^j = \tau^{i+1} CK(1)^j$, $\tau^i K(1)^j = \tau^{i+1} K(1)^j$ if $j \not\equiv i \pmod{p-1}$.

In particular, if $\dim Y \leq p$, then $\oplus_n CK(1)_{(i)}^n(Y) = \oplus_{m=0}^{\infty} CK(1)^{i-m(p-1)}(Y)$ and $K(1)^i(Y) = K(1)_{(i)}^(Y)$ for $i: 2 \leq i \leq p-2$, $K(1)^{p-1}(Y) = K(1) \oplus K(1)_{(p-1)}^*(Y)$.*

Proof. For a \mathbb{Z} -graded free theory A^* such that the map $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow A$ is surjective we have $\tau^i A^n(X) = \cup_{m \leq -i} A^m \cdot A^{n-m}(X)$ for every smooth variety X as follows from [LM07, Th. 4.5.7]. However, the ring of coefficients of $CK(1)^*$ has non-zero elements only in degrees dividing $p-1$. The result for $K(1)^*$ follows by localization and using the canonical splitting $K(1)^* = K(1) \oplus \tau^1 K(1)^*$. \square

Theorem 4.9. *Let p be a prime number, let X be a smooth variety, $\dim X \leq p$.*

Then the graded BP -module $BP^(X)$ is a direct sum of $BP \cdot 1_X$, $CH^j(X) \otimes BP(j)$ for $j: 2 \leq j \leq p-1$ and a graded BP -module M which fits into the following exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow CH^p(X) \otimes BP(p) \rightarrow M \rightarrow CH^1(X) \otimes BP(1) \rightarrow 0,$$

and this extension of BP -modules is specified by the following extension of abelian groups via the element $v_1 \in BP^{1-p}$

$$0 \rightarrow CH^p(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \cong \tau^p K(1)^1(X) \rightarrow \tau^1 K(1)^p(X) \rightarrow gr_{\tau}^1 K(1)^p(X) \cong CH^1(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. As follows from the proof of Prop. 2.21 we have $BP^*(X) = CK(1)^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1]} BP$. Thus, we need to focus only on the structure of the first connective Morava K-theory.

It follows from Lemmata 4.5 and 4.8 that $\oplus_{n \geq 0} CK(1)^{i-n(p-1)} = CH^i \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1]$ for $i: 2 \leq i \leq p-1$, and we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tau^p CK(1)^{p+*(p-1)}(X) \rightarrow \tau^1 CK(1)^{p+*(p-1)}(X) \rightarrow CK(1)_{(1)}^*(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $CK(1)^{p+*(p-1)}(X) \cong CH^p(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1](p)$, and $CK(1)_{(1)}^*(X) \cong CH^1(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1](1)$, so the exact sequence is specified by an extension of Chow groups via element v_1 (see Lemma 4.1). Tensoring this exact sequence over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1]$ by $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1]/(v_1-1)$ we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow CH^p(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \cong \tau^p K(1)^*(X) \rightarrow \tau^1 K(1)^1(X) \rightarrow K(1)_{(1)}^* \cong CH^1(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \rightarrow 0.$$

\square

There is a simple connection between the first Morava K-theory and K_0 which we now state and which can be used to restate Theorem 4.9 in more classical terms.

Proposition 4.10. *Let X be a smooth variety. Then there exist a multiplicative isomorphism*

$$K_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \cong \oplus_{j=1}^{p-1} K(1)^j(X).$$

In particular, if $\dim X \leq p$, this isomorphism identifies

- $\tau^i K_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ with $\tau^p \tilde{K}(1)^1(X) \oplus \oplus_{j=i}^{p-1} \tilde{K}(1)^j(X)$ for $i: 2 \leq i \leq p-1$;
- $\tau^p K_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ with $\tau^p \tilde{K}(1)^1(X)$

where $\tilde{K}(1)^ = \tau^1 K(1)^* = \text{Ker}(K(1)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is the subideal of elements which vanish at generic points.*

Proof. The existence of a multiplicative isomorphism follows by Prop. 4.6 and the Panin-Smirnov reorientation construction. Clearly, this isomorphism respects the topological filtration, and the claim then follows from Lemma 4.8. \square

We also note that the projector of $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}(p)}$ which image is isomorphic to $K(1)^i$ where $i: 1 \leq i \leq p-1$ can be written down with the help of Chern classes $c_j : K_0 \rightarrow K_0$ or in terms of λ -operations on K_0 .

5. RESOLUTIONS OF ALGEBRAIC COBORDISM OVER THE LAZARD RING

In this section we prove the Syzygies conjecture:

Syzygies Conjecture for Algebraic Cobordism (Vishik, [Vi15, Conj. 4.5 p. 981]). *Let X be a smooth variety of dimension d . Then $\Omega^*(X)$ has a free \mathbb{L} -resolution whose j -th term has generators concentrated in codimensions between j and d .*

In particular, the cohomological \mathbb{L} -dimension of the \mathbb{L} -module is less or equal to d .

First, we describe a homological criterion for a \mathbb{L} -module to have a free resolution as above, and then show that the results of Th. 3.13 imply that these conditions are satisfied for the algebraic cobordism.

Recall that $\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0} = \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let M be a graded \mathbb{L} -module generated in non-negative degrees not greater than $d \geq 0$.*

Then TFAE:

- (1) *M satisfies the Syzygies Conjecture;*
- (2) *For every $j \geq 0$ we have $\text{Tor}_j^{\mathbb{L}}(M, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})$ is concentrated in degrees $k: d \geq k \geq j$ and it is a free abelian group in degree j .*

In particular, the class of \mathbb{L} -modules satisfying the Syzygies Conjecture is closed under extensions.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose that M has a free \mathbb{L} -resolution $F_d \rightarrow F_{d-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M$ where F_j is concentrated in degrees between j and d . Tensoring the resolution by $\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0}$ over the Lazard ring we obtain the complex of graded abelian groups $G_d \rightarrow G_{d-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_0$ where G_j is free and is concentrated in degrees between j and d . It is clear now that the j -th cohomology of this complex $\text{Tor}_j^{\mathbb{L}}(M, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})$ can be non-zero only in degrees between j and d , and, moreover, $\text{Tor}_j^{\mathbb{L}}(M, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})^j$ is the kernel of the map $G_j^j \rightarrow G_{j-1}^j$ and therefore is free.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). The following result is straight-forward.

Lemma 5.2. *Let N be a graded \mathbb{L} -module concentrated in degrees bounded from above.*

Then if $p : G \rightarrow N \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0}$ is a surjection of graded abelian groups where G is free, then there exist a surjection of graded \mathbb{L} -modules $p_{\mathbb{L}} : G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{L} \rightarrow N$ s.t. $p_{\mathbb{L}} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0} = p$.

Suppose the module M satisfying the assumptions (2) of Proposition is given, the following lemma allows to construct the free \mathbb{L} -resolution of M inductively step by step. Indeed, in the induction step one assumes that $r-1$ steps of the free \mathbb{L} -resolution of M satisfying Syzygies Conjecture are constructed $F_{r-1} \rightarrow F_{r-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M$, and the kernel N of the map $F_{r-1} \rightarrow F_{r-2}$ satisfies the properties of the following Lemma. The conclusion of the Lemma together with the assumptions (2) allows then to continue induction.

Lemma 5.3. *Let N be a graded \mathbb{L} -module, let $r : d \geq r \geq 0$. Assume that the graded abelian group $N \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0}$ is concentrated in degrees $k: d \geq k \geq r$ and its r -th component is free, and that $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{L}}(N, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})$ is concentrated in degrees $k: d \geq k \geq r+1$ and its $r+1$ -th component is free.*

Then N has a presentation of \mathbb{L} -modules $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ where F is free and is generated in degrees $k: d \geq k \geq r$, R is generated in degrees $k: d \geq k \geq r+1$, and $(R \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})^{r+1}$ is free.

Moreover, $\text{Tor}_j^{\mathbb{L}}(R, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0}) = \text{Tor}_{j+1}^{\mathbb{L}}(N, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})$ for $j \geq 1$.

Proof. By the assumption one finds a graded free abelian group G concentrated in degrees k : $d \geq k \geq r$, and a map $p : G \rightarrow N \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0}$ which is an isomorphism in degree r . By Lemma 5.2 we have a surjective map $p_{\mathbb{L}} : G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{L} \rightarrow N$ of graded \mathbb{L} -modules.

Denote by $F := G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{L}$ the free module, and by R the kernel of the map $p_{\mathbb{L}}$. Applying $\otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0}$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ we get that $R \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0}$ is zero in degrees less than $r+1$, and that $\mathrm{Tor}_j^{\mathbb{L}}(R, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0}) = \mathrm{Tor}_{j+1}^{\mathbb{L}}(N, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})$ for $j \geq 1$.

Also we get the exact sequence:

$$(7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{L}}(N, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})^{r+1} \rightarrow (R \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})^{r+1} \rightarrow (F \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})^{r+1},$$

from which it follows that $(R \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})^{r+1}$ as it is an extension of a free group (its image in a free group $(F \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})^{r+1}$) by a free group. \square

This proves the equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). Suppose now that we have a short exact sequence of \mathbb{L} -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0,$$

where N and K satisfy the Syzygies conjecture for the same $d \geq 0$. We want to show that M also satisfies the Syzygies conjecture for d .

Applying $\otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0}$ to the short exact sequence we easily see that $\mathrm{Tor}_j^{\mathbb{L}}(M, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})$ is concentrated in degrees k : $d \geq k \geq j$. Moreover, as we have an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{j+1}^{\mathbb{L}}(K, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})^j = 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_j^{\mathbb{L}}(N, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})^j \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_j^{\mathbb{L}}(M, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})^j \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_j^{\mathbb{L}}(K, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})^j,$$

where the graded abelian groups on its left and right end are free, it follows that the group in the middle is also free. \square

First, let us check that the Syzygies Conjecture is true for the simplest \mathbb{L} -modules appearing in Th. 3.13.

Lemma 5.4. *Let p be a prime, denote by M the graded \mathbb{L} -module $\mathbb{L}/I(p, n)x$ where $\deg x \geq f(n)$.*

Then $\mathrm{Tor}_j^{\mathbb{L}}(M, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})$ is concentrated in degrees k : $\deg x \geq k \geq j+1$.

Proof. Ideal $I(p, n)$ is regular, and the \mathbb{L} -module $\mathbb{L}/I(p, n)x$ has a free Koszul resolution K^\bullet , s.t. in the j -th term of this resolution we have a free module $\bigwedge^j (\oplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{L} \cdot v_i) x$. Thus, the minimal degree of this free module is $\deg x + \sum_{k=n-j}^{n-1} (1 - p^k) = \deg x + j - (p^{n-j} + \dots + p^{n-1})$. The condition that this degree is at least j is the same as $\deg x \geq p^{n-j} + \dots + p^{n-1}$.

The strongest case of this inequality is for $j = n$, when this is precisely $\deg x \geq f(n)$. This proves that $\mathrm{Tor}_j^{\mathbb{L}}(M, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})$ is concentrated in degrees greater than j for $j \neq n$, and in degrees not less than n for $j = n$.

The map $K^n \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0} \rightarrow K^{n-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0}$ looks like:

$$\mathbb{Z}v_0 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{n-1} \rightarrow \oplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}v_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{v}_i \wedge v_{n-1},$$

which sends the generator $v_0 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{n-1}$ to $pv_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{n-1}$. Thus, the group $\mathrm{Tor}_n^{\mathbb{L}}(M, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})$ is zero. \square

Theorem 5.5. *Syzygies Conjecture is true, and moreover $\mathrm{Tor}_j^{\mathbb{L}}(\Omega^*(X), \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})$ equals to zero in degree j .*

Proof. It is enough to prove that $\Omega_{(r)}^*(X)$ satisfies conditions of Prop. 5.1 together with the following:

$$\mathrm{Tor}_j^{\mathbb{L}}(\Omega^*(X), \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{L}^{<0})^j = 0.$$

However, by Th. 3.13 it is a union of filtered \mathbb{L} -modules with graded factors isomorphic to $\mathbb{L}/I(p, n)x$ as in Lemma 5.4. For these modules Tor's are zero, and as Tor commutes with unions (generally with filtered colimits) we are done. \square

In one of few non-trivial examples where $\Omega^*(X)$ can be computed, namely, for a Pfister quadric, Vishik checked in [Vi15, Example 4.6] that $\mathrm{Tor}_j^{\mathbb{L}}(\Omega^*(X), \mathbb{L}/(2, \mathbb{L}^{<0}))$ can be non-zero in degree j . It shows the sharpness of the obtained estimates on degrees of a free \mathbb{L} -resolution of $\Omega^*(X)$.

REFERENCES

- [BJW95] Boardman J.M., Johnson D.C., Wilson W.S. *Unstable operations in generalized cohomology*, The Handbook of Algebraic Topology (1995): 687-828.
- [CPZ13] B. Calmes, V. Petrov, K. Zainoulline *Invariants, torsion indices and oriented cohomology of complete flags*, In Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, Société mathématique de France, Vol. 46, No. 3, pp. 405-448 (2013).
- [Ca67] Cartier P. *Modules associés à un groupe formel commutatif. Courbes typiques*, CR Acad. Sc. Paris. **265** (1967), 129-132.
- [CS69] Conner P. E., Smith L. *On the complex bordism of finite complexes*, Publications mathématiques de l'IHÉS 37.1 (1969): 117-221.
- [HK11] J. Hornbostel, V. Kiritchenko *Schubert calculus for algebraic cobordism*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal) 656, pp. 59-85 (2011).
- [Ka94] Kashiwabara, T. *Hopf rings and unstable operations*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 94.2 (1994): 183-193.
- [LM07] Levine M., Morel F. *Algebraic cobordism*, Springer Science & Business Media (2007).
- [LP09] Levine M., Pandharipande R. *Algebraic cobordism revisited*, Inventiones mathematicae 176.1 (2009): 63-130.
- [La67] Landweber, P. S. *Cobordism operations and Hopf algebras*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 129(1), (1967): 94-110.
- [La73a] Landweber P. S. *Associated prime ideals and Hopf algebras*, Journal of pure and applied algebra 3.1 (1973): 43-58.
- [La73b] Landweber P. S., *Annihilator ideals and primitive elements in complex bordism*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics 17(2) (1973): 273-284.
- [La76] Landweber P. S. *Homological properties of comodules over $MU^*(MU)$ and $BP^*(BP)$* , American Journal of Mathematics (1976): 591-610.
- [Na07] Naumann N. *The stack of formal groups in stable homotopy theory*, Advances in Mathematics 215.2 (2007): 569-600.
- [No67] Novikov S. P. *The methods of algebraic topology from the viewpoint of cobordism theory*, Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya 1(4) (1967):827-913.
- [PS04] Panin I., Smirnov A. *Riemann-Roch theorems for oriented cohomology*, Axiomatic, enriched and motivic homotopy theory (2004):261-333.
- [PS14] V. Petrov, N. Semenov *Morava K-theory of twisted flag varieties*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.3141 (2014).
- [S17] P. Sechin *Chern Classes from Algebraic Morava K-Theories to Chow Groups*, International Mathematics Research Notices (2017): rnx022.
- [Se14] N. Semenov *Cohomological invariants of algebraic groups and the Morava K-theory*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.5609 (2014).
- [Ra04] Ravenel D. *Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres*, 2nd ed., Providence, RI: AMS Chelsea Publishing 2004.
- [Qu69] Quillen D. *On the formal group laws of unoriented and complex cobordism theory*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 75.6 (1969): 1293-1298.
- [Qu71] Quillen D. *Elementary proofs of some results of cobordism theory using Steenrod operations*, Advances in Mathematics 7.1 (1971): 29-56.
- [VY07] A. Vishik, N. Yagita *Algebraic cobordisms of a Pfister quadric*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 76(3), pp. 586-604 (2007).
- [Vi07] Vishik A. *Symmetric operations in algebraic cobordism*, Advances in Mathematics 213.2 (2007): 489-552.
- [Vi12] Vishik A. *Stable and unstable operations in algebraic cobordism*, arXiv:1209.5793 (2012), to appear in Annales Scientifiques de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, 64 pages.

- [Vi14] Vishik A. *Operations and poly-operations in Algebraic Cobordism*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.0741 (2014).
- [Vi15] Vishik A. *Algebraic Cobordism as a module over the Lazard ring*, Mathematische Annalen 363(3-4) (2015): 973-983.
- [Vi16] Vishik A. *Symmetric operations for all primes and Steenrod operations in Algebraic Cobordism*, Compositio Mathematica 152.5 (2016): 1052-1070.

PAVEL SECHIN

MATHEMATISCHES INSITUT, RUPRECHT-KARLS-UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG,
MATHEMATIKON, IM NEUENHEIMER FELD 205, 69120 HEIDELBERG, GERMANY
`psechin@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de`