arXiv:1712.04065v1 [cs.AI] 11 Dec 2017

The Eigenoption-Critic Framework

Miao Liu IBM AI miao.liu1@ibm.com
Marlos C. Machado University of Alberta machado@ualberta.ca
Gerald Tesauro IBM AI gtesauro@us.ibm.com
Murray Campbell IBM AI mcam@us.ibm.com

Abstract

Eigenoptions (EOs) have been recently introduced as a promising idea for generating a diverse set of options through the graph Laplacian, having been shown to allow efficient exploration Machado et al. [2017a]. Despite its first initial promising results, a couple of issues in current algorithms limit its application, namely: 1) EO methods require two separate steps (eigenoption discovery and reward maximization) to learn a control policy, which can incur a significant amount of storage and computation; 2) EOs are only defined for problems with discrete state-spaces and; 3) it is not easy to take the environment's reward function into consideration when discovering EOs. In this paper, we introduce an algorithm termed eigenoption-critic (EOC) that addresses these issues. It is based on the Option-critic (OC) architecture Bacon et al. [2017], a general hierarchical reinforcement learning algorithm that allows learning the intra-option policies simultaneously with the policy over options. We also propose a generalization of EOC to problems with continuous state-spaces through the Nyström approximation. EOC can also be seen as extending OC to nonstationary settings, where the discovered options are not tailored for a single task.

1 Introduction

Reinforcement Learning (RL) has been a driving force of many recent AI breakthroughs, such as systems capable of matching or surpassing human performance in *Jeopardy!* Tesauro et al. [2013], Go Silver et al. [2016, 2017], Atari games Mnih et al. [2015], autonomous driving Chen et al. [2017b,a] and conversing with humans Serban et al. [2017]. In these domains, the RL policy makes step-by-step decisions, operating at the finest-grained time scale. Such an approach is not feasible in several other domains where the delayed credit assignment is a major challenge. A principled approach to combat this challenge is to use *temporally extended* actions, allowing agents to operate at a higher level of abstraction by having actions being executed for different amounts of time. Temporally extended actions lead to hierarchical control architectures. They provide a divide-and-conquer approach to tackle complex tasks by learning to compose the right set of subroutines to succeed in the task. It also potentially allows much faster adaptation to changes in the environment, which may only involve small changes in the task hierarchy (*e.g.*, changing the goal state in maze navigation task). In this work we are interested in developing efficient methods for automatically learning task hierarchies in RL. We focus on the options framework Sutton et al. [1999b], a general framework for modeling temporally extended actions.

Autonomous option discovery has been subject of extensive research since the late 90's, with a large number of HRL algorithms being based on the options framework Simsek and Barto [2004], Daniel et al. [2016], Florensa et al. [2017], Konidaris and Barto [2009], Machado et al. [2017c], Mankowitz et al. [2016], McGovern and Barto [2001]. In this paper we explore the recently introduced concept of eigenoptions (EOs) Machado et al. [2017a], a set of diverse options, obtained from a learned latent representation, that allows efficient exploration. Such an idea is promising and quite different from

Hierarchical Reinforcement Learning Workshop at the 31st Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (HRL@NIPS 2017), Long Beach, CA, USA.

others in the literature. However, existing algorithms for eigenoption discovery have the following limitations: 1) They have two clear distinct phases that can incur significant storage and computational costs, namely (i) learning the intra-option policies and (ii) learning the policy over options to complete the task; 2) They are only defined for problems with discrete state-spaces and 3) they do not support the use of the environment's reward function in the eigenoption discovery process. In this paper we introduce an algorithm for eigenoption discovery that addresses these issues. We do so by porting the idea of eigenoptions to the the option-critic (OC) architecture Bacon et al. [2017], which is capable of simultaneously learning intra-option policies and the policy over options; and by using the Nyström approximation to generalize eigenoptions to problems with continuous state-spaces. We term our algorithm Eigenoption-critic (EOC). From the OC's perspective, our algorithm can be seen as extending the OC architecture to be able to better deal with nonstationary environments and to be able to generate more diverse options that are general enough to be transferable across tasks.

2 Preliminaries and Notations

We consider sequential decision-making problems formulated as Markov decision processes (MDPs). An MDP is specified by a quintuple $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, T, R, \gamma \rangle$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is the state space, $\mathcal{A}$ is the action space, T is the state-transition model, R is the reward function, and $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ is a discount factor. The goal of an RL agent is to find a control policy $\pi : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to [0, 1]$ that maximizes the expected discounted return $G_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_{k+t+1}$. The policy can be derived from a value function such as the state-value function $V^\pi(s)$ or the state-action value function $Q^\pi(s, a)$. Specifically, we have $V^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi,T}[G_t|s] = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) Q^\pi(s, a)$. In RL settings we use samples collected through agent-environment interactions to estimate the value functions.

Options in HRL, are defined by a set of trituples $O = \{I_o, \beta_o, \pi_o\}_{o=1}^{K}$, where K is the total number of options, $I_o \in \mathcal{S}$ is the initiation set of option o, $\beta_o : \mathcal{S} \to [0, 1]$ is the stochastic termination condition of option o, and $\pi_o : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to [0, 1]$ is the stochastic intra-option policy of option o.

The Option-Critic architecture is a policy gradient method that provides a general framework for option discovery in HRL Bacon et al. [2017]. The OC architecture facilitates joint option learning and automatic option discovery on the fly. Specifically, it is based on the following state-option value and state-action-option value:

$$Q_O(s, o) = \textstyle\sum_a \pi_o(a|s) Q_U(s, a, o), \tag{1}$$

$$Q_U(s, a, o) = r(s, a) + \gamma \textstyle\int_{s'} Pr(s'|s, a) U(s', o) \tag{2}$$

where $U(s', o) = \big(1 - \beta_o(s')\big) Q_O(s', o) + \beta_o(s') V(s')$. One can use the policy gradient theorem Sutton et al. [1999a] to obtain the gradient of the expected discounted return with respect to the intra-option policy parameter θ and to the option termination function parameter η, respectively:

$$\tfrac{\partial Q_O(s,o)}{\partial \theta} = \textstyle\int_s \sum_o \mu_O(s, o|s_0, o_0) \sum_a \frac{\partial \pi_{o,\theta}}{\partial \theta} Q_U(s, o, a), \tag{3}$$

$$\tfrac{\partial Q_O(s,o)}{\partial \eta} = -\textstyle\int_{s'} \sum_o \mu_O(s, o|s_0, o_0) \frac{\partial \beta_o(s')}{\partial \eta} \big(Q_U(s, a, o) - V_\Omega(s')\big), \tag{4}$$

where $\mu_O(s, o|s_1, o_0) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t P(s_{t+1} = s, o_t = o|s_1, o_0)$. The OC architecture simultaneously learns intra-option policies, termination conditions, and the policy over options through two steps: 1) *Critic step*: evaluating Q_O (Eq. 1) and Q_U (Eq. 2) with TD errors; and 2) *Actor step*: estimating Eq. 3 and Eq. 4 to update the parameters of the termination functions and of the intra-option policies. Notice that the OC algorithm is a policy gradient method focused on maximizing the return. It does not try to capture the topology of the state-space and it does not incentivize the agent to keep exploring the environment. Thus, the OC can be inefficient for solving nonstationary tasks as the discovered options tend to be tailored for a particular task instead of being general for better transferability.

3 The Eigenoption-Critic Framework

Eigenoptions Machado et al. [2017a] are a set of options obtained from the eigenvectors of the graph Laplacian generated by the undirected graph formed by the state transitions induced by the MDP. Specifically, assume an adjacency matrix W can be estimated through a Gaussian kernel with each element specified as $W_{i,j} = w(s_i, s_j) = \alpha \exp\{-|s_i - s_j|/\sigma\}$, upon which we can build a combinatorial graph Laplacian $L = D - W$, where D is a diagonal matrix with the i-th diagonal

element defined as $D_{i,i} = \sum_j W_{i,j}$. By performing eigenvalue decomposition of L one obtains eigenvectors, also known in the RL community as proto-value functions (PVFs) Mahadevan and Maggioni [2007a]. The PVFs capture large-scale temporal properties of a diffusion process and have been shown to be useful for representation learning Mahadevan and Maggioni [2007b] and have been used as intrinsic reward signals for guiding exploration Machado et al. [2017a,c]. Specifically, given e_o, the o-th eigenvector of L, one can specify an intrinsic reward function as:

$$r_{in}(s, s', o) = e_o^T \big(\phi(s') - \phi(s)\big), \tag{5}$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ denotes the feature representation of a given state. This reward can be seen as an intrinsic motivation for the agent to explore the environment. Essentially, L defines a diffusion model, which captures the information flow on a graph or a manifold (the topology of the underlying state space).

Algorithm 1: Eigenoption-Critic with tabular intra-option Q learning

Input: Reward mixing weight α, learning rates α_θ, α_θ, α_o, α_u, discount factor γ
Output: A set of parameterized options $O = \{I_o, \pi_o^\pi(a|s), \beta_o(s)\}$ and a policy over options $\pi(o|s)$
for i=$1, \ldots, N_{episodes}$ **do**
  Choose option o according to ϵ-soft($\pi(o|s')$)
  while *not reached goal* **do**
    Choose an action $a \sim \pi^b_{o,\theta}(a|s)$, and observe s', r_{ex}
    Find the k nearest neighbors of s, $\{s_1, ..., s_k\}$
    for i=$1, \ldots, k$ **do**
      compute $w(s, s_i)$
    Compute eigenfunction value at s, intrinsic reward using and mixed reward using equations (7), (5), and (6), respectively
    1. Update the critic (Option evaluation):
    $\delta_u \leftarrow r - Q_U(s, o, a)$, $\delta_o \leftarrow r_{ex} - Q_O(s, o)$
    if s' *is not terminal* **then**
      $g \leftarrow \gamma(1 - \beta_{o,\eta}(s'))Q_o(s', o) + \gamma\beta_{o,\eta}(s') \max_{o'} Q_\omega(s', o)$, $\delta_u \leftarrow \delta_u + g$, $\delta_o \leftarrow \delta_o + g$
    $Q_U(s, o, a) \leftarrow Q_U(s, o, a) + \alpha_u\delta_u$, $Q_O(o, s) \leftarrow Q_O(o, s) + \alpha_o\delta_o$
    2. Update the actor (Options improvement):
    $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha_\theta \frac{\partial \ln \pi_{o,\theta}(a|s)}{\partial\theta} Q_U(s, o, a)$, $\eta \leftarrow \eta - \alpha_\eta \frac{\partial \beta_{o,\eta}(s')}{\partial\eta}(Q_O(s', o) - V_O(s'))$
    if $\beta_{o,\eta}$ *terminates in* s' **then**
      choose new option o according to ϵ-soft($\pi(o|s')$)
    $s \leftarrow s'$

3.1 Eigenoption-Critic Framework

Previous work on eigenoptions Machado et al. [2017a] maximizes rewards in a two phase procedure: off-line eigenoption discovery and online reward maximization. In this work we propose to learn eigenoptions online by integrating the intrinsic reward into the OC architecture. By doing so the agent can learn eigenoptions while it does not observe extrinsic rewards, which can be interpreted as an auxiliary task Jaderberg et al. [2017]. This can also be seen as promoting exploration before the agent stumbles upon the goal state. We define the following mixed reward function as a convex combination of the extrinsic and intrinsic rewards:

$$r(s, a, o) = \alpha r_{in}(s, s', o) + (1 - \alpha) r_{ex}(s, a). \tag{6}$$

The motivation is that we learn options that encode information about diffusion, but do not ignore the extrinsic rewards. Note that we can recover the original options in OC and EOs when α is set to 0 and 1 respectively. Also, note that the mixed reward (Eq. 6) is only used for learning options, while the policy over options is still learned by extrinsic rewards, since it is the only useful feedback for evaluating task performance. Algorithm 1 summarizes the EOC algorithm in the tabular case.

3.2 Generalization of the Eigenoption-Critic Framework to Continuous Domains

In this section, we discuss the extension of the Eigenoption-critic framework to problems with continuous state-spaces. Although the OC architecture naturally handles continuous domains, the EO framework was not defined for that. We fill this gap by showing how one can generalize eigenvectors




Figure 1: The performance of Algorithm 1 using different reward mixing coefficients α on a benchmark: 4-rooms under a nonstationary setting, where the goal location changes periodically.




Figure 2: The performance comparison between OC and EOC ($\alpha = 0.5$) on a benchmark: pinball under a nonstationary setting, where the goal location changes periodically.

to eigenfunctions and how one can interpolate the value of eigenvectors computed on sampled states to a novel state. This generalization is achieved via the Nyström approximation Mahadevan and Maggioni [2007b],

$$e_o(s) = \frac{1}{1-\lambda_o} \sum_{i:|s-s_i|<\epsilon} \frac{w(s,s_i)}{\sqrt{d(s)d(s_i)}} e_o(s_i) \tag{7}$$

where λ_o is the o-th eigenvalue, $e_o(s_i)$ is the o-th eigenvector value at an anchor point s_i, $d(s) = \sum_{i:|s-s_i|<\epsilon} w(s,s_i)$ is the degree of s, a new vertex on the graph, and $d(s_i)$ is the degree of an anchor point s_i. Note that $d(s)$ is determined when a new state s is encountered in the online learning phase, whereas $d(s_i)$ is precomputed when the graph is constructed.

4 Experiments

We validate the proposed method on two benchmarks under a nonstationary setting, where goal locations change periodically, which the agent is unaware of. We use the same parameters reported by Bacon et al. Bacon et al. [2017] in our experiments. Due to space limit, readers are referred to their paper for details.

Four-rooms domain The first experiment is performed on a navigation task in the 4-rooms domain Sutton et al. [1999b], which has discrete state-space. The initial state is randomly generated for each episode. After every $1,000$ episodes the goal location changes to a new one following a uniform distribution over valid positions. The performance is measured by the number of steps the agent takes to reach the goal, and is shown on Figure 1. It can be seen that, for the first goal setting, the OC architecture outperforms other methods when enough samples are used. This is due to the fact that OC's learning mechanism is solely based on extrinsic rewards, which is only obtained when

the agent hits the goal location. Whereas for EOC the intrinsic reward, which allows more efficient exploration, is also used for updating intra-option policies. When the goal location changes, because of more efficient exploration, EOC outperforms OC for most of the subsequent tasks, especially when the goal location changes between two rooms. EOC with $\alpha = 0.75$ is the setting that achieves the best performance, suggesting that the combination of both approaches is indeed promising.

Pinball domain The second experiment is performed on the pinball domain Konidaris and Barto [2009], which has a continuous state space. The agent starts in the upper left corner in every episode. The goal location changes after 250 episodes (red circle in Figure 2). In this domain, we used intra-option Q-learning with linear function approximation over Fourier basis. We used the data collected from the first 10 episodes to build a Kd-tree and we search k=15 nearest neighbors to perform the Nyström approximation of the eigenfunctions. We plot the undiscounted return on Figure 1. Similar to the first experiment, except for the first task, EOC outperforms OC when using the same number of options in subsequent tasks.

5 Conclusions

This paper presents a novel algorithm termed eigenoption-critic (EOC) for hierarchical reinforcement learning. EOC extends the option-critic (OC) method by augmenting the extrinsic reward signals with eigenpurposes, intrinsic rewards defined by eigenvectors of the graph Laplacian of the state-space. It allows online eigenoption discovery and it is also applicable to continuous state spaces. Our experiments show that, in general, EOC outperforms OC in both discrete and continuous benchmarks when nonstationarity is introduced. For future work we will test the integration of EOC with deep neural networks on domains such as Atari games Bellemare et al. [2013], Machado et al. [2017b] and high-dimensional robotic controls Duan et al. [2016].

References

P. Bacon, J. Harb, and D. Precup. The option-critic architecture. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, February 4-9, 2017, San Francisco, California, USA.*, pages 1726–1734, 2017.

M. G. Bellemare, Y. Naddaf, J. Veness, and M. Bowling. The Arcade Learning Environment: An Evaluation Platform for General Agents. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 47:253–279, 2013.

Y. Chen, M. Everett, M. Liu, and J. P. How. Socially aware motion planning with deep reinforcement learning. In *2017 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, September 2017a.

Y. Chen, M. Liu, M. Everett, and J. P. How. Decentralized non-communicating multiagent collision avoidance with deep reinforcement learning. In *2017 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 285–292, May 2017b. doi: 10.1109/ICRA.2017.7989037.

C. Daniel, H. van Hoof, J. Peters, and G. Neumann. Probabilistic Inference for Determining Options in Reinforcement Learning. *Machine Learning*, 104(2-3):337–357, 2016.

Y. Duan, X. Chen, R. Houthooft, J. Schulman, and P. Abbeel. Benchmarking deep reinforcement learning for continuous control. In *Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on International Conference on Machine Learning - Volume 48*, ICML'16, pages 1329–1338. JMLR.org, 2016. URL `http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=3045390.3045531`.

C. Florensa, Y. Duan, and P. Abbeel. Stochastic Neural Networks for Hierarchical Reinforcement Learning. In *Proc. of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2017.

M. Jaderberg, V. Mnih, W. M. Czarnecki, T. Schaul, J. Z. Leibo, D. Silver, and K. Kavukcuoglu. Reinforcement Learning with Unsupervised Auxiliary Tasks. In *Proc. of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2017.

G. Konidaris and A. G. Barto. Skill Discovery in Continuous Reinforcement Learning Domains using Skill Chaining. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*, pages 1015–1023, 2009.

M. C. Machado, M. G. Bellemare, and M. H. Bowling. A laplacian framework for option discovery in reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2017, Sydney, NSW, Australia, 6-11 August 2017*, pages 2295–2304, 2017a.

M. C. Machado, M. G. Bellemare, E. Talvitie, J. Veness, M. Hausknecht, and M. Bowling. Revisiting the Arcade Learning Environment: Evaluation Protocols and Open Problems for General Agents. *CoRR*, abs/1709.06009, 2017b.

M. C. Machado, C. Rosenbaum, X. Guo, M. Liu, G. Tesauro, and M. Campbell. Eigenoption Discovery through the Deep Successor Representation. *CoRR*, abs/1710.11089, 2017c. URL `http://arxiv.org/abs/1710.11089`.

S. Mahadevan and M. Maggioni. Proto-value Functions: A Laplacian Framework for Learning Representation and Control in Markov Decision Processes. *Journal of Machine Learning Research (JMLR)*, 8:2169–2231, 2007a.

S. Mahadevan and M. Maggioni. Proto-value functions: A Laplacian framework for learning representation and control in Markov decision processes. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 8(Oct):2169–2231, 2007b.

D. J. Mankowitz, T. A. Mann, and S. Mannor. Adaptive Skills Adaptive Partitions (ASAP). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*, pages 1588–1596, 2016.

A. McGovern and A. G. Barto. Automatic Discovery of Subgoals in Reinforcement Learning using Diverse Density. In *Proc. of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, pages 361–368, 2001.

V. Mnih, K. Kavukcuoglu, D. Silver, A. A. Rusu, J. Veness, M. G. Bellemare, A. Graves, M. Riedmiller, A. K. Fidjeland, G. Ostrovski, S. Petersen, C. Beattie, A. Sadik, I. Antonoglou, H. King, D. Kumaran, D. Wierstra, S. Legg, and D. Hassabis. Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *Nature*, 518(7540):529–533, Feb. 2015. ISSN 00280836. URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature14236`.

I. V. Serban, C. Sankar, M. Germain, S. Zhang, Z. Lin, S. Subramanian, T. Kim, M. Pieper, S. Chandar, N. R. Ke, S. Mudumba, A. de Brebisson, J. M. R. Sotelo, D. Suhubdy, V. Michalski, A. Nguyen, J. Pineau, and Y. Bengio. A deep reinforcement learning chatbot. *CoRR*, 2017. URL `https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.02349`.

D. Silver, A. Huang, C. J. Maddison, A. Guez, L. Sifre, G. van den Driessche, J. Schrittwieser, I. Antonoglou, V. Panneershelvam, M. Lanctot, S. Dieleman, D. Grewe, J. Nham, N. Kalchbrenner, I. Sutskever, T. Lillicrap, M. Leach, K. Kavukcuoglu, T. Graepel, and D. Hassabis. Mastering the game of Go with deep neural networks and tree search. *Nature*, 529(7587):484–489, Jan 2016. ISSN 0028-0836. doi: 10.1038/nature16961.

D. Silver, J. Schrittwieser, K. Simonyan, I. Antonoglou, A. Huang, A. Guez, T. Hubert, L. Baker, M. Lai, A. Bolton, Y. Chen, T. Lillicrap, F. Hui, L. Sifre, G. van den Driessche, T. Graepel, and D. Hassabis. Mastering the game of go without human knowledge. *Nature*, 550(7676):354–359, Oct. 2017. ISSN 00280836. URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature24270`.

Ö. Simsek and A. G. Barto. Using Relative Novelty to Identify Useful Temporal Abstractions in Reinforcement Learning. In *Proc. of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2004.

R. S. Sutton, D. McAllester, S. Singh, and Y. Mansour. Policy gradient methods for reinforcement learning with function approximation. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, NIPS'99, pages 1057–1063, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1999a. MIT Press. URL `http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=3009657.3009806`.

R. S. Sutton, D. Precup, and S. P. Singh. Between MDPs and Semi-MDPs: A Framework for Temporal Abstraction in Reinforcement Learning. *Artificial Intelligence*, 112(1-2):181–211, 1999b.

G. Tesauro, D. Gondek, J. Lenchner, J. Fan, and J. M. Prager. Analysis of Watson's strategies for playing Jeopardy! *J. Artif. Intell. Res.*, 47:205–251, 2013. doi: 10.1613/jair.3834. URL `https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.3834`.