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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the Fourier spectral method for numerically solving the 2D convective Cahn-Hilliard equation. The semi-discrete and fully discrete schemes are established. Moreover, the existence, uniqueness and the optimal error bound are also considered.
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## 1. Introduction

Suppose that $\Omega=\left[0, L_{1}\right] \times\left[0, L_{2}\right], L_{1}, L_{2}>0$. We consider the following problem for the 2D convective Cahn-Hilliard equation. We seek a real-valued function $u(x, y, t)$ defined on $\Omega \times[0, T]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\gamma \Delta^{2} u=\Delta \varphi(u)+\nabla \cdot \psi(u), \quad(x, y) \in \Omega, t \in(0, T] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi(u)=\gamma_{2} u^{3}+\gamma_{1} u^{2}-u, \psi(u)=u^{2} . \gamma>0, \gamma_{2}>0$ and $\gamma_{1}$ are constants. On the basis of physical considerations, as usual Eq.(11) is supplemented with the following boundary value conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y, t)=\Delta u(x, y, t)=0, \quad(x, y) \in \partial \Omega \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the initial value condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad(x, y) \in \Omega \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Eq.(1) is a typical fourth order parabolic equation, which arises naturally as a continuous model for the formation of facets and corners in crystal growth, see [1, 2]. Here $u(x, t)$ denotes the slope of the interface. The convective term $\nabla \cdot \psi(u)$ (see [1]), stems from the effect of kinetic that provides an independent flux of the order parameter, similar to the effect of an external field in spinodal decomposition of a driven system.

During the past years, many authors have paid much attention to the convective Cahn-Hilliard equation. It was K. H. Kwek [3] who first studied the convective Cahn-Hilliard equation for the case with convection, namely, $\psi(u)=u$. By some a priori estimates, he proved the existence of a classical solution, and gave the error estimates by the discontinuous Galerkin method. Zarksm et al [4] investigate bifurcations of stations periodic solutions of a convective Cahn-Hilliard equation, they described phase separation in driven systems, and studied the stability of the main family of these solutions. Eden and Kalantarov [5, 6] considered the convective Cahn-Hilliard equation with periodic boundary conditions in one space dimension and three space dimension. They established some result on the existence of a compact attractor. Recently, Gao and Liu [7] studied the instability of the traveling waves of the 1D convective Cahn-Hilliard equation. Zhao and Liu [8, 9] considered the optimal control problem for the convective Cahn-Hilliard equation in 1D and 2D case. For more recent results on the convective Cahn-Hilliard equation, we refer the reader to [10, 11, 12] and the references therein.

It is known to all, spectral methods are essentially discretization methods for the approximate solution of partial differential equations. They have the natural advantage in keeping the physical properties of primitive problems [13, 14, 15]. On the other hand, until to now, there's no numerical results on the convective Cahn-Hilliard equation by spectral methods. So, in this paper, a Fourier spectral method for numerically solving problem (1)-(3) is developed.

Remark 1. For the classical Cahn-Hilliard equation(see [14, 15, 16, 1才]), there are two important features: conservation of mass and the existence of Lyapunov functional. These two properties play important roles both in Cahn-Hilliard equation's mathematical theoretical analysis and its numerical analysis. They are used to estimate the absolute pointwise maximum value of the solution. However, for problem (11)-(3), the two important properties might not be existent. This means that we should find another useful approach to estimate the absolute pointwise maximum value of the solution.

We now consider the Fourier spectral method for the problem (11)-(3))., the existence of a solution locally in time is proved by the standard Picard iteration, global classical existence results can be found in [12]. Adjusted to our needs, the results is given in the following form:

Theorem 2. Suppose that $u_{0} \in H^{2}(\Omega) \bigcap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, Then problem (11)-(3) admits a unique solution $u$ such that

$$
u \in L^{2}\left([0, T] ; H^{4}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad \forall T>0
$$

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we consider a semidiscrete Fourier spectral approximation, prove its existence and uniqueness of the numerical solution and derive the error bound. In section 3, we consider the full-discrete approximation for problem (11)-(3). Furthermore, we prove convergence to the solution of the associated continuous problem. In the last section, some numerical experiments which confirm our results are performed.

Throughout this paper, we denote $L^{2}, L^{p}, L^{\infty}, H^{k}$ norm in $\Omega$ simply by $\|\cdot\|,\|\cdot\|_{p},\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^{k}}$.

## 2. Semi-discrete approximation

In this section, we consider the semi-discrete approximation for problem (11)-(31). First of all, we recall some basic results on the Fourier spectral method which will be used throughout this paper. Let $L_{1}=L_{2}=2 \pi$, $2 N_{1}, 2 N_{2}$ be any positive integers. In the continuation of this work, let $N_{1}=N_{2}=N, h=\frac{\pi}{N}, x_{i}=i h, y_{j}=j h, i, j \in \Lambda$, where $\Lambda=1,2, \cdots, 2 N$. For any integer $2 N>0$, we introduce the finite dimensional subspace of $H^{2}(\Omega) \bigcap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega):$

$$
S_{N}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\sin k_{1} x \sin k_{2} y, \quad k_{1}, k_{2} \in \Lambda\right\},
$$

Let $P_{N}: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow S_{N}$ be an orthogonal projecting operator which satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u-P_{N} u, v\right)=0, \quad \forall v \in S_{N} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For operator $P_{N}$ and functions in $S_{N}$, we have the following results (see [13, 15, 17]).
(B1) $P_{N}$ commutes with derivation on $H^{2}$, i. e.

$$
P_{N} \Delta u=\Delta P_{N} u, \quad \forall u \in H^{2}(\Omega) \bigcap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

(B2) For any real $0 \leq \mu \leq \sigma$, there is a constant $c$, such that

$$
\left\|u-P_{N} u\right\|_{\mu} \leq c N^{\mu-\sigma}\left\|\nabla^{\sigma} u\right\|, \quad \forall u \in H^{\sigma}(\Omega) .
$$

We define the Fourier spectral approximation: For each $N \geq 1$, find

$$
u_{N}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{j}(t) \sin k_{1} x \sin k_{2} y \in S_{N}
$$

such that $\forall v_{N} \in S_{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial t}, v_{N}\right)+\gamma\left(\Delta u_{N}, \Delta v_{N}\right)=\left(\varphi\left(u_{N}\right), \Delta v_{N}\right)+\left(\nabla \cdot \psi\left(u_{N}\right), v_{N}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$ with $u_{N}(0)=P_{N} u_{0}$.
Now, we are going to establish the existence, uniqueness et. al. of the Fourier spectral approximation solution $u_{N}(t)$ for $t \geq 0$.

Lemma 3. Suppose that $u_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then, (5) has a unique solution $u_{N}(t)$ satisfying the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{N}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq e^{c_{1} t}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall t \in(0, T) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\Delta u_{N}(\tau)\right\|^{2} d \tau \leq\left(\frac{c_{1} t}{\gamma} e^{c_{1} t}+\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}, \quad t \in(0, T) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ is a positive constant depends only on $\gamma, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and the domain.
Proof. Set $v_{N}=\sin j_{1} x \sin j_{2} y$ in (5) for each $j(1 \leq j \leq N)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} a_{j}(t)=f_{j}\left(a_{1}(t), a_{2}(t), \cdots, a_{J}(t)\right), \quad j=1,2, \cdots, N \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all $f_{j}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(1 \leq j \leq N)$ are smooth and locally Lipschitz continuous. Noticing that $u_{N}(0)=P_{N} u_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}(0)=\left(u_{0}, \phi_{j}\right), \quad j=1,2, \cdots, N . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the theory of initial-value problems of the ordinary differential equations, there is a time $T_{N}>0$ such that the initial-value problem (30)-(9) has a unique smooth solution $\left(a_{1}(t), a_{2}(t), \cdots, a_{N}(t)\right)$ for $t \in\left[0, T_{N}\right]$.

Setting $v_{N}=u_{N}$ in (5), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{N}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left\|\Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2}=\left(\varphi\left(u_{N}\right), \Delta u_{N}\right)+\left(\nabla \cdot \psi\left(u_{N}\right), u_{N}\right)
$$

Note that

$$
\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}\right)=3 \gamma_{2} u_{N}^{2}+2 \gamma_{1} u_{N}-u_{N} \geq-c_{0}=-\frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{3 \gamma_{2}}-1
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\varphi\left(u_{N}\right), \Delta u_{N}\right) & =-\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}\right) \nabla u_{N}, \nabla u_{N}\right) \leq c_{0}\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|^{2} \\
& =-c_{0}\left(u_{N}, \Delta u_{N}\right) \leq \frac{\gamma}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2}+\frac{c_{0}^{2}}{2 \gamma}\left\|u_{N}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, a simple calculation shows that

$$
\left(\nabla \cdot \psi\left(u_{N}\right), u_{N}\right)=\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot\left(u_{N}^{2}\right) u_{N} d x=0
$$

Summing up, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{N}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left\|\Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{c_{0}^{2}}{\gamma}\left\|u_{N}\right\|^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$
\left\|u_{N}\right\|^{2} \leq e^{\frac{c_{0}^{2}}{\gamma} t}\left\|u_{N}(0)\right\|^{2} \leq e^{\frac{c_{0}^{2}}{\gamma} t}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}, \quad t \in(0, T)
$$

Setting $c_{1}=\frac{c_{0}^{2}}{\gamma}$, we get the conclusion (6). Integrating (10) from 0 to $t$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\Delta u_{N}(\tau)\right\|^{2} d \tau & \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}\left(c_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{N}(\tau)\right\|^{2} d \tau+\left\|u_{N}(0)\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{c_{1} t}{\gamma} e^{c_{1} t}+\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, Lemma 3 is proved.
Lemma 4. Suppose that $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then, (5) has a unique solution $u_{N}(t)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u_{N}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq e^{c_{2} t}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\tilde{c_{2}}, \quad t \in(0, T) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}(\tau)\right\|^{2} d \tau \leq \frac{\tilde{3}_{3} t}{\gamma}+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|^{2}, \quad t \in(0, T) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2}$ is a positive constant depends only on $\gamma, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and the domain.
Proof. Setting $v_{N}=\Delta u_{N}$ in (5), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2}=-\int_{\Omega} \Delta \varphi\left(u_{N}\right) \Delta u_{N} d x-\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \psi\left(u_{N}\right) \Delta u_{N} d x
$$

Note that

$$
\Delta \varphi\left(u_{N}\right)=\left(3 \gamma_{2} u_{N}^{2}+2 \gamma_{1} u_{N}-1\right) \Delta u_{N}+\left(6 \gamma_{2} u_{N}+2 \gamma_{1}\right)\left|\nabla u_{N}\right|^{2} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2}+\gamma_{2}\left\|u_{N} \Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2} \\
= & -\int_{\Omega}\left(2 \gamma_{2} u_{N}^{2}+2 \gamma_{1} u_{N}-1\right)\left|\Delta u_{N}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} u_{N}^{2} \nabla \Delta u_{N} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} 2 \gamma_{1}\left|\nabla u_{N}\right|^{2} \Delta u_{N} d x-6 \int_{\Omega} \gamma_{2} u_{N}\left|\nabla u_{N}\right|^{2} \Delta u_{N} d x \\
\leq & \gamma_{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{N}^{2}\left|\Delta u_{N}\right|^{2} d x+c \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{N}\right|^{4} d x+c \int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta u_{N}\right|^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{\gamma}{8} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \Delta u_{N}\right|^{2} d x+c \int_{\Omega} u_{N}^{4} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by Nirenberg's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|_{4}^{4} \leq\left(c_{1}^{\prime}\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|u_{N}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}+c_{2}^{\prime}\left\|u_{N}\right\|\right)^{4} \leq \frac{\gamma}{8}\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2}+c_{3} \\
\left\|u_{N}\right\|_{4}^{4} \leq\left(c_{1}^{\prime}\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}\right\|^{\frac{1}{6}}\left\|u_{N}\right\|^{\frac{5}{6}}+c_{2}^{\prime}\left\|u_{N}\right\|\right)^{4} \leq \frac{\gamma}{8}\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2}+c_{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2} \leq\left(c_{1}^{\prime}\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}\right\|^{\frac{2}{3}}\left\|u_{N}\right\|^{\frac{1}{3}}+c_{2}^{\prime}\left\|u_{N}\right\|\right)^{2} \leq \frac{\gamma}{8}\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2}+c_{5}
$$

Summing up, we immediately obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2} \leq 2\left(c_{3}+c_{4}+c_{5}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Nirenberg's inequality again, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\| \leq c_{1}^{\prime}\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}\right\|^{\frac{1}{3}}\left\|u_{N}\right\|^{\frac{2}{3}}+c_{2}^{\prime}\left\|u_{N}\right\| . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (13) and (14) together gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|^{2}+c_{3}^{\prime}\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|^{2} \leq c_{4}^{\prime} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, Gronwall's inequality shows that

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|^{2} \leq e^{c_{3}^{\prime} t}\left\|\nabla u_{N}(0)\right\|^{2}+\frac{c_{4}^{\prime}}{c_{3}^{\prime}}
$$

Setting $c_{2}=c_{3}^{\prime}, \tilde{c_{2}}=\frac{c_{4}^{\prime}}{c_{3}^{\prime}}$, we get the conclusion (11). Integrating (13) from 0 to $t$, we deduce that

$$
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}(\tau)\right\|^{2} d \tau \leq \frac{2}{\gamma}\left(c_{3}+c_{4}+c_{5}\right) t+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left\|\nabla u_{N}(0)\right\|^{2}
$$

Setting $\tilde{c_{3}}=2\left(c_{3}+c_{4}+c_{5}\right)$, we obtain (12). Lemma 4 is proved.
Remark 5. Based on Lemmas [3-4, we obtain the $H^{1}$-norm estimate of the numerical solution $u_{N}(t)$ for problem (5). Noticing that we consider the problem in 2D case, by Sobolev's embedding theorem, we have $H^{1}(\Omega) \rightharpoonup$ $L^{p}(\Omega)$ for all $p<\infty$. Hence,

$$
\left.\left\|u_{N}(t)\right\|_{p} \leq c\left\|u_{N}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}} \leq c_{6}, \quad \forall p \in\right] 1, \infty[
$$

where $c_{6}$ is a positive constant depends only on $\gamma, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and the domain.
Lemma 6. Suppose that $u_{0} \in H^{2}(\Omega) \bigcap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then, (5) has a unique solution $u_{N}(t)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta u_{N}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq e^{c_{7} t}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}+c_{8}, \quad t \in(0, T) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}(\tau)\right\|^{2} d \tau \leq \tilde{c}_{7} t+\tilde{c}_{8}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}, \quad t \in(0, T) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{7}, c_{8}$ are positive constants depend only on $\gamma, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and the domain.

Proof. Setting $v_{N}=\Delta u_{N}$ in (5), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}\right\|^{2}=\int_{\Omega} \Delta^{2} u_{N} \Delta \varphi\left(u_{N}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega} \Delta^{2} u_{N} \nabla \cdot \varphi\left(u_{N}\right) d x
$$

By Hölder's inequality, we derive that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}\left\|\Delta \varphi\left(u_{N}\right)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left\|\nabla \psi\left(u_{N}\right)\right\|^{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}\right\|^{2}
$$

Noticing that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\Delta \varphi\left(u_{N}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & 2\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}\right)\right|^{2}\left|\Delta u_{N}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{N}\right)\right|^{2}\left|\nabla u_{N}\right|^{4} d x\right) \\
\leq & 2\left[\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}\right)\right|^{3} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta u_{N}\right|^{6} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}+\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{N}\right)\right|^{6} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{N}\right|^{6} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right] \\
\leq & c_{9}\left[\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta u_{N}\right|^{6} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}+\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{N}\right|^{6} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{9}$ is a positive constant depends only on $\gamma, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and the domain. On the other hand, we have

$$
\left\|\nabla \psi\left(u_{N}\right)\right\|^{2}=\int_{\Omega} u_{N}^{2}\left|\nabla u_{N}\right|^{2} d x \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{N}^{4} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{N}\right|^{4} d x \leq \frac{c_{6}^{4}}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|_{4}^{4}
$$

Using Nirenberg's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|_{4}^{4} \leq\left(c_{1}^{\prime}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}\right\|^{\frac{1}{6}}\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|^{\frac{5}{6}}+c_{2}^{\prime}\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|\right)^{4} \leq \varepsilon\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}\right\|^{2}+c_{\varepsilon} \\
& \left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|_{6}^{4} \leq\left(c_{1}^{\prime}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}\right\|^{\frac{2}{9}}\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|^{\frac{7}{9}}+c_{2}^{\prime}\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|\right)^{4} \leq \varepsilon\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}\right\|^{2}+c_{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\Delta u_{N}\right\|_{6}^{2} \leq\left(c_{1}^{\prime}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}\right\|^{\frac{5}{9}}\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|^{\frac{4}{9}}+_{2}^{\prime}\left\|\nabla u_{N}\right\|\right) \leq \varepsilon\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}\right\|^{2}+c_{\varepsilon}
$$

Summing up, we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|\Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2}+\left[\gamma-\left(\frac{4 c_{9}}{\gamma}+\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \varepsilon\right]\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{4 c_{9} c_{\varepsilon}}{\gamma}+\frac{c_{\varepsilon}}{\gamma}+\frac{c_{6}^{4}}{\gamma} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is small enough, it satisfies $\gamma-\left(\frac{4 c_{9}}{\gamma}+\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \varepsilon>0$. By the CalderonZygmund type estimate, we get

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|\Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2}+\tilde{c_{4}}\left(\left\|\Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2}\right) \leq \tilde{c_{5}} .
$$

Therefore, Gronwall's inequality shows that

$$
\left\|\Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2} \leq e^{\tilde{\varepsilon_{4}} t}\left\|\Delta u_{N}(0)\right\|^{2}+\frac{\tilde{c_{5}}}{\tilde{c_{4}}} .
$$

Setting $c_{7}=\tilde{c_{4}}, c_{8}=\frac{\tilde{c_{5}}}{\tilde{c_{4}}}$, we obtain (16). Integrating (18) form 0 to $t$, we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}(\tau)\right\|^{2} d \tau \leq \frac{4 c_{9} c_{\varepsilon}+c_{\varepsilon}+c_{6}^{4}}{\gamma^{2}-\left(4 c_{9}+1\right) \varepsilon} t+\frac{\gamma}{\gamma^{2}-\left(4 c_{9}+1\right) \varepsilon}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}
$$

where $\tilde{c}_{7}=\frac{4 c_{9} c_{\varepsilon}+c_{\varepsilon}+c_{6}^{4}}{\gamma^{2}-\left(4 c_{9}+1\right) \varepsilon}$ and $\tilde{c}_{8}=\frac{\gamma}{\gamma^{2}-\left(4 c_{9}+1\right) \varepsilon}$. Hence, we get (17). Lemma 6 is proved.

Remark 7. Based on Lemmas 3-6, we obtain the $H^{2}$-norm estimate of the numerical solution $u_{N}(t)$ for problem (5). Noticing that we consider the problem in 2D case, by Sobolev's embedding theorem, we have $H^{2}(\Omega) \rightharpoonup$ $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, that is

$$
\left\|u_{N}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq c\left\|u_{N}(t)\right\|_{H^{2}} \leq c_{10}
$$

where $c_{10}$ is a positive constant depends only on $\gamma, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and the domain.
Theorem 8. Suppose that $u_{0} \in H^{2}(\Omega) \bigcap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then for any $T>0$, problem (5) admits a unique solution $u_{N}(x, t)$, such that

$$
u_{N}(x, t) \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{p e r}^{2}(\Omega)\right) \bigcap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{p e r}^{4}(\Omega)\right)
$$

Proof. We are going to apply the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem to complete the proof.

Define the linear space
$X=\left\{u_{N} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{p e r}^{2}(\Omega)\right) \bigcap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{p e r}^{4}(\Omega)\right) ;\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0, u(x, y, 0)=u_{0}\right\}$.
Clearly, $X$ is a Banach space. Define the associated operator $T$,

$$
T: X \rightarrow X, \quad u_{N} \rightarrow w_{N}
$$

where $w$ is determined by the following linear problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\partial w_{N}}{\partial t}, v_{N}\right)+\gamma\left(\Delta w_{N}, \Delta v_{N}\right)=\left(\varphi\left(u_{N}\right), \Delta v_{N}\right)+\left(\nabla \cdot \psi\left(u_{N}\right), v_{N}\right), \forall v_{N} \in S_{N} \\
& \left.\frac{\partial w_{N}}{\partial n}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=\left.\frac{\partial \Delta w_{N}}{\partial n}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0, \quad w(x, y, 0)=u_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the discussions in Lemmas [3-6] and by the contraction mapping principle, $T$ has a unique fixed point $u$, which is the desired solution of problem 5

Because the proof of the uniqueness of the solution is easy, we omit it here.

Then, we complete the proof.

Now, we estimate the error $\left\|u(t)-u_{N}(t)\right\|$. Denote $\eta_{N}=u(t)-P_{N} u(t)$ and $e_{N}=P_{N} u(t)-u_{N}(t)$. From (1) and (5), we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(e_{N t}, v_{N}\right)+\gamma\left(\Delta e_{N}, \Delta v_{N}\right) \\
= & \left(\varphi(u)-\varphi\left(u_{N}\right), \Delta v_{N}\right)+\left(\nabla \cdot\left(\psi(u)-\psi\left(u_{N}\right)\right), v_{N}\right), \quad \forall v_{N} \in S_{N} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $v_{N}=e_{N}$ in (19), we derive that
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|e_{N}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left\|\Delta e_{N}\right\|^{2}=\left(\varphi(u)-\varphi\left(u_{N}\right), \Delta e_{N}\right)-\left(\psi(u)-\psi\left(u_{N}\right), \nabla \cdot e_{N}\right)$.
By Theorem 2, we have $\sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}|u(x, t)| \leq c_{11}$, where $c_{11}$ is a positive constant depends only on $\gamma, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and the domain. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\varphi(u)-\varphi\left(u_{N}\right), \Delta e_{N}\right) \\
= & \gamma_{2}\left(\left(u-u_{N}\right)\left(u^{2}+u u_{N}+u_{N}^{2}\right), \Delta e_{N}\right) \\
& +\gamma_{1}\left(\left(u+u_{N}\right)\left(u-u_{N}\right), \Delta e_{N}\right)-\left(u-u_{N}, \Delta e_{N}\right) \\
\leq & \gamma_{2} \sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left|u^{2}+u u_{N}+u_{N}^{2}\right| \cdot\left\|e_{N}+\eta_{N}\right\|\left\|\Delta e_{N}\right\| \\
& +\gamma_{1} \sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left|u+u_{N}\right| \cdot\left\|e_{N}+\eta_{N}\right\|\left\|\Delta e_{N}\right\|+\left\|e_{N}+\eta_{N}\right\|\left\|\Delta e_{N}\right\| \\
\leq & 2 \gamma_{2}\left(c_{10}^{2}+c_{10} c_{11}+c_{11}^{2}\right)\left(\left\|e_{N}\right\|\left\|\Delta e_{N}\right\|+\left\|\eta_{N}\right\|\left\|\Delta e_{N}\right\|\right) \\
& +2 \gamma_{1}\left(c_{10}+c_{11}\right)\left(\left\|e_{N}\right\|\left\|\Delta e_{N}\right\|+\left\|\eta_{N}\right\|\left\|\Delta e_{N}\right\|\right) \\
& +2\left(\left\|e_{N}\right\|\left\|\Delta e_{N}\right\|+\left\|\eta_{N}\right\|\left\|\Delta e_{N}\right\|\right) \\
= & {\left[2 \gamma_{2}\left(c_{10}^{2}+c_{10} c_{11}+c_{11}^{2}\right)+2 \gamma_{1}\left(c_{10}+c_{11}\right)+2\right]\left(\left\|e_{N}\right\|\left\|\Delta e_{N}\right\|+\left\|\eta_{N}\right\|\left\|\Delta e_{N}\right\|\right) } \\
\leq & \frac{\gamma}{4}\left\|\Delta e_{N}\right\|^{2}+c_{12}\left(\left\|e_{N}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\eta_{N}\right\|^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{12}=\frac{8}{\gamma}\left[\gamma_{2}\left(c_{10}^{2}+c_{10} c_{11}+c_{11}^{2}\right)+\gamma_{1}\left(c_{10}+c_{11}\right)+1\right]^{2}$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(\psi(u)-\psi\left(u_{N}\right), \nabla \cdot e_{N}\right) & =-\left(\left(u-u_{N}\right)\left(u+u_{N}\right), \nabla \cdot e_{N}\right) \\
& \leq \sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left|u+u_{N}\right| \cdot\left\|e_{N}+\eta_{N}\right\|\left\|\nabla e_{N}\right\| \\
& \leq 2\left(c_{10}+c_{11}\right)\left(\left\|e_{N}\right\|\left\|\nabla e_{N}\right\|+\left\|\eta_{N}\right\|\left\|\nabla e_{N}\right\|\right) \\
& \leq-\frac{\gamma}{4}\left(e_{N}, \Delta e_{N}\right)+c_{13}\left(\left\|e_{N}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\eta_{N}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\gamma}{4}\left\|\Delta e_{N}\right\|^{2}+\left(c_{13}+\frac{\gamma}{16}\right)\left\|e_{N}\right\|^{2}+c_{13}\left\|\eta_{N}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{13}=\frac{4}{\gamma}\left(c_{10}+c_{11}\right)^{2}$. From Theorem 2 and (B2), we have

$$
\left\|\eta_{N}\right\| \leq c N^{-2}\|\Delta u\| \leq c_{14} N^{-2}
$$

Summing up, we immediately obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|e_{N}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left\|\Delta e_{N}\right\|^{2} \leq\left(2 c_{12}+2 c_{13}+\frac{\gamma}{8}\right)\left\|e_{N}\right\|^{2}+2\left(c_{12}+c_{13}\right) c_{14} N^{-4}
$$

Therefore, by Gronwall's inequality, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e_{N}\right\| \leq c\left(\| e_{N}(0)+N^{-2}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we obtain the following theorem:
Theorem 9. Suppose that $u_{0} \in H_{p e r}^{2}(\Omega), u(x, t)$ is the solution of problem (11)-(3) and $u_{N}(x, t)$ is the solution of semi-discrete approximation (5). Then, there exists a constant $c$, independent of $N$, such that

$$
\left\|u(x, t)-u_{N}(x, t)\right\| \leq c\left(N^{-2}+\left\|u_{0}-u_{N}(0)\right\|\right)
$$

## 3. Fully discrete scheme

In this section, we set up a full-discretization scheme for problem (11)-(3) and consider the fully discrete scheme which implies the pointwise bounded of the solution.

Let $\Delta t=T / M$, for a positive integer $M, \bar{\partial}_{t} u^{k}=\frac{u^{k}-u^{k-1}}{\Delta t}$. Note that $\varphi(s)=\gamma_{2} s^{2}+\gamma_{1} s-1$ and $\psi(s)=s^{2}$. The full-discretization spectral method
for problem (11)-(3) is read as: find $u_{N}^{j} \in S_{N}(j=0,1,2, \cdots, k)$ such that for any $v_{N} \in S_{N}$, there hold

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{u_{N}^{k}-u_{N}^{k-1}}{\Delta t}, v_{N}\right)+\gamma\left(\Delta u_{N}^{k}, \Delta v_{N}\right)+\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}, \nabla v_{N}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& -\frac{2}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}, v_{N}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} u_{N}^{k}, \nabla \cdot v_{N}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

for all $T>0$ and $t \in[0, T]$ with $u_{N}(0)=P_{N} u_{0}$.
The solution $u_{N}^{k}$ has the following property:
Lemma 10. Suppose that $u_{0} \in H^{2}(\Omega) \bigcap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $u_{N}^{k}$ is a solution of problem (21), then there exists positive constants $c_{15}, c_{16}, c_{17}, c_{18}$ depend only on $\gamma, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and $u_{0}$, such that

$$
\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\| \leq c_{15}, \quad\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\| \leq c_{16}, \quad\left\|\Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\| \leq c_{17}, \quad\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c_{18}
$$

Proof. Let $v_{N}=u_{N}^{k}$ in (21), we derive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial}_{t}\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\tau}{2}\left\|\bar{\partial}_{t} u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left\|\Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}, \nabla u_{N}^{k}\right) \\
& -\frac{2}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}, u_{N}^{k}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} u_{N}^{k}, \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right)=3 \gamma_{2}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right)^{2}+2 \gamma_{1} u_{N}^{k-1}-u_{N}^{k-1} \geq-2 c_{0}=-\frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{3 \gamma_{2}}-1
$$

Thus

$$
\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}, \nabla u_{N}^{k}\right) \geq-c_{0}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} .
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
-\frac{2}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}, u_{N}^{k}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} u_{N}^{k}, \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}\right)=0
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial}_{t}\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+\tau\left\|\bar{\partial}_{t} u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma\left\|\Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq c_{0}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{c_{0}^{2}}{4 \gamma}\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left\|\Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing up, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}}{\Delta t}+\gamma\left\|\Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{c_{0}^{2}}{4 \gamma}\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{4 \gamma}{4 \gamma-c_{0}^{2} \Delta t}\left\|u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2} \leq\left(\frac{4 \gamma}{4 \gamma-c_{0}^{2} \Delta t}\right)^{k}\left\|u_{N}^{0}\right\|^{2}=c_{15} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi=\Delta u_{N}^{k}$ in (21), we derive that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial}_{t}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\tau}{2}\left\|\bar{\partial}_{t} \nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}, \nabla \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right)-\frac{4}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}, \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right)-\frac{2}{3}\left(\nabla u_{N}^{k-1} u_{N}^{k}, \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right) . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

By Young's inequality, Sobolev's interpolation inequality and (25), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}, \nabla \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right) \\
\leq & c\left(\left\|u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|_{L^{4}}^{2}+1\right)\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\| \\
\leq & c\left(\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|\left\|u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|+1\right)\left(\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{2}{3}}\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{1}{3}}+1\right)\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\| \\
\leq & \frac{\gamma}{4}\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+c\left(\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Young's inequality and Sobolev's interpolation inequality again, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{4}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}, \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right) \\
\leq & c\left\|u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|\Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{L^{4}} \\
\leq & c\left\|u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{5}{6}}\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{1}{6}} \\
\leq & \frac{\gamma}{8}\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+c,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{2}{3}\left(\nabla u_{N}^{k-1} u_{N}^{k}, \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right) & \leq \frac{2}{3}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{2}{3}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\gamma}{8}\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+c\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{\gamma}{8}\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+c\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (26) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}}{\Delta t}+\gamma\left\|\nabla \Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq c\left(\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+1\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using discrete Gronwall's inequality, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|^{2}+c t_{n}\right) e^{c t_{n}} \leq c_{16} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Sobolev's embedding theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq c_{19}, \quad 1<p<\infty \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi=\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}$ in (21), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial}_{t}\left\|\Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\tau}{2}\left\|\bar{\partial}_{t} \Delta u_{N}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left(\nabla \cdot\left[\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}\right], \Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}, \Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right) \\
& +\frac{2}{3}\left(\nabla \cdot\left(u_{N}^{k-1} u_{N}^{k}\right), \Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on the above results and Sobolev's interpolation inequality, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\nabla \cdot\left[\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}\right], \Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right) \\
= & \left.\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \Delta u_{N}^{k}, \Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right)+\left.\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \mid \nabla u_{N}^{k}\right)\right|^{2}, \Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right) \\
\leq & \left\|\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|\Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\|+\left\|\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{6}}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{L^{6}}^{2}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\| \\
\leq & c\left\|\Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\|+c\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{L^{6}}^{2}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\| \\
\leq & c\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{3}{2}}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}+c\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{13}{9}}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{14}{9}} \\
\leq & \frac{\gamma}{4}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+c\left(c_{15}, c_{16}, c_{19}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}, \Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right) & \leq \frac{2}{3}\left\|u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\| \\
& \leq c\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\| \leq c\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{3}{2}}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{\gamma}{8}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+c\left(c_{15}, c_{16}, c_{19}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2}{3}\left(\nabla \cdot\left(u_{N}^{k-1} u_{N}^{k}\right), \Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right) \\
= & \frac{2}{3}\left[\left(u_{N}^{k} \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k-1}, \Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right)+\left(u_{N}^{k-1} \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}, \Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right)\right] \\
\leq & \frac{2}{3}\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\|+\frac{2}{3}\left\|u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\| \\
\leq & c\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{5}{4}}\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{3}{4}}+c\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{3}{2}}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq & \frac{\gamma}{8}\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+c\left(c_{15}, c_{16}, c_{19}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up, we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|\Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\Delta u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}}{\Delta t}+\gamma\left\|\Delta^{2} u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq c \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq c \Delta t+\left\|\Delta u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2} \leq c T+\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|^{2}=c_{17} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Sobolev's embedding theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c_{18} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the proof is complete.

In the following, we analyze the error estimates between the numerical solution $u_{N}^{k}$ and the exact solution $u\left(t_{k}\right)$.

We introduce a linear problem as follows: $\forall v \in S_{N}$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{w_{N}^{k}-w_{N}^{k-1}}{\Delta t}+\gamma \Delta^{2} w_{N}^{k}+\gamma w_{N}^{k}-\Delta \varphi\left(u^{k}\right)-\nabla \cdot \psi\left(u^{k}\right), v_{N}\right)=\left(\gamma u_{N}^{k}, v_{N}\right)  \tag{33}\\
w_{N}^{0}=P_{N} u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

First of all, we study the error estimates between $u\left(t_{k}\right)$ and $w_{N}^{k}$. Set $u^{k}=$ $u\left(t_{k}\right), \eta^{k}=u^{k}-P_{N} u^{k}$ and $\theta^{k}=P_{N} u^{k}-w_{N}^{k}$. Then, we have

$$
u^{k}-w_{N}^{k}=u^{k}-P_{N} u^{k}+P_{N} u^{k}-w_{N}^{k}=\eta^{k}+\theta^{k} .
$$

Lemma 11. Suppose that $u^{k}=u\left(t_{k}\right)$ is the solution of problem (1)-(3) and $w_{N}^{k}$ is the solution of problem (33). Suppose further that $u_{t t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Then, we have

$$
\left\|u^{k}-w_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u^{0}-w_{N}^{0}\right\|^{2}+c(\Delta t)^{2}
$$

Proof. Note that $u^{k}-w_{N}^{k}=\eta^{k}+\theta^{k} . \theta^{k}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\theta^{k}-\theta^{k-1}}{\Delta t}+\gamma \Delta^{2} \theta^{k}+\gamma \theta^{k}-\left(\frac{u^{k}-u^{k-1}}{\Delta t}-u_{t}\right), v_{N}\right)=0 . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $v_{N}=\theta^{k}$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\theta^{k-1}\right\|^{2}}{\Delta t}+\gamma\left\|\Delta \theta^{k}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|^{2}=\left(\frac{u_{N}^{k}-u_{N}^{k-1}}{\Delta t}-u_{t}^{k}, \theta^{k}\right)
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{u_{N}^{k}-u_{N}^{k-1}}{\Delta t}-u_{t}^{k}, \theta^{k}\right) \\
& \leq\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|\left\|\frac{u_{N}^{k}-u_{N}^{k-1}}{\Delta t}-u_{t}^{k}\right\| \\
& \leq \gamma\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{(\Delta t)^{2}}\left\|\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left(s-t_{k-1}\right) u_{t t}\left(\xi^{k}\right) d s\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \gamma\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{(\Delta t)^{2}} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left(s-t_{k-1}\right)^{2} d s \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left\|u_{t t}\left(\xi^{k}\right)\right\|^{2} d s \\
& \leq \gamma\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|^{2}+\Delta t \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left\|u_{t t}\left(\xi^{k}\right)\right\|^{2} d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t_{k-1}<\xi^{k}<t_{k}$. Summing up, we derive that

$$
\frac{\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\theta^{k-1}\right\|^{2}}{\Delta t} \leq \Delta t \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left\|u_{t t}\left(\xi^{k}\right)\right\|^{2} d s
$$

that is

$$
\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\theta^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+(\Delta t)^{2} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left\|u_{t t}\left(\xi^{k}\right)\right\|^{2} d s
$$

Therefore

$$
\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\theta^{0}\right\|^{2}+(\Delta t)^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left\|u_{t t}\left(\xi^{i}\right)\right\|^{2} d s
$$

where $i=1,2, \cdots, k$ and $t_{i-1}<\xi^{i}<t_{i}$. Set $\left\|u_{t t}(\xi)\right\|=\max \left\{\left\|u_{t t}\left(\xi^{i}\right)\right\|\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|\theta^{0}\right\|^{2}+(\Delta t)^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left\|u_{t t}(\xi)\right\|^{2} d s \\
& =\left\|\theta^{0}\right\|^{2}+(\Delta t)^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|u_{t t}(\xi)\right\|^{2} d s \\
& \leq\left\|\theta^{0}\right\|^{2}+c(\Delta t)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the proof is complete.

Secondly, we study the error estimate between $w_{N}^{k}$ and $u_{N}^{k}$. Set $w_{N}^{k}-u_{N}^{k}=$ $e_{N}^{k}$. We have the following lemma:
Lemma 12. Suppose that $w_{N}^{k}$ is the solution of problem (33) and $u_{N}^{k}$ is the solution of the full-discrete scheme (21). Suppose further that $u_{t} \in$ $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Then, we have

$$
\left\|w_{N}^{k}-u_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq c\left((\Delta t)^{2}+N^{-4}\right)
$$

Proof. Combining (21) and (33) together gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{e^{k}-e^{k-1}}{\Delta t}+\gamma \Delta^{2} e^{k}-\gamma \theta^{k}, v_{N}\right)+\left(\nabla \varphi\left(u^{k}\right)-\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}, \nabla v_{N}\right)  \tag{35}\\
= & \left(\nabla \cdot\left(u^{k}\right)^{2}, v_{N}\right)-\frac{2}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}, v_{N}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} u_{N}^{k}, \nabla \cdot v_{N}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Set $v_{N}=e^{k}$ in (35), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|e^{k-1}\right\|^{2}}{\Delta t}+\gamma\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\gamma\left(\theta^{k}, e^{k}\right)-\left(\nabla \varphi\left(u^{k}\right)-\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}, \nabla e^{k}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\nabla \cdot\left(u^{k}\right)^{2}, e^{k}\right)-\frac{2}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}, e^{k}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} u_{N}^{k}, \nabla \cdot e^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(\nabla \varphi\left(u^{k}\right)-\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}, \nabla e^{k}\right)=-\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{k}\right) \nabla u^{k}-\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}, \nabla e^{k}\right) \\
= & -\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{k}\right) \nabla u^{k}-\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{k}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}+\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{k}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}-\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{k-1}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}\right. \\
& \left.+\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{k-1}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}-\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}, \nabla e^{k}\right) \\
= & -\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{k}\right)\left(\nabla u^{k}-\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right), \nabla e^{k}\right)-\left(\left[\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{k}\right)-\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{k-1}\right)\right] \nabla u_{N}^{k}, \nabla e^{k}\right) \\
& -\left(\left[\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{k-1}\right)-\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right)\right] \nabla u_{N}^{k}, \nabla e^{k}\right) \\
\triangleq & I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{k}\right) \Delta e^{k}+\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(u^{k}\right) \nabla u^{k} \nabla e^{k}, u^{k}-u_{N}^{k}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{k}\right)\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|+\left\|\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(u^{k}\right)\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\nabla u^{k}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|\nabla e^{k}\right\|_{L^{4}}\right)\left\|u^{k}-u_{N}^{k}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{k}\right)\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|+C\left\|\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(u^{k}\right)\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\nabla u^{k}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|\right)\left\|u^{k}-u_{N}^{k}\right\| \\
& \leq c\left\|u^{k}-u_{N}^{k}\right\|\left(\left\|e^{k}\right\|+\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|\right) \\
& \leq c\left(\left\|e^{k}\right\|+\left\|\eta^{k}\right\|+\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|\right)\left(\left\|e^{k}\right\|+\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|\right) \\
& \leq \varepsilon\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|^{2}+c\left(\left\|\eta^{k}\right\|+\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|+\left\|e^{k}\right\|\right) . \\
& I_{2}=-\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(\phi_{1} u^{k}+\left(1-\phi_{1}\right) u^{k-1}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}\left(u^{k}-u^{k-1}\right), \nabla e^{k}\right) \\
& \leq\left\|\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(\lambda_{1} u^{k}+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) u^{k-1}\right)\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|u^{k}-u^{k-1}\right\|\left\|\nabla e^{k}\right\|_{L^{4}} \\
& \leq c\left\|\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(\lambda_{1} u^{k}+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) u^{k-1}\right)\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|u^{k}-u^{k-1}\right\|\left(\left\|e^{k}\right\|+\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|\right) \\
& \leq c\left(\left\|e^{k}\right\|+\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|\right)\left\|u^{k}-u^{k-1}\right\| \\
& \leq \varepsilon\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|^{2}+c \Delta t \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} d s, \\
& I_{3}=-\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(\lambda_{2} u^{k-1}+\left(1-\lambda_{2}\right) u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}\left(u^{k-1}-u_{N}^{k-1}\right), \nabla e^{k}\right) \\
& \leq\left\|\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(\lambda_{2} u^{k-1}+\left(1-\lambda_{2}\right) u_{N}^{k-1}\right)\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\nabla u_{N}^{k}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|u^{k-1}-u_{N}^{k-1}\right\|\left\|\nabla e^{k}\right\|_{L^{4}} \\
& \leq \leq\left(\left\|e^{k}\right\|+\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|\right)\left\|u^{k-1}-u_{N}^{k-1}\right\| \\
& \leq \varepsilon\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|^{2}+c\left(\left\|\eta^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e^{k-1}\right\|^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in(0,1)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\nabla \varphi\left(u^{k}\right)-\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{N}^{k-1}\right) \nabla u_{N}^{k}, \nabla e^{k}\right) \\
\leq & 3 \varepsilon\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|^{2}+c\left(\left\|\eta^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\eta^{k}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}+\Delta t \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} d s\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\nabla \cdot\left(u^{k}\right)^{2}, e^{k}\right)-\frac{2}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}, e^{k}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} u_{N}^{k}, \nabla \cdot e^{k}\right) \\
= & \frac{2}{3}\left(u^{k} \nabla \cdot u^{k}-u_{N}^{k-1} \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}, e^{k}\right)+\frac{4}{3}\left(u^{k} \nabla \cdot u^{k}, e^{k}\right)-\frac{2}{3}\left(u_{N}^{k-1} u_{N}^{k}, \nabla \cdot e^{k}\right) \\
= & \frac{2}{3}\left(u^{k} \nabla u^{k}-u_{N}^{k-1} \nabla \cdot u_{N}^{k}, e^{k}\right)-\frac{2}{3}\left(\left[u^{k}\right]^{2}-u_{N}^{k-1} u_{N}^{k}, \nabla \cdot e^{k}\right) \\
= & \frac{2}{3}\left[\left(u^{k}-u_{N}^{k}, e^{k} \nabla \cdot u^{k}\right)+\left(u_{N}^{k}-u_{N}^{k-1}, e^{k} \nabla \cdot u^{k}\right)-\left(u^{k}-u^{k-1}, u^{k} \nabla \cdot e^{k}\right)\right. \\
& -\left(u^{k}-u_{N}^{k}, u^{k-1} \nabla \cdot e^{k}\right)-\left(u^{k-1}-u_{N}^{k-1}, u_{N}^{k} \nabla e^{k}\right] \\
\leq & \varepsilon\left(\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|^{2}\right)+c\left(\left\|\eta^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\eta^{k}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}+\Delta t \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} d s\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\gamma\left(\theta^{k}, e^{k}\right) \leq \varepsilon\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}+c\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|^{2}
$$

Summing up, we immediately obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|e^{k-1}\right\|^{2}}{\Delta t}+2(\gamma-5 \varepsilon)\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & c\left(\left\|\eta^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\eta^{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}+\Delta t \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} d s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is small enough, which satisfies $\gamma-5 \varepsilon>0$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-c \Delta t)\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}+2(\gamma-5 \varepsilon) \Delta t\left\|\Delta e^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & (1+c \Delta t)\left\|e^{k-1}\right\|^{2} \\
& +c \Delta t\left(\left\|\eta^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta^{k-1}\right\|^{2}\left\|\eta^{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|^{2}+\Delta t \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} d s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq & \frac{1+c \Delta t}{1-c \Delta}\left\|e^{k-1}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{c \Delta t}{1-c \Delta t}\left(\left\|\eta^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\eta^{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta^{k}\right\|^{2}+\Delta t \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} d s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the discrete Gronwall's inequality with sufficient small $\Delta t$ such that $1-c \Delta t>0$, we get

$$
\left\|e^{n}\right\| \leq c\left(\Delta t+N^{-2}\right)
$$

Hence, we complete the proof.

Furthermore, we have the following theorem:
Theorem 13. Suppose that $u_{0} \in H^{2}(\Omega) \bigcap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), u(x, t)$ is the solution of problem (1)-(3) satisfying

$$
u_{t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad u_{t t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

Suppose further that $u_{N}^{k} \in S_{N}(k=0,1,2, \cdots)$ is the solution for problem (21) and the initial value $u_{N}^{0}$ satisfies

$$
\left\|u_{N}^{0}-P_{N} u_{0}\right\| \leq c N^{-2}\|\Delta u\| .
$$

Then, there exists a positive constant $c$ depends on $\gamma, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, T$ and $u_{0}$, independent of $N$ such that

$$
\left\|u\left(x, t_{k}\right)-u_{N}^{k}\right\| \leq c\left(\Delta t+N^{-2}\right), \quad j=0,1,2, \cdots, N .
$$

## Acknowledgement

This paper was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 11401258) and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (grant No. 2015M581689).

## References

## References

[1] A. A. Golovin, S. H. Davism, A. A. Nepomnyashchy, A convective CahnHilliard model for the formation of facets and corners in crystal growth, Phys. D, 122(1998), 202-230.
[2] S. J. Watson, F. Otto, B. Y. Rubinstein, S. H. Davis, Coarsening dynamics of the convective Cahn-Hilliard equations, Phys. D, 178(2003), 127-148.
[3] K. H. Kwek, On the Cahn-Hilliard type equation, PhD thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology, 1991.
[4] M. A. Zarksm, A. Podolny, A. A. Nepomnyashchy, A. A. Golovin, Periodic stationary patterns governed by a convective Cahn-Hilliard equation, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 66(2005), 700-720.
[5] A. Eden, V. K. Kalantarov, The convective Cahn-Hillirad equation, Appl. Math. Lett., 20(2007), 455-461.
[6] A. Eden, V. K. Kalantarov, 3D convective Cahn-Hilliard equation, Comm. Pure. Appl. Anal, 6(4)(2007), 1075-1086.
[7] H. Gao, C. Liu, Instability of traveling waves of the convective-diffusive Cahn-Hilliard equation, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 20(2004), 253-258.
[8] X. Zhao, C. Liu, Optimal control of the convective Cahn-Hilliard equation, Appl. Anal., 92(2013), 1028-1045.
[9] X. Zhao, C. Liu, Optimal control for the convective CahnCHilliard equation in 2D case, Appl. Math. Optim., 70(2014), 61-82.
[10] C. Liu, On the convective Cahn-Hillirad equation with degenerate mobility, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 344(2008), 124-144.
[11] A. Podolny, M. A. Zaks, B. Y. Rubinstein, A. A. Golovin, A. A. Nepomnyashchy, Dynamics of domain walls governed by the convective CahnHilliard equation, Phys. D, 201(2005), 291-305.
[12] X. Zhao, B. Liu, The existence of global attractor for convective CahnHilliard equation, J. Korean Math. Soc., 49(2012), 357-378.
[13] C. Canuto, M. Y. Hussaini, A. Quarteroni, T. A. Zang, Spectral methods in fluid dynamics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
[14] S. Chai, Y. Zou, C. Gong, Spectral Method for a Class of Cahn-Hilliard Equation with Nonconstant Mobility, Communications in Mathematical Research, 25(1)(2009), 9-18.
[15] X. Ye, The Fourier collocation method for the Cahn-Hilliard equation, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 44(2002), 213-229.
[16] C. M. Elliott, D. A. French, Numerical studies of the Cahn-Hilliard equation for phase separation, IMA J. Appl. Math., 38(1987), 97-128.
[17] Y. He, Y. Liu, Stability and convergence of the spectral Galerkin method for the Cahn-Hilliard equation, Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations, 24(2008), 1485-1500.

