

# Normal Convergence Using Malliavin Calculus With Applications and Examples

Juan José Viquez R

March 8, 2024

## Abstract

We prove the chain rule in the more general framework of the Wiener-Poisson space, allowing us to obtain the so-called Nourdin-Peccati bound. From this bound we obtain a second-order Poincaré-type inequality that is useful in terms of computations. For completeness we survey these results on the Wiener space, the Poisson space, and the Wiener-Poisson space. We also give several applications to central limit theorems with relevant examples: linear functionals of Gaussian subordinated fields (where the subordinated field can be processes like fractional Brownian motion or the solution of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck SDE driven by fractional Brownian motion), Poisson functionals in the first Poisson chaos restricted to infinitely many “small” jumps (particularly fractional Lévy processes) and the product of two Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes (one in the Wiener space and the other in the Poisson space). We also obtain bounds for their rate of convergence to normality.

## 1 Introduction

In recent years many papers have looked at combining Stein’s method with Malliavin calculus in order to uncover new tools for proving various central limit theorems (CLTs). For example, I. Nourdin and G. Peccati derived an upper bound (NP bound) for the Wasserstein (Kantorovich) distance (and other distances) on the Wiener space using Stein’s equation [21]. Later, the same authors along with G. Reinert derived a second order Poincaré(-type) inequality which is useful (in terms of computations) for proving CLTs, and which in fact can be seen as a quantitative extension of Stein’s method from which upper bounds for the rate of convergence to normality can be found [22]. The first two authors with A. Réveillac extended these results to the multidimensional case [23]. In [31], G. Peccati, J. L. Solé, M. S. Taqqu and F. Utzet, were able to find an upper bound, similar to the one in [21], for the Wasserstein distance in the Poisson space. G. Peccati and C. Zheng succeeded in extending this to the multi-dimensional case in [32]. All these works are important as they give quantitative tools for computing whether a random variable converges to normality, and if so, its rate of convergence.

A question naturally arises — can this be done for a general Lévy process; that is, is this upper bound achievable in a mixed space: the Wiener-Poisson space? The main difficulty in answering this question is that in the Wiener-Poisson space we do not yet have a global chain rule. Neither we have a decomposition in orthogonal polynomials (unlike with Hermite polynomials in the Wiener space, see [14] for a complete explanation), nor results like the equivalence between the Mehler semigroup and the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. So, to overcome these shortcomings, we must deduce new formulas that will allow us to follow the ideas developed in [21] and [22], and recover their results for the Wiener-Poisson space. We will show that this bound still holds even when both spaces are involved. One of the major contributions of this article is the development of the unified chain rule on the Wiener-Poisson space which allows the reproduction of the Nourdin-Peccati theory on this more general space.

Before get into the details, some notation: Let  $L_t$  be a Lévy process ( $L_t$  has stationary and independent increments, is continuous in probability and  $L_0 = 0$ , with  $\mathbb{E}[L_1^2] < \infty$ ) with Lévy-triplet given by  $(0, \sigma^2, \nu)$ , where  $\nu$  is the Lévy measure. The measure  $\mu$  on the underlying Hilbert space  $\mathbb{L}_\mu^2$  is defined by the underlying

Lévy process, that is, for any  $z = (t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}_0$  we have  $d\mu(z) = \sigma^2 \delta_0(x) dt + x^2 \nu(dx) dt$ , where  $\mathbf{R}_0 = \mathbf{R} - \{0\}$ . Then

$$\iint_{\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}} f(z) d\mu(z) = \sigma^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^+} f(t, 0) dt + \iint_{\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}_0} f(t, x) x^2 dt d\nu(x).$$

On the other hand, in order to define a Malliavin derivative in the Wiener-Poisson space it is sufficient to have a chaos decomposition of the space  $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$ . This was achieved in [16] by K. Itô, so any random variable in  $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$  has a projection on the  $q^{\text{th}}$  chaos given by  $I_q(f_q)$ , where  $f_q$  is a symmetric function in  $\mathbb{L}_{\mu_{\otimes q}}^2$ . Also, when working in the Wiener-Poisson space, the Malliavin derivative can be regarded in terms of “directions”, i.e., we can think of it as the derivative in the Wiener direction or the derivative in the Poisson direction. The fact that this can be done in this way is shown in [36] by J. L. Solé, F. Utzet and J. Vives (a quick review of the theory is given below). They explain that the Malliavin derivative with parameter  $z \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}$  can be split into two cases, when  $z = (t, 0)$  and when  $z = (t, x)$  with  $x \neq 0$ . The first case will be the derivative in the Wiener direction (intuitively because there are no jumps when  $x = 0$ ), and the second will be the derivative in the Poisson direction. A distinction between the Malliavin calculus in the Wiener space or the Poisson space and in this Wiener-Poisson space is the need to define two subspaces of  $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$ : one where the Malliavin derivative in the Wiener direction coincides with the usual Malliavin derivative in the Wiener space and is well defined, and another where the Malliavin derivative in the Poisson direction is well defined. This suitable subspace is denoted by  $\text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^{W,J}$ .

**Theorem 1.** (Main result: NP Bound in Wiener-Poisson Space)

Suppose that  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$  with a positive definite covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . Let  $F = (F^{(1)}, \dots, F^{(d)})$  be such that  $\mathbb{E}[F] = 0$  and  $F^{(i)} \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^{W,J}$ , for all  $i$ . Then, for a distance  $d_{\mathcal{H}}$  with respect to a suitable separating class  $\mathcal{H}$ ,

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}(Z, F) \leq \mathbf{k} \left( \mathbb{E} [\|\Sigma - g_F(F)\|_{H.S.}] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle |x| \left( \sum_{i=1}^d |\mathbf{D}F^{(i)}| \right)^2, \sum_{i=1}^d |\mathbf{D}L^{-1}F^{(i)}| \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}_{\mu}^2} \right] \right),$$

where  $g_F^{i,j}(x) := \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle \mathbf{D}F^{(i)}, -\mathbf{D}L^{-1}F^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \mid F = x \right]$ ,  $\mathbf{D}$  is the Malliavin derivative,  $L^{-1}$  is the pseudo-inverse of the infinitesimal generator of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup, and  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the underlying Hilbert space.

In the case of the Wiener space upper bound,  $\mathbf{D}$  is the Malliavin derivative defined in that space, so, this inequality holds even when the underlying Hilbert space is not  $\mathbb{L}_{\mu}^2$ . Also, since there are no jumps here, the second term on the right disappears ( $x$  is the size of the jump). In the Poisson space case, since we do not (yet) have a Malliavin calculus theory developed for a general abstract Hilbert space, the underlying Hilbert space must be  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{L}_{\mu}^2$ . Thanks to these (Wiener, Poisson and Wiener-Poisson) NP bounds, many CLTs can be proved and generalizations can be made. In this paper, these bounds are reviewed for each space, showing their importance by giving applications with relevant examples.

In the Wiener space case the second order Poincaré inequality is used to prove normal convergence for linear functionals of Gaussian-subordinated fields when the decay rate of the covariance function of the underlying Gaussian process satisfies certain conditions. These CLTs are applied to the important cases where the underlying Gaussian process is either the fractional Brownian motion or the fractional-driven Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, with  $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ .

In the Poisson space case, the respective upper bound is used to prove that the small jumps process (jumps with length less than or equal to  $\epsilon$ ) of a Poisson functional process with infinitely many jumps goes to a normal random variable when  $\epsilon$  goes to zero. Furthermore, we prove a remarkable extension of the known result (proved in [7]) which states that the small jumps process of a Lévy process can be approximated by Brownian motion as  $\epsilon$  goes to zero. It is extended to Poisson functionals ( $I_1(f)$ ) and showed that the small jumps process of this functional can be approximated by a Gaussian functional with the same kernel  $f$  as  $\epsilon$  goes to zero. Then this result is applied to show that in order to simulate a fractional (pure jump) Lévy

process (fLp), it is sufficient to simulate a process with finitely many jumps plus an independent fractional Brownian motion (fBm).

Finally, the second order Poincaré(-type) inequality, developed in this paper, is used to prove that the time average of the product of a Wiener Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with a Poisson Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process converges to a normal random variable as time goes to infinity. This example highlights the importance of the inequality in the Wiener-Poisson space, since it cannot be achieved by the NP bounds in the Wiener or Poisson spaces individually. An estimate of the rate of convergence to normality is obtained in the examples where the second order Poincaré inequalities are used.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we recall the basic tools of Malliavin calculus on the Wiener space and state the Malliavin calculus results for the Wiener-Poisson space. In Section 3 the general chain rules for the Wiener-Poisson space are proven. Finally, the theory developed in [22] and [21] is extended using the Stein's method and the so-called Nourdin-Peccati analysis but for the Wiener-Poisson space, and within this framework we state a "Lévy version" of the second order Poincaré inequality. Section 4 is dedicated to going over the inequalities for the Wiener, Poisson and Wiener-Poisson spaces. In the Wiener space case, is extended a result proved in [22] concerning CLTs of linear functionals of Gaussian-subordinated fields. In the Poisson space case, is given a result on the simulation of small jumps for processes with infinitely many jumps. Finally, is shown an example of an application of the second order Poincaré inequality in the Wiener-Poisson space.

## 2 Preliminaries

As mentioned above, a useful tool for proving normal convergence on the Wiener space is the NP bound developed in [22]. This requires various Malliavin calculus results on the Wiener space (Malliavin derivative, contraction of order  $r$ , Mehler formula, etc.) which are extensively studied and explained in [27]. For the sake of completeness, the basic tools from Malliavin calculus in the Wiener space are reviewed and then is introduced the Malliavin calculus in the Wiener-Poisson setting, both needed in this article.

### 2.1 Malliavin Calculus on Wiener space

In the following we will introduce the theory of Malliavin calculus as presented in [27]. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space, where  $W := \{W(h) \mid h \in \mathfrak{H}\}$  is an isonormal Gaussian process with  $\mathfrak{H}$  as a real separable Hilbert space, that is,  $W$  is a centered Gaussian family such that  $E[W(h_1)W(h_2)] = \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$ . Choose  $\mathcal{F}$  to be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $W$ . Let  $H_q$  be the  $q^{\text{th}}$  Hermite polynomial,  $H_q(x) = (-1)^q e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \frac{\partial^q}{\partial x^q} (e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}})$ , and define the  $q^{\text{th}}$  Wiener chaos of  $W$  (denoted by  $\mathbb{H}_q$ ) as the subspace of  $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) := \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  generated by  $\{H_q(W(h)) \mid h \in \mathfrak{H}, \|h\|_{\mathfrak{H}} = 1\}$ . It is important to emphasize that  $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$  can be decomposed (Wiener chaos expansion) into an infinite orthogonal sum of the spaces  $\mathbb{H}_q$ :  $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) = \oplus_{q=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{H}_q$ .

**Remark 1.** In the case where  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{L}_{\mu}^2$ , for any  $F \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$ ,

$$F = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} I_q(f_q), \quad (1)$$

where  $I_q$  is the  $q^{\text{th}}$  multiple stochastic integral,  $f_0 = \mathbb{E}[F]$ ,  $I_0$  is the identity mapping on constants and  $f_q \in \mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes q}}^2$  are symmetric functions uniquely determined by  $F$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the class of smooth random variables, i.e., if  $F \in \mathcal{S}$  then there exists a function  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that  $\frac{\partial^k \phi}{\partial x_i^k}(x)$  has polynomial growth for all  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $F = \phi(W(h_1), \dots, W(h_n))$ ,  $h_i \in \mathfrak{H}$ . The Malliavin derivative of  $F \in \mathcal{S}$  with respect to  $W$  is the element of  $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathfrak{H})$  defined as

$$DF = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i}(W(h_1), \dots, W(h_n)) h_i. \quad (2)$$

In particular  $\mathbf{D}W(h) = h$  for every  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Notice that in this particular case we have an explicit relation between the covariance of  $W$  and the inner product of the Malliavin derivate,  $\text{Cov}[W(h_1)W(h_2)] = E[W(h_1)W(h_2)] = \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \langle \mathbf{D}W(h_1), \mathbf{D}W(h_2) \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$ .

**Remark 2.** In the case of a centered stationary Gaussian process,  $X_t$ , the Hilbert space can be chosen in the following way:

Consider the inner product  $\langle 1_{[0,t]}, 1_{[0,s]} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \text{Cov}[X_t X_s] = C(t-s)$  and take the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  as the closure of the set of step functions on  $\mathbf{R}$  with respect to this inner product. With this Hilbert space one concludes that  $X_t = W(1_{[0,t]})$  and  $\mathbf{D}X_t = 1_{[0,t]}$  where  $\mathbf{D}$  is the Malliavin derivative.

Since the Malliavin derivative satisfies the chain rule, we have  $\mathbf{D}f(F) = f'(F)\mathbf{D}F$ , for any  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  of class  $C^1$  with bounded derivative (which is also true for functions which are only a.e. differentiable, but with the assumption that  $F$  is absolutely continous). The iterated Malliavin derivative, denoted by  $\mathbf{D}^i$ , can be define recursively. For  $k \geq 1$  and  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbb{D}^{k,p}$  denotes the closure of  $\mathcal{S}$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{k,p}$  defined by

$$\|F\|_{k,p}^p = \mathbb{E}[|F|^p] + \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}^i F\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes i}}^p].$$

Consider now an orthonormal system in  $\mathfrak{H}$  denoted by  $\{e_k \mid k \geq 1\}$ . Then, given elements  $\phi \in \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes k_1}, \psi \in \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes k_2}$ , the contraction of order  $r \leq \min\{k_1, k_2\}$  is the element of  $\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(k_1+k_2-2r)}$  defined by

$$\phi \otimes_r \psi = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r=1}^{\infty} \langle \phi, e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_r} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes r}} \langle \psi, e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_r} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes r}}.$$

In particular,  $\phi \otimes_r \psi = \langle \phi, \psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes r}}$  when  $k_1 = k_2 = r$ .

**Remark 3.** Again, in the white noise framework (when  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{L}_{\mu}^2$ ), for symmetric functions  $\phi \in \mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes k_1}}^2, \psi \in \mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes k_2}}^2$ , the contraction is given by the integration of the first  $r$  variables, i.e.,  $\phi \otimes_r \psi = \langle \phi, \psi \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes r}}^2}$ . Also, we have a formula for the product of stochastic integrals:<sup>1</sup>  $I_p(f)I_q(g) = \sum_{r=0}^{p \wedge q} r! \binom{p}{r} \binom{q}{r} I_{p+q-2r}(f \otimes_r g)$ .

Define the divergence operator  $\delta$  as the adjoint of the operator  $\mathbf{D}$ , so if  $F \in \text{Dom } \delta$  then  $\delta(F) \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\delta(F)G] = \mathbb{E}[\langle \mathbf{D}G, F \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}]$ .

**Remark 4.** When  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{L}_{\mu}^2$ , the divergence operator  $\delta$  is called the Skorohod integral. It is a creation operator in the sense that for all  $F \in \text{Dom } \delta \subset \mathbb{L}_{\mu \times \mathbb{P}}^2(T \times \Omega)$  with chaos representation  $F(t) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} I_q(f_q(t, \cdot))$  ( $f_q \in \mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes(q+1)}}^2$  are symmetric functions in the last  $q$  variables),  $\delta(F) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} I_{q+1}(\tilde{f}_q)$ .<sup>2</sup>

For all  $F \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$  denote by  $J_q F$  the projection of  $F$  in the  $q^{\text{th}}$  chaos. Then, the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup is the family of contraction operators  $\{T_t \mid t \geq 0\}$  on  $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$  defined by  $T_t F = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} e^{-qt} J_q F$ . Using Mehler's formula we can find an equivalence between Mehler's semigroup and the O-U semigroup. More formally, take  $W'$  as an independent copy of  $W$  defining  $(W, W')$  on the product probability space  $(\Omega \times \Omega, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}', \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P})$ . Each  $F \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$  can be regarded as measurable map  $F(W)$  from  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{H}}$  to  $\mathbf{R}$  determined  $\mathbb{P} \circ W^{-1}$ -a.s. such that  $T_t F = \mathbb{E}'[F(e^{-t}W + \sqrt{1-e^{-2t}}W')]$ . The infinitesimal generator for this semigroup (denoted by  $L$ ) is given by  $LF = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} -q J_q F$  and  $\text{Dom } L = \mathbb{D}^{2,2} = \text{Dom}(\delta \mathbf{D})$ . It can be proved that, for  $F \in \text{Dom } L$ ,  $\delta \mathbf{D}F = -LF$ . The pseudo-inverse of this operator (denoted by  $L^{-1}$ ) is given by  $L^{-1}F = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{-1}{q} J_q F$ , and is such that  $L^{-1}F \in \text{Dom } L$  and  $LL^{-1}F = F - \mathbb{E}[F]$  for any  $F \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$ .

The use of Hermite polynomials is extremely important in this setting. The relationship between Hermite polynomials and Gaussian random variables is the following. Let  $Z_1, Z_2$  be two random variables with joint Gaussian distribution such that  $\mathbb{E}[Z_1^2] = \mathbb{E}[Z_2^2] = 1$  and  $\mathbb{E}[Z_1] = \mathbb{E}[Z_2] = 0$ . Then for all  $q, p \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[H_p(Z_1)H_q(Z_2)] = \begin{cases} q! (\mathbb{E}[Z_1 Z_2])^q & \text{if } q = p \\ 0 & \text{if } q \neq p \end{cases}. \quad (3)$$

<sup>1</sup>  $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$  and  $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$

<sup>2</sup>  $\tilde{f}$  is the symmetrization of  $f$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{f}(z_1, \dots, z_q) = \frac{1}{q!} \sum_{\sigma} f(z_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(q)})$

On the other hand, one can expand a  $\mathcal{C}^2$  function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  in terms of Hermite polynomials, that is,

$$f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(Z)] + \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} c_q H_q(x), \quad (4)$$

where the real numbers  $c_q$  are given by  $c_q q! = \mathbb{E}[f(Z)H_q(Z)]$  and  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

**Remark 5.** Notice that in the white noise case we have the relationship  $H_q(W(h)) = \int_{T_q} h^{\otimes q} dW_{t_1} \cdots dW_{t_q} = I_q(h^{\otimes q})$  so the decomposition (4) of  $f(W(h))$  can be regarded as

$$f(W(h)) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} c_q I_q(h^{\otimes q}).$$

With (3) and (4) we are able to compute the covariance of a real function  $f$  in the following way,

$$\text{Cov}[f(Z_1), f(Z_2)] = \sum_{p,q=1}^{\infty} c_p c_q \mathbb{E}[H_p(Z_1)H_q(Z_2)] = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} c_q^2 q! (\mathbb{E}[Z_1 Z_2])^q. \quad (5)$$

## 2.2 Malliavin calculus on Wiener-Poisson space

Let  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{L}_{\mu}^2$ . Assume there is a complete probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  where  $\mathcal{L}_t$  is a cadlag, centered, Lévy process:  $\mathcal{L}_t$  has stationary and independent increments, is continuous in probability and  $\mathcal{L}_0 = 0$ , with  $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}_1^2] < \infty$ . At the risk of causing some confusion,  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes the filtration generated by  $\mathcal{L}_t$  completed with the null sets of the above filtration, and work on the space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Assume this process is represented by the triplet  $(0, \sigma^2, \nu)$ , where  $\nu$  is the Lévy measure such that  $d\mu(t, x) = \sigma^2 dt \delta_0(x) + x^2 dt d\nu(x)$  and  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} x^2 d\nu(x) < \infty$ . This process can be represented as

$$\mathcal{L}_t = \sigma W_t + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}} x d\tilde{N}(s, x),$$

where  $W_t$  is a standard Brownian motion,  $\sigma \geq 0$  and  $\tilde{N}$  is the compensated jump measure. A fuller exposition on Lévy processes can be found in [1] and [35]. This process is extended to a random measure  $M$ , which is used to construct (in an analogous way to the Itô integral construction) an integral on the step functions, and then by linearity and continuity it is extended to  $\mathbb{L}^2((\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R})^q, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R})^q, \mu^{\otimes q})$  and denoted by  $I_q$ . This integral satisfy the following properties:

1.  $I_q(f) = I_q(\tilde{f})$ .
2.  $I_q(af + bg) = aI_q(f) + bI_q(g) \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{R})$ .
3.  $\mathbb{E}[I_p(f)I_q(g)] = q! \int_{(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R})^q} \tilde{f}\tilde{g} d\mu^{\otimes q} 1_{\{q=p\}}.$

These properties are stated in [36] and their proof can be found in [16]. We have a product formula in this framework which is similar to the one in Remark 3 but with extra terms coming from the Poisson integration part. A product formula for the pure jump framework can be found in [31]. Before stating the formula, we need to define a general version of the contraction. Let  $\phi \in \mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes k_1}}^2$  and  $\psi \in \mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes k_2}}^2$  be symmetric functions. Then the general contraction of order  $r \leq \min\{k_1, k_2\}$  and  $s \leq \min\{k_1, k_2\} - r$  is given by the integration of the first  $r$  variables and the “sharing” of the following  $s$  variables, i.e.,  $\phi \otimes_r^s \psi = \prod_{i=1}^s z_{2i} \langle \phi(\cdot, z, x), \psi(\cdot, z, y) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes r}}^2}$ , where  $z \in (\mathbf{R}^2)^s$  and  $(x, y) \in (\mathbf{R}^2)^{k_1-r-s} \times (\mathbf{R}^2)^{k_2-r-s}$ . Now the product formula can be stated as follows. If  $|f| \otimes_r^s |g| \in \mathbb{L}_{\mu^{p+q-2r-s}}^2$  for  $0 \leq r \leq \min\{p, q\}$  and  $0 \leq s \leq \min\{p, q\} - r$ , then

$$I_p(f)I_q(g) = \sum_{r=0}^{p \wedge q} \sum_{s=0}^{p \wedge q - r} r! s! \binom{p}{r} \binom{q}{r} \binom{p-r}{s} \binom{q-r}{s} I_{p+q-2r-s}(f \otimes_r^s g). \quad (6)$$

The proof of this product formula can be found in [19].

**Remark 6.** In the general contraction formula  $z_{2i}$  is the size of the jump and  $z_{2i-1}$  is the time when that jump occurs ( $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2s-1}, z_{2s})$ ). If we only have the Wiener part, the factor  $z_{2i}$  would be zero unless  $s = 0$ , and then we obtain the contraction defined in the Wiener space. Similarly, when the terms where  $s \neq 0$  are zero, the formula (6) reduces to that in Remark 3

Itô has also proved [16, Theorem 2] that for all  $F \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) := \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , we have,

$$F = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} I_q(f_q), \quad f_q \in \mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes q}}^2 := \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R})^q, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R})^q, \mu^{\otimes q}, \quad (7)$$

and that this representation is unique if the  $f_q$ 's are symmetric functions. From this chaotic representation we can define the annihilation operators and creation operators, the former will be the Malliavin derivatives and the latter will be the Skorohod integrals. In this way define  $\text{Dom } \mathbf{D}$  as the set of functionals  $F \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$  represented as in (7) such that  $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} qq! \|f_q\|_{\mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes q}}^2}^2 < \infty$ . For  $F \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}$  the Malliavin derivative of  $F$  is the stochastic process given by

$$\mathbf{D}_z F = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} q I_{q-1}(f_q(z, \cdot)), \quad z \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}, \quad f_q \text{ symmetric.} \quad (8)$$

If we define the inner product as  $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_{\mu}^2} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}} f(z)g(z)d\mu(z)$ , then  $\text{Dom } \mathbf{D}$  is a Hilbert space with the inner product  $\langle F, G \rangle = \mathbb{E}[FG] + \mathbb{E}[\langle \mathbf{D}_z F, \mathbf{D}_z G \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_{\mu}^2}]$ . We can embed  $\text{Dom } \mathbf{D}$  in two spaces  $\text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^0$  and  $\text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^J$ .  $\text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^0$  is defined as the set of all functionals  $F \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$  with representation given as in (7) such that  $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} qq! \int_{\mathbf{R}^+} \|f_q((t, 0), \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes(q-1)}}^2}^2 dt < \infty$ , while  $\text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^J$  is defined as the respective functionals satisfying  $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} qq! \int_{\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}_0} \|f_q(z, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes(q-1)}}^2}^2 d\mu(z) < \infty$ ; hence  $\text{Dom } \mathbf{D} = \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^0 \cap \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^J$ . We can now rewrite (due to the independence of  $W$  and  $\tilde{N}$ )  $\Omega$  as the cross product  $\Omega_W \times \Omega_{\tilde{N}}$ .

- **Derivative  $\mathbf{D}_{t,0}$**

This derivative can be interpreted as the derivative with respect to the Brownian motion part. Using the isometry  $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) \simeq \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_W; \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\tilde{N}}))$  (with  $\Omega = \Omega_W \times \Omega_{\tilde{N}}$ ), we can define a Malliavin derivative as we did in the Wiener case but using the  $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\tilde{N}})$ -valued smooth random variables  $S_{\tilde{N}}$ , that is, for the functionals of the form  $F = \sum_{i=1}^n G_i H_i$ , where  $G_i \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $H_i \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\tilde{N}})$ . Then, this derivative will be  $\mathbf{D}^W F = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{D}^W G_i) H_i$  and this  $\mathbf{D}^W G_i$  is the derivative defined in (2). This definition is extended (see [36]) to a subspace  $\text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^W \subset \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^0$  and for  $F \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^W$ ,

$$\mathbf{D}_{t,0} F = \frac{1}{\sigma} \mathbf{D}_t^W F. \quad (9)$$

Furthermore, we also have a chain rule result for functionals of the form  $F = f(G, H) \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$  with  $G \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^W$ ,  $H \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\tilde{N}})$  and  $f(x, y)$  continuously differentiable in the variable  $x$  with bounded partial derivative. We conclude that  $F \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^0$  and

$$\mathbf{D}_{t,0} F = \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(G, H) \mathbf{D}_t^W G. \quad (10)$$

This is also true (as in the Wiener space case) for functions which are a.e. differentiable but with the restriction that  $G$  satisfies an absolutely continuous law.

- **Derivative  $\mathbf{D}_z$  ( $z \neq (t, 0)$ )**

This derivative has been shown to be a quotient operator  $\Psi_{t,x}$ , that is, if  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \iint_{\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}_0} (\Psi_z F)^2 d\mu(z) \right] < \infty$  then  $F \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^J$  and the Malliavin derivative with  $z = (t, x) \neq (t, 0)$  will be given by

$$\mathbf{D}_z F = \Psi_{t,x} F := \frac{F(\omega_{t,x}) - F(\omega)}{x}. \quad (11)$$

The idea is to introduce a jump of size  $x$  at moment  $t$ . See [37] for a complete construction on the canonical space in which this is developed, and [36] for a quick explanation on how to introduce a jump at moment  $t$  and the conditions on the  $\omega$ 's.

If  $FG \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^W \cap \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^J$  such that  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \iint_{\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}_0} (\mathbf{D}_z(FG))^2 d\mu(z) \right] < \infty$ , then (using the fact that the jump  $x$  is zero for  $\mathbf{D}_{t,0}$ ) it is easy to conclude by direct calculation that (see [37, Proposition 5.1.] and [27, Exercise 1.2.12]),

$$\mathbf{D}_z(FG) = \mathbf{D}_z F \cdot G + F \cdot \mathbf{D}_z G + x \cdot \mathbf{D}_z F \cdot \mathbf{D}_z G, \quad (12)$$

for all  $z = (t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}$ . Moreover, if  $\langle \mathbf{D}F, \mathbf{D}G \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^{W,J}$ , the following product rule also holds,

$$\mathbf{D}_z \langle \mathbf{D}F, \mathbf{D}G \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \langle \mathbf{D}_z^2 F, \mathbf{D}G \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} + \langle \mathbf{D}F, \mathbf{D}_z^2 G \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} + \langle x \mathbf{D}F_z^2, \mathbf{D}_z^2 G \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}. \quad (13)$$

The case  $\nu \equiv 0$  was shown in [22, Lemma 3.2], and the case  $\nu \not\equiv 0$  follows directly from

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_z \langle \mathbf{D}F, \mathbf{D}G \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} &= \mathbf{D}_z \int_{\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}} \mathbf{D}_u F \mathbf{D}_u G d\mu(u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}} \mathbf{D}_z(\mathbf{D}_u F \mathbf{D}_u G) d\mu(u) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}} \mathbf{D}_{z,u}^2 F \cdot \mathbf{D}_u G + \mathbf{D}_u F \cdot \mathbf{D}_{z,u}^2 G + x \cdot \mathbf{D}_{z,u}^2 F \cdot \mathbf{D}_{z,u}^2 G d\mu(u). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, consider the chaotic decomposition  $F(z) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} I_q(f_q(z, \cdot))$ , with  $f \in \mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes q}}^2$  symmetric with respect to the last  $q$  variables. If  $\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (q+1)! \|f_q\|_{\mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes(q+1)}}^2}^2 < \infty$  then we say that  $F \in \text{Dom } \delta$ . Now we can define the Skorohod integral of  $F \in \text{Dom } \delta$  by

$$\delta(F) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} I_{q+1}(\tilde{f}_q) \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega). \quad (14)$$

This operator is the adjoint of the operator  $\mathbf{D}_z$ , so  $\mathbb{E}[\delta(F)G] = \mathbb{E}[\langle F(z), \mathbf{D}_z G \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_{\mu}^2}]$  for all  $G \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{L}^{1,2}$  the set of elements  $F \in \mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes \mathbb{P}}}^2(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R} \times \Omega)$  such that  $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} qq! \|f_q\|_{\mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes q}}^2}^2 < \infty$ . For all  $F \in \mathbb{L}^{1,2} \subset \text{Dom } \delta$  we have that  $F(z) \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}$ ,  $\forall z$   $\mu$ -a.e. and that  $\mathbf{D}.F(\cdot) \in \mathbb{L}_{\mu^{\otimes 2} \times \mathbb{P}}^2((\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R})^2 \times \Omega)$ .

Finally, the definitions of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup  $T_t$  and its infinitesimal generator  $L$  are parallel to the ones in the Wiener space case. Basically, all we need to define is the Malliavin derivative and the Skorohod integral, that is, we can just define  $L := -\delta \mathbf{D}$ . With this definition we obtain that for  $F \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$  with chaotic representation (7),  $LF = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} -q I_q(f_q)$  and  $T_t F = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} e^{-qt} I_q(f_q)$ . In the same way, the pseudo-inverse is given by  $L^{-1}F = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q} I_q(f_q)$  and  $LL^{-1}F = F - E[F]$ .

### 3 Main theorems

The first tool needed is a generalized version of the chain rule in the framework of the Wiener-Poisson space. But first we need to define a suitable subset of  $\text{Dom } \mathbf{D}$  where (9) and (11) remains valid and the chain rule for a general random variable in the Wiener-Poisson space can be implemented. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{S}^{W,J} := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n G_i H_i \mid G_i \in \mathcal{S}; H_i \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^J \text{ such that } \mathbb{E} \left[ \iint_{\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}_0} (\Psi_z H_i)^2 d\mu(z) \right] < \infty \right\}.$$

With the inner product given by

$$\langle F, G \rangle_{W,J} := \mathbb{E}[FG] + \mathbb{E}[\langle \mathbf{D}_z F, \mathbf{D}_z G \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_{\mu}^2}] = \mathbb{E}^W[\mathbb{E}^J[FG]] + \mathbb{E}^W[\mathbb{E}^J[\langle \mathbf{D}_z F, \mathbf{D}_z G \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_{\mu}^2}]],$$

let  $\text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^{W,J}$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{S}^{W,J}$  with respect to the implicit norm (see [27, Remarks 2 and 3 - page 31] for the properties of this space). Clearly,  $\text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^{W,J} \subset \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^W \cap \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^J \subset \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}$ , and for all  $F \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^{W,J}$  formulas (9) and (11) hold. In the following proposition, for an  $\mathbf{R}^d$ -valued random variable  $F = (F^{(1)}, \dots, F^{(d)})$ ,  $\mathbf{D}_z F$  must be understood as the vector  $(\mathbf{D}_z F^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{D}_z F^{(d)})$ .

**Proposition 1.** (*General Chain Rules*)

Fix  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $f : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a  $C^{k-1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$  with bounded gradient, that is,  $\|\nabla f\|_{\mathbf{R}^d} < \infty$ , such that  $\partial^\alpha f$  is a.e. differentiable for any multi-index  $\alpha$  such that  $|\alpha| = k-1$ . Let the random vector  $F = (F^{(1)}, \dots, F^{(d)})$  be such that  $F^{(i)} \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^{W,J}$  for all  $i$ . Then  $f(F) \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^{W,J}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_z f(F) &= \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{k-1} \frac{\partial^\alpha f(F)}{\alpha!} x^{|\alpha|-1} (\mathbf{D}_z F)^\alpha \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^d x^{k-1} \prod_{r=1}^k (\mathbf{D}_z F^{(i_r)}) \int_0^1 (1-t)^{k-1} \partial_{i_1, \dots, i_k} f(F + t x \mathbf{D}_z F) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Furthermore, if  $f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbf{R}^d)$  then

$$\mathbf{D}_z f(F) = \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{k-1} \frac{\partial^\alpha f(F)}{\alpha!} x^{|\alpha|-1} (\mathbf{D}_z F)^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{\partial^\alpha f(F + \theta_z x \mathbf{D}_z F)}{\alpha!} x^{k-1} (\mathbf{D}_z F)^\alpha, \quad (16)$$

for some function  $\theta_z \in (0, 1)$  depending on  $z$ ,  $F$  and  $\mathbf{D}_z F$ .

*Proof.* This will be proven for the derivatives in the Wiener direction and the Poisson direction.

• **Case  $z = (t, 0)$  :**

Consider first  $F = (F^{(1)}, \dots, F^{(d)})$ , where  $F^{(i)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} G_k H_k \in \mathcal{S}^{W,J}$  for all  $i$ . Let  $\tilde{f} : \mathbf{R}^{N_1 + \dots + N_d} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be such that  $f(F) = \tilde{f}(G)$ , where  $G = (G_1^{(1)}, \dots, G_{N_1}^{(1)}, \dots, G_1^{(d)}, \dots, G_{N_d}^{(d)})$ . By formula (9) and the chain rule in the Wiener space,

$$\mathbf{D}_{t,0} f(F) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \mathbf{D}_t^W \tilde{f}(G) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x_{i+k}}(G) \mathbf{D}^W G_k^{(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x_{i+k}}(G) \mathbf{D}_{t,0} G_k^{(i)}.$$

On the other hand,  $\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x_{i+k}}(G) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(F) H_k^{(i)}$ . Hence,

$$\mathbf{D}_{t,0} f(F) = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(F) H_k^{(i)} \mathbf{D}_{t,0} G_k^{(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(F) \mathbf{D}_{t,0} \overbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{N_i} H_k^{(i)} G_k^{(i)}}^{= \mathbf{D}_{t,0} F^{(i)}}.$$

Accordingly,  $\mathbf{D}_{t,0} f(F) = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(F) \mathbf{D}_{t,0} F^{(i)}$ .

Consider now a general  $F \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^{W,J}$ , and take a sequence  $\{F_N\} \subset \mathcal{S}^{W,J} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}^{W,J}$  converging in  $\|\cdot\|_{W,J}$  to  $F$ , that is,  $F_N^{(i)} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{L}^2} F^{(i)}$  and  $\mathbf{D} F_N^{(i)} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{L}^2} \mathbf{D} F^{(i)}$  in the respective spaces. By the boundedness and continuity of  $\nabla f$ , along with the mean value theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(F) - f(F_N)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} &= \|\nabla f(\alpha_{F,F_N} F + (1 - \alpha_{F,F_N}) F_N) \cdot (F - F_N)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|\nabla f\|_{\mathbf{R}^d} \|F - F_N\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq \|\nabla f\|_{\mathbf{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^d \|F^{(i)} - F_N^{(i)}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(F_N) \mathbf{D}_{t,0} F_N^{(i)} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \leq \|\nabla f\|_{\mathbf{R}^d} \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\mathbf{D}_{t,0} F^{(i)}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbf{R}^+)} \right] + K \right) < \infty$ , for some constant  $K$ , then by the completeness of the space, a convergent subsequence exists. It is known from



[27, Remark 2 - page 31] that  $\mathbf{D}_{t,0}$  is a closed operator from  $\text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^{W,J} \subset \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_W; \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\tilde{N}})) \simeq \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_W \times \Omega_{\tilde{N}}) \simeq \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$  into  $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_W; \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbf{R}^+, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^+), dt) \otimes \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\tilde{N}})) \simeq \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^+, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^+), \mathbb{P} \otimes dt)$ . Therefore,  $f(F) \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^W$ .

Finally, consider the set  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{W,J} := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t) G_i H_i \mid G_i \in \mathcal{S}; H_i \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^J; f_i \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbf{R}^+) \right\}$ . By [27, page 37], it is known that  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{W,J} \in \text{Dom } \delta^W$ . Hence, for all  $G \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{W,J}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle \mathbf{D}f(F), G \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\tilde{N}} \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \right] &= \mathbb{E} [f(F) \delta^W(G)] = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} [f(F_{N_l}) \delta(G)] = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle \mathbf{D}f(F_{N_l}), G \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\tilde{N}} \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \right] \\ &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle \nabla f(F_{N_l}) \cdot \mathbf{D}F_{N_l}, G \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\tilde{N}} \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle \nabla f(F) \cdot \mathbf{D}F, G \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\tilde{N}} \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(F) \mathbf{D}F^{(i)}, G \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\tilde{N}} \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle \mathbf{D}f(F) - \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(F) \mathbf{D}F^{(i)}, G \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\tilde{N}} \times \mathbf{R}^+)} \right] = 0$  for any  $G \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{W,J}$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{W,J}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_W; \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbf{R}^+, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^+), dt) \otimes \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\tilde{N}}))$ , and  $z = (t, 0)$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}f(F) &= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(F) \mathbf{D}F^{(i)} \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{k-1} \frac{\partial^\alpha f(F)}{\alpha!} 0^{|\alpha|-1} (\mathbf{D}_z F)^\alpha + \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^d 0^{k-1} \prod_{r=1}^k (\mathbf{D}_z F^{(i_r)}) \int_0^1 (1-t)^{k-1} \partial_{i_1, \dots, i_k} f(F + t \mathbf{D}_z F) dt. \end{aligned}$$

The convention  $0^0 = 1$  is used in the last equality. The proof of (16) is analogous.

• **Case  $z = (t, x)$  with  $x \neq 0$ :**

Since  $f$  is differentiable, then by the mean value theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_z f(F)| &= \left| \frac{f(F(\omega_{t,x})) - f(F(\omega))}{x} \right| = \left| \nabla f(\alpha_{F,z} F(\omega_{t,x}) + (1 - \alpha_{F,z}) F) \cdot \mathbf{D}_z F \right| \\ &\leq \left| \nabla f(\alpha_{F,z} F(\omega_{t,x}) + (1 - \alpha_{F,z}) F) \right|_{\mathbf{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \mathbf{D}_z F^{(i)} \right|_{\mathbf{R}^d}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \iint_{\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}_0} (\Psi_z f(F))^2 d\mu(z) \right] \leq \|\nabla f\|_{\mathbf{R}^d} \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \mathbf{D}_z F^{(i)} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}_\mu^2}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 < \infty.$$

Hence,  $f(F) \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^J$  and the Malliavin derivative is given by  $\mathbf{D}_z f(F) = \Psi_z f(F)$ . By Taylor's formula,

$$f(y) = f(y_0) + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{k-1} \frac{\partial^\alpha f(y_0)}{\alpha!} (y - y_0)^\alpha + \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^d \prod_{r=1}^k (y^{(i_r)} - y_0^{(i_r)}) \int_0^1 (1-t)^{k-1} \partial_{i_1, \dots, i_k} f(y_0 + t(y - y_0)) dt.$$

Recalling that  $y^{(i)} - y_0^{(i)} = F^{(i)}(\omega_z) - F^{(i)}(\omega) = x \mathbf{D}_z F^{(i)}$ , if  $y = F(\omega_z)$  and  $y_0 = F(\omega)$ , and plugging in these values, the formula (15) is immediately verified.

The proof of (16) follows the same logic but with the following Taylor's formula:

$$f(y) = \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{k-1} \frac{\partial^\alpha f(y_0)}{\alpha!} (y - y_0)^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{\partial^\alpha f(y_0 + \theta(y - y_0))}{\alpha!} (y - y_0)^\alpha,$$

where  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  depends on  $y_0$  and  $y$ . □

This chain rule allows us to employ the so-called “Nourdin-Peccati analysis”, which is stated in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.** *Let the random vectors  $Z = (Z^{(1)}, \dots, Z^{(d)})$  and  $F = (F^{(1)}, \dots, F^{(d)})$  be such that  $Z^{(i)}, F^{(i)} \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^{W,J}$ , for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Suppose that the function  $f : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfies that  $\nabla f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  with a bounded Hessian. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\langle Z, \nabla f(F) \rangle_{\mathbf{R}^d}] &= \langle \mathbb{E}[Z], \mathbb{E}[\nabla f(F)] \rangle_{\mathbf{R}^d} + \mathbb{E}[\langle \text{Hess}_f(F), g_{Z,F}(F) \rangle_{H.S.}] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle \sum_{|\beta|=2} \frac{\partial^\beta \partial_j f(F + \theta_z x \mathbf{D}_z F) x (\mathbf{D}_z F)^\beta}{\beta!}, -\mathbf{D}L^{-1}(Z^{(j)} - \mathbb{E}[Z^{(j)}]) \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where  $g_{Z,F}$  is the matrix  $g_{Z,F}^{i,j}(x) = \mathbb{E}[\langle \mathbf{D}F^{(i)}, -\mathbf{D}L^{-1}(Z^{(j)} - \mathbb{E}[Z^{(j)}]) \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \mid F = x]$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H.S.}$  is the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product, and  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the underlying Hilbert space. If  $\nu \not\equiv 0$  (the jump part is present), then  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{L}_\mu^2$ .

*Proof.* Notice that it is enough to consider  $\nabla f(F)$  and  $Z$  centered, because

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle Z - \mathbb{E}[Z], \nabla f(F) - \mathbb{E}[\nabla f(F)] \rangle_{\mathbf{R}^d}] = \mathbb{E}[\langle \nabla f(F), Z \rangle_{\mathbf{R}^d}] - \langle \mathbb{E}[\nabla f(F)], \mathbb{E}[Z] \rangle_{\mathbf{R}^d}.$$

Hence, let  $\mathbb{E}[f(F)] = 0 = \mathbb{E}[Z]$ . By the chain rule (16),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\langle Z, \nabla f(F) \rangle_{\mathbf{R}^d}] &= \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[ Z^{(j)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(F) \right] = \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(F) (LL^{-1}Z^{(j)}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(F) (-\delta \mathbf{D}L^{-1}Z^{(j)}) \right] = \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle \mathbf{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(F), -\mathbf{D}L^{-1}Z^{(j)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(F) \mathbb{E}[\langle \mathbf{D}F^{(i)}, -\mathbf{D}L^{-1}Z^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \mid F] \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle \sum_{|\beta|=2} \frac{\partial^\beta \partial_j f(F + \theta_z x \mathbf{D}_z F) x (\mathbf{D}_z F)^\beta}{\beta!}, -\mathbf{D}L^{-1}Z^{(j)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\langle \text{Hess}_f(F), g_{Z,F}(F) \rangle_{H.S.}] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle \sum_{|\beta|=2} \frac{\partial^\beta \partial_j f(F + \theta_z x \mathbf{D}_z F) x (\mathbf{D}_z F)^\beta}{\beta!}, -\mathbf{D}L^{-1}Z^{(j)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Another important tool is the extension of the so-called *Gaussian Poincaré inequality* to the present context. But to prove this we need an inequality similar to the one proved in [22, Proposition 3.1] (was proved for all  $p \geq 2$  in the Wiener space case). The technique used in their proof was based on the equivalence between Mehler and Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups for the Gaussian case, but in the Wiener-Poisson space we lack such an equivalence. Nevertheless, it is possible to prove it for  $p = 2$  and that is, in fact, the case needed to prove the extension of the Gaussian Poincaré inequality.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $F \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}$  satisfy  $\mathbb{E}[F] = 0$ . Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}L^{-1}F\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}F\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2].$$

*Proof.* Assume  $F$  has its chaos decomposition given by (7). By the orthogonality between chaoses we get,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \mathbf{D}L^{-1}F \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{D} \frac{1}{q} I_q(f_q) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^2} \left\| \mathbf{D} I_q(f_q) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathbf{D} I_q(f_q) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \mathbf{D}F \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right].$$

□

**Proposition 4.** (*Extension of the Gaussian Poincaré inequality*)

Let  $F \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}$ . Then,

$$\text{Var} [F] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \mathbf{D}F \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right], \quad (18)$$

with equality if and only if  $F$  is a linear combination of elements in the first and  $0^{\text{th}}$  chaos.

*Proof.* Assume, without loss of generality, that  $E[F] = 0$ .

$$\text{Var} [F] = \mathbb{E} [F^2] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle \mathbf{D}F, -\mathbf{D}L^{-1}F \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \mathbf{D}F \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \mathbf{D}L^{-1}F \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \mathbf{D}F \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right],$$

where Proposition 3 was used in the last step, and the fact that  $F = -\delta \mathbf{D}L^{-1}F$  in the second step. □

### 3.1 Nourdin-Peccati Bound

Let  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{BL}}$  be the Lipschitz and bounded Lipschitz seminorms,<sup>3</sup> respectively. Consider the following separating classes:

$$\mathcal{FM}(\mathbf{R}^d) := \{h : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \mid \|h\|_{\text{BL}} \leq 1\},^4 \quad \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{R}^d) := \{h : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \mid \|h\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq 1\},^5$$

$$\text{and} \quad \mathcal{PZ}(\mathbf{R}^d) := \{h : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \mid h \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbf{R}^d), \|h\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq 1, \|\nabla h\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq 1\}.^6$$

If  $\mathcal{H}$  represents a separating class, Stein's method tells us that

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}(Z, F) := \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} |\mathbb{E}[h(Z)] - \mathbb{E}[h(F)]| \leq \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} |\mathbb{E}[\langle \Sigma, \text{Hess}_{f_h}(F) \rangle_{H.S.} - \langle F, \nabla f_h(F) \rangle_{\mathbf{R}^d}]|,$$

where  $f_h$  is a solution of the so-called Stein's equation. Furthermore, in [10, Lemma 3] the authors showed that

$$\|\text{Hess}_{f_h}\|_{H.S.} \leq \mathbf{k}_0 \|h\|_{\text{Lip}} \quad \& \quad \|\text{Hess}_{f_h}\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq \mathbf{k}_1 \|\nabla h\|_{\text{Lip}}. \quad (19)$$

We can now state the main theorem of this paper.

**Theorem 1.** (*NP Bound*)

Suppose that  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(m, \Sigma)$  with a positive definite covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . Let  $F = (F^{(1)}, \dots, F^{(d)})$  be such that  $\mathbb{E}[F] = m$  and  $F^{(i)} \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^{W,J}$ , for all  $i$ . Then,

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}(Z, F) \leq \mathbf{k} \left( \mathbb{E}[\|\Sigma - g_F(F)\|_{H.S.}] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle |x| \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \mathbf{D}F^{(i)} \right| \right)^2, \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \mathbf{D}L^{-1}F^{(i)} \right| \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right] \right), \quad (20)$$

where  $g_F^{i,j}(x) := \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle \mathbf{D}F^{(i)}, -\mathbf{D}L^{-1}F^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \mid F = x \right]$ , and  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the underlying Hilbert space. If  $\nu \equiv 0$  (no jump part, i.e.,  $x = 0$ ), then  $\mathcal{H}$  could be any of the three separating classes above, but if the jump part is present then  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{PZ}$  and  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{L}_{\mu}^2$ .

<sup>3</sup>For  $h : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ ,  $\|h\|_{\text{Lip}} := \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{\|h(x) - h(y)\|_{\mathbf{R}^k}}{\|x - y\|_{\mathbf{R}^d}}$ . For  $h : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\|h\|_{\text{BL}} := \|h\|_{\text{Lip}} + \|h\|_{\infty}$ .

<sup>4</sup>This class implies the so-called Fourtet-Mourier distance.

<sup>5</sup>This class implies the so-called Wasserstein distance.

<sup>6</sup>The letters PZ stand for the names Peccati-Zheng, the authors of the paper where this class was used to define a distance.

*Proof.* The case where  $\sigma \neq 0$  and  $\nu \equiv 0$  was shown already in [21] and [23]. The case where  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\nu \neq 0$  was discussed in [31] and [32]. Now, consider the case  $\sigma \neq 0$  and  $\nu \neq 0$ . By Proposition 2 (with  $k = 2$  in (16)) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{\mathcal{PZ}}(Z, F) &\leq \sup_{h \in \mathcal{PZ}} \left| \mathbb{E} [\langle \Sigma, \text{Hess}_{f_h}(F) \rangle_{H.S.}] - \mathbb{E} [\langle F, \nabla f_h(F) \rangle_{\mathbf{R}^d}] \right| \\
&\leq \sup_{h \in \mathcal{PZ}} \mathbb{E} [\langle \Sigma - g_F(F), \text{Hess}_{f_h}(F) \rangle_{H.S.}] \\
&\quad + \sup_{h \in \mathcal{PZ}} \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle \sum_{|\beta|=2} \left| \frac{\partial^\beta \partial_i f_h(F + \theta_z x \mathbf{D}_z F) x (\mathbf{D}_z F)^\beta}{\beta!} \right|, \left| -\mathbf{D} L^{-1} F^{(i)} \right| \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\mu^2} \right] \\
&\leq \sup_{h \in \mathcal{PZ}} \mathbb{E} [\|\Sigma - g_F(F)\|_{H.S.} \|\text{Hess}_{f_h}(F)\|_{H.S.}] \\
&\quad + \sup_{h \in \mathcal{PZ}} \sup_{i, \beta} \frac{\|\partial^\beta \partial_i f_h\|_\infty}{\beta!} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle |x| \sum_{|\beta|=2} |\mathbf{D}_z F|^\beta, \sum_{i=1}^d |\mathbf{D} L^{-1} F^{(i)}| \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\mu^2} \right] \\
&\leq \sup_{h \in \mathcal{PZ}} \|\text{Hess}_{f_h}\|_{H.S.} \|\cdot\|_\infty \cdot \mathbb{E} [\|\Sigma - g_F(F)\|_{H.S.}] \\
&\quad + C \sup_{h \in \mathcal{PZ}} \|\text{Hess}_{f_h}\|_{\text{Lip}} \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle |x| \left( \sum_{i=1}^d |\mathbf{D} F^{(i)}| \right)^2, \sum_{i=1}^d |\mathbf{D} L^{-1} F^{(i)}| \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\mu^2} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where the bounds (19) along with  $\mathbf{k}_0 + C\mathbf{k}_1 \leq \mathbf{k}$  justify the NP bound. In the last step we used the fact that  $\sum_{|\beta|=2} |\mathbf{D}_z F|^\beta = \sum_{i,j=1}^d |\mathbf{D}_z F^{(i)}| |\mathbf{D}_z F^{(j)}| = \left( \sum_{i=1}^d |\mathbf{D} F^{(i)}| \right)^2$ .  $\square$

In terms of computations, the inequality (20) is not as tractable as we would like it to be. The following corollary can deal with this issue.

**Corollary 1.** (*Second Order Poincaré Inequality*)

Let  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(m, \Sigma)$  with a positive definite covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . Let  $F = (F^{(1)}, \dots, F^{(d)})$  be such that  $\mathbb{E}[F] = m$ ,  $\text{Var}[F] = \Sigma$  and  $F^{(i)}, \mathbf{D} F^{(i)} \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{D}^{W,J}$ , for all  $i$ . Then,

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}(Z, F) \leq C \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^d \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\mathbf{D}^2 F^{(i)}\|_{op}^4 \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\mathbf{D} F^{(j)}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4 \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} + \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \langle x, \mathbf{D}^2 F^{(i)} \mathbf{D}^2 F^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle |x|, |\mathbf{D} F^{(i)}|^3 \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right] \right) \quad (21)$$

$$\leq C \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^d \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\mathbf{D}^2 F^{(i)} \otimes_1 \mathbf{D}^2 F^{(i)}\|_{\mathfrak{H} \otimes \mathfrak{H}}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\mathbf{D} F^{(j)}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4 \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} + \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \langle x, \mathbf{D}^2 F^{(i)} \mathbf{D}^2 F^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle |x|, |\mathbf{D} F^{(i)}|^3 \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right] \right), \quad (22)$$

where  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the underlying Hilbert space, and  $\|\cdot\|_{op}$  is the operator norm.<sup>7</sup> If  $\nu \equiv 0$  (no jump part, i.e.,  $x = 0$ ), then  $\mathcal{H}$  could be any of the three separating classes above, but if the jump part is present then  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{PZ}$ ,  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{L}_\mu^2$  and  $F^{(i)}$  must lie in a fixed Wiener-Poisson chaos, that is,  $F^{(i)} = I_{q_i}(f_i)$  for some  $q_i \in \mathbb{N}$ , for all  $i$ .

---

<sup>7</sup>Consider the operator  $T : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$  such that  $T(h) = \langle h, \mathbf{D}^2 F \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$ . The operator norm of  $T$  is what we have denoted by  $\|\mathbf{D}^2 F\|_{op}$ .

*Proof.* The one dimensional version (with  $\nu \equiv 0$ ) of this inequality was proved in [22], but no multidimensional inequality has been worked out. Since  $d_{\mathcal{H}}(Z, F) = d_{\mathcal{H}}(Z - m, F - m)$ , then we can assume without loss of generality that  $m = 0$ . The last term is not zero only if  $\nu \neq 0$ , and in this case  $-\mathbf{D}L^{-1}F^{(i)} = \frac{1}{q_i}\mathbf{D}F^{(i)}$ , with  $1 \leq q_i$ . Hence, applying  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^d |a_i|\right)^3 \leq d^3 \sum_{i=1}^d |a_i|^3$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle |x| \left( \sum_{i=1}^d |\mathbf{D}F^{(i)}| \right)^2, \sum_{i=1}^d |\mathbf{D}L^{-1}F^{(i)}| \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle |x|, \left( \sum_{i=1}^d |\mathbf{D}F^{(i)}| \right)^3 \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right] \leq d^3 \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle |x|, |\mathbf{D}F^{(i)}|^3 \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right].$$

To show the other terms, consider the random matrix  $G_F^{i,j} := \langle \mathbf{D}F^{(i)}, -\mathbf{D}L^{-1}F^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$ . Note that  $\mathbb{E}[g_F(F)] = \mathbb{E}[G_F] = (\mathbb{E}[F^{(i)}F^{(j)}])_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} = \Sigma$ , and by Jensen's inequality (for conditional expectation) along with Hölder's we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\Sigma - g_F(F)\|_{H.S.}] \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\Sigma - g_F(F)\|_{H.S.}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^d \text{Var}[g_F^{i,j}(F)]} \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^d \sqrt{\text{Var}[G_F^{i,j}]}. \quad (21)$$

By the Gaussian Poincaré inequality (Proposition 4) we have that  $\sqrt{\text{Var}[G_F^{i,j}]} \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}G_F^{i,j}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2]}$ . Also, by the product rule (13), the triangular inequality, and Hölder we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}G_F^{i,j}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \mathbb{E}[\|\langle \mathbf{D}^2F^{(i)}, -\mathbf{D}L^{-1}F^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathbb{E}[\|\langle \mathbf{D}F^{(i)}, -\mathbf{D}^2L^{-1}F^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathbb{E}[\|\langle x\mathbf{D}^2F^{(i)}, -\mathbf{D}^2L^{-1}F^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}^2F^{(i)}\|_{\text{op}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}L^{-1}F^{(j)}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}} + \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}F^{(i)}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}^2L^{-1}F^{(j)}\|_{\text{op}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}} + \mathbb{E}[\|\langle x\mathbf{D}^2F^{(i)}, -\mathbf{D}^2L^{-1}F^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\|\langle \mathbf{D}^2F, \mathbf{D}G \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \leq \|\mathbf{D}^2F\|_{\text{op}}^2 \|\mathbf{D}G\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2$ .

• **If  $\nu \equiv 0$ :**

In this scenario  $x = 0$ , hence  $\mathbb{E}[\|\langle x\mathbf{D}^2F^{(i)}, -\mathbf{D}^2L^{-1}F^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ . In [22, Proposition 3.1] there is a proof that  $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}L^{-1}F^{(j)}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}F^{(j)}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}}$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}^2L^{-1}F^{(j)}\|_{\text{op}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}^2F^{(j)}\|_{\text{op}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}}$ .

• **If  $\nu \neq 0$ :**

In this case  $F^{(j)}$  is restricted to a fixed Wiener-Poisson chaos, i.e.,  $F^{(j)} = I_{q_j}(f_j)$  and  $-\mathbf{D}L^{-1}F^{(j)} = \frac{1}{q_j}\mathbf{D}F^{(j)}$ . Using this we get  $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}L^{-1}F^{(j)}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}} = \frac{1}{q_j}\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}F^{(j)}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}^2L^{-1}F^{(j)}\|_{\text{op}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}} = \frac{1}{q_j}\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}^2F^{(j)}\|_{\text{op}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}}$ , and  $\mathbb{E}[\|\langle x\mathbf{D}^2F^{(i)}, -\mathbf{D}^2L^{-1}F^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{q_j}\mathbb{E}[\|\langle x, \mathbf{D}^2F^{(i)}\mathbf{D}^2F^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

In both cases there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}G_F^{i,j}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}^2F^{(i)}\|_{\text{op}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}F^{(j)}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}} + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathbb{E}[\|\langle x, \mathbf{D}^2F^{(i)}\mathbf{D}^2F^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right),$$

proving (21). In order to show (22), just invoke the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [22], which remains valid in this framework, to get  $\|\mathbf{D}^2F^{(i)}\|_{\text{op}}^4 \leq \|\mathbf{D}^2F^{(i)} \otimes_1 \mathbf{D}^2F^{(i)}\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2$ .  $\square$

**Remark 7.** *This corollary basically says that if we want to show gaussian convergence for a family of random vectors  $F_T = (F_T^{(1)}, \dots, F_T^{(d)})$  (living in a fixed chaos if  $\nu \neq 0$ ) it is sufficient to check the following conditions for all  $i$ :*

**1. Expectation of the First Derivative Norm:**

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \mathbf{D}F_T^{(i)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4 \right] = O(1) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty. \quad (23)$$

**2. Expectation of the First Derivative Cube:**

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle |x|, \left| \mathbf{D}F_T^{(i)} \right|^3 \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty. \quad (24)$$

**3. Expectation of the Contraction Norm:**

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \mathbf{D}^2 F_T^{(i)} \otimes_1 \mathbf{D}^2 F_T^{(i)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H} \otimes^2}^2 \right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty. \quad (25)$$

**4. Expectation of the Second Derivative Norm:**

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \left\langle x, \mathbf{D}^2 F_T^{(i)} \mathbf{D}^2 F_T^{(j)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}} \right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty. \quad (26)$$

**5. Existence of the Variance**

$$\text{Var} [F_T] \rightarrow \Sigma \quad \text{exists as } T \rightarrow \infty. \quad (27)$$

Due to the Gaussian Poincaré inequality,  $\text{Var} [F_T^{(i)}] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \mathbf{D}F_T^{(i)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E} [\left\| \mathbf{D}F_T \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4]}$ , so the variance of  $F_T^{(i)}$  will go to 0 if the expectation of the first Malliavin derivative norm goes to 0. This is why condition (23) is necessary, and the convergence to zero of the distance relies on conditions (24), (25) and (26).

## 4 Special Cases and Applications

### 4.1 The Wiener Space Case:

#### Linear functionals of Gaussian-subordinated fields

When we are working in this space the jump size is always zero, that is  $\nu \equiv 0$ , so the upper bound for the Wasserstein distance becomes

$$d_W(Z, F) \leq k \mathbb{E} [\| \Sigma - g_F(F) \|_{H.S.}], \quad (28)$$

which coincides perfectly with the bounds computed in [21] and [23].

Since Corollary 1, in this space, is true for all  $F \in \mathbb{D}^{2,4}$  and not just for functionals in a fixed Wiener chaos, the authors of [22] proved a very useful central limit theorem for linear functionals of Gaussian-subordinated fields. Before stating it, some notation is introduced: Let  $X_t$  be a centered Gaussian stationary process and define  $C(t) = \mathbb{E} [X_0 X_t] = \mathbb{E} [X_s X_{t+s}]$ , its covariance function. By Remark 2, we know that the Malliavin derivative of  $X_t$  is well defined. Let  $T > 0$ ,  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, C(0))$  and  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a real function of class  $C^2$  not constant such that  $\mathbb{E} [|f(Z)|] < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E} [|f''(Z)|^4] < \infty$ . In order to simplify the notation, the following random sequence is defined,

$$F_T := T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T (f(X_t) - \mathbb{E} [f(Z)]) dt.$$

Their result is stated as follows,

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} |C(t)| dt < \infty$ , and assume that  $f$  is a **symmetric** real valued function. Then  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}[F_T] := \Sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$  exists and as  $T \rightarrow \infty$

$$F_T \xrightarrow{\text{law}} N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma^2).$$

Our goal in this subsection is to extend this result to the case when  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} |C(t)| dt = \infty$ . This is achievable under some conditions on the decay rate of the covariance. In fact, it is very handy that for this functional the conditions (23)-(27) reduce to just one condition on the covariance of the underlying stationary Gaussian process  $X_t$ . Let  $V(T)$  be a strictly positive continuous function with  $V(T) \rightarrow 0$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  such that either  $TV(T) \rightarrow 0$  or  $V \in C^1$  and  $TV'(T) \rightarrow 0$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . The following is the condition on the covariance that replaces the five conditions in Remark 7.

**Condition \*:** Either  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} |C(t)| dt < \infty$  or  $V(T)$  (with the above characteristics) exists such that,

$$\frac{C(T)}{V(T)} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} M \neq 0.$$

$V(T)$  represents the decay rate for the covariance function. Consider the following function

$$\tilde{V}(T) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } \int_0^\infty |C(x)| dx < \infty \\ \int_0^T \int_0^y V(x) dx dy & \text{if } \int_0^\infty |C(x)| dx = \infty \end{cases}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}_C := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^2 \mid f \text{ is symmetric if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} |C(t)| dt < \infty \text{ or } \mathbb{E}[f(Z)Z] \neq 0 \text{ if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} |C(t)| dt = \infty\}$  and rewrite the functional  $F_T$  as follows,

$$F_T := \tilde{V}(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T (f(X_t) - \mathbb{E}[f(Z)]) dt.$$

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that condition \* is verified by  $C(t)$  and that  $f \in \mathcal{M}_C$ . Then  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}[F_T] := \Sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$  exists and as  $T \rightarrow \infty$

$$F_T \xrightarrow{\text{law}} N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma^2).$$

Furthermore, if  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} |C(t)| dt = \infty$ , then  $\Sigma^2 = 2M(\mathbb{E}[f(Z)Z])^2$ .

Before tackling this theorem, we need to verify some facts that will simplify the proof.

**Proposition 5.** Suppose that  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} |C(t)| dt = \infty$ . Then as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ ,

1.  $(\int_0^T V(x) dx)^{-1} \int_0^T |C(t)| dt = O(1)$ .
2.  $\tilde{V}(T)^{-1} \int_{[0, T]^2} |C(t-s)| ds dt = O(1)$ .
3.
  - If  $TV(T) \rightarrow 0$ :  
 $\tilde{V}(T)^{-2} T (\int_0^T |C(t)| dt)^3 = O(\max\{V(T), TV(T)^2 (\int_0^T V(x) dx)^{-1}\})$ .
  - If  $TV(T) \nrightarrow 0$  and  $TV'(T) \rightarrow 0$ :  
 $\tilde{V}(T)^{-2} T (\int_0^T |C(t)| dt)^3 = O(\max\{V(T), TV'(T)\})$ .
4. For fixed  $q \geq 1$ :

$$\tilde{V}(T)^{-1} \int_{[0, T]^2} C(t-s)^q ds dt \rightarrow 2M1_{\{q=1\}} = \begin{cases} 2M & \text{if } q = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } q \neq 1 \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* The proof just involves simple applications of L'Hôpital's rule (L).

1.

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^T |C(t)| dt}{\int_0^T V(x) dx} \stackrel{L}{=} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|C(T)|}{V(T)} = |M|.$$

2. Notice first that  $\int_{[0,T]^2} |C(t-s)| ds dt = 2 \int_0^T \int_0^t |C(x)| dx dt$  so

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{[0,T]^2} |C(t-s)| ds dt}{\tilde{V}(T)} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \int_0^T \int_0^t |C(x)| dx dt}{\int_0^T \int_0^y V(x) dx dy} \stackrel{L}{=} 2 \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|C(T)|}{V(T)} = 2|M|.$$

$$3. \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T \left( \int_0^T |C(t)| dt \right)^3}{\tilde{V}(T)^2} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\left( \frac{\int_0^T |C(t)| dt}{\int_0^T V(x) dx} \right)^3}_{=O(1)} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T \left( \int_0^T V(x) dx \right)^3}{\tilde{V}(T)^2}.$$

• If  $TV(T) \rightarrow 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T \left( \int_0^T V(x) dx \right)^3}{\tilde{V}(T)^2} &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left( \int_0^T V(x) dx \right)^2}{\tilde{V}(T)} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T \int_0^T V(x) dx}{\tilde{V}(T)} \\ &\stackrel{L}{=} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (2V(T)) \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left( 1 + \frac{TV(T)}{\int_0^T V(x) dx} \right) = 2 \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left( V(T) + \frac{TV(T)^2}{\int_0^T V(x) dx} \right). \end{aligned}$$

• If  $TV(T) \nrightarrow 0$  and  $TV'(T) \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T \left( \int_0^T V(x) dx \right)^3}{\tilde{V}(T)^2} &\stackrel{L}{=} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left( \int_0^T V(x) dx \right)^2 + 3TV(T) \left( \int_0^T V(x) dx \right)}{2\tilde{V}(T)} \\ &\stackrel{L}{=} \left( \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5V(T) + 3TV'(T)}{2} + \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3TV(T)^2}{2 \int_0^T V(x) dx} \right) \stackrel{L}{=} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left( 4V(T) + \frac{9}{2}TV'(T) \right). \end{aligned}$$

4. If for  $q > 1$ , either  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,T]^2} C(t-s)^q ds dt < \infty$  or  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T C(x)^q dx < \infty$ , then the result will follow trivially. So let's assume that both go to infinity as  $T$  goes to infinity.

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{[0,T]^2} C(t-s)^q ds dt}{\tilde{V}(T)} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \int_0^T \int_0^t C(x)^q dx dt}{\int_0^T \int_0^y V(x) dx dy} \stackrel{L}{=} 2 \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C(T)^q}{V(T)} = 2 \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\left( \frac{C(T)}{V(T)} \right)^q}_{\rightarrow M^q} \underbrace{V(T)^{(q-1)}}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ if } q > 1} = 2M1_{\{q=1\}}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.

*Proof.* Notice that if  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} |C(t)| dt < \infty$  then Theorem 2 reduces to Lemma 1 and there is nothing left to prove. Assume then, that  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} |C(t)| dt = \infty$ . Due to Remark 7, it is enough to check that condition \* implies conditions (23), (25) and (27), because (24) and (26) trivially holds.

• **Expectation of the First Derivative Norm:**

– First Malliavin Derivative:

$$DF_T = \tilde{V}(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T f'(X_t) 1_{[0,t]} dt.$$



– Norm of the First Malliavin Derivative:

$$\|\mathbf{D}F_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 = \tilde{V}(T)^{-1} \int_{[0,T]^2} f'(X_t) f'(X_s) \langle 1_{[0,t]}, 1_{[0,s]} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} dt ds = \tilde{V}(T)^{-1} \int_{[0,T]^2} f'(X_t) f'(X_s) C(t-s) dt ds.$$

Then,

$$\|\mathbf{D}F_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4 = \tilde{V}(T)^{-2} \int_{[0,T]^4} f'(X_t) f'(X_s) f'(X_u) f'(X_v) C(t-s) C(u-v) dt ds du dv.$$

– Expectation of the First Malliavin Derivative Norm:

By using Hölder (twice) on the expectation and by the stationarity of  $X_t$  we have the bound,

$$|\mathbb{E}[f'(X_t) f'(X_s) f'(X_u) f'(X_v)]| \leq \mathbb{E}[|f'(Z)|^4],$$

finally recovering the power we get,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}F_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4] \leq \mathbb{E}[|f'(Z)|^4] \underbrace{\left( \tilde{V}(T)^{-1} \int_{[0,T]^2} |C(t-s)| dt ds \right)^2}_{=O(1) \text{ by Proposition 5}}.$$

All this proves that,

$$\boxed{\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}F_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}} = O(1) \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.}$$

• **Expectation of the Contraction Norm:**

In the same way we get,

– Second Malliavin Derivative:

$$\mathbf{D}^2 F_T = \tilde{V}(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T f''(X_t) 1_{[0,t]}^{\otimes 2} dt.$$

– Contraction of Order 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}^2 F_T \otimes_1 \mathbf{D}^2 F_T &= \tilde{V}(T)^{-1} \int_{[0,T]^2} f''(X_t) f''(X_s) 1_{[0,t]} \otimes 1_{[0,s]} \langle 1_{[0,t]}, 1_{[0,s]} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} dt ds \\ &= \tilde{V}(T)^{-1} \int_{[0,T]^2} f''(X_t) f''(X_s) 1_{[0,t]} \otimes 1_{[0,s]} C(t-s) dt ds. \end{aligned}$$

– Norm of the Contraction:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{D}^2 F_T \otimes_1 \mathbf{D}^2 F_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 &= \tilde{V}(T)^{-2} \int_{[0,T]^4} f''(X_t) f''(X_s) f''(X_u) f''(X_v) C(t-s) C(u-v) \\ &\quad \times \langle 1_{[0,t]}, 1_{[0,u]} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \langle 1_{[0,s]}, 1_{[0,v]} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} dt ds du dv \\ &= \tilde{V}(T)^{-2} \int_{[0,T]^4} f''(X_t) f''(X_s) f''(X_u) f''(X_v) C(t-s) C(u-v) C(t-u) C(s-v) dt ds du dv. \end{aligned}$$

– Expectation of the Contraction Norm:

By using Hölder in the same way as above we get,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D}^2 F_T \otimes_1 \mathbf{D}^2 F_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[|f''(Z)|^4] \tilde{V}(T)^{-2} \int_{[0,T]^4} |C(t-s) C(u-v) C(t-u) C(s-v)| dt ds du dv.$$

Now, let's make the change of variable  $y = (t - s, u - v, t - u, v)$ , and let's denote the new region by  $\tilde{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \mathbf{D}^2 F_T \otimes_1 \mathbf{D}^2 F_T \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} [|f''(Z)|^4] \tilde{V}(T)^{-2} \int_0^T \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |C(y_1)C(y_2)C(y_3)C(y_2 + y_3 - y_1)| dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 dv \\ &= \mathbb{E} [|f''(Z)|^4] \tilde{V}(T)^{-2} T \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |C(y_1)C(y_2)C(y_3)C(y_2 + y_3 - y_1)| dy_1 dy_2 dy_3. \end{aligned}$$

We take into account that by Cauchy-Schwarz, for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$|C(t)| = \frac{\overbrace{|\mathbb{E}[X_0 X_t]|}^{\leq 1}}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[X_0] \text{Var}[X_t]}} \overbrace{\sqrt{\text{Var}[X_0] \text{Var}[X_t]}}^{=C(0)} \leq C(0).$$

As it is clear that  $\tilde{\Omega} \subset [-T, T]^3$  and since the integrand is a non-negative even function, we can deduce that,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \mathbf{D}^2 F_T \otimes_1 \mathbf{D}^2 F_T \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} [|f''(Z)|^4] C(0) \tilde{V}(T)^{-2} T \left( 2 \int_{[0, T]} |C(y)| dy \right)^3 \\ &= 8 \mathbb{E} [|f''(Z)|^4] C(0) \tilde{V}(T)^{-2} T \underbrace{\left( \int_0^T |C(y)| dy \right)^3}_{\xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} 0 \text{ by Proposition 5}}. \end{aligned}$$

All this proves that,

\* If  $TV(T) \rightarrow 0$ :

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \mathbf{D}^2 F_T \otimes_1 \mathbf{D}^2 F_T \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} = O \left( \max \left\{ V(T), TV(T)^2 \left( \int_0^T V(x) dx \right)^{-1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

\* If  $TV(T) \not\rightarrow 0$  and  $TV'(T) \rightarrow 0$ :

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \mathbf{D}^2 F_T \otimes_1 \mathbf{D}^2 F_T \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} = O(\max\{V(T), TV'(T)\}^{\frac{1}{4}}) \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

• **Existence of the Variance:**

Since  $f \in \mathcal{M}_C$  then  $\mathbb{E}[f(X_0)X_0] = \mathbb{E}[f(Z)Z] \neq 0$ . Also  $H_1(x) = x$ , so the first Hermite constant in the expansion (4) is not 0, i.e.,  $c_1 = \mathbb{E}[f(X_0)X_0] \neq 0$ . Using the formula (5) for the covariance of  $f$  we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[F_T] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \tilde{V}(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T (f(X_t) - \mathbb{E}[f(Z)]) dt \right)^2 \right] = \tilde{V}(T)^{-1} \int_{[0, T]^2} \text{Cov}[f(X_t), f(X_s)] dt ds \\ &= \tilde{V}(T)^{-1} \int_{[0, T]^2} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} c_q^2 q! (\mathbb{E}[X_t X_s])^q dt ds = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} c_q^2 q! \tilde{V}(T)^{-1} \int_{[0, T]^2} C(t-s)^q dt ds \\ &= c_1^2 \underbrace{\frac{\int_{[0, T]^2} C(t-s) ds dt}{\tilde{V}(T)}}_{\rightarrow 2M \text{ by Proposition 5}} + \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} c_q^2 q! \underbrace{\frac{\int_{[0, T]^2} C(t-s)^q ds dt}{\tilde{V}(T)}}_{\rightarrow 0, \forall q \text{ by Proposition 5}} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} 2M c_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

All this proves that,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}[F_T] = 2M(\mathbb{E}[f(Z)Z])^2 \in (0, \infty) \text{ exists.}$$

Since the conditions were satisfied, Theorem 2 is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 8.** Notice that during the proof of this theorem we could establish an estimate for the convergence rate to normality, i.e., if  $\tilde{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , then, as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

- If  $TV(T) \rightarrow 0$ :

$$d_W\left(\frac{F_T}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[F_T]}}, \tilde{Z}\right) = O\left(\max\left\{V(T), TV(T)^2\left(\int_0^T V(x) dx\right)^{-1}\right\}^{\frac{1}{4}}\right).$$

- If  $TV(T) \nrightarrow 0$  and  $TV'(T) \rightarrow 0$ :

$$d_W\left(\frac{F_T}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[F_T]}}, \tilde{Z}\right) = O(\max\{V(T), TV'(T)\}^{\frac{1}{4}}).$$

In fact, it coincides with the rate obtained in [22] for the case  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} |C(t)| dt < \infty$ , when  $V(T) = \frac{1}{T}$  and  $d_W\left(\frac{F_T}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[F_T]}}, \tilde{Z}\right) = O(T^{-\frac{1}{4}})$ .

#### 4.1.1 Examples

According to Theorem 2 the only condition we need to check in order to apply the central limit theorem to  $F_T$  is the decay rate of the covariance function for the underlying stationary Gaussian process  $X_t$  (condition \*). In fact, if the decay rate is  $t^{-\alpha}$  then we can apply the CLT if  $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$ , because in the case  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$  the integral  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} C(t) dt$  is finite and in the case  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  the same integral is infinite but  $V(T) = T^{-\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}^1$  and  $TV'(T) = -\alpha T^{-\alpha} \rightarrow 0$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

##### 1. Fractional Brownian Motion (fBm):

**Definition 1.** A fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1]$  is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. continuous, centered Gaussian process  $(B_t^H)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$  with covariance structure given by

$$\mathbb{E}[B_t^H B_s^H] = \frac{1}{2} \left( |t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H} \right).$$

The fractional Brownian motion enjoys the property of having stationary increments (even if they are not independent), that is,  $B_{t+s}^H - B_s^H \stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} B_t^H$ , for all  $t, s \in \mathbf{R}$  and all  $H \in (0, 1)$ . So, if  $B_t^H$  is fBm then  $X_t = B_{t+1}^H - B_t^H$  is a centered Gaussian stationary process with covariance function given by

$$C_1(T) = \mathbb{E}[X_T X_0] = \mathbb{E}[(B_{T+1}^H - B_T^H)(B_1^H - B_0^H)] = \frac{|T+1|^{2H} + |T-1|^{2H} - 2T^{2H}}{2}.$$

Thus, if  $H > \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{2-2H} C_1(T) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^2 \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{T}\right)^{2H} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{T}\right)^{2H} - 2}{2} = H(2H - 1) = M \in (0, \infty).$$

Then, the decay rate of its covariance function is  $t^{2H-2}$ , i.e.,

- $\int_{\mathbf{R}} |C(t)| dt < \infty$  if  $H \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $\int_{\mathbf{R}} |C(t)| dt = \infty$  if  $H > \frac{1}{2}$ .

Theorem 2 is applicable to the increments of fBm for all  $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , and

$$\tilde{V}(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T (f(X_t) - \mathbb{E}[f(Z)]) dt \xrightarrow{\text{law}} N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma^2) \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

## 2. Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Driven by fBm:

**Definition 2.** The fractional-driven Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process is, in an analogous way to the classical Ornstein-Uhlenbeck, the unique<sup>8</sup> stationary, almost surely continuous, centered Gaussian process  $Y_t^H$  that solves the Langevin stochastic differential equation

$$dY_t^H = -\lambda Y_t^H dt + \tilde{\sigma} dB_t^H,$$

where  $\tilde{\sigma}, \lambda > 0$  are constants, and  $B_t^H$  is a fractional Brownian motion. This (path-wise) solution with initial condition  $Y_0^H = \tilde{\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda u} dB_u^H$  can be written as  $Y_t^H = \tilde{\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\lambda(t-u)} dB_u^H$ , where the integral is the Riemann-Stieltjes integral.

Therefore,  $X_t = Y_t^H - \mathbb{E}[Y_0^H]$  is a centered Gaussian stationary process. In [11], the authors proved the following lemma,

**Lemma 2.** Let  $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$C_2(T) = \mathbb{E}[X_T X_0] = \text{Cov}[Y_T^H, Y_0^H] = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^2}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda^{-2n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{2n-1} (2H - k) \right) T^{2H-2n} + O(T^{2H-2N-2}).$$

This basically tells us that for all  $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  the decay rate of  $C_2(T)$  is very similar to the decay rate of  $C_1(T)$  (the covariance of the fBm increments). Lemma 2 implies that, if  $H > \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{2-2H} C_2(T) = \frac{H(2H-1)\tilde{\sigma}^2}{\lambda^2} = M \in (0, \infty).$$

As in Example 1, due to this rate of decrease, Theorem 2 is applicable to this process for all  $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , and

$$\tilde{V}(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T (f(X_t) - \mathbb{E}[f(Z)]) dt \xrightarrow{\text{law}} N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma^2) \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

According to Remark 8 we can tell that  $F_T := \tilde{V}(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T (f(X_t) - \mathbb{E}[f(Z)]) dt$  in the above examples has a rate of convergence to normality of at least  $T^{(\frac{1 \vee (2H)}{4} - \frac{1}{2})}$  for all  $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , that is, for  $\tilde{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ ,

$$d_W \left( \frac{F_T}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[F_T]}}, \tilde{Z} \right) = O(T^{(\frac{1 \vee (2H)}{4} - \frac{1}{2})}) \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

## 4.2 The Poisson Space Case: Simulation of small jumps

Let  $\{F_t^{(n)}\}$  be a sequence of stochastic processes, and  $\{Z_t\}$  a stochastic process. We say that  $F_t^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{law}} Z_t$  if  $\{F_{t_1}^{(n)}, \dots, F_{t_d}^{(n)}\} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{law}} \{Z_{t_1}, \dots, Z_{t_d}\}$  for any set of times  $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ . In simulating the path of a process  $\{Z_t\}$ , we often need to obtain the value of  $Z_t(\omega)$  for some fixed times  $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_d\}$ , i.e., we need to know the finite-dimensional distribution of  $\{Z_{t_1}, \dots, Z_{t_d}\}$ . If  $F_t^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{law}} Z_t$ , then for  $n$  sufficiently large, one could use  $\{F_{t_1}^{(n)}(\omega), \dots, F_{t_d}^{(n)}(\omega)\}$  in place of  $\{Z_{t_1}(\omega), \dots, Z_{t_d}(\omega)\}$  for simulation.

In [7], the authors proved that the small jumps from a Lévy process can be approximated by Brownian motion. Before this theorem is stated, some notation needs to be introduced: Let  $Z_t$  be a Lévy process with triplet  $(b, \sigma^2, \nu)$ . To isolate the small jumps, consider the variance  $\sigma(\epsilon)^2 = \int_{\{|x| \leq \epsilon\}} x^2 d\nu(x)$  and the small jumps process  $F_t^\epsilon = \sigma(\epsilon)^{-1} \iint_{[0, t] \times \{|x| \leq \epsilon\}} x d\tilde{N}(s, x)$ . Therefore,  $L_t = bt + \sigma W_t + N_t^\epsilon + \sigma(\epsilon) F_t^\epsilon$  where  $N_t^\epsilon = \sum_{s < t} \Delta X_s 1_{\{|\Delta X_s| > \epsilon\}} = \iint_{[0, t] \times \{|x| > \epsilon\}} x d\tilde{N}(s, x)$  is the part of (finitely many) jumps larger than  $\epsilon$ . Their theorem reads as follows:

<sup>8</sup>Any other stationary solution is equal to  $Y_t^H$  in distribution

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\widehat{W}_t$  be a Brownian motion independent of  $W_t$ . Then  $F_t^\epsilon \stackrel{\text{law}}{\approx} \widehat{W}_t$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  if  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = \infty$ .

The importance of this lemma is that  $L_t \stackrel{\text{law}}{\approx} bt + \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sigma(\epsilon)^2} W_t + N_t^\epsilon$  (for  $\epsilon$  small enough), and the latter is rather easy to simulate.

The objective of this subsection is to extend this kind of result to functionals that are not necessarily Lévy. To focus just on the jump part, let's assume, without loss of generality, that the triplet of the Lévy process  $L_t$  is  $(0, 0, \nu)$ , i.e.,  $\nu \not\equiv 0$  and  $\sigma = 0$ . Since we are assuming that  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} x^2 d\nu(x) < \infty$ , then, it can be written as  $L_t = I_1(1_{[0,t]}) = \iint_{[0,t] \times \mathbf{R}} x d\tilde{N}(s, x)$ . A natural generalization of a Levy process (but losing some of its properties) can be given by

$$X_t = I_1(h_t 1_{[0,t]}) = \iint_{[0,t] \times \mathbf{R}} h_t(s, x) x d\tilde{N}(s, x).$$

Define the process  $F_t^\epsilon = I_1(\sigma_t(\epsilon)^{-1} h_t 1_{[0,t] \times \{|x| \leq \epsilon\}}) = \sigma(\epsilon)^{-1} \iint_{[0,t] \times \{|x| \leq \epsilon\}} h_t(s, x) x d\tilde{N}(s, x)$ . This means that  $X_t = N_t^\epsilon + \sigma(\epsilon) F_t^\epsilon$  where  $N_t^\epsilon = I_1(h_t 1_{[0,t] \times \{|x| > \epsilon\}}) = \iint_{[0,t] \times \{|x| > \epsilon\}} h_t(s, x) x d\tilde{N}(s, x)$  has finitely many jumps.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\widehat{W}$  be an isonormal Gaussian process with  $\mathbb{E}[\widehat{W}(f)\widehat{W}(g)] = \int_{\mathbf{R}^+} f(s)g(s) ds$  as its covariance structure. Moreover, suppose that  $h_t(s, x) = h_t(s) \in L^3(0, T)$ . Then  $F_t^\epsilon \stackrel{\text{law}}{\approx} \widehat{W}(h_t)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  if  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = \infty$ .

*Proof.* We need to show that  $F_\epsilon := \{F_{t_1}^\epsilon, \dots, F_{t_d}^\epsilon\} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{law}} \{\widehat{W}(h_{t_1}), \dots, \widehat{W}(h_{t_d})\} =: Z$ , for any set of times  $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_d\}$  with  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note first that  $F_{t_i}$  lies in the first Poisson chaos, hence conditions to use the multidimensional version of Corollary 1 are in place, and all we need to do is to make sure that the five conditions of Remark 7 are satisfied. Moreover,  $\mathbf{D}_{s,x} F_{t_i}^\epsilon = \sigma(\epsilon)^{-1} h_t(s) 1_{[0,t] \times \{|x| \leq \epsilon\}}(s, x)$ , and  $\mathbf{D}_{s,x}^2 F_{t_i}^\epsilon = 0$ , for all  $i$ . This shows that (25) and (26) are trivially fulfilled.

Notice that

$$\|\mathbf{D} F_{t_i}^\epsilon\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 = \|\sigma(\epsilon)^{-1} h_{t_i} 1_{[0,t_i] \times \{|x| \leq \epsilon\}}\|_{\mathbb{L}_\mu^2}^2 = \frac{\int_0^{t_i} (h_{t_i}(s))^2 ds \cdot \int_{\{|x| \leq \epsilon\}} x^2 d\nu(x)}{\sigma(\epsilon)^2} = \int_0^{t_i} (h_{t_i}(s))^2 ds.$$

Hence,  $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{D} F_{t_i}^\epsilon\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4]^{\frac{1}{4}} = \|h_{t_i}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} = O(1)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and condition (23) holds.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle |x|, |\mathbf{D} F_{t_i}^\epsilon|^3 \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}\right] &= \frac{\iint_{[0,t_i] \times \{|x| \leq \epsilon\}} |x h_{t_i}(s)|^3 d\nu(x) ds}{\sigma(\epsilon)^3} = \frac{\int_0^{t_i} |h_{t_i}(s)|^3 ds \cdot \int_{\{|x| \leq \epsilon\}} |x|^3 d\nu(x)}{\sigma(\epsilon)^3} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_0^{t_i} |h_{t_i}(s)|^3 ds \cdot \int_{\{|x| \leq \epsilon\}} x^2 d\nu(x)}{\sigma(\epsilon)^2} \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma(\epsilon)} = \int_0^{t_i} |h_{t_i}(s)|^3 ds \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma(\epsilon)} \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0, \end{aligned}$$

by hypothesis. Therefore, condition (24) is verified.

Finally, using properties of the Itô integral,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}[F_{t_i}^\epsilon, F_{t_j}^\epsilon] &= \mathbb{E}[F_{t_i}^\epsilon F_{t_j}^\epsilon] = \frac{\iint_{[0,t_i] \times \{|x| \leq \epsilon\}} h_{t_i}(s) h_{t_j}(s) x^2 d\nu(x) ds}{\sigma(\epsilon)^2} = \int_0^{t_i \wedge t_j} h_{t_i}(s) h_{t_j}(s) ds \cdot \frac{\int_{\{|x| \leq \epsilon\}} x^2 d\nu(x)}{\sigma(\epsilon)^2} \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\widehat{W}(h_{t_i}) \widehat{W}(h_{t_j})] = \text{Cov}[\widehat{W}(h_{t_i}), \widehat{W}(h_{t_j})]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all  $\epsilon$ ,  $\text{Var}[F_\epsilon] = \Sigma := \text{Var}[Z]$ , corroborating condition (27) and concluding the proof.  $\square$

We have just shown that  $X_t \overset{\text{law}}{\approx} \sigma(\epsilon)\widehat{W}(h_t) + N_t^\epsilon$ , hence a process  $X_t = I_1(h_t 1_{[0,t]})$  with infinitely many jumps can be substituted by a process  $\sigma(\epsilon)\widehat{W}(h_t) + N_t^\epsilon$  with finitely many jumps, for  $\epsilon$  small enough, making it easier to simulate.

**Remark 9.** If  $h_t(s, x)$  is also space dependent, then the previous result does not follow. Nevertheless, we can show that for a fixed  $t$  the random variable  $F_t^\epsilon \xrightarrow{\text{law}} Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  if

$$\frac{\iint_{[0,t] \times \{|x| \leq \epsilon\}} |x h_t(s, x)|^3 d\nu(x) ds}{\tilde{\sigma}_t(\epsilon)^3} \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0, \quad (29)$$

where  $\tilde{\sigma}_t(\epsilon)^2 = \|h_t 1_{[0,t] \times \{|x| \leq \epsilon\}}\|_{\mathbb{L}_\mu^2}^2 = \iint_{[0,t] \times \{|x| \leq \epsilon\}} (h_t(s, x))^2 x^2 d\nu(x) ds$ . Conditions (25) and (26) are again trivially fulfilled. Also  $\text{Var}[F_t^\epsilon] = \|\mathbf{D}F_t^\epsilon\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 = 1$  which satisfies (23) and (27). Hypothesis (29) implies condition (24) is valid.

#### 4.2.1 Example: Fractional Lévy Process

The condition  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = \infty$  may quite easily be verified. In fact, the measure  $d\nu(x) = |x|^{-(2+\delta)} 1_{\{-a \leq x \leq b\}} dx$  for  $\delta \in (-1, 1)$  and  $a, b > 0$  (no jumps bigger than  $b$  or smaller than  $-a$ ) is such that  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = \infty$ . To check this, note that  $\sigma(\epsilon)^2 = \int_{\{|x| \leq \epsilon\}} |x|^2 d\nu(x) = \frac{2\epsilon^{1-\delta}}{(1-\delta)}$ , so  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma(\epsilon)^2}{\epsilon^2} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{2}{(1-\delta)\epsilon^{1+\delta}} = \infty$ . Hence, for the example, assume that the measure  $\nu$  is such that  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = \infty$ .

##### 1. Fractional Lévy Process (fLp):

There are two ways to represent a fractional Brownian motion as an integral of a kernel with respect to Brownian motion (see [17] for a thorough explanation), and both deliver the same process in the sense that both are Gaussian processes with the same covariance structure for  $t \geq 0$ . One is the so-called Mandelbrot-Van Ness representation which is an integral over the whole real line with respect to a two sided Brownian motion. That is, if  $B_t$  is a two-sided Brownian motion, then for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $H \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$B_t^H = \int_{-\infty}^t C_H((t-s)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - (-s)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}}) dB_s,$$

where  $C_H = \frac{(2H \sin(\pi H \Gamma(2H)))}{\Gamma(H+\frac{1}{2})}$  and  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function.

Alternatively, it can be represented in a compact interval by using the so-called Molchan-Golosov representation, that is, for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$B_t^H = \int_0^t K_H(t, s) dB_s,$$

where

$$K_H(t, s) = \begin{cases} c_H^{(1)} \left[ \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} (t-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(H-\frac{1}{2}\right) s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \int_s^t u^{H-\frac{3}{2}} (u-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} du \right], & H < \frac{1}{2} \\ c_H^{(2)} s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \int_s^t (u-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} u^{H-\frac{1}{2}} du, & H > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases},$$

with  $c_H^{(1)} = \sqrt{\frac{2H}{(1-2H)\beta(1-2H, H+1/2)}}$  and  $c_H^{(2)} = \sqrt{\frac{H(2H-1)}{\beta(2-2H, H-1/2)}}$ . Here  $\beta$  denotes the beta function.

In the Lévy case, following this same construction but substituting the Brownian motion with a Lévy process, in [39] the authors prove that these representations imply different processes with very different characteristics. Because of this “non-uniqueness”, the fLp generated by the Mandelbrot-Van Ness representation is called fLpMvN, and the one generated by the Molchan-Golosov representation is called fLpMG.

**Definition 3.** Let  $\mathcal{L}_t$  be a two-sided Lévy process such that  $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}_1] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}_1^2] < \infty$ . For  $H \in (0, 1)$  and all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , the process

$$\mathcal{L}_t^H = \int_{-\infty}^t C_H((t-s)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - (-s)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}}) d\mathcal{L}_s,$$

is the fractional Lévy process with the Mandelbrot-Van Ness transformation (fLpMvN). Furthermore, for  $H \in (0, 1)$  and all  $t \geq 0$ , the process

$$\mathcal{L}_t^H = \int_0^t K_H(t, s) d\mathcal{L}_s,$$

is the fractional Lévy process with the Molchan-Golosov transformation (fLpMG).

It is known that fLp's have the same covariance structure as fBm. The advantage of fLpMvN over fLpMG is that the former is stationary and the latter is not in general, as is shown in [39]. Nevertheless, since fLpMG is derived on a compact interval, Malliavin calculus can be applied to it.

Consider  $\mathcal{L}_t^H$  as an fLpMG, that is,  $\mathcal{L}_t^H = I_1(K_t^H)$  where

$$\langle K_t^H, K_s^H \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} = \frac{1}{2}(|t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}).$$

According to Theorem 3, since  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = \infty$  and  $h_t(s, x) = K_t^H(s)$ , it follows that  $F_t^\epsilon \stackrel{\text{law}}{\approx} \widehat{W}(K_t^H)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . But  $\widehat{W}(K_t^H) = B_t^H$  is a fractional Brownian motion. We conclude that in order to simulate an fLpMG  $X_t$ , we just need to fix  $\epsilon$  small enough, and simulate the finitely many jumps part  $N_t^\epsilon = I_1(K_t^H 1_{\{|x| \geq \epsilon\}})$  along with an (independent) fBm part  $B_t^H = \widehat{W}(K_t^H)$ , because  $\mathcal{L}_t^H \stackrel{\text{law}}{\approx} \sigma(\epsilon)B_t^H + N_t^\epsilon$ .

### 4.3 The Wiener-Poisson space case: Product of O-U processes

Finally, we use the second order Poincaré inequality developed in the combined space to obtain a central limit theorem for mixed processes.

**Definition 4.** Let  $\mathcal{L}_t$  be a Lévy process such that  $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}_1] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}_1^2] < \infty$ . For all  $t \geq 0$ , the process

$$X_t = \sqrt{2\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\lambda(t-u)} d\mathcal{L}_u,$$

is the Lévy Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process.

In particular, for the characteristic triplet  $(0, \sigma, \nu)$ :

- If  $\nu \equiv 0$ ,  $X_t$  is the classic Wiener Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process.
- If  $\sigma = 0$ ,  $X_t$  is the Poisson Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process.

First, notice that if we have a double Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (O-U) process as a sum of a Wiener O-U process  $Y_t$  plus a Poisson O-U process  $Z_t$  (independent of  $Y_t$ ), one can prove in two different ways that the functional  $F_T = T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T (Y_t + Z_t) dt$  converges to a normal random variable as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . The first way is to separate  $F_T$  into the terms  $T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T Y_t dt$  and  $T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T Z_t dt$  and use the NP Bounds in the Wiener space and in the Poisson space respectively to prove that each part goes to a normal. The other method is to use inequality (20) (NP Bound in the Wiener-Poisson space) and just do one computation. The second way is clearly faster (since the kernels are the same). This is one advantage of having the inequality in the combined space.

For our example, let us focus on a process that cannot be tackled by either of the NP Bounds (in the Wiener or Poisson spaces) to prove a CLT. First, for simplicity's sake (to avoid dealing with constants),

assume that the triplet for the underlying Lévy process is given by  $(0, 1, \nu)$ , where  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} x^2 d\nu(x) = 1$ . Moreover, assume that  $\int_{\mathbf{R}_0} x^4 d\nu(x) < \infty$ . Let  $Y_t = \int_0^t \sqrt{2\lambda} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} dW_s$  and  $Z_t = \iint_{[0,t] \times \mathbf{R}_0} \sqrt{2\lambda} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} x d\tilde{N}(s, x)$ , so  $Y_t$  is a Wiener O-U process and  $Z_t$  is a Poisson (pure jump) O-U process. If  $h_t(s) = \sqrt{2\lambda} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} 1_{\{s \leq t\}}$  then the double O-U process mentioned above is just  $Y_t + Z_t = I_1(h_t)$ . Now, define  $h_t^{(0)}(s, x) = h_t(s) 1_{\{x=0\}}(x)$  and  $h_t^{(1)}(s, x) = h_t(s) 1_{\{x \neq 0\}}(x)$ , then  $Y_t = I_1(h_t^{(0)})$  and  $Z_t = I_1(h_t^{(1)})$ . Notice that due to the normalization of the Lévy triplet we have that  $C(t, s) = \left\langle h_t^{(0)}, h_s^{(0)} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\mu^2} = \left\langle h_t^{(1)}, h_s^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\mu^2}$ . The goal of this subsection is to show that  $F_T = T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T (Y_t Z_t) dt \xrightarrow{law} Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma^2)$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $h_t^{(0)}$  and  $h_t^{(1)}$  have disjoint supports (and using the product formula (6)),  $Y_t Z_t = I_2(h_t^{(0)} \tilde{\otimes} h_t^{(1)})$ , and by Fubini  $F_T = I_2(T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbf{V}} h_t^{(0)} \tilde{\otimes} h_t^{(1)} dt)$ . Hence  $F_T$  lies in the 2<sup>nd</sup> chaos. According to Corollary 1 we just need to check conditions (23), (24), (25), (26) and (27).

• **Expectation of the First Derivative Norm:**

– First Malliavin Derivative:

$$\mathbf{D}_z F_T = T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T I_1(h_t^{(0)}) h_t^{(1)}(z) + I_1(h_t^{(1)}) h_t^{(0)}(z) dt.$$

– Norm of the First Malliavin Derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{D}_z F_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 &= T^{-1} \int_{[0,T]^2} \left\langle I_1(h_t^{(0)}) h_t^{(1)}(z) + I_1(h_t^{(1)}) h_t^{(0)}(z), I_1(h_s^{(0)}) h_s^{(1)}(z) + I_1(h_s^{(1)}) h_s^{(0)}(z) \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} dt ds \\ &= T^{-1} \int_{[0,T]^2} I_1(h_t^{(0)}) I_1(h_s^{(0)}) \left\langle h_t^{(1)}, h_s^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} + I_1(h_t^{(1)}) I_1(h_s^{(1)}) \left\langle h_t^{(0)}, h_s^{(0)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} dt ds \\ &= T^{-1} \int_{[0,T]^2} (I_1(h_t^{(0)}) I_1(h_s^{(0)}) + I_1(h_t^{(1)}) I_1(h_s^{(1)})) C(t, s) dt ds, \end{aligned}$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{D}_z F_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4 &\leq 2T^{-2} \left[ \left( \int_{[0,T]^2} I_1(h_t^{(0)}) I_1(h_s^{(0)}) C(t, s) dt ds \right)^2 + \left( \int_{[0,T]^2} I_1(h_t^{(1)}) I_1(h_s^{(1)}) C(t, s) dt ds \right)^2 \right] \\ &= 2T^{-2} \int_{[0,T]^4} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^4 I_1(h_{t_i}^{(0)}) + \prod_{i=1}^4 I_1(h_{t_i}^{(1)}) \right] C(t_1, t_2) C(t_3, t_4) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 dt_4. \end{aligned}$$

– Expectation of the First Derivative Norm:

Notice that by the product formula (6) we have that

$$\prod_{i=1}^4 I_1(h_{t_i}^{(0)}) = \left[ \left\langle h_{t_1}^{(0)}, h_{t_2}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} + I_2(h_{t_1}^{(0)} \tilde{\otimes} h_{t_2}^{(0)}) \right] \left[ \left\langle h_{t_3}^{(0)}, h_{t_4}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} + I_2(h_{t_3}^{(0)} \tilde{\otimes} h_{t_4}^{(0)}) \right],$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^4 I_1(h_{t_i}^{(1)}) = \left[ \left\langle h_{t_1}^{(1)}, h_{t_2}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} + I_1(h_{t_1}^{(1)} \otimes_0^1 h_{t_2}^{(1)}) + I_2(h_{t_1}^{(1)} \tilde{\otimes} h_{t_2}^{(1)}) \right] \left[ \left\langle h_{t_3}^{(1)}, h_{t_4}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} + I_1(h_{t_3}^{(1)} \otimes_0^1 h_{t_4}^{(1)}) + I_2(h_{t_3}^{(1)} \tilde{\otimes} h_{t_4}^{(1)}) \right],$$

so

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^4 I_1(h_{t_i}^{(0)}) \right] = C(t_1, t_2) C(t_3, t_4) + \left\langle h_{t_1}^{(0)} \tilde{\otimes} h_{t_2}^{(0)}, h_{t_3}^{(0)} \tilde{\otimes} h_{t_4}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H} \otimes 2},$$



and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^4 I_1(h_{t_i}^{(1)}) \right] = C(t_1, t_2)C(t_3, t_4) + \left\langle h_{t_1}^{(1)} \otimes_0^1 h_{t_2}^{(1)}, h_{t_3}^{(1)} \otimes_0^1 h_{t_4}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} + \left\langle h_{t_1}^{(1)} \widetilde{\otimes} h_{t_2}^{(1)}, h_{t_3}^{(1)} \widetilde{\otimes} h_{t_4}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}.$$

Also notice that

$$C(t, s) = \int_0^{t \wedge s} 2\lambda e^{-\lambda(t+s-2u)} du = e^{-\lambda|t-s|} - e^{-\lambda(t+s)} \leq e^{-\lambda|t-s|} \leq 1,$$

$$\underbrace{\left\langle h_{t_1}^{(1)} \otimes_0^1 h_{t_2}^{(1)}, h_{t_3}^{(1)} \otimes_0^1 h_{t_4}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}}_{\left\langle x h_{t_1}^{(1)} h_{t_2}^{(1)}, x h_{t_3}^{(1)} h_{t_4}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}} = \int_0^{\min\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_0} x^4 4\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda(t_1+t_2+t_3+t_4-4u)} d\nu(x) du \leq \lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}_0} x^4 d\nu(x),$$

$$\left\langle h_{t_1}^{(0)} \widetilde{\otimes} h_{t_2}^{(0)}, h_{t_3}^{(0)} \widetilde{\otimes} h_{t_4}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} = \left\langle h_{t_1}^{(1)} \widetilde{\otimes} h_{t_2}^{(1)}, h_{t_3}^{(1)} \widetilde{\otimes} h_{t_4}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}} = \frac{C(t_1, t_3)C(t_2, t_4) + C(t_1, t_4)C(t_2, t_3)}{2} \leq 1.$$

Putting all this together we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\mathbf{D}F_T\|_{\mathbb{L}_{\mu}^2}^4 \right] &= 2T^{-2} \int_{[0, T]^4} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^4 I_1(h_{t_i}^{(0)}) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^4 I_1(h_{t_i}^{(1)}) \right] \right) C(t_1, t_2)C(t_3, t_4) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 dt_4 \\ &\leq 2 \left( 4 + \lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}_0} x^4 d\nu(x) \right) \left( T^{-1} \int_{[0, T]^2} C(t, s) dt ds \right)^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left( 4 + \lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}_0} x^4 d\nu(x) \right) \left( T^{-1} \int_{[0, T]^2} e^{-\lambda|t-s|} dt ds \right)^2 \\ &= 2 \left( 4 + \lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}_0} x^4 d\nu(x) \right) \left( 2T^{-1} \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} ds dt \right)^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left( 4 + \lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}_0} x^4 d\nu(x) \right) \left( \frac{2}{\lambda} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

All this proves that

$$\boxed{\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\mathbf{D}F_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4 \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} = O(1) \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.}$$

• **Expectation of the Cube of the First Derivative Norm:**

– Cube of the First Malliavin Derivative:

Since  $h_t^{(0)}(z) \cdot h_s^{(1)}(z) = 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}$  then,

$$|\mathbf{D}F_T|^3 = \left| T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{[0, T]^3} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^3 I_1(h_{t_i}^{(0)}) h_{t_i}^{(1)} + \prod_{i=1}^3 I_1(h_{t_i}^{(1)}) h_{t_i}^{(0)} \right] dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 \right|.$$

– Norm of the Cube of the First Malliavin Derivative:

Since  $x \cdot h_t^{(0)}(z) = 0$  for all  $z = (t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}$  then

$$\left\langle |x|, |\mathbf{D}F_T|^3 \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \leq T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{[0, T]^3} \prod_{i=1}^3 |I_1(h_{t_i}^{(0)})| \left\langle |x|, \prod_{i=1}^3 |h_{t_i}^{(1)}| \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} dt_1 dt_2 dt_3.$$

- Expectation of the Cube of the First Derivative Norm:  
Notice that by Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^3 \left| I_1(h_{t_i}^{(0)}) \right| \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \overbrace{\left( I_1(h_{t_1}^{(0)}) I_1(h_{t_2}^{(0)}) \right)^2}^{\langle h_{t_1}^{(0)}, h_{t_2}^{(0)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} + I_2(h_{t_1}^{(0)} \otimes h_{t_2}^{(0)})} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( I_1(h_{t_3}^{(0)}) \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \left( |C(t_1, t_2)| + \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( I_2(h_{t_1}^{(0)} \otimes h_{t_2}^{(0)}) \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( I_1(h_{t_3}^{(0)}) \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \left( |C(t_1, t_2)| + \left\| h_{t_1}^{(0)} \otimes h_{t_2}^{(0)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H} \otimes 2} \right) \left\| h_{t_3}^{(0)} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}} \leq 2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle |x|, \prod_{i=1}^3 |h_{t_i}^{(1)}| \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} &= \int_0^{\min\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}} (2\lambda)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\lambda(t_1+t_2+t_3-3u)} du \int_{\mathbf{R}_0} |x|^3 d\nu(x) \\
&\leq \frac{2\sqrt{2\lambda}}{3} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}_0} |x|^3 d\nu(x) \right) e^{-\lambda(t_1+t_2+t_3-3\min\{t_1, t_2, t_3\})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting all this together we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle |x|, |DF_T|^3 \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right] &\leq T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{[0, T]^3} \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^3 |I_1(h_{t_i}^{(0)})| \right] \left\langle |x|, \prod_{i=1}^3 |h_{t_i}^{(1)}| \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 \\
&\leq \frac{4\sqrt{2\lambda}}{3} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}_0} |x|^3 d\nu(x) \right) T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{[0, T]^3} e^{-\lambda(t_1+t_2+t_3-3\min\{t_1, t_2, t_3\})} dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 \\
&= \frac{24\sqrt{2\lambda}}{3} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}_0} |x|^3 d\nu(x) \right) T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{-\lambda(t+s-2u)} du ds dt \\
&\leq \frac{4\sqrt{2} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}_0} |x|^3 d\nu(x) \right)}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{T}} = O(T^{-\frac{1}{2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

All this proves that

$$\boxed{\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle |x|, |DF|^3 \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.}$$

- **Expectation of the Contraction Norm:**

- Second Malliavin Derivative:

$$D_{z_1, z_2}^2 F_T = T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T h_t^{(1)}(z_1) h_t^{(0)}(z_2) + h_t^{(0)}(z_1) h_t^{(1)}(z_2) dt.$$

- Contraction of order 1:

$$\begin{aligned}
D^2 F_T \otimes_1 D^2 F_T &= T^{-1} \int_{[0, T]} h_t^{(1)}(z_1) h_s^{(1)}(z_1) \overbrace{\left\langle h_t^{(0)}, h_s^{(0)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}}^{= C(t, s) \leq 1} + h_t^{(0)}(z_1) h_s^{(0)}(z_1) \overbrace{\left\langle h_t^{(1)}, h_s^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}}^{= C(t, s) \leq 1} dt ds \\
&\leq T^{-1} \int_{[0, T]} h_t^{(1)}(z_1) h_s^{(1)}(z_1) + h_t^{(0)}(z_1) h_s^{(0)}(z_1) dt ds.
\end{aligned}$$

– Norm of the Contraction:

$$\|D^2 F_T \otimes_1 D^2 F_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \leq T^{-2} \int_{[0,T]^4} \left\langle h_{t_1}^{(0)} h_{t_2}^{(0)}, h_{t_3}^{(0)} h_{t_4}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} + \left\langle h_{t_1}^{(1)} h_{t_2}^{(1)}, h_{t_3}^{(1)} h_{t_4}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 dt_4.$$

– Expectation of the Contraction Norm:

Notice that

$$\left\langle h_{t_1}^{(0)} h_{t_2}^{(0)}, h_{t_3}^{(0)} h_{t_4}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \left\langle h_{t_1}^{(1)} h_{t_2}^{(1)}, h_{t_3}^{(1)} h_{t_4}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \lambda \left( e^{-\lambda(t_1+t_2+t_3+t_4-4\min\{t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4\})} - e^{-\lambda(t_1+t_2+t_3+t_4)} \right),$$

so

$$\int_{[0,T]^4} \left\langle h_{t_1}^{(i)} h_{t_2}^{(i)}, h_{t_3}^{(i)} h_{t_4}^{(i)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 dt_4 \leq 24\lambda \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^u e^{-\lambda(t+s+u-3v)} dv du ds dt \leq \frac{4T}{\lambda^2}.$$

Putting all this together we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \|D^2 F_T \otimes_1 D^2 F_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right] \leq 2T^{-2} \int_{[0,T]^4} \left\langle h_{t_1}^{(i)} h_{t_2}^{(i)}, h_{t_3}^{(i)} h_{t_4}^{(i)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 dt_4 \leq T^{-2} \frac{8T}{\lambda^2} = \frac{8}{T\lambda^2}.$$

All this proves that

$$\boxed{\mathbb{E} \left[ \|D^2 F_T \otimes_1 D^2 F_T\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.}$$

• **Expectation of the Squared Second Derivative Norm:**

– Square of the Second Malliavin Derivative:

$$(D^2_{z_1, z_2} F_T)^2 = T^{-1} \int_{[0,T]^2} h_t^{(0)}(z_1) h_s^{(0)}(z_1) h_t^{(1)}(z_2) h_s^{(1)}(z_2) + h_t^{(1)}(z_1) h_s^{(1)}(z_1) h_t^{(0)}(z_2) h_s^{(0)}(z_2) dt ds.$$

– Inner Product of the Squared Second Malliavin Derivative:

Like in a previous calculation,  $x \cdot h_t^{(0)} = 0$ , so

$$\langle x, (D^2 F_T)^2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = T^{-1} \int_{[0,T]^2} h_t^{(0)} h_s^{(0)} \overbrace{\left\langle x, h_t^{(1)} h_s^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}}^{\int_{\mathbf{R}_0} x^3 d\nu(x) C(t,s)} dt ds \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}_0} |x|^3 d\nu(x) T^{-1} \int_{[0,T]^2} h_t^{(0)} h_s^{(0)} dt ds.$$

– Expectation of the Squared Second Derivative Norm:

By the earlier computations we have,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \langle x, (D^2 F_T)^2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right] \leq \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}_0} |x|^3 d\nu(x) \right)^2 T^{-2} \int_{[0,T]^4} \left\langle h_{t_1}^{(0)} h_{t_2}^{(0)}, h_{t_3}^{(0)} h_{t_4}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 dt_4 \leq \frac{4}{\lambda^2 T}.$$

All this proves that

$$\boxed{\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \langle x, (D^2 F)^2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.}$$

• **Existence of the Variance:**

Since  $F_T = I_2(T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\cdot \vee}^T h_t^{(0)} \tilde{\otimes} h_t^{(1)} dt)$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}[F_T] &= \left\| T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\cdot \vee}^T h_t^{(0)} \tilde{\otimes} h_t^{(1)} dt \right\|_{\mathfrak{H} \otimes 2}^2 = T^{-1} \int_{[0, T]^2} \left( \int_{s_1 \vee s_2}^T 2\lambda e^{-\lambda(2t-s_1-s_2)} dt \right)^2 ds_1 ds_2 \\
&= T^{-1} \int_{[0, T]^2} (e^{-\lambda|s_1-s_2|} - e^{-\lambda(2T-s_1-s_2)})^2 ds_1 ds_2 \\
&= T^{-1} \int_{[0, T]^2} e^{-2\lambda|s_1-s_2|} - 2e^{-2\lambda(T-s_1 \wedge s_2)} + e^{-2\lambda(2T-s_1-s_2)} ds_1 ds_2 \\
&= T^{-1} \left( \frac{T}{\lambda} - 6 \frac{(1 - e^{-2\lambda T})}{4\lambda^2} + 4Te^{-2\lambda T} + \frac{(1 - e^{-2\lambda T})^2}{4\lambda^2} \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda} + O(T^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

All this proves that,

$$\boxed{\text{Var}[F_T] \rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda} \in (0, \infty) \text{ exists as } T \rightarrow \infty.}$$

Since all five conditions are met, by Corollary 1 we have that  $F_T \xrightarrow{law} Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{\lambda})$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, due to the quantitative property of the inequality, one can estimate (from the computations above) that the rate of convergence to normality is at least  $O(T^{-\frac{1}{4}})$ , i.e.,  $d_{\mathcal{PZ}}(\frac{F_T}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[F_T]}}, Z) = O(T^{-\frac{1}{4}})$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . This rate is similar to the one obtained for the linear functionals of Gaussian-subordinated fields with an underlying process given by the increments of fBm or the fractional-driven O-U, when  $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ .

## References

- [1] D. Applebaum (2004). *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [2] D. Applebaum (2006). *Martingale-valued Measures, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes with Jumps and Operator Self-Decomposability in Hilbert Space*. Séminaire de Probabilités XXXIX, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1874, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pages 171–196.
- [3] D. Applebaum (2006). *Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes with Jumps in Hilbert Space*. 9<sup>th</sup> Int. Vilnius Conf. on Prob. Theory and Math. Stat.
- [4] D. Applebaum (2007). *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Integrals in Banach Spaces*. Probab. Math Statist Vol. 27, No. 1, pages 75–88.
- [5] D. Applebaum (2007). *On The Infinitesimal Generators of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes with Jumps in Hilbert Space*. Potential Analysis Vol. 26, No. 1, pages 79–100.
- [6] D. Applebaum (2009). *Universal Malliavin Calculus in Fock and Lévy-Itô Spaces*. Commun. Stoch. Anal. Vol. 3, pages 119–141.
- [7] S. Asmussen, J. Rosiński (2001). *Approximations of small jumps of Lévy processes with a view towards simulation*. J. Appl. Probab. 38, 482–493.
- [8] R. F. Bass; M. Cranston (1986). *The Malliavin Calculus for Pure Jump Processes and Applications to Local Time*. The Annals of Probability Vol. 14, No. 2, pages 490–532.
- [9] S. Bourguin, G. Peccati (2016). *The Malliavin-Stein method on the Poisson space*. Stochastic Analysis for Poisson Point Processes, Springer, pages 185–228.
- [10] S. Chatterjee and E. Meckes (2007). *Multivariate Normal Approximation Using Exchangeable Pairs*. Preprint.

- [11] P. Cheridito, H. Kawaguchi, M. Maejima (2003). *Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes*. Electronic Journal of Probability, Vol. 8 Paper No. 3, pages 1–14.
- [12] G. Di Nunno, B. Oksendal, F. Proske (2004). *White noise analysis for Lévy processes*. Journal of Functional Analysis Vol. 206, pages 109–148.
- [13] G. Di Nunno, B. Oksendal, F. Proske (2009). *Malliavin Calculus for Lévy Processes with Applications to Finance*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [14] G. Di Nunno (2007). *On Orthogonal Polynomials and the Malliavin Derivative for Lévy Stochastic Measures*. Séminaires et Congrès Vol. 16, pages 55–69.
- [15] Y. Ishikawa, H. Kunita (2006). *Malliavin calculus on the Wiener-Poisson space and its application to canonical SDE with jumps*. Stochastic Processes and their Applications Vol. 116, Pages 1743–1769.
- [16] K. Itô (1956). *Spectral type of the shift transformation of differential processes with stationary increments*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 81, pages 252–263
- [17] C. Jost (2006). *On the connection between Molchan-Golosov and Mandelbrot-Van Ness representations of fractional Brownian motion*. Stochastic Process. Appl. Vol. 116, pages 1341–1357.
- [18] A. M. Kulik (2006). *Malliavin calculus for Lévy processes with arbitrary Lévy measures*. Theor. Probability and Math. Statist. Vol. 72, pages 75–92.
- [19] Y. Lee, H. Shih (2004). *The product formula of multiple Lévy-Itô integrals*. Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica Vol. 32, No. 2, pages 71–95.
- [20] T. Marquardt (2006). *Fractional Lévy processes with an application to long memory moving average processes*. Bernoulli Vol. 12, pages 1090–1126.
- [21] I. Nourdin, G. Peccati (2009). *Stein’s method on Wiener chaos*. Probability Theory Related Fields Vol. 145, pages 75–118.
- [22] I. Nourdin, G. Peccati, G. Reinert (2009). *Second order Poincaré inequalities and CLTs on Wiener space*. Journal of Functional Analysis Vol. 257, pages 593–609.
- [23] I. Nourdin, G. Peccati, A. Réveillac (2010). *Multivariate normal approximation using Stein’s method and Malliavin calculus*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. Vol. 46, pages 45–58.
- [24] I. Nourdin, G. Peccati (2010). *Cumulants on the wiener space*. Journal of Functional Analysis Vol. 258, pages 3775–3791.
- [25] I. Nourdin, G. Peccati (2010). *Stein’s method meets Malliavin calculus: a short survey with new estimates*. Recent Development in Stochastic Dynamics and Stochastic Analysis, Interdisciplinary Mathematical Sciences Vol. 8, World Scientific, pages 207–236.
- [26] D. Nualart, G. Peccati (2005). *Central limit theorems for sequences of multiple stochastic integrals*. The Annals of Probability Vol. 33, pages 177–193.
- [27] D. Nualart (2006). *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition.
- [28] D. Nualart, S. Ortiz-Latorre (2008). *Central limit theorems for multiple stochastic integrals and Malliavin calculus*. Stochastic Process. Appl. Vol. 118, pages 614–628.
- [29] D. Nualart, W. Schoutens (2000). *Chaotic and predictable representations for Lévy processes*. Stochastic Process. Appl. Vol. 90, pages 109–122.
- [30] G. Peccati, C. A. Tudor (2005). *Gaussian limits for vector-valued multiple stochastic integrals*. Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVIII, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1857, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pages 247–262.

- [31] G. Peccati, J. L. Solé, M. S. Taqqu, F. Utzet (2010). *Stein's method and normal approximation of Poisson functionals*. The Annals of Probability Vol. 38, No. 2, pages 443–478.
- [32] G. Peccati, C. Zheng (2010). *Multi-dimensional Gaussian fluctuations on the Poisson space*. Electron. Journal of Probability Vol. 15, No. 48, pages 1487–1527.
- [33] J. Pedersen (2002). *Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes Driven by Lévy Processes*. Journal of Applied Probability Vol. 39, No. 4, pages 748–763.
- [34] E. Petrou (2008). *Malliavin Calculus in Lévy spaces and Applications to Finance*. Electron. Journal of Probability Vol. 13, No. 27, pages 852–879.
- [35] K. Sato (1999). *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [36] J. L. Solé, F. Utzet, J. Vives (2007). *Chaos expansions and Malliavin calculus for Lévy processes*. Stochastic Analysis and Applications, The Abel Symposium, pages 595–612, Springer.
- [37] J. L. Solé, F. Utzet, J. Vives (2007). *Canonical Lévy process and Malliavin calculus*. Stochastic Process. Appl. Vol. 117, pages 165–187.
- [38] J. L. Solé, F. Utzet (2008). *On the orthogonal polynomials associated with a Lévy process*. The Annals of Probability Vol. 38, No. 2, pages 443–478.
- [39] H. Tikanmäki, Y. Mishura (2011). *Fractional Lévy processes as a result of compact interval integral transformation*. Stochastic Analysis and Applications Vol. 29, 1081–1101.