

Singular solutions to the Riemann problem for the pressureless Euler equations with discontinuous source term

Qingling Zhang

School of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Jiangnan University, Wuhan 430056, PR China

Abstract

In this paper, the Riemann problem for the pressureless Euler equations with a discontinuous source term is considered. The delta shock wave solution is obtained by combining the generalized Rankine-Hugoniot conditions together with the method of characteristics for different situations, which reflects the impact of the source term on the delta shock front. Moreover, during the construction process of the Riemann solution, some interesting phenomena are also observed, such as the disappearance of the delta shock wave and the occurrence of the vacuum state, etc.

Keywords: Delta shock wave; vacuum state; Riemann problem; pressureless Euler system; discontinuous source term.

2010 MSC: 35L65, 35L67, 35B25, 90B20

1. Introduction

It is well known that the singular discontinuities (delta shock waves) may develop for a hyperbolic conservation laws, which may result from the initial data or the degeneracy and coincidence of the characteristics. The propagation of such delta shock waves or singular discontinuities may be affected by source terms for nonlinear systems, such as the pressureless Euler system with friction or damping [20] and the (generalized) Chaplygin gas with friction term [21, 27]. In this paper, we are concerned with the pressureless Euler system with discontinuous source term in the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \\ u_t + (\frac{u^2}{2})_x = H(x - s(t))f(x, t, u) + H(s(t) - x)g(x, t, u), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where H is the standard Heaviside function, $f(x, t, u)$ and $g(x, t, u)$ is a given continuous function with respect to x and t , and $\rho > 0$ and u denote the density and the velocity, respectively. From the second equation of (1.1), u is assumed to be a piecewise smooth function with a single jump discontinuity at the curve $x = s(t)$ in the (x, t) plane.

There are also quite a number of physical phenomena that can be described by hyperbolic conservation laws with singular source terms, such as the shallow-water flow of gravity currents [17], atmospheric cold fronts [18] and radiative hydrodynamics [19]. Moreover, the regularization technique has been developed in [28] to deal with hyperbolic conservation laws with time-dependent singular Dirac delta source terms. In the future, we will also consider the pressureless Euler system with singular source term.

The main purpose of this paper is to consider the impact of the inhomogeneous source term $f(x, t, u)$ and $g(x, t, u)$ on the location of delta shock front. In order to give a explicit expression of the delta shock wave curve and to display the effect of the source term, we will take $f(x, t, u) = 0$, $g(x, t, u) = 1$ or $-u$ and $f(x, t, u) = 1$, $g(x, t, u) = -u$ as typical examples to study the system (1.1) with Riemann initial data

$$(\rho, u)(x, 0) = \begin{cases} (\rho_-, u_-), & x < 0, \\ (\rho_+, u_+), & x > 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

With the above discontinuous source terms, the delta shock wave solutions can display some interesting behaviors and the vacuum state occurs in some situations, which may provide some insights into more general source term situations.

Specifically, for $f(x, t, u) = 0$, $g(x, t, u) = 1$ or $-u$, (1.1) is reduce to the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \\ u_t + (\frac{u^2}{2})_x = H(s(t) - x)g(x, t, u), \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

For smooth solutions, (1.3) is equivalen to the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \\ (\rho u)_t + (\rho u^2)_x = H(s(t) - x)\rho g(x, t, u), \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

which is just the generalization of the pressureless Euler system with friction or damping [8, 11, 20]. Recently, we discussed the stability of the Rimann solutions to the the pressureless Euler system with Coulomb-like friction by flux approximation in [35]. For other cases such as $g(x, t, u) = x$ corresponding to [4], we will consider it in the future.

Let us assume $u_- > u_+$, then a singular discontinuous solution (ρ, u) is desired to be found in the domain $-\infty < x < \infty$ and $t > 0$. For the homogeneous pressureless Euler system, there are already many results, see [10, 22, 23, 31, 32] For the homogeneous case $f(x, t, u) = g(x, t, u) = 0$ with $u_- > u_+$, it is well known that the Riemann problem owns a delta shock solution, which should satisfy the following generalized Rankine-Hugoniot condition [22]:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{ds(t)}{dt} = \sigma(t) = u_\delta, \\ \frac{dw(t)}{dt} = \sigma(t)[\rho] - [\rho u], \\ \sigma(t)[u] = [\frac{u^2}{2}], \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

whose Riemann solution can be expressed as

$$(\rho, u)(x, t) = \begin{cases} (\rho_-, u_-), & x < x(t), \\ (w(t), u_\delta(t)), & x = x(t) \\ (\rho_+, u_+), & x > (t). \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

where $x = s(t) = \frac{u_- + u_+}{2}t$, $w(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_- + \rho_+)(u_- - u_+)$ and $\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = \sigma(t) = \frac{u_- + u_+}{2}$ are respectively denote the location, weight and propagation speed of the delta shock wave, and $u_\delta(t)$ indicates the assignment of u on this delta shock wave, and $[\rho] = \rho(x(t) + 0, t) - \rho(x(t) - 0, t)$ denotes the jump of the function ρ across the delta shock wave.

For the inhomogeneous situations, it is worhtwhile to notice that the characteristic curves do not keep straight and u along each of the characteristic curves is not a constant again on one side or both sides of the delta shock front. Furthermore, the source term will act on the delta shock front such that it will also bend and no longer be a straight line again. Thus, the

characteristic curves and the values of u along these characteristic curves should be solved by applying the method of characteristics, and then the generalized Rankine-Hugoniot conditions should be proposed to determine the location of the delta shock wave front. For the Riemann problem for hyperbolic conservation laws, the readers can refer to the standard textbooks such as [1, 25]. Recently, there are many works concentrate on how to use the method of characteristic to solve the Riemann problem for scalar conservation law with discontinuous coefficient or with source term, such as [2, 5, 26]. However, there are very few related works for conservation laws, such as [29]. In this paper and in the future work, we will focus on Riemann problem for conservation laws with discontinuous coefficients or with source terms.

It is clear to see that (1.1) depends on the existence of the delta shock wave. Thus, if the delta shock wave does not exist or the entropy condition cannot hold, then we shall cease to construct the delta shock wave solution. In fact, a delta shock wave is generated for the Riemann problem (1.1) and (1.2) provided that $u_- > u_+$. Some interesting phenomena can be observed about the structural behavior of the delta shock wave in the Riemann solution to (1.1) and (1.2).

Obviously, the second equation of (1.1) is a special type of the quasilinear hyperbolic equations

$$u_t + F(x, t, u)_x = G(x, t, u). \quad (1.7)$$

The weak solution to the Cauchy problem to the scalar situation for (1.7) was first studied in [14] and [30]. For the general form $F(x, t, u)$ and $G(x, t, u)$, the situations are more complicated and still far from a complete description of Riemann solutions. Therefore, some special choices of $F(x, t, u)$ and $G(x, t, u)$ are taken to study the possible structures and asymptotic profiles of solutions. The particular Riemann problem (1.5) and (1.2) has been extensively studied, such as in [24] for $F(x, t, u) = F(u)$ and $G(x, t, u) = G(u)$, in [6, 9, 12] for $F(x, t, u) = F(u)$ and $G(x, t, u) = a'(x)u$, and in [7, 13] for $F(x, t, u) = F(u)$ and $G(x, t, u) = a'(x)$, where $a(x)$ is usually assumed to be discontinuous at $x = 0$ and its derivative is also a Dirac delta measure such that a standing wave discontinuity is developed on the line $x = 0$. For more cases about the hyperbolic conservation laws with source terms, the author can see in [15, 16] for $F(x, t, u) = F(u)$ and $G(x, t, u) = c(x - \alpha t)g(u)$ where α is the speed of the source, in [3] for $F(x, t, u) = F(x, u)$ and $G(x, t, u) = s(t)\delta(x)$ where the source models the inlet, etc. The author can also refer to [33, 34] for the related results about the Burgers equation with source terms.

Recently, Fang et al. [5] have investigated the Riemann problem for the Burgers equation with a discontinuous source term as follows:

$$u_t + \left(\frac{u^2}{2}\right)_x = g(x, t), \quad (1.8)$$

where $g(x, t) = g^- H(-x) + g^+ H(x)$ and g^-, g^+ are two constants. The Riemann problem (1.8) and (1.2) have been constructed completely in [5] where some interesting phenomena were observed there. Moreover, they have also studied the behavior of the shock waves in a 2×2 balance law with discontinuous source terms. In this paper, similar to [36], we assume that the discontinuity of the source term always accompanies with the location of the delta shock front, so we only need to concern the delta shock wave solution to the Riemann problem (1.1) and (1.2). Moreover, we find that this assumption in our paper simplifies our study greatly which enable us to explicitly construct the delta shock wave solution to Riemann problem (1.1) and (1.2) and furthermore clearly display the effect of the source term on the delta shock wave.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the generalized Rankine-Hugoniot condition for (1.1) is derived in order to be selfcontained. In Section 3, the Riemann problem for (1.1) and (1.2) is considered and the delta shock wave solution is constructed when $u_- > u_+$, $f(x, t, u) = 0$ and $g(x, t, u) = 1$ or $-u$. In Section 4, the delta shock wave solution to the Riemann problem (1.1) and (1.2) is constructed when $u_- > u_+$, $f(x, t, u) = 1$ and $g(x, t, u) = -u$. Finally, the discussions are carried out and the conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2. The generalized Rankine-Hugoniot jump conditions

For a nonlinear system of hyperbolic conservation laws with a source term which is discontinuous at the location of the shock front, Montgomery and Moodie [17] proposed a generalized Rankine-Hugoniot jump condition to determine the shock front. For the case when the source term is continuous, Shen [20] give the generalized Rankine-Hugoniot jump condition for the delta shock wave front. In this paper, we only restrict ourselves to the particular form (1.1) for the convenience of study.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that*

$$(\rho, u)(x, t) = \begin{cases} (\rho_l, u_l), & x < s(t), \\ (w(t), u_\delta(t)), & x = s(t) \\ (\rho_r, u_r), & x > s(t), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

is a delta shock wave solution of (1.1) which has a single jump discontinuity at the position of the delta shock front $x = s(t)$. Then, at $x = s(t)$, the jump conditions (1.5) still hold and the following δ -entropy condition is satisfied:

$$u(s(t) + 0) < \frac{ds}{dt} < u(s(t) - 0). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof We will only prove the third identity of (1.5). For the proof of the others, one can refer to [22]. Let us assume that Γ is the delta shock wave curve. Then, we use $\Omega_- : (x, t) | x < s(t)$ to denote the left-hand side region of Γ and $\Omega_+ : \{(x, t) | x > s(t)\}$ to denote the right-hand side region of Γ . It is clear to see from (1.1) that we have

$$u_t + \left(\frac{u^2}{2}\right)_x = g(x, t, u), \quad \text{in } \Omega_-, \quad (2.3)$$

$$u_t + \left(\frac{u^2}{2}\right)_x = f(x, t, u), \quad \text{in } \Omega_+. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $\varphi(t) \in C_c^\infty(R_+^2)$ be a test function, such that the following equality

$$\langle u_t + \left(\frac{u^2}{2}\right)_x, \varphi \rangle = \langle H(x - s(t))f(x, t, u) + H(s(t) - x)g(x, t, u), \varphi \rangle \quad (2.5)$$

should hold in the sense of distributions. It is clear to see that the left-hand side of (2.5) can be calculated by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_t + \left(\frac{u^2}{2}\right)_x, \varphi \rangle &= -\langle u, \varphi_t \rangle - \langle \frac{u^2}{2}, \varphi_x \rangle \\ &= -\int \int_{\Omega_-} \left(u\varphi_t + \frac{u^2}{2}\varphi_x\right) dx dt - \int \int_{\Omega_+} \left(u\varphi_t + \frac{u^2}{2}\varphi_x\right) dx dt \\ &= -\int \int_{\Omega_-} \left((u\varphi)_t + \left(\frac{u^2}{2}\varphi\right)_x\right) dx dt + \int \int_{\Omega_-} g\varphi dx dt \\ &\quad - \int \int_{\Omega_+} \left((u\varphi)_t + \left(\frac{u^2}{2}\varphi\right)_x\right) dx dt + \int \int_{\Omega_+} f\varphi dx dt \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega_-} \frac{u^2}{2}\varphi dt - u\psi dx + \int \int_{\Omega_-} g\varphi dx dt \\ &\quad \int_{\partial\Omega_+} \frac{u^2}{2}\varphi dt - u\psi dx + \int \int_{\Omega_+} f\varphi dx dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty (\sigma[u] - [\frac{u^2}{2}])\varphi dt + \int \int_{\Omega_-} g\varphi dx dt + \int \int_{\Omega_+} f\varphi dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

in which (2.3) and (2.4) have been used.

On the other hand, the right-hand side of (2.5) can be calculated by

$$\langle H(x - s(t))f(x, t, u) + H(s(t) - x)g(x, t, u), \varphi \rangle = \int \int_{\Omega_-} g \varphi dx dt + \int \int_{\Omega_+} f \varphi dx dt. \quad (2.7)$$

For φ is arbitrary, then the third identity of (1.5) can be obtained by combining (2.6) with (2.7) together.

3. The situation for $f(x, t, u) = 0$ or $g(x, t, u) = 0$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $f(x, t, u) = 0$ and $g(x, t, u) \neq 0$. Specially, for simplicity and practice meaning in [8, 11, 20], we take $g(x, t, u) = 1$ or $-u$ in the following two subsections.

3.1. The situation for $g(x, t, u) = 1$

In this subsection, we consider the Riemann problem (1.1) and (1.2) for the situation $f(x, t, u) = 0$ and $g(x, t, u) = 1$. When $u_- > u_+$, it is clear to see that the Riemann problem (1.1) and (1.2) has a delta shock solution with a single jump discontinuity across the path $x = s(t)$, which crosses the x -axis at $s(0) = 0$ and satisfies the generalized Rankine-Hongniot condition (1.5). So the the Riemann problem (1.1) and (1.2) can be found by considering the problem on either side of the delta shock using the method of characteristics and then implementing the generalized Rankine-Hongniot condition (1.5) to determine the position of the delta shock front.

Theorem 3.1. *For the situation $f(x, t, u) = 0$ and $g(x, t, u) = 1$, if $u_- > u_+$, then the Riemann solution to (1.1) and (1.2) is a delta shock solution which can be express as*

$$(\rho, u)(x, t) = \begin{cases} (\rho_-, u_- + t), & x < s(t), \\ (w(t), u_\delta(t)), & x = s(t) \\ (\rho_+, u_+), & x > s(t), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

with

$$s(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{2}(u_- + u_+)t, \quad (3.2)$$

$$w(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_- + \rho_+)\left\{\frac{1}{2}t^2 + (u_- - u_+)t\right\}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$u_\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2}(u_- + u_+ + t). \quad (3.4)$$

Furthermore, the delta shock exists for all the time by taking into account the entropy condition.

Proof If $x > s(t)$, then we have $H(s(t) - x) = 0$ and the initial value problem (1.1) and (1.2) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \\ u_t + uu_x = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

with initial condition

$$(\rho, u)(x_0, 0) = (\rho_+, u_+), \text{ where } x_0 > s(0) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

It is obvious to see that the problem (3.5) and (3.6) has a trivial solution as

$$(\rho, u)(x, t) = (\rho_+, u_+), \text{ for all } x > s(t), t \geq 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Thus, if $x_0 > 0$, then the characteristic curve starting from the initial point $(x_0, 0)$ on the x -axis can be calculated by

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = u, \text{ with } x|_{t=0} = x_0, \quad (3.8)$$

which implied that

$$x = u_+ t + x_0. \quad (3.9)$$

On the other hand, if $x < s(t)$, then we have $H(s(t) - x) = 1$ and the initial value problem (1.1) and (1.2) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \\ u_t + uu_x = 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

with initial condition

$$(\rho, u)(x_0, 0) = (\rho_-, u_-), \text{ where } x_0 < s(0) = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

By the method of characteristics, it is obvious to see that the problem (3.10) and (3.11) has a trivial solution as

$$(\rho, u)(x, t) = (\rho_-, u_- + t), \text{ for all } x < s(t), t \geq 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Thus, if $x_0 < 0$, then the characteristic curve starting from the initial point $(x_0, 0)$ on the x -axis can be calculated by

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = u_- + t, \text{ with } x|_{t=0} = x_0, \quad (3.13)$$

which implied that

$$x = \frac{1}{2}t^2 + u_- t + x_0. \quad (3.14)$$

To connect the solutions of (3.7) and (3.12) as a delta shock solution to the Riemann problem to (1.1) and (1.2), the generalized Rankine-Hongniot condition (1.5) should be imposed at the position of the delta shock front $x = s(t)$ as follows:

$$\frac{ds}{dt}(u_+ - (u_- + t)) = \frac{1}{2}(u_+^2 - (u_- + t)^2), \quad (3.15)$$

namely, we have

$$u_\delta(t) = \sigma(t) = \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}(u_- + u_+ + t). \quad (3.16)$$

With $s(0) = 0$ in mind, we can get the expression of the delta shock front (3.2).

Moreover, the weight of the delta shock can be get from the second equality of (1.5) with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw(t)}{dt} &= \sigma(t)(\rho_+ - \rho_- - (\rho_+ u_+ - \rho_-(u_- + t))) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(u_- + u_+ + t)(\rho_+ - \rho_- - (\rho_+ u_+ - \rho_-(u_- + t))) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\rho_+ + \rho_-)(u_- - u_+ + t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

from which we get (3.3).

Since the δ -entropy condition

$$u_+ < \frac{ds}{dt} < u_- + t, \text{ for } t \geq 0, \quad (3.18)$$

is always satisfied, so the the delta shock always exists. The proof is completed.

Now we are in the position to consider the path of the delta shock wave for the Riemann problem (1.1) and (1.2). For the homogeneous situation, the path of the delta shock wave is a straight line with the slope of $\frac{u_- + u_+}{2}$. For the inhomogeneous situation with $g(x, t, u) = 1$, the source term will make the delta shock front bend. From (3.16) we have

$$\frac{ds}{dt} \big|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(u_- + u_+), \quad (3.19)$$

which implies that the speed of the delta shock wave for inhomogeneous situation with $g(x, t, u) = 1$ is identical with that of the homogeneous situation in the beginning.

In order to describe the effect of the forcing term in detail, the discussion should be divided into the following two cases.

Case 1 If $u_- + u_+ \geq 0$, taking into account to $u_- > u_+$, it is easy to get $u_- > 0$. Moreover, we can get $\frac{ds}{dt} > 0$ and $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{2} > 0$ for any $t > 0$ from (3.16). In other words, $s(t)$ is always convex and increases along with t such that the delta shock wave should always move forward. Let us draw Fig.1(a) and Fig.1(b) for the situations $u_- > u_+ > 0$ and $u_- > 0 \geq u_+$ respectively.

Case 2 If $u_- + u_+ < 0$, taking into account to $u_- > u_+$, it is easy to get $u_+ < 0$, and from (3.19) the delta shock wave has a negative speed in the beginning. Since $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{2} > 0$ for any $t > 0$ from (3.16), $s(t)$ is always convex, which implies that the speed of the delta shock wave speeds up. It is clear that there exists a time $t_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(u_- + u_+)$, such that $\frac{ds}{dt} \big|_{t=t_1} = 0$. In other words, the delta shock moves backward for $0 < t < t_1$ and moves forward for $t > t_1$. It follows from (3.2) that there also exists a time $t_2 = -(u_- + u_+)$ such that $s(t_2) = 0$, which means that the delta shock front intersects with the t -axis at the time t_2 . Let us draw Fig.1(c) and Fig.1(d) for the situations $u_- \geq 0 > u_+$ and $0 > u_- > u_+$ respectively, where $t = t_1^*$ is the symmetry axis of the characteristic curves on the right hand side of the delta shock waves.




Fig.1 The delta shock wave solution to (1.1) and (1.2) when $f(x, t, u) = 0$ and $g(x, t, u) = 1$

Remark 3.1. Similarly, we can consider the situation $g(x, t, u) = -1$.

3.2. The situation for $g(x, t, u) = -u$

In this subsection, we consider the Riemann problem (1.1) and (1.2) for the situation $f(x, t, u) = 0$ and $g(x, t, u) = -u$. Similar to subsection 3.1, we have the following theorem to depict the delta shock wave solution to the Riemann problem (1.1) and (1.2) when $u_- > u_+$.

Theorem 3.2. *For the situation $f(x, t, u) = 0$ and $g(x, t, u) = -u$, if $u_- > u_+$, then the Riemann solution to (1.1) and (1.2) is a delta shock solution which can be express as*

$$(\rho, u)(x, t) = \begin{cases} (\rho_-, u_- e^{-t}), & x < s(t), \\ (w(t), u_\delta(t)), & x = s(t) \\ (\rho_+, u_+), & x > s(t), \end{cases} \quad (3.20)$$

with

$$s(t) = \frac{1}{2}(u_-(1 - e^{-t}) + u_+ t), \quad (3.21)$$

$$w(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_- + \rho_+)(u_-(1 - e^{-t}) - u_+ t), \quad (3.22)$$

$$u_\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2}(u_- e^{-t} + u_+). \quad (3.23)$$

Furthermore, the delta shock exists for all the time by taking into account the entropy condition.

Proof If $x > s(t)$, then we have $H(s(t) - x) = 0$. Similar to subsection 3.1, we have

$$(\rho, u)(x, t) = (\rho_+, u_+), \text{ for all } x > s(t), t \geq 0. \quad (3.24)$$

And if $x_0 > 0$, then the characteristic curve starting from the initial point $(x_0, 0)$ on the x -axis is

$$x = u_+ t + x_0. \quad (3.25)$$

On the other hand, if $x < s(t)$, then we have $H(s(t) - x) = 1$ and the initial value problem (1.1) and (1.2) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \\ u_t + u u_x = -u, \end{cases} \quad (3.26)$$

with initial condition

$$(\rho, u)(x_0, 0) = (\rho_-, u_-), \text{ where } x_0 < s(0) = 0. \quad (3.27)$$

By the method of characteristics, it is obvious to see that the problem (3.26) and (3.27) has a trivial solution as

$$(\rho, u)(x, t) = (\rho_-, u_- e^{-t}), \text{ for all } x < s(t), t \geq 0. \quad (3.28)$$

Similarly, if $x_0 < 0$, then the characteristic curve starting from the initial point $(x_0, 0)$ on the x -axis can be calculated by

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = u_- e^{-t}, \text{ with } x|_{t=0} = x_0, \quad (3.29)$$

which implied that

$$x = u_-(1 - e^{-t}) + x_0. \quad (3.30)$$

It is clear to see that for some situation, after some time t the delta shock wave will disappear for the reason that the δ -entropy condition cannot be satisfied. However, for a sufficiently small

time t , the delta shock wave may exist and should satisfy the generalized Rankine-Hongniot condition (1.5), so we have

$$\frac{ds}{dt}(u_+ - u_- e^{-t}) = \frac{1}{2}(u_+^2 - (u_- e^{-t})^2), \quad (3.31)$$

namely, we have

$$u_\delta(t) = \sigma(t) = \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}(u_- e^{-t} + u_+). \quad (3.32)$$

So (3.23) is obtained. With $s(0) = 0$ in mind, we can get the expression of the delta shock front (3.21).

Moreover, the weight of the delta shock can be get from the second equality of (1.5) with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw(t)}{dt} &= \sigma(t)(\rho_+ - \rho_-) - (\rho_+ u_+ - \rho_- u_- e^{-t}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(u_- e^{-t} + u_+)(\rho_+ - \rho_-) - (\rho_+ u_+ - \rho_- u_- e^{-t}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\rho_+ + \rho_-)(u_- e^{-t} - u_+), \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

from which we get (3.32) with $w(0) = 0$.

It can be derived easily from (3.32) that

$$\frac{d^2 s}{dt^2} = -\frac{1}{2}u_- e^{-t}. \quad (3.34)$$

If the delta shock exists, the following δ -entropy condition

$$u_+ < \frac{ds}{dt} < u_- e^{-t}, \quad (3.35)$$

should be satisfied.

It follows from (3.22) that

$$\frac{ds}{dt} \big|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(u_- + u_+), \quad (3.36)$$

which means that the speed of the delta shock waves for the inhomogeneous and the homogeneous situations are indential and the δ -entropy condition (3.35) is satisfied in the beginning for $u_- > u_+$ when $g(x, t, u) = -u$.

Since

$$\frac{ds}{dt} - u_+ = u_- e^{-t} - \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}(u_- e^{-t} - u_+), \quad (3.37)$$

for simplicity, we introduce the notation

$$p(t) = u_- e^{-t} - u_+. \quad (3.38)$$

Differentiate (3.38) with respect to t yields

$$p'(t) = -u_- e^{-t}, \quad p''(t) = u_- e^{-t}. \quad (3.39)$$

It follow from (3.38)

$$p(0) = u_- - u_+ > 0. \quad (3.40)$$

In order to check the inequality (3.35), our discussions should be divided into four cases according to the values of u_- and u_+ as follows.

- (1) If $u_- > 0 \geq u_+$, then $p'(t) < 0$ and $p''(t) > 0$ $t \geq 0$, so $p(t)$ is convex and strictly decreasing. Moreover, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t) = -u_+$, which implies $y = p(t)$ has the line $y = -u_+$ as its asymptotic line in the (t, y) -plane. So $p(t) > -u_+ \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$, which means that the δ -entropy condition (3.35) always holds for $t \geq 0$ when $u_- > 0 \geq u_+$ and the delta shock wave always exists.
- (2) If $u_- > u_+ > 0$, it is easy to see that $p'(t) < 0$ and $p''(t) > 0$ for $t \geq 0$. Since $p(0) > 0$, there exist a unique t_3 such that $p(t_3) = 0$, i.e. $t_3 = \ln \frac{u_-}{u_+}$. Moreover, it is easy to get that $p(t) > 0$ for $0 \leq t < t_3$ and $p(t) < 0$ for $t > t_3$. Thus the δ -entropy condition (3.35) holds for $0 \leq t < t_3$ when $u_- > 0 \geq u_+$ and the delta shock wave disappears at the time $t = t_3$.
- (3) If $u_- < 0$, then $p'(t) > 0$ and $p''(t) < 0$ $t \geq 0$, so $p(t)$ is concave and strictly increasing. So $p(t) > p(0) > 0$ for $t \geq 0$, which means that the δ -entropy condition (3.35) always holds for $t \geq 0$ when $u_- < 0$ and the delta shock wave always exists.
- (4) If $u_- = 0 > u_+$, then $p(t) = -u_+ > 0$ for $t \geq 0$, which means that the δ -entropy condition (3.35) always holds for $t \geq 0$ when $u_- = 0 > u_+$ and the delta shock wave always exists. The proof is completed.

For the nonhomogeneous situation $g(x, t, u) = -u$, the discussion about the path of the delta shock wave for the Riemann problem (1.1) and (1.2) can be carried out like as before and should be divided into the following two cases.


Case 1 If $u_- + u_+ > 0$, taking into account to $u_- > u_+$, it is easy to get $u_- > 0$. So $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} < 0$ for $t \geq 0$, which means that the delta shock wave curve is always concave and the speed of the delta shock wave slows down. In the following, there are three subcases needed to be considered.

- (i) If $u_- > u_+ > 0$, from the result obtained in (2), the delta shock wave disappears at the time t_3 and $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_3} = u_+ = u_-e^{-t_3} > 0$. Taking account into $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} < 0$ for $t \geq 0$, we have $\frac{ds}{dt} > \frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_3} > 0$ for $0 < t < t_3$. So the delta shock wave curve is concave and $s(t)$ increases along with t until it reaches the time t_3 . Moreover, $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_3} = u_+ = u_-e^{-t_3}$, so the delta shock wave curve is tangent with the characteristic curves at the time t_3 on both sides of it and then disappears. After the time t_3 the vacuum occurs. We can draw Fig.2(a) to depict this situation.
- (ii) If $u_- > 0 > u_+$, from the result obtained in (1), the delta shock wave always exists for $t > 0$. It is easy to get $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}u_+ < 0$. Taking account into $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=0} > 0$ and $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} < 0$ for $t \geq 0$, there exist a unique t_4 such that $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_4} = 0$, i.e. $t_4 = \ln -\frac{u_-}{u_+}$. Moreover, $\frac{ds}{dt} > 0$ for $0 < t < t_4$ and $\frac{ds}{dt} < 0$ for $t > t_4$. So the delta shock wave curve is always concave, moves forward for $0 < t < t_4$, changes its direction at the time $t = t_4$ and moves backward for $t > t_4$. Moreover, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} s(t) = -\infty$, so the delta shock wave curve intersects with the t -axis at the time \hat{t} such that $s(\hat{t}) = 0$. We can draw Fig.2(b) to depict this situation.
- (iii) If $u_- > 0 = u_+$, from the result obtained in (1), the delta shock wave always exists for $t > 0$. Moreover, $\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}u_-e^{-t} > 0$ and $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} = -\frac{1}{2}u_-e^{-t} < 0$ for $t \geq 0$. So the delta shock wave curve is always concave and moves forward for $t > 0$. Furthermore, the delta shock wave front never intersects with the t -axis since $s(t) = \frac{1}{2}u_-(1 - e^{-t}) > 0$ for $t > 0$. We can draw Fig.2(c) to depict this situation.

Case 2 If $u_- + u_+ \leq 0$, taking into account to $u_- > u_+$, it is easy to get $u_+ < 0$. In the following, there are three subcases needed to be considered.

- (i) If $u_- > 0 > u_+$, from the result obtained in (1), the delta shock wave always exists for $t > 0$. It is easy to get $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}u_+ < 0$. Taking account into $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=0} \leq 0$ and $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} < 0$ for $t > 0$, we have $\frac{ds}{dt} < 0$ for $t > 0$. So the delta shock wave curve is always concave and moves backward for $t > 0$. Moreover, the delta shock wave curve front never intersects with the t -axis. We can draw Fig.2(d) to depict this situation.
- (ii) If $u_+ < u_- < 0$, from the result obtained in (3), the delta shock wave always exists for $t > 0$. It is easy to get $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}u_+ < 0$. Taking account into $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=0} \leq 0$ and $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} > 0$ for $t \geq 0$, we have $\frac{ds}{dt} < \frac{1}{2}u_+ < 0$ for $t > 0$. So the delta shock wave curve is always convex and moves backward for $t > 0$. Moreover, the delta shock wave front never intersects with the t -axis since $s(t) = \frac{1}{2}(u_-(1 - e^{-t}) + u_+t) < 0$ for $t > 0$. We can draw Fig.2(e) to depict this situation.
- (iii) If $u_- = 0 > u_+$, from the result obtained in (4), the delta shock wave always exists for $t > 0$. The delta shock wave curve is $s(t) = \frac{1}{2}u_+t$ for $t > 0$. We can draw Fig.2(f) to depict this situation.

Remark 3.2. Similarly, we can consider the situation $g(x, t, u) = u$.






Fig.2 The delta shock wave solution to (1.1) and (1.2) when $f(x, t, u) = 0$ and $g(x, t, u) = -u$.

4. The situation for $f(x, t, u) \neq 0$ and $g(x, t, u) \neq 0$

In this section, we consider the case that $f(x, t, u) \neq 0$, $g(x, t, u) \neq 0$ and $f(x, t, u) \neq g(x, t, u)$. For simplicity, we take $f(x, t, u) = 1$ and $g(x, t, u) = -u$ to display how the delta shock front develop under the effect of the source term. Similar to subsection 3.1, we have the following theorem to depict the delta shock wave solution to the Riemann problem (1.1) and (1.2) when $u_- > u_+$.

Theorem 4.1. *For the situation $f(x, t, u) = 1$ and $g(x, t, u) = -u$, if $u_- > u_+$, then the Riemann solution to (1.1) and (1.2) is a delta shock solution which can be express as*

$$(\rho, u)(x, t) = \begin{cases} (\rho_-, u_- e^{-t}), & x < s(t), \\ (w(t), u_\delta(t)), & x = s(t) \\ (\rho_+, u_+ t), & x > s(t), \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

with

$$s(t) = \frac{1}{2}(u_-(1 - e^{-t}) + u_+ t + \frac{1}{2}t^2), \quad (4.2)$$

$$w(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_- + \rho_+)(u_-(1 - e^{-t}) - u_+ t - \frac{1}{2}t^2), \quad (4.3)$$

$$u_\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2}(u_- e^{-t} + u_+ + t). \quad (4.4)$$

Furthermore, the delta shock disappears in finite time.

For $x < s(t)$, similar to Section 3.2, we have

$$x = u_-(1 - e^{-t}) + x_0, \text{ for } t \geq 0, x_0 < 0. \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$(\rho, u)(x, t) = (\rho_-, u_- e^{-t}), \text{ for all } x < s(t), t \geq 0. \quad (4.6)$$

For $x > s(t)$, similar to Section 3.1, we have

$$x = \frac{1}{2}t^2 + u_+ t + x_0, \text{ for } t \geq 0, x_0 > 0. \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$(\rho, u)(x, t) = (\rho_+, u_+ + t), \text{ for all } x > s(t), t \geq 0. \quad (4.8)$$

It is clear to see that after some time t the delta shock wave will disappear for the reason that the δ -entropy condition cannot be satisfied. However, for a sufficiently small time t , the delta shock wave may exist and should satisfy the generalized Rankine-Hongniot condition (1.5), so we have

$$\frac{ds}{dt}(u_+ + t - u_- e^{-t}) = \frac{1}{2}(u_+ + t^2 - (u_- e^{-t})^2), \quad (4.9)$$

namely, we have

$$u_\delta(t) = \sigma(t) = \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}(u_- e^{-t} + u_+ + t). \quad (4.10)$$

So (4.4) is obtained. With $s(0) = 0$ in mind, we can get the expression of the delta shock front (4.2) in finite time.

Moreover, the weight of the delta shock can be get from the second equality of (1.5) with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw(t)}{dt} &= \sigma(t)(\rho_+ - \rho_-) - (\rho_+(u_+ + t) - \rho_- u_- e^{-t}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(u_- e^{-t} + u_+ + t)(\rho_+ - \rho_-) - (\rho_+(u_+ + t) - \rho_- u_- e^{-t}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\rho_+ + \rho_-)(u_- e^{-t} - (u_+ + t)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

from which we get (4.3) with $w(0) = 0$.

It can be derived easily from (4.10) that

$$\frac{d^2 s}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_- e^{-t}). \quad (4.12)$$

If the delta shock exists, the following δ -entropy condition

$$u_+ + t < \frac{ds}{dt} < u_- e^{-t}, \quad (4.13)$$

should be satisfied.

It follows from (4.10) that

$$\frac{ds}{dt} \big|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(u_- + u_+), \quad (4.14)$$

which means that the speed of the delta shock waves for the inhomogeneous and the homogeneous situations are identical and the δ -entropy condition (4.13) is satisfied in the beginning for $u_- > u_+$ when $f(x, t, u) = 1$ and $g(x, t, u) = -u$.

Since

$$\frac{ds}{dt} - (u_+ + t) = u_- e^{-t} - \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}(u_- e^{-t} - (u_+ + t)), \quad (4.15)$$

for simplicity, we introduce the notation

$$q(t) = u_- e^{-t} - (u_+ + t). \quad (4.16)$$

Differentiate (4.16) with respect to t yields

$$q'(t) = -u_- e^{-t} - 1, \quad q''(t) = u_- e^{-t}. \quad (4.17)$$

It follow from (4.16)

$$q(0) = u_- - u_+ > 0. \quad (4.18)$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} q(t) = -\infty$, there exists $t_5 > 0$ such that $q(t_5) = 0$, i.e., $u_- e^{-t_5} - (u_+ + t_5) = 0$. Next, we will prove that t_5 is unique and $q(t) > 0$ for $0 < t < t_5$. our discussions should be divided into two cases according to the values of u_- and u_+ as follows.

- (1) If $u_- \geq 0$, then $q'(t) < 0$, which means that $q(t)$ is strictly decreasing for $t \geq 0$. Obviously, t_5 is unique and $q(t) > q(t_5) = 0$ for $0 < t < t_5$.
- (2) If $u_- < 0$, since $q'(0) = -u_- - 1$, then it should be divided into the following two subcases.
 - (2a) If $-1 \leq u_- < 0$, then $q'(0) \leq 0$. Since $p''(t) < 0$ for $t \geq 0$, so $q'(t) < q'(0) \leq 0$, which means that $q(t)$ is strictly decreasing for $t \geq 0$. Obviously, t_5 is unique and $q(t) > q(t_5) = 0$ for $0 < t < t_5$.
 - (2b) If $u_- < -1$, then $q'(0) > 0$. Since $q''(t) < 0$ for $t \geq 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} q'(t) = -1 < 0$, there exists $\tilde{t} > 0$ such that $q'(\tilde{t}) = 0$. Moreover, $q'(t) > q'(0) > 0$ for $0 < t < \tilde{t}$ and $q'(t) < q'(\tilde{t}) = 0$ for $t > \tilde{t}$. Since $q(0) > 0$, we have $q(t) > 0$ for $0 < t \leq \tilde{t}$. Since $q(t_5) = 0$, we conclude that $t_5 > \tilde{t}$. Combining with $q'(t) < 0$ for $t > \tilde{t}$, which means that $q(t)$ is strictly decreasing for $t > \tilde{t}$, we can claim that t_5 is unique and $q(t) > q(t_5) = 0$ for $\tilde{t} < t < t_5$. In a word, $q(t) > 0$ for $0 < t < t_5$.

From the above discussion, we can conclude that there exists a unique $t_5 > 0$ such that $q(t_5) = 0$ and $q(t) > 0$ for $0 < t < t_5$, which means that the δ -entropy condition (4.13) holds for $0 \leq t < t_5$. Moreover, $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_5} = u_- e^{-t_5} = u_+ + t_5$. So the delta shock wave is tangent with the characteristic curve at the time t_5 on both sides of it and then disappear. The proof is completed.





For the nonhomogeneous situation $f(x, t, u) = 1$ and $g(x, t, u) = -u$, from Theorem 4.1, the delta shock wave disappears at the time t_5 . Next the discussion about the path of the delta shock wave for the Riemann problem (1.1) and (1.2) can be carried out like as before. Since $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(u_- + u_+)$, then it should be divided into the following three cases. Hereafter, for $u_+ < 0$, we denote $t_2^* = -u_+$ the symmetry axes of the characteristics on the right hand side of the delta shock wave.


Case 1 If $u_- + u_+ > 0$, taking into account to $u_- > u_+$, it is easy to get $u_- > 0$. So $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_5} = u_- e^{-t_5} = u_+ + t_5 > 0$, from which we have $t_5 - t_2^* = u_- e^{-t_5} > 0$, i.e., $t_5 > t_2^*$. From (4.12), we have $\frac{d^3s}{dt^3} = \frac{1}{2}u_- e^{-t} > 0$ for $t \geq 0$ and $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_-)$. In the following, there are two subcases needed to be considered.

- (i) If $0 < u_- \leq 1$, then $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_-) > 0$. Since $\frac{d^3s}{dt^3} = \frac{1}{2}u_- e^{-t} > 0$, $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} > 0$ for $t > 0$. Taking into account to $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(u_- + u_+) > 0$, we have $\frac{ds}{dt} > 0$ for $t > 0$. Since the delta shock wave disappear at the time t_5 , the delta shock wave is convex and increases along with t such that the delta shock wave move forward for $0 \leq t < t_5$ and then disappears. We draw Fig.3(a) and Fig.3(b) to depict this situation according to $u_+ \geq 0$ and $u_+ < 0$, where $t = t_2^* = -u_+$ is the the symmetry axis of the characteristic curves on the right hand side of the delta shock waves and $t_2^* < t_5$.
- (ii) If $u_- > 1$, then $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_-) < 0$. Since $\frac{d^3s}{dt^3} = \frac{1}{2}u_- e^{-t} > 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{d^2s}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{2} > 0$, there exists a unique t_6 such that $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2}|_{t=t_6} = 0$, i.e. $t_6 = \ln u_-$. Moreover, $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} < 0$ for $0 \leq t < t_6$ and $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} > 0$ for $t > t_6$. At the time t_6 , $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_6} = \frac{1}{2}(u_- e^{-t_6} + u_+ + t_6) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + u_+ + \ln u_-)$. Then, it should be divided into the following three subcases.
 - (a) If $1 + u_+ + \ln u_- = 0$, which is equivalent to $u_- e^{-t_6} + u_+ + t_6 = 0$. Furthermore, it is easy to get $u_+ < 0$. Since $q(t_5) = u_- e^{-t_5} - (u_+ + t_5) = 0$, we have $t_5 - t_6 = u_-(e^{-t_5} + e^{-t_6}) > 0$, i.e. $t_5 > t_6$. which mean that the delta shock wave disappears after the time t_6 . Moreover, $\frac{ds}{dt} > 0$ for $0 < t < t_6$ and $t_6 < t < t_5$, $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} < 0$ for

$0 \leq t < t_6$ and $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} > 0$ for $t_6 < t < t_5$, which means that the delta shock wave is concave for $0 \leq t < t_6$, convex for $t_6 < t < t_5$, always increases along with t such that the delta shock wave should always move forward for $0 \leq t < t_5$ and then disappears. We draw Fig.3(c) to depict this situation, where $t_6 < t_2^* < t_5$.

- (b) If $1 + u_+ + \ln u_- < 0$, which is equivalent to $u_- e^{-t_6} + u_+ + t_6 < 0$. Furthermore, it is easy to get $u_+ < 0$. Since $q(t_5) = u_- e^{-t_5} - (u_+ + t_5) = 0$, we have $t_5 - t_6 > u_-(e^{-t_5} + e^{-t_6}) > 0$, i.e. $t_5 > t_6$. which mean that the delta shock wave disappears after the time t_6 . Moreover, $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=0} > 0$, $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_6} < 0$, $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_5} = 0$, combining with $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} < 0$ for $0 \leq t < t_6$ and $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} > 0$ for $t_6 < t < t_5$, there exists a unique t_7 with $t_7 < t_6$ and a unique t_8 with $t_6 < t_8 < t_5$ such that $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_7} = \frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_8} = 0$. So $\frac{ds}{dt} > 0$ for $0 < t < t_7$ and $t_8 < t < t_5$ and $\frac{ds}{dt} < 0$ for $t_7 < t < t_8$. In a word, the delta shock wave is concave for $0 \leq t < t_6$, convex for $t_6 < t < t_5$. Furthermore, the delta shock wave increases along with t such that the delta shock wave move forward for $0 \leq t < t_7$ and $t_8 < t < t_5$, decreases along with t such that the delta shock wave move backward for $t_7 < t < t_8$ and then disappears. We draw Fig.3(d) to depict this situation, where $t_8 < t_2^* < t_5$.
- (c) If $1 + u_+ + \ln u_- > 0$, which is equivalent to $u_- e^{-t_6} + u_+ + t_6 > 0$ and $u_+ > -(1 + \ln u_-)$. If $t_5 > t_6$, the delta shock wave is similar to Case 1 (ii)(a) in this section, see Fig.3(c). If $t_5 \leq t_6$, $\frac{ds}{dt} > 0$ and $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} < 0$ for $0 < t < t_5$, In a word, the delta shock wave is concave, increases along with t such that the delta shock wave move forward for $0 \leq t < t_5$, and then disappears. We draw Fig.3(e) and Fig.3(f) to depict this situation according to $u_+ \geq 0$ and $-(1 + \ln u_-) < u_+ < 0$, where $t_2^* < t_5$.





Case 2 If $u_- + u_+ < 0$, taking into account to $u_- > u_+$, it is easy to get $u_+ < 0$. According to (4.12), $\frac{d^2 s}{dt^2}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_-)$, there are two subcases needed to be considered as follows.

- (i) If $u_- \leq 1$, then $\frac{d^2 s}{dt^2}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_-) \geq 0$. we claim that $\frac{d^2 s}{dt^2} > 0$ for $t > 0$. In fact, the discussions should be divided into the following two subcases according to $u_- \leq 0$ and $0 < u_- \leq 1$.
 - (a) If $u_- \leq 0$, it is obvious that $\frac{d^2 s}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_- e^{-t}) > 0$ for $t > 0$. Since at the time t_5 when the delta shock wave disappears $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_5} = u_- e^{-t_5} \leq 0$, combining with $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(u_- + u_+) < 0$, we have $\frac{ds}{dt} < 0$ for $0 < t < t_5$. So the delta shock wave is convex for $0 \leq t < t_5$, decreases along with t such that the delta shock wave move fbackward for $0 \leq t < t_5$ and then disappear. We draw Fig.4(a) to depict this situation, where $t_5 \leq t_2^*$.
 - (b) If $0 < u_- \leq 1$, since $\frac{d^3 s}{dt^3} = \frac{1}{2}u_- e^{-t} > 0$, combining with $\frac{d^2 s}{dt^2}|_{t=0} \geq 0$, we have $\frac{d^2 s}{dt^2} > 0$ for $t > 0$. Taking into account to $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=0} < 0$ and at the time t_5 when the delta shock wave disappears $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_5} = u_- e^{-t_5} > 0$, there exists a unique $t_9 < t_5$ such that $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_9} = 0$. Moreover, $\frac{ds}{dt} < 0$ for $0 \leq t < t_9$ and $\frac{ds}{dt} > 0$ for $t_9 < t < t_5$. So the delta shock wave is convex for $0 \leq t < t_5$, decreases along with t such that the delta shock wave move fbackward for $0 \leq t < t_9$, increases along with t such that the delta shock wave move forward for $t_9 < t < t_5$ and then disappears. We draw Fig.4(b) to depict this situation, where $t_9 < t_2^* < t_5$.




Fig.4 The delta shock wave solution to (1.1) and (1.2) when $f(x, t, u) = 1$ and $g(x, t, u) = -u$ for $u_- + u_+ < 0$ and $u_- \leq 1$

- (ii) If $u_- > 1$, then $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_-) < 0$. Taking into account to $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{d^2s}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{2} > 0$ and $\frac{d^3s}{dt^3} = \frac{1}{2}u_-e^{-t} > 0$, we can conclude that there exists a unique t_6 such that $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2}|_{t=t_6} = 0$, with $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} < 0$ for $0 < t < t_6$ and $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} > 0$ for $t > t_6$. Combing with $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(u_- + u_+) < 0$, we have $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_6} = \frac{1}{2}(u_-e^{-t_6} + u_+ + t_6) < \frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=0} < 0$. Similar to Case 1(ii)(b) in this section, we can prove $t_6 < t_5$, which means that the delta shock wave disappears after the time t_6 . Taking into account to $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_5} = u_-e^{-t_5} > 0$ and $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} > 0$ for $t_6 < t < t_5$, there exists a unique t_9 such that $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=t_9} = 0$ and $t_6 < t_9 < t_5$. Moreover, $\frac{ds}{dt} < 0$ for $0 \leq t < t_9$ and $\frac{ds}{dt} > 0$ for $t_9 < t < t_5$. So the delta shock wave is concave for $0 < t < t_6$, convex for $t_6 < t < t_5$, decreases along with t such that the delta shock wave move fbackward for $0 \leq t < t_9$, increases along with t such that the delta shock wave move forward for $t_9 < t < t_5$ and then disappears. We draw Fig.5 to depict this situation, where $t_9 < t_2^* < t_5$.




Fig.4 The delta shock wave solution to (1.1) and (1.2) when $f(x, t, u) = 1$ and $g(x, t, u) = -u$ for $u_- + u_+ < 0$ and $u_- > 1$

Case 3 If $u_- + u_+ = 0$, taking into account $u_- > u_+$, it is easy to get $u_- > 0 > u_+$. According to (4.12), $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_-)$, there are two subcases needed to be considered as follows.

- (i) If $0 < u_- \leq 1$, then $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_-) \geq 0$. Since $\frac{d^3s}{dt^3} = \frac{1}{2}u_-e^{-t} > 0$, we have $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} > 0$ for $t > 0$. Taking into account $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=0} = 0$, we have $\frac{ds}{dt} > 0$ for $t > 0$. But the delta shock wave disappear at t_5 , so we have $\frac{ds}{dt} > 0$ and $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2} > 0$ for $0 < t < t_5$. So the delta shock wave is convex for $0 \leq t < t_5$, and increases along with t such that the delta shock wave move forward for $0 < t < t_5$ and then disappears. For the delta shock wave curve, one see Fig.6(b).
- (ii) If $u_- > 1$, then $\frac{d^2s}{dt^2}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_-) < 0$. Since next the discussion is similar to case 2 (ii), one can also refer to Fig.7 for the delta shock wave curve. So we omit it.

Remark 4.1. Similarly, we can consider other situations combined by $f(x, t, u) = \pm 1$ and $g(x, t, u) = \pm u$. Different from the situation for $f(x, t, u) \neq g(x, t, u)$, it is easy to prove that the delta shock wave always exists and never disappears in the situation for $f(x, t, u) = g(x, t, u) = \pm 1$ or $\pm u$.

5. Discussions and Conclusions

In this paper, we have finished the constructions of the delta shock wave solution to the Riemann problem (1.1) and (1.2) in all cases provided the delta shock wave exists for the discontinuous source term $H(x - s(t))f(x, t, u) + H(s(t) - x)g(x, t, u)$ with special choices of $f(x, t, u)$ and $g(x, t, u)$. It is clear to see that .

It is noticed that the special choice of the discontinuous source term $H(x - s(t))f(x, t, u) + H(s(t) - x)g(x, t, u)$ is just for convenient in this paper. It is expected to adopt the more general discontinuous source term $H(x)f(x, t, u) + H(-x)g(x, t, u)$ in our later work. Moreover, it is hoped that the method developed here can be used to study the pressureless Euler equations or the shallow water equations with singular source term. Furthermore, we expect that this paper will give us some value insights into our future challenging study about the Cauchy problem for the pressureless Euler equations or the shallow water equations with source term.

References

- [1] T.Chang, and L.Hsiao. The Riemann problem and interaction of waves in gas dynamics. Pitman monographs and surveys in pure and applied mathematics. Boca Raton(FL):Longman Scietific and Technical:**41** (1989).
- [2] Y.Chang,S.W.Chou,J.M.Hong and Y.C.Lin. Existence and uniqueness of Lax-type solutions to the Riemann problem of scalar balance law with singular source term. *Taiwan. J. Math.* **17** (2013), 431-464.
- [3] S.Diehl. A conservation law with point source and discontinuous flux function modelling continuous sedimentation. *SIAM J.Appl.Math.*, 1996, **56**:388-419.
- [4] Y.Ding and F.M.Huang. On a nonhomogeneous system of pressureless flow. *Quart.Appl.Math.*, 2002, **62**(3):509-528.
- [5] B.Fang, P.Tang and Y.G.Wang. The Riemann problem of Burgers equation with a discontinuous source term. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2012, **395**: 307-335.
- [6] J.M.Greenberg and A.Y.Leroux. A well-balanced scheme for the numerical processing of source terms in hyperbolic equations. *SIAM J. Numer.Anal.*, 1996, **33**: 1-16.
- [7] J.M.Greenberg,A.Y.Leroux and R.Baraille. Analysis and approximation of conservation laws with source terms. *SIAM J. Numer.Anal.*, 1997, **34**: 1980-2007.
- [8] S.-Y.Ha,F.Huang and Y.Wang. A global unique solvability of entropic weak solution to the one-dimensional pressureless Euler system with a flocking dissipation. *J.Differential Equations*, 2014, **2257**: 1333-1371.
- [9] J.M.Hong and B.Temple. A bound on the total variation of the conserved quantities for solutions of a general resonant nonlinear balance law. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 1995, **55**: 625-640.
- [10] F.Huang and Z.Wang. Well posedness for pressureless flow. *Comm Math Phys*, 2001, **222**: 117-146
- [11] C.Y.Jin. Well posedness for pressureless Euler system with a flocking dissipation in Wasserstein space. ,*Nonlinear Anal.TMA*, 2015, **128**: 412-422.
- [12] K.H.Karlsen, N.H.Risebro and J.D.Towers. Front tracking for scalar balance equations. *J. Hyperbolic Differ.Equ.* 2004, **1**: 115-148.
- [13] K.H.Karlsen, S.Mishra and N.H.Risebro. Well-balanced schemes for conservation laws with source terms based on a local discontinuous flux formulation. *Math.Comp*, 2009**78**: 55-78.

- [14] S.N.Kruzkov. First order quasi-linear equation in several independent variables. Maths.USSR Sbornik, 1970, **10**: 217-243.
- [15] W.C.Lien. Hyperbolic conservation laws with a moving source. Comm.Pure Appl.Math.,1999, **52**: 1075-1098.
- [16] T.P.Liu. Nonlinear resonance of quasilinear hyperbolic equations. J.Math.Phys,1987, **28**: 2593-2602.
- [17] P.J.Montgomery, T.B.Moodie. Jump conditions for hyperbolic systems of forced conservations with an applications to gravity currents. Stud. Appl. Math., 2001, **106**: 367-392.
- [18] P.J.Montgomery, T.B.Moodie. Two-layer gravity currents with topography. Stud. Appl. Math., 1999, **102**:221-266.
- [19] D.Mihalas, B.W.Mihalas. Foundations of radiation hydrodynamics. New York(NY) :Oxford University Press, 1984.
- [20] C.Shen. The Riemann problem for the pressureless Euler system with the Coulomb-like friction term. IAM J. Appl. Math., 2015:1-24,doi:10.1039/imamat/hxv028.
- [21] C.Shen. The Riemann problem for the Chaplygin gas equations with a source term. Z. Angew. Math. Mech., 2015:1-15,doi:10.1002/zamm.201500015.
- [22] C.Shen and M.Sun. Interactions of delta shocks for transport equations with split delta functions. J. Math.Anal.Appl. 2009, **351**: 747-755.
- [23] W.Sheng and T.Zhang. The Riemann problem for transportation equations in gas dynamics. Mem Amer Math Soc, 1999, **137**(654)
- [24] C.Sinestrari. The Riemann problem for an inhomogeneous conservation law without convexity. SIAM J Math.Anal., 1997, **28**: 109-135.
- [25] Smoller J. Shock Waves and Reaction-Diffusion Equation. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1994
- [26] M.Sun. Formation of delta standing wave for a scalar conservation law with a linear flux fuction involving discontinuous coefficients. J. Nonlinear Math.Phys., 2013, **20**: 229-244.
- [27] M.Sun. The exact Riemann solutions to the generalized Chaplygin gas equations with friction. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat., 2016, **36**: 342-353.
- [28] J.P.Suarez, G.B.Jacobs and W.Don. A high-order Dirac-delta regularization with optimal scaling in the spectral solution of one-dimensional singular hyperbolic conservation laws. SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 2014, **36**: A1831-A1849.
- [29] P.Tang and Y.G.Wang. Shock waves for the hyperbolic balance laws with discontinuous sources. Math.Meth.Appl.Sci., 2013, DOI:10.1002/m-ma.2952.
- [30] A.Volpert. The space BV and quasilinear equations. Maths. USSR Sbornik. 1976, **2**: 225-267.
- [31] Z.Wang, and X.Ding. Uniqueness of generalized solution for the Cauchy problem of transportation equations. Acta Math Scientia, 1997, **17**(3): 341-352
- [32] Z.Wang, F.Huang and X.Ding. On the Cauchy problem of transportation equations. Acta Math Appl Sinica, 1997, **13**(2): 113-122.

- [33] W.E.,K.Khanin,A.Mazel and Y.Sinai. Invariant measures for Burgers equation with stochastic forcing. *Ann. Math.*, 2000, **151**: 877-960.
- [34] A.I.Saichev and W.A.Woyczynski. Evolution of Burgers' turbulence in the presence of external forces. *J.Fluid Mech.*, 1997, **331**: 313-343.
- [35] Q.Zhang. Stability of Riemann solutions to pressureless Euler equations with Coulomb-like friction by flux approximation, preprint.
- [36] T.Zhang and C.Shen. The shock wave solution to the Riemann problem for the Burgers equation with the linear forcing term. *Appl.Anal.*, **95(2)** (2016):283-302.