Strongly order continuous operators on Riesz spaces

AKBAR BAHRAMNEZHAD AND KAZEM HAGHNEJAD AZAR

Department of Mathematic, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabili, Iran

E-mail: bahramnezhad@uma.ac.ir

Abstract

In this paper we introduce two new classes of operators that we call strongly order continuous and strongly σ -order continuous operators. An operator $T: E \to F$ between two Riesz spaces is said to be strongly order continuous (resp. strongly σ -order continuous), if $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uo} 0$ (resp. $x_n \xrightarrow{uo} 0$) in E implies $Tx_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ (resp. $Tx_n \xrightarrow{o} 0$) in F. We give some conditions under which order continuity will be equivalent to strongly order continuous linear functionals on a Riesz space E is a band of E^{\sim} .

Key Words: Riesz space, order convergence, unbounded order convergence, strongly order continuous operator.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46B42, 47B60.

1 Introduction

The concept of unbounded order convergence or *uo*-convergence was introduced in [8] and is proposed firstly in [3]. It has recently been intensively studied in several papers [4, 5, 6]. Recall that a net $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ in a Riesz space E is order convergent (or, o-convergent for short) to $x \in E$, denoted by $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} x$ whenever there exists another net $(y_{\beta})_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}$ in E such that $y_{\beta} \downarrow 0$ and that for every $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $|x_{\alpha} - x| \leq y_{\beta}$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. A net (x_{α}) in a Riesz space E is unbounded order convergent (or, uo-convergent for short) to $x \in E$ if $|x_{\alpha} - x| \wedge u \xrightarrow{o} 0$ for all $u \in E^+$. We denote this convergence by $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uo} x$ and write that x_{α} uo-convergent to x. This is an analogue of pointwise convergence in function spaces. Let \mathbb{R}^A be the Riesz space of all real-valued functions on a non-empty set A, equipped with the pointwise order. It is easily seen that a net (x_{α}) in \mathbb{R}^A uo-converges to $x \in \mathbb{R}^A$ if and only if it converges pointwise to x. For instance in c_0 and $\ell_p(1 \le p \le \infty)$, uo-convergence of nets is the same as coordinate-wise convergence. Assume that (Ω, Σ, μ) is a measure space and let $E = L_p(\mu)$ for some $1 \le p < \infty$. Then uo-convergence of sequences in $L_p(\mu)$ is the same as almost everywhere convergence. In [9], Wickstead characterized the spaces in which weak convergence of nets implies uo-convergence and vice versa. In [4], Gao characterized the space E such that in its dual space E^* , uo-convergence implies w^* -convergence and vice versa. He also characterized the spaces in whose dual space simultaneous uo- and w^* -convergence imply weak/norm convergence. We show that the collection of all order bounded strongly order continuous linear functionals on a Riesz space E is a band of E^{\sim} [Theorem 2.7]. For unexplained terminology and facts on Banach lattices and positive operators, we refer the reader to [1, 2]. Let us start with the definition.

Definition 1. An operator $T: E \to F$ between two Riesz spaces is said to be:

- 1. Strongly order continuous (or, so-continuous for short), if $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uo} 0$ in E implies $Tx_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in F.
- 2. Strongly σ -order continuous (or, s σ -continuous for short), if $x_n \xrightarrow{uo} 0$ in E implies $Tx_n \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in F.

The collection of all so-continuous operators of $L_b(E, F)$ will be denoted by $L_{so}(E, F)$, that is

$$L_{so}(E, F) := \{ T \in L_b(E, F) : T \text{ is } so-\text{continuous} \}.$$

Similarly, $L_{s\sigma o}(E, F)$ will denote the collection of all order bounded operators from E to F that are $s\sigma o$ -continuous. That is,

$$L_{s\sigma o}(E, F) := \{ T \in L_b(E, F) : T \text{ is } s\sigma o \text{-continuous} \}.$$

Clearly, we have $L_{so}(E,F) \subset L_{s\sigma o}(E,F)$ and $L_{so}(E,F)$ and $L_{s\sigma o}(E,F)$ are both vector subspaces of $L_b(E,F)$.

Recall that an operator $T : E \to F$ between two Riesz spaces is said to be order continuous (resp. σ -order continuous) if $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ (resp. $x_n \xrightarrow{o} 0$) in E implies $Tx_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ (resp. $Tx_n \xrightarrow{o} 0$) in F. The collection of all order continuous operators of $L_b(E, F)$ will be denoted by $L_n(E, F)$, that is

$$L_n(E,F) := \{T \in L_b(E,F) : T \text{ is order continuous}\}.$$

Similarly, $L_c(E, F)$ will denote the collection of all order bounded operators from E to F that are σ -order continuous. That is,

$$L_c(E, F) := \{T \in L_b(E, F) : T \text{ is } \sigma \text{-order continuous}\}.$$

Note that every so-continuous (resp. $s\sigma o$ -continuous) operator is order (resp. σ -order) continuous. The converse is not true in general. For example the identity operator $I : c_0 \rightarrow c_0$ is order continuous but is not so-continuous. Indeed, the standard basis sequence of c_0 is uo-converges to 0 but is not order convergent.

2 Main Results

Lemma 1. ([5, Lemma 3.1]). In a Riesz space we have the following:

- 1. If $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uo} x$ and $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uo} y$, then x = y. In other hands, unbounded order limits are uniquely determined.
- 2. If $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uo} x$, $y_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uo} y$ and k, r are real numbers, then $kx_{\alpha} + ry_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uo} kx + ry$. Furthermore $x_{\alpha} \lor y_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uo} x \lor y$ and $x_{\alpha} \land y_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uo} x \land y$. In particular $x_{\alpha}^{+} \xrightarrow{uo} x^{+}$, $x_{\alpha}^{-} \xrightarrow{uo} x^{-}$ and $|x_{\alpha}| \xrightarrow{uo} |x|$.
- 3. If $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uo} x$ and $x_{\alpha} \ge y$ holds for all α , then $x \ge y$.

Note that the *uo*-convergence in a Riesz space E does not necessarily correspond to a topology on E. For example, let E = c, the Banach lattice of real valued convergent sequences. Put $x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n e_k$, where (e_n) is the standard basis. Then (x_n) is *uo*-convergent to x = (1, 1, 1, ...), but it is not norm convergent.

Proposition 1. Let E, F be Riesz spaces such that E is finite-dimensional. Then $L_{so}(E, F) = L_n(E, F)$ and $L_{s\sigma o}(E, F) = L_c(E, F)$.

Proof. Follows immediately if we observe that in a finite-dimensional Riesz space order convergence is equivalent to uo-convergence.

Recall that a Riesz space is said to be σ -laterally complete if every disjoint sequence has a supremum. For a set A, \mathbb{R}^A is an example of σ -laterally complete Riesz space.

Proposition 2. Let E, F, G be Riesz spaces. Then we have the following:

- 1. If $T \in L_{so}(E, F)$ and $S \in L_n(F, G)$, then $ST \in L_{so}(E, G)$. As a consequence, $L_{so}(E)$ is a left ideal for $L_n(E)$. Similarly, $L_{soo}(E)$ is a left ideal for $L_c(E)$.
- 2. If E is σ -Dedekind complete and σ -laterally complete and $S \in L_c(E, F)$ and $T \in L_{s\sigma\sigma}(F, G)$, then $TS \in L_{s\sigma\sigma}(E, G)$. In this case, $L_{s\sigma\sigma}(E, F) = L_c(E, F)$.
- *Proof.* 1. Let (x_{α}) be a net in E such that $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{u_{\alpha}} 0$. By assumption, $Tx_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} 0$. So, $STx_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Hence, $ST \in L_{so}(E, G)$.
 - 2. Let *E* be a σ -Dedekind complete and σ -laterally complete Riesz space. By Theorem 3.9 of [6], we see that a sequence (x_n) in *E* is *uo*-null if and only if it is order null. So, if (x_n) be a sequence in *E* such that $x_n \xrightarrow{uo} 0$, then $x_n \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Thus, $Sx_n \xrightarrow{o} 0$ and then $TSx_n \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Hence, $TS \in L_{s\sigma o}(E, G)$. Clearly, we have $L_{s\sigma o}(E, F) = L_c(E, F)$. This ends the proof.

Let $T: E \to F$ be a positive operator between Riesz spaces. We say that an operator $S: E \to F$ is dominated by T (or that T dominates S) whenever $|Sx| \leq T|x|$ holds for each $x \in E$.

Proposition 3. If a positive so-continuous operator $T : E \to F$ dominates S, then S is so-continuous.

Proof. Let $T: E \to F$ be a positive so-continuous operator between Riesz spaces such that T dominates $S: E \to F$ and let $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uo} 0$ in E. By part (2) of Lemma 1, $|x_{\alpha}| \xrightarrow{uo} 0$. So, by assumption, $T|x_{\alpha}| \xrightarrow{o} 0$ and from the inequality $|Sx| \leq T|x|$ and part (2) of Lemma 1 again, we have $Sx_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Hence, S is so-continuous.

For an operator $T: E \to F$ between two Riesz spaces we shall say that its modulus |T| exists (or that T possesses a modulus) whenever $|T| := T \lor (-T)$ exists in the sense that |T| is the supremum of the set $\{-T, T\}$ in L(E, F). If E and F are Riesz spaces with F Dedekind complete, then every order bounded operator $T: E \to F$ possesses a modulus [2, Theorem 1.18]. From this discussion it follows that when E and F are Riesz spaces with F Dedekind complete, then each order bounded operator $T: E \to F$ satisfies

$$T^+(x) = \sup\{Ty: 0 \le y \le x\}, and$$

 $T^-(x) = \sup\{-Ty: 0 \le y \le x\}$

for each $x \in E^+$.

Theorem 1. For an order bounded linear functional f on a Riesz space E the following statements are equivalent.

- 1. f is so-continuous.
- 2. f^+ and f^- are both so-continuous.
- 3. |f| is so-continuous.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) By Lemma 1, we may assume that $(x_{\alpha}) \subset E^+$. Let $x_{\alpha} \stackrel{uo}{\longrightarrow} 0$ and let (r_{α}) be a net in \mathbb{R} such that $r_{\alpha} \downarrow 0$. In view of $f^+x = \sup\{fy : 0 \leq y \leq x\}$, for each α there exists a net (y_{α}) in E with $0 \leq y_{\alpha} \leq x_{\alpha}$ such that $f^+x_{\alpha} - r_{\alpha} \leq fy_{\alpha}$. So, $f^+x_{\alpha} \leq fy_{\alpha} + r_{\alpha}$. Since $x_{\alpha} \stackrel{uo}{\longrightarrow} 0$, we have $y_{\alpha} \stackrel{uo}{\longrightarrow} 0$. Thus, by assumption, $fy_{\alpha} \stackrel{o}{\rightarrow} 0$. It follows from $f^+x_{\alpha} \leq (fy_{\alpha} + r_{\alpha}) \stackrel{o}{\rightarrow} 0$ that $f^+x_{\alpha} \stackrel{o}{\rightarrow} 0$. Hence, f^+ is so-continuous. Now, as $f^- = (-f)^+$, we conclude that f^- is also so-continuous. (2) \Rightarrow (3) Follows from the identity $|f| = f^+ + f^-$.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ Follows immediately from Proposition 3 by observing that |f| dominates f.

Remark 1. One can easily formulate by himself the analogue of Theorem 1 for $s\sigma o$ continuous operators.

Recall that a subset A of a Riesz space is said to be order closed whenever $(x_{\alpha}) \subset A$ and $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} x$ imply $x \in A$. An order closed ideal is referred to as a band. Thus, an ideal A is a band if and only if $(x_{\alpha}) \subset A$ and $0 \leq x_{\alpha} \uparrow x$ imply $x \in A$. In the next theorem we show that $L_{so}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and $L_{so}(E, \mathbb{R})$ are both bands of E^{\sim} . The details follow.

Theorem 2. If E is a Riesz space, then $L_{so}(E,\mathbb{R})$ and $L_{s\sigma o}(E,\mathbb{R})$ are both bands of E^{\sim} .

Proof. We only show that $L_{so}(E, \mathbb{R})$ is a band of E^{\sim} . That $L_{s\sigma o}(E, \mathbb{R})$ is a band can be proven in a similar manner. Note first that if $|g| \leq |f|$ holds in E^{\sim} with $f \in L_{so}(E, \mathbb{R})$, then from Theorem 1 it follows that $g \in L_{so}(E, \mathbb{R})$. That is $L_{so}(E, \mathbb{R})$ is an ideal of E^{\sim} . To see that the ideal $L_{so}(E, \mathbb{R})$ is a band, let $0 \leq f_{\lambda} \uparrow f$ in E^{\sim} with $(f_{\lambda}) \subset L_{so}(E, \mathbb{R})$, and let $0 \leq x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uo} 0$ in E. Then for each fixed λ we have

$$0 \le f(x_{\alpha}) = \left((f - f_{\lambda})(x_{\alpha}) + f_{\lambda}(x_{\alpha})\right) \xrightarrow{o} 0.$$

So, $f(x_{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Thus, $f \in L_{so}(E, \mathbb{R})$, and the proof is finished.

Problem 1. Can we find a so-continuous operator $T : E \to F$ between Riesz spaces whose modulus is not so-continuous?

References

- Y. A. Abramovich, C. D. Aliprantis, An Invitation to Operator Theory, vol. 50, American Mathematical Society, Providence (2002).
- [2] C.D. Aliprantis, and O. Burkinshaw, *Positive Operators*, Springer, Berlin, (2006).
- [3] R. Demarr, Partially ordered linear spaces and locally convex linear topological spaces, Illinois J. Math., 8, 601-606 (1964).
- [4] N. Gao, Unbounded order convergence in dual spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 419(1), 347-354 (2014).
- [5] N. Gao and F. Xanthos, Unbounded order convergence and application to martingales without probability, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 415, 931-947 (2014).
- [6] N. Gao, V.G. Troitsky, and F. Xanthos, Uo-convergence and its aplications to cesaro means in Banach lattices, Israel J. Math., appear. arXiv:1509.07914 [math.FA].
- [7] S. Kaplan, On unbounded order convergence, Real Analysis exchange, 23 (1), 75-184 (1998-99).
- [8] H. Nakano, Ergodic theorems in semi-ordered linear spaces, Ann. of Math. (2), 49, 538-556 (1948).
- [9] A. W. Wickstead, Weak and unbounded order convergence in Banach lattices, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 24, 312-319 (1977).