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#### Abstract

In recent years, the unified theory of information and thermodynamics has been intensively discussed in the context of stochastic thermodynamics. The unified theory reveals that information theory would be useful to understand non-stationary dynamics of systems far from equilibrium. In this letter, we have found a new link between stochastic thermodynamics and information theory well known as information geometry. By applying this link, information geometric inequality can be interpreted as thermodynamic uncertainty relationship between speed and thermodynamic cost. We have numerically applied information geometric inequality to a thermodynamic model of biochemical enzyme reaction.


PACS numbers: 02.40.-k, 05.20.-y, 05.40.-a, 05.70.Ln, 89.70.-a

The crucial relationship between thermodynamics and information theory has been well studied in last decades [1]. Historically, thermodynamic-informational links had been discussed in the context of the second law of thermodynamics and the paradox of Maxwell's demon [2]. Recently, several studies have newly revealed thermodynamic interpretation of informational quantities such as the Kullback-Leibler divergence [3], mutual information [4 6], the transfer entropy and information flow [7-19]. The above interpretations of informational quantities are based on the theory of stochastic thermodynamics 20, 21], which mainly focus on the entropy production in stochastic dynamics of systems far from equilibrium.

Information thermodynamic relationship has been attracted not only in terms of Maxwell's demon, but also in terms of geometry [22, 23, 25, 28]. Indeed, differential geometric interpretations of thermodynamics have been discussed especially in the near-equilibrium system 22, 29 34]. Moreover, the technique of differential geometry in information theory, well known as information geometry [35], has received remarkable attention in the field of neuroscience, signal processing, quantum mechanics, and machine learning [36 38]. In spite of the deep link between information and thermodynamics, the direct connection of thermodynamics and information geometry has been elusive especially for non-stationary and non-equilibrium dynamics. For example, G. E. Crooks discovered the link of thermodynamics and information geometry [22, 32] based on the Gibbs ensemble, and then his discussion is only valid for a near-equilibrium system.

In this letter, we discover the fundamental link between information geometry and thermodynamics based on stochastic thermodynamics for the master equation. We obtain thermodynamic interpretations of information geometric quantities, and derive thermodynamic inequalities based on information geometry. Our result can be interpreted as a kind of thermodynamic uncertainty relationships or thermodynamic trade-off relationships 3950 between thermodynamic cost and speed. We numer-
ically test our trade-off relationship by using a model of biochemical enzyme reaction.

Stochastic thermodynamics.- To clarify the link between stochastic thermodynamics and information geometry, we here start with a formalism of stochastic thermodynamics for the master equation, that is known as the Schnakenberg network theory [51, 52].

We here consider $(n+1)$-states system. We assume that transitions between states are induced by $n_{\text {bath }}{ }^{-}$ multiple thermal baths. The master equation for the the probability $p_{x}\left(\geq 0, \sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x}=1\right)$ to find the state at $x=\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} p_{x}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} p_{x^{\prime}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}$ is the transition rate from $x^{\prime}$ to $x$ induced by $\nu$-th thermal bath. We assume that any transition rates has a finite value $W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}>0$ for $x \neq x^{\prime}$. We also assume the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x=0}^{n} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently $W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}=-\sum_{x \neq x^{\prime}} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}<0$, which leads to the conservation of probability $\sum_{x=0}^{n} d p_{x} / d t=0$. This equation (2) indicates that the master equation is then given by the thermodynamic flux from the state $x^{\prime}$ to $x$ [51],

$$
\begin{gather*}
J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}:=W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} p_{x^{\prime}}-W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)} p_{x}  \tag{3}\\
\frac{d}{d t} p_{x}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

In a stationary state, the sum of the thermodynamic flux is zero for any $x$, i.e., $d p_{x} / d t=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=0$. Especially, in a stationary state where the detailed balance (or the reversibility) holds (i.e., $J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=0$ for any
$x, x^{\prime}$ and $\left.\nu\right)$, the system is said to be in thermodynamic equilibrium. If we consider the conjugated thermodynamic force

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}:=\ln \left[W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} p_{x^{\prime}}\right]-\ln \left[W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)} p_{x}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

thermodynamic equilibrium is equivalently given by $F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=0$ for any $x, x^{\prime}$ and $\nu$.

In stochastic thermodynamics [21], we treat the entropy change of thermal bath and the system in a stochastic way. In the transition from $x^{\prime}$ to $x$, the stochastic entropy change of $\nu$-th thermal bath is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{s}_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{\operatorname{bath}(\nu)}:=\ln \frac{W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}}{W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the stochastic entropy change of the system is defined as the stochastic Shannon entropy change

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{s}_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{\text {sys }}:=\ln p_{x^{\prime}}-\ln p_{x} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. The thermodynamic force is then given by the total stochastic entropy change in the transition from $x^{\prime}$ to $x$ induced by $\nu$-th thermal bath $F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=\dot{s}_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{\mathrm{bath}(\nu)}+$ $\dot{s}_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{\text {sys }}$.

To simplify notations, we introduce the set of directed edges $E=\left\{\left(x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right) \mid 0 \leq x^{\prime}<x \leq n, 1 \leq \nu \leq n_{\text {bath }}\right\}$ which implies the set of all possible transitions between two states. The total entropy change rate is given by the sum of the products of thermodynamic forces and fluxes over possible edges

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{S}^{\mathrm{tot}}:=\sum_{\left(x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right) \in E} J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=\langle F\rangle \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a parenthesis $\langle\cdots\rangle$ is defined as $\langle A\rangle:=$ $\sum_{\left(x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right) \in E} J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} A_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}$ for any function of edge $A_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}$. Because the signs of thermodynamic force $F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}$ and flux $J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}$ are same, we obtain the non-negativity of the total entropy change rate,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{S}^{\text {tot }}=\langle F\rangle=\left\langle\dot{s}^{\text {bath }}\right\rangle+\left\langle\dot{s}^{\text {sys }}\right\rangle \geq 0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is well known as the second law of thermodynamics.
Information geometry.- Next, we introduce the theory of information geometry [35]. In information geometry, we consider the set of probabilities that satisfies the normalization. Due to the constraint of the normalization, the set of probability gives a Riemannian manifold, and its natural Riemannian metric is given by the Fisher metric 53]. In this letter, we only consider the discrete distribution group.

The discrete distribution group $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$, $p_{x} \geq 0$, and $\sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x}=1$ gives the $n$-dimensional manifold $S_{n}$. To introduce the metric on the manifold $S_{n}$,


FIG. 1: Schematic of information geometry on the manifold $S_{2}$. The manifold $S_{2}$ leads to the sphere surface of radius 2 (see also Supplementary Information (SI)). The statistical length $\mathcal{L}$ is bounded by the shortest length $\mathcal{D}=2 \theta=$ $2 \cos ^{-1}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\text {ini }} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text {fin }}\right)$.
we use the Kullback-Leibler divergence 54] between two distributions $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{p}^{\prime}=\left(p_{0}^{\prime}, p_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\mathbf{p} \| \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right):=\sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x} \ln \frac{p_{x}}{p_{x}^{\prime}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Kullbuck-Leibler divergence is non-negative $D_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\mathbf{p} \| \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right) \geq 0$, and zero if and only if $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{p}^{\prime}$. The square of the line element $d s$ is given by the divergence

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}:=2 D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\mathbf{p} \| \mathbf{p}+d \mathbf{p}) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \mathbf{p}=\left(d p_{0}, d p_{1}, \ldots, d p_{n}\right)$ is the infinitesimal displacement that satisfies $\sum_{x=0}^{n} d p_{x}=0$. The second-order Taylor series of Eq. (11) gives the metric of the manifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}:=\sum_{x=0}^{n} \frac{\left(d p_{x}\right)^{2}}{p_{x}}=\sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x}\left(d \ln p_{x}\right)^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we define the metric $g_{i j}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}} \sum_{j=1}^{n^{\prime}} g_{i j} d \lambda_{i} d \lambda_{j} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n^{\prime}}\right)$ is the set of parameters such as control parameters. From Eqs. (12) and (13), the metric $g_{i j}$ is given by the Fisher information $g_{i j}=$ $\mathbb{E}\left[\left[\partial_{\lambda_{i}} \ln p\right]\left[\partial_{\lambda_{j}} \ln p\right]\right]$ 54] , where $\mathbb{E}[A]:=\sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x} A(x)$ denotes the expected value of any function $A(x)$. The square of the line element is also given by $d s^{2}=$ $\sum_{x=0}^{n}\left(2 d \sqrt{p_{x}}\right)^{2}$ under the constraint $\sum_{x=0}^{n}\left(\sqrt{p_{x}}\right)^{2}=1$. Thus, the manifold $S_{n}$ leads to the geometry of the $n$ sphere surface of radius 2 (see also Fig. (1).

We define the statistical length $\mathcal{L}$ [55, 56] as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}:=\int d s=\int \frac{d s}{d t} d t \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbf{r}=\left(\sqrt{p_{0}}, \sqrt{p_{1}}, \ldots, \sqrt{p_{n}}\right)$ be the unit vector that satisfies $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}=\sum_{x=0}^{n}\left(\sqrt{p_{x}}\right)^{2}=1$. The statistical length $\mathcal{L}$ from the state $\mathbf{r}_{\text {ini }}$ to the final state $\mathbf{r}_{\text {fin }}$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} \geq 2 \cos ^{-1}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{ini}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{fin}}\right):=\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{ini}} ; \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{fin}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{ini}} ; \mathbf{r}_{\text {fin }}\right)=2 \theta$ gives the shortest length between $\mathbf{r}_{\text {ini }}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{\text {fin }}$ on the $n$-sphere surface $S_{n}$, where $\theta$ is the angle between $\mathbf{r}_{\text {ini }}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{\text {fin }}$ given by the inner product $\mathbf{r}_{\text {ini }} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text {fin }}=\cos \theta$.

Thermodynamics of information geometry.- We here discuss the main result of the letter. To treat the theory of information geometry as stochastic thermodynamics, we consider a stochastic thermodynamic expression of $d s^{2}$ as follows.

By using the definition of the thermodynamic force (5), the master equation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} p_{x}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)} p_{x} e^{-F_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs. (12), (16) and $\sum_{x=0}^{n} d^{2} p_{x} / d t^{2}=0$, we obtain an expression of the quantity $d s^{2} / d t^{2}$ in terms of thermodynamic quantities

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d s^{2}}{d t^{2}} & =\sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x} \frac{d}{d t}\left(-\frac{1}{p_{x}} \frac{d p_{x}}{d t}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)} e^{-F_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}}\right) \\
& =-\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{d}{d t} \overline{e^{-F}}\right] \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{A}(x):=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)} A_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}$ denotes the rate of any function of edge $A_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}$ for the fixed initial state $x$. We mention that the total entropy change rate is given by $\dot{S}^{\text {tot }}=\mathbb{E}[\bar{F}]$ because of the antisymmetricity of the thermodynamic force $F_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}=$ $-F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}$. The equation (17) implies that geometric dynamics on the manifold $S_{n}$ is given by the thermodynamic factor $e^{-F}$, that is well discussed in the context of stochastic thermodynamics (especially in the context of the fluctuation theorem [57-62]). We carefully mention that the expected value of $\overline{e^{-F}}$ gives $\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{e^{-F}}\right]=$ $\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x=0}^{n} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} p_{x^{\prime}} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=0$ compared to the integral fluctuation theorem $\left\langle e^{-F_{\text {traj }}}\right\rangle_{\text {traj }}=1$ with the entropy production and the ensemble average of trajectories, $F_{\text {traj }}$ and $\langle\cdots\rangle_{\text {traj }}$, respectively [60, 62].

Due to the non-negativity $d s^{2} / d t^{2} \geq 0$, we have a thermodynamic inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{d}{d t} \overline{e^{-F}}\right] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality holds if the system is in a stationary state, i.e., $d s / d t=0$. To use Eqs. (2), (5) and (6) in the middle
line of Eq. (17), we obtain another expression of $d s^{2} / d t^{2}$ (see SI),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d s^{2}}{d t^{2}}=\left\langle\frac{d \dot{s}^{\text {bath }}}{d t}\right\rangle-\left\langle\frac{d F}{d t}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\frac{d \dot{s}^{\text {sys }}}{d t}\right\rangle \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we obtain another expression of Eq. (18)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\frac{d \dot{s}^{\text {bath }}}{d t}\right\rangle \geq\left\langle\frac{d F}{d t}\right\rangle \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result (20) implies another aspect of the second law of thermodynamics $\langle F\rangle \geq 0$. In a relaxation process where the entropy change of bath does not change in time (i.e., $d \dot{s}_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{\operatorname{bath}(\nu)} / d t=0$ for any $x^{\prime}$ and $x$ ), the thermodynamic force tends to decrease in time (i.e., $\langle d F / d t\rangle \leq 0$ ). This fact is consistent with the minimal entropy production principle in a stationary state.

In case of a near-equilibrium system, the detailed balance approximately holds, i.e., $F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} \simeq 0$ for any $x^{\prime}, x$ and $\nu$. Then, the square of the line element is given by the entropy change in thermal baths $d s^{2} \simeq\left\langle d \dot{s}^{\text {bath }}\right\rangle d t$. For example, if we assume the canonical distribution $p_{x}=$ $\exp \left(\beta\left(\phi-H_{x}\right)\right)$ where $\left.\phi=-\beta^{-1} \ln \left[\sum_{x=0}^{n} \exp \left(-\beta H_{x}\right)\right)\right]$ is the free energy that satisfies $\sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x}=1, \beta$ is the inverse temperature and $H_{x}$ is the Hamiltonian of the system in the state $x$, we obtain $\dot{s}_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{\text {sys }}=\beta\left(H_{x}-H_{x^{\prime}}\right):=\beta \dot{H}_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}$ and $d s^{2}=-\left\langle d \dot{s}^{\text {sys }}\right\rangle d t=-\langle d(\beta \dot{H})\rangle d t$. We also mention that the linear irreversible thermodynamics 51] implies that the Fisher metric $g_{i j}$ for thermodynamic forces $F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}$ is related to the Onsager coefficients (see SI). Due to the Cramér-Rao bound [53, 54], the Onsager coefficients relates the lower bound of the variance of unbiased estimator for thermodynamic forces.

The statistical length $\mathcal{L}=\int_{0}^{\tau} d t(d s / d t)$ from time $t=0$ to $t=\tau$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\int_{t=0}^{t=\tau} d t\left(-\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{d}{d t} \overline{e^{-F}}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently $\mathcal{L}=\int_{t=0}^{t=\tau} d t \sqrt{\left[\left\langle d \dot{s}^{\text {bath }} / d t\right\rangle-\langle d F / d t\rangle\right]}$. From the shortest length of the manifold $S_{n}$, we obtain the following thermodynamic inequality from Eqs. (15) and (21),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t=0}^{t=\tau} d t\left(-\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{d}{d t} \overline{e^{-F}}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}(0) ; \mathbf{r}(\tau)) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently $\int_{t=0}^{t=\tau} d t \sqrt{\left[\left\langle d s^{\text {bath }} / d t\right\rangle-\langle d F / d t\rangle\right]} \geq$ $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}(0) ; \mathbf{r}(\tau))$. The equality holds if the path of transient dynamics is given by a geodesic line on the manifold $S_{n}$. This inequality shows a thermodynamic constraint on $\left\langle d \dot{s}^{\text {bath }} / d t\right\rangle$ and $\langle d F / d t\rangle$ for transient dynamics between initial and final probability distributions.

Thermodynamic uncertainty.- We finally reach to a thermodynamic uncertainty relationship between the


FIG. 2: Numerical calculation of thermodynamic quantities in the three states model of enzyme reaction. We numerically shows the non-negativity of $d s^{2} / d t^{2} \geq 0$ and $d s^{2} / d t^{2}=$ $-\langle d F / d t\rangle+\left\langle d \dot{s}^{\text {bath }} / d t\right\rangle$ in the graph. We also show the total entropy change rate $\langle F\rangle \geq 0$. We note that $d\langle F\rangle / d t$ is not equal to $\langle d F / d t\rangle$.
speed and thermodynamic cost. We here consider the curve in Riemannian geometry from time $t=0$ to $t=\tau$. The curve $\mathcal{C}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{t=0}^{t=\tau} d t\left(\frac{d s}{d t}\right)^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t=0}^{t=\tau} d t \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{d}{d t} \overline{e^{-F}}\right] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently $\mathcal{C}=\int_{t=0}^{t=\tau} d t\left[\left\langle d \dot{s}^{\text {bath }} / d t\right\rangle-\langle d F / d t\rangle\right] / 2$. Especillay in the case that system is close to be in thermodynamic equilibrium, the curve $\mathcal{C}$ is given by $\mathcal{C} \simeq$ $\int\left\langle d \dot{s}^{\text {bath }}\right\rangle / 2$. Therefore, the curve $\mathcal{C}$ can be interpreted as a thermodynamic cost.

Due to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $\int_{0}^{\tau} d t \int_{0}^{\tau}(d s / d t)^{2} d t \geq\left(\int_{0}^{\tau}(d s / d t) d t\right)^{2}$ [22], we obtain a thermodynamic uncertainty relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau \geq \frac{\mathcal{L}^{2}}{2 \mathcal{C}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality holds if the speed of dynamics $d s^{2} / d t^{2}=$ $-\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{d e^{-F}} / d t\right]$ does not depend on time. This result implies the uncertainty relationship between a thermodynamic cost $\mathcal{C}$ and the speed $\tau$. If the transient time $\tau$ is small, a thermodynamic cost $\mathcal{C}$ should be large, i.e., $\mathcal{C} \geq[\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}(0) ; \mathbf{r}(\tau))]^{2} /(2 \tau)$ or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau \geq \frac{[\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}(0) ; \mathbf{r}(\tau))]^{2}}{2 \mathcal{C}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the inequality (25), we can discuss the efficiency $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta:=\frac{[\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}(0) ; \mathbf{r}(\tau))]^{2}}{2 \tau \mathcal{C}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 3: Numerical calculation of thermodynamic uncertainty relationship in the three states model of enzyme reaction. We numerically shows the geometric inequality $\mathcal{L} \geq \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}(0) ; \mathbf{r}(\tau))$ and thermodynamic uncertainty relationship $\tau \geq \mathcal{L}^{2} /(2 \mathcal{C}) \geq$ $[\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}(0) ; \mathbf{r}(\tau))]^{2} /(2 \mathcal{C})$ in the graph.

In case of a near equilibrium system, the efficiency is given by $\eta:=[\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}(0) ; \mathbf{r}(\tau))]^{2} /\left(\tau \int\left\langle d \dot{s}^{\text {bath }}\right\rangle\right)$, which implies the trade off between the entropy change in thermal baths and the geodesic distance from the initial state to the final state.

Three states model of enzyme reaction.- We numerically test thermodynamic inequalities of information geometry by using a thermodynamic model of biochemical reaction. We here consider a three states model (see also SI) that represents a chemical reaction $A+B \rightleftharpoons A B$ with enzyme $X$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A+X \rightleftharpoons A X, \\
A+B \rightleftharpoons A B \\
A X+B \rightleftharpoons A B+X \tag{29}
\end{array}
$$

We here consider the probability distribution of the states $x=A, A X, A B$. We assume that the system is attached to a single heat bath ( $n_{\text {bath }}=1$ ) with inverse temperature $\beta$. The master equation is given by Eq. (1), where the transition rates are supposed to be

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
W_{A \rightarrow A X}^{(1)}=k_{A X+}[X], & W_{A X \rightarrow A}^{(1)}=k_{A X+} e^{-\beta \Delta \mu_{A X}} \\
W_{A \rightarrow A B}^{(1)}=k_{A B+}[B], & W_{A B \rightarrow A}^{(1)}=k_{A B+} e^{-\beta \Delta \mu_{A B}} \\
W_{A X \rightarrow A B}^{(1)}=k_{+}[B], & W_{A B \rightarrow A X}^{(1)}=k_{+} e^{-\beta \Delta \mu}[X] \tag{30}
\end{array}
$$

where $[X]([B])$ is the concentration of $X(B), k_{A X+}$, $k_{A B+}$, and $k_{+}$are reaction rate constants, and $\Delta \mu_{A X}$, $\Delta \mu_{A B}$, and $\Delta \mu$ are the chemical potential differences.

In a numerical simulation, we set $k_{A X+}=k_{A B+}=$ $k_{+}=1, \beta \Delta \mu_{A X}=1.0, \beta \Delta \mu_{A B}=0.5$, and $\beta \Delta \mu=2.0$.

We assume that the time evolution of the concentrations are given by $[X]=\tan ^{-1}\left(\omega_{X} t\right),[B]=\tan ^{-1}\left(\omega_{B} t\right)$ with $\omega_{X}=1$ and $\omega_{B}=2$, which means the concentrations $[X]$ and $[B]$ performs as control parameters. At time $t=0$, we set the initial probability distribution $\left(p_{A}, p_{A X}, p_{A B}\right)=(0.9998,0.001,0.001)$.

In Fig. 2, we numerically show the inequality $\left\langle d \dot{s}^{\text {bath }} / d t\right\rangle \geq\langle d F / d t\rangle$. We also check that this inequality does not coincide with the second law of thermodynamics $\langle F\rangle \geq 0$. We also check the thermodynamic uncertainty relationship $\mathcal{L}^{2} /(2 \mathcal{C}) \leq \tau$ in Fig. 3. Because the path from initial distribution $\left(p_{A}, p_{A X}, p_{A B}\right)=$ $(0.9998,0.001,0.001)$ to the final distribution is close to the geodesic line, the thermodynamic uncertainty relationship gives a tight bound of the transition time $\tau$.

Conclusion.- In this letter, we reveal the link between stochastic thermodynamic quantities $\left(J, F, \dot{s}^{\text {sys }}, \dot{s}^{\text {bath }}\right)$ and information geometric quantities $\left(d s^{2}, g_{i j}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C}\right)$. Because the theory of information geometry is applicable to various fields of science such as neuroscience, signal processing, machine learning and quantum mechanics, this link would help to understand thermodynamic aspect of such a topic. The trade-off relationship between speed and thermodynamic cost Eq. (25) would be helpful to understand biochemical reactions and gives a new insight into recent studies of the relationship between information and thermodynamics in biochemical processes 7, 41, 63 67].
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## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## I. Intuitive proof of the fact that the manifold $S_{2}$ gives sphere surface of radius 2

We here intuitively show the fact that the manifold $S_{2}$ gives sphere surface of radius 2 . The probability vector $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ holds the normalization $\sum_{x=0}^{2} p_{x}=1$. The square of the line element $d s$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\sum_{x=0}^{2} \frac{\left(d p_{x}\right)^{2}}{p_{x}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We here introduce the polar coordinate system $(\phi, \psi)$ where $p_{0}=(\cos \psi)^{2}, p_{1}=(\sin \psi)^{2}(\cos \phi)^{2}, p_{2}=(\sin \psi)^{2}(\sin \phi)^{2}$. We can check that the normalization $\sum_{x=0}^{2} p_{x}=1$ holds. We obtain $d p_{0}=-2(\cos \psi)(\sin \psi) d \psi, d p_{1}=$ $2(\cos \psi)(\sin \psi)(\cos \phi)^{2} d \psi-2(\cos \phi)(\sin \phi)(\sin \psi)^{2} d \phi$, and $d p_{2}=2(\cos \psi)(\sin \psi)(\sin \phi)^{2} d \psi+2(\cos \phi)(\sin \phi)(\sin \psi)^{2} d \phi$. From Eq. (31), we then obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =4\left[(\sin \psi)^{2}+(\cos \psi)^{2}(\cos \phi)^{2}+(\cos \psi)^{2}(\sin \phi)^{2}\right](d \psi)^{2}+0 \times(d \phi)(d \psi)+4\left[(\sin \phi)^{2}(\sin \psi)^{2}+(\cos \phi)^{2}(\sin \psi)^{2}\right](d \phi)^{2} \\
& =2^{2}\left[(d \psi)^{2}+(\sin \psi)^{2}(d \phi)^{2}\right] \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Because the metric of a sphere surface of radius $R$ is given by $d s^{2}=R^{2}\left[(d \psi)^{2}+(\sin \psi)^{2}(d \phi)^{2}\right]$, the manifold $S_{2}$ gives a sphere surface of radius $R=2$.

## II. Detailed derivation of Eq. (19) in the main text

From Eq. (17) in the main text, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d s^{2}}{d t^{2}} & =-\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{d}{d t} \overline{e^{-F}}\right] \\
& =-\sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)} e^{-F_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x}\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}\left(-\frac{d}{d t} F_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}\right) e^{-F_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}}\right)-\sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x}\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n}\left(\frac{d}{d t} W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}\right) e^{-F_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}}\right) . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

By using the definition Eqs. (5) and (6), the first term is calculated as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x}\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}\left(-\frac{d}{d t} F_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}\right) e^{-F_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}}\right) \\
= & -\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x=0}^{n} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} p_{x^{\prime}} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{d}{d t} F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\right) \\
= & -\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x, x^{\prime} \mid x>x^{\prime}} p_{x^{\prime}} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{d}{d t} F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\right)-\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x, x^{\prime} \mid x^{\prime}>x} p_{x^{\prime}} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{d}{d t} F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\right) \\
= & -\sum_{\left(x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right) \in E} J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{d}{d t} F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\right)=-\left\langle\frac{d F}{d t}\right\rangle, \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used $F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=-F_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}$ and $F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}=0$. The second term is also calculated as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x}\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n}\left(\frac{d}{d t} W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}\right) e^{-F_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}}\right) \\
= & -\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x=0}^{n} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} p_{x^{\prime}} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} \frac{1}{W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}}\left(\frac{d}{d t} W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}\right) \\
= & -\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x, x^{\prime} \mid x^{\prime} \neq x} p_{x^{\prime}} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} \frac{1}{W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}}\left(\frac{d}{d t} W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}\right)-\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x} W_{x \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} \frac{1}{W_{x \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}}\left(\frac{d}{d t} W_{x \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\right) \\
= & -\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x, x^{\prime} \mid x>x^{\prime}} p_{x^{\prime}} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} \frac{1}{W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}}\left(\frac{d}{d t} W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}\right)+\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x}\left(\sum_{x^{\prime} \neq x} \frac{d}{d t} W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}\right) \\
= & -\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x, x^{\prime} \mid x \neq x^{\prime}} p_{x^{\prime}} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{d}{d t} \ln \left(W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}\right)\right)+\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x, x^{\prime} \mid x^{\prime} \neq x} p_{x^{\prime}} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{d}{d t} \ln \left(W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x, x^{\prime} \mid x^{\prime} \neq x} p_{x^{\prime}} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{d}{d t} \dot{s}_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{\text {bath }(\nu)}\right) \\
= & \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x, x^{\prime} \mid x>x^{\prime}} p_{x^{\prime}} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{d}{d t} \dot{s}_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{\text {bath }(\nu)}\right)-\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x, x^{\prime} \mid x>x^{\prime}} p_{x} W_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{d}{d t} \dot{s}_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{\text {bath }(\nu)}\right) \\
= & \sum_{\left(x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right) \in E} J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{d}{d t} \dot{s}_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{\text {bath }(\nu)}\right)=\left\langle\frac{d \dot{s}^{\text {bath }}}{d t}\right\rangle, \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used Eq. (2) in the main text, $\dot{s}_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{\mathrm{bath}(\nu)}=-\dot{s}_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{bath}(\nu)}$ and $\dot{s}_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{bath}(\nu)}=0$.
By using $F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=\dot{s}_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{\operatorname{bath}(\nu)}+\dot{s}_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{\text {sys }}$, we obtain Eq. (19) in the main text

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d s^{2}}{d t^{2}}=\left\langle\frac{d \dot{s}^{\text {bath }}}{d t}\right\rangle-\left\langle\frac{d F}{d t}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\frac{d \dot{s}^{\text {sys }}}{d t}\right\rangle \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also obtain the definition of the Fisher metric from the result (36)

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d s^{2}}{d t^{2}} & =\left\langle\frac{d \dot{s}^{\text {bath }}}{d t}\right\rangle-\left\langle\frac{d F}{d t}\right\rangle \\
& =-\sum_{\left(x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right) \in E} J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\left[\frac{1}{p_{x^{\prime}}} \frac{d p_{x^{\prime}}}{d t}-\frac{1}{p_{x}} \frac{d p_{x}}{d t}\right] \\
& =-\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{\nu^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x=0}^{n} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} \sum_{x^{\prime \prime}=0}^{n} p_{x^{\prime}} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\left[\frac{1}{p_{x^{\prime}}} W_{x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)} p_{x^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{1}{p_{x}} W_{x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow x}^{\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)} p_{x^{\prime \prime}}\right] \\
& =\sum_{x=0}^{n} p_{x}\left[\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} \frac{p_{x^{\prime}} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}}{p_{x}} \sum_{\nu^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime \prime}=0}^{n} \frac{p_{x^{\prime \prime}} W_{x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow x}^{\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)}}{p_{x}}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{d \ln p}{d t}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}} \frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial \lambda_{i}} \frac{d \lambda_{i}}{d t}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}} \sum_{j=1}^{n^{\prime}} \frac{d \lambda_{i}}{d t} g_{i j} \frac{d \lambda_{j}}{d t}, \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used $\sum_{x=0}^{n} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=0$ and the master equation $d p_{x} / d t=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} p_{x^{\prime}} W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}$.

## III. Linear irreversible thermodynamic interpretation of information geometry

We here discuss stochastic thermodynamic interpretation of information geometry for a near-equilibirum system. If we assume $F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=0$, we have $J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=0$. Thus, we have a linear expansion of thermodynamic force $F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}$ in terms of the thermodynamic flow $J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}$ for a near-equilibrium condition (i.e., $F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} \simeq 0$ for any $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} & =\ln \left(1+\frac{J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}}{W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} p_{x}}\right) \\
& =\alpha_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}+o\left(J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\right),  \tag{38}\\
\alpha_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} & :=\left.\frac{1}{W_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} p_{x}}\right|_{F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=0} . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

We call this coefficient $\alpha_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}$ as the Onsager coefficient of the edge $\left(x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right)$. The symmetry of the coefficient $\alpha_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=\alpha_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}$ holds due to the condition $F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=0$.

If we consider the Kirchhoff's current law in a stationary state, the linear combination of the coefficient $\alpha_{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}$ leads to a Onsager coefficient [51]. Let $\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}\right\}$ be the cycle basis of the Markov network for the master equation. The thermodynamic force of the cycle $F\left(C_{i}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(C_{i}\right)=\sum_{\left(x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right) \in E} S\left(\left\{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right\}, C_{i}\right) F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
S\left(\left\{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right\}, C_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \left(\left\{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right\} \in C_{i}\right)  \tag{41}\\ -1 & \left(\left\{x \rightarrow x^{\prime}, \nu\right\} \in C_{i}\right) \\ 0 & (\text { otherwise })\end{cases}
$$

The thermodynamic flow of the cycle $J\left(C_{i}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} S\left(\left\{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right\}, C_{i}\right) J\left(C_{i}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then obtain the linear relationship $J\left(C_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{j i} F\left(C_{i}\right)$ with the Onsager coefficient

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i j}=\sum_{\left(x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right) \in E} \alpha_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} S\left(\left\{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right\}, C_{i}\right) S\left(\left\{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right\}, C_{j}\right), \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a near-equilibrium condition, the second law of thermodynamics

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \dot{S}^{\text {tot }} \\
& =\sum_{\left(x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right) \in E} J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} \\
& =\sum_{\left(x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right) \in E} \sum_{i=1}^{m} S\left(\left\{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right\}, C_{i}\right) J\left(C_{i}\right) F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m} J\left(C_{i}\right) F\left(C_{i}\right), \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i j} F\left(C_{i}\right) F\left(C_{j}\right), \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

and the Onsager reciprocal relationship $L_{i j}=L_{j i}$. For $m=2$, the second law of thermodynamics $L_{11} F\left(C_{1}\right)^{2}+$ $L_{22} F\left(C_{2}\right)^{2}+2 L_{12} F\left(C_{1}\right) F\left(C_{2}\right) \geq 0$ is then given by $L_{11} \geq 0, L_{22} \geq 0$, and $L_{11} L_{22}-L_{12}^{2} \geq 0$.

For a near-equilibrium system, the square of line element is also given by

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =-\left\langle\frac{d \dot{s}^{\text {sys }}}{d t}\right\rangle d t^{2} \\
& =-\sum_{\left(x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right) \in E} J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\left[\frac{1}{p_{x^{\prime}}} \frac{d p_{x^{\prime}}}{d t}-\frac{1}{p_{x}} \frac{d p_{x}}{d t}\right] d t^{2} \\
& =-\sum_{\left(x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right) \in E} J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\left[\frac{1}{p_{x^{\prime}}} \sum_{\nu^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime \prime}=0}^{n} J_{x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)}-\frac{1}{p_{x}} \sum_{\nu^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime \prime}=0}^{n} J_{x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow x}^{\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)}\right] d t^{2} \\
& =\sum_{\left(x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right) \in E} \sum_{\nu^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime \prime}=0}^{n} J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\left[\frac{1}{p_{x}} J_{x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow x}^{\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)}-\frac{1}{p_{x^{\prime}}} J_{x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)}\right] d t^{2} \\
& =\sum_{\left(x^{\prime} \rightarrow x, \nu\right) \in E} \sum_{\nu^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x^{\prime \prime}=0}^{n} J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)}\left[\frac{1}{p_{x}} J_{x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow x}^{\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)}-\frac{1}{p_{x^{\prime}}} J_{x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)}\right] d t^{2} \\
& =\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{\nu^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x=0}^{n} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} \sum_{x^{\prime \prime}=0}^{n}\left[\frac{\left.J_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} J_{x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow x}^{\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)}\right] d t^{2}}{p_{x}}\right] \\
& =\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{\nu_{\nu^{\prime}=1}^{n}}^{n_{\text {bath }}^{n}} \sum_{x=0}^{n} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} \sum_{x^{\prime \prime}=0}^{n}\left[\frac{F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} F_{x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow x}^{\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)}}{\alpha_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{(\nu)} p_{x} \alpha_{x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow x}^{\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)}}\right] d t^{2} . \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

We here consider the situation that thermodynamic forces are assumed to be control parameters $F_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{\left(\nu_{x}\right)} d t:=d \lambda_{\left(x^{\prime}, x, \nu_{x}\right)}$. Then the square of line element can be written by the following Fisher metric

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =\sum_{\nu_{x}=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{x=0}^{n} \sum_{x^{\prime}=0}^{n} \sum_{\nu_{y}=1}^{n_{\text {bath }}} \sum_{y=0}^{n} \sum_{y^{\prime}=0}^{n} g_{\left(x^{\prime}, x, \nu_{x}\right)\left(y^{\prime}, y, \nu_{y}\right)} d \lambda_{\left(x^{\prime}, x, \nu_{x}\right)} d \lambda_{\left(y^{\prime}, y, \nu_{y}\right)}  \tag{46}\\
g_{\left(x^{\prime}, x, \nu_{x}\right)\left(y^{\prime}, y, \nu_{y}\right)} & =\frac{\delta_{x y}}{\alpha_{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x}^{\left(\nu_{x}\right)} p_{x} \alpha_{y^{\prime} \rightarrow y}^{\left(\nu_{y}\right)}} . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

This result implies that the Fisher metric is given by the Onsager coefficients of the edge for a near equilibrium condition. Because the Cramér-Rao bound [53, 54] implies that the variance of unbiased estimator for thermodynamic forces is bounded by the inverse of this Fisher metric, the Onsager coefficients of the edge gives the lower bound of the variance of unbiased estimator for thermodynamic forces in a near equilibrium condition.

## IV. Detail of the three states model of enzyme reaction

The master equation of the three states model of enzyme reaction is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d p_{A}}{d t} & =-\left(k_{A X+}+k_{A B+}\right)[X] p_{A}+k_{A B-} p_{A B}+k_{A X-} p_{A X} \\
\frac{d p_{A B}}{d t} & =k_{A B+} p_{A}-\left(k_{A B-}+k_{-}[X]\right) p_{A B}+k_{+}[B] p_{A X} \\
\frac{d p_{A X}}{d t} & =k_{A X+}[X] p_{A}+k_{-} p_{A B}-\left(k_{A X-}+k_{+}[B]\right) p_{A X} \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{-}, k_{A B-}, k_{A X-}$ are given by the local detailed balance

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln \frac{k_{A X+}}{k_{A X-}} & =\beta \Delta \mu_{A X} \\
\ln \frac{k_{A B+}}{k_{A B-}} & =\beta \Delta \mu_{A B} \\
\ln \frac{k_{+}}{k_{-}} & =\beta \Delta \mu \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

We here assume that the sum of the concentrations $[A]+[A B]+[A X]=n_{A}$ is a constant. The probabilities distributions $p_{A}, p_{A B}$, and $p_{A X}$ are then corresponding to the fractions of $[A] / n_{A},[A B] / n_{A}$ and $[A X] / n_{A}$. From the master equation (51), we obtain the rate equations of enzyme reaction

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d[A]}{d t} & =-\left(k_{A X+}+k_{A B+}\right)[X][A]+k_{A B-}[A B]+k_{A X-}[A X] \\
\frac{d[A B]}{d t} & =k_{A B+}[A]-\left(k_{A B-}+k_{-}[X]\right)[A B]+k_{+}[B][A X] \\
\frac{d[A X]}{d t} & =k_{A X+}[X][A]+k_{-}[A B]-\left(k_{A X-}+k_{+}[B]\right)[A X] \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

In this model, the stochastic entropy changes of heat bath are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{s}_{A \rightarrow A B}^{\mathrm{bath}(1)} & =\beta \Delta \mu_{A B}+\ln [B] \\
\dot{s}_{A B \rightarrow A X}^{\mathrm{bath}(1)} & =-\beta \Delta \mu-\ln [B]+\ln [X], \\
\dot{s}_{A X \rightarrow A B}^{\mathrm{bath}(1)} & =-\beta \Delta \mu_{A X}-\ln [X] . \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

If the entropy change of heat bath in a cycle $A \rightarrow A B \rightarrow A X \rightarrow A$ has non-zero value, i.e., $\dot{s}_{A \rightarrow A B}^{\text {bath(1) }}+\dot{s}_{A B \rightarrow A X}^{\text {bath(1) }}+$ $\dot{s}_{A X \rightarrow A B}^{\text {bath(1) }}=\beta\left(\Delta \mu_{A B}-\Delta \mu-\Delta \mu_{A X}\right) \neq 0$, the system is driven by thermodynamic forces in a cycle $F_{A \rightarrow A B}^{(1)}+F_{A B \rightarrow A X}^{(1)}+$ $F_{A X \rightarrow A}^{(1)} \neq 0$ and the system is in nonequilibrium. In numerical calculations, we set $\left(\Delta \mu_{A B}-\Delta \mu-\Delta \mu_{A X}\right) \neq 0$.

