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#### Abstract

We give an elementary characterization of rational functions among meromorphic functions in the complex plane.
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While entire functions (i.e., complex-valued functions differentiable in the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$ ) generalize polynomials, meromorphic functions in $\mathbf{C}$ (i.e., complexvalued functions differentiable in $\mathbf{C}$ except at poles) generalize rational functions. These are far-reaching generalizations and have been important objects in the function theory of complex variables and various applications. Characterizing polynomials among entire functions and rational functions among meromorphic functions is a natural goal. Different characterizations in different contexts can be found in the literature (see [1, p.52, [2], p.34, 3], p.143, etc.)

Given a polynomial $f=a_{m} z^{m}+\cdots+a_{1} z+a_{0}$ of degree $m$, it is immediate to see that $\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} z \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=m$. This limit condition turns out to be also sufficient for an entire function to be a polynomial of degree $m$ (see [4]). It is natural to ask if this condition can be pushed over to characterizing rational functions among meromorphic functions. This short note gives an affirmative answer to this question. The characterization, which is elementary and of a neat form, does not seem to have been observed before and we thought it might be of some use to present it to the reader.

Given a rational function $f$, we can write it as $f=\frac{P}{Q}$ with $P$ and $Q$ co-prime polynomials (i.e., $P$ and $Q$ have no common polynomial factors). The number $\operatorname{deg} P-\operatorname{deg} Q$, the difference between the degrees of $P$ and $Q$, is, by abuse of language, called the divisor of $f$. When $f$ reduces to a polynomial, the divisor is just the degree of the polynomial. We then have the following

Theorem 1 (Rational function characterization). A nonzero meromorphic function $f$ is a rational function of divisor $d$ if and only if $\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} z \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=d$.

Proof. The necessary condition is quite obvious. Write $f=\frac{P}{Q}$, where $P$ and $Q$ are co-prime polynomials of degree $m$ and $n$, respectively. By definition, $d=m-n$. It is easy to check that $\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}=\frac{P^{\prime}}{P}-\frac{Q^{\prime}}{Q}$. Thus,

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} z \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty}\left\{z \frac{P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)}-z \frac{Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right\}=m-n=d
$$

To prove sufficiency, we first show that $f$ must have finitely many zeros and poles. In fact, by the given limit condition, $\left|z \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leq M$ for some $M>0$ and sufficiently large $|z|$, say for $|z| \geq R>0$. Note that a zero or a pole $z_{0} \neq 0$ of $f$ must be a pole of $z \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$, which then tends to infinity as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$. Thus, $z_{0}$ must lie inside the disc $|z|<R$. This shows that all the zeros and poles lie inside this disc, and thus $f$ can only have finitely many zeros and poles (Otherwise, these zeros/poles would have a finite limit point, which implies that $f$ is identically zero or has a non-pole singularity, a contradiction). Assume that $f$ has $m$ zeros and $n$ poles (counting multiplicities/orders). We can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{p(z)}{q(z)} e^{g(z)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is an entire function, $p(z)=\left(z-a_{1}\right) \cdots\left(z-a_{m}\right), q(z)=\left(z-b_{1}\right) \cdots(z-$ $b_{n}$ ), and $a_{j}$ 's (resp. $b_{j}$ 's) are zeros (resp. poles) of $f$ (repeated according to their multiplicites/orders). Take $r>R$, then we have that $m-n=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} d z$, where the circle is traversed counterclockwise. This follows from the Argument Principle or from Cauchy's theorem directly using (1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} d z \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{d z}{z-a_{k}}-\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{d z}{z-b_{k}} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|z|=r} g^{\prime}(z) d z=m-n .
\end{aligned}
$$

But,

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} d z=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} r e^{i \theta} \frac{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)} d \theta \rightarrow d
$$

as $|z|=r \rightarrow \infty$, since

$$
r e^{i \theta} \frac{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}=z \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \rightarrow d
$$

as $|z|=r \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $\theta$ by the given condition of the theorem. Thus, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m-n=d \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty}\left(z \frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}-z \frac{q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right)=m-n
$$

and

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}-\frac{q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+g^{\prime}(z)
$$

which implies, by the given limit condition and (22), that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} z g^{\prime}(z)  \tag{3}\\
& =\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty}\left(z \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\left(z \frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}-z \frac{q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right)\right)=d-(m-n)=d-d=0 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the entire function $z g^{\prime}(z)$ is bounded and thus, by Liouville's theorem, must be constant, which is then identically 0 by (3). Hence, $g^{\prime}(z) \equiv 0$, i.e, $g$ is constant, from which it follows that $f=\frac{p(z)}{q(z)} e^{g(z)}$ is a rational function. This completes the proof.

From the sufficiency of Theorem 1 (cf. the proof), we see that if $\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} z \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=$ $d$ then $f$ is a rational function with $m$ zeros and $n$ poles satisfying that $m-n=d$, which generalizes the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra: A nonzero polynomial $f$ of degree $d$ clearly satisfies that $\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} z \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=d$ and thus has $d$ zeros (counting multiplicities).
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