

A NOTE ON THE ADHM DESCRIPTION OF QUOT SCHEMES OF POINTS ON AFFINE SPACES

ABDELMOUBINE A. HENNI AND DOUGLAS M. GUIMARÃES

ABSTRACT. We give an ADHM description of the Quot scheme of points $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(c, r)$, of length c and rank r on affine spaces \mathbb{C}^n which naturally extends both Baranovsky's representation of the punctual Quot scheme on a smooth surface and the Hilbert scheme of points on affine spaces \mathbb{C}^n , described by the first author and M. Jardim. Using results on the variety of commuting matrices, and combining them with our construction, we prove new properties concerning irreducibility and reducedness of $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(c, r)$ and its punctual version $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[p]}(c, r)$, where p is a fixed point on a smooth affine variety \mathbb{Y} . In this last case we also study a connectedness result, for some special cases of higher r and c .

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Commuting matrices and stable ADHM data | 3 |
| 3. Extended monads and perfect extended monads | 6 |
| 3.1. l -extended monads | 6 |
| 4. Quotients of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus r}$ supported on a zero-dimensional subscheme of \mathbb{P}^n | 7 |
| 4.1. The \mathbb{P}^3 case | 9 |
| 5. Relation with Quivers and their representations | 10 |
| 6. Irreducible components of the Quot scheme of points | 11 |
| 6.1. The punctual Quot scheme | 12 |
| Acknowledgments | 14 |
| References | 14 |

1. INTRODUCTION

For integers $r, c > 0$ we consider the Quot scheme $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c, r)$ of zero-dimensional quotients $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Y}}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}]$, of length c over a complex algebraic variety \mathbb{Y} . This Quot scheme was intensely studied by various authors especially when \mathbb{Y} is a smooth surface [2, 7, 12, 23]. In this case, $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c, r)$ is well known to be smooth and irreducible of dimension $c(r+1)$ [19, §II.6.A]. Moreover, when $\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{P}^2$, one can describe $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(c, r)$ in terms of linear data called ADHM (Atiyah-Drinfel'd-Hitchin-Manin) data [1, 2, 17, 18], as it appears in Baranovsky's proof of the irreducibility of the punctual Quot scheme [2, Appendix A], where the support of the quotient sheaf \mathcal{Q} is a single point. Such a description is a generalization of the Nakajima's representation of the Hilbert scheme of points on the complex plane [27].

The ADHM data appears to be very useful in various situations when one is concerned with explicit constructions of (framed) instanton sheaves on various algebraic varieties, see [3, 6, 17, 31, 36, 37] and the references therein. In particular, on surfaces, when the rank is 1 one gets those data for ideal sheaves of points. These algebraic data come from complexes called monads [31, Ch.II §3], [17], obtained in many cases from Beilinson's spectral sequence [31, Ch.II §3] by using some homological characterizations.

In [18, §3] the first author and Jardim introduced complexes called *perfect extended monads*, as a generalization of the usual monads, in order to study the Hilbert scheme of points on affine varieties of higher dimensions. Such complexes also appear as a result of some suitable homological characterization combined to Beilinson's spectral sequence. Furthermore, by looking at their automorphisms, one could get algebraic spaces of ADHM data that represent the Hilbert functor of points on affine varieties. This led us to prove that $\text{Hilb}^{[c]}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ is irreducible for $c \leq 10$, [18, Corollary 6.2]. The result was achieved by connecting the ADHM data to some results concerning the irreducibility of the variety of $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$ of n commuting $c \times c$ matrices [11, 20, 24, 33, 35]. We remark that, for \mathbb{C}^3 , the cases $c \leq 8$ were already known [5, 8]. But our new proof allowed to extend those results to the cases $c = 9$ and $c = 10$.

In this work, we make use of the results obtained in [18] in order to describe the Quot scheme of points in terms of perfect extended monads in addition to ADHM data. This leads us to prove some new results, namely;

First main result: (Corollary 6.2)

- (i) $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^3}(c, r)$ is irreducible for $c \leq 10$, for any $r \geq 1$.
- (ii) $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(c, r)$ is irreducible for $c \leq 3$ and $\forall n \geq 4$, and $r \geq 1$. Moreover, if $c = 2$ it is also reduced for every $n, r \geq 1$.

Moreover, by using results on nilpotent commuting matrices from [28, 29] we obtain new irreducibility properties of the punctual Quot schemes over an affine variety \mathbb{Y} of dimension n , in the first two items bellow. The third item, bellow, is a direct consequence of our construction and the ADHM stability (Definition 2.5):

Second main result: (Corollary 6.3 & Corollary 6.5)

- (i) $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[p]}(c, r)$ is irreducible for $c \leq 6$, for any $r \geq 1$ and $\dim \mathbb{Y} = 3$,
- (ii) $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[p]}(c, r)$ is irreducible for $c \leq 3$, for any $n, r \geq 1$. Moreover, for $c = 2$ it is reduced of dimension $2r + n - 3$ and for $c = 3$ its dimension is $2n + 3r - 5$.
- (iii) Let $n, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \geq n$. Then $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[p]}(c, r)$ is path connected.

In [16], Hartshorne proved that the Hilbert scheme is connected. Particularly, when the Hilbert polynomial is constant, this corresponds to the case $r = 1$. On the other hand, item (iii) is the most general result for higher c and r , and the only cases known to us are when a unique irreducible component exists.

The outline of this paper will be as the following: in section 2 we give a brief review on the variety of n commuting $c \times c$ matrices and ADHM data. Section 3 is devoted to l -extended and perfect monads. In section 4 we show the three folded relation between quotients of copies of the structure sheaf, of \mathbb{Y} , which are supported in zero dimension, perfect extended monads and their ADHM data. This will be useful in giving a proof of representability of the Quot functor under consideration.

Although the relation of bundles, ADHM data and representations of quivers is a part of a folklore [4, 9, 21, 26, 27], in Section 5, we show, in our special case, that the space of stable ADHM data and the space of ϑ –representations of a special quiver, with relations over \mathbb{Y} , for some generic stability parameter ϑ , lead to the same quotient, namely $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c, r)$.

Finally, in section 6 we prove the main results about irreducibility and connectedness.

2. COMMUTING MATRICES AND STABLE ADHM DATA

In this section we shall introduce the necessary material to our construction. Most of which is a generalization of the [18, Section 2] : let V be a complex vector space of dimension c and let $B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1} \in \text{End}(V)$ be n linear operators on V .

Definition 2.1. *The variety $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$ of n commuting linear operators on V is the subvariety of $\text{End}(V)^{\oplus n}$ given by*

$$\mathcal{C}(n, c) = \{ (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}) \in \text{End}(V)^{\oplus n} \mid [B_i, B_j] = 0, \forall i \neq j \}.$$

The commutation relations can be thought of as a system of $\binom{n}{2}c^2$ homogeneous equations of degree 2 in nc^2 variables.

The variety $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$ have been extensively studied by various authors since a 1961 paper by Gerstenhaber [11], with special attention to its irreducibility. We recall here some of the main results:

Theorem 2.2. *$\mathcal{C}(2, c)$ is irreducible for every c .*

Theorem 2.3. *$\mathcal{C}(3, c)$ is irreducible for $c \leq 10$ and reducible for $c \geq 29$.*

Theorem 2.4. *For $n \geq 4$, $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$ is irreducible if and only if $c \leq 3$.*

Theorem 2.2 is due Gerstenhaber [11, II Theorem 1] and Motzkin and Taussky [24]. Later Guralnick also gave a short proof of this result in [14]. One can also see [33, Theorem 7.6.1] for the proof.

Theorem 2.3 is a combination of various papers concerning irreducibility of $\mathcal{C}(3, c)$. In [20], Holbrook and Omaldič prove that for $c < 6$, $\mathcal{C}(3, c)$ is irreducible while for $c > 29$ it is reducible. Then Omaldič proved in [32] that $\mathcal{C}(3, c)$ is irreducible for $c = 6$, Han proved in [15] that $\mathcal{C}(3, 7)$ is irreducible and Šivic in [34] showed that $\mathcal{C}(3, 8)$ is irreducible. Finally, in [35], Šivic also proved that $\mathcal{C}(3, c)$, for $c = 9, 10$. In [33, Proposition 7.9.3] one can find a proof for $c \geq 29$.

Theorem 2.4 is the combination of [33, Proposition 7.6.8], for the “if” part and [33, Corollary 7.6.7], for the “only if” part.

Now define the affine space $\mathbb{B} := \text{End}(V)^{\oplus n} \oplus V^{\oplus r}$ whose points are represented by the $(n + r)$ -tuple $X = (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}, v_1, \dots, v_r)$ that will be called an *ADHM datum*. We then define the *variety of ADHM data* $\mathcal{U}(n, c, r)$ as the subvariety of \mathbb{B} given by

$$\mathcal{U}(n, c, r) := \mathcal{C}(n, c) \times V^{\oplus r}.$$

Definition 2.5. *An ADHM datum $X = (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}, v_1, \dots, v_r) \in \mathbb{B}$ is said to be stable if there is no proper subspace $S \subsetneq V$ such that*

$$B_0(S), B_1(S), \dots, B_{n-1}(S) \subseteq S,$$

and S contains all the vectors v_1, \dots, v_r .

The set of stable points in \mathbb{B} will be denoted by \mathbb{B}^{st} ; $\mathcal{U}(n, c, r)^{st} := \mathbb{B}^{st} \cap \mathcal{U}(n, c, r)$ will denote the set of stable points in $\mathcal{U}(n, c, r)$.

Next, we introduce the action of the linear group $G := GL(V)$ on \mathbb{B} . For all $g \in G$ and $X = (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}, v_1, \dots, v_r) \in \mathbb{B}$, this action is given by

$$g \cdot (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}, v_1, \dots, v_r) = (gB_0g^{-1}, \dots, gB_{n-1}g^{-1}, gv_1, \dots, gv_r).$$

For a fixed ADHM datum X , we will denote by G_X its stabilizer subgroup:

$$G_X := \{g \in G \mid gX = X\} \subseteq G.$$

It is easy to see that X is stable if and only if gX is stable, and that G acts on $\mathcal{U}(n, c, r)$.

We conclude this section with two results relating stability in the sense of Definition 2.5 with GIT stability, following the construction in [22, §2].

Proposition 2.6. *If $X \in \mathcal{U}(n, c, r)^{st}$, then its stabilizer subgroup G_X is trivial.*

Proof. Let $X = (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, v_1, \dots, v_r)$ be a stable ADHM datum and suppose that there exists an element $g \neq \mathbf{1}$ in G such that $gv_j = v_j$, for $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $gB_i g^{-1} = B_i$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. Then $\ker(g - \mathbf{1})$ is B_i -invariant, for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, and contains all v_i 's. Since X is stable, then $\ker(g - \mathbf{1}) \subset V$ must be equal to V . Hence g must be the identity. \square

Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{U}(n, c, r))$ be the ring of regular functions on $\mathcal{U}(n, c, r)$. Fix $l > 0$, and consider the group homomorphism $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ given by $\chi(g) = (\det g)^l$. This can be used for the construction of a suitable linearization of the G -action on $\mathcal{U}(n, c, r)$, that is, to lift the action of G on $\mathcal{U}(n, c, r)$ to an action on $\mathcal{U}(n, c, r) \times \mathbb{C}$ as follows: $g \cdot (X, z) := (g \cdot X, \chi(g)^{-1}z)$ for any ADHM datum $X \in \mathcal{U}(n, c, r)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then one can form the scheme

$$\mathcal{U}(n, c, r) //_{\chi} G := \text{Proj} \left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \Gamma(\mathcal{U}(n, c, r))^{G, \chi^i} \right)$$

where

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{U}(n, c, r))^{G, \chi^i} := \{f \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}(n, c, r)) \mid f(g \cdot X) = \chi(g)^{-1} \cdot f(X), \quad \forall g \in G\}.$$

The scheme $\mathcal{U}(n, c, r) //_{\chi} G$ is projective over the ring $\Gamma(\mathcal{U}(n, c, r))^G$ and quasi-projective over \mathbb{C} .

Proposition 2.7. *The orbit $G \cdot (X, z)$ is closed, for $z \neq 0$, if and only if the ADHM datum $X \in \mathcal{U}(n, c, r)$ is stable.*

Proof. The proof is similar to [17, Proposition 2.10]. \square

From Propositions 2.6, 2.7 and of [25, Theorem 1.10], we conclude that the quotient space $\mathcal{M}(n, c, r) := \mathcal{U}(n, c, r) //_{\chi} G$ is a good categorical quotient. Moreover, we conclude from Proposition 2.7, that

$$\mathcal{M}(n, c, r) = \mathcal{U}(n, c, r)^{st} / G,$$

since the GIT quotient $\mathcal{M}(n, c, r)$ is the space of orbits $G \cdot X \subset \mathcal{U}(n, c, r)$ such that the lifted orbit $G \cdot (X, z)$ is closed within $\mathcal{U}(n, c, r) \times \mathbb{C}$ for all $z \neq 0$.

In this work we consider the Quot scheme $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(c, r)$ classifying quotients $[\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}]^{\oplus r} \twoheadrightarrow V]$, where $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}]$ is the polynomial ring in n indeterminates and V is an n -dimensional complex vector space.

The existence of its schematic structure is a special case of the general result of Grothendieck [13]. The reader may also consult [30] for more general results and examples. An explicit construction of the punctual Quot scheme on the affine plane is given by Baranovsky [2, Appendix A].

We conclude this section by stating the following:

Theorem 2.8. *There exists a set-theoretical bijection between the quotient space $\mathcal{M}(n, c, r)$ and the Quot scheme $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(c, r)$.*

Proof. This is achieved by constructing, for every stable ADHM datum

$$X = (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, v_1, \dots, v_r) \in \mathcal{U}(n, c, r)^{\text{st}},$$

the surjective map:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_X : \quad \mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}]^{\oplus r} &\longrightarrow V \\ (p_j(z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}))_{j \in \{1, \dots, r\}} &\longmapsto \sum_{j=1}^r p_j(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1})v_j. \end{aligned}$$

Where v_j is just the image of the unit element in the j -th copy of $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}]$, i.e., $\Phi_X(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0) = v_j$. Moreover, the map Φ_X is clearly surjective, otherwise there is a proper subspace S , of V , which is B_i -invariant for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and containing all of the vectors v_1, \dots, v_r .

On the other hand, given a surjective map $\Phi : \mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}]^{\oplus r} \longrightarrow V$, the natural action of the coordinate z_i on the free module $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}]^{\oplus r}$ induces an action on the vector space V by means of some endomorphism B_i . We also define v_j by $\Phi(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$. Thus, we obtained a datum $X_\Phi = (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, v_1, \dots, v_r)$. Furthermore, since Φ is surjective, then V is generated, as a $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}]$ -module, by vectors of the form $\sum_{\alpha_{j,i}} a_{\alpha_{j,i}} B_0^{\alpha_{j,0}} \cdot B_1^{\alpha_{j,1}} \dots B_{n-1}^{\alpha_{j,n-1}} \cdot v_j$, in other words

$$\mathcal{A} := \bigoplus_{j=1, \dots, r} \langle B_0^{\alpha_{j,0}} \cdot B_1^{\alpha_{j,1}} \dots B_{n-1}^{\alpha_{j,n-1}} \cdot v_j \mid \alpha_{j,i} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rangle$$

is the vector space V .

Proposition 2.9. *For every $X = (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}, v_1, \dots, v_r)$ one has*

- (i) $\mathcal{A} \subseteq V$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{A} = V$ if, and only if, X is stable.

Proof. The first statement is obvious. For the second statement, we assume that \mathcal{A} is a proper subspace of V . Then one has $B_i(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, $\forall i = 0, \dots, n-1$ and $\text{Im}(v_i) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Hence the datum is not stable. Finally, if X is not stable. Let $S \subsetneq V$ the stabilizing subspace, i.e., S is B_i -invariant, for all i , and contains all v_j . It follows that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq S \subsetneq V$. Thus it cannot be V . □

Thus, as one might expect, the datum X_Φ obtained from $\Phi : \mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}]^{\oplus r} \longrightarrow V$, is stable. Showing the bijection is an exercise left to the reader. □

3. EXTENDED MONADS AND PERFECT EXTENDED MONADS

This section is a reminder of some useful results from [18, Section 3]. The proofs will be omitted since they can be found in the above reference.

Let X be a smooth projective algebraic variety of dimension n over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} , and let $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ be a polarization on it.

3.1. l -extended monads.

Definition 3.1. *An l -extended monad over X is a complex*

$$(1) \quad C^\bullet : \quad 0 \rightarrow C^{-l-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l-1}} C^{-l} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l}} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}} C^{-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}} C^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} C^1 \rightarrow 0$$

of locally free sheaves over X which is exact at all but the 0-th position, i.e. $\mathcal{H}^i(C^\bullet) = \ker \alpha_i / \operatorname{Im} \alpha_{i-1} = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. The coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{H}^0(C^\bullet) = \ker \alpha_0 / \operatorname{Im} \alpha_{-1}$ will be called the cohomology of C^\bullet .

Note that a monad on X , in the usual sense, is just a 0-extended monad.

Moreover, one can associate to any l -extended monad C^\bullet a *display* of exact sequences as the following

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & C^1 & = & C^1 \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & \alpha_0 & & \\ 0 \rightarrow & C^{-l-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l-1}} & C^{-l} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}} C^{-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}} C^0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0 \\ & \parallel & & \parallel & & & \parallel \\ 0 \rightarrow & C^{-l-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l-1}} & C^{-l} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}} C^{-1} \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & & & \uparrow \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{array}$$

where $K := \ker \alpha_0$ and $Q := \operatorname{coker} \alpha_{-1}$.

An l -extended monad can be broken into the following two complexes: first,

$$(3) \quad N^\bullet : \quad 0 \longrightarrow C^{-l-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l-1}} C^{-l} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-l}} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-3}} C^{-2} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}} C^{-1} \xrightarrow{J_{-1}} \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0$$

which is exact, and a locally free resolution of the sheaf $\mathcal{G} = \operatorname{coker} \alpha_{-2}$, and

$$(4) \quad M^\bullet : \quad \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{I_{-1}} C^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} C^1$$

where $I_{-1} \circ J_{-1} = \alpha_{-1}$. M^\bullet is a monad-like complex in which the coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} might not be locally free; indeed, \mathcal{G} is not locally free for the extended monads describing ideal sheaves of 0-dimensional subschemes, the situation most relevant to the present paper.

For a given l -extended monad, we refer to the complexes M^\bullet and N^\bullet as the *associated resolution* and the *associated monad*, respectively.

Definition 3.2. A perfect extended monad on a n -dimensional projective variety X is a $(n-2)$ -extended monad P^\bullet on X of the following form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(1-n)^{\oplus a_{1-n}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1-n}} \mathcal{O}_X(2-n)^{\oplus a_{2-n}} \longrightarrow \\ \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}} \mathcal{O}_X(-1)^{\oplus a_{-1}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}} \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus a_0} \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \mathcal{O}_X(1)^{\oplus a_1} \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

for some integers a_i , $1-n \leq i \leq 1$.

We denote by $Kom^b(X)$ the category whose objects are bounded complexes of sheaves over the projective scheme X and whose morphisms are degree zero morphisms of complexes. For standard results about this category one might consult [10, Chapter III, §1.]

We recall to the reader that a projective scheme X is *arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay*, or simply *ACM*, if its homogeneous coordinate ring is Cohen-Macaulay ring. Moreover let us denote by \mathfrak{Per} the full subcategory of $Kom^b(X)$ consisting of perfect extended monads. In this case, the cohomology functor

$$H : \mathfrak{Per}(X) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(X)$$

is full and faithful [18, Corollary 3.5]. For projective spaces, one can characterize sheaves which are in the image of the functor H $n \geq 2$ as in the following.

Proposition 3.3. If \mathcal{E} is the cohomology of a perfect extended monad on \mathbb{P}^n ($n \geq 2$) then:

- (i) $H^0(\mathcal{E}(k)) = 0$ for $k < 0$;
- (ii) $H^n(\mathcal{E}(k)) = 0$ for $k > -n-1$;
- (iii) $H^i(\mathcal{E}(k)) = 0 \forall k, 2 \leq i \leq n-1$, when $n \geq 3$.

Now let us denote by $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{-p}$ the bundle of holomorphic $(-p)$ -forms on \mathbb{P}^n , where $p \leq 0$ in our convention.

Proposition 3.4. If a coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^n ($n \geq 2$) satisfies:

- (i) $H^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = H^n(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{E}(-n)) = 0$;
- (ii) $H^q(\mathcal{E}(k)) = 0 \quad \forall k, \quad 2 \leq q \leq n-1$ when $n \geq 3$;
- (iii) $H^1(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{-p}(-p-1)) \neq 0$ for $-n \leq p \leq 0$;

then \mathcal{E} is the cohomology of a perfect extended monad.

4. QUOTIENTS OF $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus r}$ SUPPORTED ON A ZERO-DIMENSIONAL SUBSCHEME OF \mathbb{P}^n

We now consider sheaves \mathcal{E} of rank r on \mathbb{P}^n fitting in the following short exact sequence

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{Q} is a pure torsion sheaf of length c supported on a 0-dimensional subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$.

Note that the Chern character of \mathcal{E} is given by $ch(\mathcal{E}) = r - cH^n$, and that \mathcal{E} is necessarily torsion free. Such sheaves can also be regarded as points in the Quot scheme $Quot^{P=c}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus r})$.

One can easily see that \mathcal{E} is μ -semi-stable; A subsheaf \mathcal{F} , of \mathcal{E} , should satisfy $c_1(\mathcal{F}) \leq 0$ since it is also a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus r}$.

In the case $r = 1$, it is clear that \mathcal{E} is the sheaf of ideals in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ associated to the zero-dimensional subscheme Z .

Proposition 4.1. *Every sheaf \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^n given by sequence (5) is the cohomology of a perfect extended monad P^\bullet with terms of the form $P^{-i} := V_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(i)$, $i = 1 - n, \dots, 0, 1$, where*

$$(6) \quad V_i := H^1(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{1-i}(-i)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{Q} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{1-i}(-i)).$$

Furthermore, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$(7) \quad V_1 \cong H^0(\mathcal{Q})$$

$$(8) \quad V_i \cong \begin{cases} V_1^{\oplus n} \oplus \mathbb{C}^r & \text{for } i = 0 \\ V_1^{\oplus \binom{n}{1-i}} & \text{for } i < 0 \end{cases}$$

One can repeat the procedure used in [18, Section 4] to obtain the following reduced form of the perfect extended monad maps

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= (B_0 z_n - z_0 \quad B_1 z_n - z_1 \quad \cdots \quad B_{n-1} z_n - z_{n-1}, \quad v_1 z_n \quad \cdots \quad v_r z_n) \\ \alpha_{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & A_1 & \cdots & A_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

where each block A_i , $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ is an $[n \cdot c \times (n-i-1) \cdot c]$ -matrix of the form

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ B_{i+1} z_n - z_{i+1} & B_{i+2} z_n - z_{i+2} & B_{i+3} z_n - z_{i+3} & \cdots & B_{n-1} z_n - z_{n-1} \\ -B_i z_n + z_i & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -B_i z_n + z_i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -B_i z_n + z_i & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -B_i z_n + z_i \end{pmatrix}$$

The first non vanishing line in A_i is $(i+1)$ -est one. For instance, when $n = 3$ there are two blocks

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 z_3 - z_1 & B_2 z_3 - z_2 \\ -B_0 z_3 + z_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -B_0 z_3 + z_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ B_2 z_3 - z_2 \\ -B_1 z_3 + z_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

of respective sizes $[3c \times 2c]$ and $[3c \times c]$. The reader may check that $\alpha_0 \circ \alpha_{-1} = 0 \Leftrightarrow [B_i, B_j] = 0$, for all $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$, and that the following result holds, as in [18, Lemma 4.4 & Theorem 4.5].

Theorem 4.2 (Inverse construction).

- (i) *The map α_0 given above is surjective if and only if the ADHM datum $(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, v_1, \dots, v_r)$ is stable.*

- (ii) To a stable ADHM datum $X = (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, v_1, \dots, v_r) \in \mathcal{U}(n, c, r)^{st}$ one can associate the perfect extended monad as in (4.1) with maps $\alpha_{-2}, \alpha_{-1}, \alpha_0$ given as in (9), such that its cohomology is an ideal sheaf whose restriction to $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \wp$ is isomorphic to the one given by Theorem 2.8.

We end this section by mentioning the following results about the representability of the Quot functor:

Theorem 4.3. *The scheme $\mathcal{M}(n, c, r)$ is a fine moduli space for the Quot functor $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(c, r)(\cdot)$ on \mathbb{C}^n .*

Proof. The proof is similar, *mutatis mutandis*, to that of [17, Theorem 4.2]. \square

A similar result also holds for affine varieties, in general. Following the notations in [18, Section 5], we let $\mathbb{Y} = \mathcal{Z}(Z_{\mathbb{Y}}) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an affine variety, given by the zero locus of the ideal $Z_{\mathbb{Y}} \subsetneq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. We denote by $A(\mathbb{Y})$ the affine coordinate ring of the variety \mathbb{Y} , i.e., $A(\mathbb{Y}) = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{Z_{\mathbb{Y}}}$.

Defining the set

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c, r)^{st} := \{(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, v_1, \dots, v_r) \in \mathcal{U}(n, c, r)^{st} \mid \begin{array}{l} f(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}) = 0, \\ \forall f \in Z_{\mathbb{Y}} \end{array}\}.$$

we have the following generalization of [18, Theorem 5.1]:

Theorem 4.4. *The scheme $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c, r) := \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c, r)^{st} / GL(V)$ is a fine moduli space for the Quot functor $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c, r)(\cdot)$ on \mathbb{Y} .*

4.1. The \mathbb{P}^3 case. Now, we fix a hyperplane $\wp \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. We shall describe how to get linear algebraic data out of the perfect extended monad corresponding to a quotient $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus r} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ where the purely torsion sheaf \mathcal{Q} is supported on a 0-dimensional subscheme.

We first choose homogeneous coordinates $[z_0; z_1; z_2; z_3]$ on \mathbb{P}^3 in such a way that the hyperplane \wp is given by the equation $z_3 = 0$. We also regard such coordinates as a basis for the space of global sections $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$.

By Proposition 4.1, there is a perfect extended monad P^\bullet with cohomology equal to the ideal sheaf \mathcal{E} . It is given by

$$(10) \quad 0 \longrightarrow V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-2}} V_1^{\oplus 3} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{-1}} (V_1^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathbb{C}^r) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\alpha_0} \longrightarrow V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the maps α_{-2} , α_{-1} and α_0 are given by:

$$(11) \quad \alpha_{-2} = \begin{pmatrix} -B_2 z_3 + z_2 \\ B_1 z_3 - z_1 \\ -B_0 z_3 + z_0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \alpha_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 z_3 - z_1 & B_2 z_3 - z_2 & 0 \\ -B_0 z_3 + z_0 & 0 & B_2 z_3 - z_2 \\ 0 & -B_0 z_3 + z_0 & -B_1 z_3 + z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$\alpha_0 = \begin{pmatrix} B_0 z_3 - z_0 & B_1 z_3 - z_1 & B_2 z_3 - z_2 & v_1 z_n & \cdots & v_r z_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

such that

$$(12) \quad [B_0, B_1] = 0; \quad [B_0, B_2] = 0; \quad [B_1, B_2] = 0,$$

Moreover the ADHM datum $(B_0, B_1, B_2, v_1, \dots, v_r) \in \text{End}(V_1)^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_1^{\oplus r}$ is indeed stable.

5. RELATION WITH QUIVERS AND THEIR REPRESENTATIONS

Another way of reorganizing the linear algebraic data is through the notion of *quivers* [4, 9, 21, 22, 26, 27]. A quiver \mathcal{Q} is the data $(\mathcal{Q}_0, \mathcal{Q}_1, s, t : \mathcal{Q}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_0)$ consisting of a finite set \mathcal{Q}_0 of vertices, a finite set \mathcal{Q}_1 of arrows between the vertices and maps, s and t , assigning to every arrow ρ , the vertex $t(\rho)$, called its target, and the vertex $s(\rho)$, called its source.

A \mathcal{Q} -representation R of a quiver \mathcal{Q} is given by $R = \{(V_\nu, b_\rho)_{\nu \in \mathcal{Q}_0, \rho \in \mathcal{Q}_1} | b_\rho : V_{s(\rho)} \rightarrow V_{t(\rho)}\}$, where V_ν is a \mathbb{C} -vector space and b_ρ is a \mathbb{C} -linear map. For each \mathcal{Q} -representation, one can associate the vector $\vec{m} := (\dim V_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathcal{Q}_0}$ called the *dimension vector*. A morphism of \mathcal{Q} -representations $R = (V_\nu, b_\rho)$ and $S = (S_\nu, a_\rho)$ is collection of \mathbb{C} -linear maps $L_\nu : V_\nu \rightarrow S_\nu$, for all $\nu \in \mathcal{Q}_0$, making the following diagram commute:

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_{s(\rho)} & \xrightarrow{b_\rho} & V_{t(\rho)} \\ L_{s(\rho)} \downarrow & & \downarrow L_{t(\rho)} \\ S_{s(\rho)} & \xrightarrow{a_\rho} & S_{t(\rho)} \end{array}$$

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{Q}_1$.


A path of length l of a quiver \mathcal{Q} is a composition of l arrows in \mathcal{Q}_1 , i.e., $\rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_l$ with $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ satisfying $t(\rho_i) = s(\rho_{i+1})$. The path algebra of a quiver \mathcal{Q} is a \mathbb{C} -vector space spanned by paths in \mathcal{Q} :

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}] := \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} \bigoplus_{\substack{\rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_l \\ t(\rho_i) = s(\rho_{i+1})}} \mathbb{C} \cdot \rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_l.$$

A *relation* on a quiver is a formal \mathbb{C} -linear combination of paths in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}]$, and a quiver with relations is a pair (\mathcal{Q}, I) consisting of a quiver and an ideal $I \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Q}]$, of relations.

As in [4, §3. p.870] one can define a *framed quiver with relations* as the triple (\mathcal{Q}, I, h) , where (\mathcal{Q}, I) is a quiver with relations and $h : V_{\nu_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ is a fixed isomorphism, for a fixed vertex $\nu_0 \in \mathcal{Q}_0$.

In view of theorems 4.3 and 4.4 we will reinterpret the Quot scheme $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c, r)$ as the moduli space of \mathbb{C} -linear representations of the following framed quiver with relations:



that we will call the *extended ADHM quiver*, and denote by $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Y}}$. The framing is given by $h : \mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and the ideal of relations, over \mathbb{Y} , is given by $\langle f(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}), [B_i, B_j] \rangle$

, for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ and $f \in Z_{\mathbb{Y}}$, as in the proof of Theorem 4.3. We will introduce a notion of stability, in order to define the moduli space of representations of the above quiver. As in [22] we define a stability condition with respect to the parameter $\vartheta = (\theta, \theta_{\infty})$ satisfying $c \cdot \theta + \theta_{\infty} = 0$.

Definition 5.1. *A representation R , with dimension vector $(c, 1)$, of the extended ADHM quiver $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Y}}$ is said to be ϑ (semi-)stable if for any subrepresentation, S of R , the following conditions are satisfied*

- (i) *if S has dimension vector $(c', 0)$, then $c' \cdot \theta \ (\leq) < 0$, and*
- (ii) *if S has dimension vector $(c', 1)$, then $c' \cdot \theta + \theta_{\infty} \ (\leq) < 0$.*

We remark that the above definition, coincides with the definition of King's stability [22, §3].

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose $\theta < 0$. Then a representation R , of the extended ADHM quiver $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Y}}$, with dimension vector $(c, 1)$ is ϑ -stable if and only if R is ϑ -semi-stable and if the stability condition 2.5 is satisfied.*

Proof. Suppose R is ϑ -semi-stable and assume that there is a subspace $S \subsetneq V$ such that $B_i(S) \subseteq S$ and $\text{Im}(v_j) \subseteq S$, $\forall i = 0, \dots, n-1$, $\forall j = 1, \dots, r$. Then S has dimension vector $(c', 1)$ with $0 \leq c' < c$. It follows that $c' \cdot \theta + \theta_{\infty} = (c' - c) \cdot \theta > 0$, since $\theta_{\infty} = c \cdot \theta$. But this is absurd. Hence stability condition 2.5 must be satisfied. On the other hand, suppose that stability condition 2.5 is satisfied. It follows that every subrepresentation has a dimension vector $(c', 0)$. Thus $c\theta < 0$, and it follows that R is stable. \square

This lemma insures that there exists a notion of generic stability parameters for any dimension vector $(c, 1)$. One can form the quotient $\mathcal{Rer}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Y}}}^{\text{st}}(c, 1) //_{\vartheta} GL(V)$, of the space of ϑ -stable representations of the extended ADHM quiver, with fixed dimension vector $(c, 1)$, with respect to the action of $GL(V)$. Then by Lemma 5.2, Theorems 4.4, 4.3 and universality of the Quot scheme one has

$$\mathcal{Rer}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Y}}}^{\text{st}}(c, 1) //_{\vartheta} GL(V) = \text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}(c, r).$$

6. IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS OF THE QUOT SCHEME OF POINTS

Not much is known about the irreducible components of the Quot scheme $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(c, r)$, in general, except for $n = 2$, [7] & [2, Appendix. A]. In this section, we answer the question in the higher dimensional case, at least in some cases for small values of c .

Proposition 6.1. *The number of irreducible components of $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(c, r)$ is smaller than, or equal to, the number of irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$. In particular, if $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$ is irreducible, then $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(c, r)$ is also irreducible.*

Proof. As in [18, Proposition 6.2], the number of irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}(n, c)$ is the same as the number of irreducible components of $\mathcal{U}(n, c, r) := \mathcal{C}(n, c) \times V^{\oplus r}$. Let $\mathcal{U}_1(n, c, r), \dots, \mathcal{U}_p(n, c, r)$ denote the irreducible components of $\mathcal{U}(n, c, r)$, and set $\mathcal{U}_l(n, c, r)^{\text{st}} := \mathcal{U}_l(n, c, r) \cap \mathcal{U}(n, c, r)^{\text{st}}$, with $l = 1, \dots, p$.

Some components of $\mathcal{U}(n, c, r)$, possibly, do not contain any stable points; then up to reordering the irreducible components of $\mathcal{U}(n, c, r)$ we have $\mathcal{U}_l(n, c, r)^{\text{st}} \neq \emptyset$ for $l = 1, \dots, q$ and $\mathcal{U}_l(n, c, r)^{\text{st}} = \emptyset$ for $l = q+1, \dots, p$.

Moreover, by the irreducibility of $G := GL(V)$, when $x \in \mathcal{U}_l(n, c, r)^{\text{st}}$, one has $G \cdot x \subset \mathcal{U}_l(n, c, r)^{\text{st}}$. Note also that

$$\mathcal{U}_l(n, c, r) //_{\chi} G = \mathcal{U}_l(n, c, r)^{\text{st}} / G$$

is irreducible, for each $l = 1, \dots, q$.

Since the GIT quotient $\mathcal{M}(n, c, r)$ coincides, by Proposition 2.7, with the set of stable G -orbits, we have that

$$\mathcal{M}(n, c, r) = (\mathcal{U}_1(n, c, r)^{\text{st}}/G) \cup \dots \cup (\mathcal{U}_q(n, c, r)^{\text{st}}/G)$$

and the desired conclusion follows from Theorem 4.3. \square

Corollary 6.2.

- (i) $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^3}(c, r)$ is irreducible for $c \leq 10$, for any $r \geq 1$.
- (ii) $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(c, r)$ is irreducible for $c \leq 3$ and $\forall n \geq 4$, and $r > 0$. Moreover, if $c = 2$ it is also reduced for every $n, r \geq 1$.

Proof. Combining Proposition 6.1 with Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 we obtain the results in items (i), and the irreducibility part of (ii), respectively.

For reducedness, we have that $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)$ is irreducible and normal by [28, Theorem 5.2.8]. Then the open dense $\mathcal{U}(n, 2, r)^{\text{st}} \subset \mathcal{C}(n, 2) \times V^{\oplus r}$ is also irreducible and normal. Remark that a normal scheme is reduced. Hence by [25, p. 5] the quotient of $\mathcal{U}(n, 2, r)^{\text{st}}$ by G is also reduced. \square

6.1. The punctual Quot scheme. In the following we will denote by $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[p]}(c, r)$, the punctual Quot scheme of points on a given smooth affine variety \mathbb{Y} , of dimension n . In this case, the quotient sheaf \mathcal{Q} , in (5), is supported on a single point p . Since the matter is local, we can clearly set $\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{C}^n$ and choose the topological support p to be the origin $O = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Moreover, the coordinates of the topological support are given by the spectra of the matrices B_i , $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. Hence B_i should be nilpotent for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. As usual, the nilpotent case is more difficult than the general one, especially in studying the irreducible components. However using the same argument of Proposition 6.1; one has the following:

Corollary 6.3.

- (i) $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[p]}(c, r)$ is irreducible for $c \leq 6$, for any $r \geq 1$ and $\dim \mathbb{Y} = 3$,
- (ii) $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[p]}(c, r)$ is irreducible for $c \leq 3$, for any $n, r \geq 1$. Moreover, for $c = 2$ it is reduced of dimension $2r + n - 3$ and for $c = 3$ its dimension is $2n + 3r - 5$.

Proof. (i) This result is obtained from Proposition 6.1 by replacing $\mathcal{U}(n, c, r)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(n, c, r) &:= \{X \in \mathcal{U}(n, c, r) \mid B_i \text{ is nilpotent}, \forall i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}\} \\ &= \mathcal{N}(n, c) \times V^{\oplus r}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{N}(n, c)$ is the variety of n commuting nilpotent matrices, i.e., n -tuples (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}) of $c \times c$ matrices such that B_i is nilpotent and $[B_i, B_j] = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1$. Theorem 4.4 insures that the GIT quotient $\mathcal{N}(n, c, r)^{\text{st}}/G$ is indeed $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{C}^3}^{[p]}(c, r)$. The result follows from the irreducibility of $\mathcal{N}(3, c)$ for $c \leq 6$ as proved in [29, Theorem 24].

- (ii) Remark that $\mathcal{N}(n, c)$ can also be seen as the nilpotent commuting variety over \mathfrak{sl}_c , since a nilpotent element $B \in \mathfrak{gl}_c$, satisfies $\text{tr}(B) = 0$ and is always in \mathfrak{sl}_c . The cases $c = 2, 3$ were treated in [28, §5.1 & §7]; for $c = 2$, $\mathcal{N}(n, 2)$ is irreducible of dimension $n + 1$, by [28, Proposition 5.1.1], it follows that

$\mathcal{N}(n, 2, r)^{st}$ is irreducible of dimension $2r + n + 1$. Hence, accounting for the quotient under the $GL(V)$ action, one gets $\dim \text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[p]}(2, r) = 2r + n - 3$. Irreducibility follows by applying Proposition 6.1 as in item (i). Moreover, it has been proved in [28, Theorem 5.2.8], that $\mathcal{N}(n, 2, r)$ is normal, hence reduced. Then, also $\mathcal{N}(n, 2, r)^{st}$ is reduced, and since the categorical quotient of a reduced scheme is also reduced, one obtains that $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[p]}(2, r)$ is reduced.

For $c = 3$ one can apply the same idea, as above, using the fact that $\mathcal{N}(n, 3)$ is irreducible of dimension $2n + 4$ as given by [28, Theorem 7.1.2].

□

We finish this section by adding another result on the connectedness of the punctual Quot scheme of points $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[p]}(c, r)$, on a given smooth affine variety \mathbb{Y} , for some values of c and r .

Theorem 6.4. *$\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[p]}(c, c)$, is path connected for all $c \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $n = \dim \mathbb{Y}$.*

Proof. Let $X = (B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, v_1, \dots, v_c) \in \mathcal{U}(n, c, c)^{st}$. Note that, for a basis $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^c$, we have $Y = (0, \dots, 0, e_1, \dots, e_c) \in \mathcal{U}(n, c, c)^{st}$. Now, among the vectors v_1, \dots, v_c , we consider only those who are linearly independent and write v_1, \dots, v_k with $k \leq c$.

Complete the list v_1, \dots, v_k to a basis for V , say

$$v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_{c-k}.$$

In particular, the vectors w_1, \dots, w_{c-k} are given by the action, of some polynomials in the matrices B_0, \dots, B_{n-1} , on the vectors v_1, \dots, v_k , i.e.,

$$w_i = \sum B_0^{\alpha_1} \dots B_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} v_j,$$

for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$.

Let g be the matrix that has the vectors $v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_{c-k}$ as its columns, then $g \in GL(V)$. Therefore, acting with g on Y we obtain

$$g \cdot (0, \dots, 0, e_1, \dots, e_c) = (0, \dots, 0, v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_{c-k}).$$

Now, consider $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(n, c, c)^{st}$, defined by

$$\varphi(t) = (tB_0, \dots, tB_{n-1}, v_1 \dots, v_k, w_1(1-t) + v_{k+1}t, \dots, w_{c-k}(1-t) + v_ct).$$

To see that $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{U}(n, c, c)^{st}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ note that for $t = 0$, $\varphi(t)$ is stable because $v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_{c-k}$ is a basis for V . For $t \neq 0$, we have

$$V = \langle v_1, \dots, v_k, B_0^{\alpha_1} \dots B_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} v_j \rangle,$$

with $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, since X is stable. Thus

$$V = \langle v_1, \dots, v_k, (tB_0)^{\alpha_1} \dots (tB_{n-1})^{\alpha_{n-1}} v_j \rangle,$$

and $\varphi(t)$ is stable for all t .

It is also clear that the commutation relations are preserved and tB_j is nilpotent for all j . Hence every stable datum X can be connected to $\varphi(1) = Y$ as desired. □

Using the same idea in the proof of Theorem 6.4, above, we are able to conclude the following:

Corollary 6.5. *Let $n, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \geq n$. Then $\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{Y}}^{[p]}(c, r)$ is path connected.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author would like to thank Marcos Jardim for the useful remarks about the first draft of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin, V.G. Drinfel'd, Yu. I. Manin; Construction of Instantons. Phys. Lett. A **65** (3) (1978), 185-187.
- [2] V. Baranovsky; Moduli of Sheaves on Surfaces and Action of the Oscillator Algebra. J. Differential Geom. **55** (2) (2000), 193-227.
- [3] W. Barth; Moduli of vector bundles on the projective plane. Inv. Math. **42** (1977), 63-91.
- [4] U. Bruzzo, W.-Y. Chuang, D.-E. Diaconescu, M. Jardim, G. Pan, Y. Zhang; D-branes, surface operators, and ADHM quiver representations. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **15** (2011), 849-911.
- [5] D. Cartwright, D. Erman, M. Velasco, B. Viray; Hilbert schemes of 8 points. Algebra Number Theory **3** (2009), 763-795.
- [6] S. K. Donaldson; Instantons and geometric invariant theory. Comm. Math. Phys. **93** (1984), 453-460.
- [7] G. Ellingsrud, M. Lehn; Irreducibility of the punctual quotient scheme of a surface. Ark. Mat. **37** (2)(1999), 245-254.
- [8] J. Fogarty; Algebraic families on an algebraic surface. Amer. J. Math. **90** (1968), 511-521.
- [9] E. Franco, M. Jardim, S. Marchesi; Branes in the moduli space of framed instantons. Bull. Sci. Math. **141** **4** (2017), 353-383.
- [10] S. Gelfand, Y. I. Manin; Methods in Homological Algebra. Second Edition. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2002)
- [11] M. Gerstenhaber; On dominance and varieties of commuting matrices. Ann. Math. **73** (1961), 324-348.
- [12] D. Gieseker, J. Li; Moduli of high rank vector bundles over surfaces. J. AMS **9** (1996), 107-151.
- [13] A. Grothendieck; Techniques de construction et théorème d'existence en géométrie algébrique IV: Les schémas de Hilbert. Séminaire Bourbaki, **221**, 1960/1961.
- [14] Robert M. Guralnick; A note on commuting pairs of matrices. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **31**, (1992), 71-75.
- [15] Y. Han; Commuting triples of matrices. Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra, (2005), Volume **13**.
- [16] R. Hartshorne; Connectedness of the Hilbert scheme. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **29** (1966), 5-48.
- [17] A. A. Henni, M. Jardim, R. V. Martins; ADHM construction of perverse instanton sheaves. Glasgow Math. J. **57** (2015), 285-321.
- [18] A. A. Henni, M. Jardim; Commuting matrices and the Hilbert scheme of points on affine spaces. Adv. in Geom. vol **18**, (2018), 467-482.
- [19] D. Huybrechts, M. Lehn; The geometry of moduli spaces. Aspects. Math, **E 31**, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.
- [20] J. Holbrook, M. Omladić; Approximating commuting operators, Linear Algebra Appl. **327** (2001) 131-149.
- [21] M. Jardim, D. Prata; Vector bundles on projective varieties and representations of quivers. Algebra and Discrete Mathematics **20** (2015), 217-249.
- [22] A. D. King; Moduli of representations of finite dimensional algebras. Quart. J. Math. Oxford **45** (1994), 515-530.
- [23] J. Li; Algebraic geometric interpretation of Donaldson's polynomial invariants of algebraic surfaces. J. Diff. Geom. **37** (1993), 416-466.
- [24] T. Motzkin, O. Taussky-Todd; Pairs of matrices with property L, II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1955), 387-401.
- [25] D. Mumford; Geometric invariant theory. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Neue Folge, Band 34 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1965.

- [26] H. Nakajima; Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties and Kac-Moody algebras. *Duke Math. J.* **76** (1994), 365-416.
- [27] H. Nakajima; Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [28] N. V. Ngo; Commuting varieties of r -tuples over Lie Algebras. *J. Pure. App. Alg.* **218** (2014) 1400-1417.
- [29] N. V. Ngo, K. Šivic; On varieties of commuting nilpotent matrices. *Lin. Alg. App.* **452** (2014) 237-262.
- [30] N. Nitsure; Construction of Hilbert and Quot schemes. *Fundamental algebraic geometry*, 105-137, *Math. Surveys Monogr.* 123, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [31] C. Okonek, M. Schneider, H. Spindler; Vector bundles on complex projective spaces. *Progress in Mathematics* 3, Birkhauser, Boston, 1980.
- [32] M. Omladić; A variety of commuting triples. *Linear algebra and its applications*, **383**, (2004) 233-245.
- [33] K. O'Meara, J. Clark, C. Vinsonhaler; Advanced topics in linear algebra: weaving matrix problems through the Weyr form. Oxford University Press, New York, 2011.
- [34] K. Šivic; On varieties of commuting triples. *Linear algebra and its applications*, **428** (2008), 2006-2029.
- [35] K. Šivic; On varieties of commuting triples III, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **437** (2012), 393-460.
- [36] A. S. Tikhomirov; Moduli of mathematical instanton vector bundles with odd c_2 on projective space. *Izvestiya: Mathematics* **76** (2012), 991-1073.
- [37] A. S. Tikhomirov; Moduli of mathematical instanton vector bundles with even c_2 on projective space. *Izvestiya: Mathematics* **77** (2013), 1331-1355.

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SANTA CATARINA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, CAMPUS UNIVERSITÁRIO TRINDADE, CEP 88.040-900 FLORIANÓPOLIS-SC, BRASIL
E-mail address: `henni.amar@ufsc.br`

UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA "ZEFERINO VAZ", CEP 13083-970 CAMPINAS-SP,
E-mail address: `ra209447@ime.unicamp.br`