

Spectral parameter power series for arbitrary order linear differential equations

Vladislav V. Kravchenko, R. Michael Porter, Sergii M. Torba
Department of Mathematics, Cinvestav-Querétaro, Mexico

November 28, 2021

Abstract

Let L be the n -th order linear differential operator $Ly = \phi_0 y^{(n)} + \phi_1 y^{(n-1)} + \dots + \phi_n y$ with variable coefficients. A representation is given for n linearly independent solutions of $Ly = \lambda ry$ as power series in λ , generalizing the SPPS (spectral parameter power series) solution which has been previously developed for $n = 2$. The coefficient functions in these series are obtained by recursively iterating a simple integration process, beginning with a solution system for $\lambda = 0$. It is shown how to obtain such an initializing system working upwards from equations of lower order. The values of the successive derivatives of the power series solutions at the basepoint of integration are given, which provides a technique for numerical solution of n -th order initial value problems and spectral problems.

Keywords Linear differential equation; Polya factorization; spectral parameter power series; formal powers.

Mathematics Subject Classification 35A24, 34B24, 34L16, 65L05, 65L15, 78M22.

1 Introduction

The spectral parameter power series (SPPS) representation for solutions of second-order linear differential equations, introduced in [6] and developed into a numerical technique in [8], has proved to be an efficient tool for solving and studying a variety of problems (see the review [5]). The SPPS method offers a simple and numerically stable procedure for computing coefficients of the Taylor series of the solution with respect to the spectral parameter. The procedure consists of recurrent integration and requires knowledge of a nonvanishing solution of the equation with one fixed value of the spectral parameter (which can be zero). The availability of such a solution leads to a Polya factorization of the second-order differential operator and to a convenient form for its right inverse operator. In [4] the SPPS representation was obtained for fourth-order linear differential equations of a special form.

Here we extend these ideas to linear differential equations of arbitrary order. In contrast to the second order case, the existence of a single nonvanishing solution is insufficient for obtaining a convenient Polya factorization of the differential operator. Instead, for factoring a linear differential operator of order n a system of n linearly independent solutions (for a fixed value of the spectral parameter) is required whose n corresponding partial Wronskians do not vanish. In [1] the existence of such a system of solutions was proved, and in the case of real valued coefficients there is even an algorithm for its construction, see [10].

We describe a procedure for computing the coefficients of the SPPS representation of a generating set of solutions of the n -th order linear differential equation, given a system of solutions leading to a Polya factorization and using a simple procedure of recurrent integration. We note that different procedures for constructing the SPPS coefficients were considered also in [3] and [9]. The Polya factorization and corresponding systems of solutions and iterated integrals are introduced in Section 2. In Section 3 the SPPS representation for solutions of linear n -th order differential equations is defined and justified, and an example is worked out in Section 4.

2 Systems related to a general solution of the homogeneous equation

2.1 Pólya factorization

Let $n \geq 2$, and let L be the general linear ordinary differential operator

$$Ly = \phi_0 y^{(n)} + \phi_1 y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + \phi_n y \quad (1)$$

on a real interval $x_1 < x < x_2$. We will always assume that the ϕ_j are continuous and that $\phi_0 \equiv 1$. Write $\partial = \partial/\partial x$. The Pólya factorization [11]

$$L = \frac{W_n}{W_{n-1}} \partial \frac{W_{n-1}^2}{W_{n-2} W_n} \partial \cdots \partial \frac{W_2^2}{W_1 W_3} \partial \frac{W_1^2}{W_0 W_2} \partial \frac{1}{W_1} \quad (2)$$

of L can be constructed by taking linearly independent solutions y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n of $Ly = 0$, satisfying the condition that the following $n + 1$ functions do not vanish:

$$W_0 = 1, W_1 = y_1, W_2 = W[y_1, y_2], \dots, W_n = W[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]. \quad (3)$$

Here

$$W_j = \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & \cdots & y_j \\ y_1' & y_2' & \cdots & y_j' \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_1^{(j-1)} & y_2^{(j-1)} & \cdots & y_j^{(j-1)} \end{vmatrix}$$

is the Wronskian operator. The existence of such a solution system (y_k) is established in [1], where it can be seen that in fact almost all complex-valued solution sets satisfy this nonvanishing requirement. We will write (2) for convenience as

$$Ly = \frac{1}{b_n} \partial \frac{1}{b_{n-1}} \partial \cdots \frac{1}{b_2} \partial \frac{1}{b_1} \partial \frac{1}{b_0} y, \quad (4)$$

noting that b_0, \dots, b_n are nonvanishing and

$$Lb_0 = 0. \quad (5)$$

2.2 Derivatives of iterated integrals and the Pólya system

We will further simplify the notation by writing $\int f$ to signify the indefinite integral $\int_{x_0}^{(\cdot)} f(s) ds$ from a fixed basepoint x_0 , where $x_1 < x_0 < x_2$. In addition, we introduce the collection $\mathbf{I}_{i,j}$ of linear operators defined on integrable functions y by

$$\mathbf{I}_{i,j}[y] = \int b_i \int b_{i+1} \cdots \int b_{j-1} \int b_j y$$

when $i \leq j$. In particular $\mathbf{I}_{j,j}y = \int b_j y$, and additionally we specify

$$\mathbf{I}_{i,i-1}[y] \equiv 1,$$

and that $\mathbf{I}_{i,j}[y] = 0$ for $j \leq i - 2$. Following the common usage of a symbol to denote a function as well as the operation of multiplication by that function, we will freely write

$$\mathbf{I}_{i,j} = \mathbf{I}_{i,j}[1] = \int b_i \int b_{i+1} \cdots \int b_{j-1} \int b_j$$

without fear of confusion. Clearly

$$\mathbf{I}_{i,j}' = b_i \mathbf{I}_{i+1,j} \quad (6)$$

is valid for all values of $i, j \geq 0$.

The *Pólya system* [2] determined by the functions b_0, \dots, b_n is the collection of functions

$$b_0 \mathbf{I}_{1,k} \quad (0 \leq k \leq n-1). \quad (7)$$

The following proposition states that the Pólya system (7) represents a fundamental system of solutions for the equation $Ly = 0$ where the differential operator L is being given by (4).

Proposition 1 ([2, Chap. 3, §11]) *The functions $b_0 \mathbf{I}_{1,k}$ ($0 \leq k \leq n-1$) forming the Pólya system are linearly independent, and satisfy $L(b_0 \mathbf{I}_{1,k}) = 0$.*

In relation with the Pólya system let us consider the ℓ -th derivatives of the functions $b_0 \mathbf{I}_{i,j}$. From the relation (8) the following is easily verified by induction.

Lemma 2 *Let $\ell \geq 0$. The ℓ -th derivative of $b_0 \mathbf{I}_{i,j}$ can be expressed as*

$$\partial^\ell (b_0 \mathbf{I}_{i,j}) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\ell} A_{\ell,\alpha} \mathbf{I}_{i+\alpha,j}, \quad (8)$$

where the coefficients $A_{\ell,\alpha}$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq \ell$) are polynomials of degree $\alpha+1$ in the functions $b_0, b_i, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_{i+\alpha-1}$ and their derivatives, with integer coefficients which do not depend on i, j . These polynomials are defined recursively starting with $A_{0,0} = b_0$, and then for $\ell \geq 1$

$$A_{\ell,\alpha} = \begin{cases} A'_{\ell-1,\alpha}, & \alpha = 0, \\ A'_{\ell-1,\alpha} + A_{\ell-1,\alpha-1} b_{i+\alpha-1}, & 1 \leq \alpha \leq \ell-1, \\ A_{\ell-1,\ell-1} b_{i+\ell-1}, & \alpha = \ell. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

More precisely, each expression $A_{\ell,\alpha}$ is a linear combination of expressions $\partial^{\ell_0} b_0 \partial^{\ell_1} b_i \partial^{\ell_2} b_{i+1} \dots \partial^{\ell_\alpha} b_{i+\alpha-1}$ with $\ell_k \geq 0$ and $\ell_0 + \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_\alpha = \ell - \alpha$. The final coefficient $A_{\ell,\ell}$ is nonvanishing.

Note that (9) may contain functions b_{i+k} for which $i+k > n$. These b_{i+k} can be taken to be arbitrary nonvanishing since the final expression is operated on by $\mathbf{I}_{i+\alpha,j} \equiv 0$.

It is easily seen that (8) holds even when $\mathbf{I}_{i,j}$ is replaced by $\mathbf{I}_{i,j} f$ for any function f , so long as the order ℓ of the derivative does not exceed $j-i+1$.

3 Solution in terms of formal powers

3.1 SPSS solutions of n -th order

Apart from ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_n , which have been assumed continuous on the closed interval $[x_1, x_2]$, we consider an additional continuous function r defined on the same interval. Define

$$P_k^{(m)} = (mn + k - 1)! (\mathbf{I}_{1,n} r b_0)^m \mathbf{I}_{1,k-1} \quad (10)$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and all $m \geq 0$. Repeated application of (4), which says that $L^{-1} = b_0 \mathbf{I}_{1,n}$ is a right inverse for L , gives us the differential relation

$$L[b_0 P_k^{(m)}] = \frac{(mn + k - 1)!}{((m-1)n + k - 1)!} r b_0 P_k^{(m-1)}. \quad (11)$$

We will refer to $P_k^{(m)}$ as the *main formal powers* for L because of the following.

Definition 3. The *SPSS solution system* $u_k(x) = u_k(x; \lambda)$ for L and r is the collection of functions

$$u_k(x) = b_0 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(mn + k - 1)!} P_k^{(m)}(x) \lambda^m \quad (12)$$

defined on $[x_1, x_2]$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. For $n = 2$, the powers $P_1^{(m)}$ and $P_2^{(m)}$ have been denoted $X^{(2m+1)}$ and $\tilde{X}^{(2m)}$ in most of the SPPS literature for equations of second order, as in [5, 7, 8, 12]. Here for $1 \leq k \leq n$ we define the k -th sequence of *secondary formal powers* for L and r by setting $X_k^{(j)} \equiv 0$ for all $j < 0$, $X_k^{(0)} \equiv 1$ and then recursively for $j \geq 1$

$$X_k^{(j)} = \begin{cases} j \mathbf{I}_{k-j, k-j} X_k^{(j-1)}, & 1 \leq j \leq k-1, \\ j \mathbf{I}_{n, n} b_0 r X_k^{(j-1)}, & j \equiv k \pmod{n}, \\ j \mathbf{I}_{n-j', n-j'} X_k^{(j-1)}, & j \equiv k+j' \pmod{n}, 1 \leq j' \leq n-1. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

In loose terms, we multiply by $b_{k-j \pmod{n}}$ and then integrate, except when $j \equiv k \pmod{n}$, in which case we multiply by $b_n b_0 r$ before integrating. In the third case of (13), clearly $\partial X_k^{(j)} = j b_{n-j'} X_k^{(j-1)}$. Further, by comparing with (10) we see that the subsequence of main formal powers is included as $P_k^{(m)} = X_k^{(mn+k-1)}$.

Theorem 4 *The SPPS series $u_k(x)$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$) converge uniformly on the closed interval $[x_1, x_2]$ for each fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. They are linearly independent, and satisfy*

$$Lu_k = \lambda r u_k. \quad (14)$$

Further, for $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$, the ℓ -th derivative of u_k is given by substituting

$$\partial^\ell (b_0 P_k^{(m)}) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\ell} (mn+k-\alpha)_{\alpha} A_{\ell, \alpha} X_k^{(mn+k-(\alpha+1))} \quad (15)$$

in place of $b_0 P_k^{(m)}$ in (12), where $(x)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol and the coefficients $A_{\ell, \alpha}$ are the functions defined in Lemma 2 for the particular case $i = 1$, $j = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } m = 0, \\ n, & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases}$

Note that $A_{\ell, \alpha}$ in (15) refer to $A_{\ell, \alpha}(b_0, b'_0, \dots, b_1, b'_1, \dots, b_{\alpha-1}^{(\ell-\alpha)})$ since by (10), the $P_k^{(m)}$ are obtained by integrations of the form $\mathbf{I}_{1, j} \dots$ where $i = 1$.

Proof. First we show the convergence of (12). By continuity, there is a uniform bound

$$|b_0(x) b_n(x) r(x)| \leq M_1, \quad |b_j(x)| \leq M_1 \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1),$$

on $[x_1, x_2]$. To simplify the estimates we write $e_j(x) = (M_1 |x - x_0|)^j$. Thus whenever $|f(x)| \leq e_j(x)$, both $\mathbf{I}_{k, k} f(x)$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, and $\mathbf{I}_{n, n} b_0 r f(x)$ are bounded by $e_{j+1}(x)/(j+1)$. Thus by induction $|P_k^{(m)}(x)| \leq e_{mn+k-1}(x)$ since (10) involves $mn+k-1$ integrations. From this estimate,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P_k^{(m)}(x)}{(mn+k-1)!} \lambda^m \right| &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{mn+k-1}(x)}{(mn+k-1)!} |\lambda|^m \\ &< (M_1 |x - x_0|)^{k-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(M_1 |x - x_0| |\lambda|^{1/n})^{mn}}{(mn)!} \\ &\leq (M_1 |x - x_0|)^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(M_1 |x - x_0| |\lambda|^{1/n})^j}{j!} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

since the last sum is simply $\exp M_1 |(x - x_0) \lambda|^{1/n}$. This shows that the series (12) for u_k converges uniformly on $[x_1, x_2]$. (Bounds for $P_k^{(m)}$ were also given in [9].)

The finite sum (15) is obtained from the remark following Lemma 2. To see that one may differentiate (12) term by term, we refine our estimates.

By induction it is seen that $|X_k^{(j)}| \leq e_j(x)$. We can take a finite bound M_2 such that

$$A_{\ell, \alpha}(\dots \partial^{\ell_i} b_{i+\alpha} \dots) \leq M_2$$

for all combinations $0 \leq \alpha \leq \ell$ and $0 \leq \ell_i \leq \ell - \alpha$. Then for $1 \leq \ell \leq n - 1$ we note that $X_k^{(i)}$ involves precisely i integrations, so

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\ell (b_0 P_k^{(m)})| &\leq \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\ell} (mn + k - \alpha)_\alpha |A_{\ell,\alpha}| |X_k^{(mn+k-(\alpha+1))}| \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\ell} (mn + k - \alpha)_\alpha M_2 (M_1 |x_2 - x_1|)^{mn+k-(\alpha+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

(The estimate holds even though the specific functions $A_{\ell,\alpha}$ vary according to the indices k, m .) Therefore

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left| \partial^\ell \frac{b_0 P_k^{(m)}}{(mn + k - 1)!} \lambda^m \right| \leq M_2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(M_1 (x_2 - x_1))^j}{j!} \left| \lambda^{\lfloor \frac{j-k}{n} \rfloor + 1} \right| < \infty.$$

This justifies the assertion that the $n - 1$ termwise differentiated series obtained from (12) all converge. Now we are also justified in applying operator L termwise, and applying (5) and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L[u_k] &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(mn + k - 1)!} L[b_0 P_k^{(m)}] \lambda^m \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{((m-1)n + k - 1)!} r b_0 P_k^{(m-1)} \lambda^m \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r b_0 P_k^{(m)} \lambda^{m+1} \\ &= \lambda r u_k. \end{aligned}$$

The linear independence of the u_k will be proved in Section 3.2, which will complete the proof. □

3.2 Initial conditions

In this section we look at the values of the SPPS solutions and their derivatives at the integration base point x_0 . Most of the summands in the formulas (12), (15) vanish at $x = x_0$. Indeed, since indefinite integrals always vanish at their base point, $X_k^{(j)}(x_0) = 0$ vanishes unless $j = 0$. From the fact that $P_k^{(0)} = (k-1)! \mathbf{I}_{1,k-1}$ it follows from (12) that

$$u_1(x_0) = \begin{cases} b_0(x_0), & k = 1, \\ 0, & k > 1. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

As to the derivatives of the u_k , the only terms which survive upon evaluating (15) at $x = x_0$ are those for which the exponents $k - (\alpha + 1) + mn$ are equal to zero. Together with the conditions $0 \leq \alpha \leq \ell$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, and $1 \leq \ell \leq n - 1$ this implies that $\partial^\ell (b_0 P_k^{(m)})$ vanishes unless $m = 0$ and $\alpha = k - 1$. Thus

$$\partial^\ell (b_0 P_k^{(m)})(x_0) = 0 \quad \text{for } m > 0,$$

and referring again to (15), we deduce that

$$\partial^\ell (b_0 P_k^{(0)})(x_0) = \begin{cases} 0, & 1 \leq \ell < k - 1, \\ (k - 1)! A_{\ell, k-1}(x_0), & k - 1 \leq \ell \leq n - 1. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

As a consequence, it follows that

$$\partial^\ell u_k(x_0) = \begin{cases} 0, & \ell < k - 1, \\ A_{\ell, k-1}(x_0), & \ell \geq k - 1. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

for $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$. An important consequence of this formula is that $\partial^\ell u_k(x_0)$ does not depend on λ : the coefficients of λ^m all vanish for $m \geq 1$. A further consequence of (18) is that the Wronskian matrix of u_1, \dots, u_n is lower triangular and its determinant is equal to the product

$$\prod_{k=1}^n \partial^{k-1} u_k(x_0) = \prod_{k=1}^n A_{k-1, k-1}(x_0)$$

which is nonzero. This implies that these n solutions of $Lu - \lambda ru = 0$ are linearly independent, and thus finishes the proof of Theorem 4.

3.3 On construction of a particular solution system

The solution system y_1, \dots, y_n of $Ly = 0$ can be constructed by applying Theorem 4 inductively.

Indeed, consider an equation

$$z^{(n-1)} + \phi_1 z^{(n-2)} + \dots + \phi_{n-1} z = 0 \quad (19)$$

and let z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} be $n-1$ linearly independent solutions of (19). Then the functions

$$\tilde{z}_0 = 1, \quad \tilde{z}_1 = \int z_1, \quad \tilde{z}_{n-1} = \int z_{n-1}$$

form a linearly independent family of solutions for the equation

$$y^{(n)} + \phi_1 y^{(n-1)} + \dots + \phi_{n-1} y' = 0. \quad (20)$$

Since almost all linear combinations with complex coefficients of $\tilde{z}_0, \dots, \tilde{z}_{n-1}$ have non-vanishing Wronskians (3) (we refer to [1] for the precise meaning of the term “almost all”), one may apply Theorem 4 taking $r = \phi_n$ and $\lambda = -1$ to construct a complete family of solutions for the equation

$$y^{(n)} + \phi_1 y^{(n-1)} + \dots + \phi_{n-1} y' = -\phi_n y.$$

And once again, taking a linear combination with complex coefficients one may easily find a solution system possessing non-vanishing Wronskians (3).

4 Example

We illustrate with a simple example the procedure presented in this paper. In more general instances it would be necessary to find numerical approximations for the formal powers.

Let $L = \partial^n$ and take $r(x) = 1$. By inspection, the functions

$$y(x) = e^{\lambda^{1/n} x}$$

are linearly independent solutions of $Ly = \lambda y$ where $\lambda^{1/n}$ varies over the complex n -th roots of 1. Let $\lambda > 0$. The above solutions can be written in complex form as

$$e^{\lambda^{1/n} x} = e^{\sqrt[n]{\lambda} \left(\cos \frac{2\pi k}{n} \right) x} \left\{ \cos \left[\sqrt[n]{\lambda} \left(\sin \frac{2\pi k}{n} \right) x \right] + i \sin \left[\sqrt[n]{\lambda} \left(\sin \frac{2\pi k}{n} \right) x \right] \right\}.$$

However, to obtain real-valued solutions from this approach involves choosing the appropriate basis from among the $2n$ real and imaginary parts, and is rather delicate, as it depends on the prime factorization of n .

To apply Theorem 4, the basic solution set described in Subsection 2.1 is required, i.e. we begin with $\lambda = 0$. The homogeneous equation $y^{(n)} = 0$ has the real solutions

$$y_k(x) = \frac{x^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The corresponding Wronskians are

$$W_k = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x & \frac{x^2}{2!} & \frac{x^3}{3!} & \cdots & \frac{x^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \\ 0 & 1 & x & \frac{x^2}{2!} & \cdots & \frac{x^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x & \cdots & \frac{x^{k-3}}{(k-3)!} \\ & & & \vdots & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1$$

so $b_j = 1$ for all j , and the Pólya factorization amounts to the obvious fact that $L = 1\partial 1\partial \cdots \partial 1\partial 1$.

Consequently, the construction of the SPPS formal powers and the SPPS solutions for the equation $Ly = \lambda y$ is as follows. Taking as base point $x_0 = 0$, we have

$$\mathbf{I}_{k-1} = \int 1 \cdots \int 1 = \frac{x^{k-1}}{(k-1)!},$$

and since $rb_0 = 1$, the main formal powers are

$$P_k^{(m)} = (mn + k - 1)!(\mathbf{I}_{1,n} 1)^m \mathbf{I}_{k-1} = x^{mn+k-1},$$

a collection of “true” powers of x , indexed for the purpose at hand. Formula (12) yields the real valued solutions

$$u_k(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{mn+k-1}}{(mn + k - 1)!} \lambda^m \quad (1 \leq k \leq n)$$

for the operator $\partial^n - \lambda$.

Acknowledgements

Research of the first and third named authors was supported by CONACYT, Mexico via the project 222478.

References

- [1] R. Camporesi, A. J. Di Scala, “A generalization of a theorem of Mammanna,” *Colloq. Math.* **122**:2 (2011) 215–223.
- [2] R. A. DeVore, G. G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, Springer (1993).
- [3] M. K. Fage and N. I. Nagnibida, *The problem of equivalence of ordinary linear differential operators* (in Russian) Nauka, Novosibirsk (1987).
- [4] K. V. Khmelnytskaya, V. V. Kravchenko, J. A. Baldenebro-Obeso, “Spectral parameter power series for fourth-order Sturm-Liouville problems,” *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219**:8 (2012) 3610–3624.
- [5] K. V. Khmelnytskaya, V. V. Kravchenko and H. C. Rosu, “Eigenvalue problems, spectral parameter power series, and modern applications,” *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **38** (2015), 1945–1969.
- [6] V. V. Kravchenko, “A representation for solutions of the Sturm-Liouville equation,” *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **53** (2008), 775–789.
- [7] V. V. Kravchenko, S. Morelos and S. Tremblay, “Complete systems of recursive integrals and Taylor series for solutions of Sturm-Liouville equations,” *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **35**:6 (2012) 704–715.
- [8] V. V. Kravchenko and R. M. Porter, “Spectral parameter power series for Sturm-Liouville problems,” *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **33** (2010), 459–468.
- [9] A. F. Leontiev, *Generalizations of series of exponentials* (in Russian) Nauka, Moscow (1981).
- [10] G. Mammanna, “Decomposizione delle espressioni differenziali lineari omogenee in prodotti di fattori simbolici e applicazione relativa allo studio delle equazioni differenziali lineari,” *Math. Z.* **33** (1931), 186–231.
- [11] G. Pólya, “On the mean-value theorem corresponding to a homogeneous differential equation,” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (1922) 312–324.
- [12] R. M. Porter, “On Sturm–Liouville equations with several spectral parameters,” *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex.* **22** (2016) 141–163.