Experimental Demonstration of Quantum Tunneling in IBM Quantum Computer
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Quantum computers are the promising candidates for simulation of large quantum systems, which is a daunting task to perform in a classical computer. Here we report the experimental realization of quantum tunneling of a single particle through different types of potential barriers by simulating it in the IBM quantum computer, which here acts as a universal quantum simulator. We consider two-qubit and three-qubit systems for visualizing the tunneling process illustrating its unique quantum nature. We clearly observe the tunneling and oscillations of the particles in a step potential and in double- and multi-well potentials through our experimental results. The proposed quantum circuits and simulational techniques used here can be extended for observing the tunneling phenomena for multi-particle systems in different shaped potentials.
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1 Introduction

Quantum simulation is one of the problems that a quantum computer could perform more efficiently than a classical computer as it provides a significant improvement in computational resources. It has been applied to a wide range of areas of physics like quantum many-body theory, quantum entanglement, quantum phase transitions and molecular physics, quantum tunneling, etc. Algorithms have been used in simulating many quantum field theoretic problems where Hamiltonian of the system splits into kinetic and potential energy operators which are then simulated using Trotter’s formula. Experimental realizations of quantum simulation have already been made in systems like NMR, ion-trap, atomic, and photonic quantum computers. The current status of this field can be found out from these recent papers.

Quantum tunneling acts as one of the exciting and unique fundamental phenomena in quantum mechanics. It has been observed in superconducting Cooper pairs and in modern technologies such as narrow p-n junctions and scanning tunneling microscope. Important science puzzles like lattice quantum chromodynamics can be solved using this tunneling simulation approach. A number of digital simulation on quantum tunneling has been performed on classical computers and photonic systems. This type of simulation has remained untested in a quantum computer due to the requirement of large number of ancillary qubits and quantum gates. Recently, an algorithm proposed by Sornborger illustrates the simulation with no ancillary qubits and a small number of quantum gates which motivates the possibility of simulating in today’s quantum computer consisting of a few number of qubits. Feng et al. have demonstrated the tunneling
effect for two-qubit and three-qubit systems using NMR quantum computer. Ostrowski [68] has also explicated this process on a rectangular potential by digital simulation. Here, in the present work, we illustrate the simulation of quantum tunneling using IBM’s 5-qubit quantum computer and 16-qubit quantum computer. Using two-qubit and three-qubit systems, CNOT gates, a set of Hadamard and controlled phase gates, we were able to simulate the tunneling process of a single particle in a step potential, double-well potential and multi-well potential. We utilize the IBM quantum experience’s QISKit, to simulate the tunneling Hamiltonian, using which a number of research works have been performed [69–95].

2 Results

Theoretical Protocol. The Schrödinger’s equation, for a single particle moving in a square well potential in one-dimensional space, is expressed as

\[
i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi(x, t)\rangle = \hat{H}|\psi(x, t)\rangle
\]  

(1)

where \( \hat{H} = \hat{K} + \hat{V} \), \( \hat{K} \) and \( \hat{V} \) are kinetic and potential energy operators respectively. Here we set the value of \( \hbar \) to 1 throughout the manuscript. The time evolution of the wave function of the system can be given as
\[ |\psi(x, t + \Delta t)\rangle = e^{-iH\Delta t}|\psi(x, t)\rangle \]
\[ = e^{-i(\hat{K} + \hat{V})\Delta t}|\psi(x, t)\rangle \] (2)

Using first-order Suzuki-Trotter’s formula \cite{121,123}, the exponential operator can be decomposed up to order \(O(\Delta t)\) as follows.

\[ e^{-i(\hat{K} + \hat{V})\Delta t} = e^{-i\hat{K}\Delta t}e^{-i\hat{V}\Delta t} \] (3)

For digital quantum simulation \cite{4,66,67,120}, we discretize the continuous coordinate space \(x\) on a lattice (with spacing \(\Delta l\)) within the boundary region \((0 < x < L)\) with a periodic boundary condition \(\psi(x + L, t) = \psi(x, t)\). The wave function then can be mapped to a \(n\)-qubit register as,

\[ |\psi(x, t)\rangle \rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \psi(x_k, t)|k\rangle \] (4)

Here \(|k\rangle\) represents the particle location corresponding to binary number \(k\), and \(x_k = (k + \frac{1}{2})\Delta l\), \(\Delta l = \frac{L}{2^n}\). The mapping given in expression (4) can be a good approximation for large value \(n\).

**Implementing potential energy operator:** The potential energy operator plays a key role for introducing and studying various types of potential structures. Here we implement step-well, double-well and multi-well potentials with the help of single qubit rotation operators with no ancillary qubits. For step potential, we apply a single-qubit Z-rotation gate on the highest order qubit \cite{66}.
\[ e^{-iV \Delta t} = e^{-i\sigma_z n \Delta t} = e^{-i\sigma_z \Delta t} \otimes I \otimes I \ldots, \] (5)

where \( v \) is a parameter, \( \sigma_z \) is the Pauli \( z \)-matrix, and \( n - j \) indicates the application of the operator \((e^{-i\sigma_z \Delta t})\) on the \( j \)th qubit. The double-well potential can be implemented by applying the Z-rotation gate on the second highest order qubit

\[ e^{-iV \Delta t} = e^{-i\sigma_z n - 2 \Delta t} = I \otimes e^{-i\sigma_z \Delta t} \otimes I \ldots \] (6)

Similarly, by applying Z-rotation operator on the next highest order qubits we can implement multi-well potentials. It can be observed that just one single qubit operation can reduce the complexity in the quantum circuit by replacing a large number of gates and ancillary qubits.

\[ e^{-iV \Delta t} = I \otimes I \otimes e^{-i\sigma_z \Delta t} \ldots \] (7)

**Implementing kinetic energy operator:** Here the kinetic energy operator \( \hat{K} \) can be expressed in terms of momentum operator as, \( \hat{K} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} \). For finding the quantum circuit for kinetic energy operator, let’s discretize the wave function of momentum as

\[ |\chi(p, t)\rangle = \sum_{l=0}^{2^n-1} \chi(p_l, t) |l\rangle \] (8)
where $\chi(p, t)$ is the wave function in the momentum operator representation. The eigenvalues of momentum $p_l$ are given by

$$
p_l = \begin{cases} 
\frac{2\pi}{2^n} l & 0 \leq l \leq 2^{n-1} \\
\frac{2\pi}{2^n} (2^{n-1} - l) & 2^{n-1} < l < 2^n
\end{cases}
$$

(9)

In the momentum representation, the diagonal operator $\hat{P}_p$ is written as

$$
\hat{P}_p = \sum_{l=0}^{2^{n-1}} \frac{2\pi}{2^n} |l\rangle \langle l| + \sum_{l=2^{n-1}+1}^{2^n-1} \frac{2\pi}{2^n} (2^{n-1} - l) |l\rangle \langle l|
$$

(10)

The kinetic energy operator is diagonal in momentum representation. It can be written in the coordinate representation by using quantum Fourier transform as follows,

$$
e^{-i(\hat{K} + \hat{V})\Delta t} = (QFT)e^{-i\hat{K}\Delta t}(QFT^{-1})e^{-i\hat{V}\Delta t}
$$

$$
= (QFT)D(QFT^{-1})P
$$

(11)

where

$$
QFT = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,k=0}^{2^{n-1}} e^{i\pi kl} |l\rangle \langle k|
$$

$$
D = e^{-i\hat{K}\Delta t}
$$

$$
P = e^{-i\hat{V}\Delta t}
$$

(12)

The equivalent quantum circuit for the Fourier transformation operator $QFT$ can be realized
using a series of Hadamard and controlled-phase gates\cite{12}. Thus after a small time interval $\Delta t$, the time evolution of the system can be implemented by using Eqs. (2), (3), (4) & (11).

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \psi(x_k, t + \Delta t) |k\rangle = (QFT)D(QFT^{-1})P \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \psi(x_k, t) |k\rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The explicit construction of quantum circuit for $QFT$, $D$, $QFT^{-1}$ and $P$ are detailed in Methods section.

**Experimental Procedures and Results.** The quantum circuit for one-time step evolution using two qubits is shown in Fig. 6. The step potential is implemented by the quantum operation $P_0 = e^{-iv_0\sigma_z}$ acting on the highest order qubit. Similarly, the double-well potential is implemented by the quantum operation $P_1 = e^{-iv_1\sigma_z^1\Delta t}$ acting on the lowest order qubit. In our experiment, we set the parameter $v=0$ for free particle, and $v = 10$ for step potential, double-well potential and multi-well potentials. We set the time interval $\Delta t = 0.1$. Mass of the particle is taken to be 0.5.

In Fig. 1, the time evolution of a free particle with potential $v = 0$ is depicted. Initially, the particle was confined at $|00\rangle$ state. It can be seen that after a number of time steps, the particle probability distribution spreads over other basis states. After six time steps, the particle is found to be oscillating in between $|00\rangle$ and $|10\rangle$ states. Both the theoretical and experimental results are illustrated in Fig. 1(a) and (b) cases respectively.

In Fig. 2, we illustrate the tunneling phenomena of a single particle in a finite length step
Figure 1: **Free particle \( (v=0) \) probability distribution.** Case (a): Theoretically calculated result of free particle evolution using two-qubit system. Initially the particle was confined at \( |00\rangle \) state, the probability distribution of the particle slowly spreads out after six time steps. Case (b): Experimentally calculated result of probability distribution of free particle. Similar observation has been obtained as case (a). The potential of \( v=10 \). The potential barriers are situated at \( |10\rangle \) and \( |11\rangle \) states. At time, \( t=0 \), the particle is located at \( |00\rangle \) state, as time evolves we can observe the probability distribution of the particle spreads to the state \( |01\rangle \). Notably, it can be observed that after six time steps, the particle completely tunnels to the state \( |01\rangle \). Both the theoretical and experimental results are explicated in Fig. 2(a) and (b) cases respectively.

The tunneling of particle in a double-well potential \( (v=10) \) is shown in Fig. 3. The wells are located at \( |00\rangle \) and \( |10\rangle \) states and the barriers are at \( |01\rangle \) and \( |11\rangle \) states. At time, \( t=0 \), the particle is confined in the potential well situated at \( |10\rangle \) state, as the time evolves we can clearly observe the
Figure 2: **Particle probability distribution in a step-well potential (v=10).** Case (a): The theoretically calculated result for illustrating the particle tunneling in a step potential where the barriers are located at |10⟩ and |11⟩ states. As time evolves, the particle which is initially confined at |00⟩ state tunnels to the |01⟩ state. Case (b): Experimentally observed result showing the tunneling of particle in a step potential of finite length.

Tunneling of particle from the potential well located at |10⟩ state to the well at |00⟩ state. Both from the theoretical and experimental data (Fig. 3(a) and (b)), it is observed that the particle oscillates only between the two wells which signifies the presence of barriers at the other two places.

Fig. 4 shows the tunneling of the particle in a multi-well potential. The wells are situated at |000⟩, |010⟩, |100⟩ and |110⟩ positions. Initially, at time t=0, the particle is trapped inside one of the above wells situated at |100⟩ state, as time evolves the particle is tunneled through the barriers situated at |001⟩, |011⟩, |101⟩ and |111⟩ states. At different time steps, the tunneling of the particle through all the potential barriers is observed. After 10 time steps, the particle is most likely to be
Figure 3: Particle probability distribution in double-well potential (v=10). Case (a): Theoretically calculated result of quantum tunneling in double-well potential using two-qubit system. Initially the particle was confined at $|10\rangle$ state. After six time steps the particle is found to slowly tunnel to the $|00\rangle$ state. Case (b): Experimentally observed result of quantum tunneling for 6 time steps. found at $|010\rangle$ state after tunneling through the barrier situated at $|011\rangle$ position.

3 Discussion

To conclude, we have experimentally demonstrated here the quantum tunneling phenomena of a single particle in a step potential, double-well potential and multi-well potential. We have designed the equivalent quantum circuit for the Hamiltonian of the given system in the real quantum processors ‘ibmqx4’ and ‘ibmqx5’. We have shown the architecture of this processor with important device parameters including gate errors and readout errors. We have illustrated the tunneling
Figure 4: **Particle probability distributions for ten time steps in a multi-well potential** \( (v = 10) \). The potential wells are at four sites, \(|000\rangle, |010\rangle, |100\rangle\) and \(|110\rangle\). Initially, the particle is confined at \(|100\rangle\) state, as the time evolves the particle tunnels through the barriers situated at \(|001\rangle, |011\rangle, |101\rangle\) and \(|111\rangle\) states. After 10 time steps, the particle is most probable to be found at \(|010\rangle\) state.

process by running the quantum circuit for six time steps in two-qubit case and ten time steps in three-qubit case respectively. After comparing the theoretical and experimental results it is concluded that the tunneling process has been properly carried out with IBM’s quantum processors.

The basic circuit mechanism used here for demonstrating quantum tunneling can be extended to observe quantum tunneling of multi-particle systems in different shaped potential wells.
Figure 5: **Chip layout of 5-qubit (ibmqx4) and 16-qubit (ibmqx5) quantum processors.** The chips are cooled down to temperature 0.021K. All the qubits in the chip are connected by coplanar waveguide (CPW) resonators. The coupling map for the CNOT gate operation is given by the following connectivities. (A) \{Q1 \rightarrow [Q0], Q2 \rightarrow [Q0, Q1, Q4], Q3 \rightarrow [Q2, Q4]\}. (B) \{Q0 \rightarrow [Q1, Q15], Q2 \rightarrow [Q1, Q15, Q3], Q3 \rightarrow [Q2, Q14, Q4]\} and so on, where \(Q_i \rightarrow [Q_j]\) signifies the direction of CNOT operations on the qubits.

4 Methods

We investigate quantum simulation of the tunneling process using the IBM’s 5-qubit quantum processor ‘ibmqx4’ and 16-qubit quantum processor ‘ibmqx5’, whose layout is depicted in Fig. 5. The connectivities between the qubits in both the processors are shown. The devices are stored in a temperature order of mK. Tables 1 & 2 illustrate the experimental parameters of the devices, where coherence time \((T_1)\), relaxation time \((T_2)\), gate error (GE) and readout errors (RE) of each qubit are listed.
Qubits | $T_1^\dagger$ (µs) | $T_2^\ddagger$ (µs) | GE$^||$ (10$^{-3}$) | RE$^\perp$ (10$^{-2}$)
---|---|---|---|---
Q0 | 46.10 | 41.10 | 1.72 | 4.60
Q1 | 56.70 | 20.40 | 1.72 | 6.30
Q2 | 40.50 | 46.40 | 1.12 | 1.90
Q3 | 56.80 | 26.40 | 1.46 | 1.30
Q4 | 42.80 | 16.40 | 1.46 | 7.00

$^\dagger$ Coherence time, $^\ddagger$ Relaxation time, $^||$ Gate Error, $^\perp$ Readout Error.

Table 1: **Experimental parameters of the device ‘ibmqx4’**.

**Circuit construction for two-qubit simulation.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qubits</th>
<th>$T_1^\dagger$ (µs)</th>
<th>$T_2^\ddagger$ (µs)</th>
<th>GE$^\parallel$ ($10^{-3}$)</th>
<th>RE$^\perp$ ($10^{-2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q0</td>
<td>42.60</td>
<td>22.70</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>5.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>39.40</td>
<td>67.70</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>35.50</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>8.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>56.60</td>
<td>88.30</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>28.70</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>38.50</td>
<td>43.10</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>6.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6</td>
<td>53.81</td>
<td>107.50</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>5.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>38.70</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8</td>
<td>42.40</td>
<td>74.60</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9</td>
<td>39.80</td>
<td>68.00</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>11.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q10</td>
<td>39.50</td>
<td>66.80</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>8.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q11</td>
<td>58.90</td>
<td>96.80</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q12</td>
<td>45.30</td>
<td>49.90</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>11.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q13</td>
<td>40.70</td>
<td>41.40</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q14</td>
<td>31.20</td>
<td>60.60</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>5.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q15</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>79.40</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>9.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Coherence time, ‡ Relaxation time, $\parallel$ Gate Error, $\perp$ Readout Error.

Table 2: Specifications of the parameters of each qubit in the ‘ibmqx5’ quantum computer
Figure 6: **Quantum circuit for two qubit system.** **Case (A):** The quantum circuit for one time step evolution of tunneling Hamiltonian using two qubits is depicted. **Case (B):** The inverse quantum Fourier transform $QFT^{-1}$ is built using two Hadamard gates and one controlled-rotation gate $U_1(\pi/2)$. **Case (C):** The decomposition of diagonal operator $D$ is represented, which requires two single qubit $R_z$ rotation gates and one controlled-rotation gate $U_1(\pi^2/10)$.

The explicit construction of $QFT^{-1}$, $D$ and $P$ are illustrated in Fig. 6. $QFT^{-1}$ is prepared by two Hadamard gates and one controlled-phase gate, $QFT^{-1} = H_0C - U_1(\pi/2)_{10}H_1$, where $H_i$ denotes the application of Hadamard gate on the $q[i]$ qubit, $C - U_1(\theta)_{ij}$ denotes controlled-phase gate of angle $\theta$, where $q[i]$ is the control qubit and $q[j]$ is the target qubit, $U_1(\theta) = [[1, 0], [0, e^{i\theta}]]$. 
For two-qubit simulation, the diagonal elements of $\hat{P}_p$ are calculated to be 0, $\pi/2$, $\pi$ and $-\pi/2$ (See Eq. (10)). Then, the kinetic evolution operator is given by

$$e^{-i\frac{\hat{P}_p^2}{2m}\Delta t} = QFT^{-1}e^{-i\frac{\hat{P}_p^2}{2m}\Delta t}QFT$$

$$= QFT^{-1}\Phi_{10}Z_0Z_1QFT$$

(14)

The diagonal operator $D$ can be expressed as a product of the following operators,

$$D = \Phi_{10}Z_0Z_1$$

(15)

where $Z_1 = e^{-i\gamma c_0\sigma_z^0\Delta t}$, $Z_0 = e^{-i\gamma c_1\sigma_z^1\Delta t}$ and $\phi_{10} = e^{-i\gamma c_2\text{diag}(1,1,1,-1)_{10}\Delta t}$. Here, $\phi_{10}$ has been prepared with the $C-U1(\pi^2/10)_{10}$ gate. Here $Z_i$ means application of Z operation on $q[i]$ qubit. The constant values in (15) are obtained to be $\gamma = \frac{\pi^2}{8}$, $c_0 = -1$, $c_1 = -4$ and $c_2 = 4$. The quantum circuit for D operator can be given as, $D = R_z(-\pi^2/40)_1R_z(-\pi^2/10)_0C - U1(\pi^2/10)_{10}$, where $R_z(\theta)_i$ is the rotation operator about Z-axis by an angle $\theta$ on the $i$th qubit (See Fig. 6). The potential operator $P$ is prepared by the application of $U1(1)$ operator. It has been applied on the qubits $q[0]$ and $q[1]$ in case of step potential and double well potential respectively.

**Circuit construction for three-qubit simulation.**
Figure 7: **Quantum circuit for three-qubit system.** Case(A): The quantum circuit for one time step evolution of tunneling Hamiltonian using three qubits is depicted. Case(B): The inverse quantum Fourier transform $QFT^{-1}$ is built using three Hadamard gates and three controlled phase gates of $\pi/2$ and $\pi/4$ angle. Case(C): The kinetic energy operator $D$ is decomposed into rotation and controlled-phase gates of $-\pi^2/160, -\pi^2/40, -\pi^2/10, \pi^2/10$ and $\pi^2/20$ angles.

The explicit construction of $QFT^{-1}$, $D$ and $P$ are illustrated in Fig. 7. $QFT^{-1}$ is prepared by three Hadamard gates and three controlled-phase gates, $QFT^{-1} = H_0C - U_1(\pi/2)_{10}H_1C - U_1(\pi/4)_{20}C - U_1(\pi/2)_{21}H_2$. For three-qubit simulation, the diagonal elements of $\hat{P}_p$ are calculated to be 0, $\pi/4$, $\pi/2$, $3\pi/4$, $\pi$, $-\pi/4$, $-\pi/2$ and $-3\pi/4$ (See Eq. (10)). The decomposition
of D operator is as follows, $D = R_z(-\pi^2/160) R_z(-\pi^2/40) R_z(-\pi^2/10) C - U1(\pi^2/10) C - U1(\pi^2/20) C - U1(-\pi^2/40)$. The diagonal operator D can be expressed as a product of the following operators, $Z_0 = e^{-i\gamma_0 \sigma_z \Delta t}$, $Z_1 = e^{-i\gamma_1 \sigma_z \Delta t}$, $Z_2 = e^{-i\gamma_2 \sigma_z \Delta t}$, $\phi_{21} = e^{-i\gamma_{21} \text{diag}(1,1,1,-1) \Delta t}$, $\phi_{20} = e^{-i\gamma_{20} \text{diag}(1,1,1,-1) \Delta t}$, $\phi_{10} = e^{-i\gamma_{10} \text{diag}(1,1,1,-1) \Delta t}$. The constant values are obtained to be $\gamma = -\frac{\pi^2}{32\sqrt{2}}$, $c_0 = -1.42$, $c_1 = -5.66$ and $c_2 = -22.63$, $c_3 = -22.63$, $c_4 = 11.31$ and $c_5 = -5.66$. The quantum circuit for D operator can be given as, $D = R_z(-\pi^2/40) R_z(-\pi^2/10) C - U1(\pi^2/10) C - U1(\pi^2/20) C - U1(-\pi^2/40)$. where $R_z$ is the rotation operator about Z-axis (See Fig. 7). The potential operator P, prepared by $U1(1)$ operator, is applied on the qubits q[2] in case of multi-well potential.
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