

Solving differential and integral equations with Tau method*

João Carrilho de Matos[†], José M. A. Matos[‡], Maria João Rodrigues[§]

March 8, 2024

Abstract

In this work we present a new approach for the implementation of operational Tau method for the solutions of linear differential and integral equations. In our approach we use the three terms relation of an orthogonal polynomial basis to compute the operational matrices. We also give numerical applications of operational matrices to solve differential and integral problems using the operational Tau method.

keywords: Operational Tau method; Orthogonal polynomials; Differential equations; Integral equations

1 Introduction

The operational Tau method, [5], is a spectral method for solving differential and integral equations. These methods use matrices (called operational matrices) to represent linear operators defined in linear function spaces in a given orthogonal basis (see for instance [2, 3, 4]). The original operational Tau approach has a serious drawback since the operational matrices are computed using similar matrices, which are ill-conditioned. Thus, this approach is not suitable for problems that require high order approximations.

In this paper we avoid the use of similar matrices building the operational matrices using the three term recurrence relation associated to a given orthogonal polynomial basis. We also give numerical examples applying our approach to integral and differential equations.

*This work was partially supported by CMUP(UID/MAT/00144/2013), which is funded by FCT (Portugal) with national and European structural funds (FEDER), under the partnership agreement PT2020

[†]jem@isep.ipp.pt, Instituto Superior de Engenharia do Porto, Rua Dr. António Bernardino de Almeida, 431, 4249-015 Porto, Portugal

[‡]Instituto Superior de Engenharia do Porto and Centro de Matemática da Universidade do Porto

[§]Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto and Centro de Matemática da Universidade do Porto

2 Operational Tau method

The key idea of the operational Tau method formulation, given in [5] and [6], is to represent in matrix form linear differential operators with polynomial coefficients. This matrix representation can be generalized to integral or integro-differential operators.

2.1 Matrix representation of linear operators in power basis

Let $\mathbb{P}[x]$ and $\mathbb{P}_n[x]$ denote the linear space of polynomials and the linear space of polynomials of degree at most n in one variable, x , respectively. Let \mathcal{L} be a linear differential operator with polynomial coefficients

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=0}^m p_i(x) \frac{d^i}{dx^i}, \quad p_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_i} p_{i,j} x^j, \in \mathbb{P}_{n_i}[x], \quad (1)$$

and let $y_n(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n[x]$, $y_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$ written as $\mathbf{y}_n = \mathbf{a} \mathbf{x}$ in a matrix form. Then $\mathcal{L}[y_n(x)]$ has the following matrix representation, in the power basis,

$$\mathcal{L}[y_n(x)] = \mathbf{a} \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{x},$$

where the matrix $\mathbf{\Pi}$ is defined by $\mathbf{\Pi} = \sum_{i=0}^m p_i(\mathbf{M}) \mathbf{H}^i$, with matrices \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{M} representing the linear differential and shift operator, respectively. That is, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} [y_n(x)] &= \mathbf{a} \mathbf{H}^k \mathbf{x} \\ x^k y_n(x) &= \mathbf{a} \mathbf{M}^k \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & 2 & 0 & \\ & & \ddots & \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

We may also generalize this matrix representation of the operator (1) to integral linear operators, with polynomial coefficients, using the matrix

$$\mathbf{\Theta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \\ & & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \\ & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

In fact, the primitive of polynomial y_n (with zero constant) can be given by

$$\int y_n(x) dx = \mathbf{a} \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{x}.$$

2.2 Classic approach of operational Tau method

Now, let us consider a matrix $\boldsymbol{\nu} = [\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_2, \dots]^T$, where $\nu = (\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_2, \dots)$ is a basis of $\mathbb{P}[x]$ such that, for each non negative integer k , ν_k is a polynomial of degree k . Thus, the image of the polynomial y_n , expanded on basis ν , of the operator \mathcal{L} is given by

$$\mathcal{L}[y_n] = \mathbf{a} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \boldsymbol{\nu},$$

where, $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \mathbf{V} \boldsymbol{\Pi} \mathbf{V}^{-1}$, and \mathbf{V} is the change of basis matrix that satisfies the relation $\boldsymbol{\nu} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}$.

Consider a linear problem

$$\mathcal{L}u = f, \quad x \in]a, b[\quad (2)$$

$$g_j(u) = \sigma_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (3)$$

where, g_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are linear functionals that represent the supplementary conditions and $f \in \mathbb{P}[x]$. A Tau solution of order n expanded on a basis ν is the solution $u_n \in \mathbb{P}_n[x]$ of the associated problem to the problem (4)

$$\mathcal{L}u = f + h_n, \quad x \in]a, b[\quad (4)$$

$$g_j(u) = \sigma_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (5)$$

where h_n it is a perturbation polynomial. The coefficients, a_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ of the tau solution $u_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \nu_i(x)$ are solutions of a system of a linear equations [5].

We note that this system includes the matrix, $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$, that represent the linear operator \mathcal{L} , the supplementary conditions and the polynomial f . Another important remark is that we use infinity matrices to represent the linear operators. However, we need not to worry about the meaning of matrix multiplication. From the practical point of view we deal with polynomials. Thus all this products reduce to a finite number of non null parcels and the size of the finite matrices that we work depend on the number of supplementary conditions and on the height of operator \mathcal{L} . For details see [5] and [6].

3 Matrix representation of linear operators in orthogonal basis

Consider an orthogonal polynomial basis $\nu = (\nu_0, \nu_1, \dots)$ of $\mathbb{P}[x]$ defined by an inner product

$$\langle \nu_i, \nu_j \rangle = \int_a^b \nu_i \nu_j w dx = \|\nu_i\|^2 \delta_{i,j}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (6)$$

where, as usual, w is a weight function and $\|\cdot\|$ is the associated norm to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

The Fourier coefficients f_i of a function f are given by

$$f_i = \frac{1}{\|\nu_i\|^2} \langle \nu_i, f \rangle \quad (7)$$

Assuming that all integrals $\int_a^b \nu_i \nu_j w dx$ exist we will write, $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i \nu_i(x)$, where the equality only holds when the infinite series converge to f . Then we have the following

Proposition 1. *If \mathcal{L} is a linear operator acting on \mathbb{P} and \mathbf{L}_ν is the infinite matrix defined by*

$$\mathbf{L}_\nu = [\ell_{i,j}]_{i,j \geq 0}, \quad \text{with} \quad \ell_{i,j} = \frac{1}{\|\nu_i\|^2} \langle \nu_i, \mathcal{L}[\nu_j] \rangle, \quad (8)$$

then formally $\mathcal{L}\nu = \nu \mathbf{L}_\nu$.

Proof. For each $j = 0, 1, \dots$ we define the infinite unitary vector $\mathbf{e}_j = [\delta_{i,j}]_{i \geq 0}$. So that $\nu \mathbf{e}_j = \nu_j$ and using (7) we get

$$\mathcal{L}[\nu \mathbf{e}_j] = \mathcal{L}[\nu_j] = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{\|\nu_i\|^2} \langle \nu_i, \mathcal{L}[\nu_j] \rangle \nu_i = \sum_{i \geq 0} \ell_{i,j} \nu_i = \nu \mathbf{L}_\nu \mathbf{e}_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

and so $\mathcal{L}\nu = \nu \mathbf{L}_\nu$, in the element wise sense. \square

It is well known that a sequence of orthogonal polynomials, normalized with the condition $\nu_0 = 1$, satisfies a three term recurrence relation.

$$\begin{cases} x\nu_j = \alpha_j \nu_{j+1} + \beta_j \nu_j + \gamma_j \nu_{j-1}, & j \geq 0 \\ \nu_{-1} = 0, \nu_0 = 1 \end{cases}, \quad (9)$$

This recurrence relation it is useful to find the matrices \mathbf{M}_ν , \mathbf{H}_ν and $\mathbf{\Theta}_\nu$ that represent, respectively, the shift, differential and integral operators in ν basis [7].

For the shift operator we have,

Proposition 2. *Let ν be a basis satisfying (9), defining*

$$\mathbf{M}_\nu = [\mu_{i,j}]_{i,j \geq 0}, \quad \text{with} \quad \mu_{i,j} = \frac{1}{\|\nu_i\|^2} \langle \nu_i, x\nu_j \rangle,$$

then

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{0,0} = \beta_0, \mu_{1,0} = \alpha_0 \\ \mu_{j-1,j} = \gamma_j, \mu_{j,j} = \beta_j, \mu_{j+1,j} = \alpha_j, & j = 1, 2, \dots \\ \mu_{i,j} = 0, & |i-j| > 1 \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

and $x\nu = \nu \mathbf{M}_\nu$.

Proof. From the definition of $\mu_{i,j}$ and (7) follows that

$$x\nu_j = \sum_{i=0}^{j+1} \mu_{i,j} \nu_i, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

and using (9) we get (10). The fact that $x\nu = \boldsymbol{\nu}\mathbf{M}_\nu$, in the element-wise sense, is a consequence of proposition 1. \square

For the differential operator stands the following

Proposition 3. *Let ν be a basis satisfying (9), defining*

$$\mathbf{H}_\nu = [\eta_{i,j}]_{i,j \geq 0}, \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_{i,j} = \frac{1}{\|\nu_i\|^2} < \nu_i, \frac{d}{dx} \nu_j >,$$

then for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{cases} \eta_{i,j+1} = \frac{1}{\alpha_j} [\alpha_{i-1} \eta_{i-1,j} + (\beta_i - \beta_j) \eta_{i,j} \\ \quad + \gamma_{i+1} \eta_{i+1,j} - \gamma_j \eta_{i,j-1}], \quad i = 0, \dots, j-1 \\ \eta_{j,j+1} = \frac{1}{\alpha_j} (\alpha_{j-1} \eta_{j-1,j} + 1) \end{cases}, \quad (11)$$

and $\frac{d}{dx} \nu = \boldsymbol{\nu} \mathbf{H}_\nu$.

Proof. Applying the operator $\frac{d}{dx}$ to both sides of (9) then

$$\nu_j + x\nu'_j = \alpha_j \nu'_{j+1} + \beta_j \nu'_j + \gamma_j \nu'_{j-1}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

and, by definition of $\eta_{i,j}$

$$\nu_j + x \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \eta_{i,j} \nu_i = \alpha_j \sum_{i=0}^j \eta_{i,j+1} \nu_i + \beta_j \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \eta_{i,j} \nu_i + \gamma_j \sum_{i=0}^{j-2} \eta_{i,j-1} \nu_i, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j \sum_{i=0}^j \eta_{i,j+1} \nu_i &= \nu_j + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \eta_{i,j} (\alpha_i \nu_{i+1} + \beta_i \nu_i + \gamma_i \nu_{i-1}) \\ &\quad - \beta_j \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \eta_{i,j} \nu_i - \gamma_j \sum_{i=0}^{j-2} \eta_{i,j-1} \nu_i \end{aligned}$$

rearranging indices and identifying similar coefficients we get (11). That $\frac{d}{dx} \nu = \boldsymbol{\nu} \mathbf{H}_\nu$ is a consequence of Proposition 1. \square

To derive the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_\nu$ we have,

Proposition 4. Let ν be the basis satisfying (9), defining

$$\Theta_\nu = [\theta_{i,j}]_{i,j \geq 0}, \quad \text{with} \quad \theta_{i,j} = \frac{1}{\|\nu_i\|^2} < \nu_i, \int \nu_j dx >,$$

then for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{j+1,j} = \frac{\alpha_j}{j+1} \\ \theta_{i+1,j} = -\frac{\alpha_i}{i+1} \sum_{k=i+2}^{j+1} \eta_{i,k} \theta_{k,j}, i = j-1, \dots, 1, 0 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

and $\int \nu dx = \nu \Theta$.

Proof. By definition, considering that the primitive of ν_j is a polynomial of degree $j+1$ defined with an arbitrary constant term, we can write

$$\int \nu_j dx = \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} \theta_{i,j} P_i, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

Differentiating both sides and applying proposition 3

$$\nu_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} \theta_{i,j} \nu'_i = \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} \theta_{i,j} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \eta_{k,i} \nu_k.$$

Rearranging indices and identifying similar coefficients,

$$\nu_j = \sum_{i=0}^j \left[\sum_{k=i+1}^{j+1} \eta_{i,k} \theta_{k,j} \right] \nu_i.$$

And so, for the coefficient of ν_j ,

$$\eta_{j,j+1} \theta_{j+1,j} = 1$$

and, for the coefficients of ν_i , $i = 0, \dots, j-1$,

$$\sum_{k=i+1}^{j+1} \eta_{i,k} \theta_{k,j} = 0$$

The result is obtained solving for $\theta_{j+1,j}$ the first equation and for $\theta_{i+1,j}$ each one in the last set of equations. \square

Corollary 1. Let ν be the basis satisfying (9), $y = \nu \mathbf{a}$ a formal Fourier series and the matrix Θ_ν defined in Proposition (4). Then $\int_a^x y = \nu \Theta_\nu^{x,a} \mathbf{a}$ where,

$$\Theta_\nu^{x,a} = [v_{i,j}]_{i,j \geq 0}, \quad \begin{cases} v_{0,j} = -\sum_{i=1}^{j+1} \theta_{i,j} \nu_i(a) \\ v_{i,j} = \theta_{i,j}, \quad i > 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Defining the primitive with undefined ν_0 , $F_j = \int \nu_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} \theta_{ij} \nu_i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^x \nu_j(t) dt &= F_j(x) - F_j(a) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} \theta_{i,j} \nu_i(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} \theta_{i,j} \nu_i(a) = \nu \Theta_\nu^{x,a} e_j \end{aligned}$$

meaning that $\int_a^x \nu = \nu \Theta_\nu^{x,a}$ in element wise sense. The proof follows by linearity. \square

4 Numerical results

In order to test the numerical stability of the recurrence relations, used to compute the matrices, \mathbf{M}_ν and Θ_ν , we will solve three stiff problems using the operational Tau method. We have chosen two differential problems and a integral one to test the recurrence relations in Jacobi and Laguerre polynomials cases. In all problems the Tau method converges slowly and we need to compute the higher order operational matrices to obtain a good approximation of the solution of the given problem. Thus, if we can improve the accuracy of higher order Tau solutions, we can conclude (since the matrix Π is not ill conditioned) that the recurrence relations used for the orthogonal polynomials, are numerically stable.

In the next two examples it will be useful the three terms relation (9), see e.g. [1], for Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x)$, $n \geq 0$ with $\alpha_n = \frac{2(n+1)(n+\gamma+1)}{(2n+\gamma+1)(2n+\gamma+2)}$, $\beta_n = \frac{(\beta-\alpha)\gamma}{(2n+\gamma)(2n+\gamma+2)}$, $\gamma_n = \frac{2(n+\alpha)(n+\beta)}{(2n+\gamma)(2n+\gamma+1)}$ where $\alpha, \beta > -1$ and $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$.

Example 1. We consider the following differential problem with boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon y''(x) - xy(x) = 0, & x \in]-1, 1[\\ y(-1) = 1, & y(1) = 1 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where ϵ is a real positive parameter. This problem has solution

$$y(x) = c_1 \text{Ai}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt[3]{\epsilon}}\right) + c_2 \text{Bi}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt[3]{\epsilon}}\right),$$

where Ai and Bi are the airy functions of first and second kind, respectively, and the constants c_1 and c_2 are computed in such a way to fulfill the boundary conditions. For small values of the parameter ϵ the solution has a smooth and a strong oscillations regions, see Figure 1.

We solve this problem with $\epsilon = 10^{-5}$ using Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ as basis. For this example the operational matrix is given by

$$\Pi_{P^{(\alpha,\beta)}} = \epsilon \mathbf{H}_{P^{(\alpha,\beta)}}^2 - \mathbf{M}_{P^{(\alpha,\beta)}}.$$




Figure 1: Blue line: Jacobi-Tau approximations y_{50} , y_{100} , y_{150} and y_{200} , with $\alpha = \beta = 0$ (Legendre basis). Red line: solution of the problem (13)

Table 1: Infinity norm of Jacobi-Tau approximation errors, $\max_{x \in [-1,1]} |y(x) - y_n(x)|$ of equation (13).

(α, β)	n			
	150	250	350	1000
$(0, 0)$	$2.05e + 0$	$3.32e - 8$	$3.48e - 12$	$5.29e - 12$
$(-1/2, -1/2)$	$6.42e + 0$	$4.11e - 8$	$6.04e - 12$	$5.77e - 12$
$(1, -9/10)$	$6.46e + 1$	$3.41e - 8$	$2.44e - 11$	$2.86e - 11$
$(-9/10, -9/10)$	$2.37e + 1$	$4.88e - 8$	$1.86e - 11$	$3.96e - 12$
$(1/2, -1/2)$	$1.75e + 0$	$2.68e - 8$	$2.12e - 12$	$1.17e - 12$

We can see on Table 1 that this Tau problem has slow rate of convergence. In fact we need a Tau approximation of degree 250 to reach an error of order 10^{-8} and an approximation of degree 350 to reach errors of order 10^{-11} or 10^{-12} depending on the Jacobi basis (i. e. depending on the values of α and β). Thus, the computed recurrence relations, for the derivative, given in Proposition 3, are stable. The solutions of higher orders are also good approximations. In fact, for $n > 350$ the matrices $\Pi_{P(\alpha,\beta)}$ are ill conditioned implying that it is useless to increase the degree of the Jacobi -Tau approximation.

In the following example we test the stability of integral recurrence relation given on proposition 4

Example 2. Consider the Volterra integral equation

$$(x-a)^3 y(x) + \int_{-1}^x y(s) ds = -f(-1), \quad x \in [-1, 1] \quad (14)$$

where $f(x) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2(x-a)^2}\right)$ and a is a real parameter. The solution of (14) it is the function y defined by $y(x) = (a-x)^{-3} f(x)$.

We solve this problem with $a = 1.25$ using Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ as basis. For this case the operational matrix is given by

$$\Pi_{P(\alpha,\beta)} = (M_{P(\alpha,\beta)} - aI)^3 + \Theta_{P(\alpha,\beta)}^{x,-1},$$

where the matrix $\Theta_{P(\alpha,\beta)}^{x,-1}$ is given on Corolary 1.

Table 2: Infinity norm of Jacobi-Tau approximation errors, $\max_{x \in [-1,1]} |y(x) - y_n(x)|$ of equation (14).

(α, β)	n			
	50	100	150	1000
(0, 0)	3.90e + 0	1.85e - 7	1.58e - 7	1.59e - 7
(-1/2, -1/2)	1.30e + 0	5.42e - 7	5.46e - 7	5.46e - 7
(1, -9/10)	3.49e + 1	5.26e - 7	4.02e - 9	3.78e - 9
(10, 0)	1.57e + 4	7.35e - 2	1.34e - 9	1.72e - 9

The results presented on Table 2 show that the behavior of the Tau solutions of this example is similar to the previous one. We need higher order Tau solutions to reach errors of order 10^{-7} or 10^{-9} (depending on the Jacobi polynomial basis) and our approach is stable.

In the next example we analyze the behavior of the recurrence relation given on Proposition 3 for Laguerre polynomials basis. The Laguerre polynomials $L_n(x)$, $n \geq 0$ satisfy (9) with

$$\alpha_n = -(n+1), \quad \beta_n = 2n+1, \quad \gamma_n = -n.$$




Figure 2: Blue line: Laguerre -Tau approximations y_{500} , y_{1000} , y_{1500} and y_{2000} , with $m = 10$. Red line: solution of the problem (15).

Example 3. Here we consider the Bessel equation with boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} x^2 y''(x) + xy'(x) + (x^2 - m^2)y(x) = 0, & x \in]0, 60[\\ y(0) = 0, & y(60) = 1 \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

where $m \neq 0$ it is a real parameter. This problem has solution

$$y(x) = \frac{J_m(x)}{J_m(60)}$$

where $J_m(x)$ is the Bessel function of first kind.

We show on Figure 2 the graphs of the Laguerre-Tau approximations (blue lines), y_{500} , y_{1000} , y_{1500} and y_{2000} of this problem with the parameter value $m = 10$. We can see that although the rate of convergence is very slow, it is possible reach good approximations using our approach for the operational Tau method. It is still possible to compute approximations with degree higher than 2000 but that does not improve significantly the accuracy obtained by y_{2000} .

5 Conclusions

Numerical results show that the recurrence process to build operational matrices applied to operational Tau method stabilizes the "classic" Tau method, introduced in [5]. More, our approach allows to work in all orthogonal polynomial bases.

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Dover Publications, New York, 9th ed. (1972)
- [2] Canuto, C., Hussaini, M., Quarteroni, A, Zang, T. "Spectral Methods. Scientific Computation, fundamentals in single domains", Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006
- [3] Funaro, D. "Polynomial Approximations of Differential Equations ", Springer-Verlag, 1992
- [4] Gottlieb, D., Orszag, S. "Numerical Analysis of Spectral Methods: Theory and Applications ", SIAM-CBMS, Philadelphia, 1977
- [5] Ortiz, E.L., Samara, H. "A new operational approach to the numerical solution of differential equations in terms of polynomials", *in Innovative Numerical Analysis for the Engineering Sciences* , The University Press of Virginia Vol. **27** , pp. 643-652, 1998
- [6] Ortiz, E.L., Samara, H. "An operational approach to the tau method for the numerical solution of non-linear differential equations", *Computing*, Vol. **27(1)** , pp. 15-25, 1981
- [7] Matos, J.M.A., Rodrigues, M.J. and Matos, J.C. " Explicit Formulae for Derivatives and Primitives of Orthogonal Polynomials", (In preparation).