

Variational Autoencoders for Learning Latent Representations of Speech Emotion

Siddique Latif^{1,3}, Rajib Rana², Junaid Qadir³, and Julien Epps⁴

¹National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Pakistan

²University of Southern Queensland, Australia

³Information Technology University (ITU)-Punjab, Pakistan

⁴University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

Abstract—Latent representation of data in unsupervised fashion is a very interesting process. It provides more relevant features that can enhance the performance of a classifier. For speech emotion recognition tasks generating effective features is very crucial. Recently, deep generative models such as Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) have gained enormous success to model natural images. Being inspired by that in this paper, we use VAE for the modeling of emotions in human speech. We derive the latent representation of speech signal and use this for classification of emotions. We demonstrate that features learned by VAEs can achieve state-of-the-art emotion recognition results.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently speech emotion recognition has attained great attention in both industry and academia. It has various applications in human-computer interaction and analysis of human-human interactions. Speech signal has complex distributions with high variance due to various factors such as speaking style, age, gender, linguistic content, environmental and channel effects, emotional state, etc. Understanding the influence of these factors on the speech signal is a crucial problem, which plays a significant role in various applications, including speech recognition and emotion recognition. *However, considerable attempts have focused on handcrafting features [1] to capture these attributes rather than automatically learning more robust features by using probabilistic generative models.*

Recently there has been significant research on deep probabilistic generative models, such as Generative Adversarial Nets (GANs) [2] and Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) [3]. Particularly, VAE also addresses the intractability problem that comes in complicated as well as moderately complex models such as Restricted Boltzmann machines (RBMs). They have been proven efficient algorithms for, learning an approximate inference model and autoencoding of latent variables. There has been a lot of attempts in modeling natural images using generative models [4]–[6]. There has been a little research on learning latent representation of speech generation [7], [8] and speech conversion [9]. *However, the feasibility of VAEs for speech emotion recognition is mostly unexplored.*

In this paper, we propose a simple approach based on the VAEs to learn the latent representation of speech emotion by modeling the probabilistic generative process of speech. We use latent representation learned by VAEs for emotion

classification. We demonstrate how VAEs can learn robust features from linguistic content that can be useful for emotion recognition. To demonstrate the performance of these features, we use Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) classifier for emotion recognition. Compare the performance of VAEs with autoencoders we demonstrate that VAEs can learn more promising attributes from speech signal that offer better emotion recognition rate.

II. RELATED WORK

VAEs have been widely used for natural image generation (e.g., [10], [11]), but its use for speech processing and recognition is very limited. For speech and audio processing, VAEs have mainly been used for speech generation and transformation [8]. They have also been used to learn phonetic content or speaker's identity in speech segment without supervisory data [7], [8]. Moreover, a framework based on VAE was used in [12] to learn both frame-level and utterance-level robust representations. The authors used these salient features along with the other speech features for robust speech recognition. Hsu et al. [9] proposed a VAE based flexible framework for modeling of spectral conversion with unaligned corpora. In this study, encoder part learned the representation of phonetic for speaker and decoder reconstructed the designated speaker by removing the demand of parallel corpora for the training of model on spectral conversion. Finally, Blaauw et al. [7] used fully-connected VAE to model the frame-level spectral envelopes of the speech signal. Based on their experiments, the authors found that VAE can achieve similar or comparatively better reconstruction errors than the competitive models like Restricted Boltzmann machine (RBM).

Many researchers have used LSTM Recurrent Neural Networks for speech emotion recognition (e.g., [13], [14]). Because of the gated architecture LSTMs are highly suitable for speech emotion recognition [15]. In fact, LSTMs are more effective than support vector machines while using nonverbal vocalization features for speech emotion recognition, when enough training data is available [16].

Again many researchers [13] have used LSTM networks on the same speech corpus IEMOCAP as we used. It performs better than Hidden Markov Models for emotion classification within the IEMOCAP database [17], [18]. Chernykh et al. used

Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC) loss function with LSTM networks for emotion classification using the IEMOCAP dataset. However, to the best of our knowledge, the best reported accuracy for IEMOCAP database is achieved by Lee et al. [14]. The authors focused on improvisation sessions only and achieved 60% accuracy. Encouragingly, using the VAE generated features our proposed model achieves better accuracy than this best reported results.

III. PROPOSED APPROACH

We propose a joint Variational Autoencoder and LSTM model for emotion classification in speech. The model is shown in Fig 1. It learns a latent representation of the data using the variational autoencoder which is then fed to LSTM for emotion classification.

A. Variational Autoencoder

Variational Autoencoder is a merger of Graphical Model and Neural Networks. It has a similar structure as of an Autoencoder but functions differently. Autoencoders learn a compressed representation of the input and then reconstruct the input from the compressed representation. The learning is controlled by a distance function that quantifies the information loss occurring from the lossy compression. Instead of learning a compressed representation, variational autoencoders learn the *parameters of a probability distribution* representing the input in a latent space.

Formally speaking, given data X and the latent space z , we want to model the data that is we want to find the probability of X , $P(X)$

$$P(X) = \int P(X|z)P(z)dz. \quad (1)$$

However, quantities $P(X|z)$ and $P(z)$ both are unknown. The idea of VAE is to infer $P(z)$ using $P(z|X)$ where $P(z|X)$ is determined using Variational Inference (VI). In VI, $P(z|X)$ is inferred upon minimising the divergence with a known distribution $Q(z|X)$. Using Variational Inference the objective function of the VAE can be written as :

$$\begin{aligned} \log P(X) = & \underbrace{KL[Q(z|X)||P(z|X)]}_{\text{Part 1: } \geq 0} \\ & + \underbrace{E[\log P(X|z)] - KL[Q(z|X)||P(z)]}_{\text{Part 2: Lower Bound}} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here KL stands for KullbackLeibler divergence or in short KL-divergence, which is a natural way to measure the difference between two distributions. In (2) given any X , $\log P(X)$ is a constant, and this equates to a KL term (part 1) and a Lower Bound (Part 2). Part 2 is a lower bound since KL-divergence is always a positive number, therefore, Part 2 $< \log P(X)$.

For part 1, we need to obtain a $Q(z|x)$ that matches $P(z|X)$. Assuming we use a powerful deep neural network with large number of model parameters capable to fit highly complex functions we can learn a model for $Q(z|x)$, which can actually match $P(z|X)$ causing the KL-divergence term become zero [19].

After part 1 being eliminated, in order to maximise $\log P(X)$ we need to maximise the Lower Bound. The lower bound can be further written as a reconstruction problem $|X - \hat{X}|^2$ as the decoder $P(X|z)$ is fully deterministic and assuming $P(\hat{X}|X)$ follows a normal distribution.

$$\log P(X) = - \underbrace{\{|X - \hat{X}|^2 + KL[Q(z|X)||P(z)]\}}_{\text{Lower Bound}} \quad (3)$$

Therefore, eventually, the aim is to reduce the reconstruction error and to train the encoder $Q(z|X)$ in a way that it produces the parameters of the probability distribution for the latent space z based on a known distribution of choice. This will minimise the divergence between $Q(z|X)$ and $P(z)$. For example, if we assume that the latent space will have a normal distribution, we need to train the encoder to generate the mean and covariance. Samples of $P(z|X)$ will be generated using these parameters, which the decoder will use to generate the approximation of X , $\hat{X}$.

1) *Conditional Variational Autoencoder (CVAE)*: In conventional VAE there is no way to generate specific data for example picture of an elephant if the user inputs an elephant image. This is because the VAE models the latent variable and image directly. To eliminate this problem Conditional Variational Encoder (CVAE) models both latent variables and data conditioned to some random variables, c . There are many possibilities for the conditional variable: it could have a categorical distribution expressing the label, or even could have the same distribution as of the data.

The encoder is now conditioned to two variables X and c : $Q(z|X, c)$ and the decoder is conditioned to two variables, z and c : $P(X|z, c)$. The objective function becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \log P(X|c) = & KL[Q(z|X, c)||P(z|X, c)] \\ & + E[\log P(X|z, c)] - KL[Q(z|X, c)||P(z|c)] \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In our design, we compute $Q(z|X, c)$ by simply concatenating X and its class label (c) and feed into the encoder. The conditional latent representation along with the class label is given to the decoder to reconstruct X .

B. Speech Emotion Classification

In this work, we use the LSTM-RNN as a classifier to investigate the robustness and predictability of feature learned by VAEs models for emotion recognition. An LSTM is a powerful tool for the modeling of sequential data. The key difference between an LSTM and DNN is that LSTM has recurrent connections of memory blocks.

A hidden layer of an LSTM model consists of memory blocks, where each of memory blocks composed of one or more recurrent memory cells. These memory cells have three gate units: the input, output, and forget gates to perform reading, writing, and resetting of information respectively. Figure 2 shows the graphical structure of an LSTM memory cell. The red circle (CEC) in the figure is called the ‘‘Constant Error Carousel’’, which is used to recycle the status information in one-time step. The blue circles indicate gated multiplicative




Fig. 1. The overall model for classification of emotions based on the latent representation z .




Fig. 2. A graphical representation of LSTM memory cell.

connections, whereas the peephole enables the direct access to CEC (i.e., central neuron). This gated architecture enables the LSTM model to store and retrieve information over a longer duration. Emotions in speech are context dependent and memory cell structure of LSTM can model contextual information making LSTMs suitable for speech emotion recognition [20].

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Speech Corpus

For experimentation, we selected Interactive Emotional Dyadic Motion Capture (IEMOCAP) [21] database, which is widely used for speech emotion recognition. IEMOCAP is a multimodal corpus which is recorded by ten actors over five sessions. Each session contains one female and one male speaker. The data includes two types of dialogues: scripted and non-scripted. In non-scripted dialogue, the speakers were instructed to act out without pre-written scripts. For the

scripted dialogue data, the actors followed a pre-written script. The annotation is performed by 3 to 4 assessors based on both video and audio streams. Each utterance is annotated from 10 options (i.e., neutral, happiness, sadness, anger, surprise, fear, disgust, frustration, excited, and other). We compared our results for improvised, scripted and complete data of 4 emotion (neutral, happiness, sadness, and anger) by combining happiness and excited as one emotion.

B. Speech Data Processing

We consider the speech frame representation in LogMel as used in [22], [23]. We take the signal and apply hamming window of size 25ms with 10ms of frame-shift and then compute Fourier transform coefficients. We then computed 80 Mel-frequency filter-banks. The feature set is formulated by taking the log of each Mel-frequencies. We use segment length 100ms, which correspond to 10 frames.

C. Configuration of VAE and LSTM

We input the speech segment to VAE for latent representation of data. Speech segment of 800 features is represented in latent space of 128. We use two encoding layers with 512 and 256 hidden units respectively. We use Adam optimizer with $\beta_1=0.999$ and $\beta_2=0.99$, $\epsilon=10^{-8}$ and learning rate $=10^{-3}$. We approximate Z with normally distributed ϵ by setting $z = \mu + \epsilon\sigma$. Where $\epsilon \sim N(0,1)$, $z \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$. In CVAE we conditioned the VAE on categorical labels of emotions. To compare the performance of VAE, we also use conventional autoencoder (AE) having same architecture (i.e., hidden units, layers and model parameters) except for Gaussian layer that is replaced with a fully connected layer.

The LSTM model we used consists of two consecutive LSTM layers with the activation of hyperbolic tangent and two dense layers for classification. Adam optimizer with default

parameters is used. Batch size of 128 is used in all of our experiments.

D. Results

The latent representations by both VAEs and AE are given to LSTM network for classification. Because the IEMOCAP corpus is not split into training and testing data, we investigated the performance of the model by training it on 70% of data and tested the model with the remaining 30% of unseen data. Table I shows the classification results of IEMOCAP data.

Data	AE-LSTM	VAE-LSTM	CVAE-LSTM
Improvised Speech	59.26%	62.67%	64.86%
Scripted Speech	50.08%	53.21%	55.31%
Complete Data	53.16%	56.42%	58.08%

TABLE I
COMPARISON OF RESULTS ON IEMOCAP DATA

From Table I it can be noted that the features learned by VAEs achieved better classification performance as compared to the conventional autoencoder. Whereas the representation learned by CVAE outperformed both AE and VAE. Encouragingly, the performance of VAEs is better than the best-reported results on IEMOCAP.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we present a model based on VAE and LSTM networks for speech emotion recognition. We used an unsupervised framework of VAEs to learn latent representations for different emotions from speech and then classify emotions based on these representations using LSTM networks. Based on the results, we found that our proposed model yields improvements in classification results compared to traditional handcrafted features and features learned by conventional autoencoders while using the widely used IEMOCAP database.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Eyben, K. R. Scherer, B. W. Schuller, J. Sundberg, E. André, C. Busso, L. Y. Devillers, J. Epps, P. Laukka, S. S. Narayanan *et al.*, “The geneva minimalistic acoustic parameter set (gemaps) for voice research and affective computing,” *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 190–202, 2016.
- [2] I. Goodfellow, J. Pouget-Abadie, M. Mirza, B. Xu, D. Warde-Farley, S. Ozair, A. Courville, and Y. Bengio, “Generative adversarial nets,” in *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2014, pp. 2672–2680.
- [3] D. P. Kingma and M. Welling, “Auto-encoding variational bayes,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*, 2013.
- [4] A. Radford, L. Metz, and S. Chintala, “Unsupervised representation learning with deep convolutional generative adversarial networks,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06434*, 2015.
- [5] A. B. L. Larsen, S. K. Sønderby, H. Larochelle, and O. Winther, “Autoencoding beyond pixels using a learned similarity metric,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.09300*, 2015.
- [6] E. L. Denton, S. Chintala, R. Fergus *et al.*, “Deep generative image models using a laplacian pyramid of adversarial networks,” in *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2015, pp. 1486–1494.
- [7] M. Blaauw and J. Bonada, “Modeling and transforming speech using variational autoencoders,” in *INTERSPEECH*, 2016, pp. 1770–1774.
- [8] W.-N. Hsu, Y. Zhang, and J. Glass, “Learning latent representations for speech generation and transformation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.04222*, 2017.
- [9] C.-C. Hsu, H.-T. Hwang, Y.-C. Wu, Y. Tsao, and H.-M. Wang, “Voice conversion from non-parallel corpora using variational auto-encoder,” in *Signal and Information Processing Association Annual Summit and Conference (APSIPA), 2016 Asia-Pacific*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–6.
- [10] X. Hou, L. Shen, K. Sun, and G. Qiu, “Deep feature consistent variational autoencoder,” in *Applications of Computer Vision (WACV), 2017 IEEE Winter Conference on*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 1133–1141.
- [11] C. K. Sønderby, T. Raiko, L. Maaløe, S. K. Sønderby, and O. Winther, “Ladder variational autoencoders,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2016, pp. 3738–3746.
- [12] S. Tan and K. C. Sim, “Learning utterance-level normalisation using variational autoencoders for robust automatic speech recognition,” in *Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT), 2016 IEEE*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 43–49.
- [13] V. Chernykh, G. Sterling, and P. Prihodko, “Emotion recognition from speech with recurrent neural networks,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.08071*, 2017.
- [14] J. Lee and I. Tashev, “High-level feature representation using recurrent neural network for speech emotion recognition,” in *INTERSPEECH*, 2015, pp. 1537–1540.
- [15] G. Trigeorgis, F. Ringeval, R. Brueckner, E. Marchi, M. A. Nicolaou, B. Schuller, and S. Zafeiriou, “Adieu features? end-to-end speech emotion recognition using a deep convolutional recurrent network,” in *Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2016 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 5200–5204.
- [16] L. Tian, J. D. Moore, and C. Lai, “Emotion recognition in spontaneous and acted dialogues,” in *Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII), 2015 International Conference on*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 698–704.
- [17] M. Wöllmer, A. Metallinou, N. Katsamanis, B. Schuller, and S. Narayanan, “Analyzing the memory of lstm neural networks for enhanced emotion classification in dyadic spoken interactions,” in *Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2012 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, 2012, pp. 4157–4160.
- [18] M. Wöllmer, A. Metallinou, F. Eyben, B. Schuller, and S. S. Narayanan, “Context-sensitive multimodal emotion recognition from speech and facial expression using bidirectional lstm modeling,” in *Eleventh Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association*, 2010.
- [19] C. Doersch, “Tutorial on variational autoencoders,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.05908*, 2016.
- [20] L. Tian, J. Moore, and C. Lai, “Recognizing emotions in spoken dialogue with hierarchically fused acoustic and lexical features,” in *Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT), 2016 IEEE*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 565–572.
- [21] C. Busso, M. Bulut, C.-C. Lee, A. Kazemzadeh, E. Mower, S. Kim, J. N. Chang, S. Lee, and S. S. Narayanan, “Iemocap: Interactive emotional dyadic motion capture database,” *Language resources and evaluation*, vol. 42, no. 4, p. 335, 2008.
- [22] O. Abdel-Hamid, A.-r. Mohamed, H. Jiang, L. Deng, G. Penn, and D. Yu, “Convolutional neural networks for speech recognition,” *IEEE/ACM Transactions on audio, speech, and language processing*, vol. 22, no. 10, pp. 1533–1545, 2014.
- [23] M. Neumann and N. T. Vu, “Attentive convolutional neural network based speech emotion recognition: A study on the impact of input features, signal length, and acted speech,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.00612*, 2017.