EXPANSION OF MULTIPLE ITO STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS OF ARBITRARY MULTIPlicity, BASED ON GENERALIZED MULTIPLE FOURIER SERIES, CONVERGING IN THE MEAN
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ABSTRACT. The article is devoted to expansions of multiple Ito stochastic integrals, based on generalized multiple Fourier series converging in the mean. The method of generalized multiple Fourier series for expansions and mean-square approximations of multiple Ito stochastic integrals is derived. In the article it is also obtained generalization of this method for discontinuous basis functions. The comparison of derived method with well-known expansions of multiple Ito stochastic integrals based on Ito formula and Hermite polynomials is given. The proof of convergence in the mean of degree \(2n\), \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) of considered method is obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

The idea of representing of multiple Ito stochastic integrals in the form of multiple stochastic integrals from specific nonrandom functions of several variables and following expansion of these functions using Fourier series in order to get effective mean-square approximations of mentioned stochastic integrals was represented in several works of the author (another approaches to expansions of multiple stochastic integrals see in [1] - [3]). Specifically, this approach appeared for the first time in [4] (1994). In that work the mentioned idea is formulated more likely at the level of guess (without any satisfactory grounding), and as a result the work [4] contains rather fuzzy formulations and a number of incorrect conclusions. Note, that in [4] we used multiple Fourier series according to the trigonometric system of functions converging in the mean. It should be noted, that the results of work [4] are true for a sufficiently narrow particular case when numbers \(i_1, \ldots, i_k\) are pairwise different; \(i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m\).

Usage of Fourier series according to the system of Legendre polynomials for approximation of multiple stochastic integrals took place for the first time in [5] (see also [6] - [11]).

The question about what integrals (Ito or Stratonovich) are more suitable for expansions within the frames of distinguished direction of researches has turned out to be rather interesting and difficult.

On the one side, theorem 1 conclusively demonstrates, that the structure of multiple Ito stochastic integrals is rather convenient for expansions into multiple series according to the system of standard Gaussian random variables regardless of their multiplicity \(k\).

On the other side, the results of [5], [8] - [11] convincingly testify, that there is a doubtless relation between multiplier factor \(\frac{1}{2}\), which is typical for Stratonovich stochastic integral and included into the sum, connecting Stratonovich and Ito stochastic integrals, and the fact, that in point of finite discontinuity of sectionally smooth function \(f(x)\) its Fourier series converges to the value \(\frac{1}{2}(f(x - 0) + f(x + 0))\). In addition, as it is demonstrated in [8] - [11], final formulas for expansions of multiple Stratonovich stochastic integrals (of second multiplicity in the common case and of third and fourth multiplicity in some particular cases) are more compact than their analogues for Ito stochastic integrals.
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2. THEOREM ON EXPANSION OF MULTIPLE ITO STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS OF ARBITRARY MULTIPlicity k

Let \((\Omega, F, \mathbb{P})\) be a complete probability space, let \(\{F_t, t \in [0, T]\}\) be a nondecreasing right-continuous family of \(\sigma\)-subfields of \(F\), and let \(f_t\) be a standard \(m\)-dimensional Wiener stochastic process, which is \(F_t\)-measurable for any \(t \in [0, T]\). We assume that the components \(f^{(i)}_t\) \((i = 1, \ldots, m)\) of this process are independent. Hereafter we call stochastic process \(\xi : [0, T] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^k\) as non-anticipative when it is measurable according to the family of variables \((t, \omega)\) and function \(\xi(t, \omega) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \xi_t\) is \(F_t\)-measurable for all \(t \in [0, T]\) and \(\xi_t\) independent with increments \(f_{t+\Delta} - f_{\Delta}\) for \(\Delta \geq \tau, \ t > 0\).

Let’s consider the following multiple Ito stochastic integrals:

\[
(1) \quad J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} = \int_t^T \psi_k(t_k) \ldots \int_t^t \psi_1(t_1) d\mathbf{w}^{(i_1)}_{t_1} \ldots d\mathbf{w}^{(i_k)}_{t_k},
\]

where every \(\psi_l(\tau) \ (l = 1, \ldots, k)\) is a non-random function on \([t, T]\); \(\mathbf{w}^{(i)}_\tau = f^{(i)}_\tau\) when \(i = 1, \ldots, m\); \(\mathbf{w}^{(i)}_\tau = \tau; \ i_1, \ldots, i_k = 0, 1, \ldots, m\).

Suppose that every \(\psi_l(\tau) \ (l = 1, \ldots, k)\) is a continuous on \([t, T]\) function.

Define the following function on a hypercube \([t, T]^k\):

\[
(2) \quad K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = \prod_{l=1}^k \psi_l(t_l) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} 1_{\{t_j < t_{j+1}\}}, \ t_1, \ldots, t_k \in [t, T]; \ k \geq 2,
\]

and \(K(t_1) = \psi_1(t_1); \ t_1 \in [t, T]\), where \(1_A\) is the indicator of the set \(A\).

Suppose that \(\{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^\infty\) is a complete orthonormal system of functions in \(L_2([t, T])\).

The function \(K(t_1, \ldots, t_k)\) is sectionally continuous in the hypercube \([t, T]^k\). At this situation it is well known, that the multiple Fourier series of \(K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \in L_2([t, T]^k)\) is converging to \(K(t_1, \ldots, t_k)\) in the hypercube \([t, T]^k\) in the mean-square sense, i.e.

\[
(3) \quad \lim_{p_1, \ldots, p_k \to \infty} \left\| K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \prod_{l=1}^k \phi_{j_l}(t_l) \right\| = 0,
\]

where

\[
(4) \quad C_{j_k \ldots j_1} = \int_{[t, T]^k} K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \prod_{l=1}^k \phi_{j_l}(t_l) dt_1 \ldots dt_k,
\]

and

\[
\|f\|^2 = \int_{[t, T]^k} f^2(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k.
\]

Consider the partition \(\{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^N\) of \([t, T]\) such that

\[
(5) \quad t = \tau_0 < \ldots < \tau_N = T, \ \Delta N = \max_{0 \leq j \leq N-1} \Delta \tau_j \to 0 \text{ if } N \to \infty, \ \Delta \tau_j = \tau_{j+1} - \tau_j.
\]

**Theorem 1** (see [6], [11] - [14]). Suppose that every \(\psi_l(\tau) \ (l = 1, \ldots, k)\) is a continuous on \([t, T]\) function and \(\{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^\infty\) is a complete orthonormal system of continuous functions in \(L_2([t, T])\). Then

\[
(6) \quad J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1, \ldots, p_k \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \prod_{l=1}^k \phi_{j_l}(\tau l) \Delta \mathbf{w}^{(i_1)}_{\tau l_1} \cdots \phi_{j_k}(\tau l_k) \Delta \mathbf{w}^{(i_k)}_{\tau l_k},
\]
where
\[ G_k = H_k \setminus L_k; \ H_k = \{(l_1, \ldots, l_k) : l_1, \ldots, l_k = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}; \]
\[ L_k = \{(l_1, \ldots, l_k) : l_1, \ldots, l_k = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1; \ l_g \neq l_r \ (g \neq r); \ g, r = 1, \ldots, k\}; \]
l.i.m. is a limit in the mean-square sense; \( i_1, \ldots, i_k = 0, 1, \ldots, m \); every
\begin{equation}
\zeta_j^{(i)} = \int_t^T \phi_j(s) \, dw_s^{(i)}
\end{equation}
is a standard Gaussian random variable for various \( i \) or \( j \) (if \( i \neq 0 \)); \( C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \) is the Fourier coefficient \(^4\); \( \Delta w_t^{(i)} = w_{\tau_{j+1}}^{(i)} - w_{\tau_j}^{(i)} \) (\( i = 0, 1, \ldots, m \)); \( \{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1} \) is a partition of \([t, T]\), which satisfies the condition \(^5\).

**Proof.** At first, let’s prove preparatory lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that every \( \psi_l(\tau) \) \( (l = 1, \ldots, k) \) is a continuous on \([t, T]\) function. Then
\begin{equation}
J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T, t} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{N-1} \ldots \sum_{j_1=0}^{j_2-1} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \psi_l(\tau_{j}) \Delta w_{\tau_{j}}^{(i)} \text{ w. p. 1,}
\end{equation}
where \( \Delta w_t^{(i)} = w_{\tau_{j+1}}^{(i)} - w_{\tau_j}^{(i)} \) (\( i = 0, 1, \ldots, m \)), \( \{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1} \) is a partition of interval \([t, T]\), satisfying the condition \(^4\); hereinafter w. p. 1 means with probability 1.

**Proof.** Proving it is easy to notice, that using the property of stochastic integral additivity, we can write down:
\begin{equation}
J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T, t} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{N-1} \ldots \sum_{j_1=0}^{j_2-1} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} J[\psi_l]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j} + \varepsilon_N \text{ w. p. 1,}
\end{equation}
where
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_N &= \sum_{j_k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_{jk}}^{T_{jk}} \psi_l(s) \int_{\tau_{jk}}^{s} \psi_{l-1}(\tau) J[\psi^{(k-2)}]_{\tau, \tau} \, dw_{\tau}^{(i_{j-1})} \, dw_s^{(i_j)} + \\
+ \sum_{r=1}^{k-3} G[\psi^{(k)}]_{k-r+1} N \sum_{j_k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_{jk}}^{T_{jk}} \psi_{l-r}(s) \int_{\tau_{jk}}^{s} \psi_{l-r-1}(\tau) J[\psi^{(k-r-2)}]_{\tau, \tau} \, dw_{\tau}^{(i_{j-1})} \, dw_s^{(i_j)} + \\
+ G[\psi^{(k)}]_3 N \sum_{j_2=0}^{j_2-1} J[\psi^{(2)}]_{\tau_{j_2+1}, \tau_{j_2}},
\end{align*}
\begin{align*}
G[\psi^{(k)}]_N &= \sum_{j_k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_k=1}^{j_k-1} \ldots \sum_{j_m=0}^{j_m-1} \prod_{j=0}^{k} J[\psi_l]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j}, \ J[\psi_l]_{s, \theta} = \int_{\theta}^{s} \psi_l(\tau) \, dw_{\tau}^{(i_j)}, \\
\psi^{(k)} &= \psi_m^{(k)}, \psi_{m+1}^{(k)}, \ldots, \psi_k^{(k)} \text{ if } \psi_{m}^{(k)} \text{ def } (\psi_m, \psi_{m+1}, \ldots, \psi_k), \psi_1^{(k)} \text{ def } \psi^{(k)} = (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_k).
\end{align*}

Using standard evaluations \(^1\) for the moments of stochastic integrals, we obtain w. p. 1
\begin{equation}
\lim_{N \to \infty} \varepsilon_N = 0.
\end{equation}

Comparing \(^3\) and \(^1\) we get
\begin{equation}
J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T, t} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{N-1} \ldots \sum_{j_1=0}^{j_2-1} \prod_{j=0}^{k} J[\psi_l]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j} \text{ w. p. 1.}
\end{equation}
Let’s rewrite $J[\psi]_{\tau_{j_i+1}, \tau_{j_i}}$ in the form

$$J[\psi]_{\tau_{j_i+1}, \tau_{j_i}} = \psi(\tau_{j_i})\Delta w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)} + \int_{\tau_{j_i}}^{\tau_{j_i+1}} (\psi(\tau) - \psi(\tau_{j_i})) d\psi(\tau_{j_i})$$

and put it in (11).

Then, due to moment properties of stochastic integrals, continuity (as a result uniform continuity) of functions $\psi(s)$ ($i = 1, \ldots, k$) it is easy to see, that the prelimit expression in the right part of (11) is a sum of prelimit expression in the right part of (8) and of the value which goes to zero in the mean-square sense if $N \to \infty$. The lemma is proven.

**Remark 1.** It is easy to see, that if $\Delta w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)}$ in (8) for some $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ is replaced with $(\Delta w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)})^p$; $p = 2$; $i_l \neq 0$, then the differential $dw_{t_1}^{(i_l)}$ in the integral $J[\psi(k)]_{T, t}$ will be replaced with $dt_1$. If $p = 3$, $4, \ldots$, then the right part of the formula (8) w. p. 1 will become zero. If we replace $\Delta w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i_l)}$ in (8) for some $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ with $(\Delta \tau_{j_k})^p$, $p = 2$, $3, \ldots$, then the right part of the formula (8) also w. p. 1 will be equal to zero.

Let’s define the following stochastic integral:

$$I[\Phi(k)]_{T, t} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \int_t^T \cdots \int_t^{t_2} \Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \prod_{l=1}^k \Delta w_{\tau_{j_l}}^{(i_l)}$$

Assume, that $D_k = \{(t_1, \ldots, t_k) : t \leq t_1 < \ldots < t_k \leq T\}$. We will write $\Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \in C(D_k)$, if $\Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ is a continuous in the closed domain $D_k$ nonrandom function of $k$ variables.

Let’s consider the multiple Ito stochastic integral:

$$I[\Phi(k)]_{T, t} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \int_t^T \cdots \int_t^{t_2} \Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) d\psi(t_1^{(i_1)}) \cdots d\psi(t_k^{(i_k)})$$

where $\Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \in C(D_k)$.

It is easy to check, that this stochastic integral exists in the mean-square sense, if the following condition is met:

$$\int_t^T \cdots \int_t^{t_2} \phi^2(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \cdots dt_k < \infty.$$

Using the arguments which similar to the arguments used for proving of lemma 1 it is easy to demonstrate, that if $\Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \in C(D_k)$, then the following equality is fulfilled:

$$I[\Phi(k)]_{T, t} = \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{N-1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{N-2} \Phi(\tau_{j_1}, \ldots, \tau_{j_k}) \prod_{l=1}^k \Delta w_{\tau_{j_l}}^{(i_l)} \text{ w. p. 1}.$$  

In order to explain, let’s check rightness of the equality (13) when $k = 3$. For definiteness we will suggest, that $i_1, i_2, i_3 = 1, \ldots, m$. We have

$$I[\Phi(k)]_{T, t}^{(3)} = \int_t^T \int_t^{t_2} \int_t^{t_2} \Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) d\psi(t_1^{(i_1)}) d\psi(t_2^{(i_2)}) d\psi(t_3^{(i_3)}).$$

$$= \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j_3=0}^{N-1} \int_t^{\tau_{j_3}} \int_t^{t_2} \int_t^{t_2} \Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) d\psi(t_1^{(i_1)}) d\psi(t_2^{(i_2)}) d\psi(t_3^{(i_3)}).$$

$$= \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{N-2-1} \sum_{j_1=0}^{N-1-j_2-1} \int_t^{\tau_{j_1}} \int_t^{\tau_{j_2}} \int_t^{\tau_{j_3}} \Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) d\psi(t_1^{(i_1)}) d\psi(t_2^{(i_2)}) d\psi(t_3^{(i_3)}).$$
The second moment of its prelimit expression equals to
\[ \sum_{j_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_1=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_{j_2}}^{\tau_{j_2+1}} \int_{\tau_{j_1}}^{\tau_{j_1+1}} \Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) dt_1 dt_2 \Delta t_3 = 0, \]
when \( N \to \infty \). Here \( M \) is a constant, which restricts the module of function \( \Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) \), because of its continuity; \( \Delta t_j = \tau_{j+1} - \tau_j \).

Considering the obtained conclusions we have
\[
I[\Phi]^{(3)}_{T,t} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{T} \Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) d\tau_{t_1} d\tau_{t_2} d\tau_{t_3} =
\]

\[
= \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_1=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_{j_2}}^{\tau_{j_2+1}} \int_{\tau_{j_1}}^{\tau_{j_1+1}} (\Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) - \Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3)) d\tau_{t_1} d\tau_{t_2} d\tau_{t_3} + \]

\[
= \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_1=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_{j_2}}^{\tau_{j_2+1}} \int_{\tau_{j_1}}^{\tau_{j_1+1}} (\Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) - \Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3)) d\tau_{t_1} d\tau_{t_2} d\tau_{t_3} + \]

\[
= \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_1=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_{j_2}}^{\tau_{j_2+1}} \int_{\tau_{j_1}}^{\tau_{j_1+1}} (\Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) - \Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3)) d\tau_{t_1} d\tau_{t_2} d\tau_{t_3} + \]

\[
= \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_1=0}^{N-1} \Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) d\tau_{t_1} d\tau_{t_2} d\tau_{t_3} \Delta t_3. \]

In order to get the sought result, we just have to demonstrate, that the first two limits in the right part of (15) equal to zero. Let’s prove, that the first one of them equals to zero (proving for the second limit is similar).

The second moment of prelimit expression of the first limit in the right part of (15) equals to the following expression:
\[
\sum_{j_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_1=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_{j_2}}^{\tau_{j_2+1}} \int_{\tau_{j_1}}^{\tau_{j_1+1}} (\Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) - \Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3))^2 dt_1 dt_2 \Delta t_3. \]

Since the function \( \Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) \) is continuous in the closed bounded domain \( D_3 \), then it is uniformly continuous in this domain. Therefore, if the distance between two points in the domain \( D_3 \) is less than \( \delta > 0 \) (\( \delta > 0 \) and chosen for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and it doesn’t depend on mentioned points), then the corresponding oscillation of function \( \Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) \) for these two points of domain \( D_3 \) is less than \( \varepsilon \).
If we assume, that $\Delta \tau_j < \delta$ ($j = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1$), then the distance between points $(t_1, t_2, \tau_j)$, $(t_1, \tau_j, \tau_{j+1})$ is obviously less than $\delta$. In this case

$$|\Phi(t_1, t_2, \tau_j) - \Phi(t_1, \tau_j, \tau_{j+1})| < \varepsilon.$$  

Consequently, when $\Delta \tau_j < \delta$ ($j = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1$) the expression (16) is evaluated by the following value:

$$\varepsilon^2 \sum_{j_1, j_2 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_1 < j_2} \sum_{j_1 = 0}^{N-1} \Delta \tau_{j_1} \Delta \tau_{j_2} \Delta \tau_{j_3} < \varepsilon^2 \frac{(T-t)^3}{6}.$$  

Because of this, the first limit in the right part of (16) equals to zero. Similarly we can prove equality to zero of the second limit in the right part of (16).

Consequently, the equality (13) is proven when $k = 3$. The cases when $k = 2$ and $k > 3$ are analyzed absolutely similarly.

It is necessary to note, that proving of formula (13) rightness is similar, when the nonrandom function $\Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ is continuous in the open domain $D_k$ and bounded at its border.

Assume, that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{(j_1, \ldots, j_k) \neq (i_1, \ldots, i_k)} \Phi(t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_k}) \prod_{l=1}^{k} \Delta w^{(i_l)}_{\tau_l} = J'[\Phi]_{T,t}.$$  

Then we will get according to (13)

(17)$$J'[\Phi]_{T,t} = \int_t^{T} \cdots \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_k)} \Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \prod_{l=1}^{k} \Delta w^{(i_l)}_{t_{i_l}} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \Delta w^{(i_l)}_{t_{i_l}}.$$

where summation according to derangements $(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ is performed only in the expression, which is enclosed in parentheses, and the nonrandom function $\Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ is assumed to be continuous in the corresponding domains of integration.

Not difficult to see, that (17) may be rewritten in the form:

$$J'[\Phi]_{T,t} = \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_k)} \int_t^{T} \cdots \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \prod_{l=1}^{k} \Delta w^{(i_l)}_{t_{i_l}} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \Delta w^{(i_l)}_{t_{i_l}},$$

where derangements $(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ for summing are performed only in the values $d\Delta w^{(i_1)}_{t_{i_1}} \cdots d\Delta w^{(i_k)}_{t_{i_k}}$, at the same time the indexes near upper limits of integration in the multiple stochastic integrals are changed correspondently and if $t_r$ changed places with $t_q$ in the derangement $(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$, then $i_r$ changes places with $i_q$ in the derangement $(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$.

Let’s consider the class $M_2([0, T])$ of functions $\xi : [0, T] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^1$, which satisfy the conditions:

(i). The function $\xi(t, \omega)$ is a measurable in accordance with the collection of variables $(t, \omega)$;

(ii). The function $\xi(t, \omega)$ is $F_t$-measurable for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $\xi(t, \omega)$ independent with increments $f_{t+\Delta} - f_{t}$ for $\Delta \geq \tau$, $t > 0$;

(iii). $\int_0^T M \{ \xi^2 (t, \omega) \} dt < \infty$;

(iv). $M \{ \xi^2 (t, \omega) \} < \infty$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

It is well known [1], that Ito stochastic integral exists in the mean-square sense for any $\xi \in M_2([0, T])$

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that the following condition is met

$$\int_t^{T} \cdots \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \Phi^2(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \cdots dt_k < \infty,$$
where \( \Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \) is a nonrandom function. Then

\[
M\left\{ \left| \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt \right|^2 \right\} \leq C_k \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int_{t_0}^{t_2} \Phi^2(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k, \quad C_k < \infty.
\]

**Proof.** Using standard properties and estimations of stochastic integrals for \( \xi \in M_2([t_0, t]) \) we have

\[
M\left\{ \int_{t_0}^{t} \xi d\tau \right\}^2 = \int_{t_0}^{t} M\{\xi^2\} d\tau, \quad M\left\{ \int_{t_0}^{t} \xi \, d\tau \right\} \leq (t - t_0) \int_{t_0}^{t} M\{\xi^2\} d\tau.
\]

Let’s denote

\[
\xi[\Phi]_{t_1, \ldots, t_k} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dw_{t_1}^{(i_1)} \ldots dw_{t_k}^{(i_k)},
\]

where \( l = 1, \ldots, k - 1 \) and \( \xi[\Phi]_{t_1, \ldots, t_k}^{(0)} \) def \( = \Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k). \)

In accordance with induction it is easy to demonstrate, that \( \xi[\Phi]_{t_1, \ldots, t_k} \in M_2([t, T]) \) using the variable \( t_{l+1} \). Subsequently, using the estimations (18) repeatedly we can be led to confirmation of the lemma.

Not difficult to see, that in the case \( i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m \) from lemma 2 we have:

\[
M\left\{ \left| \int_{t_0}^{t} \xi d\tau \right|^2 \right\} \leq \int_{t_0}^{t} \int_{t_0}^{t} \Phi^2(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k.
\]

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that every \( \varphi_i(s) (i = 1, \ldots, k) \) is a continuous function on \([t, T]\). Then

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{k} J[\varphi_i]_{t, t} = J[\Phi]_{t, t}^{(k)} \text{ w. p. 1,}
\]

where

\[
J[\varphi_i]_{t, t} = \int_{t}^{T} \varphi_i(s) dw_s^{(i)}, \quad \Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \varphi_i(t_i)
\]

and the integral \( J[\Phi]_{t, t}^{(k)} \) is defined by the equality (12).

**Proof.** Let at first \( i_l \neq 0; l = 1, \ldots, k \). Let’s denote

\[
J[\varphi_i]_{N} \text{ def } = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi_i(\tau_j) \Delta w^{(i)}_{\tau_j}.
\]

Since

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{k} J[\varphi_i]_{N} - \prod_{i=1}^{k} J[\varphi_i]_{T, t} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k} J[\varphi_i]_{N} - J[\varphi_i]_{T, t} \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k} J[\varphi_i]_{N} - J[\varphi_i]_{T, t} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{k} J[\varphi_i]_{N},
\]

then because of the Minkowsky inequality and inequality of Cauchy-Bunyakovsky

\[
\left( M\left\{ \left| \prod_{i=1}^{k} J[\varphi_i]_{N} - \prod_{i=1}^{k} J[\varphi_i]_{T, t} \right|^2 \right\} \right)^{1/2} \leq C_k \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( M\left\{ |J[\varphi_i]_{N} - J[\varphi_i]_{T, t}|^4 \right\} \right)^{1/4}, \quad C_k < \infty.
\]

Note, that

\[
J[\varphi_i]_{N} - J[\varphi_i]_{T, t} = \sum_{g=0}^{N-1} J[\Delta \varphi_i]_{\tau_{g+1}, \tau_g}, \quad J[\Delta \varphi_i]_{\tau_{g+1}, \tau_g} = \int_{\tau_g}^{\tau_{g+1}} (\varphi_i(\tau_g) - \varphi_i(\tau)) dw^{(i)}_{\tau_g}.
\]
Since $J[\Delta \varphi_l]_{\tau_{q+1}, \tau_q}$ are independent for various $g$, then \[M\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} J[\Delta \varphi_l]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j} \right\}^4 = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} M\left\{J[\Delta \varphi_l]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j} \right\}^4 + 6 \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} M\left\{J[\Delta \varphi_l]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j} \right\}^2 \sum_{q=0}^{j-1} M\left\{J[\Delta \varphi_l]_{\tau_{q+1}, \tau_q} \right\}^2. \tag{22}\]

Because of gaussianity of $J[\Delta \varphi_l]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j}$ we have $M\left\{J[\Delta \varphi_l]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j} \right\}^2 = \int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} (\varphi_l(t_j) - \varphi_l(s))^2 ds, M\left\{J[\Delta \varphi_l]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j} \right\}^4 = 3 \left( \int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} (\varphi_l(t_j) - \varphi_l(s))^2 ds \right)^2.$

Using these relations and continuity and as a result the uniform continuity of functions $\varphi_l(s)$, we get $M\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} J[\Delta \varphi_l]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j} \right\}^4 \leq \varepsilon^4 \left( 3 \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\Delta \tau_j)^2 + 6 \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \Delta \tau_j \sum_{q=0}^{j-1} \Delta \tau_q \right) < 3 \varepsilon^4 (\delta(T-t) + (T-t)^2),$

where $\Delta \tau_j \leq \delta, \delta > 0$ and choose for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and doesn’t depend on points of the interval $[t, T]$. Then the right part of the formula (22) tends to zero when $N \to \infty$.

Considering this fact, as well as (21), we come to (20).

If for some $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\} : w_{t_l} = t_l$, then proving of this lemma becomes obviously simpler and it is performed similarly. The lemma 3 is proven.

According to lemma 1 we have \[J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T, t} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_1=0}^{N-1} \ldots \sum_{l_k=0}^{N-1} \psi_1(\tau_{l_1}) \ldots \psi_k(\tau_{l_k}) \Delta w_{\tau_{l_1}}^{(i_1)} \ldots \Delta w_{\tau_{l_k}}^{(i_k)} = \]

\[= \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_1=0}^{N-1} \ldots \sum_{l_k=0}^{N-1} K(\tau_{l_1}, \ldots, \tau_{l_k}) \Delta w_{\tau_{l_1}}^{(i_1)} \ldots \Delta w_{\tau_{l_k}}^{(i_k)} = \]

\[= \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_1=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_1=0}^{N-1} K(\tau_{l_1}, \ldots, \tau_{l_k}) \Delta w_{\tau_{l_1}}^{(i_1)} \ldots \Delta w_{\tau_{l_k}}^{(i_k)} = \]

\[= \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j_q \neq j_r, q \neq r, q, r = 1, \ldots, k} K(\tau_{l_1}, \ldots, \tau_{l_k}) \Delta w_{\tau_{l_1}}^{(i_1)} \ldots \Delta w_{\tau_{l_k}}^{(i_k)} = \]

\[= \int_t^T \ldots \int_t^{t_2} \sum_{l_1=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_1=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_1=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_1=0}^{N-1} K(\tau_{l_1}, \ldots, \tau_{l_k}) \Delta w_{\tau_{l_1}}^{(i_1)} \ldots \Delta w_{\tau_{l_k}}^{(i_k)} = \tag{23}\]

where derangements $(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ for summing are executed only in the expression, enclosed in parentheses.

It is easy to see, that (23) may be rewritten in the form:

\[J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T, t} = \sum_{(t_1, \ldots, t_k)} \int_t^{t_2} \int_t^{t_2} \ldots \int_t^{t_2} K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \Delta w_{\tau_{l_1}}^{(i_1)} \ldots \Delta w_{\tau_{l_k}}^{(i_k)} = \]

where derangements $(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ for summing are performed only in the values $\Delta w_{\tau_{l_1}}^{(i_1)} \ldots \Delta w_{\tau_{l_k}}^{(i_k)}$, at the same time the indexes near upper limits of integration in the multiple stochastic integrals are
changed correspondently and if \( t_r \) changed places with \( t_q \) in the derangement \((t_1, \ldots, t_k)\), then \( i_r \) changes places with \( i_q \) in the derangement \((i_1, \ldots, i_k)\).

Note, that since integration of bounded function using the set of null measure for Riemann integrals gives zero result, then the following formula is reasonable for these integrals:

$$
\int_{[t,T]^{k}} G(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k = \sum_{(t_1, \ldots, t_k)} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{t_2} \ldots \int_{t}^{t_k} G(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k,
$$

where derangements \((t_1, \ldots, t_k)\) for summing are executed only in the values \( dt_1, \ldots, dt_k \), at the same time the indexes near upper limits of integration are changed correspondently and the function \( G(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \) is considered as integrated in hypercube \([t,T]^{k}\).

According to lemmas 1 – 3 and [17, 23] w. p. 1 we get the following representation

$$
J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} = \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_1 \ldots j_k} J_{T,t}^{(j_1 \ldots j_k)} \int_{t}^{T} \ldots \int_{t}^{t_2} \ldots \int_{t}^{t_k} \left( \phi_{j_1}(t_1) \ldots \phi_{j_k}(t_k) d\mathbf{w}^{(i_1)}_{t_1} \ldots d\mathbf{w}^{(i_k)}_{t_k} \right) + \left( R_{T,t}^{P_1 \ldots P_k} \right)
$$

$$
+ R_{T,t}^{P_1 \ldots P_k} = \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_1 \ldots j_k} \int_{t}^{T} \ldots \int_{t}^{t_2} \ldots \int_{t}^{t_k} \left( \phi_{j_1}(t_1) \ldots \phi_{j_k}(t_k) \Delta \mathbf{w}^{(i_1)}_{t_1} \ldots \Delta \mathbf{w}^{(i_k)}_{t_k} \right) + \left( R_{T,t}^{P_1 \ldots P_k} \right)
$$

$$
= \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_1 \ldots j_k} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k} C_{j_i}^{(i)} - \text{l.i.m.} \sum_{N \to \infty} \sum_{(l_1, \ldots, l_k) \in G_k} \phi_{j_1}(t_1) \ldots \phi_{j_k}(t_k) \Delta \mathbf{w}^{(i_1)}_{t_1} \ldots \Delta \mathbf{w}^{(i_k)}_{t_k} \right) + \left( R_{T,t}^{P_1 \ldots P_k} \right)
$$

where

$$
(24) \quad R_{T,t}^{P_1 \ldots P_k} = \sum_{(l_1, \ldots, l_k) \in G_k} \int_{t}^{T} \ldots \int_{t}^{t_2} \left( K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_1 \ldots j_k} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \phi_{j_i}(t_i) \right) d\mathbf{w}^{(i_1)}_{t_1} \ldots d\mathbf{w}^{(i_k)}_{t_k},
$$

where derangements \((t_1, \ldots, t_k)\) for summing are performed only in the values \( d\mathbf{w}^{(i_1)}_{t_1} \ldots d\mathbf{w}^{(i_k)}_{t_k} \), at the same time the indexes near upper limits of integration in the multiple stochastic integrals are changed correspondently and if \( t_r \) changed places with \( t_q \) in the derangement \((t_1, \ldots, t_k)\), then \( i_r \) changes places with \( i_q \) in the derangement \((i_1, \ldots, i_k)\).

Let’s evaluate the remainder \( R_{T,t}^{P_1 \ldots P_k} \) of the series.

According to the lemma 2 we have

$$
M \left\{ \left( R_{T,t}^{P_1 \ldots P_k} \right)^2 \right\} \leq C_k \sum_{(l_1, \ldots, l_k) \in G_k} \int_{t}^{T} \ldots \int_{t}^{t_2} \left( K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_1 \ldots j_k} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \phi_{j_i}(t_i) \right)^2 dt_1 \ldots dt_k
$$

$$
= C_k \int_{[t,T]^k} \left( K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_1 \ldots j_k} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \phi_{j_i}(t_i) \right)^2 dt_1 \ldots dt_k \to 0
$$

if \( p_1, \ldots, p_k \to \infty \), where the constant \( C_k \) depends only on the multiplicity \( k \) of multiple Ito stochastic integral. The theorem is proven.
Not difficult to see, that for the case of pairwise different numbers $i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m$ from the theorem 1 we get:

$$J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1, \ldots, p_k \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \cdots j_1} \psi^{(i_k)}_{j_k} \cdots \psi^{(i_1)}_{j_1}.$$  

In order to evaluate significance of the theorem 1 for practice we will demonstrate its transformed particular cases for $k = 1, \ldots, 6$:

$$J[\psi^{(1)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1 \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} C_{j_1} \psi^{(i_1)}_{j_1},$$

$$J[\psi^{(2)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1, p_2 \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2} C_{j_2 j_1} \left( \psi^{(i_1)}_{j_1} \psi^{(i_2)}_{j_2} - 1_{\{i_1=i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1=j_2\}} \right),$$

$$J[\psi^{(3)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1, p_2, p_3 \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2} \sum_{j_3=0}^{p_3} C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} \left( \psi^{(i_1)}_{j_1} \psi^{(i_2)}_{j_2} \psi^{(i_3)}_{j_3} - 1_{\{i_1=i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1=j_2\}} \right.$$  

$$\left. - 1_{\{i_1=i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1=j_2\}} \psi^{(i_3)}_{j_3} \psi^{(i_4)}_{j_4} - 1_{\{i_1=i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1=j_2\}} \psi^{(i_3)}_{j_3} \psi^{(i_4)}_{j_4} - 1_{\{i_2=i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1=j_3\}} \psi^{(i_4)}_{j_4} \right)$$

$$+ 1_{\{i_2=i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2=j_4\}} 1_{\{j_1=i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1=j_3\}} 1_{\{i_2=i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2=j_4\}} +$$

$$J[\psi^{(4)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1, \ldots, p_4 \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_4=0}^{p_4} C_{j_4 \cdots j_1} \left( \prod_{t=1}^{4} \psi^{(i_t)}_{j_t} - 1_{\{i_1=i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1=j_2\}} \psi^{(i_3)}_{j_3} \psi^{(i_4)}_{j_4} - 1_{\{i_1=i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1=j_2\}} \psi^{(i_3)}_{j_3} \psi^{(i_4)}_{j_4} - 1_{\{i_2=i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2=j_3\}} \psi^{(i_4)}_{j_4} \right.$$  

$$\left. - 1_{\{i_2=i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2=j_4\}} 1_{\{j_1=i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1=j_3\}} 1_{\{i_2=i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2=j_4\}} + \right)$$

$$J[\psi^{(5)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1, \ldots, p_5 \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_5=0}^{p_5} C_{j_5 \cdots j_1} \left( \prod_{t=1}^{5} \psi^{(i_t)}_{j_t} - 1_{\{i_1=i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1=j_2\}} \psi^{(i_3)}_{j_3} \psi^{(i_4)}_{j_4} - 1_{\{i_1=i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1=j_2\}} \psi^{(i_3)}_{j_3} \psi^{(i_4)}_{j_4} - 1_{\{i_2=i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2=j_3\}} \psi^{(i_4)}_{j_4} \right.$$  

$$\left. - 1_{\{i_2=i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2=j_4\}} 1_{\{j_1=i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1=j_3\}} 1_{\{i_2=i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2=j_4\}} + \right)$$
\[
+ \mathbb{1}_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{j_2 = j_3\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{i_4 = i_5 \neq 0\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{j_4 = j_5\}} \xi^{(i_1)} \xi^{(i_2)} + \mathbb{1}_{\{i_2 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{j_2 = j_4\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{i_3 = i_5 \neq 0\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{j_3 = j_5\}} \xi^{(i_1)} \xi^{(i_2)} + \\
+ \mathbb{1}_{\{i_2 = i_5 \neq 0\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{j_2 = j_5\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{i_3 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{j_3 = j_4\}} \xi^{(i_1)} \xi^{(i_2)} + \mathbb{1}_{\{i_4 = i_5 \neq 0\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{j_4 = j_5\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{i_3 = i_2 \neq 0\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{j_3 = j_2\}} \xi^{(i_1)} \xi^{(i_2)} + \\
J[\psi_i(t)]_T = \limsup_{n_1, \ldots, n_6 \to \infty} \sum_{j_1 = 0}^{n_1} \ldots \sum_{j_6 = 0}^{n_6} C_{j_1 \ldots j_6} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{6} \xi^{(i_1)} \xi^{(i_2)} \xi^{(i_3)} \xi^{(i_4)} \xi^{(i_5)} \xi^{(i_6)} \right)
\]
Let's call (32) as partition and examine the sum using all possible partitions:

\[
-\{i_6=i_5\neq 0\}\{j_6=j_5\}\{i_1=i_3\neq 0\}\{j_1=j_3\}\{i_2=i_4\neq 0\}\{j_2=j_4\},
\]

(31)

where \(1_A\) is the indicator of the set \(A\).

Let's generalize formulas (26) – (31) for the case of any arbitrary multiplicity of \(J[y(k)_{|T|}]\). In order to do it we will introduce several denotations.

Let's examine the unregulated set \(\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}\) and separate it up in two parts: the first part consists of \(r\) unordered pairs (sequence order of these pairs is also unimportant) and the second one – of the remains \(k - 2r\) numbers.

So, we have:

\[
(\{\{g_1, g_2\}, \ldots, \{g_{2r-1}, g_{2r}\}\}, \{q_1, \ldots, q_{k-2r}\}),
\]

where \(\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{2r-1}, g_{2r}, q_1, \ldots, q_{k-2r}\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}\), curly braces mean an unordered set, and the round braces mean an ordered set.

Let's call (32) as partition and examine the sum using all possible partitions:

\[
\sum_{\{(g_1, g_2)\ldots (g_{2r-1}, g_{2r})\}, \{q_1, \ldots, q_{k-2r}\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}} a_{g_1g_2\ldots g_{2r-1}g_{2r}q_1\ldots q_{k-2r}},
\]

Let's give an example of sums in the form (33):

\[
\sum_{\{(g_1, g_2)\} = (1, 2)} a_{g_1g_2} = a_{12},
\]

\[
\sum_{\{(g_1, g_2) = (1, 2)\} = (1, 2)} a_{g_1g_2g_3g_4} = a_{1234} + a_{1324} + a_{2314},
\]

\[
\sum_{\{(g_1, g_2) = (1, 2), g_3, g_4\} = (1, 2, 3, 4)} a_{g_1g_2q_1q_2} = a_{12, 12} + a_{13, 24} + a_{14, 23} + a_{23, 14} + a_{24, 13} + a_{34, 12},
\]
Now we can formulate the theorem 1 (formula (6)) using alternative and more comfortable form.

**Theorem 2** (see [7] - [11]). In conditions of the theorem 1 the following converging in the mean-square sense expansion is valid:

\[
J_\psi^{(k)} = \frac{1}{p_1 \cdots p_k} \lim_{T,t \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{k-1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{k-1} C_{j_1 \cdots j_k} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{k} \xi_{j_l}^{(i_l)} + \sum_{r=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^r \sum_{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r, j_{r+1}, \ldots, j_k} \prod_{s=1}^{r} 1 \{i_{j_{2s-1}} = i_{j_{2s}} \neq 0\} 1 \{j_{j_{2s-1}} = j_{j_{2s}} \} \prod_{l=1}^{k-2r} \xi_{j_{2l}}^{(i_{j_{2l}})} \right).
\]

In particular from (34) if \( k = 5 \) we obtain:

\[
J_\psi^{(5)} = \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4, j_5} C_{j_1 \cdots j_5} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{5} \xi_{j_l}^{(i_l)} - \sum_{(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4, j_5)} 1 \{i_{j_1} = i_{j_2} \neq 0\} 1 \{j_{j_1} = j_{j_2}\} 1 \{i_{j_3} = i_{j_4} \neq 0\} 1 \{j_{j_3} = j_{j_4}\} \prod_{l=1}^{3} \xi_{j_l}^{(i_{j_l})} \right).
\]

The last equality obviously agree with (30).

3. **Comparison of the theorem 2 with representations of multiple Ito stochastic integrals, based on Hermite polynomials**

Note, that rightness of formulas (20) - (31) can be verified by the fact, that if \( i_1 = \ldots = i_6 = i = 1, \ldots, m \) and \( \psi_1(s), \ldots, \psi_6(s) = \psi(s) \), then we can deduce the following equalities which are right w. p. 1 [11]:

\[
J_\psi^{(1)}_{T,t} = \frac{1}{1!} \delta_{T,t},
J_\psi^{(2)}_{T,t} = \frac{1}{2!} \left( \delta_{T,t}^2 - \Delta_{T,t} \right),
J_\psi^{(3)}_{T,t} = \frac{1}{3!} \left( \delta_{T,t}^3 - 3 \delta_{T,t} \Delta_{T,t} \right),
J_\psi^{(4)}_{T,t} = \frac{1}{4!} \left( \delta_{T,t}^4 - 6 \delta_{T,t}^2 \Delta_{T,t} + 3 \Delta_{T,t}^2 \right),
J_\psi^{(5)}_{T,t} = \frac{1}{5!} \left( \delta_{T,t}^5 - 10 \delta_{T,t}^3 \Delta_{T,t} + 15 \delta_{T,t} \Delta_{T,t}^2 \right),
J_\psi^{(6)}_{T,t} = \frac{1}{6!} \left( \delta_{T,t}^6 - 15 \delta_{T,t}^4 \Delta_{T,t} + 45 \delta_{T,t}^2 \Delta_{T,t}^2 - 15 \Delta_{T,t}^3 \right),
\]
which can be independently obtained using the Ito formula and Hermite polynomials.

When \( k = 1 \) everything is evident. Let’s examine the cases \( k = 2, 3 \). When \( k = 2 \) (we put \( p_1 = p_2 = p \)):

\[
J[\psi^{(2)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \left( \sum_{j_1, j_2=0}^{p} C_{j_2 j_1} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i)} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i)} - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} C_{j_1 j_1} \right) =
\]

\[
= \lim_{p \to \infty} \left( \sum_{j_1, j_2=0}^{p, p-1} (C_{j_2 j_1} + C_{j_1 j_2}) \zeta_{j_1}^{(i)} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i)} + \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} C_{j_1 j_1} \left( \zeta_{j_1}^{(i)} \right)^2 - 1 \right) =
\]

\[
= \lim_{p \to \infty} \left( \sum_{j_1, j_2=0}^{p, p-1} C_{j_1 j_2} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i)} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} C_{j_1 j_1} \left( \zeta_{j_1}^{(i)} \right)^2 - 1 \right) =
\]

\[
= \lim_{p \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} C_{j_1 j_1} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i)} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} C_{j_1 j_1} = \frac{1}{2l} (\delta_{T,t} - \Delta_{T,t}) .
\]

(35)

Let’s explain the last step in (34). For Ito stochastic integrals the following estimation (13) is right:

\[
M \left\{ \left( \int_{t}^{T} \zeta_{i} f_{\tau} d\tau \right)^{q} \right\} \leq K_{q} M \left\{ \left( \int_{t}^{T} |\zeta_{i}|^{2} d\tau \right)^{q/2} \right\} ,
\]

where \( q > 0 \) — is a fixed number; \( f_{\tau} \) — is a scalar standard Wiener process; \( \zeta_{i} \in M_{2}([t, T]) \); \( K_{q} \) — is a constant, depending only on \( q \);

\[
\int_{t}^{T} |\zeta_{i}|^{2} d\tau < \infty \text{ w. p. 1; } M \left\{ \left( \int_{t}^{T} |\zeta_{i}|^{2} d\tau \right)^{q/2} \right\} < \infty .
\]

Since

\[
\delta_{T,t} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} C_{j_1 j_1} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i)} = \int_{t}^{T} \left( \psi(s) - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} C_{j_1 j_1} \phi_{j_1}(s) \right) f_{s}^{(i)},
\]

then using the estimation (36) to the right part of this expression and considering, that

\[
\int_{t}^{T} \left( \psi(s) - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} C_{j_1 j_1} \phi_{j_1}(s) \right)^{2} ds \to 0
\]

if \( p \to \infty \) we obtain

\[
(37) \int_{t}^{T} \psi(s) f_{s}^{(i)} = q \lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} C_{j_1 j_1} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i)} ; \quad q > 0 .
\]

Hence, if \( q = 4 \), then it is easy to conclude, that

\[
\lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} C_{j_1 j_1} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i)} = \delta_{T,t}^{2} .
\]
This equality was used in the last transition of the formula (35).

If $k = 3$ (we put $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p$):

$$
J^{[\psi^{(3)}]}_{T,t} = \text{l.i.m.}_{p\to\infty} \left( \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3 = 0}^p C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_2} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_3} - \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3 = 0}^p C_{j_3 j_1 j_2} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_2} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_3} - \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3 = 0}^p C_{j_2 j_1 j_3} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_2} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_3} - \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3 = 0}^p C_{j_1 j_2 j_3} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_2} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_3} \right) =
$$

$$
= \text{l.i.m.}_{p\to\infty} \left( \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3 = 0}^p C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_2} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_3} - \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3 = 0}^p (C_{j_3 j_1 j_2} + C_{j_1 j_2 j_3} + C_{j_2 j_1 j_3}) \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_2} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_3} \right) =
$$

$$
= \text{l.i.m.}_{p\to\infty} \left( \sum_{j_1 = 0}^p \sum_{j_2 = 0}^p \sum_{j_3 = 0}^p \left( C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} + C_{j_3 j_1 j_2} + C_{j_2 j_3 j_1} + C_{j_1 j_3 j_2} + C_{j_1 j_2 j_3} \right) \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_2} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_3} \right) +
$$

$$
+ \sum_{j_1 = 0}^p \sum_{j_2 = 0}^p \sum_{j_3 = 0}^p \left( C_{j_3 j_1 j_2} + C_{j_2 j_1 j_3} + C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} \right) \left( \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_2} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_3} \right)^2 \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_1 = 0}^p \sum_{j_2 = 0}^p \sum_{j_3 = 0}^p \left( C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_2} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_3} \right) +
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j_1 = 0}^p \sum_{j_2 = 0}^p \sum_{j_3 = 0}^p C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_2} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_3} \frac{1}{2} = \text{l.i.m.}_{p\to\infty} \left( \frac{1}{6} \sum \sum \sum C_{j_1} C_{j_2} C_{j_3} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_2} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_3} \right) \right) =
$$

$$
= \text{l.i.m.}_{p\to\infty} \left( \frac{1}{6} \sum \sum \sum C_{j_1} C_{j_2} C_{j_3} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_2} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_3} \right) =
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{3!} (\delta^3_{T,t} - 3 \delta^2_{T,t} \Delta_{T,t}) .
$$

The last step in (35) is arisen from the equality

$$
\text{l.i.m.}_{p\to\infty} \left( \sum_{j_1 = 0}^p C_{j_1} \varepsilon^{(i)}_{j_1} \right)^3 = \delta^3_{T,t},
$$

which can be obtained easily when $q = 8$ (see (37)).
In addition, we used the following correlations between the Fourier coefficients for the examined case: 
\[ C_{j_1,j_2} + C_{j_2,j_1} = C_{j_1}C_{j_2} + 2C_{j_1,j_1} = 2C_{j_1}^2, \]
\[ C_{j_1,j_2} + C_{j_2,j_3} + C_{j_1,j_3} = C_{j_1,j_2} + C_{j_2,j_3} + C_{j_1,j_3} = C_{j_1}C_{j_2}C_{j_3}; \]
\[ 2(C_{j_1,j_3} + C_{j_2,j_3} + C_{j_3,j_1}) = C_{j_1}C_{j_2}C_{j_3} + 6C_{j_2,j_2} = C_{j_1}^3. \]

4. On usage of complete orthonormal discontinuous systems of functions in the theorem 1

Analyzing the proof of the theorem 1, we can ask a natural question: can we weaken the condition of continuity of functions \( \phi_j(x); j = 1, 2, \ldots? \)

We will tell, that the function \( f(x): [t, T] \to \mathbb{R}^1 \) satisfies the condition (⋆), if it is continuous at the interval \([t, T]\) except may be for the finite number of points of the finite discontinuity, as well as it is continuous from the right at the interval \([t, T]\).

Afterwards, let’s suppose, that \( \{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \) — is a complete orthonormal system of functions in the space \( L_2([t, T]) \), moreover \( \phi_j(x), j < \infty \) satisfies the condition (⋆).

It is easy to see, that the continuity of function \( \phi_j(x) \) was used substantially for proving of the theorem 1 in two places: lemma 3 and formula (13). It’s clear, that without damage to generality, partition \( \{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^{N} \) of the interval \([t, T]\) in lemma 3 and formula (13) can be taken so “small that among the points \( \tau_j \) of this partition will be all points of jumps of functions \( \varphi_1(\tau) = \phi_j(\tau); \ldots, \varphi_k(\tau) = \phi_k(\tau); j_1, \ldots, j_k < \infty \) and among the points \( (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k); 0 \leq j_1 < \ldots < j_k \leq N - 1 \) there will be all points of jumps of function \( \Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \).

Let's demonstrate how to modify proofs of lemma 3 and formula (13) in the case when \( \{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \) — is a complete orthonormal system of functions in the space \( L_2([t, T]) \), moreover \( \phi_j(x), j < \infty \) satisfies the condition (⋆).

At first, appeal to lemma 3. Proving this lemma we got the following relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
M \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} J[\Delta \varphi_j]_{\tau_j+1, \tau_j} \right|^4 \right\} &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} M \left\{ \left| J[\Delta \varphi_j]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j} \right|^4 \right\} + \\
&+ 6 \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} M \left\{ \left| J[\Delta \varphi_j]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j} \right|^2 \right\} \left\{ \sum_{q=0}^{j-1} M \left\{ \left| J[\Delta \varphi_q]_{\tau_{q+1}, \tau_q} \right|^2 \right\} \right\},
\end{align*}
\]

(39)

\[
M \left\{ \left| J[\Delta \varphi_j]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j} \right|^2 \right\} = \int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} (\varphi_1(\tau_j) - \varphi_1(s))^2 ds, \quad M \left\{ \left| J[\Delta \varphi_j]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j} \right|^4 \right\} = 3 \left( \int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} (\varphi_1(\tau_j) - \varphi_1(s))^2 ds \right)^2.
\]

Propose, that functions \( \varphi_1(s); l = 1, \ldots, k \) satisfy the condition (⋆), and the partition \( \{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1} \) includes all points of jumps of functions \( \varphi_1(s); l = 1, \ldots, k \). It means, that, for the integral

\[
\int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} (\varphi_1(\tau_j) - \varphi_1(s))^2 ds
\]

the subintegral function is continuous at the interval \([\tau_j, \tau_{j+1}]\) and possibly it has finite discontinuity in the point \( \tau_{j+1} \).

Let \( \mu \in (0, \Delta \tau_j) \) is fixed, then, because of continuity which means uniform continuity of the functions \( \varphi_1(s); l = 1, \ldots, k \) at the interval \([\tau_j, \tau_{j+1} - \mu]\) we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} (\varphi_1(\tau_j) - \varphi_1(s))^2 ds &= \int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1} - \mu} (\varphi_1(\tau_j) - \varphi_1(s))^2 ds + \int_{\tau_{j+1} - \mu}^{\tau_{j+1}} (\varphi_1(\tau_j) - \varphi_1(s))^2 ds < \varepsilon^2 (\Delta \tau_j - \mu) + M^2 \mu.
\end{align*}
\]

(41)
Obtaining the inequality (41) we proposed, that \( \Delta \tau_j < \delta; j = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \) (\( \delta > 0 \) is exist for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and it doesn’t depend on \( s \)); \( |\varphi(\tau_j) - \varphi(s)| < \varepsilon \) if \( s \in [\tau_j + 1 - \mu, \tau_j + 1] \) (because of uniform continuity of functions \( \varphi(l); l = 1, \ldots, k \); \( |\varphi(\tau_j) - \varphi(s)| < M; M \) is a constant; potential point of discontinuity of function \( \varphi(s) \) is supposed in the point \( \tau_j + 1 \).

Performing the passage to the limit in the inequality (41) when \( \mu \to +0 \), we get

\[
\int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} (\varphi(t) - \varphi(s))^2 ds \leq \varepsilon^2 \Delta \tau_j.
\]

Using this estimation for evaluation of the right part (39) we obtain

(42)

\[
M \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} J[\Delta \varphi_1]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j} \right|^4 \right\} \leq \varepsilon^4 \left( 3 \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\Delta \tau_j)^2 + 6 \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \Delta \tau_j \sum_{q=0}^{j-1} \Delta \tau_q \right) < 3 \varepsilon^4 (\delta(T - t) + (T - t)^2).
\]

This implies, that

\[
M \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} J[\Delta \varphi_1]_{\tau_{j+1}, \tau_j} \right|^4 \right\} \to 0
\]

when \( N \to \infty \) and lemma 3 remains reasonable.

Now, let’s present explanations concerning the rightness of the formula (13), when \( \{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \) is a full orthonormal system of functions in the space \( L_2([t, T]) \), moreover \( \phi_j(x), j < \infty \) satisfies the condition (s).

Let’s examine the case \( k = 3 \) and representation (15). We can demonstrate, that in the studied case the first limit in the right part of (15) equals to zero (similarly we demonstrate, that the second limit in the right part of (15) equals to zero; proving of the second limit equality to zero in the right part of the formula (14) is the same as for the case of continuous functions \( \phi_j(x); j = 0, 1, \ldots \).

The second moment of prelimit expression of the first limit in the right part of (15) looks as follows:

\[
\sum_{j_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{j_3-1} \sum_{j_1=0}^{j_2-1} \int_{\tau_{j_1}}^{\tau_{j_2}} \int_{\tau_{j_1}}^{\tau_{j_2}+1} (\Phi(t_1, t_2, \tau_{j_3}) - \Phi(t_1, \tau_{j_2}, \tau_{j_3}))^2 dt_1 dt_2 \Delta \tau_{j_3}.
\]

Further, for the fixed \( \mu \in (0, \Delta \tau_{j_2}) \) and \( \rho \in (0, \Delta \tau_{j_1}) \) we have

\[
\int_{\tau_{j_2}}^{\tau_{j_2}+1} \int_{\tau_{j_1}}^{\tau_{j_1}+1} (\Phi(t_1, t_2, \tau_{j_3}) - \Phi(t_1, \tau_{j_2}, \tau_{j_3}))^2 dt_1 dt_2 =
\]

\[
= \left( \int_{\tau_{j_2}}^{\tau_{j_2}+1-\mu} \int_{\tau_{j_1}}^{\tau_{j_1}+1-\rho} + \int_{\tau_{j_2}+1-\mu}^{\tau_{j_2}+1} \int_{\tau_{j_1}}^{\tau_{j_1}+1-\rho} \right) (\Phi(t_1, t_2, \tau_{j_3}) - \Phi(t_1, \tau_{j_2}, \tau_{j_3}))^2 dt_1 dt_2 =
\]

\[
= \left( \int_{\tau_{j_2}}^{\tau_{j_2}+1-\mu} \int_{\tau_{j_1}}^{\tau_{j_1}+1-\rho} + \int_{\tau_{j_2}+1-\mu}^{\tau_{j_2}+1} \int_{\tau_{j_1}}^{\tau_{j_1}+1-\rho} \right) \times
\]

\[
\times (\Phi(t_1, t_2, \tau_{j_3}) - \Phi(t_1, \tau_{j_2}, \tau_{j_3}))^2 dt_1 dt_2 <
\]

(43)

\[
< \varepsilon^2 (\Delta \tau_{j_2} - \mu)(\Delta \tau_{j_1} - \rho) + M^2 \rho (\Delta \tau_{j_2} - \mu) + M^2 \mu (\Delta \tau_{j_1} - \rho) + M^2 \rho M,
\]

where \( M \) is a constant; \( \Delta \tau_j < \delta; j = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \) (\( \delta > 0 \) is exists for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and it doesn’t depend on points \( (t_1, t_2, \tau_{j_3}), (t_1, \tau_{j_2}, \tau_{j_3}) \)); we also propose that, the partition \( \{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1} \) contains all points of discontinuity of the function \( \Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) \) as points \( \tau_j \) (for every variable).
When obtaining of (13) we also suppose, that potential points of discontinuity of this function (for every variable) are in points $\tau_{j_1+1}, \tau_{j_2+1}, \tau_{j_3+1}$.

Let’s explain in details how we obtained the inequality (13). Since the function $\Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ is continuous at the closed bounded set $Q_3 = \{(t_1, t_2, t_3) : t_1 \in [\tau_{j_1}, \tau_{j_1+1}], t_2 \in [\tau_{j_2}, \tau_{j_2+1}], t_3 \in [\tau_{j_3}, \tau_{j_3+1} - \nu] \}$, where $\rho, \mu, \nu$ — are fixed small positive numbers ($\nu \in (0, \Delta \tau_{j_3}), \mu \in (0, \Delta \tau_{j_2})$, $\rho \in (0, \Delta \tau_{j_1})$), then this function is also uniformly continuous at this set and bounded at closed set $D_3$.

Since the distance between points $(t_1, t_2, \tau_{j_3})$, $(t_1, \tau_{j_2}, \tau_{j_3}) \in Q_3$ is obviously less than $\delta$ ($\Delta \tau_j < \delta$; $j = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1$), then $\Phi(t_1, t_2, \tau_{j_3}) - \Phi(t_1, \tau_{j_2}, \tau_{j_3}) < \varepsilon$. This inequality was used during estimation of the first double integral in (43). Estimating of three remaining double integrals we used the feature of limitation of function $\Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ in form of inequality $|\Phi(t_1, t_2, \tau_{j_3}) - \Phi(t_1, \tau_{j_2}, \tau_{j_3})| < M$.

Performing the passage to the limit in the inequality (43) when $\mu, \rho \to +0$ we obtain the estimation

$$
\int_{\tau_{j_2}}^{\tau_{j_1}+1} \int_{\tau_{j_1}}^{\tau_{j_2}+1} (\Phi(t_1, t_2, \tau_{j_3}) - \Phi(t_1, \tau_{j_2}, \tau_{j_3}))^2 dt_1 dt_2 \leq \varepsilon^2 \Delta \tau_{j_2} \Delta \tau_{j_1}.
$$

Usage of this estimation provides

$$
\sum_{j_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{j_3-1} \sum_{j_1=0}^{j_2-1} \int_{\tau_{j_2}}^{\tau_{j_1}+1} \int_{\tau_{j_1}}^{\tau_{j_2}+1} (\Phi(t_1, t_2, \tau_{j_3}) - \Phi(t_1, \tau_{j_2}, \tau_{j_3}))^2 dt_1 dt_2 \Delta \tau_{j_3} \leq 
$$

$$
\leq \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{j_3-1} \sum_{j_1=0}^{j_2-1} \Delta \tau_{j_1} \Delta \tau_{j_2} \Delta \tau_{j_3} < \varepsilon^2 \frac{(T-t)^3}{6}.
$$

The last evaluation means, that in the considered case the first limit in the right part of (13) equals to zero (similarly we may demonstrate, that the second limit in the right part of (13) equals to zero).

Consequently, formula (13) is reasonable when $k = 3$ in the analyzed case. Similarly, we perform argumentation for the case when $k = 2$ and $k > 3$.

Consequently, in theorem 1 we can use complete orthonormal systems of functions $\{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^\infty$ in the space $L_2([t, T])$, for which $\phi_j(x)$, $j \ll \infty$ satisfies the condition $(\ast)$.

The example of such system of functions may serve as a complete orthonormal system of Haar functions in the space $L_2([t, T])$:

$$
\phi_0(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t}}, \quad \phi_{nj}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t}} \varphi_{nj} \left( \frac{x-t}{T-t} \right),
$$

where $n = 0, 1, \ldots$; $j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^n$ and functions $\varphi_{nj}(x)$ has the following form:

$$
\varphi_{nj}(x) = \begin{cases} 
2^n, & x \in \left[\frac{j-1}{2^n}, \frac{j-1+1}{2^n} \right] \\
-2^n, & x \in \left[\frac{j-1}{2^n}, \frac{j-1+1}{2^n} \right] \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
$$

$n = 0, 1, \ldots$; $j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^n$ (we choose the values of Haar functions in the points of discontinuity in order they will be continuous at the right).

The other example of similar system of functions is a full orthonormal Rademacher-Walsh system of functions in the space $L_2([t, T])$:

$$
\phi_0(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t}}, \quad \phi_{m_1\ldots m_k}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t}} \varphi_{m_1} \left( \frac{x-t}{T-t} \right) \cdots \varphi_{m_k} \left( \frac{x-t}{T-t} \right),
$$

where $0 < m_1 < \ldots < m_k$; $m_1, \ldots, m_k = 1, 2, \ldots$; $k = 1, 2, \ldots$; $\varphi_m(x) = (-1)^{2^{m} x}$; $x \in [0,1]$; $m = 1, 2, \ldots$; $[y]$ — integer part of $y$. 

5. Remarks about usage of complete orthonormal systems in the theorem 1

Note, that actually functions \( \phi_j(s) \) of the complete orthonormal system of functions \( \{ \phi_j(s) \}_{j=0}^{\infty} \) in the space \( L_2([t, T]) \) depend not only on \( s \), but on \( t, T \).

For example, complete orthonormal systems of Legendre polynomials and trigonometric functions in the space \( L_2([t, T]) \) have the following form:

\[
\phi_j(s, t, T) = \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{T-t}} P_j \left( \frac{s - T + t}{2} \frac{2}{T-t} \right),
\]

\( P_j(s) \) — Legendre polynomials;

\[
\phi_j(s, t, T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t}} \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{2} \sin 2\pi r (s-t) \\ \sqrt{2} \cos 2\pi r (s-t) 
\end{cases} \frac{1}{T-t},
\]

\( r = 1, 2, \ldots \)

Note, that the specified systems of functions will be used in the context of realizing of numerical methods for Ito stochastic differential equations for the sequences of time intervals: \( [T_0, T_1], [T_1, T_2], [T_2, T_3], \ldots \), and spaces \( L_2([T_0, T_1]), L_2([T_1, T_2]), L_2([T_2, T_3]), \ldots \).

We can explain, that the dependence of functions \( \phi_j(s, t, T) \) from \( t, T \) (hereinafter these constants will mean fixed moments of time) will not affect the main characteristics of independence of random variables.

\[
\zeta^{(i_1)}_{s(t_i), T, t} = \int_t^T \phi_{j_1}(s, t, T) d\mathbf{w}^{(i_1)}_s; \ i_l \neq 0; \ l = 1, \ldots, k.
\]

Indeed, for fixed \( t, T \) due to orthonormality of mentioned systems of functions, we have:

\[
M \left\{ \zeta^{(i_1)}_{s(t_i), T, t} \zeta^{(i_r)}_{s(t_r), T, t} \right\} = \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1 = i_r \neq 0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1 = j_r\}},
\]

where

\[
\zeta^{(i_1)}_{s(t_i), T, t} = \int_t^T \phi_{j_1}(s, t, T) d\mathbf{w}^{(i_1)}_s; \ i_l \neq 0; \ l, r = 1, \ldots, k.
\]

On the other side random variables

\[
\zeta^{(i_1)}_{s(t_1), t_1} = \int_{t_1}^{T_1} \phi_{j_1}(s, t_1, T_1) d\mathbf{w}^{(i_1)}_s, \ \zeta^{(i_1)}_{s(t_2), T_2} = \int_{t_2}^{T_2} \phi_{j_1}(s, t_2, T_2) d\mathbf{w}^{(i_1)}_s
\]

are independent if \( [t_1, T_1] \cap [t_2, T_2] = \emptyset \) (the case \( T_1 = t_2 \) is possible) according to the property of Ito stochastic integrals.

Therefore, two important characteristics of random variables \( \zeta^{(i_1)}_{s(t_i), T, t} \), which are the basic motive of their usage are stored.

6. Convergence in the mean of degree \( 2n \) of expansion of multiple Ito stochastic integrals from the theorem 1

Creating expansions of Ito stochastic integrals from theorem 1 we stored all information about these integrals, that is why it is natural to expect, that the mentioned expansions will be converged not only in the mean-square sense but in the stronger probability meanings.

We will obtain the common evaluation which proves convergence in the mean of degree \( 2n, n \in N \) of approximations from the theorem 1.

According to notations of the theorem 1:
with the growth and using the increase of value we get the following estimation

\[ R_{P_1...P_k}^{T,t} = \sum_{(t_1, ..., t_k)} \int_t^{T_2} ... \int_t^{T_k} R_{P_1...P_k}(t_1, ..., t_k) d\xi_{(i_1)}^{(t_1)} ... d\xi_{(i_k)}^{(t_k)}, \]

\[ R_{P_1...P_k}(t_1, ..., t_k) \overset{\text{def}}{=} K(t_1, ..., t_k) - \sum_{j_i = 0}^{P_1} ... \sum_{j_k = 0}^{P_k} \sum_{j_l = 1}^{k} C_{j_k...j_l} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \phi_j(t_l). \]

For definiteness we will consider, that \(i_1, ..., i_k = 1, ..., m\) (it is obviously quite enough for unified Taylor-Ito expansion [6] - [11]) and we can see decoding of other notations used in this section at the text of proving of the theorem 1.

Note, that proving of the theorem 1 we obtained, that

\[ M\{ (R_{P_1...P_k}^{T,t})^2 \} \leq C_k \int_{[t,T]^k} R_{P_1...P_k}^2(t_1, ..., t_k) dt_1 ... dt_k, \]

\( C_k < \infty \) and \( C_k = k! \) for the case \(i_1, ..., i_k = 1, ..., m\).

Assume, that

\[ \eta^{(l-1)}_{l_1,t} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \int_t^{t_2} ... \int_t^{T_2} R_{P_1...P_k}(t_1, ..., t_k) d\xi_{(i_1)}^{(t_1)} ... d\xi_{(i_{l-1})}^{(t_{l-1})}, \]

\( l = 2, 3, ..., k + 1, \)

\[ \eta^{(k)}_{l_1,t} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \eta^{(k)}_{T,t}, \]

\[ \eta^{(k)}_{T,t} = \int_t^{T} ... \int_t^{T_k} R_{P_1...P_k}(t_1, ..., t_k) d\xi_{(i_1)}^{(t_1)} ... d\xi_{(i_k)}^{(t_k)}. \]

Using Ito formula it is easy to demonstrate, that

\[ M\left\{ \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} \xi_{\tau} d\tau \right)^{2n} \right\} = n(2n - 1) \int_{t_0}^{t} M\left\{ \left( \int_{t_0}^{s} \xi_{u} d\mu \right)^{2n-2} \xi_{s}^2 \right\} ds. \]

Using the Holder inequality in the right part under the sign of integration if \( p = n/(n - 1), q = n \) and using the increase of value

\[ M\left\{ \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} \xi_{\tau} d\tau \right)^{2n} \right\} \]

with the growth \( t \), we get:

\[ M\left\{ \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} \xi_{\tau} d\tau \right)^{2n} \right\} \leq n(2n - 1) M\left\{ \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} \xi_{\tau} d\tau \right)^{2n} \right\} \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} (M\{\xi_{s}^2\})^{1/n} ds \right)^{n-1}. \]

Raising to power \( n \) the obtained inequality and dividing it on

\[ \left( M\left\{ \left( \int_{t_0}^{t_0} \xi_{\tau} d\tau \right)^{2n} \right\} \right)^{n-1} \]

we get the following estimation

\[ M\left\{ \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} \xi_{\tau} d\tau \right)^{2n} \right\} \leq (n(2n - 1))^n \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} (M\{\xi_{s}^2\})^{1/n} ds \right)^{n}. \]
Using estimation (45) we have

\[ M\{\eta_{T,t}^{(k)}\}^{2n} \leq (n(2n - 1))^n \left( \int T^n \left( M\{\eta_{t_{k-1},t}^{(k-2)}\}\right)^{1/n} dt_k \right)^n \leq \]

\[ = (n(2n - 1))^n \left( \int T^n \left( M\{\eta_{t_{k-1},t}^{(k-2)}\}\right)^{1/n} dt_k \right)^n \leq \]

\[ = (n(2n - 1))^n \left( \int T^n \left( M\{\eta_{t_{k-1},t}^{(k-2)}\}\right)^{1/n} dt_k \right)^n \leq \]

\[ \ldots \leq (n(2n - 1))^{n(k-1)} \left( \int T^n \left( M\{\eta_{t_{k-1},t}^{(k-2)}\}\right)^{1/n} dt_k \right)^n \leq \]

\[ = (n(2n - 1))^{n(k-1)}(2n - 1)!! \left( \int T^n \left( M\{\eta_{t_{k-1},t}^{(k-2)}\}\right)^{1/n} dt_k \right)^n \leq \]

\[ \leq (n(2n - 1))^{n(k-1)}(2n - 1)!! \left( \int T^n \left( M\{\eta_{t_{k-1},t}^{(k-2)}\}\right)^{1/n} dt_k \right)^n. \]

The next to last step was obtained using the formula

\[ M\{\eta_{t_{k-1},t}^{(k-1)}\}^{2n} = (2n - 1)!! \left( \int T^n \left( M\{\eta_{t_{k-1},t}^{(k-1)}\}\right)^{1/n} dt_k \right)^n, \]

which follows from gaussianity of

\[ \eta_{t_{k-1},t}^{(k-1)} = \int T^n \left( M\{\eta_{t_{k-1},t}^{(k-1)}\}\right)^{1/n} dt_k. \]

Similarly we estimate each summand in the right part of (44). Then, from (44) using Minkowski inequality we finally get

\[ M\{(R_{p_1...p_k}^{T,t})^{2n}\} \leq (k!)^n (n(2n - 1))^{n(k-1)}(2n - 1)!! \left( \int T^n \left( M\{\eta_{t_{k-1},t}^{(k-1)}\}\right)^{1/n} dt_k \right)^n \]

\[ \leq (k!)^n (n(2n - 1))^{n(k-1)}(2n - 1)!! \left( \int T^n \left( M\{\eta_{t_{k-1},t}^{(k-1)}\}\right)^{1/n} dt_k \right)^n. \]

We have:

\[ \int T^n \left( M\{\eta_{t_{k-1},t}^{(k-1)}\}\right)^{1/n} dt_k = \int T^n \left( M\{\eta_{t_{k-1},t}^{(k-1)}\}\right)^{1/n} dt_k - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_1...j_k}^2. \]

Let’s substitute (47) into (46):

\[ M\{(R_{p_1...p_k}^{T,t})^{2n}\} \leq \]

\[ (k!)^n (n(2n - 1))^{n(k-1)}(2n - 1)!! \left( \int T^n \left( M\{\eta_{t_{k-1},t}^{(k-1)}\}\right)^{1/n} dt_k \right)^n. \]
The inequality (46) (or (48)) means, that approximations of multiple Ito stochastic integrals, obtained using the theorem 1, converge in the mean of degree $2n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, as according to Parseval equality

$$\int_{[t,T]^k} R_{p_1,\ldots,p_k}(t_1,\ldots,t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k \to 0 \text{ if } p_1,\ldots,p_k \to \infty.$$  
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